Holomorphic extension of representations

We report on the paper [1] whose introduction we attach below. We make a special emphasis for the group $G = \text{Sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ and refer to the overview article [2].

Let us consider a unitary irreducible representation (π, \mathcal{H}) of a simple, non-compact and connected Lie group G. Let us denote by K a maximal compact subgroup of G. According to Harish-Chandra, the Lie algebra submodule \mathcal{H}_K of K-finite vectors of π consists of analytic vectors of the representation. We determine, and in full generality, their natural domain of definition as holomorphic functions:

Theorem 0.1. Let (π, \mathcal{H}) be a unitary irreducible representation of G. Let $v \in \mathcal{H}$ be a non-zero K-finite vector and

$$f_v: G \to \mathcal{H}, \quad g \mapsto \pi(g)v$$

the corresponding orbit map. Then there exists a maximal $G \times K_{\mathbb{C}}$ invariant domain $D_{\pi} \subseteq G_{\mathbb{C}}$, independent of v, to which f_v extends
holomorphically. Explicitly:

- (i) $D_{\pi} = G_{\mathbb{C}}$ if π is the trivial representation.
- (ii) $D_{\pi} = \Xi^+ K_{\mathbb{C}}$ if G is Hermitian and π is a non-trivial highest weight representation.
- (iii) $D_{\pi} = \Xi^{-} K_{\mathbb{C}}$ if G is Hermitian and π is a non-trivial lowest weight representation.
- (iv) $D_{\pi} = \Xi K_{\mathbb{C}}$ in all other cases.

Let us explain the objects Ξ , Ξ^+ and Ξ^- in the statement. We form X = G/K, the associated Riemann symmetric space, and view X as a totally real submanifold of its affine complexification $X_{\mathbb{C}} = G_{\mathbb{C}}/K_{\mathbb{C}}$. The natural G-invariant complexification of X, the crown domain, is denoted by $\Xi (\subseteq X_{\mathbb{C}})$. For a domain $D \subseteq X_{\mathbb{C}}$ we denote by $DK_{\mathbb{C}}$ its preimage in $G_{\mathbb{C}}$.

In [3] we observed that a $G \times K_{\mathbb{C}}$ -invariant domain of definition of f_v , say $D_v \subseteq G_{\mathbb{C}}$, must be such that G acts properly on $D_v/K_{\mathbb{C}} \subseteq X_{\mathbb{C}}$. By our work with Robert J. Stanton we know that we can choose D_v such that $D_v \supseteq \Xi K_{\mathbb{C}}$ (see [4], [5]). Therefore it is useful to classify all G-domains $\Xi \subseteq D \subseteq X_{\mathbb{C}}$ with proper action. As it turns out, they allow a simple description. We extract from theorems below:

Theorem 0.2. Let $\Xi \subseteq D \subseteq X_{\mathbb{C}}$ be a *G*-invariant domain on which *G* acts properly. Then:

(i) If G is not of Hermitian type, then $D = \Xi$.

 (ii) If G is of Hermitian type, then either D ⊆ Ξ⁺ or D ⊆ Ξ⁻ with Ξ⁺ and Ξ⁻ two explicite maximal domains for proper G-action.

Finally, let us emphasize that proofs in this paper are modelled after $G = Sl(2, \mathbb{R})$ which was dealt with earlier in [3].

References

- B. Krötz, Domains of holomorphy for irreducible unitary representations of simple Lie groups, arXiv:math/0608284, submitted
- [2] —, Crown theory for the upper halfplane, arXiv:0705.1243, to appear
- [3] B. Krötz and E.M. Opdam, Analysis on the crown domain, math.RT/0606213, to appear in GAFA
- [4] B. Krötz and R. Stanton, Holomorphic extensions of representations: (I) automorphic functions, Ann. Math. 159 (2004), 641–724.
- [5] —, Holomorphic extensions of representations: (II) geometry and harmonic analysis, GAFA 15 (2005), 190–245.

MAX-PLANCK-INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK, VIVATSGASSE 7, D-53111 BONN EMAIL: kroetz@mpim-bonn.mpg.de