

p-adic L-functions for
modular forms over CM fields

by

Shai Haran

Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik
Gottfried-Claren-Str. 26
D-5300 Bonn 3

MPI/SFB 85-8

ABSTRACT

We construct many variable S-adic L-functions for weight 2 modular forms over CM fields, S being a finite set of primes away from the conductor of our form. This S-adic L-function is given by a measure on the Galois group of the maximal unramified-outside- S abelian extension of our CM ground field. We obtain this measure by playing the modular symbol game in an adelic language.

In chapter § 0 we recall the adelic definition of a modular form and fix notations. In chapter § 1 we define the harmonic form on the symmetric space associated with our modular form. In chapter § 2 we study the "periods"; these are first defined via an adelic integral, than after Lemma 1, we transform it to an archimedean integral, and finally after Lemma 2, we show it is given by an integral of our harmonic form against a cycle. Besides giving us a geometrical intuition, we can deduce from this interpretation that the module generated by these periods is finitely generated. In chapter § 3 we prove the crucial "Birch Lemma", expressing the critical value of the associated L-function as a linear combination of the above periods. In chapter § 4, we construct for each ideal r a distribution $\mu^{(r)}$ on $\prod_{p \in S} \mathcal{O}_p^* / \overline{\mathcal{O}}_k^*$ with values in a certain module- the module of universal modular symbols that are Hecke eigen-symbols. In chapter § 5 we specialize this universal distribution with our modular form, and averaging over all ideal classes, we use class field theory to get our distribution on the Galois group. We prove that the S-adic L-function interpolates the critical values of the classical zeta

function of the twists of our modular form by finite characters of conductor supported at S , and that it satisfies a similar functional equation.

We would like to thank Barry Mazur for many exciting conversations, and the Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik for its hospitality.

§ 0. Notations (mainly those of [W]).

k denotes a CM field, i.e. a totally imaginary quadratic extension of a totally real number field. We denote by $\infty_1 \dots \infty_n$ the non-conjugate embeddings of k into \mathbb{C} , $[k:\mathbb{Q}] = 2n$. We denote by P 's the primes of k , and we denote by v 's the places of k whether finite or not.

O_k = integers of k .

k_v = completion of k at v

O_p = integers of k_p

$k_{\text{fin}} = k \otimes \lim_{\mathbb{Z} \leftarrow \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{N}} \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z} = \text{finite adeles}$

$k_{\infty} = k \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R} = \prod_{j=1}^n k_{\infty j} = \text{infinite adeles}$

$k_A = k_{\text{fin}} \times k_{\infty} = \text{the adeles}$

$k_{\infty j}^+ = \text{real and positive elements of } k_{\infty j}^*$

$k_{\infty}^+ = \prod_{j=1}^n k_{\infty j}^+$

$k_{\infty j}^{\text{sgn}} = \text{elements of absolute value 1 in } k_{\infty j}^*$

$k_{\infty}^{\text{sgn}} = \prod_{j=1}^n k_{\infty j}^{\text{sgn}} \quad \text{so that } k_{\infty}^* = k_{\infty}^+ \cdot k_{\infty}^{\text{sgn}}$

Let ω denote a grossencharacter of k , i.e. a continuous homomorphism $\omega: k_A^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ of the idele group k_A^* into \mathbb{C}^* , which is trivial on k^* . Let F denote its conductor.

We denote by $\underline{\omega}$ the associated multiplicative function on ideals defined by $\underline{\omega}(P) = 0$ if $P|F$, $\underline{\omega}(P) = \omega(\pi_p)$ if $P \nmid F$ where π_p is a uniformizer of k_p . We let ω_v denote the restriction of ω to $k_v^* \subseteq k_A^*$.

Let $|x|_A = \prod_v |x|_v$ be the normalized absolute value of $x \in k_A^*$, we can write $|\omega(x)| = |x|_A^\sigma$ with $\sigma = \sigma(\omega) \in \mathbb{R}$.

We fix a character $\psi: k_A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ of the adeles k_A , trivial on k for definiteness let us take $\psi = \prod_v \psi_v$ with

$\psi_{\infty j}(x) = e^{-2\pi i(x+\bar{x})}$ and with ψ_p given by k :

$$\psi_p: k_p \xrightarrow{\text{tr}} \mathcal{O}_p \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\exp^{+2\pi i x}} \mathbb{C}^*$$

We let $\underline{\mathfrak{a}}$ denote an idele representing the absolute different \mathcal{D} of k , i.e. the associated ideal $(\underline{\mathfrak{a}})$ is \mathcal{D} and for $v \nmid \mathcal{D}$, including $v = \infty_j$, $\underline{\mathfrak{a}}_v = 1$. So that $\underline{\mathfrak{a}}_p^{-1} \mathcal{O}_p$ is the orthogonal complement of \mathcal{O}_p with respect to the pairing $x, y \mapsto \psi_p(xy)$. Similarly we let \underline{f} denote an idele representing F , the conductor of ω ; and we let \underline{a} denote an idele representing a , the conductor of our modular form F .

We let G denote the algebraic group $GL(2)/k$.

We denote by $G_k, G_v, G_{fin}, G_\infty, G_A$ the points of G with values in $k, k_v, k_{fin}, k_\infty, k_A$ respectively.

G_{fin} and G_∞ are viewed as subgroups of $G_A = G_{fin} \times G_\infty$

and for $g \in G_A$ we write g_{fin}, g_∞ for its G_{fin} and G_∞

components. $Z_k, Z_v, Z_{fin}, Z_\infty, Z_A$ denote the centers of the

above groups. We let $B = \{(x,y) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in G\} \cong \mathbb{G}_m \rtimes \mathbb{G}_a$

and $B_k, B_v, B_{fin}, B_\infty, B_A$ its rational points, thus e.g.

$B_A \cong k_A^* \rtimes k_A$ is the "adelic half plane". As a general rule,

whenever we are given an element $g = \{g_v\}$ defined for some

set of v 's of some group, we add units for all the missing v 's;

We define our level groups by:

$$K_{\infty j} = SU(2, k_{\infty j})$$

$$K_p = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x & \\ \underline{a}_p \underline{z} & \underline{w}^{-1} y \end{pmatrix}, x, y, z, w \in \mathcal{O}_p, \det = xw - \underline{a}_p yz \in \mathcal{O}_p^* \right\}$$

$$K_{fin} = \prod_p K_p; \quad K_\infty = \prod_{j=1}^n K_{\infty j}; \quad K_A = K_{fin} \times K_\infty.$$

We define a \mathbb{C} -vector space V , the value space of our forms,

by:

$$V_p = \mathbb{C} \cdot V_p \quad \text{one dimensional,}$$

$$V_{\infty j} = \mathbb{C} \cdot V_{\infty j}^1 \oplus \mathbb{C} \cdot V_{\infty j}^0 \oplus \mathbb{C} \cdot V_{\infty j}^{-1} \quad \text{three dimensional,}$$

$$V = \bigoplus_v V_v \quad 3^n\text{-dimensional.}$$

Thus V has the basis $v^{e_1 \dots e_n} = \prod_{j=1}^n v_{\infty j}^{e_j}$, $1 \geq e_j \geq -1$.

We define a right action M of $K_A Z_A$ on V as follows:

for $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -\bar{b} & \bar{a} \end{pmatrix} \in K_{\infty j}$, $|a|^2 + |b|^2 = 1$, we let $M_{\infty j} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -\bar{b} & \bar{a} \end{pmatrix}$ act on $v_{\infty j}$ via the symmetric square representation:

$$M_{\infty j} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -\bar{b} & \bar{a} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a^2 & 2ab & b^2 \\ -a\bar{b} & |a|^2 - |b|^2 & \bar{a}\bar{b} \\ \bar{b}^2 & -2\bar{a}\bar{b} & \bar{a}^2 \end{pmatrix};$$

for $k = (k_{\infty j}) \in K_{\infty}$ we set $M(k) = \prod_{j=1}^n M_{\infty j}(k_{\infty j})$; we extend this action to all of $K_A Z_A$ by setting $M(kz) = M(k)$, $k \in K_A$, $z \in Z_A$.

We define a function $W: k_{\infty}^* \rightarrow V$ as follows:

$$W(x) = \prod_{j=1}^n W_{\infty j}(x_{\infty j})$$

$$W_{\infty j}(x) = W_{\infty j}^1(x) \cdot v_{\infty j}^1 + W_{\infty j}^0(x) \cdot v_{\infty j}^0 + W_{\infty j}^{-1}(x) \cdot v_{\infty j}^{-1}$$

$$W_{\infty j}^0(x) = |x|^2 \cdot K_0(4\pi|x|)$$

$$W_{\infty j}^{\pm 1}(x) = \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{1}{i} \cdot \text{sgn}(x) \right]^{\pm 1} \cdot |x|^2 \cdot K_{\pm 1}(4\pi|x|).$$

Here $\text{sgn}(x) = \frac{x}{|x|}$ is the projection of $k_{\infty j}^*$ onto $k_{\infty j}^{\text{sgn}}$.

K_0, K_1 are Hankel's functions [F].

Let F denote our modular form; F is a continuous function from $G_A = B_A Z_A K_A$ into V , such that

$$F(gkz) = F(g)M(k) \text{ for } k \in K_A, z \in Z_A, \text{ and}$$

$$\underline{W}F(g) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} F\left(g \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\partial^{-1} \\ \partial & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{fin}\right) = \epsilon_F \cdot F(g), \quad \epsilon_F = \pm 1.$$

Assume that F is an eigenform of all the Hecke operators T_p . For $p \nmid a$ we have $T_p F = \lambda_p \cdot F$, and the Hecke operator is defined by $T_p F(g) = \int_{K_p(\pi_p, 0)K_p} F(gk)dk$, where π_p is

a uniformizer of k_p , dk is the Haar measure normalized such that $\int_{K_p} dk = 1$. Since $K_p(\pi_p, 0)K_p =$

$$\pi_p(\pi_p^{-1}, 0)K_p \cup \bigcup_{u \text{ mod } p} (\pi_p, u\partial_p^{-1})K_p \text{ we get:}$$

$$T_p F(g) = F(g(\pi_p^{-1}, 0)) + \sum_{u \text{ mod } p} F(g(\pi_p, u\partial_p^{-1}))$$

Assume further that F is cuspidal at infinity,

$$\int_{k_A/k} F(x, y)dy = 0 \text{ for all } x \in k_A^* \text{ so that } F \text{ has a Fourier}$$

expansion at infinity of the form: $F(x, y) = \sum_{\xi \in k^*} C((\xi x))W(\xi x_\infty) \cdot \psi(\xi y)$.

(this restriction can be dropped, but it will simplify things considerably). Let us write $L_F(\omega) = \sum_b C(b) \cdot \omega(b)$ for the associated L-function, here the sum is extended over all ideal b , but $C(b) = 0$ if b is not integral, and $\omega(b) = 0$ if b is not prime to F . Note that $C(p) = \lambda_p \cdot Np^{-1}$. Since F is a Hecke

eigenform, $L_F(\omega)$ has an Euler product, $L_F(\omega) = \prod_p \mathcal{L}_p(Np^{-1}\omega(p))^{-1}$ with $\mathcal{L}_p(T) = 1 - \lambda_p T + NpT^2 = (1 - \rho_p T)(1 - \tilde{\rho}_p T)$ for $p \nmid a$.

Note that as in [W], everything is normalized so that the functional equation for finite ω has the form

$L_F(\omega) = (-1)^n \cdot \epsilon_F \cdot \tau(\omega)^2 \cdot L_F(\omega^{-1})$, (i.e. the critical value is at "S = 0"); here the Gaussain sums $\tau(\omega)$ are defined

as follows: $\tau(\omega) = \prod_P \tau_P(\omega)$, for $P \nmid F$ $\tau_P(\omega) = \omega_P(\underline{a})$, and for $P|F$:

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_P(\omega) &= |\underline{f}|_P^{1/2} \sum_{x \in (O_P / \underline{f}_P O_P)^*} \omega^{-1}(x \underline{a}_P^{-1} \underline{f}_P^{-1}) \psi_P(x \underline{a}_P^{-1} \underline{f}_P^{-1}) \\ &= (1 - NP^{-1}) |\underline{f}_P|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \omega_P(\underline{a} \underline{f}) \int_{O_P^*} \omega_P^{-1}(x) \psi_P(x \underline{a}_P^{-1} \underline{f}_P^{-1}) d^*x, \end{aligned}$$

(the multiplicative Haar measure d^*x being normalized by

$$\int_{O_P^*} d^*x = 1)$$

§ 1. Associated harmonic form (cf. [K] and [W])

Let $r_i, i = 1, \dots, h$, denote a set of finite ideles representing the class group \underline{Cl}_k of k .

Let $X = G_k \backslash G_A / K_A Z_\infty$, we have a natural map

$\det: X \rightarrow k_A^* / k^* \cdot \prod_p O_p^* \cdot k_\infty = \underline{Cl}_k$. Decomposing X into

the fibers of this map we get:

$$X = G_k \backslash G_A / K_A Z_\infty = G_k \backslash \bigcup_{i=1}^h G_k(r_i, 0) K_{fin} G_\infty / K_A Z_\infty = \bigcup_{i=1}^h X^{(r_i)}$$

with $X^{(r_i)} = \Gamma^{(r_i)} \backslash G_\infty / K_\infty Z_\infty$, $\Gamma^{(r_i)} = G_k \cap ((r_i, 0) K_{fin} (r_i^{-1}, 0) \cdot G_\infty)$.

We shall next associate with F a harmonic form Ω_F on X .

Let $H = G_\infty / Z_\infty K_\infty$. We use the projection

$B_\infty^+ = \{(x, y) \in B_\infty \text{ with } x \in k_\infty^+\} \xrightarrow{\cong} H$, as identification,

and thus we have a group structure on $H = k_\infty^+ \rtimes k_\infty$, and

we have coordinates (x, y) on H . We have a Riemannian

structure on H_{ω_j} given by $ds^2 = \frac{1}{2} (dx^2 + dy \overline{dy})$ and

G_∞ / Z_∞ acts on H as a group of isometries;

we denote this action by $\gamma \cdot h, \gamma \in G_{\omega_j}, h \in H_{\omega_j}$.

For $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in G_{\omega_j}, h = (x, y) \in H_{\omega_j}$, we define:

$$J(\gamma, h) = \begin{pmatrix} \text{sgn}(\gamma) \cdot (\overline{cy+d}) & -\text{sgn}(\gamma) \cdot \overline{cx} \\ cx & (cy+d) \end{pmatrix} \in K_\infty Z_\infty$$

where $\text{sgn}(\gamma) = \text{sgn}(\det \gamma) \in k_\infty^{\text{sgn}}$.

An easy calculation gives the automorphy relation:

$$J(\gamma_1 \gamma_2, h) = J(\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \cdot h) J(\gamma_2, h).$$

On H we define an n -form with values in V^* = vector space dual to V , by:

$$\beta = \sum_{\substack{e_1 \dots e_n \\ |e_j| \leq 1}} \prod_{j=1}^n \beta_{\infty j}^{e_j} \cdot v_{e_1 \dots e_n}, \text{ where } \{v_{e_1 \dots e_n}\} \text{ is the dual}$$

basis of $\{V^{e_1 \dots e_n}\}$, and

$$\beta_{\infty j}^{e_j} = - \frac{dy_{\infty j}}{x_{\infty j}} \quad \text{if } e_j = 1,$$

$$\beta_{\infty j}^{e_j} = \frac{dx_{\infty j}}{x_{\infty j}} \quad \text{if } e_j = 0,$$

$$\beta_{\infty} = \frac{dy_{\infty j}}{x_{\infty j}} \quad \text{if } e_j = -1.$$

β is defined in this manner to ensure that $\beta|_{\gamma}(h) = \beta(h) \cdot {}^t M(J(\gamma, h))$

for $\gamma \in G_{\infty}$, $h \in H$.

Fix $r \in k_{\text{fin}}^*$ a finite idele. With our modular form F

we associate an n -form on H given by $\Omega_F^{(r)}(h) = F(h \begin{pmatrix} r & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}_{\text{fin}}) \cdot \beta(h)$

Let $\Gamma^{(r)} = G_k \cap ((r, 0) K_{\text{fin}}(r^{-1}, 0) G_{\infty})$ it's a congruence subgroup

of G_k which we view as a discrete subgroup of G_{∞} . An easy

calculation gives $F(\gamma \cdot h(r, 0)) = F(h(r, 0)) M(J(\gamma, h))^{-1}$ $\gamma \in \Gamma^{(r)}$, and

hence $\Omega_F^{(r)}$ is $\Gamma^{(r)}$ invariant, and can be viewed as a

form on $X^{(r)} = \Gamma^{(r)} \backslash H$.

(Note that $X^{(k)}$ is not a manifold because the elliptic elements in $\Gamma^{(k)}$ give whole geodesics that are singular.

But we can always find a normal subgroup of finite index

$\Gamma_0^{(k)} \subseteq \Gamma^{(k)}$ that has no torsion, Then $X_0^{(k)} = \Gamma_0^{(k)} \backslash H$ is a manifold and $X^{(k)}$ is the quotient of $X_0^{(k)}$ by the finite group $\tilde{\Gamma}^{(k)} = \Gamma^{(k)} / \Gamma_0^{(k)}$.
We can now view $\Omega_F^{(k)}$ as a form on $X_0^{(k)}$ invariant under $\tilde{\Gamma}^{(k)}$.

Moreover, the properties of Hankel's functions, $K_0 = \frac{1}{x}k_1 + K_1'$, $K_1 = -K_0'$ imply that $\Omega_F^{(r)}$ is harmonic, hence can be viewed as an element of $H^n(X^{(r)}, \mathbb{C})$ (i.e. as an element of $H^n(X_0^{(r)}, \mathbb{C})^{\Gamma^{(r)}}$). We finally define Ω_F on X by $\Omega_F|_{X_i^{(r)}} = \Omega_F^{(r)}$, $i = 1 \dots h$

We let $\bar{H} = H \cup \mathbb{P}^1(k)$.

For $h = (x, y)$ we set: $|h|_\infty = \prod_{j=1}^n x_{\infty j}^{-1}$, the "distance" of

h from ∞ ; $|h|_\eta = \prod_{j=1}^n x_{\infty j}^{-1} (|\eta - y|_{\infty j}^2 + x_{\infty j}^2)$, the "distance"

of h from $\eta \in k$. The topology on \bar{H} is

defined by taking for neighborhoods of $\eta \in \mathbb{P}^1(k)$ the sets

$\{\eta\} \cup \{h \in H \mid |h|_\eta < r\}$, for all $r > 0$. It is easy to

see that this topology is separated and that the action

of G_k on \bar{H} is continuous. We let $\bar{X}^{(r)} = \Gamma^{(r)} \backslash \bar{H}$.

Remark:

Because of the estimates of Hankel's functions we have:

$|F(h(r, 0))| = O(|h|_\eta^\sigma)$ for all $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$ if and only if

$F(h(r, 0))$ is cuspidal at η . By using the fact that F is

cuspidal at ∞ , one gets that for $(f) = F$ prime to a

(=conductor of F), $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_F^* = \prod_{p|F} \mathcal{O}_p^*$, $r \in k_{fin}^*$ prime to F :

$|F(r\alpha f_x, -\alpha)| = O(|x|^\sigma)$ as $|x| \rightarrow 0$ or ∞ , for all $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$.

§2. The periods $L(r, \eta)$ (cf. [K])

We fix Haar measure $d^*x = \theta \cdot d^*x_{\mathcal{V}}$ on k_A^* normalized by: $\int_{\mathcal{O}_p^*} dx_p = 1$ and $d^*x_{\infty j} = \frac{|d\theta \wedge dr|}{r}$ where $x_{\infty j} = re^{i\theta}$

in polar-coordinates. We let $F_0: G_A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ denote the

$\mathcal{V}^0 \dots \mathcal{O}_n = \theta \prod_{j=1}^n \mathcal{V}_{\infty j}^0$ -component of $F: G_A \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$. For $r \in k_A^*$, $\eta \in k_{\text{fin}}'$,

we define (if convergent, e.g. by the remark at the end of § 1):

$$L(r, \eta) = \frac{1}{(\mathcal{O}_p^* : E)} \int_{k_{\infty} \cdot \prod_p \mathcal{O}_p^* / E} F_0(r \underline{\partial} x, -\eta) d^*x$$

where E is any subgroup of totally positive units, of finite index in \mathcal{O}_p^* , satisfying the congruence conditions:

$$(1-\varepsilon)\eta \in r_{\text{fin}} \prod_p \mathcal{O}_p \quad \text{for all } \varepsilon \in E$$

Lemma 1:

- (i) $L(r, \eta)$ depends only on the ideal (r) .
- (ii) $L(r, \eta)$ depends only on the image $\eta \in k_{\text{fin}}' / r_{\text{fin}} \prod_p \mathcal{O}_p$.
- (iii) $L(r, \eta) = L(r\xi, \eta\xi)$ for $\xi \in k^*$.

Pf. (i) follows since $\cdot F(r \underline{\partial} x, -\eta) = F((r \underline{\partial} x, -\eta)(u, 0)) = F(r \underline{\partial} ux, -\eta)$ for $u \in \prod_p \mathcal{O}_p^*$, and $F_0(r \underline{\partial} x, -\eta) = F(r \underline{\partial} ux, -\eta)$ for $u \in k_{\infty}^{\text{sgn}}$.

(ii) follows since $F(r\underline{\partial}x, -\eta) = F((r\underline{\partial}x, -\eta)(1, -r^{-1}\underline{\partial}^{-1}x^{-1}\mu))$
 $F(r\underline{\partial}x, -\eta-\mu)$ for $\mu \in r \prod_p 0_p$.

(iii) follows since $F(r\underline{\partial}x, -\eta) = F((\xi, 0)(r\underline{\partial}x, -\eta)) =$
 $F(\xi r\underline{\partial}x, -\xi\eta)$.

Thus if $\eta \in k$, which by (ii) we may assume without loss of generality, we have

$$L(r, \eta) = \frac{1}{(0^*:E)} \int_{k_\infty^+ \prod_p 0_p/E} F_0((1, \eta)(r\underline{\partial}x, -\eta_{fin})) d^*x =$$

$$= \frac{1}{(0^*:E)} \int_{k_\infty^+/E} F_0(r\underline{\partial}x, \eta_\infty) d^*x \quad \text{an archimedean integral.}$$

We shall next describe some relative cycles in $\overline{X}^{(r\underline{\partial})}$ against which integrating $\Omega_F^{(r\underline{\partial})}$ we shall obtain $L(r, \eta)$, thus justifying the name "periods" for $L(r, \eta)$. Let

$$\overline{I} = \{(t_0, t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}) \mid 0 \leq t_0 \leq \infty, 0 \leq t_1 \dots t_{n-1} \leq 1\}$$

$$I = \{(t_0, t_1 \dots t_{n-1}) \in \overline{I} \mid 0 < t_0 < \infty\}$$

$$I_0 = \{(0, t_1 \dots t_{n-1}) \in \overline{I}\}, I_\infty = \{(\infty, t_1 \dots t_{n-1}) \in \overline{I}\}$$

so that $\overline{I} = I_0 \cup I \cup I_\infty$. Fix a basis $\epsilon_1 \dots \epsilon_{n-1}$ for E ,

and define $x: I \rightarrow k_\infty^+$ by $x(t)_{\infty j} = t_0 \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} (\epsilon_k^{(\infty j)})^{t_k}$, so

that $k_\infty^+ = \bigcup_{\epsilon \in E} \epsilon \cdot x(I)$. For $\eta \in k$ we define an n -simplex

$c(E, \eta) : \bar{I} \rightarrow \bar{H}$ by

$$c(E, \eta)(t) = \begin{cases} \eta & t \in I_0 \\ (x(t), \eta) & t \in I \\ \infty & t \in I_\infty \end{cases}$$

It is easily seen that $c(E, \eta)$ is continuous on \bar{I} , and smooth on I . We have $(1, (\epsilon_k - 1)\eta) \circ c(E, \eta) [\{t \in \bar{I} | t_k = 0\}] = c(E, \eta) [\{t \in \bar{I} | t_k = 1\}]$. Thus if

$c^{(r\partial)}(E, \eta) : \bar{I} \xrightarrow{c(E, \eta)} \bar{H} \xrightarrow{\text{proj}} \Gamma^{(r\partial)} \setminus \bar{H} = \bar{X}^{(r\partial)}$, then $c^{(r\partial)}(E, \eta)$ is a cycle in $\bar{X}^{(r\partial)}$ relative to the boundary $\partial \bar{X}^{(r\partial)} = \Gamma^{(r\partial)} \setminus \mathbb{P}^1(k)$; $c^{(r\partial)}(E, \eta) \in H_n(\bar{X}^{(r\partial)}, \partial \bar{X}^{(r\partial)}; \mathbb{Z})$. Moreover, $c^{(r\partial)}(\eta) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{(0^* : E)}$

$\frac{1}{(0^* : E)} c^{(r\partial)}(E, \eta) \in H_n(\bar{X}^{(r\partial)}, \partial \bar{X}^{(r\partial)}; \mathbb{Q})$ is independent of E .

Lemma 2: $L(r, \eta) = \int_{c^{(r\partial)}(\eta)} \Omega_F^{(r\partial)}$.

Pf. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{c^{(r\partial)}(\eta)} \Omega_F^{(r\partial)} \quad \text{integration in } \bar{X}^{r\partial} \\ &= \frac{1}{(0^* : E)} \int_{c(E, \eta)} \Omega_F^{(r\partial)} \quad \text{integration in } \bar{H} \\ &= \frac{1}{(0^* : E)} \int_I F((x(t), \eta)_\infty(r\partial, 0)) \cdot (c(E, \eta)^* \delta)(t) \quad \text{integration in } I \end{aligned}$$

Note that all the "y-components" are constant, $y_{\infty j} = \eta_{\infty j}$, thus

$$= \frac{1}{(0^* : E)} \int_I F_0(r\partial x(t), \eta_\infty) \frac{dx(t)}{x(t)} = \frac{1}{(0^* : E)} \int_{k_\infty^+ / E} F_0(r\partial x, -\eta_{\text{fin}}) d^*x$$

$$= L(r, \eta).$$

Corollary: The \mathbb{Z} -module generated by all $L(r, \eta)$'s is finitely generated.

Pf. $H_n(\bar{X}, \partial\bar{X}; \mathbb{Z})$ is finitely generated and the denominators $\frac{1}{(O^* : E)}$ are bounded.

§ 3 Twists and Mellin transforms ([M]'s and [K]'s generalization of the basic idea of [B], which really goes back as far as Dirichlet...).

Let ω be a finite character, so that ω_∞ is trivial. Let F be its conductor. Write $\omega^S(x) = \omega(x) \cdot |x|_A^S$, so that on ideals $\underline{\omega}^S(b) = \omega(b) \cdot N_b^{-S}$. Define the twist

$$F^\omega(x) = \sum_{\xi \in k^*} C((\xi x)) \underline{\omega}((\xi x)) \cdot W(\xi x_\infty). \text{ Fix finite ideles}$$

$r_1 \dots r_h$ representing \underline{Cl}_k such that r_i is prime to F .

Lemma Let $\mathbb{I}_2(\omega^S) = ((2\pi)^{-2} \Gamma(s+1))^{2n}$. For $\text{Re } s$ large we have:

$$(4\pi)^{2n} \Gamma_2(\omega^S)^{-1} \cdot L_E(\omega^S) = \sum_{i=1}^h N(r_i)^{-s} \frac{1}{(O^*:E)} \int_{k_\infty^+/E} F_0^\omega(r_i x_\infty) \cdot |x_\infty|^S d^*x_\infty$$

Pf. An easy calculation gives

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{k_A^*/k^*} F^\omega(x) \cdot |x|_A^S d^*x &= \sum_b C(b) \underline{\omega}^S(b) \cdot \int_{k_\infty^*} W(x_\infty) \cdot |x_\infty|^S d^*x_\infty \\ &= L_F(\omega^S) \cdot \frac{1}{(8\pi)^n} \mathbb{I}_2(\omega^2) \cdot v^{0 \dots 0} \end{aligned}$$

Thus only the $v^{0 \dots 0}$ -component F_0 gives a contribution and we get:

$$\begin{aligned} (8\pi)^{-n} \cdot \Gamma_2(\omega^S) \cdot L_F(\omega^S) &= \int_{k_A^*/k^*} F_0^\omega(x) \cdot |x|_A^S d^*x = \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^h N(r_i)^{-s} \int_{k_\infty^*/O^*} F_0^\omega(r_i x_\infty) \cdot |x_\infty|^S d^*x_\infty = \\ &= (2\pi)^n \cdot \sum_{i=1}^h N(r_i)^{-s} \frac{1}{(O^*:E)} \cdot \\ &\quad \int_{k_\infty^+/E} F_0^\omega(r_i x_\infty) \cdot |x_\infty|^S d^*x_\infty \end{aligned}$$

Here E is any subgroup of totally real units of finite index in O^* , but we shall consider only E satisfying the congruence conditions of §2 in all that follows.

Lemma Let $r \in k_{\text{fin}}^*$ be prime to F , i.e. $r_p = 1$ for all $p|F$.

For $x \in k_{\infty}^*$ we have:

$$F^{\omega}(rx) = \tau(\omega)NF^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{\alpha \in (O_F/\mathfrak{f})^*} \omega(\alpha r \underline{\partial}^{-1} \underline{f}^{-1}) F(rx, -\alpha \underline{f} \underline{\partial}^{-1} \underline{f}^{-1}).$$

Pf. An application of Fourier inversion gives for $\xi \in k^*$:

$$\underline{\omega}((\xi)) = \tau(\omega)NF^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{\alpha \in (O_F/\mathfrak{f})^*} \omega(\alpha \underline{\partial}^{-1} \underline{f}^{-1}) \psi(-\alpha \underline{f} \underline{\partial}^{-1} \underline{f}^{-1} \xi)$$

And so we get:

$$\begin{aligned} F^{\omega}(rx) &= \sum_{\xi \in k^*} C((\xi r)) \underline{\omega}((r)) \underline{\omega}((\xi)) W(\xi x) = \\ &= \tau(\omega)NF^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{\alpha \in (O_F/\mathfrak{f})^*} \omega(\alpha r \underline{\partial}^{-1} \underline{f}^{-1}) \sum_{\xi \in k^*} C((\xi r)) W(\xi x) \psi(-\alpha \underline{f} \underline{\partial}^{-1} \underline{f}^{-1} \xi) = \\ &= \tau(\omega)NF^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{\alpha \in (O_F/F)^*} \omega(\alpha r \underline{\partial}^{-1} \underline{f}^{-1}) F(rx, -\alpha \underline{f} \underline{\partial}^{-1} \underline{f}^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Birch Lemma [B]: $L_E(\omega) = \tau(\omega)NF^{-\frac{1}{2}} (4\pi)^{2n} \sum_{i=1}^h \sum_{\alpha \in (O_F/\mathfrak{f})^*} \omega(\alpha r_i) L(r_i \underline{f}, \alpha \underline{f})$

Pf. Combining the last two lemmas we get for $\text{Re } s$ large:

$$\tau(\omega) \int_{\mathbb{N}_F^2} \frac{1}{(4\pi)^{-2n}} \Gamma_2(\omega^S) \cdot L_F(\omega)^S =$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^h \mathbb{N}(r_i)^{-s} \sum_{\alpha \in (\hat{O}_F/\hat{F})^*} \omega(\alpha r_i \underline{\partial}^{-1} \underline{f}^{-1}) \frac{1}{(O^*:E)} \int_{k_\omega^+/E} F_0(r_i x_\omega, -\alpha \underline{f} \underline{\partial}^{-1} \underline{f}^{-1}) \cdot |x_\omega|^s \cdot d^*x_\omega$$

by the remark at the end of § 1 the right hand side converges for all s , at $s = 0$ we get:

$$\tau(\omega)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{N}_F^2} \frac{1}{(4\pi)^{-2n}} \cdot L_F(\omega) =$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^h \sum_{\alpha \in (\hat{O}_F/\hat{F})^*} \omega(\alpha r_i \underline{\partial}^{-1} \underline{f}^{-1}) \cdot \frac{1}{(O^*:E)} \int_{k_\omega^+/E} F_0(r_i x_\omega, -\alpha \underline{f} \underline{\partial}^{-1} \underline{f}^{-1}) d^*x_\omega$$

let $\xi \in k^*$ be such that $(\xi)_F = (\underline{\partial}^{-1} \underline{f}^{-1})_F$, multiplying α by $\xi \underline{f} \underline{\partial} \underline{f} \in O_F^*$ we continue the equality

$$= \sum_{i=1}^h \sum_{\alpha \in (\hat{O}_F/\hat{F})^*} \omega(\alpha r_i (\xi \underline{\partial} \underline{f})_F \underline{\partial}^{-1} \underline{f}^{-1}) \frac{1}{(O^*:E)} \int_{k_\omega^+/E} F_0(r_i x_\omega, -\alpha \underline{f} \xi) d^*x_\omega$$

multiply the argument of F_0 by $(\xi^{-1}, 0)$ and use left G_k -invariance, then put $\xi^{-1} x$ for x_ω

$$= \sum_{i=1}^h \sum_{\alpha \in (\hat{O}_F/\hat{F})^*} \omega(\alpha r_i (\xi \underline{\partial} \underline{f})_F \underline{\partial}^{-1} \underline{f}^{-1}) \frac{1}{(O^*:E)} \cdot \int_{k_\omega^+/E} F_0(r_i \xi^{-1} x_\omega, -\alpha \underline{f}) d^*x_\omega$$

substitute $r_i (\xi \underline{\partial} \underline{f})_F^{-1} \underline{\partial} \xi_{fin}$ for r_i we finally get

$$= \sum_{i=1}^h \sum_{\alpha \in (\hat{O}_F/\hat{F})^*} \omega(\alpha r_i) \frac{1}{(O^*:E)} \int_{k_\omega^+/E} F_0(r_i \underline{\partial} \underline{f} x_\omega, -\alpha \underline{f}) d^*x_\omega$$

§ 4 Universal modular symbol and associated distribution

([M]'s adelization of [M,S-D]).

Let S denote a finite set of primes. Let $L(S)$ denote by the $\mathbb{Z}[\rho_p^{-1}; p \in S]$ -module generated by the symbols $L(r, \eta)$, $r \in k_{\text{fin}}^*$, $\eta \in \prod_{p \in S} k_p$, subjected to the following relations:

Rel(i) : $L(r, \eta)$ depends only on the ideal (r) .

Rel(ii) : $L(r, \eta)$ depends only on the image of η in $\prod_{p \in S} k_p / r_p O_p$.

Rel(iii): $L(r, \eta) = L(r\xi, r\xi)$ for $\xi \in k^*$.

For $p \in S$ define the operator R_p^{-1} acting on $L(S)$ by $R_p^{-1}L(r, \eta) = L(rP^{-1}, \eta)$. For r prime to S , define the operator U_p by $U_p L(r, \eta) = \sum_{u \text{ mod } p} L(rp, \eta+u)$, and extend this operator to all of $L(S)$ via Rel(iii) (here and in the following, $\sum_{u \text{ mod } p}$ means that we sum over $u \in O_p$ running through a complete set of representatives for the residue field $k(p)$). It is easy to see that these operators are well defined. We let $L^*(S) = L(S) / (\lambda_p - R_p^{-1} - U_p)L(S)$. For the formal convenience we also define $R_p L(r, \eta) = L(rp, \eta)$ whenever $\eta_p \in k_p / r_p O_p$ was given by the context as $\eta_p \in k_p / p r_p O_p$, and similarly we let $\ell_u L(r, \eta) = L(r, \eta+u)$ for $u \in k_p$; these are not operators because we can possibly have e.g. $L(r, \eta) = 0$, $R_p L(r, \eta) \neq 0$, so whenever we have an expression involving R_p 's, ℓ_u 's, and $L(r, \eta)$'s we first apply the R_p 's and the ℓ_u 's and only then look at the image of the resulting expression in $L^*(S)$. Thus by abuse of language we have the following Hecke relations:

$$(*) \quad \rho_P + \tilde{\rho}_P = \lambda_P = R_P^{-1} + R_P \cdot \sum_{u \bmod P} \rho_u$$

$$(**) \quad \rho_P \cdot \tilde{\rho}_P = \mathbb{N}P = \sum_{u \bmod P} \rho_u$$

when applied to $L(r, \eta)$ with r prime to P ; (*) is just the relation $\lambda_P = R_{P-1} + U_P$, and (**) follows from Rel(ii), $L(r, \eta+u) = L(r, \eta+u')$ for any $u, u' \in O_P$.

Fixing $r \in k_{\text{fin}}^*$ prime to S we shall define an $L^*(S)$ -valued distribution $\mu^{(r)}$ on $O_S^* = \prod_{P \in S} O_P^*$, by giving its value on "elementary sets". We write $S = S_0 \cup S_1$, $F = \prod_{P \in S_1} P^{e_P}$, $e_P > 0$, and let $\eta \in O_F^* = \prod_{P \in S_1} O_P^*$ extended to $\eta \in O_S$ by decreeing that $\eta_P = 0$ for $P \in S_0$; we let $\eta + (F)^* \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \prod_{P \in S} O_P^* \times \prod_{P \in S_1} (\eta + P^{e_P} O_P) \subseteq O_S^*$. Every open set in O_S^* is a finite union of such elementary open sets $\eta + (F)^*$'s.

Definition

$$\mu^{(r)}(\eta + (F)^*) = \left[\prod_{P \in S_0} (1 - \rho_P^{-1} R_P) \right] \left[\prod_{P \in S} (1 - \rho_P^{-1} R_P^{-1}) \cdot \rho_P^{-\text{ord}_P F} R_P^{\text{ord}_P F} \right] L(r, \eta).$$

This depends only on the image of η in $O_F^*/(1+(F))$ by Rel (ii).

Theorem $\mu^{(r)}$ is indeed a distribution:

$$\mu^{(r)}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^N u_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^N \mu^{(r)}(u_i) \text{ for disjoint open sets } u_i \subseteq O_S^*.$$

Pf. It's enough to check that

$$(I) \quad \sum_{\substack{\eta' \text{ mod } FP \\ \eta' \equiv \eta \text{ mod } F}} \mu^{(k)}(\eta' + (FP)) = \mu^{(k)}(\eta + (F))$$

for $P \in S$, F divisible by all $P \in S$, $\eta \in O_S^*$; and to check that

$$(II) \quad \sum_{\substack{u_i \text{ mod } P_i \\ u_i \neq 0}} \mu^{(k)}\left(\eta + \sum_{i=1}^e u_i + (F \prod_{i=1}^e P_i)\right) = \mu^{(k)}(\eta + (F)^*)$$

where $S_0 = \{P_1, \dots, P_e\}$, $\eta \in O_F^*$, with the above convention $\eta_{P_i} = 0$.

We begin with (I), so that $S_0 = \emptyset$. Let $(-1)^d$ denote the Möbius function: $(-1)^d = 0$ if $p^2 | d$ some p , and $(-1)^d = (-1)^{\#\{P|d\}}$ if d is square free.

Extend R_p and ρ_p by multiplicativity $R_d = \prod_{P|d}^{ord_P d} R_P$, $\rho_d = \prod_{P|d}^{ord_P d} \rho_P$. Then $\mu^{(k)}(\eta + (F)) =$

$$\left[\prod_{P \in S} (1 - \rho_P^{-1} R_P^{-1}) \right] \cdot \rho_F^{-1} R_F L(r, \eta) = \left[\rho_F^{-1} \prod_{d|F} (-1)^d \rho_d^{-1} R_{Fd}^{-1} \right] L(r, \eta).$$

Choose $\xi \in k^*$ such that $(\xi)_S = F$, where we write

$(\xi) = (\xi)_S (\xi)^S$ with $(\xi)^S$ prime to S . Write

$(\eta') = \eta + \xi u$ with $u \in O_P$ running through a complete

set of representatives for the residue field $k(P)$. We

have:

$$\sum_{\substack{\eta' \bmod FP \\ \eta' \equiv \eta \bmod F}} \mu^{(r)}(\eta' + (FP)) = \sum_{u \bmod P} \rho_{FP}^{-1} \sum_{d|FP} (-1)^d \rho_d^{-1} R_{FPd^{-1}} L(r, \eta + u\xi) =$$

writing $\sum_{d|FP}$ as $\sum_{\substack{d|F \\ P \nmid d}} + \sum_{\substack{d|FP \\ P|d}}$ and substituting dP for d

in the second sum

$$= \rho_F^{-1} \sum_{\substack{d|F \\ P \nmid d}} (-1)^d \rho_d^{-1} \sum_{u \bmod P} \{ \rho_P^{-1} L(rFPd^{-1}, \eta + u\xi) - \rho_P^{-2} L(rFd^{-1}, \eta + u\xi) \} =$$

by Rel(iii) we can divide ξ and get

$$= \rho_F^{-1} \sum_{\substack{d|F \\ P \nmid d}} (-1)^d \rho_d^{-1} \sum_{u \bmod P} \{ \rho_P^{-1} L(rPd^{-1}(\xi^{-1})^S, \eta\xi^{-1} + u) - \rho_P^{-2} L(rd^{-1}(\xi^{-1})^S, \eta\xi^{-1} + u) \}$$

using Hecke relations (*) and (**) for the first and second terms in { } respectively

$$= \rho_F^{-1} \sum_{\substack{d|F \\ P \nmid d}} (-1)^d \rho_d^{-1} \{ \rho_P^{-1} (\rho_P + \tilde{\rho}_P) L(rd^{-1}(\xi^{-1})^S, \eta\xi^{-1}) - \rho_P^{-1} L(rd^{-1}(\xi^{-1})^S, \eta\xi^{-1}) - \rho_P^{-2} (\rho_P \tilde{\rho}_P) L(rd^{-1}(\xi^{-1})^S, \eta\xi^{-1}) \}$$

canceling terms inside { }, and using Rel(iii),

to multiply by ξ , we get

$$= \rho_F^{-1} \sum_{\substack{d|F \\ P \nmid d}} (-1)^d \rho_d^{-1} \{ L(rFd^{-1}, \eta) - \rho_P^{-1} L(rFd^{-1}P^{-1}, \eta) \} =$$

$$= [\rho_F^{-1} \sum_{d|F} (-1)^d \rho_d^{-1} R_{Fd^{-1}}] L(r, \eta) = \mu^{(r)}(\eta + (F)) .$$

As to (II) we have with $S_0 = \{P_i\}'s$:

$$\sum_{\substack{u_i \text{ mod } P_i \\ u_i \neq 0}} \mu^{(r)}(n + \sum_{i=1}^e u_i + (F \prod_{i=1}^e P_i)) =$$

$$= \left[\rho_{F \prod P_i}^{-1} \cdot \sum_{d|F} (-1)^d \rho_d^{-1} R_{F(\prod P_i)d^{-1}} \prod_{P_i} \sum_{\substack{u_i \text{ mod } P_i \\ u_i \neq 0}} \rho_{u_i} \right] L(r, n)$$

$$= \left[\rho_{F \prod P_i}^{-1} \cdot \sum_{d|F} (-1)^d \rho_d^{-1} R_{Fd^{-1}} \sum_{\substack{J \subseteq S_0 \\ J \neq \emptyset}} (-1)^{\#J} \rho_{\prod_{P_i \in J} P_i}^{-1} R_{\prod_{P_i \in S_0 \setminus J} P_i} \prod_{P_i} \sum_{\substack{u_i \text{ mod } P_i \\ u_i \neq 0}} \rho_{u_i} \right] L(r, n)$$

$$= \left[\rho_{F \prod P_i}^{-1} \cdot \prod_{P_i|F} (1 - \rho_{P_i}^{-1} R_{P_i^{-1}}) \cdot R_F \prod_{P_i} \left\{ (\rho_{P_i}^{-1} R_{P_i^{-1}}) \sum_{\substack{u_i \text{ mod } P_i \\ u_i \neq 0}} \rho_{u_i} \right\} \right] L(r, n) =$$

using the Hecke relations (*) and (**) we get

$$\begin{aligned} &= \left[\rho_{F \prod P_i}^{-1} \prod_{P_i|F} (1 - \rho_{P_i}^{-1} R_{P_i^{-1}}) \cdot R_F \prod_{P_i} \left\{ (-R_{P_i} + \rho_{P_i} + \tilde{\rho}_{P_i}^{-1} R_{P_i}^{-1}) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - \rho_{P_i}^{-1} (\rho_{P_i} \tilde{\rho}_{P_i}^{-1} - 1) \right\} \right] L(r, n) = \\ &= \prod_{P_i|F} \left[\rho_{P_i}^{-\text{ord}_{P_i} F} (1 - \rho_{P_i}^{-1} R_{P_i^{-1}}) R_{P_i}^{\text{ord}_{P_i} F} \right] \prod_{P_i} \left[(1 - \rho_{P_i}^{-1} R_{P_i}^{-1}) (1 - \rho_{P_i}^{-1} R_{P_i}) \right] L(r, n) = \\ &= \left[\prod_{P_i \in S} (1 - \rho_{P_i}^{-1} R_{P_i}^{-1}) \rho_{P_i}^{-\text{ord}_{P_i} F} R_{P_i}^{\text{ord}_{P_i} F} \cdot \prod_{P_i \in S_0} (1 - \rho_{P_i}^{-1} R_{P_i}) \right] L(r, n) = \\ &= \mu^{(r)}(n + (F) *). \end{aligned}$$

q.e.d.

Note that by Rel(i) and Rel(ii) we have for $\epsilon \in 0^*$,
 $L(r, \epsilon\eta) = L(\epsilon^{-1}r, \eta) = L(r, \eta)$. Hence $\mu^{(r)}(\epsilon\eta + (F)^*) = \mu^{(r)}(\eta + (F)^*)$,
 $\epsilon \in 0^*$, and we can view $\mu^{(r)}$ as a distribution on $\prod_{p \in S} O_p^* / \bar{O}_k$,
where \bar{O}_k denote the closure of O_k^* in O_S^* .

§ 5 Measure associated to a modular form

Let F denote a modular form and let $L(r, \eta)$'s denote its periods. Fix a finite set of finite places S away from a -conductors of F . By the remark at the end of § 1 the periods $L(r, \eta)$ converge for $\eta \in 0_S$, $r \in k_{fin}^*$, and by Lemma 1 of § 2 these periods satisfy Rel(i), Rel(ii), Rel(iii) of § 4. Moreover, since F is assumed to be a Hecke eigenform we have for $P \in S$, and r prime to P ,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_P \cdot L(r, \eta) &= \frac{1}{(0^* : E)} \int_{k_\infty^+ \prod_P 0_{P/E}} T_P F_0(r \partial x, -\eta) d^*x = \\ &= \frac{1}{(0^* : E)} \int_{k_\infty^+ \prod_P 0_{P/E}^*} \{F_0(r \partial P^{-1} x, -\eta) + \sum_{u \bmod P} F_0(r \partial P x, -\eta - u)\} d^*x = \\ &= L(r P^{-1}, \eta) + \sum_{u \bmod P} L(r P, \eta + u) = [R_P^{-1} + R_P \cdot \sum_{u \bmod P} \ell_u] L(r, \eta). \end{aligned}$$

and so $L(r, \eta)$ satisfy the extra Hecke relation (*) of § 4.

Thus we have a well define map $L(r, \eta) \mapsto L(r, \eta)$, $L^*(S) \rightarrow L_{S, F}$,

where $L_{S, F}$ is the $\mathbb{Z}[\rho_P^{-1}; P \in S]$ -module generated by the periods $L(r, \eta)$'s, $r \in k_{fin}^*$, $\eta \in 0_S$. The construction of § 4 gives now for every $r \in k_{fin}^*$ an $L_{S, F}$ -valued distribution on $0_S^*/0_k^*$.

Let $k(1)$ denote the Hilbert class field of k , and let

$k(S)$ denote the maximal abelian extension of k unramified

outside S . By means of the Artin symbol we have isomorphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{O}_S^* / \mathcal{O}_k^* & \cong & k^* \prod_{p \notin S} \mathcal{O}_p^* k^* / k^* \prod_{p \notin S} \mathcal{O}_p^* k^* \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Gal}(k(S)/k(1)) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 k_A^* / k^* \prod_{p \notin S} \mathcal{O}_p^* k^* & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \text{Gal}(k(S)/k) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \underline{Cl}_k & \cong & k_A^* / k^* \prod_{p \notin S} \mathcal{O}_p^* k^* \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Gal}(k(1)/k)
 \end{array}$$

We use these isomorphisms as identifications, and define a distribution on $G_S = \text{Gal}(k(S)/k)$, by $\mu_F = \sum_{i=1}^h \delta_{r_i} * \mu_F^{(r_i)}$, where $r_1 \dots r_n \in k_{fin}^*$ represents \underline{d}_k and are prime to S ; that is for a locally constant function g on G_S , we have

$$\int_{G_S} g \, d\mu = \sum_{i=1}^h \int_{\mathcal{O}_S^* / \mathcal{O}_k^*} g(r_i \eta) \, d\mu^{(r_i)}(\eta),$$

The distribution μ_F is determined by its values on finite characters ω . Let $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$ denote the ring obtained by adjoining to \mathbb{Z} the values of ω , and let $L_{S,F}[\omega] = \mathbb{Z}[\omega] \otimes L_{S,F}$.

Theorem For a finite character, $\omega: G_S \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\omega]$, $F =$ conductor of ω , we have in $L_{S,F}[\omega]$:

$$\int_{G_S} \omega \, d\mu = \prod_{p \in S} (1 - \rho_p^{-1} \omega(p)) (1 - \rho_p^{-1} \omega^{-1}(p)) \cdot \frac{1}{\tau(\omega) (4\pi)^n} \cdot \frac{NF^2 \rho_F^{-1}}{L_F(\omega)}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \underline{\text{Pf.}} \quad \int_{G_F} \omega \, d\mu_F &= \sum_{i=1}^h \omega(r_i) \int_{\mathcal{O}_S^*/\mathcal{O}^*} \omega(\eta) d\mu^{(r_i)}(\eta) = \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^h \sum_{\eta \in (\mathcal{O}_F/\widehat{F})^*} \omega(r_i \eta) \mu^{(r_i)}(\eta + (F)^*) = \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^h \sum_{\eta \in (\mathcal{O}_F/\widehat{F})} \omega(r_i \eta) \cdot \rho_F^{-1} \sum_{\substack{d \mid \Pi P \\ P \in S}} (-1)^d \rho_d^{-1} R_d^{-1} \sum_{\substack{d' \mid \Pi P \\ P \in S_0}} (-1)^{d'} \rho_{d'}^{-1} R_{d'} \cdot R_F \cdot L(r, \eta)
 \end{aligned}$$

without loss of generality we may assume $(d, F) = 1$, otherwise

we get a "denominator" Fd^{-1} and by Rel (ii) of §4, $L(rd'Fd^{-1}, \eta)$ depends only on the image $\eta_0 \in (\mathcal{O}_F/F(F, d)^{-1})^*$ of η , but $\sum_{\substack{\eta \in (\mathcal{O}_F/\widehat{F})^* \\ \eta \equiv \eta_0 \pmod{F(F, d)^{-1}}} \omega(\eta) = 0$; thus the above is equal to

$$= \rho_F^{-1} \sum_{\substack{d, d' \mid \Pi P \\ P \in S_0}} (-1)^d (-1)^{d'} \rho_d^{-1} \rho_{d'}^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^h \sum_{\eta \in (\mathcal{O}_F/\widehat{F})^*} \omega(r_i \eta) L(r_i d' d^{-1} F, \eta) =$$

by Birch lemma the last sum is independent of the choice of r_i 's and we may replace r_i by $r_i d' d^{-1}$ obtaining

$$= \rho_F^{-1} \sum_{\substack{d \mid \Pi P \\ P \in S_0}} (-1)^d \rho_d^{-1} \underline{\omega}(d) \sum_{\substack{d' \mid \Pi P \\ P \in S_0}} (-1)^{d'} \rho_{d'}^{-1} \underline{\omega}(d')^{-1}.$$

$$\cdot \sum_{i=1}^h \sum_{\eta \in (\mathcal{O}_F/\widehat{F})^*} \omega(r_i \eta) L(r_i F, \eta) =$$

$$= \rho_F^{-1} \prod_{P \in S_0} (1 - \rho_P^{-1} \underline{\omega}(P)) (1 - \rho_P^{-1} \underline{\omega}(P)^{-1}) \sum_{i=1}^h \sum_{\eta \in (\mathcal{O}_F/\widehat{F})^*} \omega(r_i \eta) L(r_i F, \eta) =$$

$$= \rho_F^{-1} \prod_{P \in S} (1 - \rho_P^{-1} \omega(P)) (1 - \rho_P^{-1} \omega^{-1}(P)) (\tau(\omega) N_F^{-\frac{1}{2}} (4\pi)^{2n})^{-1} L_F(\omega)$$

by Birch Lemma.

q.e.d.

Assume that the ρ_p 's, $P \in S$, can be chosen to be P -units, hence S -units. Let $\hat{L}_{S,F} = 0_S \otimes L_{S,F}$ denote the S -adic completion of $L_{S,F}$. By the result of § 2, $L_{S,F}$ is a finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}[\rho_p^{-1}; P \in S]$ -module, hence by the above assumption $\hat{L}_{S,F}$ is a finitely generated 0_S -module; and so if $0_S[g]$ is an 0_S -algebra, finitely generated as an 0_S -module, we can associate to every continuous function $g: G_S \rightarrow 0_S[g]$ the well defined integral of g with respect to μ_F , $\int_{G_F} g d\mu_F \in \hat{L}_{S,F}[g] = 0_S[g] \otimes_{0_S} \hat{L}_{S,F}$. In particular, for any continuous S -adic character, $\omega: G_S \rightarrow 0_S[\omega]$, we can define the S -adic L -functions, $L_{F,S}(\omega) = \int_{G_S} \omega d\mu_F \in \hat{L}_{S,F}[\omega]$.

Remark: If the ρ_p 's were not S -adic units the μ_F defined above would still be a distribution but would not be bounded. Nevertheless, it would have "moderate growth" and hence any analytic function (e.g. an S -adic character) could be integrated against it. But continuous functions could not be integrated and our S -adic L -function would have infinitely many zeros, cf. [V].

Theorem: We have the functional equation

$$L_{F,S}(\omega) = (-1)^n \cdot \epsilon_F \cdot \omega(\underline{a}) \cdot L_{F,S}(\omega^{-1})$$

Pf. One way of proving this is by using the functional equation for $L_P(\omega)$. For finite characters ω we have

by the previous theorem

$$L_{F,S}(\omega) = \frac{L_F(\omega)}{\tau(\omega)} \cdot (\text{inv.})$$

where (inv.) denotes a term invariant under $\omega \mapsto \omega^{-1}$.

Using now the functional equation for $L_F(\omega)$, ω finite and $\tau(\omega) \cdot \tau(\omega^{-1}) = 1$, we obtain the functional equation for $L_{F,S}(\omega)$ for finite ω 's. Since the measure μ is determined by its values on finite ω 's we obtain the functional equation for all ω 's.

A more direct proof is as follows. By using the functional equation.

$$F \left(g \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\partial^{-1} \\ \underline{\partial} \underline{a} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right)_{\text{fin}} = \epsilon_F \cdot F(g)$$

one obtains for \underline{f} such that $(\underline{f}) = F$ is prime to a , $r \in k_{\text{fin}}^*$ prime to F , and $\eta \in 0_{\underline{f}}^*$

$$F(\underline{a} \underline{\partial}^2 r^{-1} D^2 x^{-1}, -D_0 \underline{a} r^{-1} D) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

On the $v^0 \dots 0$ -component this reads:

$$F_0(r \underline{\partial} \underline{f} x, -\eta) = (-1)^n \cdot \epsilon_F \cdot F_0(\underline{a} r^{-1} \underline{\partial} \underline{f} x^{-1}, \eta^{-1}).$$

Integrating this over $k_{\infty}^+ \cdot \Pi_0^* \mathbb{P} / \mathbb{E}$ with respect to d^*x

we get

$$L(rF, \eta) = (-1)^n \cdot \epsilon_F \cdot L(\underline{a}r^{-1}F, -\eta^{-1}).$$

Hence we obtain a functional equation for our measures

$$\mu^{(r)}(\eta) = (-1)^n \cdot \epsilon_F \cdot \mu^{(r^{-1}a)}(-\eta^{-1})$$

from which the functional equation for $L_{F,S}(\omega)$ follows immediately. q.e.d.

Bibliography

- [B] B.J. Birch: Elliptic curves over \mathbb{Q} , a progress report. 1969 Number Theory Institute, AMS Proc. Symp. Pure Math. XX(1971), 396 - 400.
- [T] S. Haran: p-adic L-functions for elliptic curves over CM fields, Ph.D, Thesis, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, May 1983.
- [K] P.F. Kurcanov: Dirichlet series of Jacquet-Langlands cusp forms over fields of CM-type, Math. USSR Izv. 14 (1980).
- [F] W. Magnus, F. Oberhettinger: Formulas and Theorems for the Functions of Mathematical Physics, Chelsea, 1954.
- [M] J.I. Manin: Non-archimedean integration and p-adic Hecke-Langlands L-series, Russian Math. Surveys, vol.31, no. 1 (1976).
- [M,S-D] B. Mazur, H.P.F. Swinnerton-Dyer: Arithmetic of Weil curves, Inv. Math. 25 (1974), 1 - 61.
- [V] S. Visik: Non-archimedean measures associated with Dirichlet series, Mat. sb. 99 (1976).
- [W] A. Weil: Dirichlet series and automorphic forms, Springer Lec. Notes in Math. 189 (1971).