

A NOTE ON THE CYCLE MAP
MODULO TORSION FOR POINTS

by

Hélène Esnault

Max-Planck-Institut
für Mathematik
Gottfried-Claren-Str. 26
D-5300 Bonn 3

MPI/88-17

A NOTE ON THE CYCLE MAP
MODULO TORSION FOR POINTS

by

Hélène Esnault¹

In [B], theorem (6.1), S. Bloch proves that on a surface X with $p_g = q = 0$, the degree map on the Chow group of points $CH^2(X)$ is realized as $\text{deg} : CH^2(X) = H_{\text{zar}}^2(X, \mathcal{K}_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \subset H_{\text{an}}^2(X, \mathcal{K}_2^{\text{an}})$, where \mathcal{K}_2 and $\mathcal{K}_2^{\text{an}}$ are the sheaves of K_2 groups in the Zariski and the classical topology, and where the map comes from the change of topology.

In this note we generalize this using the Deligne-Beilinson cohomology.

Let X be an algebraic proper smooth scheme of dimension n over \mathbb{C} . We consider the morphism of Zariski sheaves

$$f_{p,q} : \mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{g}}^q(p) \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{g}, \text{an}}^q(p)$$

¹supported by Heisenberg Programm;
Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik
Gottfried-Claren-Str. 26
D-5300 Bonn 3, Fed.Rep. of Germany

where $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{G}}^q(p)$ (resp. $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{G},an}^q(p)$) is the Zariski sheaf associated to the Deligne-Beilinson cohomology $H_{\mathcal{G}}^q(U,p)$ (resp. to the analytic Deligne cohomology $H_{\mathcal{G},an}^q(p)$) modulo torsion, and f_{pq} is the forgetful morphism (1.2), (1.3).

We prove that $H_{zar}^n(f_{nn})$ is the cycle map for points (modulo torsion) with values in the Deligne-Beilinson cohomology $H_{\mathcal{G}}^{2n}(X,n)$ (2.6). As f_{pp} is injective (1.3), this implies that the kernel of the Albanese map (modulo torsion) is coming from

$$H_{zar}^{n-1}(X, \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{G},an}^n(n) / \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{G}}^n(n)). \quad (2.7.1)$$

Moreover if the Betti cohomology $H^{2n-2}(X, \mathbb{Q})$ is generated by the Betti classes of algebraic cycles (which implies that $H^{n-2}(X, \Omega_X^n) = 0$ and is equivalent to it on a surface), then the kernel of the Albanese map (modulo torsion) is exactly $H_{zar}^{n-1}(X, \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{G},an}^n(n) / \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{G}}^n(n))$ (2.8).

We define a sheaf $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{G},an}^{q,an}(p)$ in the classical topology (1.6) with a natural morphism $H_{zar}^n(X, \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{G},an}^n(n)) \rightarrow H_{an}^n(X, \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{G},an}^{n,an}(n))$ which we show to be the degree map (3.2).

I thank U. Jannsen for several useful conversations.

§1. Definition of the sheaves

Through this section X is an algebraic smooth scheme over \mathbb{C} of dimension n .

1.1 For each Zariski open set U , of good compactification $j : U \rightarrow \bar{U}$ such that $\bar{U} - U$ is a normal crossing divisor, we consider on \bar{U} the complexes

$$Rj_{*}^m \Omega_U^{\bullet} = j_{*}^m \Omega_U^{\bullet} = \varinjlim_{\ell \geq 0} \Omega_U^{\bullet}(\ell \cdot (\bar{U} - U))$$

and

$$Rj_{*}^m \Omega_U^{\geq p} = j_{*}^m \Omega_U^{\geq p} = \varinjlim_{\ell \geq 0} \Omega_U^{\geq p}(\ell \cdot (\bar{U} - U))$$

where Ω_U^{\bullet} is the holomorphic de Rham complex on U and j^m is the meromorphic extension. If $\Omega_U^{\bullet}(\log(\bar{U} - U))$ denotes the holomorphic de Rham complex on U with logarithmic poles along $\bar{U} - U$, and $F^p(\log(\bar{U} - U))$ denotes its Hodge-Deligne F filtration $\Omega_U^{\geq p}(\log(\bar{U} - U))$, one has morphisms

$$(1.1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \Omega_U^{\bullet}(\log(\bar{U} - U)) &\rightarrow j_{*}^m \Omega_U^{\bullet} \\ F^p(\log(\bar{U} - U)) &\rightarrow j_{*}^m \Omega_U^{\geq p} \end{aligned}$$

by forgetting the growth condition at infinity.

1.2 We consider the following presheaves in the Zariski topology: $U \rightarrow$

$$\alpha) \quad F^p H^q(U, p) := H^q(U, \mathbb{Q}(p)) \cap F^p H^q(U, \mathbb{C})$$

$$\alpha') \quad F^p H^q(U, \mathbb{C})$$

$$\beta) \quad \text{Hol}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{pq}(U) := \{ \omega \in H^q(\bar{U}, j_{\star}^m \Omega_U^{\geq p}) \text{ such that the cohomology class of } \omega \text{ in } H^q(U, \mathbb{C}) \text{ lies in } H^q(U, \mathbb{Q}(p)) \}$$

$$\gamma) \quad H^q(U, p) := H^q(U, \mathbb{Q}(p))$$

$$\gamma') \quad H^q(U, \mathbb{C}), \quad H^q(U, \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q}(p)) = H^q(U, \mathbb{C})/H^q(U, p)$$

$$\delta) \quad H_{\mathfrak{D}}^q(U, p) := H^q(\bar{U}, \text{cone}(Rj_{\star} \mathbb{Q}(p) + F^p(\log(\bar{U} - U)))$$

$$\longrightarrow Rj_{\star} \mathbb{C}[-1]), \text{ the Deligne-Beilinson}$$

cohomology of U

$$\epsilon) \quad H_{\mathfrak{D}, \text{an}}^q(U, p) := H^q(\bar{U}, \text{cone}(Rj_{\star} \mathbb{Q}(p) + j_{\star}^m \Omega_U^{\geq p})$$

$$\longrightarrow Rj_{\star} \mathbb{C}[-1]), \text{ the analytic Deligne}$$

cohomology of U .

The morphisms (1.1.1) define forgetful morphisms

$$f_{pq} : H_{\mathfrak{D}}^q(U, p) \longrightarrow H_{\mathfrak{D}, \text{an}}^q(U, p)$$

$$g_{pq} : F^p H^q(U, p) \longrightarrow \text{Hol}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{pq}(U)$$

For $p = q$, f_{pp} and g_{pp} are injective ([E], (1.1)).

1.3 Denote by $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{pq}$, $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathbb{C}}^{pq}$, $\Omega_{\mathbb{Q}}^{pq}$, $\mathfrak{K}^q(p)$, $\mathfrak{K}^q(\mathbb{C})$, $\mathfrak{K}^q(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q}(p))$, $\mathfrak{K}_{\mathfrak{D}}^q(p)$, $\mathfrak{K}_{\mathfrak{D}, \text{an}}^q(p)$ the Zariski sheaves associated to $\alpha, \alpha', \beta, \gamma, \gamma', \delta, \epsilon$.

The morphisms of sheaves

$$f_{pq} : \mathfrak{K}_{\mathfrak{D}}^q(p) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{K}_{\mathfrak{D}, \text{an}}^q(p)$$

$$g_{pq} : \mathfrak{F}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{pq} \longrightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{Q}}^{pq}$$

are injective for $p = q$ (1.2).

1.4 By [E], (1.1) one has a commutative diagram of exact sequences:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{X}^{p-1}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q}(p)) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{X}_{\mathfrak{D}}^p(p) & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{F}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{pp} \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \parallel & & \downarrow \begin{array}{l} f_{pp} \\ g_{pp} \end{array} & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{X}^{p-1}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q}(p)) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{X}_{\mathfrak{D},an}^p(p) & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{\mathbb{Q}}^{pp} \longrightarrow 0
 \end{array}$$

By [B.O] (2.3), (0.3) one has $H_{zar}^{p-1+\ell}(X, \mathbb{X}^{p-1}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q}(p))) = 0$ for $\ell > 0$, and by $[\bar{b}]$ one has: $H_{zar}^q(\mathbb{X}_{\mathfrak{D}}^p(p)) = 0$ for $q > p$, $H_{zar}^p(X, \mathbb{X}_{\mathfrak{D}}^p(p)) = CH^p(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$, the Chow group of codimension p cycles modulo torsion. Therefore one has

Lemma 1)
$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 H_{zar}^p(X, \mathbb{X}_{\mathfrak{D}}^p(p)) = H_{zar}^p(X, \mathfrak{F}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{pp}) & & \\
 \downarrow H_{zar}^p(f_{pp}) = H_{zar}^p(g_{pp}) & & \downarrow \\
 H_{zar}^p(X, \mathbb{X}_{\mathfrak{D},an}^p(p)) = H_{zar}^p(X, \Omega_{\mathbb{Q}}^{pp}) & &
 \end{array}$$

2) $H_{zar}^q(X, \mathfrak{F}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{pp}) = 0$ for $q > p$.

1.5

Lemma One has

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 \mathbb{X}^{n+\ell}(k) = \mathbb{X}^{n+\ell}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q}(k)) = 0 & \ell \geq 1 \\
 \mathfrak{F}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{n,n+\ell} = \mathfrak{F}_{\mathbb{C}}^{n,n+\ell} = 0 & \ell \geq 1 \\
 \mathbb{X}_{\mathfrak{D}}^{n+1}(n) = \mathbb{X}^n(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q}(n)) / \mathfrak{F}_{\mathbb{C}}^{n,n} \\
 \mathbb{X}_{\mathfrak{D}}^{n+\ell}(n) = 0 & \ell \geq 2 \\
 \Omega_{\mathbb{Q}}^{n,n+\ell} = \mathbb{X}_{\mathfrak{D},an}^{n+\ell}(n) = 0 & \ell \geq 1
 \end{array}$$

Proof. As each point has a fundamental system of affine neighbourhoods, one has

$\mathbb{H}^{n+\ell}(k) = \mathbb{H}^{n+\ell}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q}(k)) = \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{n, n+\ell} = \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{n, n+\ell} = 0$ for $\ell \geq 1$. This implies, via the exact sequence on each Zariski open set U

$$0 \rightarrow H^{n+\ell-1}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q}(n))/F^n \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{g}}^{n+\ell}(n) \rightarrow F^n H^{n+\ell}(n) \rightarrow 0$$

that $\mathbb{H}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{n+1}(n) = \mathbb{H}^n(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q}(n))/\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{n, n}$

and that $\mathbb{H}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{n+\ell}(n) = 0$ for $\ell \geq 2$.

For U affine, one has $H^{n+\ell}(\bar{U}, j_{\star}^m \Omega^{\geq n}) = H^{\ell}(\bar{U}, j_{\star}^m \Omega^n) = 0$ for $\ell \geq 1$, and therefore $\Omega_{\mathbb{Q}}^{n, n+\ell} = 0$ $\ell \geq 1$. On the other hand, for U affine, one has surjections

$$H^n(\bar{U}, j_{\star}^m \Omega^{\geq n}) = H^0(\bar{U}, j_{\star}^m \Omega^n) \twoheadrightarrow H^n(U, \mathbb{C}) \twoheadrightarrow H^n(U, \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q}(n))$$

This implies, via the exact sequence on each Zariski open set U

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 0 \rightarrow H^{n+\ell-1}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q}(n))/H^{n+\ell-1}(j_{\star}^m \Omega^{\geq n}) & \rightarrow & H_{\mathfrak{g}, \text{an}}^{n+\ell}(n) \\ & & \downarrow \\ & & \text{Hol}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{n, n+\ell} \\ & & \downarrow \\ & & 0 \end{array}$$

that $\mathbb{H}_{\mathfrak{g}, \text{an}}^{n+\ell}(n) = 0$ for $\ell \geq 1$.

1.6 If U is a Zariski open set, we may also define $H_{\mathcal{O}, \text{an}}^{q, \text{an}}(U, p) := H^q(U, \text{cone}(\mathcal{O}(p) + \Omega_U^{\geq p}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[-1])$. The morphism $j_* \Omega_U^{\geq p} \rightarrow j_* \Omega_U^{\geq p}$ defines a morphism $H_{\mathcal{O}, \text{an}}^{q, \text{an}}(U, p) \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{O}, \text{an}}^{q, \text{an}}(U, p)$, and therefore a morphism from $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{O}, \text{an}}^q(p)$ to the Zariski sheaf associated to $H_{\mathcal{O}, \text{an}}^{q, \text{an}}(U, p)$.

As $H_{\mathcal{O}, \text{an}}^{q, \text{an}}(U, p)$ is also defined in the classical topology, we denote by $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{O}, \text{an}}^{q, \text{an}}(p)$ the associated sheaf in the classical topology. Recall that $\dim X = n$.

Lemma.

If $n = 1$, one has $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{O}, \text{an}}^{1, \text{an}}(1) = \mathcal{O}^*/\text{torsion}$, the sheaf of holomorphic invertible functions modulo torsion, quasi isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}/\mathbb{Q}(1)$ via the exponential map. If $n > 1$, one has $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{O}, \text{an}}^{n, \text{an}}(n) = \Omega^n$, the sheaf of holomorphic n -forms. Especially if X is proper one has if $n = 1$ $H_{\text{an}}^1(X, \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{O}, \text{an}}^{1, \text{an}}(1)) = \text{CH}^1(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$, the Chern group of points modulo torsion, if $n > 1$ $H_{\text{an}}^n(X, \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{O}, \text{an}}^{n, \text{an}}(n)) = \mathbb{C} = H_{\text{an}}^n(X, \Omega^n)$.

Proof. For each analytic open set U , one has an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^{n-1}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q}(n-1)) \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{O}, \text{an}}^{n, \text{an}}(n) \rightarrow \{\omega \in H^0(U, \Omega^n), \text{ whose cohomology class in } H^n(U, \mathbb{C}) \text{ lies in } H^n(U, \mathbb{Q}(n))\} \rightarrow 0$$

As each point has a fundamental system of neighbourhoods U for which $H^n(U, \mathbb{C}) = 0$, the sheaf associated to the cokernel is Ω^n . If $n > 1$, then $H^{n-1}(U, \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q}(n-1)) = 0$ for a fundamental system of good neighbourhoods U of each point, and therefore $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{O}, \text{an}}^{n, \text{an}}(n) = \Omega^n$. If $n = 1$, one has on each open set

$$H_{\mathcal{O}, \text{an}}^{1, \text{an}}(U, 1) = H_{\text{an}}^1(U, \mathbb{Q}(1) \rightarrow 0) \xrightarrow[\text{exp}]{\cong} H^0(U, \mathcal{O}^*/\text{torsion})$$

§2 Description of the cycle map for points modulo torsion

Through this section, X is a proper smooth algebraic scheme of dimension n over \mathbb{C} .

2.1 Proposition. One has

$$H_{\text{zar}}^n(X, \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{G}}^n, \text{an}(n)) = H_{\mathcal{G}}^{2n}(X, n)$$

Proof. By (1.3), one has

$$H_{\text{zar}}^n(X, \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{G}}^n, \text{an}(n)) = H_{\text{zar}}^n(X, \Omega_{\mathbb{Q}}^{n,n}).$$

As each point has a fundamental system of affine neighbourhoods, one has an exact sequence of Zariski sheaves,

$$(2.1.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{Q}}^{p,p} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{C}}^{p,p} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}^p(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q}(p)) \rightarrow 0$$

where $\Omega_{\mathbb{Q}}^{p,p}$ is the Zariski sheaf associated to the presheaf $\mathbb{H}^p(\bar{U}, j_{*}^m \Omega_U^{\geq p}) = \{\omega \in H^0(\bar{U}, j_{*}^m \Omega_U^p), d\omega = 0\}$. This is the Zariski sheaf of closed holomorphic p forms on U which are meromorphic at infinity. By (1.4), $\mathcal{K}^{n+\ell}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q}(k)) = 0$ for $\ell \geq 1$, and by [B.O], (6.2), (6.3), (3.9), (2.3) one has

$$H_{\text{zar}}^{n-1}(X, \mathcal{K}^n(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q}(n))) = H^{2n-1}(X, \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q}(n))$$

$$H_{\text{zar}}^n(X, \mathcal{K}^n(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q}(n))) = H^{2n}(X, \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q}(n)) = \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q}(n)$$

and $H_{\text{zar}}^{n-2}(X, \mathbb{Z}^n(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q}(n)))$ is a quotient of $H^{2n-2}(X, \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q}(n))$.

2.1.2. Lemma

$\alpha)$ One has

$\Omega_{\text{alg}}^n = \Omega_{\mathbb{C}}^{n,n}$, where Ω_{alg}^n is the Zariski sheaf of algebraic n forms and

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\text{zar}}^q(X, \Omega_{\text{alg}}^n) &= H_{\text{zar}}^q(X, \Omega_{\mathbb{C}}^{n,n}) \\ &= F^n H^{n+q}(X, \mathbb{C}) \end{aligned}$$

$\beta)$ The map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_{\text{zar}}^q(X, \Omega_{\mathbb{C}}^{n,n}) & \longrightarrow & H_{\text{zar}}^q(X, \mathbb{Z}^n(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q}(n))) \\ || & & || \\ F^n H^{n+q}(X, \mathbb{C}) & \longrightarrow & \text{quotient of } H^{n+q}(X, \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q}(n)) \end{array}$$

for $q = n - 2, n - 1, n$ arising from (2.1.1) is the natural one.

Proof $\alpha)$ By [S], one has

$$H_{\text{zar}}^q(X, \Omega_{\text{alg}}^n) = F^n H^{n+q}(X, \mathbb{C}).$$

Applying a simplified argument a la Grothendieck, we have for the presheaves on each Zariski open set

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Gamma(U, \Omega_{\text{alg}}^n) &= \varinjlim_{\ell \geq 0} \Gamma(\bar{U}, \Omega_{\text{alg}}^n(\ell \cdot (\bar{U} - U))) \\
 &= \varinjlim_{\ell \geq 0} \Gamma(\bar{U}, \Omega_{\mathbb{C}}^{n,n}(\ell \cdot (\bar{U} - U))) \quad (\text{GAGA}) \\
 &= \Gamma(U, \Omega_{\mathbb{C}}^{n,n}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the two Zariski sheaves Ω_{alg}^n and $\Omega_{\mathbb{C}}^{n,n}$ are the same.

β) The spectral sequence $E_2^{pq} = H_{\text{zar}}^p(X, \mathcal{K}^q(\mathbb{C}))$ is coming from the second spectral sequence of hypercohomology for the algebraic de Rham cohomology [B.O], (6.9). Therefore for each Zariski open set the map $H^0(U, \Omega_{\text{alg}}^n) \rightarrow H^n(U, \Omega_{\text{alg}}^{\bullet})$, where $\Omega_{\text{alg}}^{\bullet}$ is the algebraic de Rham complex, defines the map of sheaves $\Omega_{\text{alg}}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{K}^n(\mathbb{C})$ via Grothendieck's isomorphism $H^n(U, \Omega_{\text{alg}}^{\bullet}) = H^n(U, \mathbb{C})$ [G]. This proves β).

Go back to the proof of (2.1).

The sequence (2.1.1) gives rise to an exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_{H^{2n-1}}^n(\mathbb{C}) &\xrightarrow{\alpha} H^{2n-1}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q}(n)) \rightarrow H_{\text{zar}}^n(\Omega_{\mathbb{Q}}^{n,n}) \rightarrow \\
 &\rightarrow F_{H^{2n}}^n(\mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\beta} H^{2n}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q}(n))
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore one has

$$\begin{aligned}
 H_{\text{zar}}^n(X, \Omega_{\mathbb{Q}}^{n,n}) &= H^{2n}(X, \text{cone}(\mathbb{Q}(n) + F^n \longrightarrow \Omega^{\bullet})[-1]) \quad (2.1.2) \\
 &= H_{\mathfrak{g}}^{2n}(X, n) \\
 &= H_{\text{zar}}^n(X, \mathfrak{K}_{\mathfrak{g}}^n, \text{an}(n)) \quad (1.4)
 \end{aligned}$$

2.2 Remark

As $F^n H^{2n-1}(\mathbb{C}) \cap H^{2n-1}(\mathbb{Q}(n)) = 0$, α is injective; as β is the natural map (2.1.2), β is surjective.

One has the known extension

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 \longrightarrow \frac{\text{Alb } X}{\text{torsion}} & = & H^{2n-1}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q}(n)) / F^n H^{2n-1}(\mathbb{C}) & \longrightarrow & H_{\mathfrak{g}}^{2n}(n) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Q} \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & & & & & \parallel \\
 & & & & & & H^{2n}(\mathbb{Q}(n)) \cap F^n
 \end{array}$$

2.3 Denote by alg the subgroup of $H^{2n-2}(X, \mathbb{Q})$ generated by the Betti classes of algebraic cycles in $\text{CH}^{n-1}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$.

Lemma One has

$$H_{\text{zar}}^{n-2}(X, \mathfrak{K}^n(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q}(n))) = \left(\frac{H^{2n-2}(X, \mathbb{Q})}{\text{alg}} \right) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q}(n).$$

Proof By [B.O], (6.3), (7.4) and by (1.4) one has an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H_{\text{zar}}^{n-1}(\mathfrak{K}^{n-1}(\mathbb{Q})) \longrightarrow H_{\text{an}}^{2n-2}(X, \mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow H_{\text{zar}}^{n-2}(\mathfrak{K}^n(\mathbb{Q})) \longrightarrow 0$$

$$\text{with } H_{\text{zar}}^{n-1}(\mathcal{X}^{n-1}(\mathbb{Q})) = \frac{CH^{n-1}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}}{\{\text{cycles which are algebraic equivalent to zero}\}_{\mathbb{Q}}}$$

2.4 Proposition One has

$$H_{\text{zar}}^{n-1}(X, \Omega_{\mathbb{Q}}^{n,n}) = \left(\frac{H^{2n-2}(X, \mathbb{Q})}{\text{alg}} \right) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q}(n) / F^n H^{2n-2}(X, \mathbb{C}).$$

Proof. Take the cohomology of (2.1.1) applying (2.1.2), (2.3) and the fact that α is injective (2.2).

2.5 Consider the diagram of exact sequences

(2.5.1)

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \rightarrow & \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{n,n} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{C}}^{n,n} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{C}}^{n,n} / \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{n,n} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \rightarrow & \Omega_{\mathbb{Q}}^{n,n} & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{\mathbb{C}}^{n,n} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{X}^n(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q}(n)) \rightarrow 0 & (2.1.7) \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (1.4) & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \rightarrow & \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{G}, \text{an}}^n(n) / \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{G}}^n(n) & \rightarrow & \Omega_{\mathbb{C}}^{n,n} / \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{C}}^{n,n} & \rightarrow & \mathcal{X}^n(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q}(n)) / \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{C}}^{n,n} = \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{G}}^{n+1}(n) \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \end{array} \quad (1.5)$$

The Bloch-Ogus theory for the Deligne-Beilinson cohomology $[\bar{b}]$

tells us that there is a spectral sequence

$$E_2^{p,q} = H_{\text{zar}}^p(X, \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{G}}^q(n)) \text{ converging to } H_{\mathcal{G}}^{p+q}(X, n). \text{ As } \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{G}}^{n+\ell}(n) = 0$$

for $\ell > 1$ (1.5), one obtains the following exact sequence

(2.5.2)

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\text{zar}}^{n-1}(\mathcal{X}_{\mathfrak{g}}^n(n)) &\longrightarrow H_{\mathfrak{g}}^{2n-1}(X, n) \longrightarrow H_{\text{zar}}^{n-2}(\mathcal{X}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{n+1}(n)) \longrightarrow \\ &\longrightarrow H_{\text{zar}}^n(\mathcal{X}_{\mathfrak{g}}^n(n)) \xrightarrow{\psi} H_{\mathfrak{g}}^{2n}(n) \longrightarrow H_{\text{zar}}^{n-1}(\mathcal{X}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{n+1}(n)) \end{aligned}$$

By (1.3) and [B.O] (7.4), one has a map

(2.5.3)

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\text{zar}}^{n-1}(\mathcal{X}^{n-1}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q}(n))) &= \frac{CH^{n-1}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}}{\{\text{cycles which are algebraic equivalent to zero}\}_{\mathbb{Q}}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q}(n) \\ &\longrightarrow H_{\text{zar}}^{n-1}(\mathcal{X}_{\mathfrak{g}}^n(n)) \longrightarrow H^{2n-2}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q}(n))/F^n \\ &\qquad\qquad\qquad || \\ &\qquad\qquad\qquad H_{\mathfrak{g}}^{2n-1}(n) \end{aligned}$$

2.6 The map from (2.5.2)

$$H_{\text{zar}}^{n-2}(\mathcal{X}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{n+1}(n)) \longrightarrow H_{\text{zar}}^n(\mathcal{X}_{\mathfrak{g}}^n(n)) \stackrel{(1.4)}{=} H_{\text{zar}}^n(\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{nn})$$

is coming from the cohomology of the vertical right sequence and the horizontal top sequence of (2.5.1). This implies

Theorem $H_{\text{zar}}^n(f_{nn}) = H_{\text{zar}}^n(g_{nn})$ is the cycle map
 $\psi : CH^n(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \longrightarrow H_{\mathfrak{g}}^{2n}(n).$

Proof. The first equality is (1.4)1. Let I be the image of ψ in $H_{\mathfrak{g}}^{2n}(n)$, I' be the image of $H_{\text{zar}}^n(\mathfrak{g}_{nn})$ in $H_{\mathfrak{g}}^{2n}(n)$ (2.1).

One has a commutative diagram of exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{im } H_{\text{zar}}^{n-2}(\mathfrak{g}^{n+1}(n)) \subset \text{CH}^n(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} & \longrightarrow & \text{CH}^n(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} & \longrightarrow & I \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{im } H_{\text{zar}}^{n-1}(\mathfrak{g}_{,an}^n(n)/\mathfrak{g}^n(n)) \subset \text{CH}^n(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} & \longrightarrow & \text{CH}^n(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} & \longrightarrow & I' \longrightarrow 0
 \end{array}$$

As I and I' are subgroup of $H_{\mathfrak{g}}^{2n}(n)$, one has $I = I'$.

2.7 Corollary One has

$$\begin{aligned}
 1) \text{ im } H_{\text{zar}}^{n-2}(\mathfrak{g}^{n+1}(n)) \text{ in } \text{CH}^n(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \\
 = H_{\text{zar}}^{n-1}(\mathfrak{g}_{,an}^n(n)/\mathfrak{g}^n(n)) \text{ in } \text{CH}^n(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \text{ is the kernel of } \psi
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 2) H_{\text{zar}}^{n-1}(\mathfrak{g}^{n+1}(n)) \\
 \simeq H_{\text{zar}}^n(\mathfrak{g}_{,an}^n(n)/\mathfrak{g}^n(n)) \text{ and} \\
 H_{\text{zar}}^{n-1}(\mathfrak{g}_{,an}^n(n)/\mathfrak{g}^n(n)) \text{ surjects into} \\
 H_{\text{zar}}^{n-1}(\Omega_{\mathbb{C}}^{n,n}/\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}^{n,n}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof 1) is consequence of $I = I'$, and 2) of the surjectivity of $H_{\mathfrak{g}}^{2n}(n) \rightarrow H_{\text{zar}}^{n-1}(\mathfrak{g}^{n+1}(n))$, of $H_{\text{zar}}^{n+1}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{n,n}) = 0$ (1.4.) 2. and of (2.6) and (2.5.1).

2.3. Corollary If $H^{2n-2}(X, \mathbb{Q})$ is generated by the Betti class of algebraic cycles in $\text{CH}^{n-1}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$, then one has

$$H_{\text{zar}}^{n-2}(\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{G}}^{n+1}(n)) = H_{\text{zar}}^{n-1}(\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{G}, \text{an}}^n(n)/\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{G}}^n(n))$$

and this group is the kernel of the Albanese mapping modulo torsion. Moreover in this case one has $H_{\text{zar}}^{n-1}(\Omega_{\mathbb{C}}^{n,n}/\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{C}}^{n,n}) = 0$.

Proof. By (2.4), $H_{\text{zar}}^{n-1}(\Omega_{\mathbb{Q}}^{n,n}) = 0$. Therefore by (2.6) $\text{Ker } \psi = H_{\text{zar}}^{n-1}(\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{G}, \text{an}}^n(n)/\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{G}}^n(n))$. By (2.5.3) and (2.5.2), $\text{Ker } \psi$ is also $H_{\text{zar}}^{n-2}(\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{G}}^{n+1}(n))$. Apply (2.7.2).

§3 Description of the degree map for points modulo torsion

Through this section X is an algebraic smooth proper scheme over \mathbb{C} of dimension n .

3.1 In (2.1.1) one has defined a map

$$\Omega_{\mathbb{Q}}^{n,n} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{C}}^{n,n}$$

Lemma The degree map $H_{\mathfrak{g}}^{2n}(X, n) \xrightarrow{\text{deg}} H^{2n}(X, \mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}$ is the map $H_{\text{zar}}^n(X, \Omega_{\mathbb{Q}}^{n,n}) \rightarrow H_{\text{zar}}^n(X, \Omega_{\mathbb{C}}^{n,n})$.

Proof By (2.1) one has $H_{\mathfrak{g}}^{2n}(X, n) = H_{\text{zar}}^n(X, \Omega_{\mathbb{Q}}^{n,n})$ and by (2.1.2) the map

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\text{zar}}^n(X, \Omega_{\mathbb{Q}}^{n,n}) &\rightarrow H_{\text{zar}}^n(X, \Omega_{\mathbb{C}}^{n,n}) = F^n H^{2n}(X, \mathbb{C}) \\ &= H^{2n}(X, \mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C} \end{aligned}$$

is the natural one.

3.2 Denote by \mathfrak{K} just for a moment the Zariski sheaf associated to the presheaf $H_{\mathfrak{g}, \text{an}}^{n, \text{an}}(U, n)$. The map $\mathfrak{K}_{\mathfrak{g}, \text{an}}^n(n) \rightarrow \mathfrak{K}$ (1.6) defines a map $H_{\text{zar}}^n(X, \mathfrak{K}_{\mathfrak{g}, \text{an}}^n(n)) \rightarrow H_{\text{zar}}^n(X, \mathfrak{K})$. There is also the map arising from the change of topology $H_{\text{zar}}^n(X, \mathfrak{K}) \rightarrow H_{\text{an}}^n(X, \mathfrak{K}_{\mathfrak{g}, \text{an}}^{n, \text{an}}(n))$. This gives a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 H_{\text{zar}}^n(\mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{D}, \text{an}}^n(n)) & \longrightarrow & H_{\text{zar}}^n(\mathbb{A}) & \longrightarrow & H_{\text{an}}^n(\mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{D}, \text{an}}^n(n)) \\
 \downarrow & & \delta & & \downarrow \\
 (1.4) & & & & (1.6) \\
 + & & & & \\
 (2.1.1) & & & & \\
 H_{\text{zar}}^n(\Omega_{\mathbb{C}}^{n,n}) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & & \xrightarrow{\sim} & H_{\text{an}}^n(\Omega^n) \\
 & & (2.1.2)\alpha & &
 \end{array}$$

Theorem The map of change of topology δ is the degree map for $n > 1$ and the identity if $n = 1$.

Proof. Just apply (3.1) on the left vertical arrow and (1.6) on the right vertical arrow for $n > 1$, and (1.6) for $n = 1$.

REFERENCES

- [\bar{b}] A. BEILINSON: Higher regulators and values of L functions, Sov. Prob. Math. 24, Moscow Viniti (1984), translation in J. Soviet Math. 30, 2036-2060 (1985).
- [B] S. BLOCH: Lectures on Algebraic Cycles, Publ. Duke University, Durham, (1980).
- [BO] S. BLOCH, A. OGUS: Gersten's conjecture and the homology of schemes, Ann. Sc. Ec. Norm. Sup. 4 série, t 7, 181-202 (1974).
- [E] H. ESNAULT: On the Loday Symbol in the Deligne-Beilinson cohomology, MPI Preprint 88-8.
- [G] A. GROTHENDIECK: On the De Rham cohomology of algebraic varieties, Publ. Math. IHES, 29, 95-103 (1966).
- [S] J.P. SERRE: Géométrie algébrique et géométrie analytique, Ann. Inst. Fourier, 6 (1956).