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#### Abstract

The equations of $(1+2)$ integrable systems belonging to DarbouxToda, Heisenberg and Lotky-Volterra hierarchies which are invariant with respect to discrete transformations of corresponding integrable mappings are represented in explicit form.


[^0]
## 1 Introduction

In this paper we will investigate $(1+2)$-dimensional integrable systems [1, 2] in terms of properties of their groups of integrable mappings [3].

This programme was proposed in $[4,5]$ and can be described in the following way. For each local invertible substitution of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\stackrel{\leftarrow}{u} \equiv \phi(u)=\phi\left(u, u^{\prime}, u^{\prime \prime}, \ldots\right) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(where $u$ is an $s$-dimensional vector function, $u^{\prime \cdots}$ are its derivatives of an arbitrary order with respect to independent arguments) it is possible to construct the Frechet derivative [6]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi^{\prime}(u)=\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial u}+\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial u^{\prime}} D+\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial u^{\prime \prime}} D^{2}+\cdots . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

As it follows from definition of (1.2), $\phi^{\prime}(u)$ is $s \times s$ matrix operator.
Then it is necessary to consider the following functional equation with the arguments replaced:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\stackrel{\leftarrow}{F} \equiv F(\phi(u))=\phi^{\prime}(u) F(u), \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F$ is an unknown $s$-dimensional vector function, the components of which depend on the vector function $u$ and its derivatives up to the some finite order.

The equation (1.3) always possesses one (trivial) solution $F(u)=u^{\prime}$ as one may verify by differentiation of (1.1) with respect to one of independent arguments of the problem.

If (1.3) possesses some other solution different from the trivial one, such a substitution is called in [3] an integrable substitution or integrable mapping.

With each of the solutions of (1.3) it is possible to connect an equation of evolution type:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}=F(u) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is obviously invariant under the transformation (1.1). In $[4,5]$ the hope was expressed that a future theory of integrable systems is fundamentally connected with the theory of representations of the groups of integrable mappings.

The goal of the present paper is the investigation of two-dimensional integrable mappings and the construction on this basis of the explicit forms of integrable systems belonging to the corresponding hierarchies.

## 2 Two-dimensional integrable mappings

Below we will discuss three concrete examples of two-dimensional integrable mappings which can be considered by the similar methods.

### 2.1 Darboux-Toda substitution

The explicit form of the direct and inverse $\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{T}$ integrable substitution is the following:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \overleftarrow{u}=\frac{1}{v}, \quad \stackrel{\leftarrow}{v}=v\left(u v-(\ln v)_{x y}\right) \\
& \vec{v}=\frac{1}{u}, \quad \vec{u}=u\left(v u-(\ln u)_{x y}\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{align*}
$$

The function $f(u, v)$ after application of the $s$-times direct transformation is denoted by $\stackrel{-s}{f}$ and after application of the $s$-times inverse transformation by $\stackrel{s \rightarrow}{f}$ with the following convention $\stackrel{\leftarrow(-m)}{f} \equiv \stackrel{m \rightarrow}{f}, m \geq 0$.

As a direct corollary of (2.1) the following Toda-like recurrence relation for function $T_{0}=u v$ hold:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\ln T_{0}\right)_{x y}=-\overleftarrow{T}_{0}+2 T_{0}-\vec{T}_{0} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Frechet derivative [6] corresponding to (2.1) has the form

$$
\phi^{\prime}(u)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -\frac{1}{v^{2}}  \tag{2.3}\\
v^{2} & 2(u v)-\frac{v_{x} v_{y}}{v^{2}}+\frac{v_{x}}{v} D_{y}+\frac{v_{x}}{v} D_{x}-D_{x y}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $D_{y} \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, D_{x} \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$.
The system (1.3) in the concrete case of the $\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{T}$ substitution may be rewritten as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \stackrel{\leftarrow}{F_{1}}=-\frac{1}{v^{2}} F_{2} \\
& \stackrel{\leftarrow}{F_{2}}=v^{2} F_{1}+\left(2(u v)-\frac{v_{x} v_{y}}{v^{2}}+\frac{v_{x}}{v} D_{y}+\frac{v_{y}}{v} D_{x}-D_{x y}\right) F_{2} \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

It is not difficult to check by direct computation that $F_{0}=(u,-v)$ is the solution of the last equation and thus the substitution (2.1) is integrable in the sense of [3].

After the introduction of the new functions $F_{1}=u f_{1}, F_{2}=v f_{2}$, the system (2.4) takes the form of a single equation for only one unknown function $f_{2}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\stackrel{\leftarrow}{u v})\left(\overleftarrow{f_{2}}-f_{2}\right)-(u v)\left(f_{2}-\vec{f}_{2}\right)=-D_{x y} f_{2}, \quad f_{1}=-\overrightarrow{f_{2}} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The meaning of the notation in the last equation is explained after formula (2.1).

In performing further transformations of (2.5) we will use the fact that the condition of invariance of some function with respect to the discrete transformation $\stackrel{\leftarrow}{F}=F$ is equivalent to statement that the $F \equiv$ const. This is in some sense the analogy of the Liouville theorem in the theory of analytical functions. Using this fact for the function $T\left(f_{2}=\int d y(\overleftarrow{T}-T)\right)$ we obtain the Toda chain like equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
-T_{x}=T_{0} \int d y(\stackrel{\leftarrow}{T}-2 T+\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{T}), \quad T_{0}=u v \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In terms of the solution of (2.6) the evolution type equation (1.4) (which is indeed invariant with respect to the $\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{T}$ substitution (2.1)) takes the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{t}=v \int d y(\stackrel{\leftarrow}{T}-T), \quad u_{t}=u \int d y(\vec{T}-T) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 2.2 Two-dimensional Heisenberg substitution

By this term we will understand the direct and inverse transformations of two functions $(u, v)$ of the form:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \overleftarrow{u}=v^{-1}, \quad \frac{1}{1+\stackrel{\leftarrow}{u v}}=\frac{1}{1+u v}+\frac{\phi_{x y}}{\phi_{x} \phi_{y}}, \quad \phi=\ln v \\
& \vec{v}=u^{-1}, \quad \frac{1}{1+\overrightarrow{u v}}=\frac{1}{1+u v}+\frac{\psi_{x y}}{\psi_{x} \psi_{y}}, \quad \psi=\ln u \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

As may be verified, the functions $t_{m}$

$$
t_{1}=\frac{u_{y} v_{x}}{(1+u v)^{2}}=-\frac{(\vec{v})_{y} v_{x}}{(\vec{v}+v)^{2}}, \quad t_{2}=\frac{v_{y} u_{x}}{(1+u v)^{2}}=-\frac{(\vec{v})_{x} v_{y}}{(\vec{v}+v)^{2}}
$$

satisfy the Toda-like recurrence relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(t_{m}\right)_{x}=t_{m} \int d y \Delta_{m}, \quad(m=1,2) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta_{m}=\stackrel{\leftarrow}{t_{m}}-2 t_{m}+\overrightarrow{t_{m}}$.
The explicit form of the Frechet derivative operator is as follows:

$$
\phi^{\prime}(u)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -v^{-2}  \tag{2.10}\\
\stackrel{\leftarrow}{\leftarrow} R \\
\left(\frac{\leftarrow}{R}\right)^{2} & -\left(1+\left(\frac{\stackrel{+1}{R}}{R}\right)^{2}+(\stackrel{\leftarrow 1}{R})^{2} \delta\left(\phi_{x}^{-1} D_{x}+\phi_{y}^{-1} D_{y}-\frac{v}{v_{x y}} D_{x y}\right)\right.
\end{array}\right)
$$

where

$$
\delta=\frac{v v_{x y}}{v_{x} v_{y}}, \quad R=1+u v, \quad \stackrel{\leftarrow}{R}=1+\stackrel{\leftarrow}{v}
$$

By a short calculation it is possible to show that equation (1.3) possesses the nontrivial solution $F_{1}=u, F_{2}=-v$ and, consequently, the Heisenberg substitution by definition is integrable.

Now we can rewrite equation (1.3) in a more transparent form. Let us introduce the quantities $F_{1}=u B, F_{2}=v A$. From the first equation (1.3) we obtain immediately $B=-\vec{A}$. The second equation after some transformations may be rewritten in the form of a single equation for the function $A$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\frac{\overleftarrow{u v}}{(1+u v)^{2}}\right)(\stackrel{\leftarrow}{A}-A)-\frac{u v}{(1+u v)^{2}}(A-\vec{A})=  \tag{2.11}\\
& \left(\phi_{x} \phi_{y}\right)^{-1}\left(\frac{\phi_{x y}}{\phi_{x}} A_{x}+\frac{\phi_{x y}}{\phi_{y}} A_{y}-A_{x y}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

As we know from the introduction the main equation (1.3) always possesses the trivial solution $F_{1}=u_{x},\left(u_{y}\right) ; F_{2}=v_{x},\left(v_{y}\right)$ or $A=\phi_{x},\left(\phi_{y}\right)$. Let us look for a solution of (2.10) in the form $A=\phi_{x} \alpha$. Instead of (2.10) we obtain the equation for $\alpha$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{u_{x}^{\leftarrow} v_{x}}{(1+u v)^{2}}\right)(\stackrel{\leftarrow}{\alpha}-\alpha)-\frac{u_{x} v_{x}}{(1+u v)^{2}}(\alpha-\stackrel{1}{\alpha})=\left(\frac{\alpha_{y}}{\theta}\right)_{x}, \quad \theta=\frac{\phi_{y}}{\phi_{x}} . \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Resolving (2.12) by the substitution:

$$
\left(\frac{\alpha_{y}}{\theta}\right)_{x}=\stackrel{\leftarrow}{T}-T
$$

we obtain the equation to determine function $T$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{x}=T_{0} \int d y[\theta(\stackrel{\leftarrow}{T}-T)-\vec{\theta}(T-\vec{T})] \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
T_{0}=\frac{u_{x} v_{x}}{(1+u v)^{2}}
$$

### 2.3 Lotky-Volterra substitution

In this case the direct and inverse transformation have the form

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\overleftarrow{u}=u+(\ln v)_{x}, & \overleftarrow{v}=v+(\ln \stackrel{\leftarrow}{u})_{y} \\
\vec{u}=u-(\ln \vec{v})_{x}, \quad \vec{v}=v-(\ln u)_{y} \tag{2.14}
\end{array}
$$

As in the previous case the functions $t_{1}=u v, t_{2}=\overleftarrow{u}_{v}$ satisfy the Todalike recurrence relations (2.9).

The Frechet operator in this case has the form:

$$
\phi^{\prime}(u)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & D_{x} v^{-1}  \tag{2.15}\\
D_{y}(\overleftarrow{u})^{-1} & 1+D_{y}(u)^{-1} D_{x} v^{-1}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

By the same technique as in the previous subsections we obtain a single equation for the unknown function $T$ and expressions of the equations of hierarchy via this solution

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{y}=v \int d x[\stackrel{\leftarrow}{u}(\overleftarrow{T}-T)-u(T-\vec{T})] \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

whence

$$
u_{t}=u(T-\vec{T}) \quad v_{t}=D_{y} T
$$

## 3 Solution of the main equation

In spite of the essential difference of the Frechet operators in the three cases considered above the main equations of the problems (2.6),(2.14) and (2.16) have the same structure and may be solved by the similar methods. We
shall demonstrate these methods in the more complicated example of the Heisenberg substitution and present the results of calculations for the other cases.

First of all let us notice that equation (2.14) has the partial solution

$$
T=T_{0}
$$

as may be seen by the help of the equality below which is the direct corollary of (2.8) and (2.9)

$$
\stackrel{\leftarrow}{T_{0}}-T_{0}=2 \phi_{x}\left(\frac{1}{1+u v}\right)_{x}+2 \phi_{x y} \frac{\phi_{x}}{\phi_{y}} \frac{1}{1+u v}+\phi_{x}\left(\frac{\phi_{x y}}{\phi_{x} \phi_{y}}\right)_{x}-\phi_{x y} \frac{\phi_{x}}{\phi_{y}}+\frac{\phi_{x y}^{2}}{\phi_{y}^{2}}
$$

Let us now seek a solution of (2.14) as $T=T_{0} \int d y \alpha_{0}$. Instead of equation (2.14) we obtain an equation to determine the function $\alpha_{0}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\alpha_{0}\right)_{x}+\alpha_{0} \int d y\left[\overleftarrow{t_{1}}-t_{1}+\overrightarrow{t_{2}}-t_{2}\right]=\overleftarrow{t_{1}} \int d y\left(\overleftarrow{\alpha_{0}}-\alpha_{0}\right)+\overrightarrow{t_{2}} \int d y\left(\overrightarrow{\alpha_{0}}-\alpha_{0}\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

As it will be shown below this equation will arise many times and so for us it will be important to discuss two possible ways of its resolution. Let us use the following Ansatz

$$
\alpha_{0}=\overleftarrow{t_{1}} \alpha_{1}+\overrightarrow{t_{2}} \beta_{1}
$$

After substitution of this expression into (3.1) and equating to zero coefficients of $\overleftarrow{t_{1}, \overrightarrow{t_{2}}}$ (this is an additional assumption) we arrive at the following equations for the unknown functions $\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\alpha_{1}\right)_{x}+\alpha_{1} \int d y\left[\overleftarrow{t}_{1}^{2}-\overleftarrow{t_{1}}+\overrightarrow{t_{2}}-t_{2}\right]=\int d y\left(\overleftarrow{\alpha_{0}}-\alpha_{0}\right) \\
& \left(\beta_{1}\right)_{x}+\beta_{1} \int d y\left[\overleftarrow{t}_{1}-t_{1}+\stackrel{\left.2 \overrightarrow{t_{2}}-\overrightarrow{t_{2}}\right]=\int d y\left(\overrightarrow{\alpha_{0}}-\alpha_{0}\right)}{ } .\right. \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Adding the second equation (3.2) shifted by a direct transformation to the first one we obtain

$$
\left(\alpha_{1}+\overleftarrow{\beta}_{1}\right)_{x}+\left(\alpha_{1}+\overleftarrow{\beta_{1}}\right) \int d y\left[\overleftarrow{t}_{1}^{2}-\overleftarrow{t_{1}}+\overrightarrow{t_{2}}-t_{2}\right]=0
$$

and we see that the system (3.2) has the partial solution $\overrightarrow{\alpha_{1}}+\beta_{1}=0$, which we will use in what follows.

For this solution the system (3.2) is equivalent to a single equation for the unknown function $\alpha_{1}$ :

$$
\left(\alpha_{1}\right)_{x}+\alpha_{1} \int d y\left[\overleftarrow{t}_{1}^{2}-\overleftarrow{t_{1}}+\overrightarrow{t_{2}}-t_{2}\right]=\int d y\left[\left(\overleftarrow{t}_{1} \overleftarrow{\alpha}_{1}-t_{2} \alpha_{1}\right)-\left(\leftarrow_{1}^{1} \alpha_{1}-\overrightarrow{t_{2}} \overrightarrow{\alpha_{1}}\right)\right]
$$

The last equation has the obvious solution $\alpha_{1}=1$. As a corollary we obtain the second partial solution of our main equation:

$$
T_{1}=T_{0} \int d y\left(\overleftarrow{t_{1}}-\overrightarrow{t_{2}}\right)
$$

Further evolution of the equation for $\alpha_{1}$ is facilitated by the representation of the unknown function in integral form $\alpha_{1} \rightarrow \int d y \alpha_{1}$ (we retain the same symbol for the unknown function since it cannot lead to misunderstanding in the following considerations):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\alpha_{1}\right)_{x}+\alpha_{1} \int d y\left[\overleftarrow{t}_{1}^{2}-\overleftarrow{t_{1}}+\overrightarrow{t_{2}}-t_{2}\right]=\stackrel{\leftarrow 2}{t_{1}} \int d y\left(\overleftarrow{\alpha_{1}}-\alpha_{1}\right)+\overrightarrow{t_{2}} \int d y\left(\overrightarrow{\alpha_{1}}-\alpha_{1}\right) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

which up to the obvious replacement $\overleftarrow{t_{1}} \rightarrow \overleftarrow{t}_{1}^{2}$ coincides with the equation for $\alpha_{0}$ (3.1).

We can repeat the same trick with this equation as with the equation for $\alpha_{0}$ and after $k$ iterations will obtain:

$$
\alpha_{k}=\leftarrow_{t_{1}} \alpha_{k+1}-\overrightarrow{t_{2}} \overrightarrow{\alpha_{k+1}}
$$

and the corresponding equation for $\alpha_{k+1}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\alpha_{k+1}\right)_{x}+\alpha_{k+1} \int d y\left[\stackrel{\leftarrow k+2}{t_{1}}-\stackrel{\leftarrow k+1}{t_{1}}+\overrightarrow{t_{2}}-t_{2}\right]= \\
& \int d y\left[\left(\stackrel{\leftarrow k+2}{t_{1}} \alpha_{k+1}^{\leftarrow}-t_{2} \alpha_{1}\right)-\left(\stackrel{\leftarrow k+1}{t_{1}} \alpha_{1}-\overrightarrow{t_{2}} \vec{\alpha}_{1}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

with the obvious solution $\alpha_{k+1}=1$.
Collecting all results together we obtain a partial solution of the main equation in the following formal formulae

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{n}=T_{0} \prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(1-L_{i} \exp \left[-(i+1) d_{i}-\sum_{k=i+1}^{n} d_{k}\right]\right) \int d y^{\leftarrow_{1}} \int d y \overleftarrow{t}_{1}^{2} \ldots \int d y \stackrel{\leftarrow_{1}^{t_{1}}}{ } \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the symbol $\exp d_{s}$ means that the argument of the $s$-th term of repeated integral $\left(\ldots \int d y \xrightarrow{h \rightarrow} \ldots \rightarrow \ldots \int d y \stackrel{h+1 \rightarrow}{t_{1}} \ldots\right.$ ) in (3.4) should be shifted by unity and the symbol $L_{\substack{p \\ r \rightarrow}}$ means the exchange of $\stackrel{r \rightarrow}{t_{1}}$ and $\stackrel{r \rightarrow}{t_{2}}$ in the corresponding p-th term $\ldots \int d y \stackrel{r}{t_{1}} \ldots \rightarrow \ldots \int d y t_{2} r \ldots$

The expression (3.4) is directly applicable to the Heisenberg and the Lotky-Volterra integrable hierarchies. In the case of the D-T hierarchy it is necessary to set all operators $L_{i}=1$ and and keep in mind equality $t_{1}=t_{2}=T_{0}$.

## 4 Examples

In this section we present the simplest integrable systems in the terms of usual functions $u, v$ corresponding to the lowest solutions $T_{n}$ of the main equation for $\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{T}$, Heisenberg and $\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{V}$ substitutions.

### 4.1 Darboux-Toda substitution

### 4.1.1 $\mathrm{n}=0$

$$
T_{0}=u v, \quad u_{t}=a u_{x}+b u_{y}, \quad v_{t}=a v_{x}+b v_{y}
$$

In the examples below we shall choose $a=1, b=0$ keeping in mind that it is always possible to add a term (with an arbitrary numerical coefficient) in which $x$ is changed by $y$ and vice versa.

### 4.1.2 $\mathrm{n}=1$

$$
\begin{gathered}
T_{1}=v u_{x}-v_{x} u \\
u_{t}=u_{x x}-u \int d y(u v)_{x}, \quad-v_{t}=v_{x x}-v \int d y(u v)_{x} .
\end{gathered}
$$

This is the Davey-Stewartson equation in its original form [5].
4.1.3 $\mathrm{n}=2$

$$
T_{2}=(u v)_{x x}-3 u_{x} v_{x}-3 u v \int d y(u v)_{x}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{t}=u_{x x x}-3 u_{x} \int d y(u v)_{x}-3 u \int d y\left(u_{x} v\right)_{x} \\
& v_{t}=v_{x x x}-3 v_{x} \int d y(u v)_{x}-3 v \int d y\left(v_{x} u\right)_{x}
\end{aligned}
$$

This is the equation of Veselov-Novikov [6].

### 4.1.4 $n=3$

$$
\begin{gathered}
T_{3}=-\left(T_{1}\right)_{x x}-2\left(u_{x} v_{x x}-v_{x} u_{x x}\right)+2 u v \int d y\left(T_{1}\right)_{x}+4 T_{1} \int d y(u v)_{x}, \\
v_{t}=-v_{x x x x}+4 v_{x x} \int d y(u v)_{x}-2 v_{x}\left(\int d y\left(T_{1}\right)_{x}-2 \int d y(u v)_{x x}\right)+ \\
+2 v\left(\int d y(u v)_{x x x}-\int d y\left(u_{x} v_{x}\right)_{x}+\int\left(u v_{x x}\right)_{x}-\left(\left[\int d y(u v)\right]^{2}\right)_{x x}-\left[\int d y(u v)_{x}\right]^{2}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

The equation for $u$ may be obtained from the equation for $v$ under the transposition $u \rightarrow v, v \rightarrow u, t \rightarrow-t$.

### 4.2 Heisenberg substitution

4.2.1 $\mathrm{n}=0$

$$
v_{t}=-v_{x x}+2 v_{x} \int d y\left(\frac{u v_{y}}{1+u v}\right)_{x}, \quad-u_{t}=-u_{x x}+2 u_{x} \int d y\left(\frac{v u_{y}}{1+u v}\right)_{x}
$$

4.2.2 $\mathrm{n}=1$

$$
\begin{gathered}
v_{t}+v_{x x x}-3 v_{x x} \int d y\left(\frac{u v_{y}}{1+u v}\right)_{x}+3 v_{x}\left[\int d y\left(\frac{u v_{y}}{1+u v}\right)_{x}\right]^{2}+ \\
+3 v_{x} \int d y\left(\frac{u_{x} v_{y}}{(1+u v)^{2}}\right)_{x}-3 v_{x} \int d y\left(\frac{u v_{y}}{1+u v}\right)_{x x} \\
u_{t}+u_{x x x}-3 u_{x x} \int d y\left(\frac{v u_{y}}{1+u v}\right)_{x}+3 u_{x}\left[\int d y\left(\frac{v u_{y}}{1+u v}\right)_{x}\right]^{2}+ \\
+3 u_{x} \int d y\left(\frac{v_{x} u_{y}}{(1+u v)^{2}}\right)_{x}-3 u_{x} \int d y\left(\frac{v u_{y}}{1+u v}\right)_{x x} .
\end{gathered}
$$

### 4.3 Lotky-Volterra substitution

### 4.3.1 $n=0$

In the case $T_{0}=v$ we obtain the trivial system with the help of (2.2)

$$
u_{t}=u_{y}, \quad v_{t}=v_{y}
$$

### 4.3.2 $\mathrm{n}=1$

In this case

$$
S_{1}=v \int d x\left(\stackrel{\leftarrow}{t_{1}}-\overrightarrow{t_{2}}\right)=v_{y}+v^{2}+2 v \int d x\left(u_{y}\right) .
$$

The corresponding integrable system has the form

$$
u_{t}=-u_{y y}+2(u v)_{y}+2 u_{y} \int d x\left(u_{y}\right), \quad v_{t}=\left(v^{2}+v_{y}+2 v \int d x\left(u_{y}\right)\right)_{y}
$$

In the one dimensional case $D_{x}=D_{y}$ this system is a partial case of the wider integrable system considered in [7].

### 4.3.3 $\mathrm{n}=2$

In this case

$$
S_{2}=v^{3}+3 v v_{y}+v_{y y}+3 v D_{x}^{-1}(u v)_{y}+3\left(v_{y}+v^{2}\right) D_{x}^{-1}\left(u_{y}\right)+3 v\left(D_{x}^{-1}\left(u_{y}\right)\right)^{2} .
$$

The corresponding integrable system is the following

$$
\begin{gathered}
u_{t}=D_{y}\left(u_{y y}-3\left(v u_{y}\right)+3 v^{2} u-3\left(u_{y}-u v\right) D_{x}^{-1}(u)_{y}\right)+ \\
+D_{x}\left(3 D_{x}^{-1}\left(u_{y}\right) D_{x}^{-1}(u v)_{y}+\left(D_{x}^{-1}\left(u_{y}\right)\right)^{3}\right) \\
v_{t}=D_{y}\left(v^{3}+3 v v_{y}+v_{y y}+3 v D_{x}^{-1}(u v)_{y}+3\left(v_{y}+v^{2}\right) D_{x}^{-1}\left(u_{y}\right)+\right. \\
\left.+3 v\left(D_{x}^{-1}\left(u_{y}\right)\right)^{2}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

## 5 Conclusion

In order to appreciate the results of the present paper let us return to the main equation (1.3). This equation contains two unknown $s$-dimensional vector functions $\phi(u)$ and $F(u)$. The principal problem connected with this equation is to find a substitution $\phi(u)$ in such a way that equation (1.3) will have some other solution apart from the trivial one. This problem has not been considered in this paper. We have taken ad hoc two-dimensional integrable substitutions (Darboux-Toda, Heisenberg and Lotky-Volterra) and found for them solutions of equation (1.3). This is only the second part of the problem as it was formulated in $[4,5]$.

From the explicit form of integrable equations we can conclude that for their construction we need to know at most two functions $t_{1,2}$. In addition, it is necessary to have explicit formulas for multi-times discrete transformations and techniques of repeated integrals. We have seen also that in the usual variables $u, v$ all formulas become much more complicated. So we may conclude that the the method of discrete transformations is a fundamental principle of the theory of integrable systems. We can imagine that in order to understand finally the theory of integrable systems it is necessary to have (or create) the complete theory of representations of the group of integrable mappings of which we have given here only several examples.
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