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#### Abstract

The length of an element $z$ of a Lie algebra $L$ is defined as the smallest number $s$ needed to represent $z$ as a sum of $s$ brackets. The bracket width of $L$ is defined as supremum of the lengths of its elements. Given a finite-dimensional simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ over an algebraically closed field $\mathbb{k}$ of characteristic zero, we study the bracket width of current Lie algebras $L=\mathfrak{g} \otimes A$. We show that for an arbitrary $A$ the width is at most 2 . For $A=\mathbb{k}[[t]]$ and $A=\mathbb{k}[t]$ we compute the width for algebras of types A and C .


## 1. Introduction

Given a Lie algebra $L$ over an infinite field $\mathbb{k}$, we define its bracket width as the supremum of lengths $\ell(z)$, where $z$ runs over the derived algebra $[L, L]$ and $\ell(z)$ is defined as the smallest number $n$ of Lie brackets $\left[x_{i}, y_{i}\right]$ needed to represent $z$ in the form $z=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[x_{i}, y_{i}\right]$.

There are many examples of Lie algebras of bracket width strictly bigger than one, see, e.g., [Rom16]. However, the width of any finite-dimensional complex simple Lie algebras is equal to one [Br63]. For finite-dimensional simple real Lie algebras the problem of existence of an algebra of width greater than one is still wide open, see [Ak15].

The first examples of simple Lie algebras of bracket width greater than one were found only recently in [DKR21, Theorem A] among complex infinite-dimensional algebras. Namely, they appeared among Lie algebras of vector fields $\operatorname{Vec}(C)$ on smooth affine curves $C$ with trivial tangent bundle, which are simple by [Jo86] and [Si96, Proposition 1]. More recently, it was proved in [MR23] that the bracket width of such Lie algebras is less than or equal to three, and if in addition $C$ is a plane curve with the unique place at infinity, the bracket width of $\operatorname{Vec}(C)$ equals two.

In the present paper, we study the bracket width of another class of infinite-dimensional Lie algebras, namely current Lie algebras.

Let $\mathbb{k}$ be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero, $\mathfrak{g}$ be a finite-dimensional simple Lie $\mathbb{k}$-algebra, $A$ be a commutative associative $\mathbb{k}$-algebra with the identity. The current algebra corresponding to $\mathfrak{g}$ and $A$ is defined as the tensor product $\mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\mathfrak{k}} A$ with the bracket

$$
[x \otimes a, y \otimes b]:=[x, y] \otimes a b
$$

With respect to this bracket $\mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\mathfrak{k}} A$ is a Lie algebra.
Our first result provides an upper estimate for the bracket width of an arbitrary current algebra.

[^0]Theorem 1. The bracket width of $\mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\mathfrak{k}} A$ is less than or equal to 2 .
The main object of our interest is the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} A$ where $A=\mathbb{k}[[t]]$ is the algebra of formal power series. In this case we expect a more precise statement.

Conjecture 2. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a finite-dimensional simple Lie algebra. Then the bracket width of $\mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} \mathbb{k}[[t]]$ is equal to 2 if $\mathfrak{g}$ is of type $\mathrm{A}_{n}$ or $\mathrm{C}_{n}(n \geq 2)$ and to 1 otherwise.

Our results partially confirm this expectation.

## Theorem 3.

(i) The bracket width of $\mathfrak{s l}_{2} \otimes \mathbb{k}[[t]]$ is equal to 1 .
(ii) If $\mathfrak{g}$ is of type $\mathrm{A}_{n}$ or $\mathrm{C}_{n}(n \geq 2)$, the bracket width of $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{k}[[t]]$ is equal to 2 .

Some arguments supporting the conjecture for the types other than $\mathrm{A}_{n}$ or $\mathrm{C}_{n}$ will be given later, in Section 3.

We deduce from (the proof of) Theorem 3 some results on other current algebras.
Corollary 4. Let $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{s l}_{n}$ or $\mathfrak{s p}_{2 n}(n \geq 2)$. Then for $A=\mathbb{k}[t]$ the width of $\mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} A$ is equal to 2.

This statement can be generalized to a wider class of rings $A$ as follows.
Corollary 5. Let $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{s l}_{n}$ or $\mathfrak{s p}_{2 n}(n \geq 2)$. Let $A$ be a ring containing an ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ such that the quotient $\bar{A}=A / \mathfrak{a}$ is a two-dimensional $\mathfrak{k}$-algebra. Then the width of $\mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\mathfrak{k}} A$ is equal to 2.

## 2. Proofs

We begin with the following general statement on finite-dimensional simple Lie algebras [BN11, Theorem 26].
Proposition 6. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a simple finite-dimensional Lie algebra defined over an arbitrary infinite field of characteristic not 2 or 3 . Then there exist $w_{1}, w_{2} \in \mathfrak{g}$ such that

$$
\mathfrak{g}=\left[w_{1}, \mathfrak{g}\right]+\left[w_{2}, \mathfrak{g}\right] .
$$

This immediately implies Theorem 1.
Proof of Theorem 1. Consider a linear basis of $A, A=\left\langle 1=a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots\right\rangle$. We have

$$
\mathfrak{g} \otimes A=\mathfrak{g} \otimes 1 \oplus \mathfrak{g} \otimes a_{1} \oplus \mathfrak{g} \otimes a_{2} \oplus \ldots
$$

Any element $z$ of $\mathfrak{g} \otimes A$ can be written in the form $z=\sum_{i=0}^{k} z_{i} \otimes \alpha_{i} a_{i}$ with $z_{i} \in \mathfrak{g}, \alpha_{i} \in \mathbb{k}$. By Proposition 6, for every $z_{i}$ there exist $x_{i}, y_{i} \in \mathfrak{g}$ such that

$$
z_{i}=\left[w_{1}, x_{i}\right]+\left[w_{2}, y_{i}\right] .
$$

Thus, we have:

$$
z=\sum_{i=0}^{k} z_{i} \otimes \alpha_{i} a_{i}=\left[w_{1}, \sum_{i=0}^{k} x_{i} \otimes \alpha_{i} a_{i}\right]+\left[w_{2}, \sum_{i=0}^{k} y_{i} \otimes \alpha_{i} a_{i}\right] .
$$

This completes the proof.
Proof of Theorem 3. Our first step consists in reformulating the property of $L:=\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{k}[[t]]$ to be of bracket width one as some condition on $\mathfrak{g}$.

## Proposition 7.

(i) The bracket width of $L$ is equal to 1 if and only if $\mathfrak{g}$ satisfies the following condition (*): every nonzero element $c \in \mathfrak{g}$ can be represented as a bracket of elements without common centralizer, i.e. there exist $a, b \in \mathfrak{g}$ such that $c=[a, b]$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{\mathfrak{g}}(a) \cap C_{\mathfrak{g}}(b)=(0) . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) Assume that $A$ satisfies the conditions of Corollary 5. Then condition (*) is necessary for $\mathfrak{g} \otimes A$ to be of bracket width 1 .

The following simple lemma is needed for the proof of Proposition 7.
Lemma 8. Condition (1) is equivalent to the following one:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{im}(\operatorname{ad} a)+\operatorname{im}(\operatorname{ad} b)=\mathfrak{g} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof of Lemma 8. Let (, ) denote the Killing form on $\mathfrak{g}$, and let $V \subset \mathfrak{g}$ denote the orthogonal complement to $\operatorname{im}(\operatorname{ad} a)+\operatorname{im}(\operatorname{ad} b)$.

Suppose that condition (1) holds and prove (2). Assume to the contrary that (2) does not hold, i.e. $V \neq(0)$. Let $d$ be a nonzero element of $V$. Then for any $e \in \mathfrak{g}$ we have $([e, a], d)=$ $([e, b], d)=0$. As the Killing form is invariant, this gives $(e,[a, d])=(e,[b, d])=0$. Since $e$ is an arbitrary element of $\mathfrak{g}$ and the Killing form is non-degenerate, we have $[a, d]=[b, d]=0$, i.e. $d$ centralizes both $a$ and $b$, contradiction.

Conversely, suppose that condition (2) holds and prove (1). Assume to the contrary that $C_{\mathfrak{g}}(a) \cap C_{\mathfrak{g}}(b) \neq(0)$. Let $d \neq 0$ centralize both $a$ and $b$. Then the same argument as above shows that $d \in V$, contradiction.
Proof of Proposition 7. (i) Suppose that $\mathfrak{g}$ satisfies condition (*) and show that the bracket width of $L=\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{k}[[t]]$ is equal to 1 . Let

$$
z=z_{0}+z_{1} \otimes t+z_{2} \otimes t^{2}+\ldots, \quad z_{i} \in \mathfrak{g}
$$

be an arbitrary element of $L$. We want to represent it as $z=[x, y]$ where

$$
x=x_{0}+x_{1} \otimes t+x_{2} \otimes t^{2}+\ldots, \quad y=y_{0}+y_{1} \otimes t+y_{2} \otimes t^{2}+\ldots, \quad x_{i}, y_{i} \in \mathfrak{g}
$$

which gives the equation

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i+j=k}\left[x_{i}, y_{j}\right] \otimes t^{k}=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} z_{k} \otimes t^{k}
$$

which, in turn, yields the system of equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[x_{0}, y_{0}\right] } & =z_{0} \\
{\left[x_{0}, y_{1}\right]+\left[x_{1}, y_{0}\right] } & =z_{1} \\
& \cdots \\
{\left[x_{0}, y_{k}\right]+\left[x_{k}, y_{0}\right] } & =z_{k}-\sum_{i=1}^{k-1}\left[x_{i}, y_{k-i}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Without loss of generality we can assume $z_{0} \neq 0$. Condition $(*)$ together with Lemma 8 allows one to find $x_{0}, y_{0}$ and then $x_{1}, y_{1}$. By induction, we find all other $x_{k}$ and $y_{k}$.

Conversely, assuming that the bracket width of $L$ equals 1 , looking at the zeroth and first equations of the above system and applying Lemma 8 once again, we conclude that condition $(*)$ holds in $\mathfrak{g}$.
(ii) Suppose that $A$ satisfies the conditions of Corollary 5 and that the width of $\mathfrak{g} \otimes A$ is equal to 1 . We have to show that condition $(*)$ holds. We argue as in the necessity part of the proof of (i). Namely, let $\{1, \bar{t}\}$ be a linear basis of $\bar{A}=A / \mathfrak{a}$, and fix a preimage $t$ of $\bar{t}$. Let $z=z_{0} \otimes 1+z_{1} \otimes t$ be an element of $\mathfrak{g} \otimes A$ with $z_{0} \neq 0$. Any such $z$ can be represented in the form $z=[x, y]$ with

$$
x=x_{0}+x_{1} \otimes t+\sum_{i \geq 2} x_{i} \otimes a_{i}, \quad y=y_{0}+y_{1} \otimes t+\sum_{i \geq 2} y_{i} \otimes b_{i}
$$

with $a_{i}, b_{i} \in \mathfrak{a}$. We then arrive at the system consisting of the first two equations in (3). By Lemma 8, condition ( $*$ ) holds in $\mathfrak{g}$.

We now continue the proof of Theorem 3 using the criterion obtained in Proposition 7.
Proof of Theorem 3 (i). This case is easy because any element $c$ of $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{s l}_{2}$ is either nilpotent or semisimple.

First assume that $c$ is nilpotent. We can use the natural representation of $\mathfrak{g}$ and write $c=$ $e=\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right)$. Take $a=h / 2=\operatorname{diag}(1 / 2,-1 / 2), b=c$. We obtain $[a, b]=c, C_{\mathfrak{g}}(a)=\operatorname{span}(a)$, $C_{\mathfrak{g}}(b)=\operatorname{span}(b)$, so that $C_{\mathfrak{g}}(a) \cap C_{\mathfrak{g}}(b)=(0)$.

Let now $c$ be semisimple, write $c=h=\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1\end{array}\right)$. Take $a=e=\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right), b=\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right)$. We obtain $[a, b]=c, C_{\mathfrak{g}}(a)=\operatorname{span}(a), C_{\mathfrak{g}}(b)=\operatorname{span}(b)$, so that $C_{\mathfrak{g}}(a) \cap C_{\mathfrak{g}}(b)=(0)$, as above. Condition $(*)$ is satisfied, hence the bracket width of $L$ is equal to 1 , as claimed.
Proof of Theorem 3 (ii). We have to prove that for $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{s l}_{n}(n \geq 3)$ and $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{s p}_{2 n}(n \geq 2)$ the bracket width of $L$ is greater than 1 . Together with the upper estimate from Theorem 1 this will imply that the width is equal to 2 .

We thus have to prove that $\mathfrak{g}$ does not satisfy condition $(*)$. This means that we have to exhibit an element $c$ such that any $a, b$ with $[a, b]=c$ have a nonzero common centralizer. We shall choose $c$ to be a rank 1 matrix in the natural representation of $\mathfrak{g}$. This will allow us to apply the following general lemma from linear algebra.

Lemma 9. [Gu79] Let $A, B$ be square matrices such that $\operatorname{rk}(A B-B A) \leq 1$. Then one can simultaneously conjugate $A$ and $B$ to upper triangular form.
Remark 10. See [EG02, Lemma 12.7] for an alternative proof of Guralnick's lemma (attributed to Rudakov).

We now go over to a more general set-up, using the notion of almost commuting scheme of $\mathfrak{g}$, see [GG06], [Lo21]. First, let us define it for $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{s l}_{n}$.

Let $R$ denote the vector space $\mathfrak{s l}_{n}^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{n} \oplus\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)^{*}$. The subscheme $M_{n} \subset R$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{(x, y, i, j) \in \mathfrak{s l}_{n}^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{n} \oplus\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)^{*} \mid[x, y]+i j=0\right\} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and is called the almost commuting scheme of $\mathfrak{s l}_{n}$.
In a similar way, for $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{s p}_{2 n}$ we consider its natural representation $\mathbb{C}^{2 n}$, identify $S^{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{2 n}\right)$ with $\mathfrak{s p}_{2 n}$ and thus view $i^{2} \in S^{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{2 n}\right)$ as an element of $\mathfrak{s p}_{2 n}$. The almost commuting scheme
$X_{n}$ of $\mathfrak{s p}_{2 n}$ is then defined similarly to (4):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.X_{n}:=\{(x, y, i)\} \in \mathfrak{s p}_{2 n}^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{2 n} \mid[x, y]+i^{2}=0\right\} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that both varieties carry a natural action of $G=\mathrm{SL}_{n}$ or $\mathrm{Sp}_{2 n}$, respectively. Say, $G=\mathrm{SL}_{n}$ acts on $M_{n}$ by the formula

$$
g(x, y, i, j)=\left(g x g^{-1}, g y g^{-1}, g i, j g^{-1}\right)
$$

Note that such an action on $M_{n}$ is well-defined since $\left(g x g^{-1}, g y g^{-1}, g i, j g^{-1}\right) \in M_{n}$ whenever $(x, y, i, j) \in M_{n}$ as the following computations show:

$$
\left[g x g^{-1}, g y g^{-1}\right]+g i j g^{-1}=g[x, y] g^{-1}+g i j g^{-1}=g(-i j) g^{-1}+g i j g^{-1}=0 .
$$

We have the following generalization of Guralnick's lemma, see [EG02, Lemma 12.7] and [Lo21, Lemma 2.1].
Lemma 11. Let $(x, y, i, j) \in M_{n}$ (resp. $\left.(x, y, i) \in X_{n}\right)$. Then there is a Borel subalgebra $\mathfrak{b}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ that contains both $x$ and $y$.

We continue the proof of Theorem 3(ii). In our new notation, we have to prove that given any $(a, b, i, j) \in M_{n}$ (resp. $\left.(a, b, i) \in X_{n}\right)$, the elements $a$ and $b$ have nonzero common centralizer in $\mathfrak{g}$.

First suppose that both $a$ and $b$ are nilpotent. Then they are both contained in the nilradical $\mathfrak{n}$ of $\mathfrak{b}$. Since $\mathfrak{n}$ is nilpotent, its centre is nontrivial, and any its element is a common centralizer of $a$ and $b$.

So assume that at least one of $a$ and $b$ is not nilpotent and consider the orbit $O=$ $G(a, b, i, j)$ (resp. $G(a, b, i))$. For the sake of brevity, in both cases we denote it by $O_{a, b}$.

In the sequel, we shall use $Q_{\mathfrak{g}}$ as a common notation for $M_{n}$ and $X_{n}$. Lemma 11 implies the following description of closed orbits in $Q_{\mathfrak{g}}$.
Lemma 12. The orbit $O_{a, b}$ is closed if and only if $a$ and $b$ are commuting semisimple elements.

Proof. Case A: By Guralnick's Lemma, it is sufficient to consider the case of upper triangular matrices. But then the orbit of a one-parameter group of matrices (the one-parameter torus corresponding to the coweight $2 \rho^{\vee}$ )

$$
\left\{T_{t}:=\operatorname{diag}\left(t^{n-1}, t^{n-3}, \ldots, t^{-n+1}\right) \mid t \in \mathbb{k}^{*}\right\}
$$

is a quasi-affine subvariety

$$
\left\{\left(T_{t} a T_{t}^{-1}, T_{t} b T_{t}^{-1}, T_{t} i, j T_{t}^{-1}\right) \mid t \in \mathbb{k}^{*}\right\} \subset Q_{\mathfrak{g}}
$$

which contains $\left(a_{s}, b_{s}, 0,0\right)$ in its closure. This proves the statement for $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{s l}_{n}$.
Case C: A similar argument works in the case of $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{s p}_{2 n}$ (see also [Lo21, Corollary 2.2]).

By Lemmas 11 and 12, we may assume that the closure of $O_{a, b}$ contains the closed orbit $O_{a_{s}, b_{s}}$ where $a_{s}$ and $b_{s}$ are commuting diagonal matrices.

Following [Lo21, Section 2.2], denote by $\mathfrak{l}$ the common centralizer in $\mathfrak{g}$ of the (commuting) elements $a_{s}$ and $b_{s}$, it is a Levi subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$. Denote by $L$ the corresponding Levi subgroup of $G$.

We are going to apply Luna's slice theorem [Lu73]. We will use the exposition of the slice method from lecture notes by Kraft $[\mathrm{Kr} 15]$. So let $X=Q_{\mathfrak{g}}, O=O_{a_{s}, b_{s}}$, then the almost
commuting scheme $Q_{\mathfrak{l}}$ of $\mathfrak{l}$ is the required étale slice $S$ (see [Lo21, Lemma 2.4]), so that in an étale neighbourhood of $O$ we have an excellent morphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi: G \times{ }^{L} S \rightarrow X \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

taking the image $[g, s] \in G \times{ }^{L} S$ of the pair $(g, s) \in G \times S$ to $g s$; in particular, $\varphi$ is étale, and its image is affine and open in $X$, see [ Kr 15 , Theorem 4.3.2].

Since $L$ is a reductive group of the form $\prod_{i=1}^{k} \mathrm{GL}_{n_{i}} \times \mathrm{Sp}_{2 n_{0}}$ where each of the first $k$ factors necessarily has a nontrivial centre, $S=Q_{\mathrm{l}}$ is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{C}^{2 k} \times \prod_{i=1}^{k} M_{n_{i}} \times X_{n_{0}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $n_{i}$ correspond to the partition rk $L=n_{0}+n_{1}+\cdots+n_{k}$ with $n_{0} \geq 0, n_{i}>0$ $(i=1, \ldots, k)$, and $\mathbb{C}^{2 k}$ is identified with $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{l})^{\oplus 2}$, see [Lo21, 2.2].

The presence of the nontrivial $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{l})$ is of critical importance: it guarantees the existence of a pair $\left(z, z^{\prime}\right) \in \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{l})^{\oplus 2}$ with nonzero components each of those centralizes both $x_{s}$ and $y_{s}$ (of course, the simplest choice is $z=x_{s}, z^{\prime}=y_{s}$ ).

Thus for any element of

$$
Q_{\mathfrak{l}} \subset \oplus_{i=1}^{k}\left(\mathfrak{g l}_{n_{i}}^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{2 n_{i}} \oplus\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{2 n_{i}}\right) \oplus \mathfrak{s p}_{2 n_{0}}^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{2 n_{0}}
$$

of the form

$$
\left(x_{n_{1}}, y_{n_{1}}, i_{n_{1}}, j_{n_{1}}, \ldots, x_{n_{k}}, y_{n_{k}}, i_{n_{k}}, j_{n_{k}}, x_{n_{0}}, y_{n_{0}}, i_{n_{0}}\right)
$$

the elements $x=\left(x_{n_{1}}, \ldots, x_{n_{k}}, x_{n_{0}}\right), y=\left(y_{n_{1}}, \ldots, y_{n_{k}}, y_{n_{0}}\right) \in \oplus_{i=1}^{k} \mathfrak{g l}_{n_{i}} \oplus \mathfrak{s p}_{2 n_{0}}$ have a nonzero common centralizer.

Given any finite-dimensional simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, denote by $F_{\mathfrak{g}}$ the set of pairs $(x, y) \in \mathfrak{g}^{\oplus 2}$ such that $x$ and $y$ have a nonzero common centralizer, and let $U_{\mathfrak{g}}:=\mathfrak{g}^{\oplus 2} \backslash F_{\mathfrak{g}}$ denote its complement.

The following lemma is a variation on a theme of Arzhantsev [Ar24, Section 5].
Lemma 13. The set $U_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is open and Zariski dense in $\mathfrak{g}^{\oplus 2}$.
Proof. First fix a pair $(a, b) \in \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}$ and define a linear map $T_{a, b}: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}$ by

$$
T_{a, b}(x)=([a, x],[b, x])
$$

Let now $V$ denote the vector space of linear maps $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}$. Define $\psi: \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow V$ by $\psi(a, b)=T_{a, b}$, it is a linear map. Let $W \subset V$ denote the set of maps of maximal rank, it is open in $V$. Consider the preimage $\psi^{-1}(W)$. Note that

$$
\operatorname{ker} T_{a, b}=C_{\mathfrak{g}}(a) \cap C_{\mathfrak{g}}(b)
$$

Hence we have $\psi^{-1}(W)=U_{\mathfrak{g}}$ because if $a$ and $b$ have a non-zero common centralizer, then $\operatorname{ker} T_{a, b} \neq 0$ and therefore the rank of $T_{a, b}$ is strictly less than $\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{g}$. Thus $U_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is open in $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}$ as the preimage of an open set. It remains to note that $U_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is non-empty. Indeed (see [Ar24, Remark 3]), any simple finite-dimensional Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is two-generated and centreless, so that any pair of generators $(a, b)$ belongs to $U_{\mathfrak{g}}$. The lemma is proven.

Let $F_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\prime}:=F_{\mathfrak{g}} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{2 n}$, embed it into $\mathfrak{g}^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{2 n}$ and define $F_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\prime \prime}:=F_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\prime} \cap Q_{\mathfrak{g}}$.
By Lemma 13, $U_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is open and Zariski dense in $\mathfrak{g}^{\oplus 2}$. Hence $U_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\prime}:=U_{\mathfrak{g}} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{2 n}$, embedded into $\mathfrak{g}^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{2 n}$, is also open. Therefore $F_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\prime}$ is closed in $\mathfrak{g}^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{2 n}$, and thus $F_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\prime \prime}$ is closed in $Q_{\mathfrak{g}}$.

We wish to prove that $F_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\prime \prime}=C_{\mathfrak{g}}$. This will establish the statement (ii) of the theorem.

Assume to the contrary that there exists a quadruple $(x, y, i, j) \in M_{n}$ (resp. a triple $\left.(x, y, i) \in X_{n}\right)$ such that $(x, y) \notin F_{\mathfrak{g}}$. Consider the morphism $\varphi$ defined in (6). As said, its image is open. On the other hand, for all elements $(x, y, i, j)$ (resp. ( $x, y, i$ )) lying in this image we have $(x, y) \in F_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\prime \prime}$ because the corresponding property to have a nonzero common centralizer holds in $S=Q_{\mathrm{l}}$, as said above. Since the image of $\varphi$ is open, the closure of $F_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\prime \prime}$ is $X=Q_{\mathfrak{g}}$, contradiction. This proves the statement.

Corollary 5 now follows from Theorem 1, the proof of Theorem 3(ii) and Proposition 7(ii). Since $A=\mathbb{k}[t]$ satisfies the conditions of Corollary 5 with $\mathfrak{a}=t^{2} \mathbb{k}[t]$, Corollary 4 follows as well.

## 3. Concluding Remarks

We finish with some remarks on what was not done and what should (and hopefully will) be done in the near future.

- The first tempting goal is to settle the remaining cases of Conjecture 2. By Theorem 1 , the width of $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{k}[t]]]$ is at most 2 . To prove that it is equal to 2 , we have to exhibit an element of $c \in \mathfrak{g}$ such that for every representation $c=[a, b]$ the elements $a$ and $b$ have a nonzero common centralizer, as in the proof of Theorem 3(ii). There we took an element $c$ of the minimal nonzero nilpotent orbit $\mathbb{O}_{\text {min }}$ (it is well known that there exists a unique such orbit [CM93, Theorem 4.3.3 and Remark 4.3.4]) and used simultaneous triangularization of $a$ and $b$. However, this method breaks down for all types other than $\mathrm{A}_{n}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{n}$ as shown by Losev in [Lo21, Remark 2.3]: in simple algebras of all those types there are elements $c=[a, b] \in \mathbb{O}_{\text {min }}$ such that $a$ and $b$ do not lie in a common Borel subalgebra. This gives a certain evidence that these algebras are of width 1.
- It would be interesting to look at other current algebras. Say, by Theorem 1 it is known that the bracket width of $\mathfrak{s l}_{2} \otimes \mathbb{k}[t]$ is less than or equal to 2 . Although we know that $\mathfrak{s l}_{2} \otimes \mathbb{k}[[t]]$ has bracket width 1 , we still do not know the bracket width of $\mathfrak{s l}_{2} \otimes \mathbb{k}[t]$.
- In a similar vein, it would be interesting to compute the width of the loop algebras $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{k}\left[t, t^{-1}\right]$.
- Our final remark concerns the parallel results on the width of the finite-dimensional Lie $R$-algebras $\mathfrak{g l}_{n}(R)$ obtained for various rings $R$ in a slightly different context. Namely, it is known that the width of such a Lie algebra is at most 2. This was first proved by Amitsur and Rowen [AR94] for division rings $R$ and then generalized to arbitrary commutative rings [Ros97] (and even to non-commutative rings [Me06]). This looks like an almost full analogue of our Theorem 1, modulo the transition from $\mathfrak{g l}_{n}$ to $\mathfrak{s l}_{n}$, which may be a non-trivial task, see [St18] (in the latter paper it is also shown that the width of $\mathfrak{s l}_{n}(R)$ is equal to 1 if $R$ is a principal ideal domain).

However, none of these results implies the other: the bracket width of the infinitedimensional Lie $\mathbb{k}$-algebra $\mathfrak{s l}_{n} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} R$ is a priori unrelated to the bracket width of the finitedimensional $R$-algebra $\mathfrak{s l}_{n}(R)$. In light of the existing parallels, it would be interesting to compute the bracket width of the finite-dimensional simple Lie $R$-algebras $\mathfrak{g}(R)$.
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