# Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik Bonn

Cylinder counts and spin refinement of area Siegel-Veech constants

by

Jan-Willem van Ittersum
Adrien Sauvaget

Cylinder counts and spin refinement of area Siegel-Veech constants

by

Jan-Willem van Ittersum
Adrien Sauvaget

Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik
Vivatsgasse 7
53111 Bonn
Germany

Laboratoire AGM
2 avenue Adolphe Chauvin
95300 Cergy-Pontoise
France

CYLINDER COUNTS AND SPIN REFINEMENT OF AREA SIEGEL–VEECH CONSTANTS

JAN-WILLEM VAN ITTERSUM AND ADRIEN SAUVAGET

ABSTRACT. We study the area Siegel–Veech constants of components of strata of abelian differentials with even or odd spin parity. We prove that these constants may be computed using either: (I) quasimodular forms, or (II) intersection theory. These results refine the main theorems of [CMZ18] and [CMSZ20] which described the area Siegel–Veech constants of the full strata. Along the proof of (II), we establish a new identity for Siegel–Veech constants of cylinders.

CONTENTS

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. **Relations between cylinder Siegel–Veech constants.** Let g, n be non-negative integers satisfying $2g - 2 + n > 0$. Let $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ be the moduli spaces of smooth and stable complex curves of genus g with n distinct markings. We denote by $p : \overline{\mathcal{H}}_{g,n} \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ the *Hodge bundle*, i.e., the vector bundle whose fiber at the point $(C, x = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\})$ is the space $H^0(C, \omega_C)$ of abelian differentials on C. If $\mu = (m_1, \ldots, m_n)$ is a vector of positive integers satisfying

$$|\mu| \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{i=1}^{n} m_i = 2g - 2 + n,$$

then we denote by $\mathcal{H}(\mu)$ the *stratum of abelian differentials of type μ*, i.e., the subspace of $\overline{\mathcal{H}}_{g,n}$ of differentials (C, x, η) satisfying: C is smooth, and $\mathrm{ord}_{x_i}(\eta) = m_i - 1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. This space is equipped with a canonical measure ν, called the *Masur–Veech measure*. If X is a component of $\mathcal{H}(\mu)$, then we denote by

$$\mathrm{Vol}(X) = (4g - 2 + 2n) \times \nu \left\{ (C, x, \eta) \in X, \text{ s.t. } \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} \int_C \eta \wedge \bar{\eta} < 1 \right\},$$

the *Masur–Veech* volume of X. This volume is finite and rational up to a power of π by [Mas82], [Vee82], [EO01], and [EOP08].

Let (C, x, η) be a differential of type μ. The differential η defines a flat metric with trivial holonomy on $C \setminus \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$. Each x_i is a conical singularity of this metric with angle $m_i(2\pi)$. The union of closed geodesics of a given homotopy type in this open surface forms a cylinder Z whose *width* $w(Z)$ is the length of any geodesics in the homotopy class. This cylinder is bounded on each side by at least one singularity. We denote

$$\mathcal{N}(C, \eta, L)_0 = \sum_{Z \text{ s.t. } w(Z) < L} \frac{\text{area}(Z)}{\text{area}(C)},$$

$$\mathcal{N}(C, \eta, L)_{cyl} = \sum_{Z \text{ s.t. } w(Z) < L} \frac{1}{\text{area}(C)}.$$

Here we consider a refinement of the count of cylinders: for all $1 \le i \le n$, we denote

$$\mathcal{N}(C, \eta, L)_i = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{Z \text{ s.t. } w(Z) < L} \frac{f_i(Z)}{\text{area}(C)},$$

where $f_i(Z) = 0, 1$, or 2 if x_i bounds Z on $0, 1$, or 2 sides. For a connected component X of $\mathcal{H}(\mu)$, there exist constants $c_0(X), c_{cyl}(X), c_1(X), \ldots, c_n(X)$ satisfying

$$\mathcal{N}(C, \eta, L)_i \underset{L \to \infty}{\sim} c_i(X) \cdot \pi L^2$$

for almost all abelian differentials in X [Vee98, EMZ03]. These constants are elements of $\pi^{-2}\mathbb{Q}$ and may be expressed as ratios between volumes of connected components of strata (see Section 4). In [Vor05] Vorobets showed the following identity holds:

$$c_{cyl}(X) = (2g - 2 + n)c_0(X).$$

Our first theorem is a refinement of this identity.

Theorem 1.1. *For all $1 \le i \le n$, we have $c_i(X) = m_i \cdot c_0(X)$.*

Example 1.2. A standard class of abelian differentials is provided by coverings of the square torus ramified above a single point – *square-tiled surfaces*. The differential on the covering surface is the pull-back of the unique (up to scalar) differential on the torus, and its singularities are determined by the orders of ramification. The polygon of Figure 1 is a square-tiled surface in $\mathcal{H}(4, 2)$: the surface is obtained by identifying the edges of the polygon with the same labels. The red/blue vertices of the polygon are identified along this process to produce conical singularities of angles 8π and 4π respectively. In this example, the red cylinder is bounded twice by the red singularity, while the green one is bounded once by each singularity.


FIGURE 1.   Square-tiled surface in $\mathcal{H}(4, 2)$.

Theorem 1.1 implies that if we choose a random boundary of a cylinder of large width for a generic deformation of this square-tiled surface, then the probability that it contains the red singularity is approximately $\frac{2}{3}$.

1.2. **Spin parity of abelian differentials.** Here we assume that the entries of μ are all odd. An element (C, x, η) in $\mathcal{H}(\mu)$ determines a canonical *spin structure*, i.e. a line bundle $L \to C$ such that $L^{\otimes 2} \simeq \omega_C$. This line bundle is defined as $L = \mathcal{O}_C \left(\frac{m_1 - 1}{2} x_1 + \ldots + \frac{m_n - 1}{2} x_n \right)$. The *sign*—or *Arf invariant*—of an abelian differential in $\mathcal{H}(\mu)$ equals $(-1)^{h^0(C,L)}$. By classical results of Mumford and Atiyah, this sign is constant in connected families of spin structures [Mum71, Ati71]. Thus we denote by $\mathcal{H}(\mu)^+ / \mathcal{H}(\mu)^-$ the components of $\mathcal{H}(\mu)$ of even/odd differentials.

Remark 1.3. The components $\mathcal{H}(\mu)^+$ and $\mathcal{H}(\mu)^-$ may be disconnected. Indeed, when $\mu = (2g - 1)$ or (g, g), then $\mathcal{H}(\mu)$ contains a connected component of abelian differentials supported on hyperelliptic curves. This connected component may be included in the even or odd component depending on the value of g (see [KZ03]). Hence, the space $\mathcal{H}(\mu)$ may have up to 3 connected components. As Theorem 1.1 holds trivially on hyperelliptic components, it suffices to study $c_i(X)$ for $X = \mathcal{H}(\mu)^+$ and $X = \mathcal{H}(\mu)^-$.

If X is a union of components of $\mathcal{H}(\mu)$, and $0 \leq i \leq n$, then $c_i(X)$ stands for the average of the Siegel–Veech constants of its connected components (weighted by the Masur–Veech volume). We denote by $c_i(\mu)$ the Siegel–Veech constants of $\mathcal{H}(\mu)$. Moreover, we write

$$\mathrm{Vol}(\mu) = \mathrm{Vol}(\mathcal{H}(\mu)), \qquad \mathrm{Vol}^{\pm}(\mu) = \mathrm{Vol}\left(\mathcal{H}(\mu)^+\right) - \mathrm{Vol}\left(\mathcal{H}(\mu)^-\right),$$
$$c_i(\mu) = \mathrm{Vol}(\mathcal{H}(\mu)), \qquad c_i^{\pm}(\mu) = c_i\left(\mathcal{H}(\mu)^+\right) - c_i\left(\mathcal{H}(\mu)^-\right).$$

The functions Vol, c_0, and $\mathrm{Vol}^{\pm}$ may be expressed either:

(I) As the value $q \to 1$ of the q-expansion of quasimodular forms (see [EO01], [CMZ18], and [EOP08]);

(II) As intersection numbers on $\mathbb{P}\overline{\mathcal{H}}(\mu)$, the Zariski closure of the projectivization of $\mathcal{H}(\mu)$ in the projectivized Hodge bundle (see [CMSZ20]).

We extend these two results to the function $c_0^{\pm}$ in Theorems 2.23 and 3.2 respectively.

Remark 1.4. Several results for the function c_0 may be transposed to $c_0^{\pm}$ with parallel arguments. However, certain ingredients were missing in the previous works to obtain the complete description of $c_0^{\pm}$. We emphasize two arguments that play an important role:

- The quasimodular forms approach relies on the description of the character table of the Sergreev group (Propositions 2.16 and 2.18), while the computation of $\mathrm{Vol}^{\pm}$ only relied on the description of the irreducible spin *su*-*per*representations. As a result, the expression of the differential operator ∂_2 appearing in Theorem 2.23 is different from the conjectural expression of [CMSZ20, Section 10.3].
- The geometric counterpart relies on the original description of cylinder configurations by Eskin–Masur–Zorich. We show that the expression of the area Siegel–Veech constants as intersection numbers is essentially equivalent to the statement of Theorem 1.1 above. It is interesting to remark that this approach also recovers the result of [CMSZ20] on c_0 without using quasimodular forms.

This remark is essential as it paves the way for an expression of area Siegel–Veech constants (and sums of Lyapunov exponents) in terms of intersection numbers for (more) general affine invariant manifolds. Indeed, this new approach only relies on the expression of volumes as intersection numbers and could extend the present results to affine invariants manifolds where the expression of volumes as integrals of tautological classes is proved or conjectured.

2. Weighted spin Hurwitz numbers and quasimodular forms

We compute $c_0^\pm$ as the limit of weighted spin Hurwitz numbers of the torus of large degree. By the work of [EOP08] generating series of spin Hurwitz numbers can be expressed in terms of quasimodular forms. We extend the approach of [CMZ18] for c_0 to compute $c_0^\pm$ as the limiting value $q \to 1$ in the q-expansion of a quasimodular form, or, more precisely, as the leading term of the growth polynomial associated to a quasimodular form. We proceed as follows:

- In Section 2.1 we introduce the spin q-bracket and the symmetric functions p_k. These functions serve as an analogue of both the shifted symmetric functions Q_k and the hook-length moments T_p. In particular, its brackets are quasimodular forms and the action of the p_k inside strict brackets can be encoded by differential operators.
- In Section 2.2 we recall the growth polynomials of quasimodular forms and relate this growth to the aforementioned differential operators.
- In Section 2.3 we recall the representation theory of the spin symmetric group, and explain the representation theory of the so-called Sergeev group.
- In Section 2.4 we refine the aforementioned result of [EOP08]. More precisely, we compute the generating series of *weighted* spin Hurwitz numbers. Here, we extensively make use of the character table of the Sergeev group. We find that strict brackets of the p_k compute weighted Hurwitz numbers.
- In Section 2.5 we take all these results together to prove the evaluation of $c_0^\pm(\mu)$ as the value $q = 1$ of the q-expansion of quasimodular forms.

2.1. **Spin bracket and quasimodular forms.** Denote by SP the set of all *strict* partitions of integers (i.e., partitions where all part sizes are different) and by OP the set of all *odd* partitions (i.e., partitions where all part sizes are odd). For $f : \mathrm{SP} \to \mathbb{C}$, denote the *spin q-bracket* $\langle f \rangle \in \mathbb{C}[\![q]\!]$ by

$$\langle f \rangle := \frac{\sum_{\lambda \in \mathrm{SP}} (-1)^{\ell(\lambda)} f(\lambda) \, q^{|\lambda|}}{\sum_{\lambda \in \mathrm{SP}} (-1)^{\ell(\lambda)} \, q^{|\lambda|}},$$

where $|\lambda| := \sum_i \lambda_i$ denotes the size of λ. The denominator is given by

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \mathrm{SP}} (-1)^{\ell(\lambda)} \, q^{|\lambda|} = \prod_{m \geq 1} (1 - q^m).$$

For a partition λ and a positive integer m, we denote by $r_m(\lambda)$ the number of parts of λ equal to m. Observe that for strict partitions λ we have $r_m(\lambda) \in \{0, 1\}$. Moreover, we let

$$p_k(\lambda) := \sum_i \lambda_i^k = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^k r_m(\lambda), \qquad\qquad (k \in \mathbb{Z})$$

$$\mathbf{p}_k := -\tfrac{1}{2}\zeta(-k) + p_k \qquad\qquad (k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})$$

be the *symmetric power sums* (with an additional constant). Note that this constant equals $-\tfrac{1}{2}\zeta(-k) = \frac{B_{k+1}}{2(k+1)}$, with B_{k+1} the $(k+1)$th Bernoulli number. Write $\Lambda = \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_3, \mathbf{p}_5, \ldots]$ for the *symmetric algebra* and assign to $\mathbf{p}_i$ weight $i+1$. Then, for all $f \in \Lambda$, the spin bracket $\langle f \rangle$ is known to be a quasimodular form [EOP08, Section 3.2.2], which is made precise in the following result. Recall that the *Eisenstein series G_k*, given by

$$(1) \qquad\qquad G_k := -\frac{B_k}{2k} + \sum_{m,r \geq 1} m^{k-1} q^{mr} \qquad (k \geq 2 \text{ even}),$$

is an example of a quasimodular form of weight k, and that every quasimodular form is a polynomial in these series: the space of quasimodular forms $\widetilde{M}$ (for the full modular group $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$) is given by $\widetilde{M} = \mathbb{Q}[G_2, G_4, G_6]$. For an introduction to quasimodular forms, see [Zag08].

In order to state this result we introduce *connected* brackets, following [CMZ18, Section 11]. The *connected spin q-bracket* is the multilinear map $(\mathbb{C}^{\mathrm{SP}})^{\otimes n} \to \mathbb{C}[\![q]\!]$, defined by

$$(2) \qquad\qquad \langle\, f_1 \mid \cdots \mid f_n \,\rangle := \sum_{\alpha \in \Pi(n)} \mu(\alpha) \prod_{A \in \alpha} \Big\langle \prod_{a \in A} f_a \Big\rangle,$$

where $\Pi(n)$ is the set of set partitions of $[\![1, n]\!] := \{1, \ldots, n\}$, and μ is the corresponding Möbius function $\mu(\alpha) = (-1)^{\ell(\alpha)-1}(\ell(\alpha) - 1)!$ with $\ell(\alpha)$ the length (cardinality) of the partition α. Let $u_1, u_3, \ldots$ be formal variables and for $\rho \in \mathrm{OP}$, write $u_\rho = \prod_i u_{\rho_i}$. Similarly, write $\mathbf{p}_\rho = \prod_i \mathbf{p}_{\rho_i}$. We introduce the generating series Ψ (and Ψ°) of (connected) brackets of symmetric power sums by

$$\Psi(u_1, u_3, \ldots) := \Big\langle \exp\Big(\sum_k \mathbf{p}_k\, u_k\Big) \Big\rangle = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_n} \langle \mathbf{p}_{\ell_1} \cdots \mathbf{p}_{\ell_n} \rangle\, u_{\ell_1} \cdots u_{\ell_n},$$

$$\Psi^\circ(u_1, u_3, \ldots) := \sum_{n > 0} \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_n} \langle \mathbf{p}_{\ell_1} | \cdots | \mathbf{p}_{\ell_n} \rangle\, u_{\ell_1} \cdots u_{\ell_n},$$

where the sum is over all *odd* integers k, and *odd* $\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_n$ respectively. Then, by the properties of the connected bracket we have $\exp \Psi^\circ = \Psi$, and in this notation the aforementioned result of [EOP08] is as follows.

Proposition 2.1. *We have*

$$\Psi^\circ(u_1, u_3, \ldots) = -\sum_{\substack{\rho \in \mathrm{OP} \\ \rho \neq \emptyset}} D^{\ell(\rho)-1} G_{|\rho|-\ell(\rho)+2}\, u_\rho,$$

where $D = q\frac{\partial}{\partial q}$ and G_k is the Eisenstein series of weight k (see (1)).

Note that this result determines $\langle f \rangle$ for all $f \in \Lambda$. In particular, if f is of weight k, the spin bracket $\langle f \rangle$ is a quasimodular form of weight k.

Two consequences of this result will be important for us: (i) a recursive formula for spin brackets, and (ii) the definition of a modified q-bracket for functions of the form $p_{-1}f$ with $f \in \Lambda$. This recursive formula should be compared with the recursive formula for the hook-length moments in [CMZ18, Theorem 16.1] and to a similar result for symmetric functions in the non-spin setting in [vI21, Proposition 6.2.1].

Corollary 2.2. *For all $i, j \geq 0$ with i even, the differential operators $\varrho_{i,j} : \Lambda \to \Lambda$ given by*

$$\varrho_{i,j} \;=\; \sum_{\substack{\rho \in \mathrm{OP} \\ \ell(\rho)=j \\ |\rho|=i+j}} \frac{1}{\mathrm{Aut}(\rho)} \frac{\partial^{\ell(\rho)}}{\partial \mathbf{p}_\rho} \qquad \Big(\mathrm{Aut}(\rho) \;=\; \prod_{m \geq 1} r_m(\rho)! \Big),$$

are such that for all odd $k \geq 1$ and $f \in \Lambda$ one has

$$\langle \mathbf{p}_k f \rangle \;=\; -\sum_{i,j \geq 0} \langle \varrho_{i,j}(f) \rangle \, D^j G_{k+i+1}.$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, we assume that f is a monomial. Then, by extracting the coefficient of u_ν in Proposition 2.1 for some odd partition ν containing a part equal to k, the result follows. $\qquad\square$

Note that

$$\varrho_{i,0} = \delta_{i,0} \cdot \mathrm{Id} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \varrho_{0,j} = \frac{1}{j!} \frac{\partial^j}{\partial \mathbf{p}_1^j} \qquad (i, j \geq 0).$$

Following the proof of [CMZ18, Theorem 16.1] in the spin setting, we deduce that a certain linear combination of brackets involving p_{-1} is quasimodular.

Corollary 2.3. *For all $f \in \Lambda_k$, the modified spin q-bracket*

$$\langle f \rangle^* \;:=\; \langle p_{-1}f \rangle - \langle p_{-1} \rangle \langle f \rangle - \frac{1}{24}\langle \partial_2(f) \rangle$$

is a quasimodular form of weight k, where $\partial_2 = \varrho_{0,1} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{p}_1}$. More precisely, we have

$$\langle f \rangle^* = \sum_{i \geq 2, j \geq 0} \langle \varrho_{i,j}^*(f) \rangle \, D^j G_i$$

where $\rho_{i,j}^* = \rho_{i,j} + \delta_{i,2}\rho_{0,j+1}$ and $D = q\frac{\partial}{\partial q}$.

Proof. By the previous lemma, and as $\rho_{i,0} = \delta_{i,0} \cdot \mathrm{Id}$, we find that $\langle p_{-1}f \rangle$ equals

$$\sum_{i,j} \langle \varrho_{i,j}(f) \rangle \Big(\sum_{m,r} m^{i+j-1} r^j q^{mr} \Big)$$

$$= \langle f \rangle \Big(\sum_{m,r} m^{-1} q^{mr} \Big) + \sum_{j \geq 1} \langle \varrho_{0,j}(f) \rangle D^{j-1} \Big(\frac{1}{24} + G_2 \Big) + \sum_{i \geq 2, j \geq 1} \langle \varrho_{i,j}(f) \rangle \, D^j G_i$$

$$= \langle f \rangle \langle p_{-1} \rangle + \frac{1}{24} \langle \partial_2(f) \rangle + \sum_{j \geq 1} \langle \varrho_{0,j}(f) \rangle D^{j-1} G_2 + \sum_{i \geq 2, j \geq 1} \langle \varrho_{i,j}(f) \rangle \, D^j G_i \,.$$

As $\langle \varrho_{i,j}(f) \rangle$ is quasimodular of weight $k - i - 2j$ the result follows. $\qquad\square$

2.2. **Growth polynomials of quasimodular forms.** Following [CMZ18, Section 9], there exists a unique algebra homomorphism ev : $\widetilde{M} \to \mathbb{Q}[\pi^2][1/h]$ such that

$$F(\tau) = \text{ev}[F](h) + O(e^{-h}) \qquad (q = e^{2\pi i \tau} = e^{-h})$$

as $h \to 0$ (i.e., $q \to 1$). We call $\text{ev}[F](h)$ the *growth polynomial* of F—it is a polynomial in $1/h$; for more details see the aforementioned paper by Chen, Möller and Zagier. In particular, this morphism is characterized by the following three properties:

(i) $\text{ev}[F](h) = a_0(f) \left(\dfrac{2\pi i}{h} \right)^k$ for $F \in M_k$,

(ii) $\text{ev}[G_2](h) = \dfrac{\zeta(2)}{h^2} - \dfrac{1}{2h}$,

(iii) $\text{ev}[DF](h) = -\dfrac{\partial}{\partial h} \text{ev}[F]$ for $F \in \widetilde{M}_k$.

We will be interested in the leading coefficient of the growth polynomial. For $f_1, \ldots, f_r \in \Lambda$, we define the *ℏ-bracket* and its *leading term* by

$$\langle f_1 \mid \cdots \mid f_r \rangle_\hbar := \text{ev}[\langle f_1 \mid \cdots \mid f_r \rangle](\hbar),$$

$$\langle f_1 \mid \cdots \mid f_r \rangle_L := \lim_{\hbar \to 0} \frac{\hbar^{k-r+1}}{(2\pi i)^{k-2r+2}} \langle f_1 \mid \cdots \mid f_r \rangle_\hbar,$$

where k is the sum of the weights of the f_i. Note that by [CMZ18, Proposition 11.1] this limit is well-defined. By Corollary 2.3 we can extend the notation and allow an insertion of p_{-1}:

(3) $\qquad \langle p_{-1} \mid f_1 \mid \cdots \mid f_r \rangle_\hbar := \text{ev}[\langle p_{-1} \mid f_1 \mid \cdots \mid f_r \rangle](\hbar),$

(4) $\qquad \langle p_{-1} \mid f_1 \mid \cdots \mid f_r \rangle_L := \lim_{\hbar \to 0} \frac{\hbar^{k-r+1}}{(2\pi i)^{k-2r+2}} \langle p_{-1} \mid f_1 \mid \cdots \mid f_r \rangle_\hbar.$

The behaviour as $\hbar \to 0$ also determines the growth of the first N Fourier coefficients. That is, the *N-bracket*, which we define by

$$[f_1 \mid \cdots \mid f_r]_N := \sum_{n=1}^{N} a_n(f_1, \ldots, f_r),$$

where we wrote $\langle f_1 | \cdots | f_r \rangle = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n(f_1, \ldots, f_r) q^n$, admits the following growth [CMZ18, Proposition 9.4].

Proposition 2.4. *For $f_1, \ldots, f_r \in \Lambda$ of weights $k_1, \ldots, k_r$ with $k = \sum k_i$ we have*

$$[f_1 \mid \cdots \mid f_r]_N = \langle f_1 \mid \cdots \mid f_r \rangle_L \frac{N^{k-r+1}(2\pi i)^{k-2r+2}}{(k-r+1)!} + O(N^{k-r}\log N)$$

$$[p_{-1} \mid f_1 \mid \cdots \mid f_r]_N = \langle p_{-1} \mid f_1 \mid \cdots \mid f_r \rangle_L \frac{N^{k-r+1}(2\pi i)^{k-2r+2}}{(k-r+1)!} + O(N^{k-r}\log N)$$

as $N \to \infty$.

In the rest of this subsection, we will now state two lemmas we need in the sequel. First of all, as a corollary of Corollary 2.3, we determine the leading terms of p_{-1} insertions. Secondly, we discuss the relationship between growth polynomials and differential operators.

For all $\ell \geq 1$ odd, we define:

$$(5) \qquad \mathbf{h}_\ell := \frac{-1}{2\ell} \left[u^{\ell+1} \right] \mathbf{P}(u)^\ell, \quad \text{where } \mathbf{P}(u) := \exp\Big(-\sum_{\substack{k \geq 0 \\ k \text{ odd}}} 2\mathbf{p}_k \, u^{k+1} \Big).$$

These functions are the highest weight part of the central characters $\mathbf{f}_\ell$ introduced in (7), i.e., for all odd $\ell \geq 1$ the function $\mathbf{h}_\ell$ is homogeneous, and $\mathbf{f}_\ell - \mathbf{h}_\ell/\ell$ is of weight less than $\ell + 1$ [CMSZ20, Theorem 6.7]. We will be interested in the growth of the coefficients in the following sequences

$$\Psi^H(u_1, u_3, \dots) := \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n} \langle \mathbf{h}_{\ell_1} \cdots \mathbf{h}_{\ell_n} \rangle \, u_{\ell_1} \cdots u_{\ell_n},$$

$$\Psi^{H,\circ}(u_1, u_3, \dots) := \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n} \langle \mathbf{h}_{\ell_1} | \cdots | \mathbf{h}_{\ell_n} \rangle \, u_{\ell_1} \cdots u_{\ell_n},$$

$$\Psi^{H,\circ}_{-1}(u_1, u_3, \dots) := \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n} \langle p_{-1} | \mathbf{h}_{\ell_1} | \cdots | \mathbf{h}_{\ell_n} \rangle \, u_{\ell_1} \cdots u_{\ell_n},$$

$$\mathcal{C}^{H,\circ}_{-1}(u_1, u_3, \dots) := \frac{-1}{24\Psi^H} \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n} \sum_{j \geq 1} \langle \partial_2^j (\mathbf{h}_{\ell_1} \cdots \mathbf{h}_{\ell_n}) \rangle \, u_{\ell_1} \cdots u_{\ell_n}.$$

By Corollary 2.3, in the spin setting [CMSZ20, Lemma 10.4] reads

Corollary 2.5. *The leading terms of $\Psi^{H,\circ}_{-1}$ and $\mathcal{C}^{H,\circ}_{-1}$ agree.*

Let the differential operator $\mathcal{D} : \Lambda \to \Lambda$ be given by

$$2\mathcal{D} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{p}_1} + \sum_{\ell_1, \ell_2 \geq 1} (\ell_1 + \ell_2) \mathbf{p}_{\ell_1 + \ell_2 - 1} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \mathbf{p}_{\ell_1} \, \partial \mathbf{p}_{\ell_2}}.$$

In [CMSZ20, Proof of Proposition 6.10] it was shown that (we correct their formula by a factor $\frac{1}{2}$)

$$\mathfrak{d}\langle f \rangle = \langle \mathcal{D}(f) \rangle$$

for all $f \in \Lambda$, where $\mathfrak{d}$ is the unique derivation on quasimodular forms given by $\mathfrak{d}(G_2) = -\frac{1}{2}$ and $\mathfrak{d}(f) = 0$ if f is modular. This operator $\mathcal{D}$ is extremely useful in determining the growth of the coefficients of $F = \langle f \rangle_q$ for $f \in \Lambda$. Namely, by [CMSZ20, Proposition 6.10], for all $f \in \Lambda_k$ we have

$$(6) \qquad \langle f \rangle_\hbar = \frac{(2\pi i)^k}{\hbar^k} (e^{(2\pi i)^{-2} \hbar \mathcal{D}} f)(\emptyset).$$

(Be careful that the definition of the $\hbar$-bracket in [CMSZ20, Eq. 34] differs by a power of $2\pi i$ of the $\hbar$-bracket in [CMZ18] and in this work.) Observe that the evaluation at the partition $\emptyset$ of 0 is explicitly given by $\mathbf{p}_k(\emptyset) = -\frac{1}{2}\zeta(-k)$.

Later, we will make use of the following commutation relation, which is the spin analogue of the commutation relation in [CMSZ20, Lemma 10.5].

Lemma 2.6. *The commutation relation*

$$\partial_2 \circ e^{\mathcal{D}}(f) = e^{\mathcal{D}} \sum_{j \geq 1} \partial_2^j(f) \qquad \Big(\partial_2 = \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{p}_1} \Big)$$

holds for all $f \in \Lambda$.

Proof. First, observe that $[\partial_2, \mathcal{D}] = \partial_2^2$. Hence, by induction we find

$$[\partial_2^i, \mathcal{D}] \ = \ i\,\partial_2^{i+1} \qquad (i \geq 0).$$

Next, again by induction, we show that

$$\partial_2 \mathcal{D}^i \ = \ \sum_{k=0}^{i} \frac{i!}{k!} \mathcal{D}^k \partial_2^{i-k+1}$$

for all $i \geq 0$. For $i = 0$ this is trivial. By assuming the result for $i = j$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_2 \mathcal{D}^{j+1} \ &= \ \sum_{k=0}^{j} \frac{j!}{k!} \mathcal{D}^k \partial_2^{j-k+1} \mathcal{D} \\
&= \ \sum_{k=0}^{j} \frac{j!}{k!} \left(\mathcal{D}^{k+1} \partial_2^{j-k+1} \ + \ (j-k+1)\, \mathcal{D}^k \partial_2^{j-k+2} \right) \\
&= \ \sum_{k=1}^{j+1} \frac{j!\,k}{k!} \mathcal{D}^k \partial_2^{j-k+2} \ + \ \sum_{k=0}^{j} \frac{j!(j-k+1)}{k!} \mathcal{D}^k \partial_2^{j-k+2} \\
&= \ \sum_{k=0}^{j+1} \frac{(j+1)!}{k!} \mathcal{D}^k \partial_2^{(j+1)-k+1},
\end{aligned}
$$

proving the claim. We conclude that

$$\partial_2 \circ e^{\mathcal{D}} \ = \ \sum_{i \geq 0} \frac{\partial_2 \mathcal{D}^i}{i!} \ = \ \sum_{i \geq 0} \sum_{k=0}^{i} \frac{1}{k!} \mathcal{D}^k \partial_2^{i-k+1} \ = \ e^{\mathcal{D}} \sum_{j \geq 1} \partial_2^j. \qquad \square$$

2.3. **Representations of the Sergeev group.** The Siegel–Veech constants are computed as the limit of (weighted) Hurwitz numbers of the torus of large degree. These Hurwitz numbers can be expressed in terms of central characters of the symmetric group. Analogously, spin Siegel–Veech constants and spin Hurwitz numbers can be expressed in terms of central characters of the spin-symmetric group [EOP08, Gun16, Lee20]. More precisely, spin Siegel–Veech constants can be expressed in terms of central characters corresponding to representations of the Sergeev group, which is closely related to the spin symmetric group. Following [Mor77, HH92, Iva01, GKL21], we recall some results about the representation theory of both groups and explain how they are related. Though most of the results are not new, the character table of the Sergeev group seems not to be available in the literature. We explain how to derive this table from the known results in the literature.

The spin symmetric group $\widetilde{\mathfrak{S}}_d$ is one of the two representation groups of the symmetric group (for $d \geq 4$), meaning that every projective representation of the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_d$ lifts to a (linear) representation of $\widetilde{\mathfrak{S}}_d$. Explicitly, it is defined by the central extension

$$0 \to \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \to \widetilde{\mathfrak{S}}_d \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathfrak{S}_d \to 1$$

and can be presented by

$$\widetilde{\mathfrak{S}}_d = \langle t_1, \ldots, t_{d-1}, \varepsilon \mid \varepsilon^2 = 1, t_j^2 = \varepsilon, (t_j t_{j+1})^3 = \varepsilon, (t_j t_k)^2 = \varepsilon \text{ for } |j-k| \geq 2 \rangle.$$

The projection π to $\mathfrak{S}_d$ is given by sending ε to the neutral element and t_j to the transposition $(j, j+1)$.

Note that the element ε is central. Hence, ε acts by ± 1 in every representation of $\widetilde{\mathfrak{S}}_d$. We call representations for which ε acts by -1 *spin representations*. These correspond to the projective representation of $\mathfrak{S}_d$, whereas representation for which ε acts by $+1$ correspond to ordinary (linear) representations of $\mathfrak{S}_d$.

Proposition 2.7 (Schur). *The irreducible spin representations $V_\pm^\lambda$ of $\widetilde{\mathfrak{S}}_d$ are parametrized by pairs $(\lambda, (-1)^{d-\ell(\lambda)})$ for strict partitions λ. Moreover, the character values $\varphi_\pm^\lambda(x)$ are determined recursively by*

  (i) *an analogue of the* Murnaghan–Nakayama rule *when $\pi(x)$ has only odd cycles in its cycle type;*

  (ii)

$$\varphi_\pm^\lambda(x) \;=\; \pm \frac{\mathrm{i}}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{\prod \lambda_i}$$

  when $d - \ell(\lambda)$ is odd and $\pi(x)$ has cycle type λ;

  (ii) *$\varphi_\pm^\lambda(x) = 0$ in all other cases.*

The *Murnaghan–Nakayama rule* for $\varphi_\pm^\lambda$ is [HH92, Theorem 10.1]. There exists an amusing way to describe the Murnaghan–Nakayama rule uniformly for both $\mathfrak{S}_d$ and $\widetilde{\mathfrak{S}}_d$, for which we refer to the survey by Morris [Mor77]. Note that in case $d - \ell(\lambda)$ is odd, both cases (i) and (ii) contribute one-half to the character inner product:

Corollary 2.8. *For all $\lambda \in \mathrm{SP}(d)$ with $d - \ell(\lambda)$ odd*

$$\frac{1}{|\widetilde{\mathfrak{S}}_d|} \sum_{(\mathrm{i})} |\varphi_\pm^\lambda(x)|^2 \;=\; \frac{1}{2} \;=\; \frac{1}{|\widetilde{\mathfrak{S}}_d|} \sum_{(\mathrm{ii})} |\varphi_\pm^\lambda(x)|^2,$$

where the first sum is over all x for which $\pi(x)$ has only odd cycles in its cycle type and the second over all x for which $\pi(x)$ has cycle type λ.

Proof. This follows from the fact that the character inner product, which is the sum of the left and right side, is one, and that the conjugacy class of elements for which $\pi(x)$ is of type λ is of size $2d!/\prod \lambda_i$. $\qquad\square$

The sign of a permutation in the symmetric group determines a $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$-grading on $\mathfrak{S}_d$, which lifts to a $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$-grading on $\widetilde{\mathfrak{S}}_d$. Explicitly, $\deg(\varepsilon) = 0$ and $\deg(t_i) = 1$. The elements of degree 0 in $\widetilde{\mathfrak{S}}_d$ form the group $\widetilde{\mathrm{A}}_d$, which is a central extension of the alternating group. Given a partition λ, we write $\epsilon(\lambda)$ for the parity of $d - \ell(\lambda)$.

Proposition 2.9 (Schur). *The irreducible spin representations of $\widetilde{\mathrm{A}}_d$ are parametrized by pairs $(\lambda, (\pm 1)^{d-\ell(\lambda)+1})$ for strict partitions λ. More precisely, if $d - \ell(\lambda)$ is odd, then V_+^λ and V_-^λ are isomorphic irreducible representations of $\widetilde{\mathrm{A}}_d$. If $d - \ell(\lambda)$ is even, the representation V_+^λ splits as a sum of two irreducible representations of $\widetilde{\mathrm{A}}_d$. The corresponding characters $\alpha_\pm^\lambda$ satisfy*

  (i) *$\alpha_\pm^\lambda(x) = 2^{\epsilon(\rho)-1} \varphi_+^\lambda(x)$ when $\pi(x)$ is of cycle type $\rho \in \mathrm{OP}$ and $\rho \ne \lambda$;*

  (ii)

$$\alpha_\pm^\lambda(x) \;=\; \frac{1}{2} \varphi_+^\lambda(x) \pm \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} \sqrt{\prod \lambda_i}$$

  when $d - \ell(\lambda)$ is even and $\pi(x)$ has cycle type λ;

  (ii) *$\alpha_\lambda^\pm(x) = \varphi_+^\lambda(x) = 0$ in all other cases.*

Note that the values of $\varphi_+^\lambda(x)$ determined by the Murnaghan–Nakayama rule are real; hence, $\varphi_+^\lambda(x)$ is real if x is as in case (i) and purely imaginary if x is as in case (ii) in Proposition 2.7. The analogue of Corollary 2.8 is therefore the following result.

Corollary 2.10. *For all $\lambda \in \mathrm{SP}(d)$ with $d - \ell(\lambda)$ even*

$$\frac{1}{|\widetilde{\mathrm{A}}_d|} \sum_{x \in \widetilde{\mathrm{A}}_d} (\mathrm{Re}\,\alpha_\pm^\lambda(x))^2 \;=\; \frac{1}{2} \;=\; \frac{1}{|\widetilde{\mathrm{A}}_d|} \sum_{x \in \widetilde{\mathrm{A}}_d} (\mathrm{Im}\,\alpha_\pm^\lambda(x))^2.$$

We now return to the spin symmetric group. Spin representations are representations of the *twisted group algebra*

$$\mathcal{T}_d := \mathbb{C}[\widetilde{\mathfrak{S}}_d]/(\varepsilon + 1).$$

Note that $\mathcal{T}_d$ inherits the grading, and hence is a superalgebra. The irreducible supermodules of $\mathcal{T}_d$ (i.e., irreducible $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$-graded modules) can easily be determined in terms of the irreducible modules of $\widetilde{\mathfrak{S}}_d$.

Proposition 2.11. *The irreducible supermodules of $\mathcal{T}_d$ are given by*

$$V^\lambda = \begin{cases} V_+^\lambda & d - \ell(\lambda) \text{ is even} \\ V_+^\lambda \oplus V_-^\lambda & d - \ell(\lambda) \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

If we were only to know the supermodules of $\mathcal{T}_d$, generalities on superalgebras (see, e.g., [Kle05, Chapter 12]) would allow us to obtain the irreducible supermodules of $\widetilde{\mathfrak{S}}_d$ and $\widetilde{\mathrm{A}}_d$. By doing so, we would almost be able to recover Proposition 2.7 and Proposition 2.9. There is, however, an important subtlety: by doing so we lose information about the characters $\varphi_\pm^\lambda$ and $\alpha_\pm^\lambda$ at x for which $\pi(x)$ has cycle type λ, and it would require more specific information about the supermodules to recover part (ii) in these results. Soon, we will come across the same subtlety for a different supermodule.

Instead of working with $\mathcal{T}_d$, often it is more convenient to work with the Sergeev superalgebra. Both algebras capture the same information. Before introducing this algebra, we introduce two more groups. Let

$$\mathrm{Cl}_d := \{\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_d, \varepsilon \mid \varepsilon^2 = 1,\ \xi_i^2 = \varepsilon,\ \varepsilon\xi_i = \xi_i\varepsilon,\ \xi_i\xi_j = \varepsilon\xi_j\xi_i \text{ for all } i \neq j\}$$

be the *Clifford group*, which is a central extension of $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^d$. Following Sergeev [Ser84], we define the *Sergeev group* Se_d as the semidirect product

$$\mathrm{Se}_d := \mathfrak{S}_d \ltimes \mathrm{Cl}_d,$$

where $\mathfrak{S}_d$ acts on Cl_d by permuting the ξ_i. (Some authors define the Sergeev group slightly differently by setting $\xi_i^2 = 1$ instead of $\xi_i^2 = \varepsilon$. The representation theory of these two groups is the same; note, however, that the two Sergeev groups are non-isomorphic.)

Before we study the representation theory of Se_d, we describe the conjugacy classes C such that $C \cap \varepsilon C = \emptyset$. Namely, if the latter condition is not satisfied, every spin representation is trivial on C.

Lemma 2.12 ([Ser84, Lemma 5]). *Let $g = (\sigma, \xi) \in \mathrm{Se}_d$ and write $\xi = \varepsilon^{a_0} \xi_1^{a_1} \cdots \xi_n^{a_n}$ for $a_i \in \{0, 1\}$. Then, g is not conjugate to εg if and only if*

    (1) $\deg(\xi) = 0$, *the cycle type of σ is in* OP, *and $\sum_{j \in \tau} a_j$ is even for all disjoint cycles τ of σ;*

(2) $\deg(\xi) = 1$, *the cycle type of σ is $\lambda \in \mathrm{SP}$ with $\ell(\lambda)$ odd and $\sum_{j \in \tau} a_j$ is odd for all disjoint cycles τ of σ.*

The first case corresponds to the conjugacy class of a pure permutation $(\sigma, 1) \in \mathrm{Se}_d$. Note that this conjugacy class only depends on the cycle type $\rho \in \mathrm{OP}$ of σ; we denote this conjugacy class by C_ρ. In the second case, we write $C_{\lambda,1}$ for the corresponding conjugacy class.

On Cl_d we introduce the $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$-grading by setting $\deg \xi_i = 1$. This grading extends to Se_d by additionally letting $\deg \sigma = \deg \varepsilon = 0$ for $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_d$. Define the corresponding *twisted algebras* by

$$\mathcal{C}_d := \mathbb{C}[\mathrm{Cl}_d]/(\varepsilon + 1), \qquad \mathcal{X}_d := \mathbb{C}[\mathrm{Se}_d]/(\varepsilon + 1),$$

which both are superalgebras. The following results determine the corresponding irreducible supermodules. In these results, it is important to recall that the tensor product of two superalgebras $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ is not the same as the tensor product of two algebras. Instead, the multiplication is defined by

$$(a \otimes b)(a' \otimes b') = (-1)^{\deg(b) \deg(a')}(aa') \otimes (bb') \qquad (a, a' \in \mathcal{A}, b, b' \in \mathcal{B}).$$

Proposition 2.13. *The Clifford superalgebra $\mathcal{C}_d$ is irreducible of dimension $2^{\lfloor \frac{d+1}{2} \rfloor}$.*

In particular, the character ζ corresponding to $\mathcal{C}_d$ satisfies $\zeta(1) = 2^{\lfloor \frac{d+1}{2} \rfloor}$ and $\zeta(x) = 0$ if $x \neq 1$.

Proposition 2.14. *The map $\vartheta_d : \mathcal{T}_d \otimes \mathcal{C}_d \to \mathcal{X}_d$ given by*

$$t_j \otimes 1 \mapsto \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\xi_j - \xi_{j+1})(j, j+1)$$

$$1 \otimes \xi_j \mapsto \xi_j$$

is an isomorphism of superalgebras.

We write $\delta(\lambda)$ and $\epsilon(\lambda)$ for the parity of $\ell(\lambda)$ and $d - \ell(\lambda)$ respectively.

Corollary 2.15. *The irreducible supermodules of $\mathcal{X}_d$ are given by $V_\lambda \otimes \mathcal{C}_d$, where λ goes over all strict partitions. The corresponding character θ^λ is given by*

$$\theta^\lambda(x) = \begin{cases} 2^{\frac{\ell(\rho) + \delta(\lambda) - \epsilon(\lambda)}{2}} \varphi^\lambda(\rho) & x \in C_\rho \text{ for some } \rho \in \mathrm{OP} \\ 0 & x \notin C_\rho \cup \varepsilon C_\rho \text{ for some } \rho \in \mathrm{OP}, \end{cases}$$

where $\varphi^\lambda(\rho)$ is the character of V_λ evaluated at a permutation of type $\rho \in \mathrm{OP}$.

The structure of *super*modules of $\mathcal{X}_d$ does not directly imply the character table of the Sergeev group, nor does seem to be contained in the literature. However, the irreducible representations of Se_d were constructed by Maxim Nazarov in [Naz97, Section 1]. We deduce the following proposition from his result.

Proposition 2.16. *The irreducible spin representations of Se_d are parametrized by pairs $(\lambda, (\pm 1)^{\ell(\lambda)})$ for strict partitions λ. Moreover, the character values $\chi^\lambda_\pm(x)$ are determined recursively by*

(i) $\chi^\lambda_\pm(x) = 2^{-\delta(\lambda)} \theta^\lambda(\rho)$ *if $x \in C_\rho$.*
(ii)

$$\chi^\lambda_\pm(x) = \pm 2^{(\ell(\lambda)-1)/2} \mathrm{i} \sqrt{\prod \lambda_i}$$

when $\ell(\lambda)$ is odd and $x \in C_{\lambda,1}$.

(ii) $\chi_{\pm}^{\lambda}(x) = 0$ *in all other cases.*

Proof. First of all, observe that by the previous corollary the result holds if $\ell(\lambda)$ is even. Namely, in that case, the irreducible representation corresponding to λ equals the *super*representation corresponding to λ. We now follow Nazarov's construction in the case $\ell(\lambda)$ is odd.

First, assume d is even. Write $\tau_{\pm}^{\lambda}$ for the representations corresponding to $V_{\pm}^{\lambda}$. Then the irreducible representation of Se_d corresponding the pair $(\lambda, \pm)$ in the space $\mathcal{C}_d \otimes V_{\pm}^{\lambda}$ is given by $(j = 1, \ldots, d-1)$

$$(j, j+1) \mapsto \mathrm{i}\xi_0 \frac{\xi_j - \xi_{j+1}}{\sqrt{2}} \otimes \tau_{\pm}^{\lambda}(t_j), \qquad \xi_j \mapsto \xi_j \otimes \mathrm{id}, \qquad \varepsilon \mapsto -1.$$

Let $x \in \mathrm{Se}_d$. Then, as the trace of the Clifford algebra only takes values on the identity, we find

$$\chi_{\pm}^{\lambda}(x) = C(x) \frac{2^{\frac{d}{2}}}{2^{\frac{d-\ell(\lambda)}{2}}} \varphi_{\pm}^{\lambda}(\rho)$$

where $C(x)$ denotes the multiplicity of the identity in $\xi_0(\xi_1 - \xi_2) \cdots \xi_0(\xi_{\lambda_{j_1}-1} - \xi_{\lambda_{j_r}})\xi$ if we write $x = (j_1, j_1 + 1) \cdots (j_r, j_r + 1)\xi$ and where ρ is the cycle type of the projection of x to the symmetric group. Note that this multiplicity takes values in $\{-1, 0, 1\}$. In case $\rho \in \mathrm{OP}$, we obtain $\chi_{\pm}^{\lambda}(x) = 2^{\frac{\ell(\lambda)}{2}} \varphi_{\pm}^{\lambda}(\rho) = 2^{\frac{\ell(\lambda)-1}{2}} \theta^{\lambda}(\rho)$, which we could also have deduced directly from Corollary 2.15. More interestingly, if $x \in C_{\lambda, 1}$, we obtain

$$\chi_{\pm}^{\lambda}(x) = \pm 2^{(\ell(\lambda)-1)/2} \mathrm{i} \sqrt{\prod \lambda_i}.$$

That $\chi_{\pm}^{\lambda}(x) = 0$ in all other cases, now easily follows from the orthogonality relations, or by a similar computation as above.

Next, suppose d is odd. Note that $\mathcal{C}_d$, considered as an ordinary module, rather than a super module, splits as a sum $\mathcal{C}_d \simeq \mathcal{C}_d^+ \oplus \mathcal{C}_d^-$ of irreducible (non-super) modules $\mathcal{C}_d^{\pm}$ of dimension $2^{\frac{d-1}{2}}$. Let $I = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\mathrm{i} \\ \mathrm{i} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $J = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$. Nazarov now first constructs a reducible representation of Se_d corresponding to λ in the space $\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathcal{C}_d^+ \otimes V^{\lambda}$ by

$$(j, j+1) \mapsto I \otimes \frac{\xi_j - \xi_{j+1}}{\sqrt{2}} \otimes \tau_{\lambda}(t_j), \qquad \xi_j \mapsto J \otimes \xi_k \otimes \mathrm{id}.$$

Write ω_{λ} for the endomorphism of V_{λ} defined by $v \mapsto (-1)^{\deg(v)}v$. Then, the ± 1-eigenspaces with respect to the involution $J \otimes \mathrm{id} \otimes \omega_{\lambda}$ form two irreducible representations corresponding to $(\lambda, \pm)$. In particular, in this case, we obtain

$$\chi_{\pm}^{\lambda}(x) = D(x) \frac{2^{\frac{d-1}{2}}}{2^{\frac{d-\ell(\rho)}{2}}} \cdot \begin{cases} \alpha_+^{\lambda}(\rho) + \alpha_-^{\lambda}(\rho) & \deg x = 0 \\ \alpha_+^{\lambda}(\rho) - \alpha_-^{\lambda}(\rho) & \deg x = 1 \end{cases}$$

with $D(x)$ the multiplicity of the identity in $(\xi_{j_1} - \xi_{j_1+1}) \cdots (\xi_{j_2} - \xi_{\lambda_{j_2}+1})\xi$ if we write $x = (j_1, j_1 + 1) \cdots (j_r, j_r + 1)$, and where the product $(j_1, j_1 + 1) \cdots (j_r, j_r + 1)$ is of cycle type ρ (i.e., $x \in C_{\rho}\xi$). In other words, if $x \in C_{\rho}$, we obtain $\chi_{\pm}^{\lambda}(x) = 2^{(\ell(\lambda)+1)/2} \alpha_{\pm}^{\lambda}(\rho) = 2^{(\ell(\lambda)+1)/2} \alpha_{\pm}^{\lambda}(\rho) = \frac{1}{2}\theta^{\lambda}(\rho)$. Moreover, if $x \in C_{\lambda, 1}$, we obtain

$$\chi_{\pm}^{\lambda}(x) = \pm 2^{(\ell(\lambda)-1)/2} \mathrm{i} \sqrt{\prod \lambda_i}. \qquad \square$$

Similar to the spin symmetric group, we conclude that both cases contribute one-half to the inner product of characters.

Corollary 2.17. *For all $\lambda \in \mathrm{SP}$ with $\ell(\lambda)$ odd*

$$\frac{1}{|\mathrm{Se}_d|} \sum_{\rho \in \mathrm{OP}} \sum_{x \in C_\rho} |\chi_\pm^\lambda(x)|^2 \;=\; \frac{1}{2} \;=\; \frac{1}{|\mathrm{Se}_d|} \sum_{x \in C_{\lambda,1}} |\chi_\pm^\lambda(x)|^2$$

Write Se_d^0 for the subgroup of Se_d consisting of elements of even degree. Similar to Proposition 2.16, we obtain the character table.

Proposition 2.18. *The irreducible spin representations of Se_d^0 are parametrized by pairs $(\lambda, (\pm 1)^{\ell(\lambda)+1})$ for strict partitions λ. Moreover, the character values $\psi_\pm^\lambda(x)$ are determined recursively by*

(i) $\psi_\pm^\lambda(x) = \frac{1}{2}\theta^\lambda(\rho)$ *if $x \in C_\rho$ and $\rho \neq \lambda$.*

(ii)

$$\psi_\pm^\lambda(x) \;=\; \frac{1}{2}\theta^\lambda(\rho) \pm \frac{1}{2}2^{\ell(\lambda)/2}\mathrm{i}\sqrt{\prod \lambda_i}$$

when $\ell(\lambda)$ is even and $x \in C_\lambda$.

(ii) $\psi_\pm^\lambda(x) = 0$ *in all other cases.*

Corollary 2.19. *For all $\lambda \in \mathrm{SP}(d)$ with $\ell(\lambda)$ even*

$$\frac{1}{|\mathrm{Se}_d^0|} \sum_{x \in \mathrm{Se}_d^0} (\mathrm{Re}\,\psi_\pm^\lambda(x))^2 \;=\; \frac{1}{2} \;=\; \frac{1}{|\mathrm{Se}_d^0|} \sum_{x \in \mathrm{Se}_d^0} (\mathrm{Im}\,\psi_\pm^\lambda(x))^2.$$

Finally, we end our discussion by introducing the central characters associated to the Sergeev group. Given $\rho \in \mathrm{OP}(d)$, the class sum $\overline{C_\rho}$, which is the sum of all permutations in C_ρ, acts by Schur's lemma as a constant on a supermodule $V_\lambda \otimes \mathcal{C}_d$. We call this constant *the central character* and denote it by $\mathbf{f}_\rho(\lambda)$. In fact,

$$(7) \qquad \mathbf{f}_\rho(\lambda) \;=\; |C_\rho|\frac{\theta^\lambda(\rho)}{\dim\theta^\lambda} \;=\; |C_\rho|\frac{\chi_\pm^\lambda(\rho)}{\dim\chi_\pm^\lambda} \;=\; |C_\rho|\frac{\psi^\lambda(\rho)}{\dim\psi^\lambda},$$

where ψ^λ is the average of ψ_+^λ and ψ_-^λ (or equal to ψ_+^λ if $\ell(\lambda)$ is odd). In particular, the central characters associated to Se_d and Se_d^0 agree. (Note that we restricted ourselves to $\rho \in \mathrm{OP}$ in the definition of central characters.)

We extend this notation to all $\rho \in \mathrm{OP}$ and $\lambda \in \mathrm{SP}$, even if ρ and λ are not of the same size. Write $\rho \sim \rho'$ if the partitions ρ and ρ' only differ in the number of parts equal to 1, and set

$$(8) \qquad \mathbf{f}_\rho(\lambda) \;=\; \begin{cases} \mathbf{f}_{\rho'}(\lambda) & |\rho| < |\lambda|, \\ 0 & |\rho| > |\lambda|, \end{cases}$$

where $\rho \sim \rho'$ and $|\rho'| = |\lambda|$. Then, by [Iva01] we have $\mathbf{f}_\rho \in \Lambda$, where Λ is the symmetric algebra introduced before. More concretely, if $\rho = (\ell)$, then by [CMSZ20, Theorem 6.7]

$$\ell\,\mathbf{f}_\ell(\lambda) = \frac{-1}{2\ell}[t^{\ell+1}]\Big(\prod_{j=1}^{\ell-1}(1-jt)\cdot\exp\Big(\sum_{\substack{k\geq 1 \\ k\,\mathrm{odd}}}\frac{2}{k}p_k(\lambda)\,t^k(1-(1-\ell t)^{-k})\Big)\Big).$$

(The central characters in our work agree with those in [EOP08], but differ by a factor ℓ of those in [CMSZ20, Theorem 6.7] and in [Iva01, Definition 6.3].) By comparing this formula with the definition of $\mathbf{h}_\ell$, we see that $\mathbf{f}_\ell - \mathbf{h}_\ell/\ell$ is of weight less than $\ell + 1$.

2.4. **Weighted spin Hurwitz numbers.** Let $\Pi = (\mu^{(1)}, \ldots, \mu^{(n)})$ with $\mu^{(i)} \in$ OP(d). A *Hurwitz tuple of degree d and ramification type* Π is an element

$$(\alpha, \beta, \gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_n) \in (\mathfrak{S}_d)^{n+2}$$

such that $[\alpha, \beta]\gamma_1 \ldots \gamma_n = 1$ and the type of γ_i is equivalent to the partition $\mu^{(i)}$ (i.e., they only differ in the amount of 1's).

Write Hur$_d(\Pi)$ for the set of all Hurwitz tuples h of degree d and ramification type Π. Every such Hurwitz tuple corresponds to a (ramified) covering of the torus, which, after pulling back the flat metric on the torus, yields a differential of type μ. This induces a spin parity $s : \mathrm{Hur}(\Pi) \to \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. By [EOP08, Theorem 2] the *spin Hurwitz number* of degree d and ramification profile Π is given by

$$\frac{1}{d!} \sum_{h \in \mathrm{Hur}_d(\Pi)} (-1)^{s(h)} \;=\; 2^{\chi/2} \sum_{\lambda \in \mathrm{SP}(d)} (-1)^{\ell(\lambda)} \, \mathbf{f}_\Pi(\lambda),$$

where $\mathbf{f}_\Pi = \prod_i \mathbf{f}_{\mu^{(i)}}$ with $\mathbf{f}_{\mu^{(i)}}$ a central character, defined by (8), and χ is the Euler characteristic of the cover, i.e.,

$$\chi \;=\; \sum_i \big(\ell(\mu^{(i)}) - |\mu^{(i)}|\big).$$

We generalize this result, by finding the following expression for a weighted count. Given a Hurwitz tuple $h = (\alpha, \beta, \gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_n)$ and $f \in \Lambda$, write $f(\alpha)$ to denote the value of f applied to the partition corresponding to the conjugacy class of α.

Proposition 2.20. *For any $f \in \Lambda$ we have*

$$\frac{1}{d!} \sum_{h \in \mathrm{Hur}_d(\Pi)} (-1)^{s(h)} f(\alpha) \;=\; 2^{\chi/2} \sum_{\lambda \in \mathrm{SP}(d)} (-1)^{\ell(\lambda)} \, \mathbf{f}_\Pi(\lambda) \, f(\lambda).$$

Proof. Given conjugacy classes $C_1, \ldots, C_n$ in a finite group G, we define *a Hurwitz tuple for G with ramification type* $\mathcal{C} = (C_1, \ldots, C_n)$ to be an element

$$(\alpha, \beta, \gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_n) \in G^{n+2}$$

such that $[\alpha, \beta]\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_n = 1$ and $\gamma_i \in C_i$ for all i. Denote by Hur$_G(\mathcal{C})$ the set of all Hurwitz tuples for G with ramification type $\mathcal{C}$. The sum of all elements of a conjugacy class C in the group algebra of G acts by Schur's lemma by a constant; this constant is the central character f_C, which we consider as a function $f_C : G^\wedge \to \mathbb{C}$, where $G^\wedge$ denotes the set of irreducible representations of G. We write $f_\mathcal{C} = \prod_{C \in \mathcal{C}} f_C$. Given an irreducible representation π of G and a class function $f : G \to \mathbb{C}$, we let

$$M_G(f, \pi) \;:=\; \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \chi_\pi(g) \, \overline{\chi_\pi(g)} \, f(g) \;=\; \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{[g]} |[g]| \, \chi_\pi(g) \, \overline{\chi_\pi(g)} \, f(g),$$

where the last sum is over all conjugacy classes $[g]$ of G, and with χ_π the character of the representation π and $|[g]|$ the size of the conjugacy class of g in G.

Mutatis mutandis, the proof of [LZ04, Theorem A.1.10] implies that for all class functions $f : G \to \mathbb{C}$, we have that

$$H_{f,\mathcal{C}}(G) \;:=\; \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{h \in \mathrm{Hur}_G(\mathcal{C})} f(\alpha) \;=\; \sum_{\pi \in G^\wedge} f_\mathcal{C}(\pi) \, M_G(f, \pi),$$

where $h = (\alpha, \beta, \gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_n)$. In the non-spin setting this result for $G = \mathfrak{S}_d$ suffices to prove the non-spin variant of this proposition (see [CMZ18, Proposition 6.3]).

Here, it does not suffice to let $G = \text{Se}_d$, as we have to take care of the sign of the Hurwitz tuple. Hence, we complete the proof along the same lines as [EOP08, §§3.1.6–3.1.10].

Given a group homomorphism $\phi : G' \to G, h \in \text{Hur}_G(\mathcal{C})$ and a conjugacy class $\mathcal{C}'$ of G' such that $\phi(\mathcal{C}') = \mathcal{C}$, we write $\text{Hur}_{G'}(h, \phi) = \text{Hur}_{G'}(h)$ for the set of Hurwitz tuples $h' \in \text{Hur}_{G'}(\mathcal{C}')$ such that $\phi(h') = h$. For all $d \geq 1$, write B_d for the group of signed permutations, obtained by setting $\varepsilon = 1$ in Se_d. Moreover, write B_d^0 and Se_d^0 for the subgroups of even elements with respect to the $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$-grading on Se_d. Note that all these four groups $\text{B}_d, \text{B}_d^0, \text{Se}_d^0$ and Se_d^0 admit a natural projection homomorphism to $\mathfrak{S}_d$.

Write $\text{Hur}_d(\mathcal{C})$ for $\text{Hur}_{\mathfrak{S}_d}(\mathcal{C})$ and let $h \in \text{Hur}_d(\mathcal{C})$ be given. Now, in terms of Hurwitz tuples, [EOP08, Proposition 5] reads

$$\frac{(-1)^{s(h)}}{d!} = 2^{\chi/2} \left(\frac{|\text{Hur}_{\text{B}_d}(h)|}{|\text{B}_d|} - \frac{|\text{Hur}_{\text{B}_d^0}(h)|}{|\text{B}_d^0|} - \frac{|\text{Hur}_{\text{Se}_d}(h)|}{|\text{Se}_d|} + \frac{|\text{Hur}_{\text{Se}_d^0}(h)|}{|\text{Se}_d^0|} \right).$$

Hence, summing over all $h \in \text{Hur}_d(\mathcal{C})$ and multiplying by $f(\alpha)$, we obtain

$$\sum_{h \in \text{Hur}_d(\mathcal{C})} \frac{(-1)^{s(h)}}{d!} f(\alpha) = 2^{\chi/2} \big(H_{f,\mathcal{C}}(\text{B}_d) - H_{f,\mathcal{C}}(\text{B}_d^0) - H_{f,\mathcal{C}}(\text{Se}_d) + H_{f,\mathcal{C}}(\text{Se}_d^0) \big),$$

where in $H_{f,\mathcal{C}}(G)$ the conjugacy class $\mathcal{C}$ should be interpreted as the conjugacy class of pure permutations in G.

Observe that the irreducible representations π of Se_d such that $\pi(\varepsilon) = 1$ correspond to the representations of B_d. In particular, $M_{\text{B}_d}(f, \pi) = M_{\text{Se}_d}(f, \pi)$ for such π. Hence,

$$H_{f,\mathcal{C}}(\text{Se}_d) - H_{f,\mathcal{C}}(\text{B}_d) = \sum_{\pi \in (\text{Se}_d)^\wedge_-} f_{\mathcal{C}}(\pi) \, M_{\text{Se}_d}(f, \pi),$$

where $(\text{Se}_d)^\wedge_-$ denotes the set of irreducible spin representations of Se_d. Similarly, the results holds after replacing Se_d and B_d with Se_d^0 and B_d^0, so that

$$\sum_{h \in \text{Hur}_d(\mathcal{C})} \frac{(-1)^{s(h)}}{d!} f(\alpha) = \sum_{\pi \in (\text{Se}_d^0)^\wedge_-} f_{\mathcal{C}}(\pi) \, M_{\text{Se}_d^0}(f, \pi) - \sum_{\pi \in (\text{Se}_d)^\wedge_-} f_{\mathcal{C}}(\pi) \, M_{\text{Se}_d}(f, \pi).$$

At this point, we can no longer follow [EOP08] closely. Instead, we compute $M_{\text{Se}_d}(f, \pi)$ using Proposition 2.16. First assume that $\pi = \pi_\lambda$ is associated to $\lambda \in \text{SP}$ and $\ell(\lambda)$ is odd. Then, π_λ only takes values on the conjugacy classes C_ρ and εC_ρ. Both conjugacy classes consist of $2^{d-\ell(\rho)} \frac{d!}{z_\rho}$ elements. Hence, we obtain

$$M_{\text{Se}_d}(f, \pi_\lambda) = \frac{1}{2^{d+1} d!} \sum_{\rho \in \text{OP}(d)} 2 \cdot 2^{d-\ell(\rho)} \frac{d!}{z_\rho} |\chi_\lambda(\rho)|^2 f(\rho)$$

$$= \sum_{\rho \in \text{OP}(d)} \frac{2^{-\ell(\rho)}}{z_\rho} |\chi_\lambda(\rho)|^2 f(\rho) =: \text{M}(f)(\lambda),$$

where $\text{M}(f)$ can be thought of as the spin analogue of the Möller transform in [CMZ18, Corollary 13.2]. Next, let $\pi_\lambda^\pm$ be associated to $\lambda \in \text{SP}$ with $\ell(\lambda)$ odd. By

Corollary 2.17, we find that

$$M_{\mathrm{Se}_d}(f, \pi_\lambda^\pm) \;=\; \frac{1}{2} f(\lambda) + \sum_{\rho \in \mathrm{OP}(d)} \frac{2^{-\ell(\rho)}}{z_\rho} |\chi_\lambda^\pm(\rho)|^2 f(\rho) =: \frac{1}{2} f(\lambda) + \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{M}(f)(\lambda),$$

where we now also defined the Möller transform if $\ell(\lambda)$ is odd.

Similarly, we compute $M_{\mathrm{Se}_d^0}(f, \pi)$ for all $\pi \in (\mathrm{Se}_d^0)^\wedge$ using Proposition 2.18. First, suppose $\pi = \pi_\lambda$ and $\ell(\lambda)$ is odd. As $\psi_+^\lambda = \chi_+^\lambda$, we find

$$M_{\mathrm{Se}_d^0}(f, \pi_\lambda) \;=\; \frac{1}{2^d d!} \sum_{\rho \in \mathrm{OP}(d)} 2 \cdot 2^{d-\ell(\rho)} \frac{d!}{z_\rho} |\chi_+^\lambda(\rho)|^2 f(\rho) \;=\; \mathrm{M}(f)(\lambda).$$

Finally, let $\pi_\lambda^\pm \in (\mathrm{Se}_d^0)^\wedge$ with $\ell(\lambda)$ even. By Corollary 2.19, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} M_{\mathrm{Se}_d^0}(f, \pi_\lambda^\pm) \;&=\; \frac{1}{2} f(\lambda) + 2 \sum_{\rho \in \mathrm{OP}(d)} \frac{2^{-\ell(\rho)}}{z_\rho} \left| \frac{1}{2} \chi_\lambda(\rho) \right|^2 f(\rho) \\ &=\; \frac{1}{2} f(\lambda) + \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{M}(f)(\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Recall that the central characters corresponding to all representations of Se_d and Se_d^0 agree on odd partitions. Hence, we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{h \in \mathrm{Hur}_d(\mathcal{C})} & \frac{(-1)^{s(h)}}{d!} f(\alpha) \\ &= 2^{\chi/2} \sum_{\lambda \in \mathrm{SP}(d)} \mathbf{f}_\Pi(\lambda) \, (-1)^{\ell(\lambda)} \big(M(f)(\lambda) - 2 \big(\tfrac{1}{2} f(\lambda) + \tfrac{1}{2} M(f)(\lambda) \big) \big) \\ &= 2^{\chi/2} \sum_{\lambda \in \mathrm{SP}(d)} (-1)^{\ell(\lambda)} \, \mathbf{f}_\Pi(\lambda) \, f(\lambda). \qquad \qquad \square \end{aligned}$$

Define the *(combinatorial) ℓ-weighted spin Siegel–Veech constant* $c_\ell(d, \Pi)$ to be

$$c_\ell(d, \Pi) \;:=\; \frac{1}{n \, d!} \sum_{h \in \mathrm{Hur}_d(\Pi)} (-1)^{s(h)} \, p_\ell(h),$$

where $p_\ell(h)$ is the *ℓ-th Siegel–Veech weight* of the Hurwitz tuple $h = (\alpha, \beta, \gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_n)$, which by [CMZ18, Lemma 6.1] is given by $p_\ell(h) \;=\; n \, p_\ell(\alpha)$.

Corollary 2.21. *For all odd ℓ*

$$c_\ell(d, \Pi) \;=\; 2^{\chi/2} \sum_{\lambda \in \mathrm{SP}(d)} (-1)^{\ell(\lambda)} \mathbf{f}_\Pi(\lambda) \, p_\ell(\lambda).$$

2.5. **Recursion relation for $c_0^\pm(\mu)$.** The symmetric algebra Λ is canonically identified with $\mathbb{Q}[\mathbf{h}_1, \mathbf{h}_3, \ldots]$ (see (5) for the definition of the $\mathbf{h}_i$). As in [CMSZ20, Equation (56)], for all non-empty sets $I \subset \mathbb{N}$ of cardinality n, we define a function

$\mathcal{A}_I \in R[\![(z_i)_{i \in I}]\!]$ as follows:

$$\mathcal{A}_{\{i\}} \quad := \quad z_i^{-1} + \sum_{s \geq 0} \mathbf{h}_{2s+1} z_i^{2s+1},$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{\{i,j\}} \quad := \quad \frac{z_i \mathcal{H}'(z_i) - z_j \mathcal{A}'(z_j)}{\mathcal{A}(z_j) - \mathcal{A}(z_i)} - 1, \text{ and}$$

$$\mathcal{A}_I \quad := \quad \frac{1}{(n-1)} \sum_{\substack{k \geq 0 \\ \underline{\ell} = (\ell_1 \ldots, \ell_k)}} \sum_{I = \{r,s\} \sqcup I_1 \sqcup \ldots \sqcup I_k} \frac{1}{k!} \mathcal{A}_{\{r,s\}}^{\underline{\ell}} \prod_{i=1}^{k} \mathcal{A}_{I_i}^{[\ell_i]} \qquad (n \geq 3).$$

In the last line, the first sum on the right-hand side is over all vectors of odd positive integers of length k, while the second sum is over partitions of I into $k+1$ non-empty sets, and we let

$$\mathcal{A}_{\{i,j\}}^{\underline{\ell}} \quad := \quad \frac{\partial^k}{\partial_{\ell_1} \ldots \partial_{\ell_k}} \mathcal{A}_{\{i,j\}}, \quad \text{ and } \quad \mathcal{A}_I^{[\ell]} \quad := \quad [z_i^{\ell}] \mathcal{A}_{I \cup \{i\}}.$$

Then for any element $\mathbf{h} \in R$ we denote by $\mathbf{h}|_{\mathbf{h}_\ell \mapsto \boldsymbol{\alpha}_\ell} \in \mathbb{Q}$ the image of $\mathbf{h}$ under the unique ring morphism $\Lambda \to \mathbb{Q}$ mapping $\mathbf{h}_\ell$ to the rational number $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_\ell$ defined by:

$$\boldsymbol{\alpha}_\ell \quad := \quad \frac{-1}{2\ell} [u^\ell] \, \mathbf{P}(u)^\ell, \quad \text{ where } \quad \mathbf{P}(u) := \exp\Big(\sum_{s \geq 1} \zeta(-s) \, u^{s+1}\Big).$$

Notation 2.22. If X is a connected component of a stratum $\mathcal{H}(\mu)$, the *normalized Masur–Veech volume* of X is defined as

$$\text{vol}(X) \quad := \quad \frac{(|\mu| - 1)! \prod_{i=1}^{n} m_i}{2(2\pi\mathrm{i})^{2g}} \text{Vol}(X).$$

We denote $\text{vol}(\mu) = \text{vol}(\mathcal{H}(\mu))$, and $\text{vol}^\pm(\mu) = \text{vol}(\mathcal{H}(\mu)^+) - \text{vol}(\mathcal{H}(\mu)^-)$.

Recall that the leading term $\langle \cdots \rangle_L$ of the growth polynomial is defined by (4), the elements $\mathbf{h}_\ell \in \Lambda$ are defined by (5) and recall that $\partial_2 = \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{p}_1}$.

Theorem 2.23. *We have*

$$c_0^\pm(\mu) \quad = \quad \frac{3 \cdot 2^{\chi/2}}{2\pi^2 \cdot |\mu| \cdot \text{vol}(\mu)} \langle p_{-1} | \mathbf{h}_{m_1} | \cdots | \mathbf{h}_{m_n} \rangle_L$$

$$= \quad \frac{-2^{\chi/2}}{16\pi^2 \cdot |\mu| \cdot \text{vol}(\mu)} [z_1^{m_1} \cdots z_n^{m_n}] \, \partial_2 \mathcal{A}_n |_{\mathbf{h}_\ell \mapsto \boldsymbol{\alpha}_\ell} \, .$$

Proof. We compute $c_0^\pm$ as the limit of Hurwitz numbers of the torus of large degree:

$$c_0^\pm(\mu) \quad = \quad \lim_{D \to \infty} \frac{3}{\pi^2} \frac{\sum_{d=1}^{D} c_{-1}^\circ(d, \Pi)}{\sum_{d=1}^{D} N_d^\circ(\Pi)},$$

where $\Pi = ((m_1, 1, \ldots, 1), \ldots, (m_n, 1, \ldots, 1))$, $N_d^\circ(\Pi)$ is the number of *connected* torus covers of degree d with ramification profile Π, while $c_{-1}^\circ(d, \Pi)$ is the sum over those covers with -1st Siegel–Veech weight and with sign given by the parity. The proof of this formula is obtained from a direct transposition of the proof of the analogue result for $c_0(\mu)$ given by Proposition 17.1 of [CMZ18].

By Corollary 2.21 and the inclusion-exclusion principle used to obtain connected cover counts from possibly disconnected ones, we have:

$$c_\ell^\circ(d, \Pi) \quad = \quad 2^{\chi/2} [q^d] \langle p_\ell | \mathbf{f}_{m_1} | \cdots | \mathbf{f}_{m_n} \rangle,$$

$$N_d^\circ(\Pi) \quad \underset{d \to \infty}{\sim} \quad d^{|\mu|} \text{Vol}(\mu),$$

where the connected q-brackets were defined by (2). The growth rate of the connected bracket is determined by Proposition 2.4. Note $2 - 2g = \chi = n - |\mu|$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_0^{\pm}(\mu) &= \frac{3}{\pi^2} \lim_{D \to \infty} \frac{2^{\chi/2} [p_{-1}|\mathbf{f}_{m_1}| \cdots |\mathbf{f}_{m_n}]_D}{\sum_{d=1}^{D} d^{|\mu|} \mathrm{Vol}(\mu)} \\
&= \frac{3}{\pi^2} \lim_{D \to \infty} \frac{2^{\chi/2} \langle p_{-1}|\mathbf{f}_{m_1}| \cdots |\mathbf{f}_{m_n} \rangle_L \frac{D^{|\mu|+1}}{(|\mu|+1)!} (2\pi\mathrm{i})^{2g}}{\frac{D^{|\mu|+1}}{|\mu|+1} \mathrm{Vol}(\mu)} \\
&= \frac{3}{\pi^2} \frac{2^{\chi/2} \langle p_{-1}|\mathbf{f}_{m_1}| \cdots |\mathbf{f}_{m_n} \rangle_L}{2|\mu| \, \mathrm{vol}(\mu)} \prod_{i=1}^{n} m_i \\
&= \frac{3}{\pi^2} \frac{2^{\chi/2} \langle p_{-1}|\mathbf{h}_{m_1}| \cdots |\mathbf{h}_{m_n} \rangle_L}{2|\mu| \, \mathrm{vol}(\mu)},
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last equation follows as $\mathbf{f}_\ell - \mathbf{h}_\ell/\ell$ is of weight less than $\ell + 1$. Moreover, by using Corollary 2.5, (6), and Lemma 2.6, we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle p_{-1}|\mathbf{h}_{m_1}| \cdots |\mathbf{h}_{m_n} \rangle_L &= \frac{1}{\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbf{m})} [u_{m_1} \cdots u_{m_n}] \Psi_{-1}^{H,\circ}(\mathbf{u})_L \\
&= \frac{1}{\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbf{m})} [u_{m_1} \cdots u_{m_n}] \mathcal{C}_{-1}^{H,\circ}(\mathbf{u})_L \\
&= \frac{-1}{24} \frac{1}{\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbf{m})} [u_{m_1} \cdots u_{m_n}] \frac{\partial_2 \Psi^H(\mathbf{u})_L}{\Psi^H(\mathbf{u})_L} \\
&= \frac{-1}{24} \frac{1}{\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbf{m})} [u_{m_1} \cdots u_{m_n}] \partial_2 \Psi^{H,\circ}(\mathbf{u})_L \\
&= \frac{-1}{24} [z_1^{m_1} \cdots z_n^{m_n}] \partial_2 \mathcal{A}_n|_{\mathbf{h}_\ell \mapsto \boldsymbol{\alpha}_\ell},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbf{m})$ denotes the cardinality of the stabilizer of the action of $\mathfrak{S}_n$ on $(m_1, \ldots, m_n)$, and where we took the L-brackets of the sequences $\Psi_{-1}^{H,\circ}(\mathbf{u})$, $\mathcal{C}_{-1}^{H,\circ}(\mathbf{u})$, $\Psi^H(\mathbf{u})$ and $\Psi^{H,\circ}(\mathbf{u})$ defined in Section 2.2. □

3. Twisted graphs and the boundary of the Hodge bundle

Fix μ, g, n as in the introduction. We will consider the following cohomology classes of the projectivized Hodge bundle:

- the tautological class $\xi = c_1(\mathcal{O}(1)) \in H^2(\mathbb{P}\overline{\mathcal{H}}_{g,n}, \mathbb{Q})$;
- the Poincaré-dual class of the locus of curves with a non-separating node $\delta_0 \in H^2(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}, \mathbb{Q})$;
- the Chern class of the cotangent line at the ith marking $\psi_i \in H^2(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}, \mathbb{Q})$ for all $1 \le i \le n$.

For all $1 \le i \le n$, we denote

$$
(9) \qquad \beta_i = \xi^{2g-2} \cdot \left(\prod_{j \ne i} m_i \cdot \psi_i \right).
$$

Let X be a component of $\mathcal{H}(\mu)$. We denote by $\mathbb{P}\overline{X}$ the Zariski closure of the projectivization of X. In [Sau18], [CMSZ20], and [CMZ19] it was shown that

$$\mathrm{vol}(X) = \int_{\mathbb{P}\overline{X}} \beta_i \cdot \xi$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ (see Notation 2.22 for the definition of vol and $\mathrm{vol}^\pm$). Here, we will consider the following intersection numbers for all $1 \leq i \leq n$

$$d_i(X) = \int_{\mathbb{P}\overline{X}} \beta_i \cdot \delta_0.$$

The purpose of the rest of the paper is to prove the following result.

Theorem 3.1. *For all connected components X of , and $1 \leq i \leq n$, we have:*

$$c_i(X) \;=\; \frac{-m_i}{4\pi^2} \cdot \frac{d_i(X)}{\mathrm{vol}(X)}.$$

For all X, the number $d_i(X)$ is independent of the choice of i (see [CMSZ20]). Thus, this theorem directly implies Theorem 1.1 and the following expression for the area Siegel–Veech (SV) constants of connected components, which is a new check that the class β_i represents the Kontsevich–Zorich cocycle (see [Kon97, EKZ14]).

Theorem 3.2. *For all odd μ and all $1 \leq i \leq n$, we have:*

$$c_0(X) = \frac{-1}{4\pi^2} \cdot \frac{d_i^\pm(X)}{\mathrm{vol}(X)}.$$

As SV constants of hyperelliptic components are explicit and Theorem 1.1 holds trivially for these components, we only need to consider the SV constants of strata and their weighting by their spin sign:

$$d_i(\mu) = d_i(\mathcal{H}(\mu)), \quad \text{and} \quad d_i^\pm(\mu) = d_i\left(\mathcal{H}(\mu)^+\right) - d_i\left(\mathcal{H}(\mu)^-\right).$$

Besides, we will show that Theorem 3.1 holds when we set $i = 1$ (the general case follows immediately by permuting the entries of μ). We proceed in two steps:

(1) In the present section we use intersection theory to express the numbers $d_1(\mu)$ and $d_1^\pm(\mu)$ in terms of the functions $\mathrm{vol}, \mathrm{vol}^\pm$ and intersection numbers in genus 0 (see Proposition 3.11).
(2) In the next section we use arguments of combinatorics to show that Proposition 3.11 may be rewritten as the sum of the contributions of cylinder configurations in the sense of [EMZ03] thus finishing the proof of Theorem 3.1.

3.1. **Twisted graphs.** We recall here the definition of twisted graphs of [FP18]. A *stable graph* is the data of

$$\Gamma = (V, H, g : V \to \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \iota : H \to H, \phi : H \to V, H^\iota \simeq \llbracket 1, n \rrbracket),$$

where:

- An element $v \in V$ is called a *vertex*. We denote by $g(v)$ the *genus* of v.
- An element $h \in H$ is called an *half-edge*. We say h is incident to $\phi(h)$, and write $h \mapsto v$ if $\phi(h) = v$. Moreover, we denote by $n(v)$ the *valency* of the vertex v, i.e. the number of half-edges incident to v.
- The function ι is an involution. The set E consist of cycles of length 2 for ι, which are called *edges*.

- The fixed points of ι are called *legs*. We write n for the number of legs, and identify the set of legs with the set $[\![1, n]\!] := \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$.
- For all vertices v we have $2g(v) - 2 + n(v) > 0$.
- The graph (V, E) is connected.

The *genus* of Γ is defined as

$$g(\Gamma) = h^1(\Gamma) + \sum_{v \in V} g(v), \quad \text{with} \quad h^1(\Gamma) = |E| - |V| + 1.$$

An *automorphism* of Γ consists of automorphisms of the sets V and H that leave invariant the data g, ι and ϕ. A stable graph is said to be *of compact type* if $h^1(\Gamma) = 0$, i.e., if the graph is a tree.

Definition 3.3. A *twist* on a stable graph Γ is a function $m : H \to \mathbb{Z}$ satisfying the following conditions:

- For all $v \in V$, we denote by $\mu(v)$ the vector of twists at half-edges incident to v. We impose

$$|\mu(v)| = \sum_{h \mapsto v} m(h) = 2g(v) - 2 + n(v).$$

- If $e = (h, h')$ is an edge of Γ from v to v', then we have $m(h) = -m(h')$.
- There exists a partial order $\geq$ on V such that for all vertices v, v' connected by an edge (h, h') we have $(v \geq v') \Leftrightarrow (m(h) \geq 0)$.

For an edge $e = (h, h')$ from v to v', we call $m_e = |m(h)|$ the *twist at the edge*. If $m_e = 0$ (or, equivalently, if $v \geq v'$ and $v' \geq v$) then we will say that the edge is *horizontal*.

A *twisted graph* is a pair (Γ, m), where m is a twist on Γ. It is said *compatible* with an integral vector μ of length n, if the twist at the ith leg is equal to m_i.

Most of the twisted graphs that will be considered will be in the following set.

Definition 3.4. A twisted graph (Γ, m) is a *graph of rational type* if it is of compact type and there exists a partition of the set of vertices $V(\Gamma) = R(\Gamma) \sqcup D(\Gamma)$ satisfying:

- The twists at the legs are non-negative.
- $g(v) = 0 \Leftrightarrow v \in R(\Gamma)$ (the set of *rational vertices*).
- If $v \in D(\Gamma)$ (the set of *decorations*), then all half-edges incident to v have positive twist.

Remark 3.5. In this definition, the twist and the partition of the set of vertices are uniquely determined by the underlying stable graph and the twists at the legs in $[\![1, n]\!]$. In particular, an automorphism of Γ automatically respects the twist function. Thus, to keep the notation simple, we denote by Γ a graph of rational type.

Definition 3.6. A twisted graph (Γ, m) is a *bicolored graph* if there is a partition of the set of vertices $V(\Gamma) = V_0 \sqcup V_{-1}$ such that all edges connect a vertex $v \in V_0$ to a vertex $v' \in V_{-1}$ with $v > v'$.

A bicolored graph is a *rational backbone graph* if it is of compact type, has a unique vertex in V_{-1} of genus 0 which carries the first marking, and all vertices of V_0 have positive genus (note that a rational backbone graph is of rational type and satisfies $D(\Gamma) = V_0$ and $R(\Gamma) = V_{-1}$).

3.2. **Boundary of strata of differentials.** If μ is a vector of (not necessarily positive) integers of length n with $|\mu| = 2g - 2 + n$, then we denote by $\mathcal{H}(\mu)$ the moduli space of objects (C, x, η), where C is smooth and η is a meromorphic differential with $\mathrm{ord}_{x_i}(\eta) = m_i - 1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. This space is canonically embedded in the vector bundle

$$\pi_* \omega_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{g,n}/\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}}(p_1 \cdot D_1 + \ldots + p_n \cdot D_n)$$

where $\pi : \overline{\mathcal{C}}_{g,n} \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ is the universal curve, D_i is the divisor associated to the ith marking, and p_i is a positive integer bigger than $-m_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. The *incidence variety compactification* $\mathbb{P}\overline{\mathcal{H}}(\mu)$ is the Zariski closure of $\mathbb{P}\mathcal{H}(\mu)$ in the projectivization of the above vector bundle. The geometry of $\mathbb{P}\overline{\mathcal{H}}(\mu)$ does not depend on the choice of the p_i's and was described in [BCG+18].

3.2.1. *Residue conditions.* If μ has r non-positive entries then we denote by $\mathfrak{R}(\mu)$ the subspace of $\mathbb{C}^r$ with sum equal to 0. If R is a linear subspace of $\mathfrak{R}(\mu)$, then we denote by $\mathcal{H}(\mu, R) \subset \mathcal{H}(\mu)$ and $\mathbb{P}\overline{\mathcal{H}}(\mu, R) \subset \mathbb{P}\overline{\mathcal{H}}(\mu)$ the space of differentials with residues in R (up to a scalar in the projectivized case).

3.2.2. *Boundary components of $\mathbb{P}\overline{\mathcal{H}}(\mu)$.* We recall that a non-trivial stable graph Γ determines a boundary component of the moduli space of curves

$$\zeta_\Gamma : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_\Gamma = \prod_{v \in V(\Gamma)} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g(v),n(v)} \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$$

with $g = g(\Gamma)$ and n the number of markings. A bicolored graph (Γ, m) determines two moduli spaces:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}(\Gamma, m, R)_0 &= \prod_{v \in V_0} \mathcal{H}(\mu(v), R_v) \\
\mathcal{H}(\Gamma, m, R)_{-1} &= \prod_{v \in V_{-1}} \mathcal{H}(\mu(v), R_v),
\end{aligned}$$

where for all v the vector space R_v is defined by the so-called *global residue condition* defined in [BCG+18]. Moreover, it determines a morphism

$$\zeta_{(\Gamma,m)} : \mathbb{P}\mathcal{H}(\Gamma, m, R)_0 \times \mathbb{P}\mathcal{H}(\Gamma, m, R)_{-1} \to \mathbb{P}\overline{\mathcal{H}}(\mu, R).$$

Denote by $\mathbb{P}\overline{\mathcal{H}}(\Gamma, m, R)$ the Zariski closure of the image of this morphism.

Besides, if (Γ, m) is a twisted graph with exactly one horizontal edge, then we denote by $\mathbb{P}\overline{\mathcal{H}}(\Gamma, m, R) \subset \mathbb{P}\overline{\mathcal{H}}(\mu, R)$ the subspace of differentials whose underlying curve sits in the image of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_\Gamma$. With these notations at hand, $\mathbb{P}\overline{\mathcal{H}}(\mu, R)$ is the union of the $\mathbb{P}\overline{\mathcal{H}}(\Gamma, m, R)$ for (Γ, m) bicolored graphs and twisted graphs with exactly one horizontal edge compatible with μ.

3.2.3. *Generalization of spin parity.* If μ is odd, then the parity of a point $(C, x, \eta) \in \mathcal{H}(\mu)$ is the parity of $h^0\big(C, \mathcal{O}\big(\frac{m_1-1}{2} + \ldots + \frac{m_n-1}{2}\big)\big)$.

Besides, if μ has only odd entries apart from the first two which are equal to 0, and $R \subset \mathfrak{R}(\mu)$ is the vector space defined by $r_1 + r_2 = 0$, then the parity of a point in $(C, x, \eta) \in \mathcal{H}(\mu, R)$ is defined as the parity of the differentials in the desingularization of the node created by attaching the two poles of order 1 (see [Boi15] for the details of this construction). Then, as in the holomorphic case, we denote by

$$[\mathbb{P}\overline{\mathcal{H}}(\mu, R)]^\pm = [\mathbb{P}\overline{\mathcal{H}}(\mu, R)]^+ - [\mathbb{P}\overline{\mathcal{H}}(\mu, R)]^-.$$

3.3. **Functions defined by intersection numbers in genus 0.** Here, we define three functions f, φ, and $\varphi^{\pm}$ as intersection numbers on strata of differentials of genus 0. We also show how to compute these functions recursively. The results collected in this section will only be used in Section 4 to manipulate the sums indexed by different families of graphs of rational type.

3.3.1. *The f-function.* Let $\mu = (m_1, m_2, \ldots) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ be vector of length $n \geq 3$ such that: no entry of μ is equal to 0, m_1 and m_2 are positive, and $|\mu| = n - 2$, where $|\mu| = \sum_{i=1}^{n} m_i$. Then, we define

$$f(\mu) = \int_{\mathbb{P}\overline{\mathcal{H}}(\mu, \{0\})} \prod_{\substack{i \geq 3 \\ \text{s.t. } m_i > 0}} m_i \, \psi_i \,,$$

where $\{0\}$ stands for the trivial vector space. Note that this definition differs from the one in [CMSZ20] by a product of the m_i.

This function may be computed inductively by using twisted graphs. We fix an index i in $[\![2, n]\!] \setminus \{3\}$. We say that a twisted graph Γ of genus 0 is of *type f_i* if it has exactly two vertices $v_0 > v_{-1}$, one (non-horizontal) edge e and satisfies:

- The legs i and 1 are on one of the vertices, and the leg 3 is on the other one.
- The vertex v_0 (respectively v_{-1}) has exactly 1 (respectively 2) of the legs with index in $\{1, i, 3\}$.

Moreover, write $\Gamma \vdash f_i$ to denote that Γ is of type f_i and compatible with μ. Then we set

$$f(\Gamma) = f(\mu(v_{-1})) \cdot f(\mu(v_0)),$$

where we order the entries of $\mu(v_{-1})$ and $\mu(v_0)$ in such a way that the first entries of $\mu(v_{-1})$ are the m_j's for the values $j \in \{1, i, 3\}$ and incident to v_{-1}, while the first entries of $\mu(v_0)$ are m_e and m_j for the last value $j \in \{1, i, 3\}$.

The following lemma generalizes Proposition 2.1 of [CMSZ20] (case $i = 2$ of the recursion formula).

Lemma 3.7. *If $m_i < 0$ for $i > 2$, then we have:*

$$f(\mu) = (n-3)! \, [t^{m_2}] \prod_{i > 2} t \frac{1 - t^{-m_i}}{1 - t}$$

where $[t^{m_2}]$ stands for m_2-coefficient in the variable t. Moreover, if $m_3 > 0$, then for all $i \in [\![2, n]\!] \setminus \{3\}$ we have the following relation:

$$f(\mu) = m_3 \sum_{\Gamma \vdash f_i} f(\Gamma),$$

where the sum is over all twisted graphs of type f_i compatible with μ.

Proof. We use the same approach as in [CMSZ20]. For all i, we have

$$\psi_3 = \sum_{\Sigma \subset \{1, \ldots, n\} \setminus \{1, i, 3\}} \zeta_{\Gamma_{\Sigma}*}(1) \in H^2(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}, \mathbb{Q}),$$

where Γ_{Σ} is the graph with one edge and one of the vertices carries the markings in $\Sigma \cup \{1, i\}$ while the other vertex carries the other markings. Then the intersection of $\zeta_{\Gamma_{\Sigma}*}(1)$ with

$$\mathcal{H}(\mu, \{0\}) \cdot \prod_{\substack{i \geq 4 \\ \text{s.t. } m_i > 0}} m_i \, \psi_i$$

is non-trivial if and only if the unique structure of twisted graph on the stable graph defining δ_Σ satisfies the constraints of the type f_i. In that case, this intersection is exactly given by $f(\Gamma_\Sigma)$ (see [CMSZ20]). $\square$

We use this lemma to show another identity satisfied by the function f. For $i \in [\![3, n]\!]$, we say a twisted graph is of *type* f_i' if it satisfies the same conditions as for the type f_i, but interchanging the roles of the markings 2 and 3. Its contribution is also determined by the same formula as for graphs of type f_i by interchanging the roles of the markings 2 and 3.

Lemma 3.8. *If m_1, m_2, m_3 are positive, then we have:*

$$\sum_{\Gamma \vdash f_2} (m_e + m_3) \, f(\Gamma) \;=\; \sum_{\Gamma \vdash f_3'} (m_e + m_2) \, f(\Gamma).$$

Proof. We have the following identity in $H^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n+2}, \mathbb{Q})$:

$$(m_3 \psi_3 - m_2 \psi_2) \, p_* \left[\mathbb{P}\overline{\mathcal{H}}(\mu, \{0\}) \right]$$
$$= \sum_{\Gamma \in \mathrm{Bic}_{2,3}} m_{E(\Gamma)} \, p_*[\mathbb{P}\overline{\mathcal{H}}(\Gamma, m, \{0\})] \;-\; \sum_{\Gamma \in \mathrm{Bic}_{3,2}} m_{E(\Gamma)} \, p_*[\mathbb{P}\overline{\mathcal{H}}(\Gamma, m, \{0\})],$$

where $\mathrm{Bic}_{j,j'}$ is the set of bicolored graphs with the leg j incident to a vertex in V_{-1} and j' is incident to a vertex in V_0 (see [Sau19, Theorem 6]), and $m_{E(\Gamma)} = \prod_{e \in E(\Gamma)} m_e$. We intersect this identity with

$$\prod_{\substack{i \geq 4 \\ \text{s.t. } m_i > 0}} m_i \, \psi_i \, .$$

On the left-hand side, we use Lemma 3.7 as sums over graphs of type f_2 or f_3'. On the right-hand side, only the graphs of type f_2 and f_3' give a non-trivial contribution on the right-hand side (see [CMSZ20, Section 2.4]. All in all, we obtain the following expression:

$$\sum_{\Gamma \vdash f_2} m_3 \, f(\Gamma) \;-\; \sum_{\Gamma \vdash f_3'} m_2 \, f(\Gamma) \;=\; \sum_{\Gamma \vdash f_3'} m_e \, f(\Gamma) \;-\; \sum_{\Gamma \vdash f_2} m_e \, f(\Gamma),$$

which is the desired identity. $\square$

3.3.2. *The φ-function.* Now, let μ be a vector of length $n + 2$ satisfying: m_1 is positive, m_{n+1} and m_{n+2} are non-positive, no other entry is zero, and $|\mu| = n$. Then, we denote

$$\varphi(\mu) \;=\; \int_{\mathbb{P}\overline{\mathcal{H}}(\mu, R_{1,2})} \prod_{\substack{i \geq 2 \\ \text{s.t. } m_i > 0}} m_i \, \psi_i \, ,$$

where $R_{1,2}$ is the vector space defined by $r_{n+1} + r_{n+2} = 0$ while all other residues are equal to 0. Moreover, if all entries of μ are odd apart from $m_{n+1} = m_{n+2} = 0$, we denote:

$$\varphi^\pm(\mu) \;=\; \int_{[\mathbb{P}\overline{\mathcal{H}}(\mu, R_{1,2})]^\pm} \prod_{\substack{i \geq 2 \\ \text{s.t. } m_i > 0}} m_i \, \psi_i \, .$$

We will need three types of graphs of rational type of genus 0 to compute φ and $\varphi^\pm$ inductively (see, also, Fig. 2). A graph of rational type Γ is of

Type of twisted graph	Contribution
Type f_2	
Type φ_1	
Type φ_2	
Type φ_3	

FIGURE 2. The types of twisted graphs involved in the induction formulas defining f and φ. Only the twists at the first legs and the half-edges of the edge are indicated. Besides, the letters $\mathbf{f}$ or $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$ above each vertex indicate which function is used to define the contribution of the graph.

- *Type φ_1* if it has one edge e, the legs 1 and $n+1$ are incident to a vertex v_{-1} which is lower than the vertex v_0 carrying the leg 2. Then, we set

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(\Gamma) &= \varphi(\mu(v_{-1})) \cdot f(\mu(v_0)), \\ \varphi^{\pm}(\Gamma) &= \varphi^{\pm}(\mu(v_{-1})) \cdot f(\mu(v_0)), \end{aligned}$$

where $\mu(v_{-1}) = (m_1, \ldots, m_{n+1}, -m_e)$ and $\mu(v_0) = (m_2, m_e, \ldots)$.

- *Type φ'_1* if it has one edge e, the legs 2 and $n+2$ are incident to a vertex v_{-1} which is lower than the vertex v_0 carrying the legs 1 and $n+1$. Then, we set

$$\varphi(\Gamma) = \varphi(\mu(v_{-1})) \cdot f(\mu(v_0))$$

where $\mu(v_{-1}) = (m_2, \ldots, m_{n+2}, -m_e)$ and $\mu(v_0) = (m_1, m_e, \ldots)$. (Note that this configuration may only occur if m_{n+1} is negative thus we do not need to define $\varphi^{\pm}$ for such a graph).

- *Type φ_2* if it has one edge, which is horizontal, the legs 1 and $n+1$ are incident to a vertex v_1 and the legs 2 and $n+2$ to the vertex v_2. Then, we set

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(\Gamma) &= \varphi(\mu(v_1)) \cdot \varphi(\mu(v_2)), \\ \varphi^{\pm}(\Gamma) &= \varphi^{\pm}(\mu(v_1)) \cdot \varphi^{\pm}(\mu(v_2)), \end{aligned}$$

where $\mu(v_1) = (m_1, \ldots, m_{n+1}, 0)$ and $\mu(v_0) = (m_2, \ldots, 0, m_{n+2})$.

- *Type φ_3 if it has two edges, both not horizontal, and the legs 1 and $n+1$ are incident to a vertex v_1, the legs 2 and $n+2$ to a vertex v_2 which are both connected to an upper vertex v_0 with edges e_1 and e_2. Then, we set*

$$\varphi(\Gamma) \ = \ (m_{e_1} + m_{e_2}) \cdot \varphi(\mu(v_1)) \cdot f(\mu(v_0)) \cdot \varphi(\mu(v_2)),$$

where $\mu(v_1) = (m_1, \ldots, m_{n+1}, -m_{e_1})$, $\mu(v_2) = (m_2, \ldots, -m_{e_2}, m_{n+2})$ and $\mu(v_{-1}) = (m_{e_1}, m_{e_2}, \ldots)$.

The function φ may be computed by the following lemma.

Lemma 3.9. *If $m_i < 0$ for all $1 < i \le n$, then:*

$$\varphi(\mu) \ = \ (n-1)! \prod_{i=2}^{n} -m_i, \quad and \quad \varphi^{\pm}(\mu) = -(n-1)!.$$

If $m_2 > 0$, then we may compute φ and $\varphi^{\pm}$ recursively:

$$\varphi(\mu) \ = \ m_2 \sum_{\Gamma \vdash \varphi_1, \varphi_1', \varphi_2, \varphi_3} \varphi(\Gamma),$$

$$\varphi^{\pm}(\mu) \ = \ m_2 \sum_{\Gamma \vdash \varphi_1, \varphi_2} \varphi^{\pm}(\Gamma),$$

where the sums are over graphs of type $\varphi_1, \varphi_1', \varphi_2$, or φ_3 compatible with μ.

Proof. The base cases of the lemma are given by Proposition 3.8 and Lemma 4.8 of [CC19]. We use the same strategy to prove the induction formula as the one used for f. We use the following relation in $H^2(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n+2}, \mathbb{Q})$:

$$\psi_2 = \sum_{\Sigma \subset \{3, \ldots, n+2\} \setminus \{n+1\}} \delta_\Sigma \in H^2(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n+2}, \mathbb{Q})$$

where $\delta_\Sigma = \zeta_{\Gamma_\Sigma *}(1)$, and Γ_Σ for the unique graph with one edge and one vertex carrying the legs in $\{1, n+1\} \cup \Sigma$ (while the other one carries the others).

Let Σ be a set appearing in the expression of ψ_2. The schematic intersection of δ_Σ with $\mathbb{P}\overline{\mathcal{H}}(\mu, R_{1,2})$ is the union of all divisors of $\mathbb{P}\overline{\mathcal{H}}(\mu, R_{1,2})$ defined by bicolored graphs or twisted graphs with one horizontal edge and which have an edge which separates $\{x_1, n+1\} \cup \Sigma$ from the other legs. To compute the intersection number of $(\delta_\Sigma \cdot [\mathbb{P}\overline{\mathcal{H}}(\mu, R_{1,2})])$ with

$$\prod_{\substack{i \ge 2 \\ \text{s.t. } m_i > 0}} m_i \, \psi_i$$

we only need to consider the twisted graphs that do not vanish once we push forward the class $[\mathbb{P}\overline{\mathcal{H}}(\Gamma, m, R_{1,2})]$ along $p : \mathbb{P}\overline{\mathcal{H}}(\mu, R_{1,2}) \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n+2}$. This is the case only for the types of graphs $\varphi_1, \varphi_1', \varphi_2$ and φ_3. Namely, as there are exactly two poles with opposite residues, there may be only two vertices per level. Indeed:

- If (Γ, m) has a unique horizontal edge, then the two poles $n+1$ and $n+2$ should be carried by the two distinct vertices. Otherwise, the residue at the edge would vanish and the graph would define a space of dimension smaller than $\dim(\mathbb{P}\overline{\mathcal{H}}(\mu, R_{1,2})) - 1$.
- If (Γ, m) is a bicolored graph, then V_{-1} may have up to 2 vertices and V_0 only 1. If V_{-1} has two vertices, then necessarily, each vertex carries one of the poles with non-vanishing residue. This gives the type φ_1, φ_1' and φ_3.

The multiplicity of the graphs of type φ_1, φ_1' and φ_2 is one as for the graphs of type f. Thus we only need to show that a graph (Γ, m) of type φ_3 contributes trivially to the function $\varphi^{\pm}$ and with multiplicity $m_{e_1} + m_{e_2}$ to φ.

To do this we choose $i = 1$ or 2. Then the edge e_i determines a set Σ as: the set of legs incident to the vertex v_1 if $i = 1$, and the set of legs incident to the vertices v_1 and v_0 if $i = 2$. In both cases we will show that

$$\delta_{\Sigma} \cdot [\mathbb{P}\overline{\mathcal{H}}(\mu, R_{1,2})] = m_{3-i}\, \mathbb{P}\overline{\mathcal{H}}(\Gamma, m, R_{1,2})],$$

while $\delta_{\Sigma} \cdot [\mathbb{P}\overline{\mathcal{H}}(\mu, R_{1,2})]^{\pm} = 0$. Indeed, a generic point $y \in \mathbb{P}\mathcal{H}(\Gamma, m, R_{1,2})$ has neighborhood in $\mathbb{P}\overline{\mathcal{H}}(\mu, R_{1,2})$ given by $\Delta \times G \times U$, where U is neighborhood of y in $\mathbb{P}\mathcal{H}(\Gamma, m, R_{1,2})$, G is a discrete set of cardinality $\gcd(m_{e_1}, m_{e_2})$, and Δ is an open disk of $\mathbb{C}$ containing 0 parametrized by some parameter ϵ (see [Sau19, Lemma 5.6]). Moreover, the neighborhood of the node corresponding to e_i in the universal curve $\mathcal{C} \to \Delta \times G \times U$ is given by $z \times w = \epsilon^{\mathrm{lcm}(m_{e_1}, m_{e_2})/m_{e_i}}$. Therefore, the intersection of $\mathbb{P}\overline{\mathcal{H}}(\mu, R_{1,2})$ with δ_{Σ} is equal to

$$\gcd(m_{e_1}, m_{e_2}) \times \frac{\mathrm{lcm}(m_{e_1}, m_{e_2})}{m_{e_i}} \;=\; \frac{m_{e_1} m_{e_2}}{m_{e_i}},$$

which is the expected contribution for the function φ.

For the function $\varphi^{\pm}$, we consider the parity of the generic element in

$$U \times \{\gamma\} \times (\Delta \setminus \{0\})$$

for an element in $\gamma \in G$. As both vertices have even twists, half of the elements of G give even or odd parity (see [CSS21, Proposition 5.2]). Therefore the intersection of δ_{Σ} with $[\mathbb{P}\overline{\mathcal{H}}(\mu, R_{1,2})^{+}] - [\mathbb{P}\overline{\mathcal{H}}(\mu, R_{1,2})^{-}]$ is trivial. $\qquad\square$

3.4. **Intersection of strata with δ_0.** From now on μ is a vector of *positive* entries. We recall from [CMSZ20] the following induction formula for the normalized volume (see Notation 2.22).

Proposition 3.10. *If $n \geq 2$, then we have the following relation:*

$$\mathrm{vol}(\mu) \;=\; \sum_{\Gamma \in \mathrm{BB}(\mu)_2} f(\mu(v_{-1})) \frac{\prod_{e \in E(\Gamma)} m_e}{|\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma)|} \prod_{v \in V_0} \mathrm{vol}(\mu(v)),$$

$$\mathrm{vol}^{\pm}(\mu) \;=\; \sum_{\Gamma \in \mathrm{BB}(\mu)_2} f(\mu(v_{-1})) \frac{\prod_{e \in E(\Gamma)} m_e}{|\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma)|} \prod_{v \in V_0} \mathrm{vol}^{\pm}(\mu(v)),$$

where $\mathrm{BB}(\mu)_2$ is the set of rational backbone graphs compatible with μ such that the second leg is incident to the vertex v_{-1} in V_{-1}, and where $\mu(v_{-1}) = (m_1, m_2, \ldots)$ is the vector of twists at half-edges incident to v_{-1}.

Here we will prove the following expression of the functions d_1 and $d_1^{\pm}$ in terms of the volume function.

Proposition 3.11. *For all μ, we have*

$$d_1(\mu) \;=\; \sum_{\Gamma \in \mathrm{BB}(\mu)_0} \varphi(\mu(v_{-1})) \frac{\prod_{e \in E(\Gamma)} m_e}{2\,|\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma)|} \prod_{v \in V_0} \mathrm{vol}(\mu(v)),$$

$$d_1^{\pm}(\mu) \;=\; \sum_{\Gamma \in \mathrm{BB}(\mu)_0} \varphi^{\pm}(\mu(v_{-1})) \frac{\prod_{e \in E(\Gamma)} m_e}{2\,|\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma)|} \prod_{v \in V_0} \mathrm{vol}^{\pm}(\mu(v)),$$

where $\mathrm{BB}(\mu)_0$ *is the set of rational backbone graphs compatible with* $(m_1, \ldots, m_n, 0, 0)$ *with the legs* $n + 1$ *and* $n + 2$ *incident to* v_{-1}, *and* $\mu(v_{-1}) = (m_1, \ldots, 0, 0)$ *is the vector of twist at half-edges incident to* v_{-1}.

Proof. To prove this proposition we will proceed in two steps: (i) we express $\delta_0 \cdot [\mathbb{P}\overline{\mathcal{H}}(\mu)]$ in terms of boundary component of $\mathbb{P}\overline{\mathcal{H}}(\mu)$; (ii) we use the results of [Sau19] to compute the intersection of ξ with these boundary components.

Intersection of strata with δ_0. Let X be connected component of $\mathbb{P}\overline{\mathcal{H}}(\mu)$. Up to loci of co-dimension 2, the schematic intersection of $\mathbb{P}\overline{X}$ and δ_0 is contained in the union of the spaces $\mathbb{P}\overline{\mathcal{H}}(\Gamma, m)$, where (Γ, m) is either the unique graph with one non-separating horizontal edge, or a bicolored graph with $h^1(\Gamma) > 0$. We will show that graphs of the second type contribute trivially to the integral of β_1 (see (9) for the definition of β_1).

Let (Γ, m) be a bicolored graph with $h^1(\Gamma) > 0$ and let X be a connected component of $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma, m)$. We have

$$\beta_1 \cdot [\mathbb{P}\overline{X}] = p_*(\xi^{2g-2} \cdot [\mathbb{P}\overline{X}]) \cdot \prod_{i=2}^{n} m_i \, \psi_i \,,$$

where $p : \mathbb{P}\overline{\mathcal{H}}(\mu) \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ is the forgetful morphism of the differential. We have $p_*(\xi^{2g-2} \cdot [\mathbb{P}\overline{X}]) = 0$ by [CMSZ20, Proposition 3.10] (actually the proposition is given there for the complete stratum $\mathbb{P}\overline{\mathcal{H}}(\mu)$, but the arguments can be transposed directly for all connected components). Therefore, as δ_0 intersect transversally along (Γ_0, m_0), the unique graph with one non-separating horizontal edge, we have:

$$d_1(\mu) = \int_{\mathbb{P}\overline{\mathcal{H}}(\Gamma_0, m_0)} \beta_i = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{P}\overline{\mathcal{H}}(\mu + (0,0))} \xi^{2g-2} \prod_{i=2}^{n} m_i \, \psi_i$$

(the factor $1/2$ comes from the automorphism group of (Γ_0, m_0)). Moreover, we also get the spin analogue:

$$d_1(\mu) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{[\mathbb{P}\overline{\mathcal{H}}(\mu + (0,0))]^{\pm}} \xi^{2g-2} \prod_{i=2}^{n} m_i \, \psi_i \,.$$

Expression of ξ on $\mathbb{P}\overline{\mathcal{H}}(\mu + (0,0))$. We use [Sau19] to write ξ as a linear combination of boundary divisors. Indeed,

$$\xi \cdot [\mathbb{P}\overline{\mathcal{H}}(\mu + (0,0))] = [\mathbb{P}\overline{\mathcal{H}}(\mu + (0,0), \{0\})] + \text{ boundary terms.}$$

However, $\mathbb{P}\overline{\mathcal{H}}(\mu + (0,0), \{0\})$ is empty as there can be no pole of order exactly one with vanishing residue. The boundary terms are supported on all bicolored graphs (Γ, m) with the two poles incident to vertices of V_{-1}. We will show that if X is a connected component of $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma, m)$ which is not in $\mathrm{BB}(\mu)_0$, then $\int_{\mathbb{P}\overline{X}} \xi^{2g-3} \prod_{i=2}^{n} \psi_i$ vanishes.

First, if we assume that (Γ, m) is not a rational backbone graph, then $p_*(\xi^{2g-3} \cdot [\mathbb{P}\overline{X}]) = 0$ by the same arguments used to prove Proposition 3.10 of [CMSZ20]. Thus we choose a rational backbone graph (Γ, m). Then $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma)_{-1}$ has dimension

n_{-1}, where n_{-1} is the number of legs in $[\![1, n]\!]$ incident to the vertex of V_{-1}. Thus its projectivization has dimension $n_{-1} - 1$, and we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{P}\overline{\mathcal{H}}_{(\Gamma, m)}} \prod_{i=2}^{n} \psi_i = 0$$

unless 1 is incident to the vertex of V_0. Putting everything together, we obtain the following result:

$$\xi \cdot [\mathbb{P}\overline{\mathcal{H}}(\mu + (0, 0))] = \sum_{\Gamma \in \mathrm{BB}(\mu)_0} \left(\prod_{e \in E(\Gamma)} m_e \right) \cdot [\mathbb{P}\overline{\mathcal{H}}(\Gamma)] + \Delta$$

where $\int_\Delta \xi^{2g-3} \prod_{i=2}^{n} \psi_i = 0$. Moreover, if $(\Gamma, m) \in \mathrm{BB}(\mu)_0$, then we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{P}\overline{\mathcal{H}}(\Gamma, m)} \xi^{2g-3} \prod_{i=2}^{n} m_i \psi_i = \frac{\varphi(\mu(v_{-1}))}{|\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma)|} \prod_{v \in V_0} \mathrm{vol}(\mu(v)).$$

This is the desired expression of $d_1(\mu)$. Also, if μ is odd, then we have

$$\int_{[\mathbb{P}\overline{\mathcal{H}}(\Gamma, m)]^\pm} \xi^{2g-3} \prod_{i=2}^{n} m_i \psi_i = \frac{\varphi^\pm(\mu(v_{-1}))}{|\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma)|} \prod_{v \in V_0} \mathrm{vol}^\pm(\mu(v)).$$

Indeed, a backbone graph is of compact type thus the parity of a generic element of $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma, I)$ is defined as the product of the parities of the elements in $\mathcal{H}(\mu(v), R_v)$ for all vertices of Γ (see [Cor89]). $\qquad\square$

4. Chains and cylinder configurations

In this section we use Proposition 3.11 to express $d_1(\mu)$ and $d_1^\pm(\mu)$ as sums over cylinder configuration.

4.1. **Chains.** Here we define a category of graphs called chains to encode cylinder configurations in the spirit of [CC19].

Definition 4.1. A *chain* is a graph of rational type Γ with $n+2$ legs and a partition $D(\Gamma) = F(\Gamma) \sqcup P(\Gamma)$ satisfying the following constraints:

- $m(n+1) = m(n+2) = 0$, and the other legs have positive twists. Moreover, the first leg is incident to the same vertex as the $(n+1)$-st.
- If $v \in F(\Gamma)$ (set of "figure eights" in the terminology of [EMZ03]), then v has exactly 1 incident edge.
- If $v \in P(\Gamma)$ (set of "pairs of holes" in the terminology of [EMZ03]), then v has exactly 2 incident edges.
- Each vertex in $R(\Gamma)$ has exactly two of the following half-edges: the leg $(n+1)$ or $(n+2)$, or the half-edge of a horizontal edge or of an edge to a vertex in $P(\Gamma)$.
- Each vertex in $R(\Gamma)$ has exactly one leg with index in $[\![1, n]\!]$.

We say a chain Γ is *odd* if all positive twists are odd. Denote by $\mathrm{CH}(\mu)$ and $\mathrm{CH}(\mu)^{\mathrm{odd}}$ the set of (odd) chains compatible with $(m_1, \ldots, m_n, 0, 0)$.

Observe that each vertex has an even number of incident half-edges with even twists. As $m(n+1) = m(n+2) = 0$, it follows that each vertex in $R(\Gamma)$ has at least two incident half-edges with even twists. Hence, if Γ is odd, then $P(\Gamma)$ is empty.

FIGURE 3. Example of chain in $\mathrm{CH}(6, 6, 3, 2, 2, 2, 2)$.

Definition 4.2. Let $1 \leq i \leq n$. A *cylinder configuration marked by* x_1 is the data of: a cylinder configuration of a stratum of abelian differentials (in the sense of [EMZ03] or [CC19]), and the choice of a side of one of the cylinders which is bounded by the marking x_1.

The data of a cylinder configurations marked by x_1 is equivalent to the choice of: (i) a chain in $\mathrm{CH}(\mu)$, (ii) an order on the vertices in $F(\Gamma)$ connected to v for all v in $R(\Gamma)$, (iii) an integer $1 \leq a_e \leq m_e$ for all edges incident to a vertex in $F(\Gamma)$ or $P(\Gamma)$, (iv) a choice of connected component of the space $\mathcal{H}(\mu(v))$ for all $v \in F(\Gamma)$ and $P(\Gamma)$. We use this fact to express the number $c_1(\mu)$ as a sum over chains.

Example 4.3. On Figure 3, we represented a chain for $\mu = (6, 6, 3, 2, 2, 2, 2)$. The vertices in $R(\Gamma), F(\Gamma)$, and $P(\Gamma)$ are of color green, red, and blue respectively. Using the notation of [EMZ03] (in particular the non-logarithmic convention), the configurations associated to this graph are of the form:

$$\Rightarrow (\overline{\alpha_1 + (1 - \alpha_1)}, 1) \to (\overline{1}, \overline{0}, 2, 1) \Rightarrow (\overline{\alpha_2 + (1 - \alpha_2)}, 1) \to (\overline{0 + 0}) \Rightarrow,$$
$$\text{or } \Rightarrow (\overline{\alpha_1 + (1 - \alpha_1)}, 1) \to (\overline{1}, \overline{0}, 2, 1) \Rightarrow (\overline{0 + 0}) \to (\overline{\alpha_2 + (1 - \alpha_2)}, 1) \Rightarrow,$$

for α_1 and α_2 equal to 0 or 1. Here $\alpha_i = a_i - 1$ in our system of notation.

Let Γ be a chain. We define the contribution of a vertex v of Γ according to the type of vertex:

- If $v \in R(\Gamma)$, then $\widehat{c}(v) := m_i (n(v) - 3)!$, where m_i is the marking of the unique leg incident to v;
- If $v \in F(\Gamma)$, then $\widehat{c}(v) := m_e |\mu(v)| \operatorname{vol}(\mu(v))$, where e is the unique edge incident to v;
- If $v \in P(\Gamma)$, then $\widehat{c}(v) := |\mu(v)| \operatorname{vol}(\mu(v))$.

Then, we define

$$\widehat{\operatorname{cont}}(\Gamma) := \frac{1}{|\operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma)|} \prod_{v \in V(\Gamma)} \widehat{c}(v).$$

If μ is odd and Γ is odd, then we define $\widehat{c^\pm}(v) := -m_i\,(n(v) - 3)!$ for a vertex $v \in R(\Gamma)$, and $\widehat{c^\pm}(v) = -|\mu(v)|\,\mathrm{vol}^\pm(\mu(v))$ for a vertex $v \in F(\Gamma)$, and we set:

$$\widehat{\mathrm{cont}}^\pm(\Gamma) := \frac{1}{|\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma)|} \prod_{v \in V(\Gamma)} \widehat{c^\pm}(v).$$

Proposition 4.4. *The following identity holds*

$$c_1(\mu) = \frac{(-4\pi^2)^{-1}}{2\,m_1\,\mathrm{vol}(\mu)} \sum_{\Gamma \in \mathrm{CH}(\mu)} \widehat{\mathrm{cont}}(\Gamma).$$

Moreover, if μ is odd, we have

$$c_1^\pm(\mu) = \frac{(-4\pi^2)^{-1}}{2\,m_1\,\mathrm{vol}(\mu)} \sum_{\Gamma \in \mathrm{CH}(\mu)^{\mathrm{odd}}} \widehat{\mathrm{cont}}^\pm(\Gamma).$$

Proof. Let Γ be a chain graph. We choose a connected component X_v of $\mathcal{H}(\mu(v))$ for all vertices in $F(\Gamma)$ and $P(\Gamma)$. All the marked cylinder configurations with chain graph Γ and with the same choices of (X_v) have the same Siegel–Veech constant, given by

$$\frac{(-4\pi^2)^{-1}}{m_1 \cdot \mathrm{vol}(\mu)} \left(\prod_{v \in R(\Gamma)} m_i \right) \times \left(\prod_{v \in F(\Gamma)} |\mu(v)| \cdot \mathrm{vol}(X_v) \right) \times \left(\prod_{v \in P(\Gamma)} |\mu(v)| \cdot \mathrm{vol}(X_v) \right),$$

where, in the first product m_i is the twist of the unique leg incident to $v \in R(\Gamma)$ (see [EMZ03], Formulas 13.1 and 14.4). Besides, there are

$$\frac{1}{|\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma)|} \left(\prod_{v \in R(\Gamma)} (n(v) - 3)! \right) \times \left(\prod_{e \mapsto v \in F(\Gamma)} m_e \right)$$

such configurations. Indeed the first product accounts for all choices of orders on the vertices of $F(\Gamma)$ connected to the vertices in $R(\Gamma)$, while the second product accounts for the choice of the a_e for edges incident to the vertices in $F(\Gamma)$. Thus the first identity follows as $c_1(\mu)$ is the sum of the Siegel–Veech constants of all configurations.

To obtain the second identity, we recall that if $\Gamma \in \mathrm{CH}(\mu) \setminus \mathrm{CH}(\mu)^{\mathrm{odd}}$ then half of the choices of tuples $(a_e)_{e \mapsto v \in F(\Gamma)}$ contribute to the even or odd component (see [EMZ03], Lemma 14.4). Thus the contribution of the odd and even components compensate and Γ contributes trivially to $c_1^\pm(\mu)$. Besides, if $\Gamma \in \mathrm{CH}(\mu)^{\mathrm{odd}}$, then by [EMZ03, Lemma 14.2] the parity of the configuration is the parity of

$$\#R(\Gamma) + \sum_{e \mapsto v \in F(\Gamma)} a_e + \sum_{v \in F(\Gamma)} \phi(X_v)$$

where $\phi(X_v)$ equals 0 or 1 if X_v is an even or odd component respectively. Thus, for each edge e incident to a vertex in $F(\Gamma)$, we have $(a_e - 1)/2$ terms which give the same parity while the other $(a_e + 1)/2$ produce the inverse parity, thus only one of these choices of a_e contributes. $\square$

4.2. **Expanded chains.** Proposition 4.4 provides an expression of $c_1(\mu)$ as a sum over chains in $\mathrm{CH}(\mu)$, while Proposition 3.11 above provides an expression of $d_1(\mu)$ as a sum over backbone graphs. To compare c_1 and d_1, we introduce a family of sets of graphs of rational type $\mathrm{ECH}(\mu)_i$, the *expanded chains of complexity i* for $i = 1, \ldots, n$ (see Definition 4.7 below). We will also construct maps between the different sets of graphs of rational type constructed until here:

$$\mathrm{BB}(\mu)_0 \simeq \mathrm{ECH}(\mu)_1 \overset{F_2}{\leftarrow} \mathrm{ECH}(\mu)_2 \overset{F_3}{\leftarrow} \ldots \overset{F_n}{\leftarrow} \mathrm{ECH}(\mu)_n$$

$$\Big\downarrow {\scriptstyle F}$$

$$\mathrm{CH}(\mu).$$

These maps will be used to compare the different expressions of $d_1(\mu)$ by applying Lemmas 3.7 and 3.9 repeatedly.

Definition 4.5. A *pre-expanded chain* is a graph of rational type with $n+2$ legs such that there exists a partition $R(\Gamma) = C(\Gamma) \sqcup L(\Gamma)$ satisfying the following constraints:

- $m(n+1) = m(n+2) = 0$, and the other legs have positive twists. Moreover, the first leg is incident to the same vertex as the $(n+1)$-st.
- Let $(v_0, \ldots, v_k)$ be the shortest path from the vertex v_0 with the leg $n+1$ to the vertex v_k with leg $n+2$. A vertex is in $C(\Gamma)$ (the *core*) if and only if it appears in this path. Thus we have an ordering on the vertices of the core.
- A vertex v in $C(\Gamma)$ is called a *bottom* or a *top* if for all v' in $C(\Gamma)$ connected to v, we have $v \leq v'$ or $v > v'$ respectively.
- All half-edges with vanishing twists are incident to bottoms of $C(\Gamma)$. In particular, all horizontal edges are between two bottoms.
- Each vertex in $D(\Gamma)$ has exactly one edge.
- Each vertex in $L(\Gamma)$ (the set of *links*), has exactly one edge to a lower vertex (it may have any number of edges to upper vertices).
- If v is a vertex in $L(\Gamma)$ or a vertex in $C(\Gamma)$ which is not a top, then v has at least one leg in $[\![1, n]\!]$.

Definition 4.6. Let Γ be an almost expanded chain. For all vertices of Γ, we denote by $\mathrm{ind}(v)$ the minimum of the indices of the legs incident to v and $+\infty$ if there are no legs incident to v. Let v be a top in $C(\Gamma)$. It determines a unique subpath of the core $C(\Gamma)$:

$$(v_{k_1}, v_{k_1+1}, \ldots, v_N = v, v_{N+1}, \ldots, v_{k_2})$$

such that v_N is the unique top of the sequence, and v_{k_1} and v_{k_2} are the only bottoms. We say that v is *admissible* if the minimum of $\mathrm{ind}(v_j)$ for $j = k_1+1, \ldots, k_2$ is reached for $j = N+1$. An almost expanded chain is an *expanded chain* if all tops of $C(\Gamma)$ are admissible.

Definition 4.7. If $1 \leq i \leq n$, then we denote by $\mathrm{ECH}(\mu)_i$ the set of *expanded chains of complexity i*, i.e. the chains satisfying:

- for all $1 \leq j \leq i$, we have: if the j-th leg is incident to a vertex v, then v is not a top of $C(\Gamma)$ and either v in $D(\Gamma)$ or $j = \mathrm{ind}(v)$.
- for all v in $R(\Gamma)$, we have $\mathrm{ind}(v) \leq i$ or v is a top of $C(\Gamma)$.

FIGURE 4. An expanded chain in $\mathrm{ECH}(6,6,3,2,2,2,2)_i$ for $i = 6$ or 7.

To compare the different sets of graphs we define the functions $F_2, \ldots, F_n$ and F (see the above diagram).

4.2.1. *Construction of the maps F_i.* Let Γ be a graph in $\mathrm{ECH}(\mu)_i$. We construct the image of Γ as follows:

- If the i-th leg is incident to a vertex of $D(\Gamma)$, then $F_i(\Gamma) = \Gamma$.
- If the i-th leg is incident to a vertex of $L(\Gamma)$, then $F_i(\Gamma)$ is obtained by contracting the unique edge to a lower vertex.
- If the i-th leg is on a vertex v_j of $C(\Gamma)$ and v_{j-1} is not a top, then $F_i(\Gamma)$ is obtained by contracting the edge between v_{j-1} and v_j; if v_{j-1} is a top, we also contract the edge between v_{j-2} and v_{j-1}.

Note that $F_i(\Gamma)$ then satisfies the first condition of elements in ECH_{i-1} by the admissibility condition, and the second condition because if there is a vertex v in Γ with $\mathrm{ind}(v) = i$, then this vertex is merged with a vertex which has a leg of smaller index incident to it.

4.2.2. *Construction of the map F.* Let Γ be a graph in $\mathrm{ECH}(\mu)_n$. The image of Γ is defined by contracting all edges which are not incident to at least one bottom vertex of $C(\Gamma)$, where the genus of a merged vertex is the sum of the genera of the previous vertices.

Example 4.8. In Figure Fig. 4, we represented an example of an expanded chain in $\mathrm{ECH}(6,6,3,2,2,2,2)_i$ for $i = 6$ or 7 (for simplicity we did not put the twist at the edges as they may be computed from the twists at the legs). The vertices of $C(\Gamma)$ are black dots while the vertex in $L(\Gamma)$ is a white dot. Remark that the admissibility condition is satisfied, as the marking on the vertex following the unique top of $C(\Gamma)$ has index 3 which is smaller than 5 and 6.

Besides, this expanded chain is mapped to the chain of Example 3 under F. We have surrounded in green, red, or blue the subgraphs that have to be contracted to obtain this chain.

4.2.3. *Odd expanded chains.* If μ is odd, then an expanded chain Γ is *odd* if the all positive twists are odd. In particular, $C(\Gamma)$ contains only bottom vertices. Indeed, each vertex has an even number of incident half-edges with even twists, thus each vertex of the core has exactly 2 incident half-edges with even twists (the ones connecting to the previous and next vertex or the legs $n+1$ and $n+2$). As all of these twists are equal to 0, all vertices are bottom and all edges of the core are horizontal. The functions $F_i : \mathrm{ECH}_i^{\mathrm{odd}}(\mu) \to \mathrm{ECH}_{i-1}^{\mathrm{odd}}(\mu)$ and $F : \mathrm{ECH}_n^{\mathrm{odd}}(\mu) \to \mathrm{CH}^{\mathrm{odd}}(\mu)$ are defined by restricting the functions F and F_i to the sets of odd expanded chains.

4.3. **Contribution of expanded chains.** Let Γ be an expanded chain. The contribution $c(v)$ of a vertex v of Γ is defined according to the type of vertex:

- If $v \in D(\Gamma)$, then $c(v) := m_e \, \mathrm{vol}(\mu(v))$, where m_e is the twist at the edge incident to v and $\mu(v)$ is the vector of twists of half-edges incident to v.
- If $v \in L(\Gamma)$, or if $v \in C(\Gamma)$ is neither a top nor a bottom, then we set $c(v) := m_j \, f(\mu(v))$, where $j = \mathrm{ind}(v)$ and $\mu(v) = (m_j, m_e, \ldots)$ with e the unique edge to a lower vertex.
- If v is a top of $C(\Gamma)$, then $c(v) := (m_e + m_{e'}) \, f(\mu(v))$ where m_e and $m_{e'}$ are the twists at the two edges e and e' to lower vertices, and $\mu(v) = (m_e, m_{e'}, \ldots)$.
- If v is a bottom of $C(\Gamma)$, then $c(v) := m_j \, \varphi(\mu(v))$, where $j = \mathrm{ind}(v)$, and $\mu(v) = (m_j, \ldots, m_e, m_{e'})$, where e and e' are the edges to the previous and next vertex in $C(\Gamma)$ if there is one, and else, $m_e = 0$ or $m_{e'} = 0$ respectively.

Then, we define

$$\mathrm{cont}(\Gamma) \;=\; \frac{1}{|\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma)|} \prod_{v \in V(\Gamma)} c(v).$$

Moreover, if μ is odd and Γ is an odd expanded chain, and v is a vertex of Γ, then we define $c^{\pm}(v)$ and $\mathrm{cont}^{\pm}(\Gamma)$ by replacing the function vol by $\mathrm{vol}^{\pm}$ and the function φ by $\varphi^{\pm}$ in the definition of $c(v)$ and $\mathrm{cont}(\Gamma)$.

Proposition 4.9. *Let $1 < i \le n$ and let $\Gamma \in \mathrm{ECH}(\mu)_{i-1}$. We have*

$$\mathrm{cont}(\Gamma) \;=\; \sum_{\Gamma' \in F_i^{-1}\{\Gamma\}} \mathrm{cont}(\Gamma').$$

Besides, if Γ is odd, then

$$\mathrm{cont}^{\pm}(\Gamma) \;=\; \sum_{\Gamma' \in F_i^{-1}\{\Gamma\}} \mathrm{cont}^{\pm}(\Gamma'),$$

where in the last sum we restrict F_i to the sets of odd *expanded chains.*

Proof. Let $i > 1$ and $\Gamma \in \mathrm{ECH}(\mu)_{i-1}$. Any graph in $F_i^{-1}\{\Gamma\}$ is obtained by modifying the vertex v carrying the leg i and not the others. Thus we show that the proposition holds by studying each possible type of vertex for v.

If $v \in D(\Gamma)$, then $\Gamma \in \mathrm{ECH}(\mu)_i$ and $F_i^{-1}\{\Gamma\} = \{\Gamma\}$. Thus, the proposition holds trivially.

If v is in $L(\Gamma)$, then $c(v)$ is given in terms of the function f. Using Lemma 3.7, we may write this function as a sum over all twisted graphs of type f_2, i.e. as all possible ways to split v into 2 vertices (leaving $\mathrm{ind}(v)$ and the edge towards a lower vertex together on the lowest of the created vertices while i is on the upper one). All the graphs of $F_i^{-1}\{\Gamma\}$ are obtained in this way, and we may check that

the induction formula of Lemma 3.7 gives the right contribution of any element of $F_i^{-1}\{\Gamma\}$.

Therefore from now on, we assume that v is in $C(\Gamma)$. First, let us remark that v cannot be a top of $C(\Gamma)$. Namely, v cannot be the result of contracting the edge between a top v_j and a vertex v_{j-1}, as that would violate the first condition of expanded chains of complexity i. Moreover, if v is obtained by contracting the edge between a vertex v_j, a top v_{j-1} and a vertex v_{j-2}, by the admissibility condition, we know that v is a bottom (and not a top).

If v is neither a top nor a bottom, then its contribution is given by the function f. Then we apply the recursion of Lemma 3.7 and we write f as a sum over graphs of type f_h where h is the half-edge of the edge that connects v to the previous vertex of $C(\Gamma)$. Either we obtain two vertices in $C(\Gamma)$, where the closest to v_0 carries the leg $\mathrm{ind}(v)$ while the other carries the leg i; or a vertex in $C(\Gamma)$ with the leg $\mathrm{ind}(v)$ and a vertex in $L(\Gamma)$ carrying the leg i. All the graphs of $F_i^{-1}\{\Gamma\}$ are obtained in this way, and we may check that the induction formula of Lemma 3.7 gives the right contribution of any element of $F_i^{-1}\{\Gamma\}$.

If v is a bottom in $C(\Gamma)$, then its contribution is given by the function φ. Any graph in $F_i^{-1}\{\Gamma\}$ is obtained by splitting v into 2 or 3 vertices of type $\varphi_1, \varphi_1', \varphi_2$, or φ_3. Then the induction formula of Lemma 3.9 shows that the contribution of Γ may be written as the sum of the contributions of $F_i^{-1}\{\Gamma\}$ as in the previous case. $\qquad\square$

4.4. **Contribution of chains.** The purpose of this section is to show the following identities.

Proposition 4.10. *Let $\Gamma \in \mathrm{CH}(\mu)$. We have:*

$$\widehat{\mathrm{cont}}(\Gamma) = \sum_{\Gamma' \in F^{-1}\{\Gamma\}} \mathrm{cont}(\Gamma'),$$

and if Γ is odd, then

$$\widehat{\mathrm{cont}}^{\pm}(\Gamma) = \sum_{\Gamma' \in F^{-1}\{\Gamma\}} \mathrm{cont}^{\pm}(\Gamma').$$

To prove these identities we need two extra families of combinatorial objects, called rooted trees and expanded pairs of holes.

Definition 4.11. Let $\Sigma \subset [\![1, n]\!]$ and p be a positive integer. A *rooted tree* is a graph of rational type with $1 + |\Sigma|$ legs indexed by $\{r\} \cup \Sigma$, which is either the trivial graph (i.e., the stable graph with one vertex and no edges) or is such that:

- No edge is horizontal.
- Each vertex in $D(\Gamma)$ has exactly one incident edge.
- The vertex with the leg r is called the *root*. The root has 2 legs and no edges to lower vertices. All other vertices of $R(\Gamma)$ have 1 leg and 1 edge to a lower vertex (they may have any number of edges to upper vertices).

We denote by $\mathrm{RT}(\mu, \Sigma, p)$ the rooted trees compatible with $(p) + (m_i)_{i \in \Sigma}$.

Definition 4.12. Let $\Sigma \subset [\![2, n]\!]$, p_1, p_2 be positive integers and $I \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \Sigma$. A *pre-expanded pair of holes* is a graph of rational type Γ with legs indexed by the set $\Sigma \cup \{h_1, h_2\}$, and with a partition $R(\Gamma) = C(\Gamma) \sqcup L(\Gamma)$ satisfying:

- All legs have positive twists and there are no horizontal edges.

- Let $(v_0, \ldots, v_k)$ be the shortest path from the vertex with the leg h_1 to the vertex with the leg h_2. A vertex is in $C(\Gamma)$ if and only if it appears in this path.
- There is exactly one top in $C(\Gamma)$, i.e. there is precisely one vertex v whose edges to other vertices of $v' \in C(\Gamma)$ satisfy $v > v'$. No leg in Σ is incident to the top.
- Each vertex in $D(\Gamma)$ has exactly one edge.
- Each vertex in $L(\Gamma)$ (the set of *links*), has exactly one edge to a lower vertex (it may have any number of edges to upper vertices).
- If v is a vertex in $L(\Gamma)$ or a vertex in $C(\Gamma)$ which is not the top, then v has exactly one leg in Σ.

It is an *expanded pair of holes* in $\mathrm{EP}(\mu, \Sigma, p_1, p_2, I)$ if it is compatible with $(m_i)_{i \in \Sigma} + (p_1, p_2)$, and the following *admissibility condition* holds:

- Either the leg h_2 is incident to the top vertex (i.e. the top is the last vertex of the core), and I is smaller than all legs incident to vertices in $C(\Gamma)$;
- Or the smallest index j of a leg incident to a vertex of $C(\Gamma)$ is smaller than I and is incident to the vertex directly after the top.

Example 4.13. In Figure 4, examples of rooted trees or expanded pairs of holes are given by the subgraphs surrounded by red or blue lines respectively.

If Γ is a rooted tree or an expanded pair of holes, and v is a vertex of Γ, then the contribution $c(v)$ of v is defined as for expanded chains. Here, for rooted trees, we set $R(\Gamma) = L(\Gamma)$ and identify m_e with p for the root. Also, for expanded pair of holes, we identify p_1 and p_2 with the twists m_e and $m_{e'}$ at the first and last vertices of the core respectively. Then we define $\mathrm{cont}(\Gamma)$ as $|\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma)|^{-1} \prod_v c(v)$. If μ is odd and Γ is a rooted tree then we define $\mathrm{cont}^{\pm}(\Gamma)$ in the same way.

Lemma 4.14 ([CMSZ20, Section 3.5 and 6.1]). *For all positive integers p and $\Sigma \subset [\![2, n]\!]$, we have*

$$\left(p + \sum_{i \in \Sigma} m_i\right) \mathrm{vol}\left((p) + (m_i)_{i \in \Sigma}\right) = \sum_{\Gamma \in \mathrm{RT}(\mu, \Sigma, p)} \mathrm{cont}(\Gamma),$$

and if μ is odd, then we have:

$$\left(p + \sum_{i \in \Sigma} m_i\right) \mathrm{vol}^{\pm}\left((p) + (m_i)_{i \in \Sigma}\right) = \sum_{\Gamma \in \mathrm{RT}(\mu, \Sigma, p)} \mathrm{cont}^{\pm}(\Gamma).$$

We will show the following analogue result for expanded pair of holes.

Lemma 4.15. *For all positive integers p_1, p_2, $\Sigma \subset [\![2, n]\!]$ and $I \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \Sigma$, we have:*

$$\left(p_1 + p_2 + \sum_{i \in \Sigma} m_i\right) \mathrm{vol}\left((m_i)_{i \in \Sigma} + (p_1, p_2)\right) = \sum_{\Gamma \in \mathrm{EP}(\mu, \Sigma, p_1, p_2, I)} \mathrm{cont}(\Gamma).$$

Proof. We fix a choice of μ, Σ, p_1, p_2. We denote by $S(I)$ the sum on the right-hand side of the identity of the Lemma. This function is locally constant on $\mathbb{R} \setminus \Sigma$, thus to prove the lemma we proceed in two steps: first, we show that it is valid when $I = 0$; then we show that $S(i - 1/2) - S(i + 1/2) = 0$ for all $i \in \Sigma$.

The case $I = 0$. We proceed by induction on the size of Σ. If Σ is empty, then a graph in $\mathrm{EP}(\mu, \Sigma, p_1, p_2, 0)$ is a backbone s.t. the legs h_1 and h_2 are incident to v_{-1}.

Thus, we may apply Proposition 3.10 for $\mu = (p_1, p_2)$, which implies the lemma in this case.

If Σ is non-empty, then the admissibility condition implies that the marking h_2 is incident to the top. Then a graph in $\mathrm{EP}(\mu, \Sigma, p_1, p_2, 0)$ is determined by:

(1) an element $i \in \Sigma \cup \{h_2\}$;
(2) an integer $k \geq 1$;
(3) a partition $\Sigma \setminus \{i\} = \Sigma_1 \sqcup \ldots \sqcup \Sigma_k$, and a partition $p_1 + m_i - k = p'_1 + \ldots + p'_k$ (where $m_i = p_2$ if $i = h_2$)
(4) a rooted tree in $\mathrm{RT}(\mu, \Sigma_j, p'_j)$ for $1 \leq j \leq k$ if $h_2 \notin \Sigma_j$, or an element of $\mathrm{EP}(\mu, \Sigma_j, p'_j, p_2, 0)$ otherwise.

Indeed, with this datum we construct a graph in $\mathrm{EP}(\mu, \Sigma, p_1, p_2, 0)$ by attaching the k graphs of the last part of the data to a vertex of genus 0 with the markings h_1 and i. Here, we replace an half-edges with marking p'_j by an edge e_j with twist $m_{e_j} = p'_j$ to this vertex with markings h_1 and i. Using this fact, we may rewrite the sum defining $S(0)$ as follows:

$$\sum_{\substack{i \in \Sigma \\ k \geq 1}} \sum_{\substack{\Sigma_1 \sqcup \ldots \sqcup \Sigma_k = (\Sigma \setminus \{i\}) \cup \{h_2\} \\ p'_1 + \ldots + p'_k = p_1 + m_i - k}} \frac{m_i \, f(p_1, m_i, -p'_1, \ldots, -p'_k)}{(k-1)!}$$

$$\times \left(\sum_{\Gamma \in \mathrm{EP}(\mu, \Sigma_1, p'_1, p_2, 0)} \mathrm{cont}(\Gamma) \right) \times \prod_{j=2}^{k} \left(\sum_{\Gamma \in \mathrm{RT}(\mu, \Sigma_j, p'_j)} \mathrm{cont}(\Gamma) \right)$$

$$+ \sum_{\substack{k \geq 1}} \sum_{\substack{\Sigma_1 \sqcup \ldots \sqcup \Sigma_k = \Sigma \\ p'_1 + \ldots + p'_k = p_1 + p_2 - k}} \frac{(p_1 + p_2) \, f(p_1, p_2, -p'_1, \ldots, -p'_k)}{k!} \prod_{j=1}^{k} \left(\sum_{\Gamma \in \mathrm{RT}(\mu, \Sigma_j, p'_j)} \mathrm{cont}(\Gamma) \right).$$

The first sum accounts for the contribution of graphs where h_2 is not incident to the same vertex as h_1 (thus one of the descendants of the main vertex of genus 0 is distinguished as it carries the leg h_2) while the second sum accounts for the contribution of graphs with h_1 and h_2 incident to the same vertex.

Therefore we may compute the sum $S(0)$ recursively by applying Lemma 4.14 and the induction hypothesis to obtain that $S(0)$ is given by

$$\sum_{\substack{i \in \Sigma \\ k \geq 1}} \sum_{\substack{\Sigma_1 \sqcup \ldots \sqcup \Sigma_k = (\Sigma \setminus \{i\}) \cup \{h_2\} \\ p'_1 + \ldots + p'_k = p_1 + m_i - k}} \frac{m_i \, f(p_1, m_i, -p'_1, \ldots, -p'_k)}{k!}$$

$$\times \prod_{j=1}^{k} \left(p'_j + \sum_{i' \in \Sigma_j} m_{i'} \right) \mathrm{vol}\big((p'_j) + (m_{i'})_{i' \in \Sigma_j} \big)$$

$$+ \sum_{\substack{k \geq 1}} \sum_{\substack{\Sigma_1 \sqcup \ldots \sqcup \Sigma_k = \Sigma \\ p'_1 + \ldots + p'_k = p_1 + p_2 - k}} \frac{(p_1 + p_2) \, f(p_1, p_2, -p'_1, \ldots, -p'_k)}{k!}$$

$$\times \prod_{j=1}^{k} \left(p'_j + \sum_{i' \in \Sigma_j} m_{i'} \right) \mathrm{vol}\big((p'_j) + (m_{i'})_{i' \in \Sigma_j} \big),$$

where, again, $m_{h_2} = p_2$. We apply Proposition 3.11 of [CMSZ20] to deduce that:

$$S(0) \ = \ \sum_{i \in \Sigma} (m_i \operatorname{vol}(\Sigma + (p_1, p_2))) \ + \ (p_1 + p_2) \operatorname{vol}(\Sigma + (p_1, p_2)),$$

which is the desired identity.

Crossing an element in Σ. We fix i in Σ. We first remark that the contribution of an element in the sum defining $S(I)$ does not depend on I. Indeed, the dependence of $S(I)$ on I is uniquely given by the set of graphs that contribute. Thus we need to determine which graphs contribute to $S(i + 1/2)$ but not to $S(i - 1/2)$ and conversely.

We assume that $i = \min\{\operatorname{ind}(v) \mid v \in C(\Gamma)\}$. Namely, if this is not the case then the same graphs contribute to $S(i+1/2)$ and $S(i-1/2)$. Now, A graph contributes to $S(i + 1/2)$ but not to $S(i - 1/2)$ if and only if the label i belongs to $C(\Gamma)$ and h_2 is incident to the top. We denote by S^+ the sum of the contributions for graphs of this type. Conversely, a graph contributes to $S(i - 1/2)$ but not to $S(i + 1/2)$ if and only if the label i is incident to the vertex following the top in $C(\Gamma)$. We denote by S^- the sum of the contributions for graphs of this type. We will show that $S^+ = S^-$ to finish the proof of the lemma.

To do so, we introduce a family of sets of pre-expanded pairs of holes $S(i, \ell)$ for all $\ell \geq 0$. A pre-expanded pair of holes $\Gamma \in \operatorname{EP}(\mu, \Sigma, p_1, p_2, I)$ compatible with $(m_i)_{i \in \Sigma} + (p_1, p_2)$ belongs to $S(i, \ell)$ if:

- the leg i is incident to a vertex of $C(\Gamma)$ and if a leg $i' \in \Sigma$ is incident to a vertex of $C(\Gamma)$ then $i' > i$.
- if the top vertex is the t-th vertex of the core, and the vertex carrying i the s-th of the core then either: $\ell > 0$ and the top is the last vertex of the core, *OR* we have $t - s + 1 = \ell$.

In particular with this notation we have $S(i, 0) = S^-$, while $S(i, \ell) = S^+$ if ℓ is sufficiently large. Then we show that:

$$\sum_{\Gamma \in S(i, \ell)} \operatorname{cont}(\Gamma) \ = \ \sum_{\Gamma \in S(i, \ell+1)} \operatorname{cont}(\Gamma)$$

for all $\ell \geq 0$. Indeed, for each graph $\Gamma \in S(i, \ell)$: either the top vertex is the last vertex and then it belongs to $S(i, \ell+1)$ too, or we apply Lemma 3.8 to the subgraph made of the top vertex and the next vertex in the $C(\Gamma)$. Then Lemma 3.8 exchange the roles of these two vertices and thus the difference between the positions of the top vertex and the vertex carrying the i-th leg is augmented by 1. We thus obtain a summation on graphs in $S(i, \ell + 1)$. □

End of the proof of Proposition 4.10. To finish the proof, we simply remark that the datum of an expanded chain is equivalent to the datum of: (i) a chain Γ; (ii) a rooted tree for each vertex of $F(\Gamma)$; (iii) an expanded pair of holes for each vertex of $P(\Gamma)$. Moreover, applying Lemmas 4.14 and 4.15 provides the equality between the contribution of a vertex in $F(\Gamma)$ or $P(\Gamma)$ and the sum of the contributions of the rooted trees or expanded pairs of holes associated to this vertex. Note that the factor m_e in the contribution $m_e |\mu(v)| \operatorname{vol}(\mu(v))$ of a vertex $v \in F(\Gamma)$ does not occur in Lemma 4.14, but does occur in the function $\varphi(\mu(v'))$ in the contribution of v', where v' is the vertex of the core of the chain connected to v. □

4.5. **End of the proof of Theorem 3.1.** An expanded chain in $\mathrm{ECH}(\mu)_1$ has only one vertex in $R(\Gamma)$, and thus uniquely determines a backbone graph in $\mathrm{BB}(\mu)_0$. Moreover, Proposition 3.11 may be rewritten as:

$$d_1(\mu) \;=\; \frac{1}{2m_1} \sum_{\Gamma \in \mathrm{ECH}(\mu)_1} \mathrm{cont}(\Gamma).$$

Thus using Propositions 4.9, 4.10 and 4.4 successively we obtain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_1(\mu) &= \frac{1}{2m_1} \sum_{\Gamma \in \mathrm{ECH}(\mu)_n} \mathrm{cont}(\Gamma) \\
&= \frac{1}{2m_1} \sum_{\Gamma \in \mathrm{CH}(\mu)} \widehat{\mathrm{cont}}(\Gamma) \\
&= (-4\pi^2)\, c_1(\mu) \cdot \mathrm{vol}(\mu),
\end{aligned}
$$

which is the first identity stated in Theorem 3.1. If μ is odd, then the second statement of Theorem 3.1 is obtained similarly by applying the spin counterpart of Propositions 3.11, 4.9, 4.10 and 4.4.

APPENDIX A. CHARACTER TABLES OF THE SPIN SYMMETRIC GROUP AND THE SERGEEV GROUP FOR $d \leq 5$

For $d \leq 5$, and G being one the groups $\widetilde{\mathfrak{S}}_d, \widetilde{\mathrm{A}}_d, \mathrm{Se}_d$ and Se_d^0, we compute the character table of all irreducible *spin* representations, i.e., we assume the central element $\varepsilon \in G$ acts by -1. If the characters are $\chi_1, \chi_2, \ldots$ and the conjugacy classes $\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_2, \ldots$, we write

G	$\mathcal{C}_1$	$\cdots$
$\|G\|$	$\|\mathcal{C}_1\|$	$\cdots$
χ_1	$\chi_1(\mathcal{C}_1)$	$\cdots$
$\vdots$	$\vdots$	

for the character table of G. Note that $\chi_i(\epsilon\mathcal{C}_j) = -\chi_i(\mathcal{C}_j)$. Thus, we omit all conjugacy classes for which $\mathcal{C}_j \cap \epsilon\mathcal{C}_j \neq \emptyset$, and else pick only one of the two conjugacy classes $\mathcal{C}_j$ and $\varepsilon\mathcal{C}_j$. Row and column orthogonality relations are satisfied, i.e.

$$\sum_i |\mathcal{C}_j|\, \chi_i(\mathcal{C}_j)\, \overline{\chi_i(\mathcal{C}_{j'})} \;=\; \frac{1}{2}|G|\delta_{j,j'} \text{ for all } j, j'$$

$$\sum_j |\mathcal{C}_j|\, \chi_i(\mathcal{C}_j)\, \overline{\chi_{i'}(\mathcal{C}_j)} \;=\; \frac{1}{2}|G|\delta_{i,i'} \text{ for all } i, i'.$$

The first factor $\frac{1}{2}$ appears because we omit half of the conjugacy classes, as explained before. The second factor $\frac{1}{2}$ appears because we omit the non-spin representations, which correspond to representations of the quotient G/ϵ.

$\underline{d = 1}$

$\widetilde{\mathfrak{S}}_1$	e
2	1
1	1

$\widetilde{\mathrm{A}}_1 \simeq \widetilde{\mathfrak{S}}_1$

Se_1	e	$C_{e,1}$
4	1	1
1+	1	+i
1−	1	−i

Se_1^0	e
2	1
1	1

$\underline{d = 2}$

$\widetilde{\mathfrak{S}}_2$	e	(12)
4	1	1
2+	1	$+\mathrm{i}$
2−	1	$-\mathrm{i}$

$\widetilde{\mathrm{A}}_2$	e
2	1
2	1

Se_2	e	$C_{(12),1}$
16	1	2
2+	2	$+\mathrm{i}\sqrt{2}$
2−	2	$-\mathrm{i}\sqrt{2}$

Se_2^0	e
8	1
2	2

$\underline{d = 3}$

$\widetilde{\mathfrak{S}}_3$	e	(123)	(12)
12	1	2	3
3	2	1	0
2,1+	1	−1	$+\mathrm{i}$
2,1−	1	−1	$-\mathrm{i}$

$\widetilde{\mathrm{A}}_3$	e	(123)	(321)
6	1	1	1
3+	1	$\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{i}\sqrt{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{i}\sqrt{3}$
3−	1	$\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{i}\sqrt{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{i}\sqrt{3}$
2,1	1	−1	−1

Se_3	e	$C_{(123)}$	$C_{(123),1}$
96	1	8	8
3+	4	1	$+\mathrm{i}\sqrt{3}$
3−	4	1	$-\mathrm{i}\sqrt{3}$
2,1	4	−2	0

Se_3^0	e	$C_{(123)}$	$C_{(12)}$
48	1	8	6
3	4	1	0
2,1+	2	−1	$+\mathrm{i}\sqrt{2}$
2,1−	2	−1	$-\mathrm{i}\sqrt{2}$

$\underline{d = 4}$

$\widetilde{\mathfrak{S}}_4$	e	(123)	(1234)
48	1	8	6
4+	2	1	$+\mathrm{i}\sqrt{2}$
4−	2	1	$-\mathrm{i}\sqrt{2}$
3,1	4	−1	0

$\widetilde{\mathrm{A}}_4$	e	(123)	(321)
24	1	4	4
4	2	1	1
3,1+	2	$-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{i}\sqrt{3}$	$-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{i}\sqrt{3}$
3,1−	2	$-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{i}\sqrt{3}$	$-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{i}\sqrt{3}$

Se_4	e	$C_{(123)}$	$C_{(1234),1}$
768	1	32	48
4+	8	2	$+2\mathrm{i}$
4−	8	2	$-2\mathrm{i}$
3,1	16	−2	0

Se_4^0	e	$C_{(123)}(a)$	$C_{(123)}(b)$
48	1	16	16
4	8	2	2
3,1+	8	$-1+\mathrm{i}\sqrt{3}$	$-1+\mathrm{i}\sqrt{3}$
3,1−	8	$-1-\mathrm{i}\sqrt{3}$	$-1-\mathrm{i}\sqrt{3}$

$\underline{d = 5}$

$\widetilde{\mathfrak{S}}_5$	e	(12345)	(123)	(1234)	$(123)(45)$
240	1	24	20	30	20
5	4	1	2	0	0
$4,1+$	6	-1	0	$+\mathrm{i}\sqrt{2}$	0
$4,1-$	6	-1	0	$-\mathrm{i}\sqrt{2}$	0
$3,2+$	4	1	-1	0	$+\mathrm{i}\sqrt{3}$
$3,2-$	4	1	-1	0	$-\mathrm{i}\sqrt{3}$

$\widetilde{A}_5$	e	(12345)	(54321)	(123)
120	1	12	12	20
$5+$	2	$\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{i}\sqrt{5}$	$\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{i}\sqrt{5}$	1
$5-$	2	$\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{i}\sqrt{5}$	$\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{i}\sqrt{5}$	1
$4,1$	6	-1	-1	0
$3,2$	4	1	1	-1

Se_5	e	$C_{(12345)}$	$C_{(123)}$	$C_{(12345),1}$
7680	1	384	80	384
$5+$	16	1	4	$+\mathrm{i}\sqrt{5}$
$5-$	16	1	4	$-\mathrm{i}\sqrt{5}$
$4,1$	48	-2	0	0
$3,2$	32	2	-4	0

Se_5^0	e	$C_{(12345)}$	$C_{(123)}$	$C_{(1234)}$	$C_{(123)(45)}$
3840	1	384	80	240	160
5	16	1	4	0	0
$4,1+$	24	-1	0	$+2\mathrm{i}$	0
$4,1-$	24	-1	0	$-2\mathrm{i}$	0
$3,2+$	16	1	-2	0	$+\mathrm{i}\sqrt{6}$
$3,2-$	16	1	-2	0	$-\mathrm{i}\sqrt{6}$

References

[Ati71]   Michael F. Atiyah. Riemann surfaces and spin structures. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 4:47–62, 1971.

[BCG+18]   Matt Bainbridge, Dawei Chen, Quentin Gendron, Samuel Grushevsky, and Martin Möller. Compactification of strata of Abelian differentials. *Duke Math. J.*, 167(12):2347–2416, 2018.

[Boi15]   Corentin Boissy. Connected components of the strata of the moduli space of meromorphic differentials. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 90(2):255–286, 2015.

[CC19]   Dawei Chen and Qile Chen. Principal boundary of moduli spaces of abelian and quadratic differentials. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 69(1):81–118, 2019.

[CMSZ20]   Dawei Chen, Martin Möller, Adrien Sauvaget, and Don Bernhard Zagier. Masur-Veech volumes and intersection theory on moduli spaces of Abelian differentials. *Invent. Math.*, 222(1):283–373, 2020.

[CMZ18]   Dawei Chen, Martin Möller, and Don Zagier. Quasimodularity and large genus limits of Siegel-Veech constants. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 31(4):1059–1163, 2018.

[CMZ19]   Matteo Costantini, Martin Möller, and Jonathan Zachhuber. The area is a good enough metric. 2019, arXiv:1910.14151.

[Cor89]   Maurizio Cornalba. Moduli of curves and theta-characteristics. In *Lectures on Riemann surfaces (Trieste, 1987)*, pages 560–589. World Sci. Publ., Teaneck, NJ, 1989.

[CSS21]   Matteo Costantini, Adrien Sauvaget, and Johannes Schmitt. Integrals of ψ-classes over twisted double ramification cycles and spaces of differentials. 2021, arXiv:2112.04238.

[EKZ14]   Alex Eskin, Maxim Kontsevich, and Anton Zorich. Sum of Lyapunov exponents of the Hodge bundle with respect to the Teichmüller geodesic flow. *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.*, 120:207–333, 2014.

[EMZ03]   Alex Eskin, Howard Masur, and Anton Zorich. Moduli spaces of abelian differentials: the principal boundary, counting problems, and the Siegel-Veech constants. *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.*, (97):61–179, 2003.

[EO01]   Alex Eskin and Andrei Okounkov. Asymptotics of numbers of branched coverings of a torus and volumes of moduli spaces of holomorphic differentials. *Invent. Math.*, 145(1):59–103, 2001.

[EOP08]   Alex Eskin, Andrei Okounkov, and Rahul Pandharipande. The theta characteristic of a branched covering. *Adv. Math.*, 217(3):873–888, 2008.

[FP18]   Gavril Farkas and Rahul Pandharipande. The moduli space of twisted canonical divisors. *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu*, 17(3):615–672, 2018.

[GKL21]   Alessandro Giacchetto, Reinier Kramer, and Danilo Lewański. A new spin on Hurwitz theory and ELSV via theta characteristics. 2021, arXiv:2104.05697.

[Gun16]   Sam Gunningham. Spin Hurwitz numbers and topological quantum field theory. *Geom. Topol.*, 20(4):1859–1907, 2016.

[HH92]   P. N. Hoffman and J. F. Humphreys. *Projective representations of the symmetric groups*. Oxford Mathematical Monographs. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1992. *Q*-functions and shifted tableaux, Oxford Science Publications.

[vI21]   Jan-Willem M. van Ittersum. A symmetric Bloch-Okounkov theorem. *Res. Math. Sci.*, 8(2):19, 2021.

[Iva01]   Vladimir N. Ivanov. The Gaussian limit for projective characters of large symmetric groups. *Zap. Nauchn. Sem. S.-Peterburg. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (POMI)*, 283(Teor. Predst. Din. Sist. Komb. i Algoritm. Metody. 6):73–97, 259, 2001.

[Kle05]   Alexander Kleshchev. *Linear and projective representations of symmetric groups*, volume 163 of *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2005.

[Kon97]   Maxim Kontsevich. Lyapunov exponents and Hodge theory. In *The mathematical beauty of physics (Saclay, 1996)*, volume 24 of *Adv. Ser. Math. Phys.*, pages 318–332. World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 1997.

[KZ03]   Maxim Kontsevich and Anton Zorich. Connected components of the moduli spaces of Abelian differentials with prescribed singularities. *Invent. Math.*, 153(3):631–678, 2003.

[Lee20]   Junho Lee. A square root of Hurwitz numbers. *Manuscripta Math.*, 162(1-2):99–113, 2020.

[LZ04]   Sergei K. Lando and Alexander K. Zvonkin. *Graphs on surfaces and their applications*, volume 141 of *Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004. With an appendix by Don B. Zagier, Low-Dimensional Topology, II.

[Mas82]   Howard Masur. Interval exchange transformations and measured foliations. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 115(1):169–200, 1982.

[Mor77]   Alun O. Morris. A survey on Hall–Littlewood functions and their applications to representation theory. pages 136–154. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 579, 1977.

[Mum71]   David Mumford. Theta characteristics of an algebraic curve. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 4:181–192, 1971.

[Naz97]   Maxim Nazarov. Young's symmetrizers for projective representations of the symmetric group. *Adv. Math.*, 127(2):190–257, 1997.

[Sau18]   Adrien Sauvaget. Volumes and Siegel-Veech constants of h(2g-2) and Hodge integrals. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 28(6):1756–1779, 2018.

[Sau19]   Adrien Sauvaget. Cohomology classes of strata of differentials. *Geom. Topol.*, 23(3):1085–1171, 2019.

[Ser84]   A. N. Sergeev. Tensor algebra of the identity representation as a module over the Lie superalgebras Gl(n, m) and $Q(n)$. *Mat. Sb. (N.S.)*, 123(165)(3):422–430, 1984.

[Vee82]   William A. Veech. Gauss measures for transformations on the space of interval exchange maps. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 115(1):201–242, 1982.

[Vee98]   William A. Veech. Siegel measures. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 148(3):895–944, 1998.

[Vor05]   Yaroslav Vorobets. Periodic geodesics on generic translation surfaces. In *Algebraic and topological dynamics*, volume 385 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 205–258. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2005.

[Zag08]   Don Zagier. Elliptic modular forms and their applications. In Kristian Ranestad, editor, *The 1-2-3 of modular forms*, Universitext, pages 1–103. Springer, Berlin, 2008.

Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik, Vivatsgasse 7, 53111 Bonn, Germany
Email address: `ittersum@mpim-bonn.mpg.de`

Laboratoire AGM, 2 avenue Adolphe Chauvin, 95300, Cergy-Pontoise, France
Email address: `arien.sauvaget@math.cnrs.fr`