# Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik Bonn 

Finite group actions on Kervaire manifolds
by

## Diarmuid Crowley <br> Ian Hambleton



# Finite group actions on Kervaire manifolds 

Diarmuid Crowley<br>Ian Hambleton

Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik<br>Vivatsgasse 7<br>53111 Bonn<br>Germany

Department of Mathematics

McMaster University<br>Hamilton, Ontario L8S 4K1<br>Canada

# FINITE GROUP ACTIONS ON KERVAIRE MANIFOLDS 

DIARMUID CROWLEY AND IAN HAMBLETON


#### Abstract

Let $\mathbb{M}_{K}^{4 k+2}$ be the Kervaire manifold: a closed, piecewise linear (PL) manifold with Kervaire invariant 1 and the same homology as the product $S^{2 k+1} \times S^{2 k+1}$ of spheres. We show that a finite group of odd order acts freely on $\mathbb{M}_{K}^{4 k+2}$ if and only if it acts freely on $S^{2 k+1} \times S^{2 k+1}$. If $\mathbb{M}_{K}$ is smoothable, then each smooth structure on $\mathbb{M}_{K}$ admits a free smooth involution. If $k \neq 2^{j}-1$, then $\mathbb{M}_{K}^{4 k+2}$ does not admit any free TOP involutions. Free "exotic" (PL) involutions are constructed on $\mathbb{M}_{K}^{30}, \mathbb{M}_{K}^{62}$, and $\mathbb{M}_{K}^{126}$. Each smooth structure on $\mathbb{M}_{K}^{30}$ admits a free $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \times \mathbb{Z} / 2$ action.


## 1. Introduction

One of the main themes in geometric topology is the study of smooth manifolds and their piece-wise linear (PL) triangulations. Shortly after Milnor's discovery [49] of exotic smooth 7 -spheres, Kervaire [36] constructed the first example (in dimension 10) of a PLmanifold with no differentiable structure, and a new exotic smooth 9 -sphere $\Sigma^{9}$.

The construction of Kervaire's 10-dimensional manifold was generalized to all dimensions of the form $m \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$, via "plumbing" (see $[34, \S 8])$. Let $P^{4 k+2}$ denote the smooth, parallelizable manifold of dimension $4 k+2, k \geq 0$, constructed by plumbing two copies of the the unit tangent disk bundle of $S^{2 k+1}$. The boundary $\Sigma^{4 k+1}=\partial P^{4 k+2}$ is a smooth homotopy sphere, now usually called the Kervaire sphere. Since $\Sigma^{4 k+1}$ is always PL-homeomorphic to the standard sphere $S^{4 k+1}$ (by Smale [54]), one can cone off the boundary of $P^{4 k+2}$ to obtain the Kervaire manifold, denoted $\mathbb{M}_{K}^{4 k+2}$, with its canonical PL-structure.

By construction, $\mathbb{M}_{K}^{4 k+2}$ is a closed, almost parallelizable, PL manifold with the same homology as the product $S^{2 k+1} \times S^{2 k+1}$ of spheres and it is simply-connected if $k>0$. It admits a Wu structure $f_{K}$ with Arf invariant one (as defined by Kervaire [36, §1], Kervaire-Milnor $[37, \S 8]$, and Browder [9, $\S 1]$ ). Moreover, $\mathbb{M}_{K}^{4 k+2}$ is minimal with respect to these properties.

In this paper, we consider symmetries of the Kervaire manifolds.
Question 1.1. Does $\mathbb{M}_{K}^{4 k+2}$ admit any (PL) free orientation-preserving finite group actions ? If $\mathbb{M}_{K}^{4 k+2}$ is smoothable, does it admit any smooth free actions ?

[^0]If $\mathbb{M}_{K}^{4 k+2}$ is smoothable, then the Wu structure $f_{K}$ is given by a framing, and the framed manifold $\left(\mathbb{M}_{K}^{4 k+2}, f_{K}\right)$ represents an element in the stable stem $\pi_{4 k+2}^{S}$ by the PontrjaginThom isomorphism. Conversely, any element in $\pi_{4 k+2}^{S}$ is represented by a smooth, closed, framed ( $4 k+2$ )-manifold. However, Browder [9] showed the Arf invariant of such manifolds is zero except in the special dimensions where $k=2^{j}-1$, for some $j \geq 0$. Since the Arf invariant is preserved under framed cobordism, it makes sense to define

$$
\theta_{j} \subset \pi_{2^{j+1}-2}^{S}
$$

as the subset of elements represented by smooth framed manifolds with Arf invariant one. In this notation, $\mathbb{M}_{K}^{4 k+2}$ is smoothable if and only if $\theta_{j+1}$ is non-empty, implying $k=2^{j}-1$.

It is now known that the Kervaire manifolds are smoothable (or equivalently that the Kervaire sphere is standard) in very few dimensions. Kervaire [36] showed that $\mathbb{M}_{K}^{10}$ does not admit any smooth structure, and Browder [9] showed that $\Sigma^{4 k+1}$ could only be diffeomorphic to $S^{4 k+1}$ in the special dimensions

$$
4 k+2=2^{j+2}-2=\operatorname{dim} \theta_{j+1},
$$

where $k=2^{j}-1$. Note that when the Kervaire sphere is standard, the smooth structure resulting from attaching a $(4 k+2)$-disk is not unique, since we may take connected sums with homotopy spheres in $\Theta_{4 k+2}$, but all of the resulting smooth Kervaire manifolds are stably parallelizable (by obstruction theory).

Recently Hill, Hopkins and Ravenel [31, 32] have shown that $\Sigma^{4 k+1}$ is not diffeomorphic to $S^{4 k+1}$ if $k=2^{j}-1$ and $j \geq 6$. Earlier work of Barrett, Jones and Mahowald [4], [5] showed that $\Sigma^{4 k+1}$ is standard up to dimension $62(j \leq 4)$. The 125-dimensional case is open.

Here is a summary of the results, first for involutions.
Theorem A. Let $\mathbb{M}_{K}^{4 k+2}$ be a closed, oriented (PL) Kervaire manifold.
(i) If $\mathbb{M}_{K}^{4 k+2}$ is smoothable, then every smooth manifold $N$ with $N \cong_{P L} \mathbb{M}_{K}^{4 k+2}$ admits a smooth, free orientation-preserving involution.
(ii) Any smooth structure on $\mathbb{M}_{K}^{30}$ admits a free, orientation-preserving smooth action of the group $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \times \mathbb{Z} / 2$.
(iii) If $4 k+2 \neq 2^{j+2}-2$, then $\mathbb{M}_{K}^{4 k+2}$ does not admit any free (TOP) involutions.

The first part of Theorem A will be proved in Theorem 2.1, where the statement includes frame-preserving involutions, and the second part in Theorem 2.4. We remark that the last assertion of Theorem A is an immediate consequence of a result of Brumfiel, Madsen and Milgram [13, Theorem 1.3], which proves that $\mathbb{M}_{K}^{4 k+2}$ is an (unoriented) topological boundary if and only if $k=2^{j}-1$. Since a manifold which admits a free involution bounds the unit orientation line bundle over its orbit space, even topological or orientation-reversing involutions are ruled out except in the "Arf invariant dimensions" $4 k+2=2^{j+1}-2$. In these cases, we have the following inductive construction.

Theorem B. Suppose that the set $\theta_{j}$ contains an element of order two, for some $j \geq 0$. Then $\mathbb{M}_{K}^{4 k+2}$ admits free, orientation-preserving (PL) involutions, for $4 k+2=\operatorname{dim} \theta_{j+1}$.

For $j \leq 4$, when the Kervaire manifolds of dimension $\operatorname{dim} \theta_{j+1}$ are smoothable, Theorem A already provides a smooth, free, frame-preserving involutions. However, the construction in Theorem B produces a wide variety of non-smoothable involutions in dimensions 30 and above (see Theorem D and Theorem 8.5). Moreover, the following result (for $j=5$ ) gives a new symmetry of the Kervaire manifold in dimension 126.
Corollary 1.2. $\mathbb{M}_{K}^{126}$ admits a free, orientation-preserving (PL) involution.
Note that $\mathbb{M}_{K}^{126}$ is not currently known to be smoothable, but $\theta_{5}$ contains an element of order two (see [44], [40]), and Theorem B applies. The situation for $\mathbb{M}_{K}^{254}$ is at present unknown. Moreover, Hill, Hopkins and Ravenel [31] have shown that the sets $\theta_{j}$ are all empty, for $j \geq 7$, so the inductive construction of involutions via Theorem B cannot continue.

Here are some remaining problems:
Question 1.3. Does the Kervaire manifold $\mathbb{M}_{K}^{4 k+2}$ admits a free, orientation-preserving (PL) involution if $4 k+2=\operatorname{dim} \theta_{j+1} \geq 254$ ? Does $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \times \mathbb{Z} / 2$ act freely on some Kervaire manifold of dimension greater than 30 ?

In contrast, for odd order groups we have:
Theorem C. Any finite group of odd order acts freely on $\mathbb{M}_{K}^{4 k+2}$, preserving the orientation, if and only if it acts freely on $S^{2 k+1} \times S^{2 k+1}$.

The proof in Theorem 9.1 is an application of the "propagation" method of Cappell, Davis, Löffler and Weinberger (see [16], [17]). This collection of actions includes some interesting finite groups, such as the extraspecial $p$-groups of rank 2 and exponent $p$ (see $[29,30])$. We remark that the Kervaire manifolds $\mathbb{M}_{K}^{4 k+2}$ in the Arf invariant dimensions do not admit free, orientation-preserving (TOP) actions of non-abelian $p$-groups, for $p$ odd (these are ruled out by the cohomology ring structure: see [43, Theorem A]).

In Theorem 3.8, we show that the quotient manifold $M:=\mathbb{M}_{K}^{4 k+2} /\langle\tau\rangle$ of any free smooth (or PL) involution on a Kervaire manifold can be decomposed as a twisted double $M=W \cup_{\phi} W$. Here $W=D(\xi)$ is the disk bundle of a suitable PL-bundle of dimension $2 k+1$ over $\mathbb{R} \mathbf{P}^{2 k+1}$, and $\phi: V \rightarrow V$ is a diffeomorphism (or PL-homeomorphism) of $V:=\partial W$. The bundle $\xi$ is called the characteristic bundle for the involution, and $\xi$ is admissible if $\pi^{*}(\xi) \cong \tau_{S^{2 k+1}}$ under the standard projection $\pi: S^{2 k+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mathbf{P}^{2 k+1}$ (see Proposition 4.3 for a stable recognition criterion).

In order to prove Theorem B, we construct such a twisted double decomposition, where $\phi$ is a PL-homeomorphism homotopic to an explicitly defined "pinch map" homotopy equivalence $p(\alpha): V \rightarrow V$ (see Theorem 8.1). The proof that the pinch map $p(\alpha)$ is homotopic to a PL-homeomorphism uses surgery theory as developed by Browder, Novikov, Sullivan and Wall (see [61], [10]). In this way, we construct examples with any admissible PL-bundle $\xi$ as the characteristic bundle for the involution (see Theorem 8.5).

In Section 6 we recall the main features of surgery theory for tangential normal maps, following the work of Madsen, Taylor and Williams [47, §2]. In Section 7, we apply the theory of [47] to obtain a general formula for the tangential normal invariant of certain pinch maps (see Lemma 7.4).

The proof of Theorem B is completed in Section 8. The argument uses results of Brumfiel, Madsen and Milgram [13] to analyze the image of the tangential normal invariant $\eta^{\mathrm{t}}(p(\alpha)) \in[V, S G]$ under the natural maps $S G \rightarrow G / O \rightarrow G / P L$. It follows that the Poincaré complex $Z:=W \cup_{p(\alpha)} W$ is homotopy equivalent to a PL-manifold $M$, and by our choice of characteristic bundle $\xi$ and pinch map $p(\alpha)$, we conclude that the universal covering $\widetilde{M}$ is PL-homeomorphic to $\mathbb{M}_{K}^{4 k+2}$ (see Theorem 5.1 and Lemma 8.4).

Finally, in Section 11, we show that some of the free (PL) involutions on Kervaire manifolds constructed in Theorem B are "exotic", even if the characteristic bundle is a vector bundle (an action of linear type).

Theorem D. There exist free orientation-preserving (PL) involutions of linear type on the Kervaire manifolds $\mathbb{M}_{K}^{30}, \mathbb{M}_{K}^{62}$ and $\mathbb{M}_{K}^{126}$ which are not smoothable

These actions on $\mathbb{M}_{K}^{4 k+2}$, for $4 k+2 \in\{30,62,126\}$ are smoothable over the $(2 k+1)$ skeleton (see Lemma 11.10), but the stable PL normal bundle $\nu_{M}$ for the orbit space $M:=\mathbb{M}_{K}^{4 k+2} /\langle\tau\rangle$ does not admit a vector bundle structure (see Corollary 11.3).
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## 2. The proof of Theorem A

The first part of Theorem A has been implicit in the literature since the 1970's (in particular, it does not use any of the recent progress concerning the $\theta_{j}$ ). We first give a more detailed statement of the result.
Theorem 2.1. Suppose that $\mathbb{M}_{K}^{4 k+2}$ is smoothable. For any smooth, closed manifold $N \cong_{P L} \mathbb{M}_{K}^{4 k+2}$, and any framing $(N, f)$ with Arf invariant one, $(N, f)$ admits a smooth, free, frame-preserving involution.

The main step is due to E. H. Brown, Jr. (based on work of N. Ray and Kahn-Priddy; see also the remark [14, p. 664]).

Theorem 2.2 (Brown [12]). If $x \in \pi_{m}^{S}, m>0$, then $x$ can be represented by a smooth, closed, framed manifold $(N, f)$, where $N$ admits a smooth fixed-point free involution $\tau$ which preserves the framing $f$. If $x \neq 0$ has 2 -primary order, then $(N, f)$ and $\tau$ can be chosen so that $N$ is $([m / 2]-1)$-connected, and $(N, f) /\langle\tau\rangle$ is framed cobordant to zero.

We will apply this result to the elements of $\theta_{j+1}$, where $m=4 k+2=2^{j+2}-2$. Hence we assume that $4 k+2 \in\{2,6,14,30,62\}$ and possibly that $4 k+2=126$ if $\theta_{6}$ is non-empty. Let $N$ be a closed oriented smooth $2 k$-connected $(4 k+2)$-manifold. Since $\pi_{2 k+1}(B O)=\pi_{4 k+2}(B O)=0$, every such $N$ admits a framing $f$ of its stable normal bundle and we let

$$
K(N, f) \in \mathbb{Z} / 2
$$

denote the Kervaire invariant of $(N, f)$. For example, for $k=0,1,3$, there are framings $f_{k}$ of $S^{2 k+1}$ such that $K\left(S^{2 k+1} \times S^{2 k+1}, f_{k} \times f_{k}\right)=1$. On the other hand in dimensions 30,62 and possibly 126 , then $K(N, f)$ is independent of $f[37, \S 8]$.

Given an orientation preserving diffeomorphism $g: N_{0} \cong N_{1}$ and a framing $f$ of $N_{1}$, we obtain the induced framing $g^{*}(f)$ of $N_{0}$. Hence we may define the set,

$$
\mathcal{K}_{4 k+2}:=\{(N, f) \mid K(N, f)=1 \text { and } \chi(N)=0\},
$$

of framed diffeomorphism classes of $2 k$-connected closed smooth framed ( $4 k+2$ )-manifolds with Kervaire invariant one and Euler characteristic zero. By a result of Freedman (see the proof of [19, Theorem 1]), if $\left(N_{0}, f_{0}\right)$ and $\left(N_{1}, f_{1}\right)$ in $\mathcal{K \mathcal { M }}_{4 k+2}$ are framed cobordant, and $k>0$, then they are framed diffeomorphic. Hence the elements of $\mathcal{K}_{4 k+2}$ are in bijection with their framed cobordism classes in $\theta_{j+1}$ (see [37, Theorem 6.6 and $\S 8$ ] for surjectivity). The surface case ( $k=0$ ) is left to the reader.

Now let $\Theta_{4 k+2}$ denote the group of oriented $h$-cobordism classes of homotopy $(4 k+2)$ spheres as defined in [37]. By [37, Lemma 4.5 and Lemma 8.4] there is a short exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \Theta_{4 k+2} \longrightarrow \Omega_{4 k+2}^{\mathrm{fr}} \xrightarrow{K} \mathbb{Z} / 2 \rightarrow 0 \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence $\Theta_{4 k+2}$ acts freely and transitively on $K^{-1}(1)=\theta_{j+1}$. Since $\pi_{4 k+2}(S O)=0$, we may regard $\Theta_{4 k+2}$ as the group of framed diffeomorphism classes of framed homotopy spheres. By the remarks above, we see that $\Theta_{4 k+2}$ also acts freely and transitively on the set $\mathcal{K M}_{4 k+2}$ via connected sum of framed manifolds.

The proof of Theorem 2.1. If $\theta_{j}$ is non-empty, then by the result of Brown there exists a smooth, closed, framed manifold $(N, f)$, with Arf invariant one (and dimension $m=$ $4 k+2=2^{j+2}-2$ ), such that $N$ admits a smooth fixed-point free involution $t$ which preserves the framing $f$. By equivariant framed surgery below the middle dimension, we may assume that $\pi_{i}(N)=0$ for $i<2 k+1$.

The remaining part is contained in the second author's Ph.D thesis [24]. Since $N$ is highly-connected, it follows that $H_{2 k+1}(N ; \mathbb{Z})$ is the direct sum (as a $\Lambda:=\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z} / 2]$-module) of a free $\Lambda$-module and two copies of the trivial $\Lambda$-module $\mathbb{Z}_{+}$.

By [24] or [23, Theorem 31], the $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z} / 2]$-free summand splits off the $\mathbb{Z} / 2$-equivariant intersection form of $N$, and supports a non-singular quadratic form

$$
q: H_{2 k+1}(N ; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow Q_{-}\left(\mathbb{Z} / 2^{+}\right)=\Lambda /\{\nu-\bar{\nu} \mid \nu \in \Lambda\} \cong \mathbb{Z} / 2 \oplus \mathbb{Z} / 2
$$

refining the equivariant intersection form. The quadratic refinement $q$ is given by the framing at the identity element of $\mathbb{Z} / 2=\{1, \tau\}$, and by the Browder-Livesay cohomology operation $[8, \S 4]$ at the non-trivial element $\tau$.

Hence we have an element of $L_{2 l}(\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z} / 2],+)$, as discussed in [61, §5]. By [61, §13A], there are isomorphisms via the inclusion or projection map

$$
L_{4 k+2}(\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z} / 2],+) \cong L_{2}(\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z} / 2
$$

The Arf invariant of $(N, f)$ is the sum of the Arf invariant of the form on the $\Lambda$-free part, and the Arf invariant of the hyperbolic form on $\mathbb{Z}_{+} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{+}$. We may choose the splitting of the equivariant intersection form so that the Arf invariant on the free part is zero. Then by equivariant framed surgery, the $\Lambda$-free summand can be removed, and the new smooth manifold ( $N^{\prime}, f^{\prime}$ ) will be PL-homeomorphic to $\mathbb{M}_{K}^{4 k+2}$.

We now consider part (ii) of Theorem A.

Theorem 2.4. For any smooth, closed manifold $N \cong_{P L} \mathbb{M}_{K}^{4 k+2}$, of dimension $\leq 30$, and any framing $(N, f)$ with Arf invariant one, $(N, f)$ admits a smooth, free, frame-preserving $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \times \mathbb{Z} / 2$ action.

Proof. The idea is similar to the above: we use the fact that the elements in $\theta_{j}$ factor through the "double transfer"

$$
\operatorname{tr}: \pi_{4 k+2}^{S}\left(\mathbb{R} \mathbf{P}^{\infty} \wedge \mathbb{R} \mathbf{P}^{\infty}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{4 k+2}^{S}
$$

for $j \leq 4$ (see Lin and Mahowald [45] for $\theta_{4}$ ). The argument is the same for each of the $\theta_{j}, j \leq 4$, but for $\operatorname{dim} \mathbb{M}_{K}^{4 k+2}<30$ the Kervaire manifolds are products of spheres, with product framings, so a direct construction can be given. Let $(M, f)$ be a smooth, closed, framed 30-dimensional manifold, with

$$
G:=\pi_{1}(M)=\mathbb{Z} / 2 \times \mathbb{Z} / 2,
$$

such that its universal covering $(\widetilde{M}, \tilde{f})$ has Kervaire invariant one. By framed surgery below the middle dimension, we may assume that $\widetilde{M}$ is $2 k$-connected.
(i) The $\Lambda$-module $H_{15}(\widetilde{M})$ is stably isomorphic to $L_{0} \oplus L_{1}$, where $L_{1}$ is a free $\Lambda$ module, and $L_{0}$ is an extension of $\Omega^{16} \mathbb{Z}$ and its dual. We remark that the argument in [26, Prop. 2.4] generalizes to $M$ since its universal covering is $2 k$ connected.
(ii) The extension class for $L_{0}$ is the image $c_{*}[M] \in H_{30}(G ; \mathbb{Z})$.
(iii) $c_{*}[M] \neq 0$ since $\Omega^{16} \mathbb{Z}$ has $\mathbb{Z}$-rank $>1$ because $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \times \mathbb{Z} / 2$ does not have periodic cohomology.
(iv) For every class $u \in H^{1}(M ; \mathbb{Z} / 2)$, we have $u^{16}=0$, but $u^{15} \neq 0$.
(v) It follows that $0 \neq c_{*}[M] \in H_{15}(\mathbb{Z} / 2) \otimes H_{15}(\mathbb{Z} / 2) \subset H_{30}(G ; \mathbb{Z})$.
(vi) The fundamental class of $\mathbb{R} \mathbf{P}^{15} \times \mathbb{R} \mathbf{P}^{15}$ has the same image in $H_{30}(G ; \mathbb{Z})$, hence $L_{0} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{+} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{+}$.
(vii) The intersection form $\lambda_{M}$ is unimodular restricted to $L_{0}$, so it admits an orthogonal splitting $L_{0} \perp L_{1}$.
We can now do equvariant framed surgery to eliminate the free summand $L_{1}$, since the surgery obstruction group $L_{4 k+2}(\mathbb{Z} G) \cong \mathbb{Z} / 2$ is again detected by the ordinary Arf invariant (see [60, Theorem 3.2.2]).

Remark 2.5. Minami [51] has proved that no order two element $x_{5} \in \theta_{5}$ lies in the image of the double transfer, so this method of constructing $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \times \mathbb{Z} / 2$ actions does not continue.

Remark 2.6. Computations in homotopy theory provide the list: $\left|\mathcal{K \mathcal { M }}_{2}\right|=\left|\mathcal{K \mathcal { M }}_{6}\right|=1$, $\left|\mathcal{K M}_{14}\right|=2,\left|\mathcal{K M}_{30}\right|=3$ and $\left|\mathcal{K M}_{62}\right|=24$. The values of $\left|\mathcal{K M}_{4 k+2}\right|$ for $4 k+2=2,6,14$ and 30 can be found in [53, Table A3.3]. To determine $\left|\mathcal{K M}_{62}\right|$ we use [41].

For an $(N, f) \in \mathcal{K M}_{4 k+2}$, the group $H^{2 k+1}\left(N ; \pi_{2 k+1}(S O)\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{2}$ acts freely and transitively on the homotopy classes of framings of $N$ compatible with the orientation. Hence there exist a large number of self-diffeomorphisms $g: N \cong N$ which act on the set of framings of $N$ (see [42, Theorem 2]).

## 3. Free involutions on highly-Connected manifolds

Let $M^{2 l}$ be a closed, oriented smooth or PL manifold of dimension $2 l \geq 4$, with fundamental group $\pi_{1}(M)=\mathbb{Z} / 2$. In addition, we assume that $\pi_{i}(M)=0$, for $1<i<l$, and consider the classification problem for such manifolds This is equivalent to the study of free, orientation-preserving involutions on $(l-1)$-connected, $2 l$-manifolds, by passing to the universal covering $\widetilde{M}$ of $M$. We refer to [24, 25] and [62, 63] for earlier results on this problem, assuming $l \geq 3$, generalizing the classification of $(l-1)$-connected $2 l$ manifolds given by Wall [58]. Closed, oriented 4-manifolds with fundamental group $\mathbb{Z} / 2$ were classified by Hambleton and Kreck [27].

Let $\Lambda=\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z} / 2]$ denote the integral group ring, let $\mathbb{Z} / 2=\langle T\rangle$, and let $\mathbb{Z}_{+}$(respectively $\mathbb{Z}_{-}$) denote the integers with $T$ acting as +1 (respectively -1 ). We will also write $\mathbb{Z}_{\varepsilon}$, with $\varepsilon= \pm 1$, for short.
Lemma 3.1. Let $M^{2 l}$ be a closed, oriented PL manifold of dimension $2 l \geq 4$, with $\pi_{1}(M)=\mathbb{Z} / 2$. If $\pi_{i}(M)=0$, for $1<i<l$, then $\pi_{l}(M) \cong r \Lambda \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{\varepsilon} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{\varepsilon}$ for some $r \geq 0$, with $\varepsilon=(-1)^{l+1}$.
Proof. This is an easy consequence of the spectral sequence for the univeral covering $\widetilde{M} \rightarrow M \rightarrow K(\mathbb{Z} / 2,1)$.

Next we recall the equivariant intersection form $\lambda_{M}: \pi_{l}(M) \times \pi_{l}(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, defined by counting interesections and self-intersections equivariantly in $\widetilde{M}$ (see [61, Chap. 5]). Then $\lambda_{M}$ is a unimodular $(-1)^{l}$-symmetic bilinear form, satisfying the properties (i) $\lambda_{M}(x, y)=\lambda_{M}(T x, T y)$, for all $x, y \in \pi_{l}(M)$, and (ii) $\lambda_{M}(x, T x) \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$, for all $x \in \pi_{l}(M)$.

In the rest of this section, we will consider only the special case $l=2 k+1$ relevant to the existence of free orientation-preserving smooth or PL involutions on Kervaire manifolds. More precisely:
Definition 3.2. Let $M^{4 k+2}$ be a closed, oriented smooth or PL manifold satisfying the following conditions:
(i) $\pi_{1}(M)=\mathbb{Z} / 2$,
(ii) $\pi_{i}(M)=0$, for $1<i<2 k+1$, and
(iii) $H_{2 k+1}(\widetilde{M} ; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \pi_{2 k+1}(M) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{+} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{+}$.

We will give a geometric decomposition $M=W \cup_{\phi} W$, based on the normal bundle $\xi$ of a characteristic embedding $f: \mathbb{R} \mathbf{P}^{2 k+1} \rightarrow M$ (see Definition 3.6 and Theorem 3.8).

For convenience, we will work now in the smooth category, but with obvious changes the discussion applies to the PL category. Let $\mathcal{B}=\left\{e_{0}, e_{\infty}\right\}$ denote a fixed symplectic base for $H_{2 k+1}(\widetilde{M} ; \mathbb{Z})$, so that $\lambda_{M}\left(e_{0}, e_{0}\right)=\lambda_{M}\left(e_{\infty}, e_{\infty}\right)=0$, and $\lambda_{M}\left(e_{0}, e_{\infty}\right)=1$. We first discuss the existence and uniqueness of embeddings $\mathbb{R} \mathbf{P}^{2 k+1} \subset M$.

Definition 3.3. An embedding $f: \mathbb{R} \mathbf{P}^{2 k+1} \rightarrow M$ represents $e_{0} \in \pi_{2 k+1}(M)$, if
(i) $f_{\#}: \pi_{1}\left(\mathbb{R} \mathbf{P}^{2 k+1}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{1}(M)$ is an isomorphism,
(ii) $\tilde{f}_{*}\left[S^{2 k+1}\right]=e_{0}$ for some lifting $\tilde{f}: S^{2 k+1} \rightarrow M$ of $f$.

Proposition 3.4. If $k \geq 1$, there is an embedding $f: \mathbb{R} \mathbf{P}^{2 k+1} \rightarrow M$ representing $e_{0}$, which is unique up to homotopy. If $k \geq 2$, the embedding is unique up to isotopy.

Proof. For uniqueness up to homotopy, we apply Olum [52, Corollary 16.2], and uniqueness up to isotopy follows from Haefliger [22].
Corollary 3.5. If $k \geq 1$, the normal bundles in $M$ of any two embeddings of $\mathbb{R} \mathbf{P}^{2 k+1}$ representing $e_{0}$ are isomorphic.

Proof. For $k \geq 2$, the embeddings are isotopic so their normal bundles are isomorphic. If $k=1$, we have $f^{*}\left(\tau_{M}\right) \cong g^{*}\left(\tau_{M}\right)$, for any two homotopic embeddings. Therefore the normal bundles of $f$ and $g$ are stably isomorphic (see Fujii [20, Theorem 2]). For 3-plane bundles over $\mathbb{R} \mathbf{P}^{3}$, stable isomorphism implies isomorphism (by Dold-Whitney [18]).
Definition 3.6. A characteristic embedding of $\mathbb{R} \mathbf{P}^{2 k+1}$ in $M$ is an embedding which represents $e_{0} \in \mathcal{B} \subset \pi_{2 k+1}(M)$, where $\mathcal{B}$ is a symplectic base for $\lambda_{M}$. The normal bundle to a characteristic embedding will be denoted $\xi=\xi(M)$, and called the characteristic bundle.

For the rest of this section, we fix a characteristic embedding $f: \mathbb{R} \mathbf{P}^{2 k+1} \rightarrow M$, and let $W \subset M$ denote a small closed tubular neighbourhood of $f\left(\mathbb{R} \mathbf{P}^{2 k+1}\right)$ in $M$, with boundary $V=\partial W$. Then $W$ is diffeomorphic to $D(\xi)$, the total space of the $(2 k+1)$-disk bundle associated to the characteristic bundle, and $V$ is diffeomorphic to $S(\xi)$. Let $E=M-\operatorname{int} W$ denote the complement of $W \subset M$.

Lemma 3.7. $E$ is diffeomorphic (PL-homeomorphic) to $W \cong D(\xi)$.
Proof. By general position, we may isotope the embedding $f$ to obtain an embedding $g: \mathbb{R} \mathbf{P}^{2 k+1} \rightarrow M-\operatorname{int} W$. This is possible because $\lambda_{M}\left(e_{0}, e_{0}\right)=0$, and the normal bundle $\xi$ has a non-zero section. Then $g$ is unique up to isotopy, and we let $U \subset E=M-\operatorname{int} W$ denote a small closed tubular neighbourhood of $g\left(\mathbb{R} \mathbf{P}^{2 k+1}\right)$ in $E$. It is easy to check that the region $E-\operatorname{int} U$ is an $h$-cobordism between $\partial U$ and $\partial E=S(\xi)$. But $U \cong D(\xi)$, so it follows that $E$ is diffeomorphic to the total space of the characteristic $(2 k+1)$-disk bundle $D(\xi)$ over $\mathbb{R} \mathbf{P}^{2 k+1}$.

We summarize:
Theorem 3.8. Suppose that $M^{4 k+2}$ is a closed, oriented smooth (PL) manifold satisfying the condtions (3.2), and let $\xi(M)$ denote the normal bundle of a characteristic embedding of $\mathbb{R} \mathbf{P}^{2 k+1}$ in $M$. Then there is a diffeomorphism (PL-homeomorphism) $\phi: S(\xi) \rightarrow S(\xi)$, such that $M \cong W \cup_{\phi} W$.

This result will be our guide to constructing free involutions on the Kervaire manifolds.

## 4. Twisted doubles and free involutions on Kervaire manifolds

We now consider the case when $M$ is a closed oriented PL manifold, with $\pi_{1}(M)=$ $\mathbb{Z} / 2$ and $\widetilde{M} \cong_{P L} \mathbb{M}_{K}^{4 k+2}$ is a Kervaire manifold. By Theorem A, this is only possible if $4 k+2=\operatorname{dim} \theta_{j+1}=2 \operatorname{dim} \theta_{j}+2$, for some $j \geq 0$. For convenience, we let $n=\operatorname{dim} \theta_{j}$ so that $\operatorname{dim} M=2 n+2$. We recall a key feature of the plumbing description for the Kervaire
manifolds. If $\nu$ denotes the normal bundle an embedded $(2 k+1)$-sphere in $\mathbb{M}_{K}^{4 k+2}$ which represents a primitive homology class, then $\nu \cong \tau_{S^{2 k+1}}$ is isomorphic to the tangent bundle of the $(2 k+1)$-sphere. Let $\pi: S^{2 k+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mathbf{P}^{2 k+1}$ denote the 2-fold covering projection.

By Theorem 3.8, to construct a suitable orbit manifold $M:=\mathbb{M}_{K}^{4 k+2} /\langle\tau\rangle$, we need to find the following:
(i) A $(2 k+1)$-dimensional (PL) bundle $\xi$ over $\mathbb{R} \mathbf{P}^{2 k+1}$, such that $\pi^{*}(\xi) \cong \tau_{S^{2 k+1}}$.
(ii) A PL-homeomorphism $g: S(\xi) \rightarrow S(\xi)$, so that the manifold $M_{g}:=W \cup_{g} W$, with $W=D(\xi)$, will have universal covering $\widetilde{M}_{g} \cong \mathbb{M}_{K}^{4 k+2}$.
Note that for $l \neq 1,3,7$, the tangent bundle $\tau_{S^{l}}$ is the unique non-trivial $l$-plane bundle over $S^{l}$ which is stably trivial.

The first requirement can clearly be met by taking $\xi=\tau_{\mathbb{R} \mathbf{P}^{2 k+1}}$. In the Arf invariant dimensions, there is another possibility:
Theorem 4.1 (Brown [11]). Let $\nu$ denote the normal bundle of a smooth immersion of $\mathbb{R} \mathbf{P}^{l}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{2 l}$. If $l \neq 1,3,7$ and $l$ is odd, then $\pi^{*}(\nu)$ is isomorphic to $\tau_{S^{l}}$ if and only if $l=2^{j}-1$, for some $j>3$.

This choice fits well with the construction of smooth frame-preserving free involutions in the cases where $\mathbb{M}_{K}^{4 k+2}$ is smoothable, since then $W=D(\xi)$ will be parallelizable. In general, we can take any PL-bundle $\xi$ of dimension $2 k+1$, with the required property for $\pi^{*}(\xi)$.
Definition 4.2. A PL-bundle $\xi$ of dimension $2 k+1$ over $\mathbb{R} \mathbf{P}^{2 k+1}$ is called an admissible bundle if $\pi^{*}(\xi) \cong \tau_{S^{2 k+1}}$. If $M$ has characteristic bundle $\xi=\xi(M)$, then we will say that $\widetilde{M}$ has an involution of type $\xi$.

Here is a stable characterization of admissible bundles. Let $i: \mathbb{R} \mathbf{P}^{2 k+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mathbf{P}^{2 k+2}$ denote the standard inclusion.

Proposition 4.3. Let $\xi$ be a PL-bundle of dimension $2 k+1$, for $k \geq 4$, with $\pi^{*}(\xi)$ stably trivial, and let $\gamma \in K P L\left(\mathbb{R} \mathbf{P}^{2 k+1}\right)$ denote the stable equivalence class of $\xi$. Then $\pi^{*}(\xi) \cong \tau_{S^{2 k+1}}$ if and only if there exists $\hat{\gamma} \in \operatorname{KPL}\left(\mathbb{R} \mathbf{P}^{2 k+2}\right)$, such that $i^{*}(\hat{\gamma})=\gamma$ and $w_{2 k+2}(\hat{\gamma}) \neq 0$.

We first recall some facts about PL bundles and discuss the stable conditions.
(i) By assumption, $\pi^{*}(\xi)$ is stably trivial and hence $\pi^{*}(\gamma)$ is also stably trivial. It follows from the cofibration sequence

$$
K P L\left(S^{2 k+2}\right) \rightarrow K P L\left(\mathbb{R} \mathbf{P}^{2 k+2}\right) \xrightarrow{i^{*}} K P L\left(\mathbb{R} \mathbf{P}^{2 k+1}\right) \xrightarrow{\pi^{*}} K P L\left(S^{2 k+1}\right),
$$

that $i^{*}(\hat{\gamma})=\gamma$, for some $\hat{\gamma} \in K P L\left(\mathbb{R} \mathbf{P}^{2 k+2}\right)$. For vector bundles, $K O\left(\mathbb{R} \mathbf{P}^{2 k+1}\right)$ is additively generated by the canonical line bundle $\eta \searrow \mathbb{R} \mathbf{P}^{2 k+1}$ (see Fujii [20]), so this condition is automatic.
(ii) Any stable bundle $\hat{\gamma}$ over $\mathbb{R} \mathbf{P}^{2 k+2}$ admits an unstable reduction to a $(2 k+2)$ dimensional bundle $\xi_{0}$ (see Haefliger and Wall [21]). Recall that $w_{2 k+2}\left(\hat{\xi}_{0}\right)=$ $w_{2 k+2}(\hat{\gamma})$ is the $\bmod 2$ reduction of the twisted Euler class

$$
e\left(\hat{\xi}_{0}\right) \in H^{2 k+2}\left(\mathbb{R} \mathbf{P}^{2 k+2} ; \mathbb{Z}_{-}\right)
$$

By obstruction theory, $w_{2 k+2}(\hat{\gamma})=0$ if and only if there exists a $(2 k+1)$ dimensional reduction $\hat{\xi}$ of $\hat{\gamma}$.
(iii) The characteristic class $w_{2 k+2}(\hat{\gamma}) \in H^{2 k+2}\left(\mathbb{R} \mathbf{P}^{2 k+2} ; \mathbb{Z} / 2\right)$ is independent of the choice of extension $\hat{\gamma}$ with $i^{*}(\hat{\gamma})=\gamma$. By Adams [1], the class $w_{2 k+2}(\zeta) \equiv 0$ $(\bmod 2)$ for a $(2 k+2)$-bundle $\zeta$ over $S^{2 k+2}$, since $k \geq 4$.
(iv) Since $k \geq 4$, the tangent bundle $\tau_{S^{2 k+1}}$ is the unique non-trivial vector bundle of dimension $2 k+1$ over $S^{2 k+1}$ which is stably trivial. For PL bundles, we use the results of Burghelea and Lashof [15, II, §5]. By stability [15, Proposition 5.6], we may use PL bundles instead of PL block bundles. Then by [15, Theorem 5.1'], the same uniqueness statement holds for $\tau_{S^{2 k+1}}$ as a PL bundle. Hence, the stably trivial bundle $\pi^{*}(\xi)$ is either trivial or $\pi^{*}(\xi) \cong \tau_{S^{2 k+1}}$.
(v) Note also that $\pi^{*}(\xi) \cong \pi^{*}\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)$ for any two $(2 k+1)$-dimensional reductions $\xi$ and $\xi^{\prime}$ of $\gamma$, since $\tau_{S^{2 k+1}}$ has order two. Note that $\xi$ and $\xi^{\prime}$ differ only on the top $(2 k+1)$-cell, and applying $\pi^{*}$ multiplies the bundle by two.

The proof of Proposition 4.3. Suppose that $\xi$ is some PL bundle of dimension $2 k+1$, $k \geq 4$, with stable class $\gamma \in K P L\left(\mathbb{R} \mathbf{P}^{2 k+1}\right)$, and $\pi^{*}(\xi)$ stably trivial. If $\pi^{*}(\xi)$ is actually the trivial bundle, then the cofibration sequence

$$
\left[\mathbb{R} \mathbf{P}^{2 k+2}, B P L_{2 k+1}\right] \xrightarrow{i^{*}}\left[\mathbb{R} \mathbf{P}^{2 k+1}, B P L_{2 k+1}\right] \xrightarrow{\pi^{*}}\left[S^{2 k+1}, B P L_{2 k+1}\right]
$$

implies that $i^{*}(\hat{\xi})=\xi$ for some $(2 k+1)$-bundle over $\mathbb{R} \mathbf{P}^{2 k+2}$. Let $\hat{\gamma}$ denote the stable class of $\hat{\xi}$, so $i^{*}(\hat{\gamma})=\gamma$. Since $\hat{\xi}$ is a $(2 k+1)$-dimensional reduction of $\hat{\gamma}$, we see that $w_{2 k+2}(\hat{\gamma})=0$.

Conversely, if $\pi^{*}(\xi)$ is non-trivial then $\pi^{*}(\xi) \cong \tau_{S^{2 k+1}}$. Let $\hat{\gamma}$ be a stable PL bundle over $\mathbb{R} \mathbf{P}^{2 k+2}$ such that $i^{*}(\hat{\gamma})=\gamma$. Then $w_{2 k+2}(\hat{\gamma})=0$ would imply that $\hat{\gamma}$ has a $(2 k+1)$ dimensional reduction $\hat{\xi}$, and hence $\xi^{\prime}=i^{*}(\hat{\xi})$ would be a $(2 k+1)$-dimensional reduction of $\gamma$. But $\pi^{*}\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)=\pi^{*}\left(i^{*}(\hat{\xi})\right)$ is trivial, and this is a contradiction since $\pi^{*}(\xi) \cong \pi^{*}\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)$.

As mentioned above, the group $\widetilde{K O}\left(\mathbb{R} \mathbf{P}^{2 k+1}\right)$ is cyclic with generator the reduced class of the non-trivial line bundle $\eta$ over $\mathbb{R} \mathbf{P}^{2 k+1}$.

Corollary 4.4. $A(2 k+1)$-dimensional vector bundle $\xi$ over $\mathbb{R} \mathbf{P}^{2 k+1}$ is admissible if and only if its stable class $\gamma=m \cdot \eta$ satisfies $\binom{m}{2 k+2} \equiv 1 \bmod 2$.

Proof. By the Cartan formula, the total Stiefel-Whitney class of $m \cdot \eta$ is $(1+x)^{m}$ where $x \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R} \mathbf{P}^{2 k+1} ; \mathbb{Z} / 2\right)$ is a generator. Now apply Proposition 4.3.

The main step in the proof of Theorem B is based on the following important result from homotopy theory. Let $\left[\iota_{n+1}, \iota_{n+1}\right] \in \pi_{2 n+1}\left(S^{n+1}\right)$ denote the Whitehead square.
Theorem 4.5 (Barratt-Jones-Mahowald [4, Cor. 3.2]). Let $n=2^{j+1}-2$. There exists an element of order two in $\theta_{j}$ with Kervaire invariant one if and only if $\left[\iota_{n+1}, \iota_{n+1}\right]=2 \alpha$, for some $\alpha \in \pi_{2 n+1}\left(S^{n+1}\right)$.

A map $\alpha$ given by this result is will be said to halve the Whitehead square, and $\Sigma(\alpha)=$ $x_{j} \in \theta_{j}$ under suspension. If $V=S(\xi)$ for some admissible bundle $\xi$, then there is a
section $s: \mathbb{R} \mathbf{P}^{2 k+1} \rightarrow V$ arising from a non-zero section of $\xi$. Note that in the notation $n=\operatorname{dim} \theta_{j}$, we have $4 k+2=2 n+2$.

Definition 4.6. Suppose that $\left[\iota_{n+1}, \iota_{n+1}\right]=2 \alpha$, for some $\alpha \in \pi_{2 n+1}\left(S^{n+1}\right)$, and let $V=$ $S(\xi)$. Then define the pinch map $p(\alpha): V \rightarrow V$ as the composite

$$
p(\alpha): V \longrightarrow V \vee S^{2 n+1} \xrightarrow{\text { id } \vee \alpha} V \vee S^{n+1} \xrightarrow{\text { id } \vee \pi} V \vee \mathbb{R} \mathbf{P}^{n+1} \xrightarrow{\text { id } \vee s} V .
$$

In the Sections 8 and 11, we will analyze the normal invariants of the pinch maps $p(\alpha)$ constructed by halving the Whitehead square. For future use, we prove that $p(\alpha)$ preserves $\nu_{V}$, the stable normal bundle of $V$.
Lemma 4.7. $p(\alpha)^{*}\left(\nu_{V}\right) \cong \nu_{V}$.
Proof. It is enough to show that $(s \circ \pi \circ \alpha)^{*}\left(\nu_{V}\right)=\alpha^{*}\left(\pi^{*}\left(s^{*}\left(\nu_{V}\right)\right)\right)$ is trivial. Now $V=S(\xi)$ is the total space of the sphere bundle of $\xi$, and therefore

$$
\nu_{V} \cong \pi_{\xi}^{*}\left(\nu_{\mathbb{R} \mathbf{P}^{n+1}}\right) \oplus \pi_{\xi}^{*}(-\gamma),
$$

where $\pi_{\xi}: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mathbf{P}^{n+1}$ is the bundle projection, $\gamma$ is the stable bundle defined by $\xi$ and $-\gamma$ its stable inverse. Since $s \circ \pi_{\xi}=\operatorname{id}_{\mathbb{R} \mathbf{P}^{n+1}}$,

$$
s^{*}\left(\nu_{V}\right)=\nu_{\mathbb{R} \mathbf{P}^{n+1}} \oplus-\gamma,
$$

where $\nu_{\mathbb{R} \mathbf{P}^{n+1}}$ is the stable normal bundle of $\mathbb{R} \mathbf{P}^{n+1}$. Now $\nu_{\mathbb{R} \mathbf{P}^{n+1}} \cong(n+2) \cdot \eta$ by [50, Theorem 4.5], and it follows that $\pi^{*}\left(\nu_{\mathbb{R} \mathbf{P}^{n+1}}\right)$ is trivial. By the definition of admissibility, $\pi^{*}(\gamma)$ is trivial. Hence $\pi^{*}\left(s^{*}\left(\nu_{V}\right)\right)$ is trivial, proving the lemma.

## 5. Pinch maps and the Kervaire manifold

We begin with the definition of a pinch map. Let $X$ be a closed $m$-manifold and let $x \in \pi_{m}(X)$ be a homotopy class of degree zero. The pinch map on $x$ is a self-homotopy equivalence $p(x)$ defined as the composite

$$
p(x): X \longrightarrow X \vee S^{m} \xrightarrow{\operatorname{id} \vee x} X .
$$

With this notation, the map of Definition 4.6 is $p(\alpha)=p(s \circ \pi \circ \alpha)$. In this section we show that the double covering of the pinch map $p(\alpha)$ can be used to construct the homotopy type of the Kervaire manifold $\mathbb{M}_{K}^{4 k+2}$.
Theorem 5.1. Let $W=D(\xi)$, for $\xi$ an admissible PL-bundle. If $\left[\iota_{n+1}, \iota_{n+1}\right]=2 \alpha$, for some $\alpha \in \pi_{2 n+1}\left(S^{n+1}\right)$ with $\Sigma(\alpha)=x_{j} \in \theta_{j}$, then the Poincaré complex $Z:=W \cup_{p(\alpha)} W$ constructed from the pinch map $p(\alpha)$ has universal covering $\widetilde{Z} \simeq \mathbb{M}_{K}^{4 k+2}$.

From its construction, it is clear that the homotopy type of the Kervaire manifold is given by attaching a $(4 k+2)$-cell to a wedge of two $S^{2 k+1}$-spheres:

$$
\mathbb{M}_{K}^{4 k+2} \simeq\left(S_{0}^{2 k+1} \vee S_{1}^{2 k+1}\right) \cup_{\varphi} D^{4 k+2}
$$

The homotopy class of $\varphi$ can be determined from [37, Lemma 8.3] and is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\varphi]=\left[i_{0}, i_{1}\right]+i_{0}(w)+i_{1}(w) \in \pi_{4 k+1}\left(S_{0}^{2 k+1} \vee S_{1}^{2 k+1}\right) \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $i_{0}, i_{1}: S^{2 k+1} \rightarrow S_{0}^{2 k+1} \vee S_{1}^{2 k+1}$ are the inclusion maps of the ( $2 k+1$ )-sphere onto the indicated components of the wedge, $\left[i_{0}, i_{1}\right]$ is their Whitehead product and $w \in \pi_{4 k+1}\left(S^{2 k+1}\right)$ is the Whitehead square.

Recall that $W$ is the total space of a $D^{2 k+1}$-bundle $\xi$ over $\mathbb{R} \mathbf{P}^{2 k+1}$ whose universal cover $\widetilde{W}$ is PL-homeomorphic to the unit tangent disk bundle of $S^{2 k+1}$. The boundary $\widetilde{V}=\partial \widetilde{W}$ is thus the unit tangent sphere bundle of $S^{2 k+1}$. There is a section $\widetilde{s}: S^{2 k+1} \rightarrow \widetilde{V}$ covering the section $s: \mathbb{R} \mathbf{P}^{2 k+1} \rightarrow V$. We define the pinch map $p(w):=p(\widetilde{s} \circ w)$ to be the self-homotopy equivalence,

$$
p(w): \widetilde{V} \longrightarrow \widetilde{V} \vee S^{4 k+1} \xrightarrow{\text { id } \vee w} \widetilde{V} \vee S^{2 k+1} \xrightarrow{\text { id } \vee \widetilde{s}} \widetilde{V},
$$

and the Poincaré complex,

$$
Z_{w}:=\widetilde{W} \cup_{p(w)} \widetilde{W}
$$

obtained by gluing two copies of $\widetilde{W}$ together using $p(w)$.
Lemma 5.3. There is a homotopy equivalence $\mathbb{M}_{K}^{4 k+2} \simeq Z_{w}$.
Proof. To identify the homotopy type of $Z_{w}$, we compare it to the trivial double

$$
Z_{\mathrm{id}}:=\widetilde{W} \cup_{\mathrm{id}} \widetilde{W} \cong S^{2 k+1} \times S^{2 k+1}
$$

Let $S_{0}^{2 k+1}$ denote the zero section of one copy of $\widetilde{W} \subset Z_{\text {id }}$ and let $S_{1}^{2 k+1}$ denote a copy of the transverse sphere constructed from two fibre $(2 k+1)$-disks in the copies of the bundle $\widetilde{W} \rightarrow S^{2 k+1}$. Applying [37, Lemma 8.3] we deduce that there is a homotopy equvialence

$$
Z_{\mathrm{id}} \simeq\left(S_{0}^{2 k+1} \vee S_{1}^{2 k+1}\right) \cup_{\varphi(\mathrm{id})} D^{4 k+2},
$$

where $[\varphi(\mathrm{id})]=\left[i_{0}, i_{1}\right]+i_{1}(w)$. Since $p(w): \widetilde{V} \cong \widetilde{V}$ is a pinch map on $\widetilde{s} \circ w$, it follows that there is a homotopy equivalence

$$
Z_{w} \simeq\left(S_{0}^{2 k+1} \vee S_{1}^{2 k+1}\right) \cup_{\varphi(w)} D^{4 k+2}
$$

where $[\varphi(w)]=[\varphi(\mathrm{id})]+i_{0}(w)$. It follows that $\varphi(w)=\left[i_{0}, i_{1}\right]+i_{0}(w)+i_{1}(w)$ and hence by (5.2), $Z_{w}$ is homotopy equivalent to $\mathbb{M}_{K}^{4 k+2}$.

Lemma 5.4. The homotopy equivalence $p(\alpha): V \simeq V$ lifts to $p(w): \widetilde{V} \simeq \widetilde{V}$.
Proof. For an oriented double cover $\widetilde{X} \rightarrow X$ with non-identity deck transformation $\tau$, it is a simple matter to check that the double cover $\widetilde{p}(x): \widetilde{X} \simeq \widetilde{X}$ of a pinch map $p(x): X \simeq X$ on $x$, satisfies

$$
\widetilde{p}(x)=p(\widetilde{x}+\tau \widetilde{x}),
$$

where $\widetilde{x} \in \pi_{m}(\widetilde{X}) \cong \pi_{m}(X)$ is a lift of $x$. The lemma follows since $p(\alpha)=p(s \circ \pi \circ \alpha)$ pinches along $s\left(\mathbb{R} \mathbf{P}^{n+1}\right) \subset V$ and the deck transformation of the covering $\pi: S^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mathbf{P}^{n+1}$ is homotopic to the identity and so acts trivially on homotopy groups. Thus

$$
\widetilde{p(\alpha)}=\widetilde{p}(s \circ \pi \circ \alpha)=p(\widetilde{s} \circ \alpha+\widetilde{s} \circ \alpha)=p(\widetilde{s} \circ(2 \alpha))=p(\widetilde{s} \circ w)=p(w) .
$$

Now Lemma 5.3 and Lemma 5.4 imply that $Z_{w} \simeq \widetilde{Z}$ and Theorem 5.1 is proved.

## 6. TAngential surgery

In this section we recall the tangential surgery exact sequence and in particular the definition of the normal invariant of a tangential degree one normal map. Our discussion follows [47, $\S 2, \S 4]$ closely, however our setting is for closed manifolds, whereas Madsen, Taylor and Williams considered manifolds with boundary.

Let $X$ be a closed $m$-dimensional manifold, either smooth of PL, with stable normal bundle $\nu_{X}$ of rank $k \gg m$. The $C A T$ tangential structure set of $X$,

$$
\mathscr{S}_{C A T}^{\mathrm{t}}(X):=\left\{(M, f, b) \mid f: M \rightarrow X, b: \nu_{M} \rightarrow \nu_{X}\right\} / \simeq,
$$

consists of equivalences classes of triples $(M, f, b)$ where $f: M \rightarrow X$ is a homotopy equivalence and $b: \nu_{M} \rightarrow \nu_{X}$ is a map of stable bundles. Two structures $\left(M_{0}, f_{0}, b_{0}\right)$ and $\left(M_{1}, f_{1}, b_{1}\right)$ are equivalent if there is an $s$-cobordism $\left(U ; M_{0}, M_{1}, F, B\right)$ where $F: U \rightarrow X$ is a simple homotopy equivalence, $F: \nu_{U} \rightarrow \nu_{X}$ is a bundle map and these data restrict to $\left(M_{0}, g_{0}, b_{0}\right)$ and $\left(M_{1}, g_{1}, b_{1}\right)$ at the boundary of $U$.

Let $\pi=\pi_{1}(X)$. The tangential surgery exact sequence for $X$ finishes with the following four terms

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{m+1}(\mathbb{Z} \pi) \xrightarrow{\theta} \mathscr{S}_{C A T}^{\mathbf{t}}(X) \xrightarrow{\eta^{\mathrm{t}}} \mathscr{N}_{C A T}^{\mathrm{t}}(X) \xrightarrow{\sigma} L_{m}(\mathbb{Z} \pi), \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L_{*}(\mathbb{Z} \pi)$ are the surgery obstruction groups [61, Chap. 10] and $\mathscr{N}_{C A T}^{\mathrm{t}}(X)$ is the set of tangential normal invariants of $X$.

The definition of $\mathscr{N}_{C A T}^{\mathrm{t}}(X)$ is similar to the definition of $\mathscr{S}_{C A T}^{\mathrm{t}}(X)$ except that for representatives $(M, f, b)$ we require only that $f: M \rightarrow X$ is a degree one map and the equivalence relation is given by any normal bordism over ( $X, \nu_{X}$ ) and not just $s$-cobordisms over $\left(X, \nu_{X}\right)$. In other words, $\mathscr{N}_{C A T}^{\mathrm{t}}(X)$ is the bordism set $\Omega_{m}\left(X, \nu_{X}\right)_{1} \subset \Omega_{m}\left(X, \nu_{X}\right)$ of bordism classes of normal ( $X, \nu_{X}$ )-manifolds $(M, f, b)$ where $f: M \rightarrow X$ has degree one.

Let $T\left(\nu_{X}\right)$ denote the Thom-space of $\nu_{X}$ and $\rho_{M}: S^{m+k} \rightarrow T\left(\nu_{M}\right)$ denote the (canonical) collapse map arising from a stable embedding of $M^{m} \subset S^{m+k}$. The Pontrjagin-Thom isomorphism,

$$
\mu_{\mathrm{X}}: \Omega_{m}\left(X, \nu_{X}\right) \cong \pi_{m+k}\left(T\left(\nu_{X}\right)\right), \quad[M, f, b] \mapsto\left[T(b) \circ \rho_{M}\right],
$$

identifies the bordism group of normal ( $X, \nu_{X}$ )-manifolds (of any degree) with the stable homotopy group of $T\left(\nu_{X}\right)$. Here $T(b): T\left(\nu_{M}\right) \rightarrow T\left(\nu_{X}\right)$ is the map of Thom spaces induced by the bundle map $b: \nu_{M} \rightarrow \nu_{X}$. This isomorphism specialises to the bijection

$$
\mu_{\mathrm{X}}: \mathscr{N}_{C A T}^{\mathrm{t}}(X)=\Omega_{m}\left(X, \nu_{X}\right)_{1} \cong \pi_{m+k}\left(T\left(\nu_{X}\right)\right)_{1},
$$

where the subscript 1 indicates the the pre-image of $1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ under the Thom maps

$$
\Omega_{m}\left(X, \nu_{X}\right) \rightarrow H_{m}(X ; \mathbb{Z}) \quad \text { and } \quad \pi_{m+k}\left(T\left(\nu_{X}\right)\right) \rightarrow H_{m}(X ; \mathbb{Z})
$$

Spanier-Whitehead duality, henceforth $S$-duality, defines a contravariant functor on the stable homotopy category of stable finite CW complexes: see, for example [10, I.4]. Recall that the $S$-dual of $T\left(\nu_{X}\right)$ is $X_{+}$, the disjoint union of $X$ and a point. Given a map

$$
\rho: S^{m+k} \rightarrow T\left(\nu_{X}\right)
$$

the $S$-dual of $\rho$ is a stable map $D(\rho): X_{+} \rightarrow S^{0}$ and the adjoint of $D(\rho)$ is a map $\widehat{D}(\rho): X \rightarrow Q S^{0}$, where $Q S^{0}=\Omega^{\infty} S^{\infty}$ has its usual meaning.

In particular, "degree" defines a homomorphism $\pi_{0}\left(Q S^{0}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ and we let $\left(Q S^{0}\right)_{a}$ be the $a$-th component of $Q S^{0}$. The space $Q S^{0}$ is an $H$-space under the loop product *: $Q S^{0} \times Q S^{0} \rightarrow Q S^{0}$ which satisfies

$$
*:\left(Q S^{0}\right)_{a} \times\left(Q S^{0}\right)_{b} \rightarrow\left(Q S^{0}\right)_{a+b}
$$

In particular for any space $X$ there is a free and transitive action

$$
\left[X,\left(Q S^{0}\right)_{1}\right] \times\left[X,\left(Q S^{0}\right)_{0}\right] \xrightarrow{*}\left[X,\left(Q S^{0}\right)_{1}\right] \quad([\varphi],[\alpha]) \mapsto[\varphi] *[\alpha] .
$$

Lemma 6.2. There is an isomorphism of abelian groups,

$$
\widehat{D}: \pi_{m+k}\left(T\left(\nu_{X}\right)\right) \cong\left[X, Q S^{0}\right], \quad[\rho] \mapsto[\widehat{D}(\rho)]
$$

such that
(i) $\widehat{D}\left(\pi_{m+k}\left(T\left(\nu_{X}\right)\right)_{a}\right)=\left[X,\left(Q S^{0}\right)_{a}\right]$,
(ii) $\widehat{D}\left(\mu_{\mathrm{X}}[X, \mathrm{id}, \mathrm{id}]\right)=[1]$, the constant map at the identity in $\left(Q S^{0}\right)_{1}$.

Proof. That $\widehat{D}$ is an additive isomorphism follows from the properties of $S$-duality and the adjoint correspondence. In particular, the loop product corresponds to the addition of stable maps under $S$-duality and passing to adjoints.
(i) Let $c_{S^{m+k}}: T\left(\nu_{X}\right) \rightarrow S^{m+k}$ be the degree one collapse to the top cell of the Thom space. Given a map $\rho: S^{m+k} \rightarrow T\left(\nu_{X}\right)$ the degree of $c_{S^{m+k}} \circ \rho$ is the degree of the normal map corresponding to $\rho$. But the $S$-dual of $c_{S^{m+k}}$ is the inclusion of the basepoint $+\rightarrow X_{+}$and hence the degree of $c_{S^{m+k}} \circ \rho$ is given by the component of $\widehat{D}(\rho)$ in $Q S^{0}$.
(ii) This is an exercise is $S$-duality. By [10, Theorem I.4.13], two spaces $A$ and $A^{\prime}$ are $S$-dual if and only if there is a map $\lambda: S^{d} \rightarrow A \wedge A^{\prime}$ such that slant product with $\lambda_{*}\left(\left[S^{d}\right]\right)$ induces an isomorphism $H^{q}(A) \cong H_{d-q}(B)$ for all $q$. An elegant duality map for the $S$-dual pair $\left(T\left(\nu_{X}\right), X_{+}\right)$is the "Atiyah duality map" as described in [38, §3]. Let $\rho_{X}: S^{m+k} \rightarrow T\left(\nu_{X}\right)$ be the Thom collapse map and let $T\left(\Delta_{X}\right): T\left(\nu_{X}\right) \rightarrow T\left(\nu_{X}\right) \wedge X_{+}$by the map of Thom spaces induced by the bundle map

$$
\Delta_{X}: \nu_{X} \rightarrow \operatorname{pr}_{1}^{*}\left(\nu_{X}\right)
$$

where $\mathrm{pr}_{1}: X \times X \rightarrow X$ is the projection to the first factor. Then $\lambda_{X}:=\rho_{X} \circ T\left(\Delta_{X}\right)$ is an $m$-duality map for $\left(T\left(\nu_{X}\right), M_{+}\right)$.

Now let $c_{S^{0}}: X_{+} \rightarrow S^{0}$ be the collapse map collapsing $X$ to a point and preserving base-points. There this is a commutative diagram,

and so by [10, Theorem I.4.14], $c_{S^{0}}$ is the $S$-dual of $\rho_{X}=\mu_{\mathrm{X}}([X, \mathrm{id}, \mathrm{id}])$. The adjoint of $c_{S^{0}}$ is the constant map at [1] and this completes the proof.

By definition $\left(Q S^{0}\right)_{1}=S G$, the space of stable orientation preserving self-homotopy equivalences of the sphere. We define the tangential normal invariant to be the map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta^{\mathrm{t}}: \mathscr{N}_{C A T}^{\mathrm{t}}(X) \longrightarrow[X, S G], \quad[M, f, b] \longmapsto \widehat{D}\left(\mu_{\mathrm{X}}([M, f, b])\right) \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 6.2 we see that $\eta^{\mathbf{t}}$ is a set bijection such that $\eta^{\mathrm{t}}([X, \mathrm{id}, \mathrm{id}])=[1]$. The following lemma is a direct consequence of the definition of $\eta^{\mathbf{t}}$ and Lemma 6.2.

Lemma 6.4. Let $[P, h, b] \in \Omega_{m}\left(X, \nu_{X}\right)_{0}$ with $\mu_{\mathrm{X}}([P, h, b])=\rho_{b} \in \pi_{m+k}\left(T\left(\nu_{X}\right)\right)_{0}$. Then

$$
\eta^{\mathrm{t}}([X, \mathrm{id}, \mathrm{id}]+[P, h, b])=[1] * \widehat{D}\left(\rho_{b}\right) .
$$

We next prove a lemma about the behaviour of the tangential normal invariant along sub-manifolds. Let $t: Y \subset X$ be the inclusion of a closed submanifold of codimension $l>0$ and let $(f, b): M \rightarrow X$ be a tangential degree one normal map. Taking the transverse inverse image along $Y$ induces a well-defined map of normal invariant sets

$$
\pitchfork_{Y}: \mathscr{N}_{C A T}^{\mathrm{t}}(X) \rightarrow \mathscr{N}_{C A T}^{\mathrm{t}}(Y), \quad[M, f, b] \mapsto\left[f^{-1}(Y),\left.f\right|_{f^{-1}(Y)},\left.b_{Y, f} \oplus b\right|_{f^{-1}(Y)}\right]
$$

where $b_{Y, f}: \nu_{f^{-1}(Y) \subset M} \rightarrow \nu_{h}$ is the canonical bundle map given by the implicit function theorem.

Lemma 6.5. The map $\pitchfork_{Y}: \mathscr{N}_{C A T}^{\mathrm{t}}(X) \rightarrow \mathscr{N}_{C A T}^{\mathrm{t}}(Y)$ fits into the following commutative diagram:


Proof. Consider the "wrong way" map of Thom spaces $\widehat{t}: T\left(\nu_{X}\right) \rightarrow T\left(\nu_{Y}\right)$ induced by the embedding $t: Y \subset X$. It follows from the definitions of the Pontryagin-Thom isomorphism $\mu_{\mathrm{X}}$ and the duality isomorphism $\widehat{D}$ that there is a commutative diagram,


The lemma now follows since by definition $\eta^{\mathbf{t}}=\widehat{D} \circ \mu_{\mathrm{X}}$, and similarly for $\widehat{D} \circ \mu_{Y}$.
We conclude this section by recording the relationship between tangential surgery and classical surgery. We assume that the reader is familiar with classical surgery as described in [61] and in particular with the identification of the usual normal invariant set

$$
\eta: \mathscr{N}_{C A T}(X) \equiv[X, G / C A T]
$$

There are natural maps from the tangential surgery exact sequence of (6.1) to the usual surgery exact sequence


Here we have replaced $\mathscr{N}_{C A T}^{\mathrm{t}}(X)$ with $[X, S G]$ using $\eta^{\mathrm{t}}$, and $i_{*}$ is the map induced by the canonical map $i: S G \rightarrow G / C A T$ (see [47, (2.4)]).

## 7. The normal invariants of pinch maps

In this section we consider the normal invariants of tangential self homotopy equivalences $(X, p, b)$ covering certain pinch maps $p: X \simeq X$. Let $t: Y \subset X$ be the inclusion of a closed codimension $l>0$ submanifold $Y$ in a closed $m$-manifold $X$, in either the smooth or PL categories. Let $\nu_{t}$ be the normal bundle of $t(Y) \subset X$ so the stable normal bundle of $Y$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu_{Y}=\nu_{t} \oplus t^{*}\left(\nu_{X}\right) \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

A key map in the following will be the collapse map

$$
t_{+}^{!}: X \rightarrow T\left(\nu_{t}\right)
$$

which collapses $X$ to the Thom space of $\nu_{t}, T\left(\nu_{t}\right)$, and maps + to the base-point of $T\left(\nu_{t}\right)$. Suppose that we are given a map $y: S^{m} \rightarrow Y$ such that the composite $x=t \circ y$,

$$
x: S^{m} \xrightarrow{y} Y \xrightarrow{t} X,
$$

pulls back $\nu_{X}$ trivially. Since $\nu_{S^{m}}$ is trivial, this is equivalent to assuming the existence of a bundle map $b_{y}: \nu_{S^{m}} \rightarrow t^{*}\left(\nu_{X}\right)$. If $b_{t}: t^{*}\left(\nu_{X}\right) \rightarrow \nu_{X}$ is the canonical bundle map, we set $b_{x}:=b_{t} \circ b_{y}$ and consider the following diagram of bundle maps:


The homotopy class $\rho_{x}:=\mu_{\mathrm{X}}\left(\left[S^{m}, x, b_{x}\right]\right)$ is then given as the composite

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{x}=\left(T\left(b_{t}\right) \circ \rho_{y}\right): S^{m+k} \xrightarrow{\rho_{y}} T\left(t^{*}\left(\nu_{X}\right)\right) \xrightarrow{T\left(b_{t}\right)} T\left(\nu_{X}\right) \tag{7.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\rho_{y}$ is the homotopy class $T\left(b_{y}\right)_{*}\left(\rho_{S^{m}}\right) \in \pi_{m+k}\left(T\left(t^{*}\left(\nu_{X}\right)\right)\right)$ and $T\left(b_{t}\right)$ and $T\left(b_{y}\right)$ denote the induced maps of Thom spaces. Since $\rho_{x}$ has degree zero, we have the map $\widehat{D}\left(\rho_{x}\right): X \rightarrow\left(Q S^{0}\right)_{0}$. To analyse $\widehat{D}\left(\rho_{x}\right)$ we consider the $S$-duals of the maps in (7.2).
Lemma 7.3.
(i) The $S$-dual of $T\left(b_{t}\right): T\left(t^{*}\left(\nu_{X}\right)\right) \rightarrow T\left(\nu_{X}\right)$ is given by the collapse map of $t$; $D\left(T\left(b_{t}\right)\right)=t_{+}^{!}: X_{+} \rightarrow T\left(\nu_{t}\right)$.
(ii) $\widehat{D}: \pi_{m+k}\left(T\left(t^{*}\left(\nu_{X}\right)\right)\right) \cong\left[T\left(\nu_{t}\right),\left(Q S^{0}\right)_{0}\right]$.
(iii) $\widehat{D}\left(\rho_{x}\right)=\widehat{D}\left(\rho_{y}\right) \circ t^{!} \in\left[X,\left(Q S^{0}\right)_{0}\right]$.

Proof. (i) From the bundle identity $\nu_{Y}=\nu_{t} \oplus t^{*}\left(\nu_{X}\right)$ of (7.1), we have by [3, Theorem 3.3] that

$$
D\left(T\left(t^{*}\left(\nu_{X}\right)\right)\right) \simeq T\left(\nu_{Y} \ominus t^{*}\left(\nu_{X}\right)\right) \simeq T\left(\nu_{t}\right) .
$$

This duality can be realised as follows. Start with the bundle map $\Delta: \nu_{Y} \rightarrow t^{*}\left(\nu_{X}\right) \times \nu_{t}$ which covers the diagonal map $Y \rightarrow Y \times Y$ and take the composition

$$
\lambda_{Y, \nu_{t}}:=T(\Delta) \circ \rho_{Y}: S^{m+k} \rightarrow T\left(\nu_{Y}\right) \rightarrow T\left(t^{*}\left(\nu_{X}\right)\right) \wedge T\left(\nu_{t}\right) .
$$

To verify that $D\left(T\left(b_{t}\right)\right)=t^{!}$we shall show that the following diagram commutes up to homotopy.


Going in either direction around the diagram gives an element of

$$
\pi_{m+k}\left(T\left(\nu_{X}\right) \wedge T\left(\nu_{t}\right)\right) \cong \pi_{m+k}\left(T\left(\nu_{X} \times \nu_{t}\right)\right) \cong \Omega_{m-l}\left(X \times Y ; \nu_{X} \times \nu_{t}\right)
$$

where the last isomorphism is the Pontrjyagin-Thom isomorphism. We claim that, in both directions, the corresponding normal $\left(X \times Y, \nu_{X} \times \nu_{t}\right)$-manifold is $\left(Y, t \times \mathrm{id}_{Y}, b_{Y}\right)$, where $b_{Y}: \nu_{Y} \rightarrow \nu_{X} \times \nu_{t}$ is the canonical bundle map defined by the bundle isomorphism $\nu_{Y} \cong \nu_{t} \oplus t^{*}\left(\nu_{X}\right)$.

For the composition $\left(\operatorname{id} \wedge t^{!}\right) \circ \lambda_{X}$, the homotopy class $\lambda_{X}$ corresponds to the element of $\Omega_{m}\left(X \times X ; \operatorname{pr}_{1}^{*}\left(\nu_{X}\right)\right)$ given by $\left[X, \Delta_{X}, \mathrm{id}\right]$; here $\mathrm{pr}_{1}$ is the projection to the first factor of $X \times X$. Moreover, the map id $\wedge t$ corresponds to taking the transverse inverse image of along $X \times Y \subset X \times X$ and so maps $\left(X, \Delta_{X}\right.$, id) to $\left(Y, t \times \mathrm{id}_{Y}, b_{Y}\right)$.

For the other composition, we start by noting that $\widehat{t} \circ \rho_{X}=\rho_{Y}$ and $\rho_{Y}$ is the stable homotopy element defined by the bordism class of ( $Y, \mathrm{id}, \mathrm{id}$ ) in $\Omega_{m-l}\left(Y, \nu_{Y}\right)$. Since $\lambda_{Y, \nu_{t}}$ is the map of Thom spaces induced by the bundle map $\Delta$ and $b_{t}: t^{*}\left(\nu_{X}\right) \rightarrow \nu_{X}$ is the canonical bundle map, we see that $[Y, \mathrm{id}, \mathrm{id}]$ is mapped to $\left[Y, t \times \mathrm{id}_{Y}, b_{Y}\right]$.
(ii) This the analogue of the bijection in Lemma 6.2.
(iii) This follows immediately from the definition of the duality map $\widehat{D}$ and part (i).

Recall from Section 5 that the map $x=t \circ y: S^{m} \rightarrow X$ can be used to define a self-homotopy equivalence $p(t \circ y): X \simeq X$, the pinch map on $x$.

Lemma 7.4. There is a bundle map $b: \nu_{X} \rightarrow \nu_{X}$ covering $p(t \circ y): X \simeq X$ such that

$$
\eta^{\mathbf{t}}([X, p(t \circ y), b])=[1] * \widehat{D}\left(\rho_{x}\right)=[1] *\left(\widehat{D}\left(\rho_{y}\right) \circ t^{!}\right) \in[X, S G] .
$$

Proof. Consider the degree one normal map $(X, i d, i d) \sqcup\left(S^{m}, x, b_{x}\right)$. The connected sum of normal ( $X, \nu_{X}$ )-manifolds is a well-defined operation which preserves the ( $X, \nu_{X}$ )-bordism class. We may assume that there are embedded disks $D^{m} \subset S^{m}$ and $D^{m} \subset X$ such that $x: S^{m} \rightarrow X$ maps $D^{m}$ identically to $D^{m}$. Performing zero surgery on $D^{m} \sqcup D^{m} \subset X \sqcup S^{m}$
over $\left(X, \nu_{X}\right)$, or in other words, taking the connected sum of normal ( $X, \nu_{X}$ )-manifolds, we see from the definition of a pinch map that

$$
(X, \mathrm{id}, \mathrm{id}) \sharp\left(S^{m}, x, b_{x}\right)=(X, p(x), b),
$$

where $b: \nu_{X} \rightarrow \nu_{X}$ is some bundle map covering $p(x)$. It follows that

$$
[X, p(x), b]=[X, \mathrm{id}, \mathrm{id}]+\left[S^{m}, x, b_{x}\right] \in \Omega_{m}\left(X, \nu_{X}\right)_{1} .
$$

Applying Lemma 6.4 proves the first equality of the lemma. The second equality follows from Lemma 7.3 (3).

## 8. The proof of Theorem B

We first outline the ingredients involved in the proof of Theorem B, for a given dimension $4 k+2=\operatorname{dim} \theta_{j+1}=2^{j+2}-2$. Let $n=2 k=\operatorname{dim} \theta_{j}$.
(i) Let $\xi$ be an admissible PL bundle of dimension $n+1$ over $\mathbb{R} \mathbf{P}^{n+1}$, as in Definition 4.2. We have $\pi^{*}(\xi) \cong \tau_{S^{n+1}}$. Let $W=D(\xi)$ and $V=\partial W=S(\xi)$.
(ii) Suppose that there exists an element $x_{j} \in \theta_{j}$, with $2 x_{j}=0$ and Kervaire invariant one. By Theorem 4.5, this occurs if and only if $\left[\iota_{n+1}, \iota_{n+1}\right]=2 \alpha$, for some $\alpha \in$ $\pi_{2 n+1}\left(S^{n+1}\right)$ such that $x_{j}=\Sigma(\alpha)$, where $\Sigma: \pi_{2 n+1}\left(S^{n+1}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{n}^{S}$ is the suspension homomorphism.
(iii) Let $p(\alpha): V \rightarrow V$ denote the pinch map defined in Definition 4.6.

The main result to be proven in this section is the following:
Theorem 8.1. Suppose that $\left[\iota_{n+1}, \iota_{n+1}\right]=2 \alpha$, for some $\alpha \in \pi_{2 n+1}\left(S^{n+1}\right)$, with $\Sigma(\alpha)=$ $x_{j} \in \theta_{j}$. Then the pinch map $p(\alpha)$ is homotopic to a PL-homeomorphism $g: V \cong V$.

To emphasize the data used in the construction, we will use the notation

$$
\begin{equation*}
M:=M(\xi, \alpha, g)=D(\xi) \cup_{g} D(\xi) \tag{8.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

To finish the proof of Theorem B we need to identify the universal cover.
Proposition 8.3. The closed PL-manifold $M=M(\xi, \alpha . g)$ has universal covering PLhomeomorphic to $\mathbb{M}_{K}^{4 k+2}$, with an involution of type $\xi$.
Proof. By Theorem 5.1, our assumptions on $\xi$ and $\alpha$ imply that $\widetilde{M}$ is homotopy equivalent to $\mathbb{M}_{K}^{4 k+2}$. It is therefore enough to show that any manifold homotopy equivalence to the PL Kervaire manifold $\mathbb{M}_{K}$ is PL-homeomorphic to it.
Lemma 8.4. Any homotopy equivalence $f: N \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_{K}^{4 k+2}$ from a closed PL manifold $N$ to a Kervaire manifold is homotopic to a PL-homeomorphism.
Proof. Since $L_{4 k+3}(\mathbb{Z})=0$, the PL surgery exact sequence for $\mathbb{M}_{K}^{4 k+2}$ runs as follows:

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathscr{S}_{P L}\left(\mathbb{M}_{K}^{4 k+2}\right) \xrightarrow{\eta}\left[\mathbb{M}_{K}^{4 k+2}, G / P L\right] \xrightarrow{\sigma} L_{4 k+2}(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow 0 .
$$

From Section 5 there is a homotopy equivalence $\mathbb{M}_{K}^{4 k+2} \simeq\left(S_{0}^{2 k+1} \vee S_{1}^{2 k+1}\right) \cup_{\varphi} D^{4 k+2}$ where $\varphi: S^{4 k+1} \rightarrow S_{0}^{2 k+1} \vee S_{1}^{2 k+1}$ is a stably trivial map. As $\pi_{2 k+1}(G / P L)=0$, it follows that the collapse map $c_{\mathbb{M}_{K}}: \mathbb{M}_{K}^{4 k+2} \rightarrow S^{4 k+2}$ induces an isomorphism $c_{\mathbb{M}_{K}}^{*}: \pi_{4 k+2}(G / P L) \cong$ $\left[\mathbb{M}_{K}^{4 k+2}, G / P L\right]$. But $\sigma \circ c_{\mathbb{M}_{K}}^{*}$ is an isomorphism and $\eta$ is injective. Hence $\mathscr{S}_{P L}\left(\mathbb{M}_{K}^{4 k+2}\right)$ has one element, which proves the lemma.

We have now established the following statement, which implies Theorem B, assuming the proof of Theorem 8.1.

Theorem 8.5. Suppose that the set $\theta_{j}$ contains an element of order two, for some $j \geq 0$. If $\xi$ is an admissible $P L$ bundle of dimension $2 k+1$ over $\mathbb{R} \mathbf{P}^{2 k+1}$, with $k=2^{j}-1$, then $\mathbb{M}_{K}^{4 k+2}$ admits a free orientation-preserving (PL) involution of type $\xi$.

Remark 8.6. This result shows that there exist many inequivalent PL involutions on the Kervaire manifolds, just by varying the choice of characteristic bundle $\xi$.

The proof of Theorem 8.1. It is enough to show that the pinch map $p(\alpha): V \simeq V$ is equivalent to id: $V \simeq V$ in $\mathscr{S}_{P L}(V)$. Now $V$ is an orientable manifold with $\pi_{1}(V)=\mathbb{Z} / 2$, and by $[61, \S 13 . \mathrm{A}]$ the map $L_{2 n+2}(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow L_{2 n+2}(\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z} / 2],+)$ is an isomorphism. Since the $L$-groups of the trivial group act trivially on any PL-structure set, $\mathscr{S}_{P L}(V)$ injects into $\mathscr{N}_{P L}(V)$. So we must prove that the usual PL normal invariant $\varphi:=\eta(p(\alpha)): V \rightarrow G / P L$ vanishes.

By Lemma 4.7, there is a bundle map $b: \nu_{V} \rightarrow \nu_{V}$ covering $p(\alpha)$ and so by diagram (6.6), $\varphi=i \circ \eta^{\mathrm{t}}(b)$. Now from Lemma 7.4, the normal invariant of $p(\alpha)$ factors as follows

$$
\varphi=\psi \circ s^{!}: V \xrightarrow{s^{\prime}} T\left(\nu_{s}\right) \xrightarrow{D\left(\rho_{b}\right)}\left(Q S^{0}\right)_{0} \xrightarrow{[1] *} S G \xrightarrow{i} G / P L .
$$

where $\psi:=i \circ([1] *) \circ D\left(\rho_{b}\right)$ and $i: S G \rightarrow G / P L$ is the canonical map. As the bundle $\nu_{s}$ has rank $n$, the Thom space $T\left(\nu_{s}\right)$ is $(n-1)$-connected and so $\varphi$ vanishes on the $(n-1)$-skeleton of $V$. It follows that the map $\psi: T\left(\nu_{s}\right) \rightarrow G / P L$ lifts to a map $T\left(\nu_{s}\right) \rightarrow G / P L\langle n\rangle$.

Because there is a stable odd-primary equivalence $T\left(\nu_{s}\right)_{(\text {odd })} \simeq S^{n} \vee S^{2 n+1}$, it follows that $\left[T\left(\nu_{s}\right), G / P L\langle n\rangle\right]_{\text {(odd) }}=0$ and so it will be sufficient to work 2-locally. There are isomorphisms

$$
\left[T\left(\nu_{s}\right), G / P L\langle n\rangle\right] \cong\left[T\left(\nu_{s}\right), G / P L\langle n\rangle\right]_{(2)} \cong\left[T\left(\nu_{s}\right), G / P L\langle n\rangle_{(2)}\right]
$$

Turning to the 2-local situation, by [46, Lemma 4.7] there are cohomology classes $\bar{\kappa}_{4 k} \in H^{4 k}\left(G / P L\langle n\rangle ; \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}\right)$ and $\kappa_{4 k+2} \in H^{4 k+2}(G / P L\langle n\rangle ; \mathbb{Z} / 2)$ such that the map

$$
\prod_{4 k+2 \geq 6}\left(\bar{\kappa}_{4 k+4} \times \kappa_{4 k+2}\right): G / P L\langle 6\rangle \simeq \prod_{4 k+2 \geq 6} K(\mathbb{Z} / 2,4 k+2) \times K\left(\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}, 4 k+4\right)
$$

is a 2-local homotopy equivalence. It follows that $\left[T\left(\nu_{s}\right), G / P L\langle n\rangle\right]$ can be expressed as a direct sum of cohomology groups:

$$
\left[T\left(\nu_{s}\right), G / P L\langle n\rangle\right] \cong \bigoplus_{4 k+2 \geq n} H^{4 k+2}\left(T\left(\nu_{s}\right) ; \mathbb{Z} / 2\right) \oplus H^{4 k+4}\left(T\left(\nu_{s}\right) ; \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}\right)
$$

Since mod 2 reduction $\rho_{2}: H^{4 k+4}\left(T\left(\nu_{s}\right) ; \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}\right) \rightarrow H^{4 k+4}\left(T\left(\nu_{s}\right) ; \mathbb{Z} / 2\right)$ is an isomorphism it will suffice to consider the cohomology classes $\kappa_{4 k+4}:=\rho_{2} \circ \bar{\kappa}_{4 k+4}$ and $\kappa_{4 k+2}$.

We need to show that $\psi^{*}\left(\kappa_{2 a}\right)=0$ for each $a \geq n / 2$. Since $\psi$ factors through the map $i: S G \rightarrow G / P L$, we can use a deep result of Brumfiel, Madsen and Milgram about the induced map of mod 2 cohomology $i^{*}: H^{*}(G / P L ; \mathbb{Z} / 2) \rightarrow H^{*}(S G ; \mathbb{Z} / 2)$.

Theorem $8.7\left(\left[13\right.\right.$, Corollary 3.4]). $i^{*}\left(\kappa_{2 a}\right)=0$ if $a \neq 2^{k}$ or $2^{k}-1$ and $i^{*}\left(\kappa_{2^{k}+1}\right)=i^{*}\left(\kappa_{2}^{2 k}\right)$.

Since $T\left(\nu_{s}\right)$ is an $n$-connected ( $2 n+1$-dimensional CW-complex, the first part of Theorem 8.7 implies that the only possible non-zero classes $\psi^{*}\left(\kappa_{2 a}\right) \in H^{*}\left(T\left(\nu_{s}\right) ; \mathbb{Z} / 2\right)$ are $\psi^{*}\left(\kappa_{n}\right)$ and $\psi^{*}\left(\kappa_{n+2}\right)$. But by the second part of Theorem 8.7, $\psi^{*}\left(\kappa_{n+2}\right)=\left(\psi^{*}\left(\kappa_{2}\right)\right)^{j+1}=0$.

To show that $\psi^{*}\left(\kappa_{n}\right)=0$, we use the surgery-theoretic definition of the $\kappa$-classes. We give the relevant formula only in the special case we need. Let $X$ be a closed connected $(4 k+2)$-dimensional PL-manifold with trivial total Wu class, $v(X)=1 \in H^{*}(X ; \mathbb{Z} / 2)$, and let $(f, b): M \rightarrow X$ be a degree one normal map with normal invariant the map $\theta: X \rightarrow G / P L$. Then by $[13,(2.6)]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{2}([M, f, b])=\left\langle\theta^{*}\left(\kappa_{4 k+2}\right),[X]\right\rangle \tag{8.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma_{2}([M, f, b]) \in \mathbb{Z} / 2$ is the $\bmod 2$ surgery obstruction of $[M, f, b]$.
We shall apply this formula to compute $\psi^{*}\left(\kappa_{n}\right) \in H^{n}\left(T\left(\nu_{s}\right) ; \mathbb{Z} / 2\right) \cong \mathbb{Z} / 2$. The generator of $H^{n}\left(T\left(\nu_{s}\right) ; \mathbb{Z} / 2\right)$ is the Thom class of $T\left(\nu_{s}\right)$ which is Poincaré dual to the fibre $n$-disk of the bundle $\nu_{s}$. It follows that the Poincaré dual of the pull-back $\left(s^{\prime}\right)^{*} \psi^{*}\left(\kappa_{n}\right)=\varphi^{*}\left(\kappa_{n}\right)$ is represented by the inclusion of a fibre $f: S^{n} \hookrightarrow V$. By Lemma 6.5 and the diagram (6.6), taking the transverse inverse image along $S^{n}$ defines the homomoprhism $\pitchfork_{S^{n}}$ in the following commutative diagram:


We wish to understand $\left\langle\varphi^{*}\left(\kappa_{n}\right), f_{*}\left[S^{n}\right]\right\rangle=\left\langle f^{*} \varphi^{*}\left(\kappa_{n}\right),\left[S^{n}\right]\right\rangle$. Since $\eta^{-1}(\varphi)=[V, p(\alpha), b]$ and $v\left(S^{n}\right)=1$, it suffices to compute the surgery obstruction

$$
\sigma_{2}\left(\pitchfork_{S^{n}}([V, p(\alpha), b])\right) \in \mathbb{Z} / 2 .
$$

Recall that $p(\alpha)=p(s \circ \pi \circ \alpha)$ is the pinch map on the composition

$$
S^{2 n+1} \xrightarrow{\alpha} S^{n+1} \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbb{R} \mathbf{P}^{n+1} \xrightarrow{s} V .
$$

We may assume that $f\left(S^{n}\right)$ is disjoint from the site of the pinching. Since $s\left(\mathbb{R} \mathbf{P}^{n+1}\right)$ and $f\left(S^{n}\right)$ meet transversely in a single point $v \in V$, it follows that $p(\alpha)$ is transverse to $f\left(S^{n}\right) \subset V$ with inverse image

$$
p(\alpha)^{-1}\left(f\left(S^{n}\right)\right)=f\left(S^{n}\right) \sqcup(s \circ \pi \circ \alpha)^{-1}(v) .
$$

As $\pi$ is the standard double covering, $\pi^{-1}(v)$ is a pair of antipodal points $v_{0} \sqcup v_{1} \in S^{n+1}$. We may assume that $\alpha^{-1}\left(v_{0}\right)=\alpha^{-1}\left(v_{1}\right)$ and that $(s \circ \pi \circ \alpha)^{-1}(v)=\alpha^{-1}\left(v_{0}\right) \sqcup \alpha^{-1}\left(v_{1}\right)$ is a disjoint union of diffeomorphic manifolds $\alpha^{-1}\left(x_{0}\right) \cong \alpha^{-1}\left(v_{1}\right)$ with diffeomorphic framings $F_{0}$ and $F_{1}$ covering the constant maps $c_{i}: \alpha^{-1}\left(v_{i}\right) \rightarrow v \in V, i=0,1$. It follows that

$$
\sigma_{2}\left(\pitchfork_{S^{n}}([V, p(\alpha), b])\right)=2 \sigma_{2}\left(\left[\alpha^{-1}\left(v_{0}\right), c_{0}, F_{0}\right]\right)=0
$$

Applying the surgery formula (8.8) we deduce that $\left\langle f^{*} \varphi^{*}\left(\kappa_{n}\right),\left[S^{n}\right]\right\rangle=0$. It follows that $\psi^{*}\left(\kappa_{n}\right)=0$ and so $[\psi]=0 \in\left[T\left(\nu_{s}\right), G / P L\right]$. Since $\varphi=s^{!} \circ \psi$, we conclude that $\eta([V, p(\alpha), b])=[\varphi]=0 \in[V, G / P L]$ and we are done.

## 9. The proof of Theorem C

We will now compare free finite group actions on $\mathbb{M}_{K}^{4 k+2}$ and $S^{2 k+1} \times S^{2 k+1}$. Since the Kervaire manifolds usually do not admit free involutions, we will consider odd order group actions. Recall from Section 5 that the homotopy type of both manifolds has the form

$$
\left(S^{2 k+1} \vee S^{2 k+1}\right) \cup D^{4 k+2},
$$

and the attaching maps of the top cell differ only by the addition of the Whitehead square $w=\left[\iota_{2 k+1}, \iota_{2 k+1}\right] \in \pi_{4 k+1}\left(S^{2 k+1}\right)$. The Whitehead square has order two, so we may construct a degree four map

$$
f: \mathbb{M}_{K}^{4 k+2} \rightarrow S^{2 k+1} \times S^{2 k+1}
$$

by starting with a degree two map on each sphere of the wedge $S^{2 k+1} \vee S^{2 k+1}$, and then extending by obstruction theory.

The "propagation" method of Cappell, Davis, Löffler and Weinberger (see [16], [17, Theorem 1.6]) can now be used (in favourable circumstances) to construct free finite group actions on $\mathbb{M}_{K}^{4 k+2}$ from those on $S^{2 k+1} \times S^{2 k+1}$.

Theorem 9.1. Let $\left(S^{2 k+1} \times S^{2 k+1}, \pi\right)$ denote a free, PL or smooth, orientation-preserving action of a finite odd order group $\pi$. Then
(i) In the PL case, there exists a free action $\left(\mathbb{M}_{K}^{4 k+2}, \pi\right)$ and a $\pi$-equivariant map $f^{\prime} \simeq f$ which is a degree four equivariant homotopy equivalence.
(ii) In the smooth case, the $\pi$-action may be chosen to be smooth on some closed manifold $N \cong_{P L} \mathbb{M}_{K}^{4 k+2}$

Proof. We first review the propagation method. Notice that the action of an odd order group induces the identity on homology. The first step is to construct the homotopy pull-back diagram (where $q=|\pi|$ denotes the order of $\pi$ ):

where $X=\left(S^{2 k+1} \times S^{2 k+1}\right) / \pi$ is the quotient space of the given free $\pi$-action, $Y=\mathbb{M}_{K}^{4 k+2}$, and $X(q), Y(1 / q)$ and $Y(0)$ denotes Sullivan localizations of the spaces at $q, 1 / q$ or rationally (preserving the fundamental group information, as described in Taylor-Williams [56, §1]).

By Davis-Löffler [16, Lemma 1.4, Corollary 1.6], we may assume that $Z$ is a finite, oriented, simple Poincaré complex of dimension $4 k+2$. In addition, we obtain a (simple) homotopy equivalence

$$
h: \mathbb{M}_{K}^{4 k+2} \rightarrow \widetilde{Z}
$$

to the universal covering of $Z$. Since $X$ and $Y$ are both smooth or PL manifolds, the local uniqueness of the Spivak normal fibration implies that there is a lifting

of the classifying map of the Spivak normal fibration $\nu_{Z}$, with $C A T=D I F F$ or $C A T=$ $P L$ depending on whether $X$ is smooth or just PL. This depends on the pull-back square, and the observation that $[Y(0), G / C A T]=0$, since

$$
\pi_{r}(G / O) \otimes \mathbf{Q}=\pi_{r}(G / P L) \otimes \mathbf{Q}=0
$$

for $r=2 k+1,4 k+2$. We now compare the surgery exact sequences

under the transfer induced by the universal covering $\widetilde{Z} \rightarrow Z$ and the homotopy equivalence $h: \mathbb{M}_{K}^{4 k+2} \rightarrow \widetilde{Z}$. We have substituted the well-known calculation $L_{4 k+3}^{s}(\mathbb{Z} \pi)=0$ for $\pi$ of odd order $[60, \S 5.4]$, and claim that the structure set $\mathscr{S}_{C A T}(Z) \neq \emptyset$.

The ordinary Arf invariant splits off $L_{4 k+2}^{s}(\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z} / 2$, and the transfer map on $L$ groups is an isomorphism on this summand (since $\pi$ has odd order). The reduced $L$-group $\widetilde{L}_{4 k+2}^{s}(\mathbb{Z} \pi)$ is detected by the multi-signature invariant (see [28, Prop. 12.1].

In the PL case, we can choose a lifting of $\nu_{Z}$ which agrees with the stable normal bundle of $\mathbb{M}_{K}^{4 k+2}$ under the transfer, since

$$
\mathscr{N}_{P L}\left(\mathbb{M}_{K}^{4 k+2}\right)=\left[\mathbb{M}_{K}^{4 k+2}, G / P L\right]=\pi_{4 k+2}(G / P L)=\mathbb{Z} / 2
$$

and the only non-trivial normal invariant is mapped isomorphically to $L_{4 k+2}^{s}(\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z} / 2$. In the smooth case, we can only assume that there is a smooth normal invariant $\beta \in$ $\mathscr{N}_{\text {DIFF }}(Z)$, such that the surgery obstruction of $\widehat{\beta}=\operatorname{tr}(\beta)$ is zero. In this case, the normal invariants

$$
\mathscr{N}_{D I F F}\left(\mathbb{M}_{K}^{4 k+2}\right)=\left[\mathbb{M}_{K}^{4 k+2}, G / O\right]=\pi_{2 k+1}(G / O) \oplus \pi_{2 k+1}(G / O) \oplus \pi_{4 k+2}(G / O)
$$

are much more complicated, and any element $\widehat{\beta} \in \mathscr{N}_{\text {DIFF }}\left(\mathbb{M}_{K}^{4 k+2}\right)$ with surgery obstruction zero will produce a possibly different smooth Kervaire manifold homotopy equivalent to $\mathbb{M}_{K}^{4 k+2}$. The necessary condition on $\widehat{\beta}$ is just that it should lie in the kernel of the natural $\operatorname{map}\left[\mathbb{M}_{K}^{4 k+2}, G / O\right] \rightarrow\left[\mathbb{M}_{K}^{4 k+2}, G / P L\right]$.

If $\beta \in \mathscr{N}_{C A T}(Z)$ is chosen so that $\widehat{\beta}=\operatorname{tr}(\beta)$ satisfies this condition, then its surgery obstruction in $\widetilde{L}_{4 k+2}^{s}(\mathbb{Z} \pi)$ will be determined by the difference of multi-signatures

$$
\operatorname{sign}_{\pi}(N)-\operatorname{sign}_{\pi}(Z)
$$

in domain and range of a degree one normal map $N \rightarrow Z$ with normal invariant $\alpha$ (see [61, $\S 13 \mathrm{~B}])$. Since $N$ is a closed PL or smooth manifold of dimension $4 k+2$, it has
$\operatorname{sign}_{\pi}(N)=0$, and $\operatorname{sign}_{\pi}(Z)=0$ since $\widetilde{Z} \simeq \mathbb{M}_{K}^{4 k+2}$. Therefore, there exists a smooth or PL manifold $N \simeq Z$, whose universal covering $(\tilde{N}, \pi)$ provides a free smooth or PL action of $\pi$ on a Kervaire manifold $\mathbb{M}_{K}^{4 k+2}$.

Remark 9.2. The roles of $\mathbb{M}_{K}^{4 k+2}$ and $S^{2 k+1} \times S^{2 k+1}$ can be reversed in this argument. This proves the other direction of Theorem C, so we conclude that the same odd order finite groups act freely on both manifolds.

## 10. Twisted doubles and the Spivak Normal Fibration

The main result of this section is a general result (see Proposition 10.1) about the Spivak normal fibration of a twisted double, or "two patch space" in the sense of Jones [35]. The statement is very natural, but we could not find it in the literature and so we give a proof. It will be used in Section 11 for the proof of Theorem D.

Consider the following general situation: let $Q$ be a compact, smooth oriented manifold with boundary $P$, and let $h: P \rightarrow P$ be an orientation-preserving homotopy equivalence which preserves the normal bundle of $P: h^{*}\left(\nu_{P}\right) \cong \nu_{P}$. We form the Poincaré duality space

$$
Z:=Q \cup_{h} Q
$$

by gluing two copies of $Q$ together along $h$ : this is a twisted double. The Spivak normal fibration of $Z$ may be identified with its classifying map,

$$
\nu_{Z}: Z \rightarrow B G
$$

and $\nu_{Z}$ has a vector bundle reduction if and only if $B(i) \circ \nu_{Z}: Z \rightarrow B G \rightarrow B(G / O)$ is null-homotopic, where $B(i): B G \rightarrow B(G / O)$ is the canonical map. Since $B(G / O)$ is an infinite loop space [7], it defines a generalised cohomology theory and we may consider the Mayer-Vietoris sequence for $[Z, B(G / O)]$ associated to the decomposition $Z=Q \cup_{h} Q$. The boundary map in this sequence is a homomorphism

$$
\delta_{Z}:[P, G / O] \rightarrow[Z, B(G / O)] .
$$

Proposition 10.1. Let $\eta(h) \in[P, G / O]$ be the normal invariant of $h: P \simeq P$. Then

$$
\left[B(i) \circ \nu_{Z}\right]= \pm \delta_{Z}(\eta(h)) \in[Z, B(G / O)]
$$

The proof of Proposition 10.1 relies on foundational results about the Spivak normal fibrations of Poincaré complexes which we now recall. Let $(Y, \partial Y)$ be a Poincaré pair of formal dimension $m$ as defined in [59]. The Spivak normal fibration of $Y$ is the unique spherical fibration over $Y$ such that there is a homotopy class

$$
\rho_{Y} \in \pi_{m}\left(T\left(\nu_{Y}\right), T\left(\nu_{\partial Y}\right)\right)
$$

such that $\rho_{Y}$ maps to the generator of $H_{m+k}\left(T\left(\nu_{Y}\right), T\left(\nu_{\partial Y}\right) ; \mathbb{Z}^{w}\right)=\mathbb{Z}$ under the Hurewicz homomorphism (see [55, Theorem A] and [59, Theorem 3.2 and Corollary 3.4]). We call such a class $\rho_{Y}$ a spherical reduction for $\nu_{Y}$. If $\partial: \pi_{m+k}\left(T\left(\nu_{Y}\right), T\left(\nu_{\partial Y}\right)\right) \rightarrow \pi_{m+k-1}(T(\partial Y))$ denotes the boundary homomorphism, then $\partial\left(\rho_{Y}\right)$ is a spherical reduction for $\nu_{\partial Y}$. If $X$ is a manifold, then there is a canonical spherical reduction $\rho_{X}$ for $\nu_{X}$ obtained from embedding $X \subset S^{m+k}$. In general, a spherical reduction $\rho_{Y}$ is unique up to equivalence in
the following sense. Let $\mathcal{E}\left(\nu_{Y}\right)$ be the group of homotopy classes of oriented stable fibre homotopy equivalences of $\nu_{Y}$.

Theorem 10.2 ([59, Theorem 3.5]). The mapping

$$
\mathcal{E}\left(\nu_{Y}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{m}\left(T\left(\nu_{Y}\right), T\left(\nu_{\partial Y}\right)\right), \quad e \mapsto e_{*}\left(\rho_{Y}\right)
$$

defines a bijection between $\mathcal{E}\left(\nu_{Y}\right)$ and $\pi_{m+k}\left(T\left(\nu_{Y}\right), T\left(\nu_{\partial Y}\right)\right)_{1}$.
Theorem 10.2 leads to an alternative definition of the normal invariant of a tangential degree one normal map $(f, b): M \rightarrow X$ of closed manifolds as we now explain. By Theorem 10.2 there is the unique homotopy class of fibre homotopy equivalence $e_{b} \in \mathcal{E}\left(\nu_{X}\right)$ such that

$$
\left(e_{b}\right)_{*}\left(\rho_{X}\right)=\mu_{\mathrm{X}}([M, f, b]) .
$$

Moreover, if $\theta$ denotes the trivial stable spherical fibration, then by [10, I.4.6], for any stable spherical fibration $\xi$ over a space $Y$ there is an isomorphism

$$
\gamma_{\xi}: \mathcal{E}(\theta) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(\xi), \quad e \mapsto e+\operatorname{id}_{\xi} .
$$

We identify $\mathcal{E}(\theta)=[Y, S G]$ and define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta^{\mathbf{t}}([M, f, b])=\gamma_{\nu_{X}}^{-1}\left(e_{b}\right) \in[X, S G] . \tag{10.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 10.4 (See [47, (2.4)]). The normal invariant $\eta^{\mathbf{t}}([M, f, b])$ defined in (10.3) agrees with the normal invariant defined in (6.3) of Section 6.
Proof. Madsen, Taylor and Williams tell us [47, p. 450 above (2.4)] that the lemma can be directly checked using the definition of $S$-duality. However, the authors reference the book [10] for the theory of Spivak fibrations, where only simply-connected Poincaré complexes are considered. We therefore sketch the proof and verify that none of the relevant statements from [10] use the assumption of simple connectivity.

The proof of [10, Corollary I.4.18], which is Browder's version of Theorem 10.2, contains two diagrams which may be joined together to give the following commutative diagram,

where $\epsilon=\nu_{X} \oplus\left(-\nu_{X}\right)$ is the trivial bundle, $\gamma^{\prime}$ is an isomorphism defined analogously to $\gamma_{\xi}$, $T$ denotes the induced map on the Thom space, $\widehat{D}$ denotes $S$-duality, $\widehat{D}\left(\rho_{X}\right)_{*}$ and $\rho_{X}^{*}$ are induced by composition with the stable maps $\rho_{X}: S^{m} \rightarrow T\left(\nu_{X}\right)$ and $\widehat{D}\left(\rho_{X}\right): T(\varepsilon) \rightarrow S^{0}$. The commutativity of the above diagram relies on [10, Theorem I.4.16] which makes no use of simple-connectivity.

Note that taking adjoints gives an isomorphism Ad: $\left\{T(\varepsilon), S^{0}\right\} \cong[X, S G]$ such that the composition $\mathrm{Ad} \circ \widehat{D}\left(\rho_{X}\right)_{*} \circ T \circ \gamma^{\prime}: \mathcal{E}(\theta) \rightarrow[X, S G]$ is the canonical identification.

Note that $\rho_{X}^{*} \circ T\left(e_{b}\right)=\mu_{\mathrm{X}}([M, f, b])$ and that $\widehat{D}\left(\mu_{\mathrm{X}}([M, f, b])\right)$ is the tangential normal invariant defined in (6.3). On the other hand, $\gamma^{-1}\left(e_{b}\right)$ is the tangential normal invariant defined in (10.3) and the commutativity of the diagram shows that the normal invariants agree.

We now return to the general setting of Proposition 10.1, where $Z:=Q \cup_{h} Q$ is a Poincaré complex obtained by gluing two copies of the smooth manifold $Q$ together along a homotopy equivalence $h: P \simeq P$, such that there is a bundle map $b: \nu_{P} \cong \nu_{P}$ covering $h$. Using a collar of $P \times[0,1] \subset Q$ of the boundary $P \subset Q$, we regard $Z$ as the space

$$
Z=Q \cup_{h}(P \times[0,1]) \cup_{\operatorname{id}_{P}} Q .
$$

We define a stable vector bundle $\xi_{b}$ over the Poincaré complex $R:=Q \cup_{h}(P \times[0,1])$,

$$
\xi_{b}:=\nu_{Q} \cup_{b}\left(\nu_{P} \times[0,1]\right),
$$

where we glue $P=\partial Q$ to $P \times\{0\} \subset P \times[0,1]$ : observe that $\left.\xi_{b}\right|_{P \times\{1\}}=\nu_{P}$. Next recall that the fibre homotopy equivalence $e_{b}: \nu_{P} \simeq \nu_{P}$ which is defined by the property that

$$
\left(e_{b}\right)_{*}\left(\rho_{P}\right)=\mu_{P}([P, h, b])=T(b)_{*}\left(\rho_{P}\right) \in \pi_{m+k}\left(T\left(\nu_{P}\right)\right)_{1} .
$$

Lemma 10.5. The spherical fibration $\xi:=\xi_{b} \cup_{e_{b}^{-1}} \nu_{Q}$ obtained by clutching the vector bundles $\xi_{b}$ and $\nu_{Q}$ together along the fibre homotopy equivalence $e_{b}^{-1}$ is a model for the Spivak normal fibration of $Z$.

Proof. By [59, Theorem 3.2 and Corollary 3.4], it us enough to find a spherical reduction for $\xi$. We first identify a spherical reduction $\rho_{R}$ for $\xi_{b}=\nu_{Q} \cup_{b}\left(\nu_{P} \times[0,1]\right)$ by gluing the spherical class $\rho_{Q}$ to the spherical class $T\left(b \times \operatorname{id}_{[0,1]}\right)_{*}\left(\rho_{P \times[0,1]}\right)$. Note that by construction $\partial\left(\rho_{R}\right)=T(b)_{*}\left(\rho_{P}\right)$, and by definition $\left(e_{b}^{-1}\right)_{*}\left(T(b)_{*}\left(\rho_{P}\right)\right)=\rho_{P}$. Moreover, in the other copy of $Q$, we have $\partial\left(\rho_{Q}\right)=\rho_{P}$ and thus, after choosing a homotopy between representatives, we may form the homotopy class

$$
\rho_{Z}:=\rho_{R} \cup \rho_{Q} \in \pi_{m+k}(\xi) .
$$

Since the homotopy classes $\rho_{R}$ and $\rho_{Q}$ map to generators of $H_{m+k}\left(T\left(\nu_{R}\right), T\left(\nu_{P}\right) ; \mathbb{Z}^{w}\right)$ and $H_{m+k}\left(T\left(\nu_{Q}\right), T\left(\nu_{P}\right) ; \mathbb{Z}^{w}\right)$ respectively, the Mayer-Vietoris sequence for the decomposition $T(\xi)=T\left(\xi_{b}\right) \cup_{T\left(e_{b}^{-1}\right)} T\left(\nu_{Q}\right)$ shows that $\rho_{Z}$ generates $H_{m+k}\left(T(\xi) ; \mathbb{Z}^{w}\right)$. Hence $\xi$ is a model for the Spivak normal fibration of $Z$.

The proof of Proposition 10.1. Let $\nu_{Z}: Z \rightarrow B S G$ also denote the classifying map of $\nu_{Z}$. After the preparations above, it remains to identify the map $B(i) \circ \nu_{Z}: Z \rightarrow B(G / O)$ up to homotopy. Since there is a fibration sequence

$$
B O \longrightarrow B G \xrightarrow{B(i)} B(G / O),
$$

the homotopy class of $B(i) \circ \nu_{Z}$ will not altered if we add a stable vector bundle to $\nu_{Z}$. For any stable vector bundle $\gamma$, let $-\gamma$ denote its inverse and define the following stable vector bundle over $Z$ :

$$
\Upsilon:=\left(-\xi_{b}\right) \cup_{\operatorname{id}_{\left(-\nu_{P}\right)}}\left(-\nu_{Q}\right)
$$

The sum of spherical fibrations $\xi \oplus \Upsilon$ has a decomposition

$$
\xi \oplus \Upsilon=\left(\xi_{b} \oplus\left(-\xi_{b}\right)\right) \cup_{e_{b}^{-1} \oplus \operatorname{id}_{\left(-\nu_{P}\right)}}\left(\nu_{Q} \oplus\left(-\nu_{Q}\right)\right)
$$

and is thus obtained by clutching two trivial bundles together along the fibre homotopy equivalence

$$
e:=\left(e_{b}^{-1} \oplus \operatorname{id}_{\left(-\nu_{P}\right)}\right)=\gamma^{-1}\left(e_{b}^{-1}\right) \in \mathcal{E}(\theta) \cong[P, S G]
$$

It follows that there is an isomorphism of spherical fibrations

$$
\xi \oplus \Upsilon \cong c_{\Sigma P}^{*}\left(\xi_{e}\right)
$$

where $c_{\Sigma P}: Z \rightarrow \Sigma P$ is the map collapsing $Q \sqcup Q \subset Z$ to pt $\sqcup \mathrm{pt}$ and $\xi_{e}$ is the spherical fibration over $\Sigma P$ obtained by clutching two copies of the trivial spherical fibration over the cone of $P$ via $e$.

At this point we must briefly digress to discuss May's construction of $B H$, the classifying space of a topological monoid $H$ [48, Proposition 8.7]. From this constuction we see that there is a canonical map $j_{H}^{1}: \Sigma H \rightarrow B H$ where $\Sigma H$ is the topological realisation of the 1-simplex of the simplicial space used to define $B H$. The map $j_{H}^{1}$ classifies the canonical principal $H$-fibration over $\Sigma H$ obtained by clutching two copies of the trivial $H$-fibration over the cone of $H$ via the identity map of $H$.

The isomorphism of spherical fibrations $\xi \oplus \Upsilon \cong c_{\Sigma P}^{*}\left(\xi_{e}\right)$ implies that the classifying map $\xi \oplus \Upsilon: Z \rightarrow B S G$ factors as

$$
\xi \oplus \Upsilon: Z \xrightarrow{c_{\Sigma P}} \Sigma P \xrightarrow{\Sigma(e)} \Sigma S G \xrightarrow{j_{S G}^{1}} B S G
$$

It follows that $B(i) \circ \nu_{Z}=B(i) \circ(\xi \oplus \Upsilon)$ factors as

$$
B(i) \circ \nu_{Z}: Z \xrightarrow{c_{\Sigma P}} \Sigma P \xrightarrow{\Sigma(i o e)} \Sigma(G / O) \xrightarrow{j_{G / O}^{1}} B(G / O) .
$$

Equivalently, $B(i) \circ \nu_{Z}=c_{\Sigma P}^{*}\left(\left(j_{G / O}^{1}\right)_{*}(\Sigma(j \circ e))\right.$. Now $e=e_{b}^{-1} \oplus \operatorname{id}_{\left(-\nu_{P}\right)}=-\eta^{\mathbf{t}}(b)$ is the inverse of the tangential normal invariant of $(h, b): P \simeq P$. Hence $i \circ e=-\eta(h)$ is the inverse of usual normal invariant of $h: P \simeq P$. Finally, the composition

$$
[P, G / O] \xrightarrow{\Sigma}[\Sigma P, \Sigma(G / O)] \xrightarrow{\left(j_{G / O}^{1}\right)^{*}}[\Sigma P, B(G / O)] \xrightarrow{c_{\Sigma P}^{*}}[Z, B(G / O)]
$$

is, up to sign, the definition of the boundary map $\partial_{Z}:[P, G / O] \rightarrow[\Sigma P, B(G / O)]$, and so $\left[B(i) \circ \nu_{Z}\right]= \pm \partial_{Z}(\eta(h))$. This completes the proof of Proposition 10.1.

## 11. The proof of Theorem D

In this section we return to the setting of Theorem 8.1. Recall that $n=\operatorname{dim} \theta_{j}=2^{j+1}-2$ and that $W=D(\xi)$ is the disk bundle of an admisable bundle $\xi$. In this section we suppose that $\xi$ is a vector bundle. In Theorem 8.1 we showed that the pinch map $p(\alpha): V \rightarrow V$ is homotopic to a PL homeomorphism $g(\alpha): V \rightarrow V$, whenever $\alpha$ halves the Whitehead square. In other words, $x=\Sigma(\alpha)$ is an element of order two in $\theta_{j}$.

In Proposition 8.3 we showed that the PL manifold

$$
M:=M(\xi, \alpha, g)=W \cup_{g(\alpha)} W
$$

has universal cover PL-homeomorphic to $\mathbb{M}_{K}$. Since $\xi$ is an admissible vector bundle, we have an action of linear type.

Now let $Z=W \cup_{p(\alpha)} W$ be the Poincaré complex underlying the PL manifold $M(\xi, \alpha, g)$ constructed in Proposition 8.3. Let $\nu_{Z}$ denote the Spivak normal fibration of $Z$ and let $\eta$ generate the stable 1-stem.

Theorem 11.1. Suppose that $w_{2}(\xi)=0$. If $\left[\eta \cdot x_{j}\right] \neq 0 \in \operatorname{coker}\left(J_{n+1}\right)=\pi_{n+1}(G / O)$, for some $x_{j} \in \theta_{j}$ with $2 x_{j}=0$, then $\nu_{Z}$ does not admit a vector bundle reduction.

Before proving Theorem 11.1 we verify that its hypotheses are satisfied. By Corollary 4.4 there are numerous admissible vector bundles $\xi$ over $\mathbb{R} \mathbf{P}^{n+1}$ with $w_{2}(\xi)=0$; e.g. take $\xi=\nu_{\mathbb{R} \mathbf{P}^{n+1}}$, the normal bundle of an embedding $\mathbb{R} \mathbf{P}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2 n+2}$. For the other hypothesis, we have

Lemma 11.2. For $j=3,4,5$ there exist $x_{j} \in \theta_{j}$ such that $\left[\eta \cdot x_{j}\right] \neq 0 \in \operatorname{coker}\left(J_{2^{j+1}-1}\right)$.
As a consequence of Theorem 11.1 and Lemma 11.2
Corollary 11.3. When $w_{2}(\xi)=0$, and $x_{j}=\Sigma\left(\alpha_{j}\right)$ satisfies $\left[\eta \cdot x_{j}\right] \neq 0 \in \operatorname{coker}\left(J_{2^{j+1}-1}\right)$, the PL manifolds $M\left(\xi, \alpha_{j}, g_{j}\right)$ are not homotopy equivalent to smooth manifolds.

The proof of Lemma 11.2. For $j=3, \pi_{14}^{S} \cong \mathbb{Z} / 2 \oplus \mathbb{Z} / 2$ with generators $\sigma^{2}$ and $\kappa$ by [57, p. 189]. Since $\sigma^{2}$ is represented by ( $S^{7} \times S^{7}, f_{7} \times f_{7}$ ) where $f_{7} \times f_{7}$ is the framing of $S^{7}$ given by octonionic multiplication, we have $K\left(\sigma^{2}\right)=1$. Now [57, p. 189] also shows that $[\eta \cdot \kappa] \neq 0 \in \operatorname{coker}\left(J_{15}\right)$, whereas, by [39, p. 257] $\eta \sigma^{2}=0$. By [57, Theorem 10.3], there is a homotopy class $\kappa_{7} \in \pi_{21}\left(S^{7}\right)$ which stabilises to $\kappa$. On the other hand by [6] the Kervaire invariant vanishes on the image of $\pi_{21}\left(S^{7}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{14}^{S}$ and hence $K(\kappa)=0$. Thus $x_{3}:=\kappa+\sigma \in \theta_{3}$ has $\left[\eta \cdot x_{3}\right] \neq 0 \in \operatorname{coker}\left(J_{15}\right)$.

For $j=4,5$ we assume that reader is familiar with using the mod 2 Adams spectral sequence to compute the 2-primary part of $\pi_{*}^{S}$. Recall that by [9, Theorem 7.1], an element $x_{j} \in \pi_{2^{j+1}-2}$ has Kervaire invariant 1 if and only if it represents $h_{j}^{2}$ in the Adams spectral sequence. Now, for $j=4,5, h_{1} h_{j}^{2}$ is a permanent cycle in the Adams spectral sequence with Adams filtration 3: see for example [39, Theorem 8.3.2]. Since multiplication by $h_{1}$ corresponds to multiplication by $\eta$ and since there are homotopy classes $x_{4}$ and $x_{5}$ representing $h_{4}^{2}$ and $h_{5}^{2}$, we may (ambiguously) denote such permanent cycles representing $h_{1} h_{j}^{2}$ by $\eta \cdot x_{j}$. Since the 2-primary order of the image of $J_{2^{j+1}-2}$ is at least $2^{5},[2$, Theorem 1.6], known be large. It follows that the element of order two in $\operatorname{Im}\left(J_{2^{j+1}-2}\right)$ has Adams filtration greater than 3 and hence so $\eta \cdot x_{j}$ is not in the image of $J_{2^{j+1}-2}$. In other words, $\left[\eta \cdot x_{j}\right] \neq 0 \in \operatorname{coker}\left(J_{2^{j+1}-1}\right)$.

For $j=4$, the lemma also follows from [53, Table A3.3]: we take $x_{4}=h_{4}^{2}$ and then $\eta \cdot x_{4}=h_{1} h_{4}^{2} \neq 0 \in \operatorname{coker}\left(J_{31}\right)$ : here we use Tangora's names from [53, Table A3.3].

We now turn to the proof of the remainder of Theorem 11.1. We first give an outline of the proof, reducing it to Proposition 10.1 and a computational Lemma 11.5 below. We shall apply Proposition 10.1 to the Poincaré complex

$$
Z:=W \cup_{p(\alpha)} W
$$

where $\partial W=V$ and $p(\alpha)$ is a tangential homotopy equivalence. Since $p(\alpha)$ is homotopic to the PL-homeomorphism (by Theorem 8.1), the Poincaré complex $Z$ is homotopy equivalent to $M$.

Let $S^{1}=\mathbb{R} \mathbf{P}^{1} \subset \mathbb{R} \mathbf{P}^{n+1}$. Since the bundle $\xi$ is orientable, its restriction to $S^{1} \subset \mathbb{R} \mathbf{P}^{n+1}$ is trivial. Let $f_{n}: S^{n} \times S^{1} \rightarrow V$ be the inclusion of this total space. Since $p(\alpha)$ is the identity on $f_{n}\left(S^{n} \times S^{1}\right) \subset V$, there is a commutative diagram

which gives rise to an inclusion $f_{n+1}: S^{n+1} \times S^{1} \rightarrow Z$. From Proposition 10.1 and diagram (11.4) we deduce that

$$
f_{n+1}^{*}\left(\left[B(i) \circ \nu_{Z}\right]\right)=\delta_{S^{n+1} \times S^{1}}\left(f_{n}^{*}(\eta(p(\alpha)))\right) \in\left[S^{n+1} \times S^{1}, B(G / O)\right]
$$

where $\delta_{S^{n+1} \times S^{1}}:\left[S^{n} \times S^{1}, G / O\right] \cong\left[S^{n+1} \times S^{1}, B(G / O)\right]$ is the boundary map in the MayerVietoris sequence for the decomposition $S^{n+1} \times S^{1}=\left(D^{n+1} \times S^{1}\right) \cup_{\text {id }}\left(D^{n+1} \times S^{1}\right)$. Now let $c_{S^{n+1}}: S^{n} \times S^{1} \rightarrow S^{n+1}$ be the degree one collapse map. Since the top cell stably splits off $S^{n} \times S^{1}$, the induced homomorphism $c_{S^{n+1}}^{*}: \pi_{n+1}(G / O) \rightarrow\left[S^{n} \times S^{1}, G / O\right]$ is a split injection. Hence, as $\delta_{S^{n+1} \times S^{1}}$ is an isomorphism, to show that

$$
\left[B(i) \circ \nu_{Z}\right] \neq 0 \in[Z, B(G / O)],
$$

it suffices to prove the following
Lemma 11.5. $f_{n}^{*}(\eta(p(\alpha)))=c_{S^{n+1}}^{*}\left(\left[\eta \cdot x_{j}\right]\right) \in\left[S^{n} \times S^{1}, G / O\right]$.
We now prepare to give the proof of Lemma 11.5. The statement amounts to showing that the diagram

commutes up to homotopy. By Lemma 4.7 there is a tangential normal map ( $V, p(\alpha), b)$ covering $p(\alpha): V \rightarrow V$ and so by (6.6), $\eta(p(\alpha))$ factorises as

$$
\eta(p(\alpha)): V \xrightarrow{\eta^{\mathrm{t}}(b)} S G \xrightarrow{i} G / O
$$

where $\eta^{\mathbf{t}}(b)$ is the tangential normal invariant of $(V, p(\alpha), b)$ and $i$ is the canonical map. From Definition 4.6 and the proof of Lemma 7.4, we conclude that ( $V, p(\alpha), b$ ) is normally bordant to the disjoint union of tangential normal maps ( $V$, id, id) $\sqcup\left(S^{2 n+1}, x, b_{x}\right)$. To describe the bundle map $\left(x, b_{x}\right): \nu_{S^{2 n+1}} \rightarrow \nu_{V}$, we fix the notation $\zeta:=s^{*}\left(\nu_{V}\right)$. Then
$\left(b_{x}, x\right)$ factorises as in the following diagram:

where $b_{s}: \zeta \rightarrow \nu_{V}$ is the canonical bundle map and $b_{\pi}: \pi^{*}(\zeta) \rightarrow \zeta$ and $b_{\alpha}: \nu_{S^{2 n+1}} \rightarrow \pi^{*}(\zeta)$, are bundle maps covering $\pi$ and $\alpha$ respectively. We set $y:=\pi \circ \alpha$ and $b_{y}:=b_{\pi} \circ b_{\alpha}$, and focus on the homotopy class

$$
\rho_{y}=T\left(b_{y}\right)_{*}\left(\rho_{S^{2 n+1}}\right) \in \pi_{2 n+k+1}(T(\zeta))
$$

because $\eta^{\mathrm{t}}(b)$ is determined by $\rho_{y}$ according to Lemma 7.4.
Giving a precise description of $\rho_{y}$ is a hard problem since the Thom space $T(\zeta)$ has many cells, but fortunately we may restrict to the top two cells of $T(\zeta)$. Let $\zeta_{n-1}$ be the restriction of $\zeta$ to $\mathbb{R} \mathbf{P}^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{R} \mathbf{P}^{n+1}$ and consider the map

$$
c_{T\left(\zeta_{n-1}\right)}: T(\zeta) \rightarrow T(\zeta) / T\left(\zeta_{n-1}\right) \simeq S^{n+k} \vee S^{n+k+1}
$$

which collapses all but the top two cells of $T(\zeta)$. The following key computational lemma is a consequence of the assumption $w_{2}(\xi)=0$ in Theorem 11.1. We defer its proof until after the proof of Lemma 11.5.

Lemma 11.6. $\left(c_{T\left(\zeta_{n-1}\right)}\right)_{*}\left(\rho_{y}\right)=\left(\eta \cdot x_{j}, 0\right) \in \pi_{2 n+k+1}\left(T(\zeta) / T\left(\zeta_{n-1}\right)\right) \cong \pi_{n+1}^{S} \oplus \pi_{n}^{S}$.
The proof of Lemma 11.5. By Lemma 7.4 and the construction of $f_{n}: S^{n} \times S^{1} \rightarrow V$, there is a commutative diagram

where $c_{S^{n} \vee S^{n+1}}$ is the map collapsing $S^{1}, i_{T\left(\nu_{s}\right)}$ is the inclusion of the bottom two cells of the Thom space $T\left(\nu_{s}\right)$ and we recall that $\widehat{D}\left(\rho_{y}\right)$ is the adjoint of the $S$-dual of $\rho_{y}$ defined as in Lemma 6.2.

Since $c_{S^{n+1}}: S^{n} \times S^{1} \rightarrow S^{n+1}$ factors over $c_{S^{n} \vee S^{n+1}}$ is the obvious way, to prove Lemma 11.5 , it will be enough to understand the map $\widehat{D}\left(\rho_{y}\right) \circ i_{T\left(\nu_{s}\right)}: S^{n} \vee S^{n+1} \rightarrow S G$. Since $\zeta=s^{*}\left(\nu_{V}\right)$, the $S$-dual of $T\left(\nu_{s}\right)$ is $T(\zeta)$ by Lemma 7.3 (1). In particular the $S$-dual of $i_{T\left(\nu_{s}\right)}$ is $c_{T\left(\zeta_{n-1}\right)}$ and there is a commutative diagram with rows of stable maps related by $S$-duality:


By Lemma 11.6, the composition $c_{T\left(\zeta_{n-1}\right)} \circ \rho_{y}$ ignores the $S^{n+1}$ factor of the target wedge and maps to $S^{n}$ via $\eta \circ x_{j}$. It follows that $D\left(\rho_{y}\right) \circ i_{T\left(\nu_{s}\right)}$ is given via projecting to $S^{n+1}$ and mapping with $\eta \cdot x_{j}$. Passing to the adjoint of $D\left(\rho_{y}\right), \widehat{D}\left(\rho_{y}\right)$, it follows that $i_{T\left(\nu_{s}\right)} \circ \widehat{D}\left(\rho_{y}\right)$ is null homotopic when restricted to $S^{n}$, and represents the homotopy class $\eta \cdot x_{j} \in \pi_{n+1}\left(Q S_{0}^{0}\right)=\pi_{n+1}^{S}$ on $S^{n+1}$. The maps [1]* and $i$ carry this homomtopy class to the element $\left[\eta \cdot x_{j}\right] \in \pi_{n+1}(G / O)=\operatorname{coker}\left(J_{n+1}\right)$. The fact that $\eta(p(\alpha))=i \circ \eta^{\mathbf{t}}(p)$ and the commutative diagram (11.6) now give the proof of Lemma 11.5.

Next we turn to the proof of Lemma 11.6. Let us first establish some basic facts about the bundle $\zeta$. Recall from the proof of Lemma 4.7, that there is a bundle isomorphism

$$
\zeta=s^{*}\left(\nu_{V}\right) \cong \nu_{\mathbb{R} \mathbf{P}^{n+1}} \oplus-\gamma
$$

where $\gamma$ is the stable bundle given by $\xi$.
Lemma 11.7. $w_{1}(\zeta)=w_{2}(\zeta)=0$.
Proof. Since $n+2$ is a power of two and $\nu_{\mathbb{R} \mathbf{P}^{n+1}}=-(n+2) \cdot \eta$, we have $w_{1}\left(\nu_{\mathbb{R}^{P^{n+1}}}\right)=$ $w_{2}\left(\nu_{\mathbb{R} \mathbf{P}^{n+1}}\right)=0$. Recall that $\pi_{\xi}: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mathbf{P}^{n+1}$ be the bundle projection. Since $V$ is orientable and $\nu_{V}=\pi_{\xi}^{*}\left(\nu_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}}\right) \oplus \pi_{\xi}^{*}(-\gamma)$, it follows that $w_{1}(-\gamma)=0$ and so $w_{2}(-\gamma)=$ $w_{2}(\gamma)=0$, the last equality holds by assumption. Now the Cartan formula gives the equations $w_{1}(\zeta)=w_{1}\left(\nu_{\mathbb{R} \mathbf{P}^{n+1}}\right)+w_{1}(-\gamma)=0$ and so $w_{2}(\zeta)=w_{2}\left(\nu_{\mathbb{R} \mathbf{P}^{n+1}} \oplus-\gamma\right)=0$.

Since $\zeta$ is a stable real vector bundle of $\mathbb{R} \mathbf{P}^{n+1}$ it has an extension $\widehat{\zeta}$ to $\mathbb{R} \mathbf{P}^{n+2}$, and there is a homotopy equivalence

$$
T(\widehat{\zeta}) \simeq T(\zeta) \cup_{\phi} e^{n+k+2}
$$

where $\phi: S^{n+k+1} \rightarrow T(\zeta)$ is the attaching map of the top cell of $T(\widehat{\zeta})$. We establish two key facts about the homotopy class of $\phi$ in Lemma 11.8 below. Let

$$
c^{0}: T(\zeta) \rightarrow T(\zeta) / S^{k}
$$

be the map collapsing the Thom cell of $T(\zeta)$ to a point. In the proof of Lemma 4.7 we proved that $\pi^{*}(\zeta)$ is trivial. Hence there is a homotopy equivalence $T\left(\pi^{*}(\zeta)\right) \simeq S^{k} \vee S^{n+k+1}$ and the bundle map $b_{\pi}: \pi^{*}(\zeta) \rightarrow \zeta$ induces a map

$$
T\left(b_{\pi}\right) / S^{k}: S^{n+k} \rightarrow T(\zeta) / S^{k}
$$

Lemma 11.8. The homotopy class $[\phi] \in \pi_{n+k+1}(T(\zeta))$ satifies:
(i) $\left(c^{0}\right)_{*}(\phi)=\left[T\left(b_{\pi}\right) / S^{k}\right] \in \pi_{n+k+1}\left(T(\zeta) / S^{k}\right)$,
(ii) $\left(c_{T\left(\zeta_{n-1}\right)}\right)_{*}(\phi)=(\eta, 2) \in \pi_{n+k+1}\left(S^{n+k} \vee S^{n+k+1}\right) \cong \pi_{1}^{S} \oplus \pi_{0}^{S}$.

Proof. (i) Let $\pi_{n+2}: \mathbb{R} \mathbf{P}^{n+2} \rightarrow S^{n+2}$ be the covering projection so that $\left.\pi_{n+2}\right|_{\mathbb{R} \mathbf{P}^{n+1}}=$ $\pi: \mathbb{R} \mathbf{P}^{n+1} \rightarrow S^{n+1}$. The bundle maps $b_{\pi}$ and $b_{\pi_{n+2}}$ covering $\pi$ and $\pi_{n+2}$ induce a commutative diagram of map of Thom spaces with Thom cells collapsed:

$$
\begin{gathered}
T\left(\pi^{*}(\zeta)\right) / S^{k} \xrightarrow{\mid} T(\widehat{\zeta}) / S^{k} \\
\left(T\left(\pi^{*}(\zeta)\right) / S^{k}\right) \cup\left(D^{n+k+2} \sqcup D^{n+k+2}\right) \xrightarrow{T\left(b_{\pi_{n+2}}\right) / S^{k}}\left(T(\zeta) / S^{k}\right) \cup_{c_{0} \circ \phi} D^{n+k+2} .
\end{gathered}
$$

But the inclusion $T\left(\pi^{*}(\zeta)\right) \rightarrow T\left(\pi^{*}(\widehat{\zeta})\right)$ is homeomorphic to the standard inclusion of a hypersphere $S^{n+k+1} \rightarrow S^{n+k+2}$ and $T\left(b_{\pi_{n+2}}\right)$ maps the interior of each $D^{n+k+2}$ homeomorphically onto the interior of the single $D^{n+k+2}$ in its target. Hence $T\left(b_{\pi}\right) / S^{k}$ is homotopic to $c_{0} \circ \phi$.
(ii) The space $T(\widehat{\zeta}) / T\left(\zeta_{n-1}\right)$ is homotopy equivalent to a 3 cell complex and so there is homotopy equivalence

$$
T(\widehat{\zeta}) / T\left(\zeta_{n-1}\right) \simeq\left(S^{n+k} \vee S^{n+k+1}\right) \cup_{c_{T\left(\zeta_{n-1}\right)} \circ \phi} D^{n+k+2}
$$

where we have use the homotopy equivalence $T(\zeta) / T\left(\zeta_{n-1}\right) \simeq S^{n+k} \vee S^{n+k+1}$. If we define $j_{n+k+1}: S^{n+k} \vee S^{n+k+1} \rightarrow S^{n+k+1}$ to be the map collapsing $S^{n+k}$ to a point, then the degree of $j_{n+k+1} \circ c_{T\left(\zeta_{n-1}\right)} \circ \phi$ is determined by the homology group $H_{n+k+2}(T(\widehat{\zeta}))$ which is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z} / 2$ since $\widehat{\zeta}$ is non-orientable. Choosing orientations appropriately, we have determined by the second component of $\left(c_{T\left(\zeta_{n-1}\right)}\right)_{*}([\phi])$.

We can read off the homotopy class of the second component fo $c_{T\left(\zeta_{n-1}\right)} \circ \phi$ from the action of $S q^{2}$ in $T(\widehat{\zeta}) / T\left(\zeta_{n-1}\right)$ since $S q^{2}$ detects $\pi_{1}^{S}$.

The collapse map $\widehat{c}_{T\left(\zeta_{n-1}\right)}: T(\widehat{\zeta}) \rightarrow T(\widehat{\zeta}) / T\left(\zeta_{n-1}\right)$ induces and isomorphism mod 2 cohomology in dimensions $n+k$ and $n+k+2$ and hence we can work in $H^{*}(T(\widehat{\zeta}) ; \mathbb{Z} / 2)$. Let $x \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R} \mathbf{P}^{n+1} ; \mathbb{Z} / 2\right)$ be a generator and let $U$ be the Thom class of $\widehat{\zeta}$. Then $H^{n+k}(T(\widehat{\zeta}) ; \mathbb{Z} / 2)$ is generated by $x^{n} U$ and we compute

$$
S q^{2}\left(x^{n} U\right)=x^{n+2} U
$$

since $n=2^{j+1}-2, S q^{i}(U)=w_{i}(\widehat{\zeta}) U$ and $w_{i}(\widehat{\zeta})=w_{i}(\zeta)=0$ for $i=1,2$. This shows that $S q^{2}$ maps non-trivially to the top cell of $T(\widehat{\zeta}) / T\left(\zeta_{n-1}\right)$ and it follows that the second component of $\left(c_{T\left(\zeta_{n-1}\right)}\right)_{*}(\phi)$ is $\eta$.
Proof of Lemma 11.6. The map $\alpha: S^{2 n+1} \rightarrow S^{n+1}$ stabilises to $x_{j}$ and since $\pi^{*}(\zeta)$ is trivial, the induced map on Thom complexes with Thom cells collapsed,

$$
T\left(b_{\alpha}\right) / S^{k}: T\left(\alpha^{*} \pi^{*}(\zeta)\right) / S^{k} \rightarrow T\left(\pi^{*}(\zeta)\right) / S^{k}
$$

is identified with the $k$-fold suspension of $\alpha$. But this map can also be identified with the map $\rho_{\alpha}=T\left(b_{\alpha}\right)_{*}\left(\rho_{S^{2 n+1}}\right)$ composed with the collapse of the Thom cell of $T\left(\pi^{*}(\zeta)\right)$. If $c_{T\left(\zeta_{n-1}\right)}^{0}: T(\zeta) / S^{k} \rightarrow T(\zeta) / T\left(\zeta_{n-1}\right)$ denotes the collapse map, then applying Lemma 11.8 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(c_{T\left(\zeta_{n-1}\right)}\right)_{*}\left(\rho_{y}\right) & =\left(c_{T\left(\zeta_{n-1}\right)}^{0}\right)_{*} c_{0}^{*}\left(T\left(b_{\pi}\right)_{*}\left(\rho_{\alpha}\right)\right)=\left(c_{T\left(\zeta_{n-1}\right)}^{0}\right)_{*}\left(\left(T\left(b_{\pi}\right) / S^{k}\right)_{*}\left(x_{j}\right)\right) \\
& =\left(c_{T\left(\zeta_{n-1}\right)}\right)_{*}\left(\phi \circ x_{j}\right)=\left(\eta \cdot x_{j}, 0\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 11.9. Our assumption in Theorem D that $W \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mathbf{P}^{n+1}$ is a smooth fibre bundle ensures that $W$ is a smooth manifold with $\partial W=V$. Hence $M$ is the twisted double of a smooth manifold with a PL homeomorphism, but is not smoothable. Since $\widetilde{M} \cong_{P L} \mathbb{M}_{K}^{2 n+2}$, it is interesting to ask whether $M$ admits a smooth structure over some skeleton.

Lemma 11.10. The PL manifold $M$ admits a smooth structure over its $(n+1)$-skeleton.

Proof. Let us denote the copies of $W$ used to build $M$ by $W_{0}$ and $W_{1}$. If we collapse $W_{0}$ to a point then we obtain $W_{1} / \partial W_{1}$, the Thom space of $\xi$. Since $\xi$ has rank $(n+1), T(\xi)$ has a $C W$-decomposition starting from $S^{n+1}$ and attaching cells of dimension $(n+2)$ and higher. It follows that $M$ has a $C W$-decomposition with $(n+1)$-skeleton $\mathbb{R} \mathbf{P}^{n+1} \vee S^{n+1}$ where $W_{0}$ thickens $\mathbb{R} \mathbf{P}^{n+1}$. Up to homotopy, the remaining $S^{n+1}$ is represented by the union of the fibre disks in $W_{0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mathbf{P}^{n+1}$ and $W_{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mathbf{P}^{n+1}$. Let $D_{1}^{n+1} \subset W_{1}$ be such a fibre and let $D^{n+1} \times D_{1}^{n+1} \subset W_{1}$ be a tubular neighbourhood of $D_{1}^{n+1}$ which meets $\partial W_{1}$ at $D^{n+1} \times S_{1}^{n}$. It is enough to show that the PL manifold

$$
W_{2}:=W_{0} \cup_{\left.g^{-1}\right|_{D^{n+1} \times S_{1}^{n}}}\left(D^{n+1} \times D_{1}^{n+1}\right)
$$

admits a smooth structure. By [33, Theorem 5.3], the obstruction to extending the smooth structure on $W_{0}$ to $W_{2}$ is an obstruction class

$$
\omega \in H^{n+1}\left(W_{2}, W_{0} ; \pi_{n}(P L / O)\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}
$$

This obstruction is natural for coverings and $\omega$ pulls back to the obstruction class $\widetilde{\omega} \in$ $H^{n+1}\left(\widetilde{W}_{2}, \widetilde{W}_{0} ; \pi_{n}(P L / O)\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{2}$ which we may identify as $\widetilde{\omega}=(\omega, \omega)$. Now $\widetilde{M} \cong \mathbb{M}_{K}$ and $\widetilde{W}_{2} \subset \mathbb{M}_{K}$ is homotopy equivalent to a wedge of three $(n+1)$-spheres. Since $\mathbb{M}_{K}$ is smoothable away from a point, it follows that $\widetilde{\omega}=0$ and hence that $\omega=0$.
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