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#### Abstract

Let $G$ be a group attached to a Kac-Moody Lie algebra with not necessarily symmetrizable Cartan matrix. We define Schubert varieties for $G$ by means of a Demazure-Hansen resolution and we prove that these varieties are nonsingular in codimension one. We also determine the restriction of homogeneous line bundles on generalized flag manifolds to Schubert subvarieties.


O. INTRODUCTION: In this paper we study generalized Schubert varieties attached to Kac-Moody groups $G$ with arbitrary Cartan matrix. Such groups contain a Tits system ( $B, N$ ) providing a Bruhat decomposition

$$
G=\bigcup_{W \in W} B W B
$$

and a classification of parabolic subgroups $P$, i.e. of subgroups of $G$ containing a conjugate of $B$. Set-theoretically a schubert variety is a subset $\bar{X}_{W}$ of a homogeneous space $G / P, B \subset P \subset G$, of the form

where $v \leq w$ denotes the Bruhat ordering on the Weyl group $W$. The homogeneous space $G / P$ may be embedded into the projective space $\mathbb{P}(0)$ of an irreducible highest weight module $L(w)$ of $G$. We endow $\bar{X}_{w}$ with the structure of a complex algebraic variety by identifying it with the closure in $\mathbb{P}(\omega)$ of $X_{w}=(B w P) / P$ (cf. 2.2-2.4). Our procedure here agrees essentially with the one scetched by Tits in [25] in that we use a "Demazure-Hansen resolution" of $\bar{X}_{w}$. On the technical level we exploit heavily the fact that several subgroups of $G$ stabilize finite-dimensional subspaces in the modules $L(\omega)$, on which they act regularly by algebraic quotient groups (cf. 1.11). Though we are not able to show that the algebraic geometric structure on $\bar{X}_{w}$ is independent of
the module $L(w)$ (for symmetrizable Cartan matrices at least, this is ascertained in [25]) we prove (2.5) that the topological stiucture is uniquely defined. This is sufficient for topological applications as described in [11]. As further results we show that all schubert varieties are nonsingular in codimension one and we determine the restrictions of homogeneous line bundles on G/P to Schubert varieties (2.8). The last result is important for extending part of the Schubert calculus to the framework of Kac-Moody groups as announced in our joint note [11] with E. Gutkin. Whereas this paper provides detailed proofs for the geometric results stated theze, a separate paper by $E$. Gutkin will be occupied with the homological and cohomological applications.

We finally want to point out the technical character of-this paper. Most of the objects we deal with are easily defined on set-theoretical level by exploiting the analogy with the finite-dimensional situation. The main problew therefore consists in defining correctly the underlying algebraic geometric or topological structures and in justifying classical arguments in the infinitedimensional context.

Our thanks go to J. Tits who communicated to us the idea for the construction of the Schubert varieties long ago (March 1981) and to E. Gutkin who started the collaboration with us on these topics and who urged us to write down the details in this paper.

1. KAC-MOODY LIE ALGEBRAS AND ASSOCIATED GROUPS. The puxpose of this part is to recollect the necessaxy definitions and results needed in the second part. Thus we review properties of Weyl groups, Kac-Moody Lie algebras, associated groups and representations. We also add some simple lemmas of a more technical nature.
1.1 ROOT BASES. Let $I$ be a finite set. A (generalized) Cartan matrix on I is a matrix

$$
A=\left(\left(A_{i j}\right)\right)_{i, j} \in I
$$

satisfying

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{i j} \in \mathbb{Z} \quad A_{i i}=2 \\
& A_{i j} \leq 0 \quad \text { for all } \quad \text { i } \times j \in I \quad, \\
& A_{i j}=0<m>A_{j i}=0 \text { for all } 1, j \in I
\end{aligned}
$$

A $\mathbb{Z}$-realization of such a matrix or a root base for A is a triplet ( $H, \nabla, \Delta$ ) consisting of a free $\mathbb{R}$-module $H \in z^{x}$ for some $x \in M$, subset
$\nabla=\left\{h_{i} \mid i \in I\right\}$ of $H$, and a subset $\Delta=\left\{\alpha_{i} \mid i \in I\right\}$ of the dual lattice $H^{*}=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(H, \mathbb{Z})$ such that

$$
\alpha_{i}\left(h_{j}\right)=A_{j i} \quad \text { for all } \quad i, j \in I
$$

We call $\Delta$ (resp. $\nabla$ ) the set of simple or fundamental roots (resp. coroots) of ( $H, \nabla, \Delta$ ).

Let $\alpha=\alpha_{i} \in \Delta$. Then we also write $h_{\alpha}$ instead of $h_{i}$.
Let $\Gamma$ (resp. $L$ ) be the free $\mathbb{Z}$-module genexated by $\Delta$ (resp. $\nabla$ ):

$$
\Gamma=\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta} \mathbb{Z} \alpha \quad L=\bigoplus_{h \in \nabla} \mathbb{Z} h
$$

We call $r$ (resp. $I$ ) the formal root lattice (resp. formal coroot lattice). Corresponding to the natural maps $\Gamma \rightarrow H^{*}, L \rightarrow H$ we have obvious pairings $\Gamma \times H \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}, L \times H^{*} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ 。

Note that the map $\Gamma \rightarrow H^{*}$ (resp. $L+H$ ) is injective if and only if $\Delta$ (resp. $\nabla$ ) is linearly free.
1.2 WEYL GROUPS. Let $(H, \nabla, \Delta)$ be a root base for a generalized Cartan matrix $A=\left(\left(A_{i j}\right)\right)_{i, j \in I}$ and $\Gamma$ its formal root lattice. The Weyl group $W$ of ( $H, \nabla, \Delta$ ) is the subgroup of $A u t(\Gamma)$ generated by the fundamental reflections

$$
s_{\alpha}: \Gamma+\Gamma \quad, \quad \alpha \in \Delta \quad s_{\alpha}(\gamma)=\gamma-\gamma\left(h_{\alpha}\right) \alpha
$$

It is known (cf. [13]) that the system (W,S), $S=\left\{s_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in \Delta\right\}$, is a Coxeter system, i.e. that $W$ has a presentation of the form

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
s_{\alpha}^{2}=1 & \text { all } \alpha \in \Delta, \\
s_{\alpha} s_{\beta} s_{\alpha} \ldots=s_{\beta} s_{\alpha} s_{\beta} \cdots, & \text { all } \alpha \neq \beta \in \Delta, \quad\left(m_{\alpha \beta} \text { factors on each side }\right)
\end{array}
$$

where the numbers $m_{\alpha \beta}$ are given by the following table (we write $A_{\alpha \beta}=A_{i j}$ if $\quad \alpha=\alpha_{i}, \beta=\alpha_{j}$,

| $A_{\alpha \beta}{ }^{A} B \alpha$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | $\geq 4$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $m_{\alpha \beta}$ | 2 | 3 | 4 | 6 | $\infty$ |

The action of $W$ on $r$ extends to an action on $H^{*}$ by the prescription

$$
s_{\alpha}(\omega)=\omega-\omega\left(h_{\alpha}\right) \alpha, \quad \omega \in H^{*}
$$

for the generators $s_{\alpha} \in S$ (this action is faithful if $\nabla$ or $\Delta$ are linearly free). The contragredient action of $s_{\alpha}$ on $H$ is now given by

$$
s_{\alpha}(h)=h-\alpha(h) h_{\alpha}
$$

for all $h \in H$.
Let $w=s_{1} \cdot \ldots \cdot s_{n}$. be an expression of an element $W \in W$ as a product of elements $s_{j} \in S$. This expression is called reduced if $n$ is the least number for which such an equality holds. In that case $n$ is called the length $\ell(w)$ of $w$ (cf. [5] IV).
1.3 WEYL ROOTS. We consider the same situation as in $\$ 1.2$. The union of orbits of $\{\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Delta\} \subset \Gamma$ under $w$ is the set $\sum^{R}$ of real or weyl roots. The bijection $\Delta+\nabla, \alpha \mapsto h_{\alpha}$, can be extended to a W-equivariant bijection

$$
v \cdot \sum^{R} \rightarrow W\left\{h_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in \Delta\right\} \subset L
$$

given by $\quad \gamma \longmapsto \gamma^{V}=h_{\gamma}$.
If $\gamma \in \sum^{R}$ and $\gamma=w(\alpha)$ for some $\alpha \in \Delta, W \in W$, then $s_{\gamma}=w s_{\alpha} W^{-1}$ is called the reflection belonging to the root $\gamma$. We have $s_{\gamma}(h)=h-\gamma(h) h_{\gamma}$ for all $h \in H \quad\left(h_{\gamma}\right.$ interpreted as its image in $H$ ), and $s_{\gamma}(\omega)=\omega-\omega\left(h_{\gamma}\right) \gamma$ for all $\omega \in \Gamma$ (or $\omega \in H^{*}$, the element $Y$ being interpreted as its image in $H^{*}$ ).

Any element $\gamma \in \sum^{R}$ lies in $\sum^{R,+}=\sum^{R} \cap \mathbf{N} \Delta$ or in $\sum^{R, T}=-\sum^{R,+}$.
Correspondingly $\gamma$ is called a positive or a negative real root.
1.4 BRUHAT ORDER. The definitions and statements of 1.3 make sense for arbitrary Coxeter groups. This is also true for the following proposition, a proof of which may be either found, in full generality, in [7], [8] or obtained by mimicking the proof for the finite-type situation (see for example [1] § 2).

Let $w_{1}, w_{2} \in W$ and $\gamma \in \sum^{R,+}$. When the conditions

$$
s_{\gamma} w_{1}=w_{2} \quad \text { and } \quad \ell\left(w_{2}\right)=\ell\left(w_{1}\right)+1
$$

hold we write

$$
w_{1} \xrightarrow{Y} w_{2} \quad \text { or } \quad w_{1} \longrightarrow w_{2}
$$

If there is a chain

$$
w=w_{1}+w_{2}+\ldots+w_{k}=w^{\prime}
$$

we write $w<w^{\prime}$ and say that $w$ is smaller than $w^{\prime}$.
For any $w \in W$ we let $\sum(w)$ denote the intersection

$$
\sum^{R,+} n w\left(\sum^{R,-}\right)=\left(\gamma \in \sum^{R,+} \mid w^{-1}(\gamma) \in \sum^{R,-}\right)
$$

PROPOSITION: Let $w \in W$ and let $w=s_{1} \cdot \ldots \cdot s_{n}$ be any reduced decomposition of $w$. Let $\alpha_{i} \in \Delta$ be such that $s_{i}=s_{\alpha_{i}}$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$.
(i) $\quad\left[(w)=\left\{\alpha_{1}, s_{1}\left(\alpha_{2}\right), \ldots, s_{1}, \ldots \cdot s_{n-1}\left(\alpha_{n}\right)\right\}\right.$, in particular $\ell(w)=n=\operatorname{card} \sum(w)$.
(ii) Let $\gamma \in \sum^{R,+}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \ell\left(s_{\gamma} w\right)>\ell(w) \Longrightarrow \gamma \notin \sum(w) \\
& \ell\left(s_{\gamma} w\right)<\ell(w) \Longrightarrow \gamma(w) .
\end{aligned}
$$

(iii) Let $w^{\prime} \in W$ be such that $w^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\gamma} w$. Then there is a unique index
$i, 1 \leq i \leq n$, such that $\gamma=s_{1} \cdot \cdots_{i-1}\left(\alpha_{i}\right)$, and $w^{\prime}=s_{1} \cdot \ldots \cdot s_{i-1} \cdot s_{i+1} \cdot \cdots \cdot s_{n}$.
(iv) Let $W^{\prime} \in W$. Then $w^{\prime}<w$ if and only if there exists a subsequence $1 \leq i_{1}<i_{2}<\ldots<i_{k} \leq r, k<n$, such that

$$
\mathbf{w}^{\prime}=\mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{i}_{1}} \cdot \cdots \cdot \mathbf{s}_{i_{k}}
$$

The order "<" on $W$ is called the Bruhat order. We write $w$ ' $\leq w$ if $W^{\prime}=W$ or $W^{\prime}<W$.

As an immediate consequence of (i) above we obtain the following result.

COROLLARX: Let $w=w_{1} \cdot w_{2}$ be a product in $W$ such that $\ell(w)=\ell\left(w_{1}\right)+\ell\left(w_{2}\right)$. Then

$$
\sum(w)=\sum\left(w_{1}\right) \cup w_{1} \sum\left(w_{2}\right)
$$

1.5 KAC-MOODY LIE ALGEBRAS. Let $(H, \nabla, \Delta)$ be a root base as in $\S 1.1$. A KacMoody algebra $g$ associated with ( $H, \nabla, \Delta$ ) is a complex Lie algebra generated as a complex Lie algebra by

1) the vector space $h=H \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} C$
2) elements $e_{\alpha}, f_{\alpha},(\alpha \in \Delta)$
with the following relations which hold for any $h, h ; G$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Delta$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[h, h^{\prime}\right]=0} \\
& {\left[h, e_{\alpha}\right]=\alpha(h) e_{\alpha}} \\
& {\left[h, f_{\alpha}\right]=-\alpha(h) f_{\alpha}} \\
& {\left[e_{\alpha}, f_{\alpha}\right]=h_{\alpha} \in H \subset h} \\
& \left(a d e_{\alpha}\right)^{1-A}{ }_{\alpha \beta}\left(e_{\beta}\right)=0, \alpha \neq \beta \\
& \left(a d f_{\alpha}\right)^{1-A_{\alpha \beta}\left(f_{\beta}\right)}=0, \alpha \neq \beta
\end{aligned}
$$

We also require that $\underline{h} \cup\left\{e_{\alpha}, f_{\alpha} \mid a \in \Delta\right\}$ injects into $g$.
Note that when $A$ is symmetrizable, ie. when there exists a diagonal matrix $D \in M_{I}\left({ }^{\prime}\right)$ such that $D A$ is symmetric, then there is unique Lie algebra $g$ with the properties above (cf. [13]). It is conjectured that the result is true for non-symmetrizable A as well.
1.6 PROPERTIES OF THE ROOX SYSTEM. We recall the root decomposition

where $\sum$ denotes the system of all roots in the root lattice $P$. When $\Delta$ is linearly free in $H^{*}$ we may consider $\sum$ as a subset of $H^{*} \subset \underline{h}^{*}$.

Then we have $g_{Y}=\{x \in g \mid[h, x]=\gamma(h) X$ for all $h \in \underline{h}\}$ for all $\gamma \in \sum \cup\{0\}$, and $g_{0}=h$. Also $g_{\gamma}$ is finite-dimensional for all $\gamma \in\{\cup\{0\}$. The set $\sum$ is stable under the action of the weyl group and $\Delta \subset \sum$, thus $\sum^{R} \subset \sum$. The complement $\sum^{I}=\sum \backslash \sum^{R}$ is called the set of imaginary roots. We have dim $g_{\gamma}=1$ for all $\gamma \in \sum^{R}$.

Let $L^{+}=\sum \cap M \Delta$ denote the set of positive roots and $\sum^{-}=-\sum^{+}$the set of negative roots. Then $L=L^{+} u L^{-}$. According to this decomposition we have subalgebras of $g$

$$
\underline{u}^{ \pm}=\bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Sigma^{ \pm}} q_{Y}
$$

and the direct sum $g=\underline{u}^{+} \oplus \underline{h} \oplus \underline{u}^{-}$.
The action of $W$ stabilizes $\sum^{I} \cap \sum^{+}$. Therefore, we also have $\sum(w)=\left\{y \in \sum^{+} \mid W^{-1}(\gamma) \in \sum^{-}\right\}$for all $w \in W$ (cf. 1.4).

For any subset $S^{\prime} \subset S=\left\{s_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in \Delta\right\}$ we define the $S^{\prime}-$ height $h_{S}(\gamma)$ of a root $\gamma=\sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} c_{\alpha}^{\alpha}$ by

$$
{ }^{h t_{s}}(\gamma)=\sum_{\alpha \in \Delta}^{s_{\alpha} \notin s^{*}} c_{\alpha}
$$

For the $\varnothing$-height we set

$$
h t(\gamma):=h t_{\phi}(\gamma)=\sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} c_{\alpha}
$$

1.7 KAC-MOODY LIE GROUPS. Let ( $\mathrm{H}, \nabla, \Delta$ ) be a root base as in is. To avoid unnecessary complications we assume that $\nabla$ or $\Delta$ is linearly free. Let $g$ be a Kac-Moody algebra associated to ( $H, \nabla, \Delta$ ). In this situation one can define a group $G$ with subgroups $B$ and $N$ satisfying the following properties:

1) The pair ( $B, N$ ) is a Tits system in $G$, i.e.
i) $G$ is generated by $B$ and $N$
ii) the intersection $T=B \cap N$ is normal in $N$
iii) the quotient $W=N / T$ is generated by a set $S$ of involutions such that
```
sBwCB*B\cupBSWB
```

and
$\operatorname{sis} \boldsymbol{A}$
for all $s \in S, W \in W$.
2) The group $T$ is isomorphic to $H \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}^{*}$.
3) The system ( $W=N / T, S$ ) is isomorphic to the Coxeter system associated with $(H, \nabla, \Delta)$ in 1.2. Under this isomorphism the action of $W$ on $T$ is induced by the action of $W$ on $H$.
4) The group $T$ acts naturally on the subalgebra $\underline{u}^{+}$of $\frac{g}{i}$ as well as on the completion $\underline{\mathrm{u}}^{+}$of $\underline{u}^{+}$with respect to the filtration ( $\underline{u}^{i}{ }_{i} \in N \quad$, $\underline{u}^{i}=\bigoplus_{h t(\gamma)>i} g_{\gamma}$. Thus there is a natural action of $T$ on the prounipotent proalgebraic group

$$
u=\frac{\lim U_{i}}{}
$$

corresponding to $\underline{u}^{+}$. Here $U_{i}$ is the unipotent algebraic group with Lie algebra $\underline{u}^{+} / \underline{u}^{i}$. The group $B$ is now the semidirect product $B=T K U$.

REMARKS: 1) By exploiting the Tits system in $G$ it is easily seen that the above properties characterize the group $G$ up to isomorphism. For a construction of $G$ cf. [23], [24], or [20].
2) Similar groups associated to $g$ have been constructed and investigated by Garland, Kac, Peterson, Marcuson, Moody, and Teo (cf. [9], [18], [14], [15], [16]. [17]). In some cases these groups differ from ours in a "smaller" subgroup $U$. Instead of $U$ as above one might use the subgroup $U^{m i n}$ of $U$ generated by the additive one-parameter subgroups $U_{\gamma}$ corresponding to the positive real roots $\gamma \in \sum^{+}, R$ fessentially this group is the one studied by kac and Peterson).
4) Our later results on the structure of the homogeneous space $G / B$ will not depend on the particular choice of the group $G$ we are dealing with. For reasons of technical convenience one may (and is allowed to) prefer different
versions of these groups depending on circumstances. We will stick to our definition.

One property of the group $G$ is that for any real root $Y \in \int^{R}$ (not only $\left[^{+, R}\right.$, there is a unique additive one-parameter subgroup $U_{\gamma}$ and a homomorphism

$$
\mathbf{u}_{Y}: \mathbf{c}+\mathbf{G}
$$

such that

$$
u_{\gamma}:=u_{\gamma}(c)
$$

and such that

$$
t u_{\gamma}(c) t^{-1}=u_{\gamma}(Y(t) c)
$$

for all $t \in T, c \in C$. Furthermore, for $a l l n \in N$ and $r \in \mathcal{L}^{R}$ we have

$$
n u_{Y} n^{-1}=u_{W(Y)}
$$

where $w$ denotes the image of $n$ in $W$.
We let $U^{-}$denote the subgroup of $G$ generated by the subgroups $U_{\gamma}$ for $\gamma \in \sum^{-R}$. From the representation theory of $G$ one easily sees (cf. 2.1)

$$
U^{-} \cap B=\{1\}
$$

Note that $U^{-}$is not isomorphic to $U$. In fact it is only isomorphic to the subgroup $U^{+}$of $U$ generated by the $U_{\gamma}, Y \in L^{+}, R$.
1.8 BRUBAT DECOMPOSITION. We now recall some consequences of the existence of the Tits system ( $B, N$ ) in $G$. First we have the Bruhat decomposition, i.e. $G$ is the disfoint union of the double classes $C(w)=B W B$ (cf. [5] IV, §2)

$$
G=\bigcup_{w \in W} c(w)
$$

For any positive real root $\gamma \in \sum^{R, t}$ let $U_{\gamma} \subset U$ denote the additive one-parameter group corresponding to $Y$. Let $W \in W$. Then the product

$$
u_{w}=u_{r_{1}} \cdot u_{\gamma_{2}} \cdot \ldots \cdot u_{\gamma_{k}}
$$

(taken in $U$ with raspect to any fixed ordaring $Y_{1} \ldots \ldots, Y_{k}$ of the roots in $\sum(w), k=\ell(w)$ is a closed subgroup of $U$, iscmorphic as an algebraic variety to the product $U_{Y_{1}} \times \ldots \times U_{Y_{k}}$, hance to the affine space $A^{k}$ of dimention $k=\ell(w)$ (note that $U$ is proalgebralc group). Moreover, $U$ decomposes as
a product $U=U_{W} \cdot U_{(w)}$ where $U_{(w)}=U \cap \dot{w} U \dot{w}^{-1}$ (for any representative $\dot{w}$ of $w$ in $N$ ) Any element $x$ in the double class $C(w)$ admits a representation $x=u n u^{\prime}$ with uniquely determined elements $u \in u_{w}, n \in N$ (such that $n$ maps to $w \in W), u^{\prime} \in U$, i.e. for any fixed representative $\dot{w}$ of $w$ in $N$ the product map

$$
\begin{aligned}
& U_{W} \times B \rightarrow C(w) \\
& (u, b) \mapsto u \dot{w} b
\end{aligned}
$$

induces a bijection (for details cf. [20] Ch. 5).
For the multiplication of double classes we have (cf. [5] IV § 2)

$$
c(s) \cdot c(w)=\left\{\begin{array} { l } 
{ C ( s w ) } \\
{ c ( w ) \cup c ( s w ) }
\end{array} \quad \text { if and only if } \quad \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\ell(s w)=\ell(w)+1 \\
\ell(s w)=\ell(w)-1
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

for all $s \in S, W \in W$. For a decomposition $w=W_{1} \ldots W_{q}$ of an element $w \in W$ with $w_{i} \in W, i=1, \ldots, q$ and $\ell(w)=\sum_{i=1}^{q} \ell\left(w_{i}\right)$ this gives (cf. loc. cit.)

$$
c\left(w_{1}\right) \cdot \ldots \cdot c\left(w_{q}\right)=c(w)
$$

Let $s$ denote the Bruhat order on $W$ (cf. 1.4), and define

$$
\vec{C}(w):=\bigcup_{w^{\prime} \leq w} c\left(w^{\prime}\right)
$$

for any $w \in W$. For $s \in S$ we then have $\bar{C}(s)=C(s) \cup B$.
The multiplication formulae above and the characterization of $\leq$ in terms of redüced expressions yield the following result.

PROPOSITION: Let $W=s_{1} \cdot \ldots \cdot s_{k}$ be a reduced expression of an element $w \in W$. Then

$$
\overline{\mathrm{c}}\left(\mathrm{~s}_{1}\right) \cdot \ldots \cdot \overline{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)=\overline{\mathrm{c}}(\mathrm{w})
$$

1.9 PARABOLIC SUBGROUPS. Let $G$ be a group as in 1.7 and let $S$ be the generating set for the Weyl group $W$. For any subset $S^{\prime} C S$ we let $W^{\prime}=W^{\prime}$ denote the subgroup of $W$ generated by $S^{\prime}$. Let $P_{S}$, denote the subgroup of $G$ generated by $B$ and by the representatives of $s \in S^{\prime}$ in the group $N$. Then the map

$$
S^{\prime} \longmapsto P_{S^{\prime}}
$$

induces an isomorphism from the lattice of subsets of $S$ to the lattice of subgroups of $G$ containing $B$. Moreover (cf. [5] IV § 2),

$$
P_{S^{\prime}}=\bigcup_{W \in W^{\prime}} C(w) \quad \text { and } \quad P_{S^{\prime}} \cap P_{S^{\prime \prime}}=P_{S^{\prime} \cap S^{\prime \prime}}
$$

and, of course, $P_{\phi}=B$ and $P_{S}=G$. The conjugates of the groups $P_{S}$, , $S^{\prime} \subset S$, in $G$ are called parabolic subgroups. The conjugates of $B=P_{\phi}$ are also called Borel subgroups.

For any subgroup ${ }^{P} S_{S}$, there is a Levi decomposition

$$
P_{S^{\prime}}=L_{S^{\prime}}, k U_{\left(S^{\prime}\right)}
$$

where $L_{S}$, is a Kac-Moody Lie qroup attached to the root base ( $H, \nabla^{\prime}, \Delta^{\prime}$ ) with

$$
\Delta^{\prime}=\left\{\alpha \in \Delta \mid s_{\alpha} \in s^{\prime}\right\} \quad, \quad \nabla^{\prime}=\left\{h_{\alpha} \in \nabla \mid \alpha \in \Delta^{\prime}\right\}
$$

and where $U_{(S ')}$ is a suitable proalgebraic subgroup of $U$ (cf. [20] 5.9 for details).

A subset $S^{\prime} \subset S$, the corresponding Weyl subgroup $W_{S} \subset W$, and the associated parabolic subgroup $P_{S}, C G$ are called of finite type if $W_{S}$, is a finite group. In this case the group $P_{S}$, carries the structure of a proalgebraic group which is compatible with inclusions $P_{S^{\prime \prime}} \subset P_{S^{\prime}}, S^{\prime \prime} \mathcal{C} S^{\prime}$. More precisely, the Levi factor $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{S}}$, is now a finite-dimensional reductive group, and the radical ${ }^{U}\left(s^{\prime}\right)$ is the projective limit of the finitedimensional algebraic quotients

$$
U_{\left(s^{\prime}\right)} / 0_{\left(s^{\prime}\right)}^{i} \quad, \quad i \in N
$$

where $U^{i}\left(S^{\prime}\right)$ is the normal subgroup of $U_{\left(S^{\prime}\right)}$ generated topologically as a normal subgroup of $P_{S}$, by the root subgroups $v_{Y}$ with $h t_{S},(Y)>1$ (cf. [20] 5.7 for details).

Let $S^{\prime} \subset S$ be of finite type. Then the quotient $P_{S^{\prime}} / B$ inherits a natural structure of a projective algebraic variety. In the rank 1 case $S^{\prime}=\{s\}$, $s \in S$, where the semisimple part of $L_{S^{\prime}}$ is $\mathrm{SH}_{2}$ or $\mathrm{PGL}_{2}$ the quotient $P_{S}, B$ is the projective line.

Let $P$ be an arbitrary proalgebraic group and let $p \times Y+Y$ be an action of $P$ on an algebraic variety $Y$. We say that this action is regular if it factorizes over an algebraic action of an algebraic quotient group $P^{\prime}$ of P , i.e.


Let now $P \subset G$ be a parabolic subgroup of finite type containing $B$, and let $B \times Y \rightarrow Y$ be a regular action of the promigebraic group $B$ on an al-
gebraic variety $Y$. We denote by $P x^{B} Y$ the bundle associated to the principal fibration $P \rightarrow P / B$ and the action of $B$ on $Y$.

LEMMA: The bundle $P X^{B} Y$ carries a natural structure of an algebraic variety and the natural left action of $P$ on $P x^{B} Y$ is regular.

PROOF: Since the proalgebraic structures on $P$ and $B$ coincide, there is a normal subgroup $U^{\prime} \subset P$ such that $U^{\prime} \subset B, P / U^{\prime}$ (hence $B / U^{\prime}$ ) is algebraic, and $B \times Y \rightarrow Y$ factors over an algebraic action ( $B / V^{\prime}$ ) $X Y \rightarrow Y$. Thus

$$
P x^{B} Y \cong\left(P / U^{\prime}\right) x^{\left(B / U^{\prime}\right)} Y
$$

which equips $p x^{B} y$ with the structure of an algebraic variety (obviously independent of the choice of $U^{\prime}$, and shows that the natural left action of $P$ factors over an algebraic left action of $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{U}^{\prime}$.
1.10 PARABOLIC BRUHAT DECOMPOSITION. We fix a subset $S$ ' of $S$, the corresponding Weyl subgroup $W^{\prime}=W_{S} \subset W$, and the parabolic subgroup

$$
P=P_{S^{\prime}}=\bigcup_{W^{\prime} \in W^{\prime}} C\left(w^{\prime}\right)=\bigcup_{W^{\prime} \in W^{\prime}} U_{W^{\prime}} w^{\prime} B
$$

The following lemma is well known (cf. [5] IV § 1, Ex. 3).

LEMMA 1: Any coset of $W$ by $W_{S}$, contains anique element $\tilde{W}$ of minimal length, and for any element $w^{\prime} \in W_{S}$, we have $\ell\left(\tilde{w} w^{\prime}\right)=\ell(\tilde{W})+\ell\left(w^{\prime}\right)$.

We shall denote the set of elements $\tilde{w}^{\prime}$ defined in Lemma 1 by $W^{\prime}$. Thus $W^{S^{\prime}}$ is a system of representatives of $W / W_{S}$, in. W.

LEMMA 2: Let $w=\tilde{w} \cdot W^{\prime}$ be a product in $W$ such that $\ell(w)=$ $\ell(\tilde{w})+\ell\left(w^{\prime}\right)$, and let $\dot{\tilde{W}}$ be a representative of $\tilde{W}$ in $N \subset G$. Then the map

$$
\left(u, u^{\prime}\right) \longmapsto u \dot{\tilde{w}} u^{\prime} \dot{\dot{w}}^{-1}
$$

induces an isomorphism of varieties

$$
\mathrm{U}_{\widetilde{W}} \times \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{W}^{\prime}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{W}}
$$

PROOF: From the Corollary in $\S 1.4$ we get $\sum(w)=\sum(\tilde{w}) \cup \tilde{w} \sum\left(w^{\circ}\right)$. The claim follows now from the structure of the groups $U_{\tilde{W}}, U_{W^{\prime}}, U_{W}$ (cf. 1.8) and the property $\dot{\tilde{W}} U_{\gamma} \dot{\tilde{W}}^{-1}=U_{W}(\gamma)$ for all $\gamma \in \sum^{R}$ (cf. 1.7).

In what follows we fix a system $\{\dot{w} \mid w \in W\}$ of representatives in $N$ of the elements of $W$.

PROPOSITION: Let $g \in G$. Then there is a unique element $\bar{w} \in W^{S}$ and there are unique elements $u \in U_{\tilde{w}}, p \in P$, such that $g=u \dot{W} p$.

PROOF: Let $g \in C(w)=U_{w} B$, and let $\tilde{w}$ be the element of minimal length in the coset $w W^{\prime}$. By Lemma 1 , we have $w=\tilde{w} \cdot w^{\prime}$ with $w^{\prime} \in W^{\prime}$ and $\ell(w)=\ell(\tilde{w})+\ell\left(w^{\prime}\right)$. Thus we have $C(w)=C(\tilde{w}) \cdot C\left(w^{\prime}\right)$ (cf. 1.8). Because of $C\left(w^{\prime}\right) \subset P$ we get

$$
g \in c(w) \cdot p=u_{\tilde{w}} \dot{\bar{w}} p
$$

To prove uniqueness let

$$
g=u_{i} \dot{\dot{w}}_{i} p_{i}, \quad u_{i} \in U_{\tilde{w}_{i}}, p_{i} \in p, \quad i=1,2
$$

be two decompositions of the desired kind. Let

$$
p_{i}=u_{i}^{\prime} \dot{w}_{i}^{\prime} b_{i}, w_{i}^{\prime} \in W^{*}, u_{i} \in u_{w_{i}^{\prime}}, b_{i} \in B
$$

be the Bruhat decomposition of $p_{i}, i=1,2$. Then

$$
\ell\left(\tilde{w}_{i} w_{i}^{i}\right)=\ell\left(\tilde{w}_{i}\right)+\ell\left(w_{i}^{i}\right) \quad i=1,2,
$$

and by Lemma 2, we have

$$
g=\left(u_{i} \dot{\tilde{w}}_{i} u_{i}^{\prime} \dot{\tilde{w}}_{i}^{-1}\right) \cdot\left(\dot{\tilde{w}}_{i} \dot{w}_{i}^{\prime}\right) \cdot b_{i} \in{U_{\tilde{w}_{i}} w_{i}^{\prime}}^{\tilde{w}_{i} w_{i}^{\prime}}, i=1,2
$$

From the uniqueness assertions in the usual Bruhat decomposition of $g$ we now get

$$
\bar{w}_{1} w_{1}^{\prime}=\tilde{w}_{2} w_{2}^{\prime}
$$

thus

$$
\tilde{w}_{1}=\tilde{w}_{2} \quad\left(\tilde{w}_{i} \text { is of minimal length in } \tilde{w}_{i} W^{\prime}\right)
$$

and

$$
u_{1} \dot{\tilde{w}}_{1} u_{1}^{\prime} \dot{\tilde{w}}_{1}^{-1}=u_{2} \dot{\tilde{w}}_{1} u_{2}^{\prime} \dot{\tilde{w}}_{1}^{-1} \quad \text { in } \quad u_{\tilde{w}_{1}} w_{1}^{\prime}
$$

Lemma 2 implies $u_{1}=u_{2}$. From this we finally obtain $p_{1}=p_{2}$ which proves our assertion.
1.11 REPRESENTATIONS. Let $(H, \nabla, \Delta)$ be a root base. We define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{+}^{*}:=\left\{\omega \in H^{*} \mid \omega(h)>0 \text { for all } h \in \nabla\right\} \\
& H_{++}^{*}:=\left\{\omega \in H^{*} \mid \omega(h)>0 \text { for all } h \in \nabla\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and we call $H_{+}^{*}$ (resp. $H_{++}^{*}$ ) the set of dominant (resp. regular dominant) weights of the root base. Let $g$ be a Kac-Moody Lie algebra associated to ( $H, \nabla, \Delta$ ) (cf. 1.5) and $G$ the corresponding group (cf. 1.7). For any element $\omega \in H_{+}^{*}$ one can construct a unique irreducible g-module $L(\omega)$ which can be integrated to a module of $G$ such that the following properties hold (for details cf. [13] Ch. 3, or [20] 5.10, 5.11):

1) With respect to the torus $T$ the module $L(\omega)$ decomposes as a direct sum of finite-dimensional eigenspaces

$$
L(\omega)=\bigoplus_{\mu \in H^{*}} L(\omega)_{\mu}
$$

where

$$
L(\omega)_{\mu}=\{v \in L(\omega) \mid t \cdot v=\mu(t) v \text { for all } t \in T\}
$$

The elements $\mu \in H^{*}$ with $L(\omega)_{\mu} \neq 0$ are called the weights of $L(\omega)$, and $L(\omega)_{\mu}$ is called the weight space of weight $\mu$.
2) Any weight of $L(\omega)$ is of the form

$$
\mu=\omega-\sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} c_{\alpha} c^{\alpha} \quad \text { for suitable } \quad c_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{N}
$$

3) The dimension of the highest weight space $L(\omega)_{\omega}$ is one.

The modules $L(\omega)$ have other properties which can be deduced from the above. For example, for all $n \in N$ we have

$$
n_{\mu} L_{\mu}(\omega)=L(\omega)
$$

where $w$ is the image of $n$ in $W$. With respect to the Levi part $L_{S}$, of a parabolic subgroup ${ }^{P_{S}}$, of finite type the module $L(\omega)$ decomposes as a direct sum of finite-dimensional modules. Also, any element $p \in P_{S}, S^{*}$ of finite type, acts locally finitely on $L(\omega)$. More precisely, let $d_{S},(\mu)=\sum_{s_{\alpha} \in S \backslash S} c_{\alpha}$ for any weight $\mu=\omega-\sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} c_{\alpha}{ }_{\alpha}$ of $L(\omega)$ and put

$$
L(\omega)_{n}:=\bigoplus_{a_{S},(\mu)=n} L(\omega)_{\mu}
$$

for any $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Then

LEMMA 1: $L(\omega)_{n}$ is Einite-dimensional for all $n \in N$.
PROOF: Note that any $L^{( }(\omega)_{n}$ is stable under the Levi group $L_{S}$, and thus decomposes into a direct sum of finite-dimensional $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{s}}$,-modules. Now $L^{( }(\omega)_{0}$ is generated as an $L_{S}$, -module by $L(\omega)_{\omega}$, and for $n>0, L(\omega)_{n}$ is generated as an $L_{S}$, module by the spaces $f_{\alpha} \cdot L_{(\omega)}{ }_{n-1}, \alpha \in \Delta$, such that $s_{\alpha} \notin S^{\prime}$. Hence, by induction on $n$, we see that $L(w)_{n}$ is finite-dimensional. Let $L(\omega) \leq n$ denote the direct sum

i $\leq n$
Then $L(\omega) \leq n$ is finite-dimensional by the Lemma,

$$
L(\omega)=\bigcup_{n \in N} L(\omega) \leq n
$$

and $P_{S}$, stabilizes each $L(\omega) \leq n \cdot$
LEMMA 2: The action of $P_{S^{\prime}}$ on any subspace $L(\omega) \leq n, n \in N$, is regular in the sense of 1.9.

PROOF: . It suffices to look at the factors of $\mathcal{F}_{S^{\prime}}=L_{S^{\prime}} \times{ }^{\prime} \mathcal{U}_{\left(S^{\prime}\right)}$ separately. The action of $L_{S}$, is regular aince it is the integral of a finitedimensional representation of its Lie algebra. similarly, the action of $U\left(S^{\prime}\right)$ is the integral of a linear representation of its Lie algebra $\overline{\mathbf{u}}\left(S^{\prime}\right)$. The kernel of this representation contains the finite-codimensional ldeal of $\underline{\underline{u}}$ ( $S^{\prime}$ ) generated topologically by the root spaces $g_{\gamma}$ with $h_{S^{\prime}}(\gamma)>n$. According to the definition of the proalgebraic structure on $U_{\left(S^{*}\right)} \subset U$, the action of $U_{(S i)}$ factors over an algebraic quotient.
1.12 LIMIT TOPOLOGIES ON REPRESENTATION SPACES. Let $L$ be a c-vector space (in the applications, $L$ will be an irreducible highest weight module $L(\omega)$ as introduced in 1.11). We equip any finite-dimensional complex vector space $E$ with the usual Hausdorff topology which we also call the analytical topology. On $L$, which will be infinite-dimensional in general, we define the analytical limit topology as the finest topology rendering continuous all embeddings of finite-dimensional vector spaces

$$
E \backsim L
$$

Almost by definition, the following properties hold:

LEMMA 1: (i) - A subset $\quad \mathrm{L} \subset \mathrm{L}$ is open (resp. closed) $\Leftrightarrow$ for all finite-dimensional subspaces $E \subset L$ the intersection $U \cap E$ is open (resp. closed) in E.
(ii) Any linear subspace $L^{\prime} \subset \mathcal{L}$ is closed.
(iii) Let $X$ be a topological space. Then $f: L \rightarrow X$ is continuous $\Longrightarrow$ For all finite-dimensional subspaces $E \subset L$ the restriction $f_{\mid E}: E \rightarrow X$ is continuous.

LEMMA 2: Let $\left(E_{i}\right)_{i} \in I$ be any system of finite-dimensional subspaces of $L$ which is cofinal with the system of all finite-dimensional subspaces of L. Then, as topological spaces, we have

$$
L \cong \underset{i \in I}{\lim } E_{i}
$$

In particular, we have

$$
L \cong \xrightarrow[E \subset L, \operatorname{dim} E<\infty]{\lim } \quad E
$$

LEMMA 3: The analytical limit topology on $I$ is Hausdorff.

LEMMA 4: Let $\phi: L+M$ be a linear map of complex vector spaces. Then $\phi$ is continuous with respect to the analytical limit topologies.

To any $c$-vector space $I$ we can associate its projective space $\mathbb{P}(L)=(L \backslash\{0\}) / \mathbb{C}^{*}$ and equip it with the corresponding quotient topology. It is easy to check that this topology is the finest topology on $P(L)$ which renders continuous āll linear embeddings of finite-dimensional projective spaces

$$
\mathbb{P}(E) \backsim \mathbb{P}(L)
$$

We thus call this topology the analytical limit topology, too. It is obvious now, that analogues, Lemma $1^{\prime \prime}$ and Lemma $2^{\prime \prime}$, of Lemma 1 and 2 hold. We also note that the natural projection $p: L \backslash\{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(L)$ is open, thus we obtain

LEMMA 3': The analytical limit topology on $\mathbb{P}(\mathrm{I})$ is Hausdorff.

LEMMA 4: Let $\phi: L \rightarrow M$ be a linear map of complex vector spaces and $K=\phi^{-1}(0)$ its kernel. Then the induced map

$$
\mathbb{P}(\phi): \mathbb{P}(L) \backslash \mathbb{P}(K) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(M)
$$

is continuous with respect to the analytical limit topologies.

PROOF: This follows from the definition of quotient topology and the commutative diagram

in which $p, p^{\prime}$, and $\phi$ are continuous.

Instead of starting from the usual topology on finite-dimensional vector spaces we could have based our definitions on the Zariski topology on finitedimensional vector spaces. We call the corresponding limit topologies on $L$ and $\mathbb{P}(L)$ the Zariski limit topologies. We have obvious analogues of Lemma 1 , $1^{\prime}, 2,2^{\prime}, 4$, and $4^{\prime}$ (of course Lemma 3 and $3^{\prime}$ are no longer true).

However, when speaking of the Zariski topology we should also emphasize the algebraic geometric structure on the finite-dimensional spaces $E$ and $P(E)$. This leads us to consider $L$ resp. $P(L)$ as inductive limit of the algebraic varieties $E$ resp. $\mathbb{P}(E)$. The ring of regular functions on $L$ will consist of all functions $L+\mathbb{C}$ whoserestriction to a finite-dimensional subspace $E$ is regular in the usual sanse. The structure sheaf on $P(L)$ is defined analogously.

Let now $L=L(\omega)$ be a representation space of a Kac-Moody group $G$ as considered in 1.12. Then any $g \in G$ acts on $L(\omega)$ and $P(L(\omega))$ as an automorphism of the topological as well as the algebraic geometric structures.

For later applications we now want to prove an auxiliary result about products of projective spaces. Let $L$ and $M$ be complex vector spaces. The
 $(L \backslash\{0\}) \times(M \backslash\{0\})+L \otimes M \backslash\{0\}$, and by passing to quotients, a map


In the following we consider the analytical limit topologiec on $P(L), P(M)$, $P(L \propto M)$, and we equip $P(L) \times P(M)$ with the product topology.

PROPOSITION: $P(8)$ induces a homeomorphisw of $I(L)$ (M) onto a closed subset of $\mathbb{P}(L \subset M)$.

PROOF: The result is well known (and trivial) in case $L$ and $M$ are finite-dimensional. To extend it to the general situation waserve that 1) $P(L) \times P(M)$ is homeomorphic to the direct limit $\xrightarrow{\lim P(E) \times P(F)}$
taken over all finite-dimensional subspaces $E \subset L$ and $F \subset M$,
2) the system of the $E \otimes F, E, F$ as in 1), is cofinal with the sytem of all finite-dimensional subspaces of $L \otimes M$,
3) $\mathbb{P}(\theta)(\mathbb{P}(L) \times \mathbb{P}(M)) \cap \mathbb{P}(E \otimes F)=\mathbb{P}(\theta)(\mathbb{P}(E) \times \mathbb{P}(F))$ for all $E, F$ as in 1).

Because of 1) and 2) the closed immersions

$$
\mathbb{P}(E) \times \mathbb{P}(F) \subset \mathbb{P}(E \otimes F)
$$

induce a continuous injection of the corresponding direct limits

$$
\mathbb{P}(\otimes): \mathbb{P}(L) \times \mathbb{P}(M) \subset \mathbb{P}(L \otimes M)
$$

Property 3) now implies that $\mathbb{P}(\theta)$ is a closed map. This proves our claim.

REMARK: To get an analogue of the above proposition in the Zariski topology one has to take 1) as the definition of the Zariski product topology on $\mathbb{P}(5) \times \mathbb{P}(M)$ (since, in general, the Zariski topology on a product of varieties differs from the product of the Zariski topologies). With this definition and using the corresponding finite-dimensional result (cf. [19] I § 5), the proof above shows that $\mathbb{P}(\theta)$ embeds $\mathbb{P}(L) \times \mathbb{P}(M)$ as a Zariski-limitclosed subset of $\mathbb{P}(L \otimes M)$.

## 2. FLAG MANIFOLDS AND SCHUBERT VARIETIES

2.1 EMBEDDINGS OF THE HOMOGENEOUS SPACES G/P. Let $G$ be a Kac-Moody Lie group as in 1.7 and $P=P_{S}, S^{\prime} \subset S$, a parabolic subgroup. In this chapter we study the homogeneous space $G / P$. Since the torus $T$ is contained in $B$ we may choose $T$ arbitrarily large, i.e. we may assume that the set $\Delta$ of simple roots and the set $\nabla$ of simple coroots are linearly free. In this case the sets $H_{+}^{*}$ and $H_{++}^{*}$ of dominant and regular dominant weights are nontrivial.

LEMMA 1: Let $\omega \in H_{+}^{*}$. Then the stabilizer of $\omega$ in $W$ equals $W_{S}$, where $s^{\prime}=\left\{s_{\alpha} \in s \mid \omega\left(h_{\alpha}\right)=0\right\}$.

PROOF: The statement follows for example from the properties of the W-action on the Tits cone in $H^{*} \otimes \mathbb{R}$ (cf. [13] Ch. 3, or [20] 6.1).

For $\omega \in H_{+}^{*}$ let $\mathbb{P}(\omega)$ denote the projective space of the module $L(\omega)$. Let $f_{1} \in \mathbb{P}(\omega)$ be the point corresponding to the line $L(\omega) \omega$ in $L(\omega)$ and $X(\omega) \subset \mathbb{P}(\omega)$ the G-Orbit of $f_{1}$ under the natural action of $G$ on $\mathbb{P}(\omega)$.

Lemma 2: Let $\omega \in H_{+}^{*}$ and $S^{\prime}=\left\{s_{\alpha} \in S \mid \omega\left(h_{\alpha}\right)=0\right\}$. Then the map

$$
\varepsilon: G \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\omega), \varepsilon(g)=g \cdot f_{1},
$$

induces a bijection $G / P_{S}, \mp X(\omega)$.
PROOF: By Lemma 1, the stabilizer in $N$ of the point $f_{1}$ consists of the preimage of $W_{S}$, under the projection $N \rightarrow W$. On the other hand $f_{1}$ is also stabilized by $B$. since the subgroups of $G$ containing $B$ are exactly of the form $P_{S^{\prime \prime}}=\left\langle B, \dot{W}_{S^{\prime \prime}}\right\rangle, S^{n} \subset S$, we see that the stabilizer of $f_{1}$ is the group $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{S}}$. .

Let $\Delta^{\prime}=\left\{\alpha \in \Delta \mid s_{\alpha} \in S^{\prime}\right\}$ and let $\mathbf{U}_{\left(S^{\prime}\right)}^{-R}$ denote the subgroup of $G$ generated by the one-parameter subgroups $U_{Y}$ where $\gamma \in \sum^{-R} \backslash \mathbb{Z} \cdot \Delta^{\prime}$.

LEMMA 3: We have $U_{\left(S^{\prime}\right)}^{-, R} \cap P_{S^{\prime}}=\{1\}$.
PROOF: For $\lambda \in B_{+}^{*}$ and $n \in N$ let $L(\lambda)_{n}$ denote the direct sum of the weight spaces $L(\lambda){ }_{\mu}$ with $d_{S}(\mu)=n$ (cf. 1.11). Then for all $g \in P_{S}$, we have

$$
g\left(L(\lambda)_{n}\right) \subset \bigoplus_{m \leq n} L(\lambda)_{m}
$$

whereas for all $u \in U_{\left(S^{-}\right)}^{(R)}$ we have

$$
(x a-g)\left(L_{( }(\lambda)_{n}\right) \subset \bigoplus_{m>n} L(\lambda)_{m}
$$

Applying this to a direct sum $V$ of modules $L(\lambda)$ such that $G$ acts faithfully on $v$ we get our assertion.

CORONLARY: The restriction of $\varepsilon$ to $0_{\left(S^{\prime}\right)}^{-R}$ induces an injection into $\mathbf{x}(\omega)$.

REMARK: The group $U_{(S ')}^{-, R}$ need not be normalized by $L_{S}$. . This is true only for the larger group $\tilde{U}_{\left(S^{\prime}\right)}^{-}$generated by all $L_{S^{\prime}}$-conjugates of $U_{(S)}^{-(R}$ Then $U^{-}\left(S^{\prime}\right)$ is also normalized by $0^{-}:=0_{(\phi)}^{-, R}$. Since $\mathbf{L}_{S^{\prime}}$ preserves the $L(\lambda)_{n}$, the proof of Lemma 3 still shows $U_{\left(S^{\prime}\right)}^{-} \cap p_{S^{\prime}}=\{1\}$.

We now deal with an "infinitesimal" analogue of the corollary above. Let $v_{1}$ be a non-zero element in $f_{1}=\mathrm{L}(\omega)_{\omega}$ and let

$$
d \varepsilon: g \rightarrow L(\omega) / \mathbb{L} v_{1}
$$

be defined by $d e(x)=x \cdot v_{1} \bmod v_{1}$. We put

LEMMA 4: The restriction of de to $\underline{u}^{-, R}\left(S^{\prime}\right)$ is injective.
PROOF: Since the infinitesimal stabilizer $\underline{p}=\left\{x \in g \mid x \cdot v_{1} \subset \mathbb{C} v_{1}\right\}$ of the line $\mathbb{C} v_{1}$ is normalized by $T$ (and $\underline{h}=$ Lie $T$ ) we have a decomposition

$$
\underline{p}=Q_{\alpha \in\{u(0)} \quad \underline{g}_{\alpha} \cap \underline{p}
$$

Thus the lemma follows if we can show that $\underline{g}_{\gamma} \cap \underline{p}=\{0\}$ for all $\gamma \in \sum^{-, R} \backslash \mathbb{Z} \cdot \Delta^{\prime}$. However, for all $x \in \mathcal{G}_{\gamma} \backslash\{0\}$ we have $\exp (x) \in U_{\gamma} \backslash\{1\}$. By Lemma 3 we know $U_{\gamma} \cap P_{S^{\prime}}=\{1\}$. Thus for all $\left.x \in g_{\gamma} \backslash 0\right\}, x \notin p$ (one may argue in a finite-dimensional $U_{\gamma}$-stable subspace of $L(\omega)$ containing $v_{1}$ !).
2. 2 SCHUBERT VARIETIES. Let us fix a dominant weight $\omega \in H_{+}^{*}$ and the corresponding parabolic subgroup $P=P_{S}$, of $G$, where $S^{\prime}=\left\{s_{\alpha} E S \mid \omega\left(h_{\alpha}\right)=0\right\}$. By restriction, the analytical (resp. Zariski) limit topology on $\operatorname{IP}(\omega)$ (cf. 1.12) induces a topology on $X(\omega)$ which we simply call the analytical (cesp. Zariski) topology on $X(\omega)$. With respect to both topologies $G$ acts as a group of homeomorphism of $X(\omega)$. In particular, $X(\omega)$ is homogeneous as a topological space.

Let $\pi=N+W$ be the natural projection. since $n(L(\omega) \omega=L(\omega) \pi(n)$ for all $n \in N$, and $\operatorname{dim} L(\omega)_{\omega}=1$ the point $n \cdot f_{1} \in X(\omega) \subset \mathbb{P}(\omega)$ depends only on $\pi(n)$. We therefore define for all $w \in W$

$$
f_{W}:=n \cdot E_{1} \quad \text { if } \quad \pi(n)=w \quad .
$$

We denote by $X(\omega)_{w}$ the B-orbit of $f_{w}$ and by $\bar{X}(w)_{w}$ its closure in the Zariski limit topology on $\mathbb{P}(\omega)$. By 1.11, Lema 2 we can find a finitedimensional $B$-invariant subspace $\mathbb{P}^{\prime} \subset \mathbb{P}(\omega)$ on which $B$ acts regularly. Then $\bar{X}(\omega)_{w}$ equals the Zariski closure of $X(\omega)_{w}$ in $P^{\prime}$. As the orbit of an algebraic quotient of $B$ acting on $P^{*}, X(\omega)_{W}$ is zariski open in its closure $\bar{X}(\omega)_{w}$ (cf. [2] I, 1.8). By [19] VII, §2, Lemma 1 , it follows that $\bar{X}(\omega){ }_{w}$ coincides with the closure of $X(\omega)_{w}$ in the analytical topology on $\mathbb{P}^{\prime}$. Hence $\bar{X}(\omega)_{w}$ also agrees with the closure of $X(\omega)_{w}$ in the analytical limit topology on $\mathbb{P}(\omega)$.

Since the point $E_{1} \in \mathbb{P}(\omega)$ is stabilized by $P$, and since $f_{w}=W_{1}$ for any $\dot{w} \in W$ such that $\pi(\dot{w})=w$, we have

$$
B \cdot \mathbf{f}_{W}=B \dot{W} f_{1}=U_{W} \dot{W} f_{1}=U_{W} \cdot E_{W}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{w}} \longrightarrow X(w)_{w} \\
& \mathbf{u} \longmapsto \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{w}}
\end{aligned}
$$

is bijective if and only if $w$ is of minimal length in its $W_{S}$, $\operatorname{coset} w \cdot W_{S}$, In this case, this map is in fact an isomorphism of algebraic varieties since it is a bijective morphism of an algebraic group $U_{w}$ onto an orbit $X(\omega){ }_{w}$ and since we are in a characteristic zero situation. We call $\bar{X}(\omega)$ w Schubert variety and $X(\omega)_{w}$ its open cell.

By the parabolic Bruhat decomposition (cf. 1.10) we have

$$
x(\omega)=\bigcup_{w \in W^{S^{\prime}}} x(\omega)_{w}
$$

Since we do not know yet whether $X(\omega)$ is closed in $\mathbb{P}(\omega)$, we cannot decide whether the Schubert varieties are contained in $X(\omega)$. In 2.4 we will first prove this last fact and then derive the closedness of $X(\omega)$ in $P(\omega)$.
2.3 BOTM-SAMELSON-DEMAZURE-HANSEN VARTEMTES. Let ( $s_{1}, \ldots, s_{k}$ ) be a sequence of elements $s_{i} \in S \subset W$, let $\alpha_{i} \in \Delta$ be the root corresponding to $s_{i}$ and $P_{i}=P_{\left\{s_{i}\right\}}=C\left(s_{i}\right) \cup B^{\text {the }}$ tank-1 parabolic subgroup of $G$ generated by $B$ and a representative $\dot{s}_{1}$ of $s_{i}$. We denote by $\bar{z}\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{k}\right)$ the iterated associated bundle

$$
P_{1} x^{B}\left(P_{2} x^{B}\left(\ldots x^{B}\left(P_{k} / B\right)\right) \ldots\right)
$$

which may also be considered as the quotient of $\mathbf{p}_{1} \times \mathbf{p}_{2} \times \ldots \times \mathbf{p}_{k}$ by the right $\mathrm{B}^{\mathrm{k}}$-action

$$
\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{k}\right) \cdot\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{k}\right)=\left(p_{1} b_{1}, b_{1}^{-1} p_{2} b_{2}, \ldots, b_{k-1}^{-1} p_{k} b_{k}\right)
$$

We denote the projection of $p_{1} \times \ldots \times p_{k}$ onto $\bar{Z}\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{k}\right)$ by $q\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{k}\right)$ or simply by $q$ if there is no danger of confusion.

According to 1.9., Lemma, $\overline{\mathrm{Z}}\left(\mathrm{s}_{1}, \ldots, s_{k}\right)$ is a smooth complete algebrair: variety of dimension $k$. In fact, it is an iteration of $k p^{1}$-bundles with section (starting over a point base):

The homogeneous space $P_{i} / B$ is a projective line $P_{i}^{1}$ which decomposes under the left B-action into two orbits

$$
P_{i}^{1}=u_{i}(0) \cup\left\{\omega_{i}\right\}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
U_{i}(0) & =B \dot{s}_{i} B / B=U_{\alpha_{i}} \dot{s}_{i} B / B \cong \mathbb{C} \\
\infty_{i} & =B / B \in P_{i} / B
\end{aligned}
$$

From the above we get a decomposition of $\bar{Z}\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{k}\right)$. into affine spaces. Let $J$ denote a subsequence $\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{j}\right)$ of $(1, \ldots, k)$. We put

$$
z_{J}=q\left(c_{1} \times c_{2} \times \ldots \times c_{k}\right)=q\left(\tilde{u}_{1} \times \ldots \times \tilde{u}_{k}\right)
$$

where

$$
c_{i}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
c\left(s_{i}\right) \\
B & \text { and } \\
\tilde{U}_{i}
\end{array}=\left\{\begin{array} { l } 
{ U _ { \alpha _ { i } } \dot { s } _ { i } } \\
{ e }
\end{array} \quad \text { if } \quad \left\{\begin{array}{l}
i \in J \\
i \notin J
\end{array}\right.\right.\right.
$$

Then

$$
z_{J}=U_{1} \times \ldots \times u_{k}
$$

where

$$
u_{i}=\left\{\begin{array} { l } 
{ U _ { i } ( 0 ) } \\
{ \infty _ { i } }
\end{array} \quad \text { if } \quad \left\{\begin{array}{l}
i \in J \\
i \notin J
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

and $q$ induces an isomorphism

$$
\tilde{\mathrm{U}}_{1} \times \ldots \times \tilde{\mathrm{u}}_{\mathrm{k}} \neq \mathrm{U}_{1} \times \ldots \times \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{k}}
$$

In particular, $Z_{J}$ is a locally closed algebraic submanifold of $\bar{Z}\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{k}\right)$ isomorphic to the affine space $A^{j}, j=\operatorname{card}(J)$.

The Zariski closure $\bar{Z}_{J}$ of $Z_{J}$ in $\bar{Z}\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{k}\right)$ is the image of $G_{1} \times \ldots \times G_{k}$ under $q$, where

$$
G_{i}=\left\{\begin{array} { l } 
{ \overline { C } ( s _ { i } ) = C ( s _ { i } ) \cup B } \\
{ B }
\end{array} \quad \text { if } \quad \left\{\begin{array}{l}
i \notin J \\
i \notin J
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

He note that $\bar{z}_{j}$ is isomorphic to the iterated associated bundle

$$
G_{1} \times{ }^{B} G_{2} \times \ldots \times{ }^{B_{G_{k}} / B}
$$

which itself is isomorphic to $\bar{Z}\left(s_{i_{1}}, \ldots, s_{i_{j}}\right)$.
We call $\bar{z}\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{k}\right)$ the Bott-Samelson-Demazure-Hansen variety associated to the sequence $\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{k}\right)$. They were first introduced in a differential geometric and topological cortext by Bott and Samelson ([4]). Demazure and Hansen adapted the construction to the algebraic geometric situation to use it for the desingularization of schubert varieties of finite-dimensional algeoxaic groups $G$ as well as for the determination of the Chow ring of the corresponding homogeneous space $G / B$ (cf. [6], [12]). In the present situation, the
varieties $\bar{z}\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{k}\right)$ were first considered by Tits ([25]) using a slightly different formulation (his formulation, in terms of galleries, is however intimately related to the original construction of Bott and Samelson in terms of piecewise geodesic paths, cf. [4], 1, 5).
2.4 A desingularisation of schubert varieties. We fix a dominant weight $\omega \in \mathrm{H}_{+}^{*}$ and $S^{\prime}=\left\{s_{\alpha} \in S \mid \omega\left(h_{\alpha}\right)=0\right\}$. Let $w \in W^{\prime}$ be an element of minimal length in its $W_{S}$, coset, $w=s_{1} \cdot \ldots \cdot s_{k}$ a reduced decomposition of $w$, and $\bar{z}=\bar{z}\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{k}\right)$ the Bott-Samelson-Demazure-Hansen variety associated to the sequence $\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{k}\right)$. Let

$$
m=P_{1} \times \ldots \times P_{k} \rightarrow G
$$

denote the multiplication map, $m\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{k}\right)=p_{1} \cdot \ldots \cdot p_{k}$. Then the composition of $m$ with $\varepsilon: G+X(\omega) \subset \mathbb{P}(\omega)$ obviously factors over the quotient $\operatorname{map} q:$


LEMMA: The image of $\varepsilon \cdot m$ is contained in a finite-dimensional subspace $\mathbb{P}^{\prime}$ of $\mathbb{P}(\omega)$, and the map $\varepsilon \cdot m: P_{1} \times \ldots \times P_{k} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{\prime}$ factors over an algebraic morphism $\mu: P^{\prime}+\mathbb{P}^{\prime}$ of an algebraic quotient $P^{\prime}$ of $P_{1} \times \ldots \times P_{k}$.

PROOF: Using 1.11, Lemma 2, we see inductively that there is a sequence

$$
\left\{f_{1}\right\}=\mathbb{P}_{k+1} \subset \mathbb{P}_{k} \subset \cdots \subset \mathbb{P}_{2} \subset \mathbb{P}_{1}=\mathbb{P}^{\prime}
$$

of finite-dimensional linear subspaces $\mathbb{P}_{i} \subset \mathbb{P}(\omega)$ such that $P_{i}$ is $P_{i}$-stable and the action of $P_{i}$ on $\mathbb{P}_{i}$ is regular in the sinse of 1.9. Our claim follows from that.

THEOREM (compare [25] 8.1, 8.2):
(i) The map $\delta: \bar{z} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\omega)$ induces a bixational morphism of $\bar{z}$ onto the Schubert variety $\bar{X}(\omega) \not \subset P(\omega)$.
(ii) The Schubert variety $\bar{X}(\omega)_{w}$ decomposes as a diajoint union

$$
\bar{x}(\omega)_{w}=\bigcup_{\substack{w^{\prime} \leq w \\ w^{\prime} \leq W^{\prime}}} x(\omega)_{w^{\prime}} .
$$

In particulax, $\bar{X}(\omega)_{w}$ is contained-in $X(\omega)$.

PROOF: BY Lemma 1, the definition of the algebraic structure on $\bar{Z}$ (cf. 2.3, 1.9), and the definition of proalgebraic group (cf. [20] 5.2), we obtain that $\varepsilon$ and $q$ factor over a common algebraic quotient $p$ of $\mathrm{P}_{1} \times \ldots \times \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{k}}$

where $q^{\prime}: P^{\prime} \rightarrow \bar{Z}$ is the quotient of $P^{\prime}$ by the algebraic action of $a$ suitable algebraic quotient of $B^{k}$. Thus $\delta$ is also a morphism of algebraic varieties. Since $\bar{z}$ is complete and irreducible its image $\delta(\bar{Z})$ under $\delta$ is an irreducible and closed subvariety of $\mathbb{P}^{\prime}$. Since $\delta(\bar{Z})=\varepsilon \circ m\left(P_{1} \times \ldots \times P_{k}\right)$ and $m\left(P_{1} \times \ldots \times p_{k}\right)=\bar{C}\left(s_{1}\right) \ldots \ldots \bar{C}\left(s_{k}\right)=\bar{C}(w) \quad$ (cf. 1.8, Pxoposition) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta(\bar{z})= & \varepsilon(\bar{C}(w))=\underbrace{\prime} \quad x(\omega) w^{\prime} \\
& w^{\prime} \leq W^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $\bar{X}(\omega)_{w} \subset \delta(\bar{z}) \subset x(\omega)$. On the other hand, by dimensionad reasons, $x(\omega)_{w}$ is open in $\delta(\bar{z})$. Because of the irreducibility of $\delta(\bar{z})$ we thus get $\bar{X}(\omega)_{w}=\delta(\bar{Z})$. It remains to be shown that $\delta ; \bar{Z}+\bar{X}(\omega)_{w}$ is birational. For that we observe that $m$ induces an isomorphism $\left(U_{\alpha_{1}} \dot{s}_{1}\right) \times \ldots \times\left(U_{\alpha_{k}} \dot{s}_{k}\right) \neq U_{w} \dot{w}$, (where $\dot{\mathbf{w}}=\dot{\mathbf{s}}_{1} \ldots \dot{\mathbf{s}}_{\mathbf{k}}$, cf. also 1.10 , Lemma 2), $\varepsilon$ induces an isomorphism $U_{w} \dot{w} \mp X(\omega)_{w}$, and $q$ induces an isomorphism from $\left(U_{\alpha_{1}} \dot{s}_{1}\right) \times \ldots \times\left(U_{\alpha_{k}} \dot{s}_{k}\right)$ onto the open subset $Z(1, \ldots, k)$ of $\bar{z}$ (in the notations of 2.3). Thus $\delta$ induces an isomorphism of $Z(1, \ldots, k)$ onto $X(\omega)_{w}$. Since $z(1, \ldots, k)$ is dense in $\bar{Z}$ this proves the birationality of $\delta$.

REMARKS: 1) The open subset $Z_{(1, \ldots, k)}$ of $\bar{Z}$ is in fact the precise preimage of $X(\omega)_{W}$ under $\delta$. This follows from the fact that $\epsilon$ om maps the complement of $q^{-1}\left(Z_{(1, \ldots, k)}\right)=C\left(s_{1}\right) \times \ldots \times c\left(s_{j}\right)$ onto the complement of $X(\omega)_{w}$ in $\bar{X}(\omega)_{w}$.
2) Part (1) of the theorem generalizes a result of Demazure and Harsen for finite-dimensional algebraic groups ([6], [12]). part (ii) in that case is due to Chevalley (unpublished, ~ 1958). Proofs may be found in [22] Th. 23,
[3] Th. 3.13. [1] Th. 2.11. The generalization to the present situation was
first made by Tits following a suggestion of Deligne ( [24], [25]). Apart from a difference on the technical level oux proof follows the ideas in [25]. Part (ii) was also proved by Peterson and Kac in case the underlying Cartan matrix is symmetrizable ([18]).

Let us add the following consequence which we pointed out already in 2.2:

COROLIARY: $X(\omega)$ is a closed subspace of $P(\omega)$ with respect to the zariski and analytical limit topology.

PROOF: We have to show that the intersection of $X(\omega)$ with an arbitrary finite-dimensional linear subspace $\mathbb{P}{ }^{\prime} \subset \mathbb{P}(\omega)$ is Zariski closed in $\mathbb{P}^{\prime}$. By 1.11, Lemma 1, we may assume without loss of genexality that $\mathbb{P}^{\prime}$ is B-stable. Then $X(\omega) \cap \mathbb{P}{ }^{\prime}$ decomposes as a finite union of B-orbits $X(\omega)_{W}$, $W \in W\left(P P^{\prime}\right)$ (the cardinality of $W(\mathbb{P})$ is limited by the number of $w \in W$ with $\left.\ell(w) \leq \operatorname{dim} \mathbb{P}^{\prime}\right)$. Obviously, their closures $\bar{X}(\omega), w$ are contained in $\mathbb{P}^{\prime}$. By the theorem, these $\overline{\mathrm{X}}(\omega)_{\mathrm{w}}$ are contained in $\mathrm{X}(\omega)$,ell. Therefore

$$
X(\omega) \cap \mathbb{P}^{\prime} \subset \bigcup_{w \in W\left(P P^{\prime}\right)} \bar{x}(\omega)_{w} \subset x(\omega) \cap P^{\prime}
$$

which shows what we claimed.

REMARK: In case the underlying Cartan matrix is symmetrizable this result also follows from the fact that $X(\omega)$ can be described in $\mathbb{P}(\omega)$ by means of "strongly regular" equations in the sense of [14] 3A (cf. [18]). Conversely, the corollary is equivalent only to the weaker statement that $X(\omega)$ can be defined by regular equations in the sense of loc. cit. and of. 1.12.
2.5 INDEPENDENCE OF THE TOPOLOGX. Let us call two dominant weights $\omega, \lambda \in \mathrm{E}_{+}^{*}$ parabolically equivalent if for all $h \in \nabla: \omega(h)=0 \Leftrightarrow \lambda(h)=0$. In this section we want to show that the topology on $X(\omega)$ and the schubert varieties $\overline{\mathrm{X}}(\omega)_{W}$ depends only on the equivalence class of $\omega$. All subsequent statements concern the analytical as well as the zariski topology.

Note that for all $w^{\prime} \leq w$ we have natural embeddings $\bar{x}(\omega)_{w} \longrightarrow \bar{x}(\omega)_{w}$ (2.4, Theorem).

LEMMA: $X(\omega)$ is homeomorphic to the direct limit. $\underset{w \in W}{\lim } \bar{X}(\omega)_{w}$.
PROOF: We have to prove that the natural continuous bijection
$\xrightarrow{\lim \bar{X}(\omega)}{ }_{w}+X(\omega)$ is closed. For that, let $A \subset X(\omega)$ be a subset such that for all $w \in W$ the intersection $A \cap \bar{x}(\omega)_{w}$ is closed. We have to show that $A \cap P^{\prime}$ is closed in $\mathbb{P P}^{\prime}$ for any $E$ inite-dimensional subspace $P^{\prime} \subset \mathbb{P}(\omega)$. Without loss of generality we may assume $7 p$ to be 8-ctable (1.11, Leam 2).

Then the intersection $X(\omega) \cap P^{\prime}$ is a finite union of schubert varieties $\bar{X}(\omega)_{w}, w \in W\left(\mathbb{P}^{\prime}\right) \subset W, \operatorname{card}\left(W\left(\mathbb{P}^{\prime}\right)\right)<\infty$. Since $A \cap \bar{X}(\omega)_{w}$ is closed in $\bar{X}(\omega)_{w}$ and hence in $\mathbb{P}^{\prime}$, the finite union $A \cap P^{\prime}=\bigcup_{W \in W\left(P^{\prime}\right)} A \cap \bar{X}(\omega)_{w}$ is closed in $\mathbb{P}^{\prime}$.

PROPOSITION: Let $\omega, \lambda \in H_{+}^{*}$ be parabolically equivalent. Then there is a G-equivariant homeomorphism $X(\omega)+X(\lambda)$. In particular, for any $w \in W$ there is a B-equivariant homeomorphism $\bar{x}(\omega)_{w} \rightarrow \bar{X}_{w}(\lambda)$.

PROOF: We will first deal with the case that the Cartan matrix of $G$ is symmetrizable (1). Then we will explain the necessary modifications needed in the non-symmetrizable case (2).

1) By 1.12 Proposition (cf. also the remarks appended for the case of the Zariski topology) we obtain a G-equivariant embedding $I P(8)$ of $X(\omega) \times X(\lambda) \subset \mathbb{P}(\omega) \times \mathbb{P}(\lambda)$ onto a closed subset of $\mathbb{P}(L(\omega) \otimes L(\lambda))$. On the other hand, the module $L(\omega+\lambda)$ embeds into the tensor product $L(\omega) \otimes L(\lambda)$, a highest weight vector $v(\omega+\lambda)$ of $L(\omega+\lambda)$ being mapped to the product $v(\omega) \in v(\lambda)$ of highest weight vectors $v(\omega) \in L(\omega), v(\lambda) \in L(\lambda)$ (cf. [13] $\S 10.8)$. Thus we get a G-equivariant embedaing $1: X(\omega+\lambda)+\mathbb{P}(\omega+\lambda)+I P(L(\omega) \otimes L(\lambda))$. Since the image of 1 is contained in the image of $I P(\theta)$ we may now consider $X(\omega+\lambda)$ as a $G-s t a b l e ~ c l o s e d ~ s u b s e t$ of $X(\omega) \times X(\lambda)$ giving rise to two G-equivariant continuous projections

$$
\mathrm{X}(\omega) \stackrel{\mathrm{px}}{\mathrm{P}_{1}} \mathrm{X}(\omega+\lambda) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{pr}_{2}} \mathrm{X}(\lambda)
$$

Since $\omega, \omega+\lambda, \lambda$ are parabolically equivalent, $p x_{1}$ and $p r_{2}$ are bijective. When restricted to the compact (resp. complete) Schubert varieties, these projections become closed. Hence we get homeomorphisms

$$
\bar{X}(\omega)_{W} \longleftrightarrow-\bar{x}(\omega+\lambda)_{W} \longrightarrow \bar{x}(\lambda)_{W}
$$

for all $w \in W$ which are compatible with the natural inclusions existing for $w^{\prime} \leq w$. Using the lemma we see that $p r_{1}$ and $p r_{2}$ are homeomorphisms, too.
2) In case of a non-symmetrizable cartan matrix one does not know whether the integrable highest weight submodule $L^{\prime}(\omega+\lambda) C L(\omega)=L(\lambda)$ generated by $v(\omega) \quad v(\lambda)$ is irroducible, i.e. isomorphic to $L(\omega+\lambda)$. However, redoing the theory of sections 2.1 to 2.4 for $L^{\prime}(\omega+\lambda)$ is no problem. We thus get an embedding of $G / P \quad\left(P=p_{S}, S^{\prime}=\left\{s_{\alpha} \in S \mid(\omega+\lambda)\left(h_{\alpha}\right)=0\right\}\right.$ ) onto a closed subset $X^{\prime}\left(\omega+\lambda ; \subset \mathbb{P}\left(L^{\prime}(\omega+\lambda)\right)\right.$ with Schubert subvarieties $\bar{X}^{\prime}(\omega+\lambda) w$. In the proof above we only have to replace $x(\omega+\lambda)$ by $X^{\prime}(\omega+\lambda)$ and $\bar{x}(\omega+\lambda)_{w}$ by $\bar{X}^{\prime \prime}(\omega+\lambda)_{w}$ to end up with the same result.

REMARKS: 1) As a result of the arguments in part 2) of the proof above one gets that $X(\omega)$ is $G$-homeomorphic to $X^{\prime}(\omega)$ for any integrable highest weight module $L^{\prime}(\omega)$. This can also be seen by directly investigating the natural map $L^{\prime}(\omega)+L(\omega)$.
2) In the case of a symmetrizable Cartan matrix, Tits has announced that the algebraic-geometric structure of the Schubert varieties $\bar{X}(w)_{w}$ depends only on the equivalence class of $\omega$ (cf. [25] 8). In case $\bar{X}(\omega)_{w}$ and $\bar{X}(\lambda)_{w}$ are normal (e.g. smooth) varieties this can also be deduced from our proof. However, normality of the Schubert varieties is still an open problem in the context of Kac-Moody groups. For non-singulaxity in codimension one, cf. 2.6 .

Since the topology on $X(u) \geqslant G / P, P=P_{S}$, does not depend on the weight $\omega$ inside the equivalence class determined by $P$, we have equipped G/p with a well defined topology (analytical or Zariski). We call this topological space the flag manifold of $G$ of type $P$ (or of type $s^{\prime}$, or of type $\Delta^{\prime}$ ). If $p \subset Q$ are parabolic subgroups of $G$, then the proof above shows that the natural map $G / P+G / Q$ is continuous.
2.6. ON THE SINGULAR LOCUS OF SCHUBERT VARIETIES. In this section we fix $\omega \in H_{+}^{*}, \Delta^{\prime}=\left\{\alpha \in \Delta \mid \omega\left(h_{\alpha}\right)=0\right\}, S^{\prime}=\left\{s_{\alpha} \in S \mid \alpha \in \Delta^{\prime}\right\}$, and we simply write $X$ for the flag manifold $X(\omega) \subset$ $P(\omega)$ of type $A^{\prime}$, similarly we write $X_{W}$ resp. $\bar{X}_{w}$ for $X(\omega)_{w}$ resp. $\bar{X}^{(\omega)_{w}}$. Our main abjective will be to show that the singular locus of any schubert variety $\vec{x}_{w}, w \in w^{\prime}$, has codimension $\geq 2$ in $\bar{X}_{w}$. Since $\bar{X}_{w}$ can be embedded in a finite-dimensional B-stable subspace $\mathbb{P}^{\prime} \subset \mathbb{P}(\omega)$ on which $B$ acts regularly (cf. 1.11) we see that the singular locus of $\bar{X}_{w}$ consists of a union of B-orbits, 1.e. of $X_{v}$ for some $v \in W^{\prime}$ such that $v<w$. Thus we will show

THEOREM: For all $v, w \in W^{s^{i}}$ with $v \rightarrow w$ for some real positive root $Y$, the points of $X_{v}$ are nonsingular points of $\bar{X}_{w}$.

We will achieve the proof of this result by a series of auxiliary results. The main idea is the same as the one in [1] Proposition 4.3, where the same result is proved for the flag manifolds $G / B$ in the finite-dimensional case. However, by the generaiization to arbitrary pazabolic $p$ and by using neither a topological nor an algebraic-geometric structure on the group $G$ we have to deal with some extra technical difiticulties.

From now on, we shall also $f i x \quad v, w \in W^{s}$ such that $v-\gamma+w$ for some real positive root $\gamma \in \sum^{+, R}$. Then we have

$$
w=s_{\gamma} v \quad \text { and } \quad \gamma \in \sum(w) \quad \text { i.e. } w^{-1}(\gamma)<L^{-}
$$

LEMMA 1: For all $x \in \mathbb{W}^{S^{\prime}}$ we have

$$
x^{-1}\left(\sum(x)\right) \subset \sum^{-R} \backslash z \cdot \Delta
$$

or, equivalently

$$
x^{-1} U_{x} x \subset U_{\left(S^{\prime}\right)}^{-, R}
$$

PROOF: This follows for example from the uniqueness of the refined Bruhat decomposition (1.10 Proposition) since for $\beta \in \sum(x)$ with $x^{-1}(\beta) \in \mathbb{Z} \cdot \Delta$ ' we would get $U_{B} \dot{x} \subset \dot{x} P_{S}$,

Let now $\dot{\mathbf{v}} \in N$ be a representative of $v$. Consider the map

$$
\kappa: u_{v} \times U_{-\gamma} \rightarrow G \quad k\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)=\dot{v}^{-1} u_{1} u_{2} \dot{v}
$$

LEMMA 2: The map $K$ is injective and its image is contained in $U^{-}\left(S^{*}\right)$.
PROOF: Since $U \cap U_{-\gamma}=\{1\}$ (cf. 2.1, Lemma 3) and $U_{V} \subset U$ the product $\operatorname{map}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) \longmapsto u_{1} u_{2}$ injects $u_{v} \times u_{-\gamma}$ into $G$. Leman 1 , applied to $x=v$, gives $\dot{v}^{-1} U_{\dot{v}} \dot{\mathbf{v}} \subset U_{\left(S^{\prime}\right)}^{-R}$. The similar statemont for $U_{-\gamma}$ follows from Lemma 1 , applied to $x=w$ :

$$
v^{-1}(-\gamma)=w^{-1}(\gamma) \in w^{-1}\left(\sum(w)\right) \subset \sum^{-, R} \backslash \mathbb{Z} \cdot \Delta^{\prime}
$$

In the following we let $\mathbb{P}^{\prime} \subset \mathbb{P}(\omega)$ denote the finite-dimensional subspace which corresponds to the linear subspace

$$
L(\omega) \leq d(w(\omega))=\bigoplus_{d(\mu) \leq d(w(\omega))} L(\omega) \underset{\mu}{ }
$$

of $L(\omega)$. Here $d(\mu)$ denotes the depth of $\mu$, i. e.

$$
d(\mu)=\sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} c_{\alpha} \text { for } \mu=\omega-\sum_{\alpha \in \Delta}^{\infty} c_{\alpha}^{\alpha}
$$

Let $G_{Y}$ denote the runk-1-semisimple subgroup of $G$ generated by the oneparameter groups $U_{\gamma}$ and $U_{-\gamma}$. We denote $T \cap G_{\gamma}$ by $T_{\gamma}$ and we put $B_{ \pm \gamma}:=T_{\gamma} \times U_{ \pm \gamma}$. Let $\dot{s}_{\gamma} \in N_{G_{\gamma}}\left(T_{\gamma}\right)$ be a representative of $s_{\gamma}$ and let IP $\subset X$ denote the $G_{\gamma}$-orbit of $f_{W}$. Since $f_{V}=\dot{s}_{\gamma} f_{w}$, we have $f_{V} \in \mathbb{P}$. Note that $f_{w} \pm f_{v}$ (by 2.1 Lemma 2 and $v, w \in W^{S}$ ).

LEMMA 3: Let $d=v(\omega)\left(h_{\gamma}\right)$.
(i) The line $f_{w}$ (resp. $f_{v}$, is of lowest weight -d (resp, of highest weight $d$ ) in the smallest $G_{Y}$-submodule of $L(w)$ containing $f_{w}$ (or, equivalently, $f_{v}$ ).
(ii) d>0.
(iii) $\mathbb{P}$ is contained in $\mathbb{P}^{\prime}$.
(iv) $P$ is isomorphic to the projective line $\mathbb{P}^{1}$,
(v) IP is embedded in $P^{\prime}$ (and thus in $P(w)$ ) as a subvariety of degree $d$ (i.e. any hyperplane of $P^{\prime}$ (or $P(\omega)$ ) not containing $P$ cuts IP in $d$ points, counted with multiplicity).

PROOF: Since $w^{-1}(\gamma) \in\left[^{-}\right.$and since $\omega$ is the highest weight in $L(\omega)$ we get that $w(\omega)-Y$ is not a weight of $L(\omega)$. Thus $f_{w}=L(\omega)_{w}$ is a lowest weight space for $\left(G_{\gamma}, B_{\gamma}\right)$ of weight $w(\omega)\left(h_{\gamma}\right)=-v(\omega)\left(h_{\gamma}\right)$. Since $f_{v}=\dot{s}_{\gamma} f_{W}$, the line $f_{V}$ is a highest weight space in the (irreducible) $G_{\gamma}$-module of $L(w)$ generated by $f_{w}$ and $G_{\gamma}$. Thus (i). Assertion (ii) follows from the fact that $f_{w} \times f_{v}$. Statement (iii) follows from (i). For (iv) we note that $f_{W}$ is fixed by $T$ and by $U_{-\gamma}$, since $w^{-1}(-\gamma) \in \sum^{+}$. Since $\operatorname{dim} I P>0$ we get $G_{\gamma} / B_{-\gamma} m P, G \mapsto f_{w}$, and $G_{\gamma} / B_{-\gamma} \cong P^{1}$. Finally, (v) follows from a classical result about the embedding of ${ }^{-} G_{\gamma} / B_{\gamma}=P^{1}$ into $P(V)$, where $V$ is the ( $d+1$ )-dimensional irreducible module of $G_{\gamma}$ (cf. [i] Lema 2.10 and proof of Proposition 4.4, for example).

Since the finite-dimensional subspace $P^{\prime} \subset P(a)$ is stable under the action of $U$ (by construction) and since $P$ is contained in $P$, the image of the map

$$
\xi: U_{v} \times I P+x, \quad \xi(u, z)=u z
$$

is contained in $\mathbb{P}^{*}$. Thus $\xi$ induces a $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{v}}$-equivariant morphism of algebraic varieties

$$
u_{v} \times P \rightarrow p \cdot n x
$$

which we shall also denote by $\xi$.

LEMMA 4: The following properties hold:
(i)
$\boldsymbol{\xi}\left(U_{\mathbf{v}} \times E_{\mathbf{v}}\right)=X_{v} \quad$ 。
$\boldsymbol{E}\left(U_{v} \times\left(\mathbb{P} \backslash\left(E_{v}\right)\right) \subset X_{w} \quad\right.$,
(iii) the restriction of $E$ to $U_{v} \times\left(\mathbb{P} \backslash f_{w}\right\}$ is injective,
(iv) there is a Zariski open neighborhood 9 of $f_{v}$ in $\left.P \backslash f_{w}\right\}$ such that
$\xi$ is of maximal rank $\ell(w)$ at all points of $U_{v} \times P$.
(v) $\quad \xi\left(U_{v} \times \rho\right)$ is open in $\bar{X}_{w}$.

PROOF: Assertion (i) follows immediately from the definitions. To see (ii) note that $\mathbb{P} \backslash\left\{f_{v}\right\}=U_{Y} F_{w}$ by the "translated" Bruhat decomposition $G_{\gamma}=\dot{s}_{\gamma}{ }^{B}-\gamma \cup U_{\gamma}{ }^{B}{ }_{-\gamma}$ of $G_{\gamma}$ with respect to ${ }^{B_{-\gamma}}$. Thus

$$
\xi\left(U_{v} \times\left(\mathbb{P} \backslash\left\{f_{v}\right\}\right)\right)=u_{v} U_{\gamma} f_{w} \subset U f_{w}=X_{w}
$$

For the remaining assertions we consider the composition

$$
n=\dot{v}^{-1} \circ \xi: U_{v} \times \mathbb{P} \rightarrow x
$$

Since $\dot{\mathrm{v}}^{-1}$ is linear, it is sufficient to prove statements (iii) and (iv) for $\eta$. From the Bruhat decomposition $G_{\gamma}=B_{-\gamma} \cup U_{-\gamma} \dot{S}_{\gamma}{ }^{B}-\gamma$ we see that $U_{-\gamma}$ bijects onto $\mathbb{P} \backslash\left\{f_{w}\right\}: u \mapsto f_{v}$. Thus
$\eta\left(U_{v} \times\left(\mathbb{P} \backslash\left\{f_{w}\right\}\right)\right)=\dot{v}^{-1}\left(U_{v} U_{-\gamma} f_{v}\right)=\left(\dot{v}^{-1} U_{U_{V}} U_{-\gamma} \dot{v}\right) f_{1}=\varepsilon \circ \kappa\left(U_{v} \times U_{-\gamma}\right)$
where $K: U_{V} \times U_{-\gamma}+G$ is as in Lemma 2 and where $\varepsilon: G+X$ is the orbit map $g+g f_{1}$. By Lemma 2 we know that $k$ is injective with image contained in $U_{\left(S^{\prime}\right)}^{-}$. By 2.1, Corollary, the restriction of $\varepsilon$ to this group is injective, too. Hence $\varepsilon \circ k$ and thus $\eta$ are injective.

To prove (iv) it suffices to show that the differential of $\varepsilon$ o $k$ at the neutral element (e,e) $\in U_{v} \times U_{-\gamma}$ is injective (semicontinuity of rank and $\mathrm{U}_{\mathbf{v}}$-equivariance). This follows from 2.1, Lemma 4 and tize following factorization of $d_{(e, e)}^{\varepsilon} \circ k$ :


We finally prove (v). By (ii) and (iv), the restriction of $\xi$ to $U_{v} \times\left(\rho \backslash\left\{f_{v}\right\}\right)$ is an etale morphism into $X_{w}$. Thus the image $\xi\left(U_{v} \times\left(\mathcal{S} \backslash\left\{f_{v}\right\}\right)\right)$ is Zariski open in $X_{w}$. We have to show that the complement $A=X_{W} \backslash \xi\left(U_{v} \times\left(\mathcal{B} \backslash\left(f_{v}\right)\right)\right.$ is closed in $X_{W} \cup X_{v}$. Note that $A$ is Zariski closed in $X_{w}$ and thus of dimension $<\operatorname{dim} X_{w}=\ell(w)$. Assume that $A$ is not closed in $X_{w} \cup X_{v}$. Then there is an irreducible component $A_{o}$ of $A$ such that the Zariski closure $\bar{A}_{0}$ of $A_{o}$ in $\bar{X}_{w}$ meets $X_{v}$. By the $U_{v}-s t a b i l i t y$ of $A$, and thus of $\bar{A}_{o}$, we get $X_{v} \subset \bar{A}_{o}$. Thus $\ell(v)=\operatorname{dim} X_{v} \leq \operatorname{dim} \bar{A}_{o} \leq \ell(v)$ and dim $\vec{A}_{0}=\ell(v)$. Since $\bar{A}_{0}$ is irreducible, $x_{v}$ is Zariski dense in $\bar{A}_{o}$, in particulax $\bar{X}_{v}=\bar{A}_{o}$. But this implies $A_{o} \cap X_{W} \subset \bar{A}_{o} \cap X_{W}=\emptyset$, a contradiction.

To prove our theorem, let us consider the germ $\left(\bar{x}_{w}, x\right)$ of $\bar{x}_{w}$ at a point $x \in X_{v}$ (in the analytical or etale topology) and decompose it into its ireducible components

$$
\left(\bar{x}_{w}, x\right)=\bigcup_{i=1}^{n}\left(v_{i}, x\right)
$$

From Lemma 4 (iv) we know that at least one component, say $V_{1}$, is smooth. To prove that $\left(\bar{X}_{w}, x\right)$ is smooth we have to show that $n=1$. This can be derived from the following lemma 5 whose proof will be given later.

By a neighborhood of $X_{v}$ in $X_{w}$ we will understand the intersection of $X_{w}$ with a neighborhood (in the analytical or etale topology) of $X_{v}$ in $\bar{x}_{w}$.

LEMMA 5: AnY $U_{v}$-stable neighborhood of $X_{v}$ in $X_{w}$ contains a connected such neighborhood.

Let us now deduce the irreducibility of $\left(\bar{x}_{w}, x\right)$. Because of the transifive $U_{v}$-action on $X_{v}$, the procedure of attaching to any $y \in X_{v}$ the set $C_{y}$ of irreducible components of $\left(\bar{X}_{w}, Y\right)$ defines a $U_{v}$-equivariant unramified n-fold covering $c+X_{v}$ of $X_{v}$. Since $X_{v}$ is amply connected, this covering is trivial. On the other hand, the smoothness of $X_{w}$ implies that different irreducible components $\left(v_{1}, x\right)$ of $\left(\bar{x}_{w}, x\right)$ intersect only along $X_{v}$. Thus $\left(\bar{X}_{w} \backslash X_{v}, x\right)$ decomposes into $n$ connected components $\left(v_{i} \backslash X_{v}, x\right), i=1, \ldots, n$. From the triviality of the covering $c+X_{\dot{v}}$ we now deduce that any sufficiently small $U_{v}$-stable neighborhood of $X_{v}$ in $X_{w}$ decomposes into $a$ connected components. Now Lemma 5 forces $n=1$ which had to be shown.

We now have to furnish a proof of Lemma 5. Let $L(\omega)$ * be the dual space of $L(\omega)$ on which $G$ acts by the contragredient representation. For any $x \in W^{S}$ we choose $\phi_{x} \in L(\omega) *$ with the properties

$$
\left.\phi_{x}\right|_{L(\omega)_{\mu}} \equiv 0 \text { if } \mu \neq x(\omega), \text { and }\left.\phi_{x}\right|_{L(\omega)} \quad x(\omega)
$$

Then $\phi_{x}$ is well determined up to a non-zero scalar, in particular $\phi_{x}$ and $\dot{x} \phi_{1}$ are proportional. Let $u^{-}$denote the subgroup of $G$ generated by all $u_{\alpha}, \alpha \in \Sigma^{-R}$.

LEMMA 6: For any $x \in W^{S^{\prime}}$ we have
$\phi_{x}$ is invariant under $U_{x}$,
the restriction of $\phi_{x}$ to $L(\omega) \leq d(x(\omega))$ is invariant under $U$.
PROOF: One easily checks that $\phi_{1}$ is invariant under $U^{-}$. Thus $\phi_{x}$ is invariant under $\dot{x} U^{-} \dot{x}^{-1}$. Now $x^{-1}\left([(x)) \subset \sum^{-}\right.$implies $u_{x} \subset \dot{x} \dot{U}^{-} \dot{x}^{-1}$, thus (i).

For the second assertion note that $U$ acts trivially on the quotient $L(\omega) \leq d(x(\omega))^{/ L(\omega)}<d(x(\omega))$.

Let us now consider the specific situation studied before.

LEMMA 7: The quotient $\phi_{W} / \phi_{V}$ defines a $U_{v}$-invariant meromorphic function $\phi: X_{w} \cup X_{v} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$. We have $\phi^{-1}(0)=X_{v}$. The restriction $\bar{\phi}: \mathbb{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$ of $\phi$ to IP is a map of degree $d\left(=v(\omega)\left(h_{\gamma}\right)\right)$.

PROOF: Note that $\phi_{W}$ (resp. $\phi_{v}$ ) vanishes nowhere on $X_{w}$ (resp. $X_{V}$ ). Moreover $\phi_{w}$ vanishes on $X_{v}$. Thus $\phi_{w} / \phi_{v}$ defines a meromorphic function $\phi: X_{w} \cup X_{v} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$ which vanishes exactly on $X_{v}$. The $U_{v}$-invariance of $\phi$ follows from Lemma 6, (i) applied to $\phi_{v}$, and (ii) applied to $\phi_{w}$. The map $\bar{\phi}$ has degree $d$ since the fiber $\bar{\phi}^{-1}(a)$ consists of the $d$ points (counted with multiplicity) in the intersection of $\mathbb{P}$ with the hyperplane $\phi_{w}-a \phi_{v}=0$ of $\mathbb{P}(\omega)$ (cf. Lemma $3(v)$ ).

Now we have collected the means to prove Lema 5: We consider the restriction of the meromorphic function $\phi$ to the open subset $\Omega=\xi\left(U_{v} \times P\right) \subset X_{W} \cup X_{v}$ (cf. Lemma 4). Because of its $U_{v}-$ invariance, the composition $\phi \circ \xi$.factors as $\bar{\phi}$ opr 2 :


Now let $\Omega^{\prime}$ be an arbitrary $U_{v}$ - stable open neighborhood of $X_{v}$ in $\bar{X}_{w}$. After intersecting with $\Omega$ we may assume $\Omega^{\prime} \subset \Omega$. Then $\xi^{-1}\left(\Omega^{\prime}\right)$ is of the form $U_{v} \times \mathcal{F}^{\prime}$ for some open neighborhood $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}$ of $f_{v}$ in $\mathcal{P}$. Since $\bar{\phi}^{-1}(0)=X_{v} \cap I P=\left\{f_{v}\right\}$ we may find a connected open neighborhood $\rho$ " of $f_{v}$ in $\rho^{\prime}$ such that $\rho "=\bar{\phi}^{-1}(\bar{\phi}(\rho \|))$. Since $\bar{\phi}$ is open, the image $\bar{\phi}\left(\rho^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is an open neighborhood of $0 \in \mathbb{P}^{1}$. Thus $\phi^{-1}\left(\bar{\phi}\left(\rho^{\prime \prime}\right)\right)=\xi\left(U_{v} \times \rho^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is an open nelghborhood of $X_{v}$ in $\bar{X}_{w}$. Because $\rho$ " $\left.\backslash f_{v}\right\}$ is connected, the image $E\left(U_{v} \times\left(\mathcal{S}^{n} \backslash\left\{f_{v}\right\}\right)\right)=\phi^{-1}\left(\bar{\phi}\left(\rho^{\prime \prime}\right)\right) \cap X_{w}$ is connected, too. Thus Lemma 5 and the Theorem are proved.

REMARK: The proof above could be simplified a lot if we had gvailable a good theory providing an algebraic geometric structure of $G$ compatible with the corresponding structures on $X(\omega)$. Some results in that direction are found or announced in [14].
2.7. HOMOGENEOUS LINE BUNDLES ON FLAG MANIFOLDS. In this section we want to define topological homogeneous line bundles on the flag manifolds $X(\omega)$. Everything can be interpreted in the analytical or Zariski topology.

First we have to study tautological line bundles on projective spaces. For that let $L$ be a complex vector space with basis $\left(e_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$ and dual linear forms $\phi_{i} \in L^{*}, \phi_{i}\left(e_{j}\right)=\delta_{i j}$ for all $i, j \in I$. BY [v] we shall denote the equivalence class in $\mathbb{P}(L)=(L \backslash\{0\}) / \mathbb{C}$ of an element $v \in L \backslash(0)$. The following result is immediate:

LEMMA 1: For any iex the map

induces a homeomorphism of $L^{(i)}$ onto the open subset
$\mathbb{P}(L)_{\phi_{i}}=\left\{[x] \in \mathbb{P}(L) \mid \phi_{i}(x) \neq 0\right\}$.
Consider now

$$
\mathscr{L}(L)=\{(\ell, v) \in \mathbb{P}(L) \times L \mid v \in \ell\}
$$

Then $\mathscr{L}(L)$ is a closed subset, and the projection

$$
p r_{1}: \mathcal{L}(L) \rightarrow I P(L)
$$

realizes $\mathscr{f}(L)$ as a set-theoretic line bundle on $\mathbb{P}\left(L_{0}\right)$.

LEMMA 2:
(i) $\mathscr{L}(L)$ is a topological line bundle.
(ii) any linear automoxphism of $L$ induces a continuous automorphism-of , $\mathcal{L}(L)$,
(iii) for any subspace $L^{\prime} \subset L$, the restriction $\mathcal{L}(L) \mid \mathcal{P}\left(L^{\prime}\right)$ is isomorphic to $\mathscr{L}\left(L^{\prime}\right)$,
(iv) for any finite-dimensional subspace $E \subset L$, the restriction $\left.\mathscr{L}(L)\right|_{P(E)}=\mathscr{L}(E)$ is algebraic.

PROOF: We only have to show (i), the other claims are (then) obvious. It is clear that the projection $\mathcal{L}(L)+P(L)$, the addition $\mathscr{L}(L) x_{\mathbb{P}(L)} \mathcal{L}(L) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(L)$, and the scalar pultiplication $\times \mathcal{L}(L)+\mathcal{L}(L)$ are continuous. It remains to show that $x$ ( $L$ is locally trivial. This results from the existence of the following continuous sections

$$
\sigma_{1}: P(L)_{\phi_{1}} \equiv v^{(i)} \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}(L) \mid P(L)
$$

$\sigma_{i}(v)=\left\{\left[e_{i}+v\right], e_{i}+v\right), v E V^{(i)}$, which are nowhere vanishing on $v^{(i)}$, Note that $\bigcup_{i \in I} \mathbb{P ( L )} \phi_{i}=\mathbb{P}(L)$.

We call $\mathcal{L}(L)$ the tautological line bundle on $\mathbb{P}(L)$.
Let us fix $\Delta^{\prime} \subset \Delta, \nabla^{\prime}=\left\{h_{\alpha} \in \nabla \mid \alpha \in \Delta^{\prime}\right\}, S^{\prime}=\left\{s_{\alpha} \in S \mid \alpha \in \Delta^{\prime}\right\}$. We put $H^{*}\left(\Delta^{\prime}\right)=\left\{\omega \in H^{\prime} \mid \omega(h)=0\right.$ for $\left.h \in \nabla^{\prime}\right\}$ and $H_{+}^{*}\left(\Delta^{\prime}\right)=H^{*}\left(\Delta^{\prime}\right) \cap H_{+}^{*}$. Then $H^{*}\left(\Delta^{\prime}\right)$ is the $\mathbb{Z}$-dual of $H^{\prime}\left(\Delta^{\prime}\right)=H /\left(H \cap Q^{\prime} \cdot \nabla^{\prime}\right)$. Let $P=P_{S^{\prime}}$ and $D P$ its derived subgroup; then $p / D P \cong H\left(\Delta^{\prime}\right) \theta_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C} *$ (cf. [20] 7.7). For any $\omega \in H_{+}^{*}\left(\Delta^{\prime}\right)$ we have a continuous map

$$
\delta_{\omega}: G / P+\mathbb{P}(\omega), \delta_{\omega}\left(g^{P}\right)=g \cdot L(\omega)_{\omega}
$$

This map induses a bijection onto its image $X(\omega)$ only when $\omega \in H_{++}^{*}\left(\Delta^{\prime}\right)=$ $\left\{\omega \in H^{*}\left(\Delta^{\prime}\right) \mid \omega(h)>0\right.$ for all $\left.h \in \nabla \nabla^{\prime}\right\}$. We let

$$
\mathcal{L}_{G / P}(\omega)=\delta_{\omega}^{\star}(\mathcal{L}(I(\omega)))
$$

denote the pull back to $G / P$ of the tautological line bundle on $\mathbb{P}(\omega)$. Since $G$ acts on $\mathscr{L}(L(\omega))$ and $G / P$ by continuous automorphisms we get a natural action of $G$ on $\mathcal{L}_{G / P}(\omega)$ by continuous automorphisms. In particular, we can write $\mathcal{E}_{G / P}(\omega)$ as an associated bundle

$$
\mathcal{L}_{G / P}(\omega) \cong G \times^{P} G_{\omega} \quad \text { (as G-sets) }
$$

where $G \times{ }^{P} \mathbb{G} \omega$ is the quotient of $G \times \mathbb{C}$ by the $P$-action $p(g, z)=\left(g p^{-1}, \omega(p) z\right), p \in P, g \in G, z \in \mathbb{C}$. Here $\omega \in H_{+}^{*}\left(\Delta^{\prime}\right)$ is lifted to a character of $P$

$$
P \rightarrow P / D P=H\left(\Delta^{\prime}\right) \theta_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{a}^{*} \xrightarrow{\omega} \mathbb{C}^{*}
$$

We may thus view our definition of $\mathcal{L}_{G / P}(\omega)$ as providing $G x^{P} \mathcal{C}_{\omega}$ with a G-Invariant topology. To extend this procedure to more general bundles we first have to prove a compatibility property (which is trivial on the G-set-theoretical level).

LEMMA 3: Let $\omega, \lambda \in H_{+}^{*}\left(\Delta^{\prime}\right)$. Then $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{G} / \mathrm{P}}(\omega+\lambda)$ is $G-1$ ine-bundle-homeomorphic to the tensor product $\mathscr{L}_{G / P}(\omega) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{G / P}(\lambda)$.

PROOF: This is a corollary of 1.12 proposition, the proof of 2.5 proposition, and the following cartesian diagrams:


Note that $\operatorname{Im}\left(\left(\delta_{\omega} \times \delta_{\lambda}\right) \cdot\right.$ diag. $\cong X(\omega+\lambda) \subset X(\omega) \times X(\lambda)$, cf. 2.5.
For any $\omega \in H_{+}^{*}\left(\Delta^{\prime}\right)$ let $\mathcal{L}_{G / P}(-\omega)$ denote the dual bundle of $\mathcal{L}_{G / P}(\omega)$. Since any $\lambda \in H^{*}\left(\Delta^{\prime}\right)$ can be written as $\omega-\omega^{\prime}$ for suitable $\omega, \omega^{\prime \prime} \in H_{+}^{*}\left(\Delta^{\prime}\right)$, we define

$$
\mathcal{L}_{G / P}(\lambda)=\mathcal{E}_{G / P}(\omega) \odot \mathcal{L}_{G / P}\left(-w^{i}\right)
$$

Because of Lemma 3, this definition is free from ambiguities, and we have

$$
\mathscr{L}_{G / P}(\lambda+\mu)=\mathscr{L}_{G / P}(\lambda) \odot \mathscr{L}_{G / P}(\mu)
$$

for all $\lambda, \mu \in H^{*}\left(\Delta^{\prime \prime}\right)$.
2.8. HOMOGENEOUS LINE BONDLES ON SCHOBERT VARIETIES. Let $\Delta^{\prime} \subset \Delta, \nabla^{\prime} \subset \nabla$, $S^{\prime}, P$ be as in 2.7. We first want to study the homology and cohomology of the Schubert varieties $\bar{X}(\omega) w$ for all $w \in W^{S^{\prime}}$ and $\omega \in H_{++}^{*}\left(\Delta^{\prime}\right)$. From 2.5 we know that the topology on $\bar{X}(\omega)_{w}$ is independent of the choice of $\omega$ in $H_{++}^{*}\left(\Delta^{\prime}\right)$.

PROPOSTTION 1: FOX any $w \in W^{S^{\prime}}$ and $\omega \in G_{++}^{*}\left(\Delta^{\prime}\right)$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{B}_{2 q+1}\left(\bar{X}(\omega)_{w}, Z Z\right)=0=H^{2 q+1}\left(\bar{X}(\omega)_{w}, \mathbb{Z}\right) \\
& H_{2 q}\left(\bar{X}(\omega)_{w}, Z\right)=z^{n(w, q)}=H^{2 q}\left(\bar{x}(\omega)_{w}, Z Z\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $q \in N$. Here $n(w, q)$ is the number of $w^{\prime} \in W^{\prime}$ such that $w^{\prime} \leq w$ and $\ell\left(w^{\prime}\right)=q$. Moreover, basis of $H_{2 q}\left(\bar{X}(w) w^{\prime} Z\right)$ is given by the fundamenttail classes of the schubert varieties $\bar{X}(\omega)_{w}$. for $w^{\prime} \in w^{\prime}$ with $\dot{w}^{\prime} \leq w$ and $\ell\left(w^{\prime}\right)=q$.

PROOF: Let $X_{i} \subset \bar{X}(\omega)_{w}$ be the union of all Schubert cells $X(\omega){ }_{w}$, with $w^{\prime} \in \overline{W^{\prime}}, w^{\prime} \leq w$, and $\ell\left(w^{\prime}\right) \leq i$. Then $X_{i}$ is closed in $\bar{x}(w) w$ and $x_{i} \backslash x_{i-1}$ is the disjoint union of those $X(\omega)_{w^{\prime}}$ for which $\ell\left(w^{\prime}\right)=i$. We shall prove analogous claims for the $X_{i}$ by induction on $i$, the start $i=0$ being trivial. By [10] I 5.4.2 it is sufficient to prove the claim for cohomology. For that we use the long exact sequence for cohomology with compact support and integral coefficients (cf. [10] II. 4.10.1):

$$
\ldots \rightarrow H_{c}^{k}\left(x_{i} \backslash x_{i-1}\right) \rightarrow H^{k}\left(x_{i}\right)+H^{k}\left(x_{i-1}\right)+H_{c}^{k+1}\left(x_{i} \backslash x_{i-1}\right) \rightarrow \ldots
$$

Using that $H_{c}^{k}\left(X_{i} \backslash X_{i-1}\right)$ is nonzero only for $k=2 i$, where it is freely spanned by the "duals" of the fundamental classes $\left[\bar{X}(\omega)_{w}\right], w^{*} \in W^{S "}$, $w^{\prime} \leq w, \ell(w)=i$, and by the induction hypothesis we arrive at the desired result.

Since $X(\omega)$ is the inductive limit of the $\bar{X}(\omega)_{w}$, we directly obtain:
COROLLARY 1: For all $q \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{2 q+1}(X(\omega), Z Z)=0=H^{2 q+1}(X(\omega), \mathbb{Z}) \\
& H_{2 q}(X(\omega), Z \mathbb{Z}) \approx \mathbb{Z}^{n(q)} \cong H^{2 q}(X(\omega), \mathbb{Z})
\end{aligned}
$$

where $n(q)$ is the number of $w \in W^{S^{\prime}}$ with $\ell(w)=q$. Moreover, $H_{2 q}(X(\omega), Z Z)$ is freely spanned by the fundamental classes of the schubert varieties $\bar{X}(w) w$, $w \in W^{S^{\prime}}, \ell(w)=q$.

The following conclusion is also well known (cf. [26] 19.1.11). For a complex variety $Y$ let $A_{*}(Y)=\bigoplus_{k \in M} A_{k}(Y)$ denote the graded group of algebraic cycles on $Y$ modulo rational equivalence ( $k$ denoting the dimension).

COROLLARY 2: For any $w \in W^{\prime}$ and $\omega \in H_{++}^{*}\left(\Delta^{\prime}\right)$ we have

$$
A_{q}\left(\bar{x}(\omega)_{w}\right)=\square \mathbb{Z} \cdot\left[\bar{x}(\omega)_{v}\right]
$$

where the direct sum extends over all $v \in W^{\text {ei }}, v \leq w$, such that $\ell(v)=q$. and where $\left[\bar{X}(\omega)_{V}\right]$ denotes the cycle class of the variety $\bar{X}(\omega){ }_{v}$.

Recall that on an irreducible variety $y$ any algebraic line bundle is isomorphic to a line bundle of the form $\sigma_{Y}(D)$ for a locally principal divisor $D$ on $Y$. In fact, the association $D \rightarrow \sigma_{Y}(D)$ passes to an isomorm phism
between the group $(\mathcal{C}(X)$ of classes of cartier divisors on $Y$ and the group Pic ( $Y$ ) of isomorphism classes of line bundles on $Y$ (cf. [19] VI §1.4).

## PROPOSITION 2: Let $\omega \in H_{++}^{*}\left(\Lambda^{\prime}\right)$ and $w \in W^{S^{\prime}}$. Then

where $D_{\omega, w}$ equals the cycle

$$
\sum_{\in W^{\prime}, v \rightarrow w} v(\omega)\left(h_{Y}\right)\left[\bar{X}(\omega) v^{\prime}\right]
$$

PROOF: Recall the functional $\phi_{W}: L(\omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ from 2.6, Lemma 6 and 7 . The composition

$$
\mathscr{L}_{G / P}(\omega) \mid \bar{X}(\omega) \underset{W}{C} P(\omega) \times L(\omega) \xrightarrow{\Phi_{W} \cdot p r_{2}} \mathbb{C}
$$

defines a regular section $s_{w} \in H^{\circ}\left(\bar{X}(\omega) w^{\prime} \mathcal{E}_{G / P}(-\omega)\right)$ which vanishes nowhere on $X(w)_{w}$ (cf. 2.6, Lemma 7). To prove our assertion, we have to show that $s_{w}$ vanishes with multiplicity $v(\omega)\left(h_{\gamma}\right)$ along $X(w) v, v-i+w$. This follows from the second assertion of 2.6, Lemma 7.

In the following, we consider $\bar{X}(\omega)$ as a topological space. Thus we can restrict all line bundies $\mathcal{L}_{G / P}(\lambda)$ to $\bar{X}(\omega)$ as topological bundles. Using that any weight $\lambda \in H^{*}\left(\Delta^{\prime}\right)$ can be written as a difference $\omega-\omega^{\prime}$ of weights $\omega, \omega^{\prime} \in H_{++}^{*}\left(\Delta^{\prime}\right)$ and exploiting the homeomorphisms $\bar{X}(\omega)_{w} \mathbb{X}\left(\omega^{\prime}\right)_{w}$ we obtain (cf. [1] Lemma 4.2, [26] 19.1.2):

COROLLARY 3: Let $\lambda \in H\left(A^{\prime}\right)$ and let $c_{1}(\lambda) \in H^{2}(\bar{X}(\omega), w, 2 z)$ be the first Chern class of $\mathscr{L}_{G / P}(\lambda) \mid \bar{X}(\omega)_{w}$. Then

$$
c_{1}(\lambda) \cap\left[x(\omega)_{w}\right]=-\sum_{v \in H^{\prime}, v \neq w \rightarrow\left[\bar{x}(a) v^{\prime}\right]} v(\lambda)\left(h_{\gamma}\right) \cdot[
$$

in $H_{2 \ell(w)-2}\left(\bar{X}(\omega)_{w}, Z Z\right)$. (Here [Y] denotes the fundamental class of a variety $Y$, and $n$ denotes the cap product.)

REMARKS: 1) The equivalent of Corollary 3 (for $\Delta^{\prime}=\varnothing$ ) in the Chow ring $A(G / B)$ for finite-dimensional groups $G$ was first established by Chevalley ( $\sim$ 1958, unpublishad, cf. [6] 4.4 for a proof). The homological form is also proved in [1] 54, proposition 3, Lemma 4.2, by which we were guided.
2) In [1] and [6], Corollary 3 or itt algebraic equivalent are used to evaluate arbitrary polynomials in the Chern clames $c_{i}(\lambda), \lambda \in H^{*}$, on the Schubert cycles $\left[\bar{X}_{w}\right]$ of $G / B$. This can also be done in the present context,
cf. [11] Theoreme 3. A detalled elaboration of that point will be published by E.. Gutkin (for part of it cf. [27]).
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