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#### Abstract

We give a generalization of the Reshetikhin-Turaev functor for tangles to get a combinatorial formula for the Kontsevich integral for framed oriented links. The uniqueness of the universal Vassiliev-Kontsevich invariant of framed oriented links is established. As a corollary one gets the rationality of Kontsevich integral.


## INTRODUCTION

This is an expository paper on the construction of the universal Vassiliev-Kontsevich invariant of framed oriented links. We give a description of a generalization of the Reshetikhin-Turaev functor. This is a mapping from the set of all framed oriented tangles to rather complicated sets. This mapping, when restricted to the set of all framed oriented links in 3 -sphere $S^{3}$, is an isotopy invariant called the universal Vassiliev-Kontsevich invariant of framed oriented links. It is as powerful as the set of all invariants of finite type (or Vassiliev invariants) of framed oriented links. Hence it dominates all the invariants coming from quantum groups in which the $R$-matrix is a deformation of identity, as in [Re-Tu, Tu1]. Similar constructions of the universal Vassiliev-Kontsevich invariants appear in [Car, Piul].

The values of the universal Vassiliev-Kontsevich invariant of framed knots lie in an algebra, and if we project to an appropriate quotient algebra, we get the Kontsevich integral of knots [Bar1, Kont1].

Actually the universal Vassiliev-Kontsevich invariant is constructed using an object called the Drinfeld associator. This is a solution of a system of equations. Every solution of this system gives rise to a universal Vassiliev-Kontsevich invariant which we prove (Theorem 8) is independent of the solusion used. As a corollary we get the rationality of the universal Vassiliev-Kontsevich invariant and Kontsevich integral.

The rationality of Kontsevich integral was claimed in [Kont1], without proof, citing only Drinfeld's paper [Drin2]. The result of [Drin2] can not be applied directly to this case because the spaces involved, though related, are in fact different. Here we modify Drin-
feld's proof to our situation, using a suggestion of Kontsevich. For a detailed exposition of the theory of the Kontsevich integral and the universal Vassiliev-Kontsevich invariant for (unframed) knots see [Bar1]. Many arguments in [Barl] are generalized here.

For technical convenience we use $q$-tangles instead of tangles. This concept is similar to that of a $c$-graph introduced in [Al-Co]. Actually the category of $q$-tangles and the category of tangles are the same, by Maclane's coherence theorem.
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## 1. Chord diagrams

Suppose $X$ is a one-dimensional compact oriented smooth manifold whose components are numbered. A chord diagram with support $X$ is a set consisting of a finite number of unordered pairs of distinct non-boundary points on $X$, regarded up to orientation and component preserving homeomorphisms. We view each pair of points as a chord on $X$ and represent it as a dashed line connecting the two points. The points are called the vertices of chords.

Let $\mathcal{A}(X)$ be the vector space over $\mathbb{Q}$ (rational numbers) spanned by all chord diagrams with support $X$, subject to the 4 -term relation:

$\mathcal{A}(X)$ is graded by the number of chords. We denote the completion with respect to this grading also by $\mathcal{A}(X)$.

Every homeomorphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ induces an isomorphism between $\mathcal{A}(X)$ and $\mathcal{A}(Y)$.
On the plane $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ with coordinates $(x, t)$ consider the set $X$ consisting of $n$ lines $x=1$, $x=2, \ldots, x=n$, lying between two horizontal lines $t=0$ and $t=1$. All the lines are oriented downwards. The space $\mathcal{A}(X)$ will be denoted by $\mathcal{B}_{n}$. A component of $X$ is called a string. The vector space $\mathcal{B}_{n}$ is an algebra with the following multiplication. If $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ are two chord diagrams in $\mathcal{B}_{n}$ then $D_{1} \times D_{2}$ is the chord diagram gotten by putting $D_{1}$ above $D_{2}$. The unit is the chord diagram without any chord. Let $\mathcal{B}_{0}=\mathbb{Q}$.

Proposition 1:[Kont1]The algebra $\mathcal{B}_{1}$ is commutative.

When $S^{1}$ is a circle, $\mathcal{A}\left(S^{1}\right)$ is denoted simply by $\mathcal{A}$.
Suppose $X, X^{\prime}$ have distinguished components $\ell, \ell^{\prime}, X$ consists of loop components only. Let $D \in \mathcal{A}(X)$ and $D^{\prime} \in \mathcal{A}\left(X^{\prime}\right)$. From each of $\ell, \ell^{\prime}$ we remove a small arc which does not contain any vertices. The remaining part of $\ell$ is an arc which we glue to $\ell^{\prime}$ in the place of the removed arc such that the orientations are compatible. The new chord diagram is called the connected sum of $D, D^{\prime}$ along the distinguished components. It does not depend on the locations of the removed arcs, which follows from the 4 -term relation and the fact that all components of $X$ are loops. The proof is the same as in case $X=X^{\prime}=S^{1}$ as in [Barl].

In case when $X=X^{\prime}=S^{1}$, the connected sum defines a multiplication which turns $\mathcal{A}$ into an algebra. This algebra is isomorphic to $\mathcal{B}_{1}$ (cf. [Bar1, Kont1]).

Suppose again $X$ has a distinguished component $\ell$. Let $X^{\prime}$ be the manifold gotten from $X$ by reversing the orientation of $\ell$. We define a linear mapping $S_{\ell}: \mathcal{A}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}\left(X^{\prime}\right)$ as follow. If $D \in \mathcal{A}(X)$ represents by a diagram with $n$ vertices of chords on $\ell$. Reversing the orientation of $\ell$, then multiplying by $(-1)^{n}$, from $D$ we get the chord diagram $S_{\ell}(D) \in$ $\mathcal{A}\left(X^{\prime}\right)$. Note that $S_{\ell}: \mathcal{B}_{1} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{1}$ is an anti-automorphism.

Now let us define $\Delta_{i}: \mathcal{B}_{n} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{n+1}$, for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Suppose $D$ is a chord diagram in $\mathcal{B}_{n}$ with $m$ vertices on the $i$-th string. Replace the $i$-th string by two strings, the left and the right, very close to the old one. Mark the points on this new set of $n+1$ strings just as in $D$; if a point of $D$ is on the $i$-th string then it yields two possibilities, marking on the left or on the right string. Summing up all possible chord diagrams of this type, we get $\Delta_{i}(D) \in \mathcal{B}_{n+1}$.

Define $\varepsilon_{i}$ by $\varepsilon_{i}(D)=0$ if the diagram $D$ has a vertex of chords on the $i$-th string. Otherwise let $\varepsilon_{i}(D)$ be the diagram in $\mathcal{B}_{n-1}$ gotten by throwing away the $i$-th string. We continue $\varepsilon_{i}$ to a linear mapping from $\mathcal{B}_{n}$ to $\mathcal{B}_{n-1}$.

Notation: we will write $\Delta$ for $\Delta_{1}: \mathcal{B}_{1} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{2}$, id $\otimes \ldots \otimes \Delta \otimes \ldots \otimes$ id (the $\Delta$ is at the $i$-th position) for $\Delta_{i} ; \varepsilon$ for $\varepsilon_{1}: \mathcal{B}_{1} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{0}=\mathbb{Q}, \mathrm{id} \otimes \ldots \otimes \varepsilon \otimes \ldots \otimes \mathrm{id}$ (the $\varepsilon$ is at the $i$-th position) for $\varepsilon_{i}$.
Remark: The reader should not confuse $\Delta$ with the co-multiplication introduced in [Barl] for $\mathcal{A}$.

Proposition 2: We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\Delta \otimes i d) \Delta=(i d \otimes \Delta) \Delta \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This follows easily from the definitions.

$$
\text { Put } \Delta^{n}=\underbrace{(\Delta \otimes \mathrm{id} \otimes \ldots \otimes \mathrm{id})}_{n \text { times }} \underbrace{(\Delta \otimes \mathrm{id} \ldots \otimes \mathrm{id})}_{n-1 \text { times }} \ldots(\Delta \otimes \mathrm{id}) \Delta \text {. For } n=0 \text { let } \Delta^{n}=i d:
$$

$\mathcal{B}_{1} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{1}$.
Theorem 1: The image of $\Delta^{n}: \mathcal{B}_{1} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{n+1}$ lies in the center of $\mathcal{B}_{n+1}$.
The proof is not difficult, it can be proved by imitating the case $n=0$ which is Proposition 1 and is proved in [Bar1].

If $D \in \mathcal{B}_{n}$ then $1^{\otimes n_{1}} \otimes D \otimes 1^{\otimes n_{2}}$ is the element of $\mathcal{B}_{n_{1}+n+n_{2}}$ which has no chords on the first $n_{1}$ strings, no chords on the last $n_{2}$ strings and on the middle $n$ strings it looks like $D$.

All the operators $\Delta_{i}, \varepsilon_{i}, S_{\ell}$ can be extended to $\mathcal{B}_{n} \otimes \mathbb{C}$.

## 2. NON-ASSOCIATIVE WORDS

A non-associative word on some symbols is an element of the free non-associative algebra generated by those symbols. Consider the set of all non-associative words on two symbols + and -. If $w$ is such a word, different from + and - and the unit, then $w$ can be presented in a unique way $w=w_{1} w_{2}$, where $w_{1}, w_{2}$ are non-associative non-unit words. Define inductively the length $l(w)=l\left(w_{1}\right)+l\left(w_{2}\right)$ if $w=w_{1} w_{2}$ and $l(+)=l(-)=1$. A non-associative word can be represented as a sequence of symbols and parentheses which indicate the order of multiplication.

There is a map which transfers each non-associative word into an associative word by simply forgetting the non-associative structure, that is, forgetting the parentheses. An associative word is just a finite sequence of symbols.

If we have a finite sequence of symbols,+- , then we can form a non-associative word by performing the multiplication step by step from the left. It will be called the standard non-associative word of the sequence.

Suppose $w_{1}, w_{2}$ are non-associative words. Replacing a symbol in the word $w_{2}$ by $w_{1}$ one gets another word $w$. In such a case we will call $w_{1}$ a subword of $w$, and write $w_{1}<w$.

## 3. Q-TANGLES

We fix an oriented 3-dimensional Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ with coordinates $(x, y, t)$. $A$ tangle is a smooth one-dimensional compact oriented manifold $L \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ lying between two horizontal planes $\{t=a\},\{t=b\}, a<b$ such that all the boundary points are lying on
two lines $\{t=a, y=0\},\{t=b, y=0\}$, and at every boundary point $L$ is orthogonal to these two planes. These lines are called the top and the bottom lines of the tangle.

A normal vector field on a tangle $L$ is a smooth vector field on $L$ which is nowhere tangent to $L$ (and, in particular, is nowhere zero) and which is given by the vector $(0,-1,0)$ at every boundary point. A framed tangle is a tangle enhanced with a normal vector field. Two framed tangles are isotopic if they can be deformed by a 1-parameter family of diffeomorphisms into one another within the class of framed tangles.

We will consider a tangle diagram as the projection onto $\mathbb{R}^{2}(x, t)$ of tangle in generic position. Every the double point is provided with a sign + or - indicating an over or under crossing.

Two tangle diagrams are equivalent if one can be deformed into another by using: a) isotopy of $\mathbb{R}^{2}(x, t)$ preserving every horizontal line $\{t=$ const $\}$, b) rescaling of $\mathbb{R}^{2}(x, t)$, c) translation along the $t$-axis. We will consider tangle diagrams up to this equivalent relation.

Two isotopic framed tangles may project into two non-equivalent tangle diagrams. But if $T$ is a tangle diagram, then $T$ defines a unique class of isotopic framed tangles $L(T)$ : let $L(T)$ be a tangle which projects into $T$ and is coincident with $T$ except for a small neighborhood of the double points, the normal vector at every point of $L(T)$ is ( $0,-1,0$ ).

One can assign a symbol + or - to all the boundary points of a tangle diagram according to whether the tangent vector at this point directs downwards or upwards. Then on the top boundary line of a tangle diagram we have a sequence of symbols consisting of + and - . Similarly on the bottom boundary line there is also a sequence of symbols + and -

A $q$-tangle diagram $T$ is a tangle diagram enhanced with two non-associative words $w_{b}(T)$ and $w_{t}(T)$ such that when forgetting about the non-associative structure from $w_{t}(T)$ (resp. $w_{b}(T)$ ) we get the sequence of symbols on the top (resp. bottom) boundary line. $A$ framed $q$-tangle $L$ is a framed tangle enhanced with two non-associative words $w_{b}(L)$ and $w_{t}(L)$ such that when forgetting about the non-associative structure from $w_{t}(L)$ (resp. $\left.w_{b}(L)\right)$ we get the sequence of symbols on the top (resp. bottom) boundary line.

If $T_{1}, T_{2}$ are q -tangle diagrams such that $w_{b}\left(T_{1}\right)=w_{t}\left(T_{2}\right)$ we can define the product $T=T_{1} \times T_{2}$ by putting $T_{1}$ above $T_{2}$. The product is a $q$-tangle diagram with $w_{t}(T)=$ $w_{t}\left(T_{1}\right), w_{b}(T)=w_{b}\left(T_{2}\right)$.

Every q-tangle diagram can be decomposed (non-uniquely) as the product of the following basic q -tangle diagrams:

1a) $X_{w, v}^{+}$for a non-associative word $w$ one one symbol + containing a subword $v=++$, the underlying tangle diagram is in the following figure

with $w_{t}=w_{b}=w$, the two strings of the crossing correspond to two symbols of the word $v$.

1b) $X_{w, v}^{-}$: the same as $X_{w, v}^{+}$, only the overcrossing is replaced by the undercrossing


2a) $\cup_{w, v}$ with $v=(+-)<w$, all the symbols in $w$ outside $v$ are + . The underlying tangle is


Here $w_{t}=w, w_{b}$ is obtained from $w$ by removing $v$.
2b) $\cap_{w, v}$ with $v=(-+)<w$, all the symbols in $w$ outside $v$ are + . The underlying tangle is


Here $w_{b}=w, w_{t}$ is obtained from $w$ by removing $v$.
3a) $T_{w_{1} w_{2} w_{3}, w}^{+}$where $w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}, w$ are non-associative words on one symbol + , and $\left(\left(w_{1} w_{2}\right) w_{3}\right)$ is a subword of $w$. The underlying tangle diagram is trivial, consisting of $l(w)$ parallel lines, all are directed downwards, and $w_{b}\left(T_{w_{1} w_{2} w_{3}, w}^{+}\right)=w$ while $w_{t}\left(T_{w_{1} w_{2} w_{3}, w}^{+}\right)$is obtained from $w$ by substituting $\left(\left(w_{1} w_{2}\right) w_{3}\right)$ by $\left(w_{1}\left(w_{2} w_{3}\right)\right)$.
$3 \mathrm{~b}) T_{w_{1} w_{2} w_{3}, w}^{-}$where $w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}, w$ are non-associative words on one symbol + , and $\left(\left(w_{1} w_{2}\right) w_{3}\right)$ is a subword of $w$. The underlying tangle diagram is trivial, consisting of $l(w)$
parallel lines, all are directed downwards, and $w_{t}\left(T_{w_{1} w_{2} w_{3}, w}^{-}\right)=w$ while $w_{b}\left(T_{w_{1} w_{2} w_{3}, w}^{-}\right)$is obtained from $w$ by substituting $\left(\left(w_{1} w_{2}\right) w_{3}\right)$ by $\left(w_{1}\left(w_{2} w_{3}\right)\right)$.
4)All the above listed $q$-tangle diagrams with reversed orientations on some strings and the corresponding change of signs of the boundary points.

## 4. The Drinfeld associator

Let $M$ be the algebra over $\mathbb{C}$ of all formal series on two non-commutative, associative symbols $A, B$. Consider a function $G:(0,1) \rightarrow M$ satisfying the following KnizhnikZamolodchikov equation

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} G=\frac{1}{2 \pi \sqrt{-1}}\left(\frac{A}{t}+\frac{B}{t-1}\right) G .
$$

Let $G_{\lambda}(A, B)$ be the value at $t=1-\lambda$ of the solution to this equation which takes the value 1 at $t=\lambda$. It can be proved that the following limit exists

$$
\varphi(A, B)=\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \lambda^{-B} G_{\lambda} \lambda^{A} .
$$

It can be written in the form

$$
1+\sum_{W} f_{W} W
$$

where the summation is over all the associative words on two symbols $A$ and $B$. The coefficients $f_{W}$ are highly transcendent and are computed in [Drin2, Le-Mu2]. Each $f_{W}$ is the sum of a finite number of numbers of type

$$
\frac{1}{(2 \pi \sqrt{-1})^{i_{1}+\cdots+i_{k}}} \zeta\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}\right),
$$

where

$$
\zeta\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}\right)=\sum_{n_{1}<\ldots<n_{k} \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{n_{1}^{i_{1}} \cdots n_{k}^{i_{k}}}
$$

with natural numbers $i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}, i_{k} \geq 2$. These numbers have recently gained much attention among number theorists (see [Za]).

Denote by $\Omega_{i j}$ the chord diagram in $\mathcal{B}_{n}$ with one chord connecting the $i$-th and the $j$-th strings. Let $\Phi_{K Z}=\varphi\left(\Omega_{12}, \Omega_{23}\right) \in \mathcal{B}_{3} \otimes \mathbb{C}$. This element is called the KZ Drinfeld associator. It is a solution of the following equations (for a proof see [Drin1, Drin2]):
$(\mathrm{A} 1)(\mathrm{id} \otimes \mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta)(\Phi) \times(\Delta \otimes \mathrm{id} \otimes \mathrm{id})(\Phi)=(1 \otimes \Phi) \times(\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta \otimes \mathrm{id})(\Phi) \times(\Phi \otimes 1)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\Delta \otimes \mathrm{id})(R)=\Phi^{312} \times R^{13} \times\left(\Phi^{132}\right)^{-1} \times R^{23} \times \Phi \tag{A2a}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta)(R)=\left(\Phi^{231}\right)^{-1} \times R^{13} \times \Phi^{213} \times R^{12} \times \Phi^{-1} \tag{A2b}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Phi^{-1}=\Phi^{321}  \tag{A3}\\
\varepsilon_{1}(\Phi)=\varepsilon_{2}(\Phi)=\varepsilon_{3}(\Phi)=1 \tag{A4}
\end{gather*}
$$

Here $\Phi^{i j k}$ is the element of $\mathcal{B}_{3} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ obtained from $\Phi$ by permuting the strings: the first to the $i$-th, the second to the $j$-th, the third to the $k$-th and $R^{i j}=\exp \left(\Omega_{i j} / 2\right)$. Equation (A1) holds in $\mathcal{B}_{4} \otimes \mathbb{C}$, equations (A2a, A2b,A3) hold in $\mathcal{B}_{3} \otimes \mathbb{C}$, equation (A4) in $\mathcal{B}_{2} \otimes \mathbb{C}$.
Remark: (A2b) follows from the other identities in (A1-A4).
Besides, $\Delta, \Phi_{K Z}$ and $R=\exp \left(\Omega_{12} / 2\right) \in \mathcal{B}_{2} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ satisfy:

$$
\begin{align*}
(\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta) \Delta(a) & =\Phi((\Delta \otimes \mathrm{id}) \Delta(a)) \Phi^{-1}  \tag{B1}\\
(\varepsilon \otimes \mathrm{id})_{o} \Delta & =\mathrm{id}=(\mathrm{id} \otimes \varepsilon)_{o} \Delta  \tag{B2}\\
\Delta(a) & =R \Delta(a) R^{-1}
\end{align*}
$$

The first follows from (1) and theorem 1 for any $\Phi$, the second is trivial, the third follows from theorem 1.

Every solution $\Phi$ of (A1-A4) is called an associator. Theorem $A^{\prime \prime}$ of [Drin2] asserts that there is an associator $\Phi_{\mathbb{Q}}$ with rational coefficients, i.e $\Phi_{\mathbf{Q}} \in \mathcal{B}_{3}$.

## 5. The representation of framed q-Tangles

Every tangle diagram $T$ defines a framed tangle $L(T)$, and every framed tangle $K$ is $L(T)$ for some tangle diagram.

Suppose $T$ is a q-tangle diagram. Then $L(T)$ is a framed $q$-tangle. Regarding $L(T)$ as a 1 -dimensional manifold, we can define the vector space $\mathcal{A}(L(T))$, which we will abbreviate as $\mathcal{A}(T)$. This vector space depends only on the underlying tangle diagram of $T$ but not on the words $w_{b}$ and $w_{t}$.

If $D_{i} \in \mathcal{A}\left(T_{i}\right), i=1,2$ and $T=T_{1} \times T_{2}$ then we can define $D_{1} \times D_{2} \in \mathcal{A}(T)$ in the obvious way, just putting $D_{1}$ above $D_{2}$.

We will define a mapping $T \rightarrow Z_{f}(T) \in \mathcal{A}(T) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ for any q -tangle diagram such that if $T=T_{1} \times T_{2}$ then $Z_{f}(T)=Z_{f}\left(T_{1}\right) \times Z_{f}\left(T_{2}\right)$. Such a map is uniquely defined by the values of special q -tangle diagrams listed in the previous section.

Define
(D1a) $Z_{f}\left(X_{w, v}^{+}\right)=\exp (\Omega / 2):=1+\Omega / 2+\frac{1}{2!}(\Omega / 2)^{2}+\cdots$,
where $\Omega^{n}$ is the chord diagram in $\mathcal{A}\left(X_{w, v}^{+}\right)$with $n$ chords, each is parallel to the horizontal line and connects the two strings that form the double point of $X_{w, v}^{+}$.
(D1b) $Z_{f}\left(X_{w, v}^{-}\right):=\exp (-\Omega / 2)$.
(D2a) $Z_{f}\left(\cup_{w, v}\right)$ is the chord diagram in $\mathcal{A}\left(\cup_{w, v}\right)$ without any chord.
(D2b) $Z_{f}\left(\cap_{w, v}\right)$ is the chord diagram in $\mathcal{A}\left(\cap_{w, v}\right)$ without any chord.
(D3) For a $q$-tangle diagram $T_{w_{1} w_{2} w_{3}, w}^{ \pm}$let $\# l$ and $\# r$ be respectively the number of strings (in the underlying tangle diagram) left and right of the block of strings which form the word $\left(\left(w_{1} w_{2}\right) w_{3}\right)$. Define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Z_{f}\left(T_{w_{1} w_{2} w_{3}, w}^{+}\right)=1^{\otimes \# l} \otimes\left[\left(\Delta^{l\left(w_{1}\right)-1} \otimes \Delta^{l\left(w_{2}\right)-1} \otimes \Delta^{l\left(w_{3}\right)-1}\right) \Phi_{K Z}\right] \otimes 1^{\otimes \# r} \\
& Z_{f}\left(T_{w_{1} w_{2} w_{3}, w}\right)=1^{\otimes \#!} \otimes\left[\left(\Delta^{l\left(w_{1}\right)-1} \otimes \Delta^{l\left(w_{2}\right)-1} \otimes \Delta^{l\left(w_{3}\right)-1}\right)\left(\Phi_{K Z}\right)^{-1}\right] \otimes 1^{\otimes \# r}
\end{aligned}
$$

(D4) If $T^{\prime}$ is a $q$-tangle diagram obtained from $T$ by reversing the orientation of some components $\ell_{1}, \ldots, \ell_{k}$, then $Z_{f}\left(T^{\prime}\right)$ is obtained from $Z_{f}(T)$ by successively applying the mappings $S_{\ell_{1}}, \ldots, S_{\ell_{k}}$. The result does not depend on the order of these mappings.

## Theorem 2:

1. The mapping $T \rightarrow Z_{f}(T)$ is well-defined: it does not depend on the decomposition of a q-tangle diagram into basic q-tangle diagrams.
2. Let $\phi=Z_{f}(U) \in \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathbb{C}$, where $U$ is tangle diagram in the following figure


Then

$$
z_{f}(\emptyset)=\phi \cdot z_{f}(\mid)
$$

The right hand side is the connected sum of $\phi$ and $Z_{f}$ along the indicated component.
3. Suppose the coordinate function $t$ on the $i$-th component of $T$ has $s_{i}$ maximal points. Define

$$
\hat{Z}_{f}(T)=\left(\phi^{-s_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \phi^{-s_{k}}\right) \cdot Z_{f}(T)
$$

where the right hand side is the element obtained by successively taking the connected sum of $\phi^{-\mathbf{s i}_{i}}$ and $Z_{f}(T)$ along the $i$-th component. If two $q$-tangle diagrams $T_{1}, T_{2}$ define isotopic framed $q$-tangles, $L\left(T_{1}\right)=L\left(T_{2}\right)$, then $\hat{Z}_{f}\left(T_{1}\right)=\hat{Z}_{f}\left(T_{2}\right)$, hence $\hat{Z}_{f}$ is an isotopy invariant of framed $q$-tangles.

In particular, $\hat{Z}_{f}$ is an isotopy invariant of framed oriented links regarded as framed q -tangles without boundary points.

There are at least two ways to prove Theorem 2. In the first which is more algebraic, we use MacLane's coherence theorem to reduce the category of $q$-tangles to the category of tangles and then verify that $\hat{Z}_{f}$ does not change under certain local moves (see the definition of the local moves in [Re-Tu, Al-Co]). Similar proofs are sketched in [Car, Piul]. In the second which is more analytical (see [Le-Mu3]), we first define the regularized Kontsevich integral for framed oriented tangles, then we prove that the value $Z_{f}$ of a qtangle is the limit (in some sense) of the regularized Kontsevich integrals. In this approach we can avoid MacLane's cohenrence theorem and veryfying the invariance under local moves. The second proof also show the relation between $\hat{Z}_{f}$ and the original Kontsevich integral (see Theorem 6 below).
Remark: We have chosen the normalization in which $\hat{Z}_{f}$ of the unframed trivial knot is $\phi^{-1}$, of the empty knot is 1 .

Theorem 3: Let $\omega$ be the unique element of $\mathcal{A}$ with one chord. Then a change in framing results on: $\hat{Z}_{f}^{\prime}$ by multiplying by $\exp (\omega / 2)$ :
$\because$

$$
e^{-\omega / 2} \cdot \hat{Z}_{f}(\searrow)=\hat{Z}_{f}(\mid)=e^{\omega / 2} \cdot \hat{Z}_{f}(\searrow)
$$

This can be proved easily by moving the minimum point to the left then using the representations of $q$-tangles. The invariant $\hat{Z}_{f}$ is coincident with the invariant introduced in [Le-Mu2, Le-Mu3] of framed links. There it was constructed by modifying the original Kontsevich integral.
$\hat{Z}_{f}$ is called a universal Vassiliev-Kontsevich invariant of framed oriented links. As in [Barl], it is easy to prove that $\hat{Z}_{f}\left(K_{1}\right)=\hat{Z}_{f}\left(K_{2}\right)$ if and only if all the invariants of finite type are the same for framed links $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$. This means $\hat{Z}_{f}$ is as powerful as the set of all invariants of finite type, in particular it dominates all invariants coming from $R$-matrices which are deformations of identity, as in [Tu1, Re-Tu].

Let $\ell$ be a component of a one-dimensional compact manifold $X$ and $X^{\prime}$ be obtained from $X$ by replacing $\ell$ by two copies of $\ell$. In a similar manner as in $\S 1$ one can define the operator $\Delta_{\ell}: \mathcal{A}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}\left(X^{\prime}\right)$.
Theorem 4: Suppose $L$ is a framed oriented link with a distinguished component $\ell, L^{\prime}$
is obtained from $L$ by replacing $\ell$ by two its parallels, close to $\ell, L^{\prime \prime}$ is obtained from $L$ by reversing the orientation of $\ell$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{Z}_{f}\left(L^{\prime}\right)=\Delta_{\ell}\left(\hat{Z}_{f}(L)\right) . \\
& \hat{Z}_{f}\left(L^{\prime \prime}\right)=S_{\ell}\left(\hat{Z}_{f}(L)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The second identity follows trivially from the definition of $\hat{Z}_{f}$. The first is more difficult and can be proved by analyzing the parallel of the basic $q$-tangles. Note that the chosen normalization of $\hat{Z}_{f}$ plays important role in the second identity. The formula for the parallel version of $Z_{f}\left(\operatorname{not} \hat{Z}_{f}\right)$ would require an additional factor. Applying this identity to the unknot we get a beautiful formula $\Delta(\phi)=\phi \otimes \phi$.

Theorem 5: Suppose $L_{1}, L_{2}$ are framed links with distinguished components and $L$ is the connected link along the distinguished components. Then

$$
\hat{Z}_{f}(L)=\phi \cdot\left(\hat{Z}_{f}\left(L_{1}\right)\right) \cdot\left(\hat{Z}_{f}\left(L_{2}\right)\right)
$$

The right hand side is the connected sum of $\phi, \hat{Z}_{f}\left(L_{1}\right)$ and $\hat{Z}_{f}\left(L_{2}\right)$ along the distinguished components.

Theorem 5 can be proved easily using the representation of $q$-tangles, or using the integral formula in [Le-Mu3].

## 6. The Kontsevich integral

Let $\mathcal{A}_{0}$ be the vector space over $\mathbb{Q}$ (rational numbers) spanned by all chord diagram with support being a circle, subject to the 4 -term relation and the following framing independence relation:

$$
\because)=0
$$

In other words, $\mathcal{A}_{0}=\mathcal{A} /(\omega \mathcal{A})$. With respect to connected sum, $\mathcal{A}_{0}$ is a commutative algebra. There is a natural projection $p: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{0}$.

Let $K$ be the image of an embedding of the oriented circle into $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ lying between two horizontal planes $\left\{t=t_{\min }\right\},\left\{t=t_{\max }\right\}$. We will consider the 2 -dimensional plane $(x, y)$ as the complex plane with coordinate $z=x+y \sqrt{-1}$. The Kontsevich integral of $K$ is defined as an element of $\mathcal{A}_{0}$ by

$$
Z(T)=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2 \pi \sqrt{-1})^{m}} \int_{t_{\min }<t_{1}<\cdots<t_{m}<t_{\max }} \sum_{P}(-1)^{\# P 1} \wedge \frac{d z_{i}-d z_{i}^{\prime}}{z_{i}-z_{i}^{\prime}} D_{P} \in \mathcal{A}_{0}
$$

where for fixed $t_{\min }<t_{1}<t_{2}<\cdots<t_{m}<t_{\max }$ the object $P$ is a choice of unordered pairs of distinct points $z_{i}, z_{i}^{\prime}$ lying in $K \cap\left\{t=t_{i}\right\}$ for $i=1 \ldots, m$, the summation is over all such choices, $D_{P}$ is the chord diagram in $\mathcal{A}_{0}$ obtained by connecting pairs $z_{i}, z_{i}^{\prime}$ by dashed lines, $\# P \downarrow$ is the number of $z_{i}, z_{i}^{\prime}$ at which the orientation of $K$ is downwards. Here we regard $z_{i}, z_{i}^{\prime}$ as functions of $t_{i}$ (for more details on the Kontsevich integral see [Bar1]).

The integral $Z(K)$ is not an isotopy invariant. Let $\gamma=p(\phi)$. Kontsevich proved that $\hat{Z}(K):=\gamma^{-s} . Z(K)$, where $s$ is the number of maximum points of the coordinate function $t$ on $K$, is an isotopy invariant of (unframed) oriented knots. Note that in [Bar1] instead of $\hat{Z}$ another normalization $\tilde{Z}=\gamma . \hat{Z}$ is used. This invariante is as powerful as the set of all invariants of finite type. The relation between $\hat{Z}_{f}$ and the Kontsevich integral is explained in the following
Theorem 6: For a framed oriented knot $K$

$$
p\left(\hat{Z}_{f}(K)\right)=\hat{Z}(K)
$$

This theorem and the trivial generalization for links are proved in [Le-Mu3]. Knowing $\tilde{Z}(K) \in \mathcal{A}_{0}$ one can also compute $\hat{Z}_{f}(K)$ (see [Le-Mu3]).

## 7. Symmetric Twisting

An element $D \in \mathcal{B}_{2} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ is called symmetric if $D^{21}=D$, where $D^{21}$ is obtained from $D$ by permuting the two strings of the support. Let $F=1+F_{1}+F_{2}+\cdots \in \mathcal{B}_{2} \otimes \mathbb{C}$, where $F_{n}$ is the homogeneous part of grading $n$. Suppose
(T1) for $n \geq 1$ every chord diagram in $F_{n}$ has vertices on both strings, i.e. $F_{n} \in\left(k e r \varepsilon_{1} \cap\right.$ $k^{k e r} \varepsilon_{2}$ ).
(T2) $F$ is symmetric.
Then there exist $F^{-1}$ in $\mathcal{B}_{2} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ satisfying ( $\mathrm{T} 1, \mathrm{~T} 2$ ).
If $\Phi$ is an element of $\mathcal{B}_{3} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\Phi}=[1 \otimes F][(i d \otimes \Delta) F] \Phi[\Delta \otimes i d)\left(F^{-1}\right]\left[F^{-1} \otimes 1\right] \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is said to be obtained from $\Phi$ by a twist using $F$.

Note that the first two terms in the right hand side of (2) are commutative with each other, as are the last two terms. If $\Phi \in \mathcal{B}_{3} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ is a solution of (AI-A4) then it is not difficult to check that $\tilde{\Phi}$ is also a solution of (A1-A4).

For a non-associative word $w$ on one symbol + define $\mathcal{F}_{w} \in \mathcal{B}_{l(w)}$ by induction as follows. Let $\mathcal{F}_{0}=1 \in \mathbb{Q}, \mathcal{F}_{+}=1 \in \mathcal{B}_{1}, \mathcal{F}_{++}=F \in \mathcal{B}_{2} \otimes \mathbb{C}$. For $w=w_{1} w_{2}$ let

$$
\mathcal{F}_{w}=\left[\mathcal{F}_{w_{1}} \otimes 1^{\otimes l\left(w_{2}\right)}\right]\left[1^{\otimes l\left(w_{1}\right)} \otimes \mathcal{F}_{w_{2}}\right]\left[\left(\Delta^{l\left(w_{1}\right)-1} \otimes \Delta^{l\left(w_{2}\right)-1}\right) F\right]
$$

Then (2) implies that $\tilde{\Phi}=\mathcal{F}_{+(++)} \Phi\left(\mathcal{F}_{(++)+}\right)^{-1}$.
Fix $F \in \mathcal{B}_{2} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ satisfying ( $\mathrm{T} 1, \mathrm{~T} 2$ ). Consider a new mapping $T \rightarrow Z_{f}^{F}(T)$ defined for q -tangle diagrams by the same rules (D1-D4) for $Z_{f}$, only replacing the values listed in $\S 3$ for basic $q$-tangle diagrams by:

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z_{f}^{F}\left(X_{w, v}^{+}\right)=Z_{f}\left(X_{w, v}^{+}\right) \\
& Z_{f}^{F}\left(X_{w, v}^{-}\right)=Z_{f}\left(X_{w, v}^{-}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

The values of $Z_{f}^{F}\left(T_{w_{1} w_{2} w_{3}, w}^{ \pm}\right)$are defined by the same formulas as in (D3), only $\Phi_{K Z}$ is replaced by $\tilde{\Phi}$ obtained from $\Phi_{K Z}$ by a twist using $F$.
Theorem 7: The map $Z_{f}^{F}$ is well-defined and for every $q$-tangle diagram $T$

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{f}^{F}(T)=\mathcal{F}_{w_{t}(T)} Z_{f}(T)\left[\mathcal{F}_{w_{b}(T)}\right]^{-1} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, $Z_{f}^{F}(L)=Z_{f}(L)$ for any tangle diagram $L$ without boundary points.
Proof: We need only to check identity (3). It's sufficient to check for the cases when $T$ are basic $q$-tangle diagrams. These cases follows trivially from the definition.

Note that if $\tilde{\Phi}$ has rational coefficients, i.e. if $\tilde{\Phi} \in \mathcal{B}_{3}$, then from the definition, the invariant $Z_{f}^{F}$ of a framed link (not framed q -tangle) has rational coefficients, $Z_{f}^{F}(K) \in$ $\mathcal{A}(K)$. Although the coefficients of $F$ may be irrational and in $\left(D 2 a^{\prime}, D 2 b^{\prime}\right)$ the elements $F, F^{-1}$ are involved, they appear in pairs which annihilate each other in every link diagram. Remark: In [Drin1, Drin2] Drinfeld defined twists for quasi-triangular quasi-Hopf algebras. Here we adapt a similar definition for the series of algebras $\mathcal{B}_{n}$ which play the role of a single quasi-triangular quasi-Hopf algebra. If we use the representation of section 10 below then we get a quasi-triangular quasi-Hopf algebra, and the construction of twists
here corresponds only to Drinfeld's twist which does not change the co-multiplication. If $F$ is not symmetric, then $\Delta$ is replaced by $\tilde{\Delta}=F^{21} \Delta F^{-1}=\left(F^{21} F^{-1}\right) \Delta$.

## 8. Uniqueness and rationality of the universal Vassiliev-Kontsevich invariant

Theorem 8: If $\Phi, \Phi^{\prime} \in\left(\mathcal{B}_{3} \otimes \mathbb{C}\right)$ satisfy (A1-A4), then $\Phi$ is obtained from $\Phi^{\prime}$ by a twist using $F \in \mathcal{B}_{2} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ satisfying (T1,T2).

As a corollary, from every solution $\Phi$ of (A1-A4) we can construct an invariant of framed $q$-tangles. All such invariants, when restrict to the sets of framed oriented links, are the same and contain all invariants of framed oriented links of finite type. By theorem $A^{\prime \prime}$ of [Drin2] there is a solution $\Phi_{\mathbb{Q}}$ with rational coefficients, thus we get
Corollary: The universal Vassiliev-Kontsevich invariant of framed links has rational coefficients in the sense that $\hat{Z}_{f}(L)$ belongs to $\mathcal{A}(L)$ for every framed link $L$. The Kontsevich integral of a knot has rational coefficient in the sense that $\tilde{Z}(K) \in \mathcal{A}_{0}$.

Proof of Theorem 8: Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Phi=1+\Phi_{1}+\cdots+\Phi_{n}+\cdots \\
& \Phi^{\prime}=1+\Phi_{1}^{\prime}+\cdots+\Phi_{n}^{\prime}+\cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $\Phi_{n}, \Phi_{n}^{\prime}$ are the homogeneous part of grading $n$. Suppose we already have $\Phi_{i}=\Phi_{i}^{\prime}$ for $0 \leq i \leq k-1$. Put $\psi=\Phi_{k}-\Phi_{k}^{\prime}$.

Comparing the $k$-grading parts of (A1-A4) for $\Phi, \Phi^{\prime}$ we get:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{d} \psi=0  \tag{C1}\\
\psi-\psi^{132}-\psi^{213}=0  \tag{C2}\\
\psi^{321}=-\psi  \tag{C3}\\
\varepsilon_{1}(\psi)=\varepsilon_{2}(\psi)=\varepsilon_{3}(\psi)=0 \tag{C4}
\end{gather*}
$$

where d: $\mathcal{B}_{n} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{n+1}$ is the mapping:

$$
\mathrm{d}(a)=1 \otimes a-\Delta_{1}(a)+\Delta_{2}(a)-\cdots+(-1)^{n} \Delta_{n}(a)+(-1)^{n+1} a \otimes 1
$$

We extend $d$ to $\mathcal{B}_{n} \otimes \mathbb{C}$.
Proposition 3: If $\psi \in \mathcal{B}_{3} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ of grading $k$ and satisfying (C1-C4) then there is a symmetric element $f \in \mathcal{B}_{2} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ of grading $k$ such that $d(f)=\psi ; \varepsilon_{1}(f)=\varepsilon_{2}(f)=0$.

Suppose for the moment that this is true. Pick $f$ as in this proposition. Then one can check immediately that the twist by $F=1+f$ transfers $\Phi$ to $\tilde{\Phi}$ with $\tilde{\Phi}_{i}=\Phi_{i}^{\prime}$ for $0 \leq i \leq k$.

Continue the process we can find a element $F \in \mathcal{B}_{2} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ satisfying ( $\mathrm{T} 1, \mathrm{~T} 2$ ) which transfers $\Phi$ into $\Phi^{\prime}$.

There remains Proposition 3 to prove.

## 9. Proof of Proposition 3

9.1. Other realizations of $\mathcal{B}_{n}$. A Chinese character ([Barl]) is a graph whose vertices are either trivalent and oriented or univalent. Here an orientation of a trivalent vertex is just a cyclic order of the three edges incident to this vertex. The trivalent vertices are called internal, the univalent vertices are called external. The edges of the graph will be represented by dashed lines on the plane. By convention all the orientations in figures are counterclockwise for Chinese characters.

An n-marked Chinese character $C$ is a Chinese character with at least one external vertex in each connected component, where in addition the external vertices are partitioned into $n$ labeled sets $\Theta_{1}(C), \ldots, \Theta_{n}(C)$.

Let $\mathcal{C}_{n}$ be the vector space over $\mathbb{Q}$ spanned by all $n$-marked Chinese characters subject to the antisymmetry vertex and IHX identities (see also [Bar1]):
(1) the antisymmetry of internal vertices:

(2) The IHX identity


Let us define linear mappings $\Delta_{i}: \mathcal{C}_{n} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{n+1}$ and $\varepsilon_{i}: \mathcal{C}_{n} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{n-1}$. Suppose the set $\Theta_{i}(C)$ of an $n$-marked Chinese character $C \in \mathcal{C}_{n}$ contains exactly $m$ vertices. There are $2^{m}$ ways of partition $\Theta_{i}(C)$ into an ordered pair of subsets. For each such partition $q$ let $D_{q}$ be the $(n+1)$-marked Chinese character with the same underlying Chinese character as $C, \Theta_{j}\left(D_{q}\right)=\Theta_{j}(C)$ if $j<i, \Theta_{j}\left(D_{q}\right)=\Theta_{j-1}(C)$ if $j \geq i+2$, while $\Theta_{i}\left(D_{q}\right), \Theta_{i+1}\left(D_{q}\right)$
are two subsets of $\Theta_{i}(C)$ corresponding to the partition $q$. Define $\Delta_{i}(C)$ as the sum of all $2^{m} \quad(n+1)$-marked Chinese characters $D_{q}$.

If $\Theta_{i}(C) \neq \emptyset$ define $\varepsilon_{i}(C)=0$. Otherwise define $\varepsilon(C)$ as the $(n-1)$-marked Chinese character with the same underlying Chinese character and $\Theta_{j}\left(\varepsilon_{i}(C)\right)=\Theta_{j}(C)$ if $j<i$, $\Theta_{j}\left(\varepsilon_{i}(C)\right)=\Theta_{j+1}(C)$ if $j \geq i$.

The $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$-grading of an $n$-marked Chinese character $C$ is the tuple $\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{n}\right)$ of integers, where $k_{i}$ is the number of elements of $\Theta_{i}(C)$. The number $\sum_{i=1}^{n} k_{i}$ is called the $\mathbb{Z}$-grading of $C$. Note that all the mappings $\Delta_{i}, \varepsilon_{i}$ respect the $\mathbb{Z}$-grading.

We define the linear mapping $d: \mathcal{C}_{n} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{n+1}$ by

$$
d(C)=1 \otimes C-\Delta_{1}(C)+\Delta_{2}(C)-\cdots+(-1)^{n} \Delta_{n}(C)+(-1)^{n+1} C \otimes 1
$$

Here $1 \otimes C$ and $C \otimes 1$ are the $(n+1)$-marked Chinese characters gotten from modifying the marking on $C$ by setting $\Theta_{1}(1 \otimes C)=\emptyset, \Theta_{j}(1 \otimes C)=\Theta_{j-1}(C)$ for $2 \leq j \leq n+1$, $\Theta_{n+1}(1 \otimes C)=\emptyset, \Theta_{j}(1 \otimes C)=\Theta_{j}(C)$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$.

Now we define a linear mapping $\chi: \mathcal{C}_{n} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{n}$ as follows. First we define $\chi^{\prime}(C)$ for an $n$-marked Chinese character $C$ of $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$-grading $\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{n}\right)$. There are $k_{i}$ ! ways to put vertices from $\Theta_{i}(C)$ on the $i$-th string and each of the $k_{1}!\ldots k_{n}$ ! possibilities gives us an element of $\mathcal{B}_{n}$. Sum up all such elements we get $\chi^{\prime}(C)$.

Now we use the following STU relation

to convert every diagram appearing in $\chi^{\prime}(C)$ into chord diagram, by that way from $\chi^{\prime}(C)$ we get $\chi(C)$.

Theorem 9: The linear mapping $\chi$ is well-defined and is an isomorphism between vector spaces $\mathcal{C}_{n}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{n}$ commuting with all the operators $\Delta_{i}, \varepsilon_{i}$.

Remark: $\chi$, however, does not preserve gradings.
The proof for the case $n=1$ is presented in [Bar1, Theorems $6 \& 8]$. This proof does not concern the support of chord diagrams except for the first step of the induction which is trivial in case $n \geq 1$ (see also [Bar2]).

Consider the following subspaces $\mathcal{G}_{n}$ of $\mathcal{C}_{n} \otimes \mathbb{C}, \mathcal{G}_{n}=\cap_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{ker}\left(\varepsilon_{i}\right)$. It can be checked
that $d\left(\mathcal{G}_{n}\right) \subset \mathcal{G}_{n+1}$. We will now study the homology of the following chain complex:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{G}_{1} \xrightarrow{d} \ldots \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{G}_{n} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{G}_{n+1} \xrightarrow{d} \cdots . \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $d$ preserves the $\mathbb{Z}$-grading, hence it suffices to consider the part of $\mathbb{Z}$-grading $m$ of the complex.

$$
\left(*_{m}\right)
$$

$$
0 \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{G}_{1}^{m} \xrightarrow{d} \ldots \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{G}_{n}^{m} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{G}_{n+1}^{m} \xrightarrow{d} \cdots,
$$

where $\mathcal{G}_{n}^{m}$ is the homogeneous part of $\mathbb{Z}$-grading $m$ of $\mathcal{G}_{n}$. We will find a geometric interpretation of this complex.
9.2. A simplicial complex of the cube. Let $I^{m}$ be the $m$-dimensional cube,

$$
I^{m}=\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} v_{i} \quad \mid \quad \lambda_{i} \in[0,1]\right\}
$$

where $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{m}$ form a base of $\mathbb{R}^{m}$. We partition $I_{m}$ into $m!m$-simplexes: a permutation $\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{m}\right)$ of $(1, \ldots, m)$ gives rise to the $m$-simplex which is the convex hull of $m+1$ points $0, v_{i_{1}}, v_{i_{1}}+v_{i_{2}}, \ldots, v_{i_{1}}+\cdots+v_{i_{m}}$. This turns $I^{m}$ into a simplicial complex, denoted by $C\left(I^{m}\right)$. The space $C_{k}\left(I^{m}\right)$ is the vector space over $\mathbb{C}$ spanned by all the $k$-facets of all $m$ ! above $m$-simplexes. The boundary $\partial\left(I^{m}\right)$ is a simplicial subcomplex. The space $C_{k}\left(\partial\left(I^{m}\right)\right)$ is spanned by all $k$-facets which lie entirely in $\partial\left(I^{m}\right)$.

Let $C_{k}$ be the vector space over $\mathbb{C}$ spanned by all tuples $\left(\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{k}\right)$ which are partitions of the set $\{1,2, \ldots, m\}$, each $\theta_{i}$ non-empty. Define $\partial: C_{k} \rightarrow C_{k-1}$ by $\partial\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \ldots, \theta_{k}\right)=\left(\theta_{1} \cup \theta_{2}, \theta_{3}, \ldots, \theta_{k}\right)-\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2} \cup \theta_{3}, \ldots, \theta_{k}\right)+\cdots+(-1)^{k-1}\left(\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{k-1} \cup \theta_{k}\right)$.

Then the chain complex $\left(C_{*}, \partial\right)$ is isomorphic to the quotient complex $C\left(I^{m}\right) / C\left(\partial\left(I^{m}\right)\right)$. In fact, the mapping which sends $\left(\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{k}\right)$ to the $k$-simplex with vertices $0, v_{\theta_{1}}, v_{\theta_{1}}+$ $v_{\theta_{2}}, \ldots, v_{\theta_{1}}+\cdots+v_{\theta_{k}}$ is an isomorphism between these two complexes, where $v_{\theta}=\sum_{j \in \theta} v_{j}$.

Let $E_{m}$ be the dual chain complex of $\left(C_{*}, \partial\right), E_{m}=\left(C^{*}, \delta\right)$. Using the above base of $C_{k}$, we can identify $C_{k}^{*}$ with $C_{k}$ with the same base. Then the co-boundary $\delta$ can be written explicitly as
$\delta\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \ldots, \theta_{k}\right)=\left(\delta\left(\theta_{1}\right), \theta_{2}, \ldots, \theta_{k}\right)-\left(\theta_{1}, \delta\left(\theta_{2}\right), \ldots, \theta_{k}\right)+\cdots+(-1)^{k-1}\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \ldots, \delta\left(\theta_{k}\right)\right)$, where for a non-empty subset $\theta$ of $\{1,2, \ldots, m\}$ we set $\delta(\theta)=\Sigma\left(\theta^{\prime}, \theta^{\prime \prime}\right)$, the summation is over all possible partitions of $\theta$ into an ordered pair of non-empty subsets.
Proposition 4: The homology of the chain complex $E_{m}$ is given by $H_{m}\left(E_{m}\right)=\mathbb{C}$, $H_{i}\left(E_{m}\right)=0$ for $0 \leq i \leq m-1$.

This follows from the fact that the homology of $E_{m}$ is the reduced cohomology of $I^{m} / \partial\left(I^{m}\right)$.

Since every tuple $\left(\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{k}\right) \in C_{k}$ is a partition of $\{1,2, \ldots, m\}$, the symmetric group $S_{m}$ acts naturally on $C_{k}$. In the simplicial complex $C\left(I^{m}\right)$ this corresponds to the action: $\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{m}\right) \rightarrow\left(v_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, v_{\sigma(m)}\right)$ for $\sigma \in S_{m}$. On (co)homology the action is trivial.

Proposition 5: For every right $S_{m}$-module $N$

$$
H\left(N \otimes_{S_{m}} E_{m}\right)=N \otimes_{S_{m}} H\left(E_{m}\right)
$$

Proof: This result is well-known (it was used implicitly in [Drin1]). The proof reduces to the cases of irreducible representations of $S_{m}$.

Consider an irreducible representation $N_{\lambda}$ of $S_{m}$ parametrized by a partition $\lambda=$ $\left(\lambda_{1}, \cdots, \lambda_{k}\right), \lambda_{1} \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_{k} \geq 0, \sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_{i}=m$. The symmetric group $S_{m}$ acts on the complex $E_{m}$ and this action is compatible with the chain map. So we can split $E_{m}=\oplus_{\lambda} E_{m, \lambda}$, where $E_{m, \lambda}$ is isomorphic to a direct sum of several (say $m_{\lambda}$ ) copies of $N_{\lambda}^{\star}$ as a left $S_{m}$-module, where $N_{\lambda}^{\star}$ is the contragradient left $S_{m}$-module of $N_{\lambda}$, given by the transpose matrices. Then, $N_{\lambda} \otimes_{s_{m}} E_{m} \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{S_{m}}\left(N_{\lambda}^{\star}, E_{m}\right)$ and so, by Schur's lemma, $N_{\lambda} \otimes_{S_{m}} E_{m} \cong N_{\lambda} \otimes_{S_{m}} E_{m, \lambda} \cong E_{m, \lambda} / S_{m}$. Since $S_{m}$ acts on $H\left(E_{m}\right)$ trivially, $H\left(E_{m, \lambda}\right)=0$ if $N_{\lambda}$ is not the trivial module. Hence $H\left(N_{\lambda} \otimes_{S_{m}} E_{m}\right)=0$ if $N_{\lambda}$ is not the trivial module. If $N_{\lambda}$ is the trivial module, we have $H\left(N_{\lambda} \otimes_{S_{m}} E_{m}\right)=H\left(E_{m}\right)$.
9.3. Proof of Proposition 3. Denote the homogeneous part of $\mathbb{Z}^{m}$-grading $(1,1, \ldots, 1)$ of $\mathcal{C}_{m}$ by $\Gamma_{m}$. The symmetric group $S_{m}$ acts on the right on $\Gamma_{m}$ by permuting the $m$ strings. Proposition 6: The chain complex ( $*_{m}$ ) is isomorphic to the chain complex $\Gamma_{m} \otimes S_{m} E_{m}$.

Proof: An element $C$ of $\mathcal{C}_{m}$ of $\mathbb{Z}^{m}$-grading $(1, \ldots, 1)$ is just a Chinese character with $m$ external vertices which are numbered from 1 to $m$. We map an element $C \otimes\left(\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{k}\right)$ to the element $D$ of $\mathcal{G}_{k}^{m}$ with the same underlying Chinese character as that of $C$, only $\Theta_{i}(D)$ is the set of external vertices whose numbers are in $\theta_{i}$. It can be verified at once that this is an isomorphism between the two complexes.

Proposition 7: Suppose $\psi \in \mathcal{G}_{3}$ satisfying:

$$
\begin{gather*}
d \psi=0 \\
\psi-\psi^{213}-\psi^{132}=0 \\
\psi=-\psi^{321}
\end{gather*}
$$

Then there is a symmetric element $f \in \mathcal{G}_{2}$ such that $d f=\psi$.
( $f \in \mathcal{G}_{2}$ is symmetric if $f^{21}=f$, by definition.)
Proof: It suffices to consider the case when $\psi$ is homogeneous. Since $d \psi=0$, if the $\mathbb{Z}$-grading $k$ of $\psi$ is greater than 3 then by the previous proposition there is $f^{\prime} \in \mathcal{G}_{2}^{k}$ such that $d f^{\prime}=\psi$.

If $k=3$, then the $\mathbb{Z}^{3}$-grading of $\psi$ must be $(1,1,1)$, i.e $\psi \in \Gamma_{3}$. Consider $f_{1}, f_{2} \in \mathcal{G}_{2}^{3}$ with the same underlying Chinese character as $\psi$, only $\Theta_{1}\left(f_{1}\right)=\Theta_{1}(\psi) \cup \Theta_{2}(\psi), \Theta_{2}\left(f_{1}\right)=$ $\Theta_{3}(\psi), \Theta_{1}\left(f_{2}\right)=\Theta_{1}(\psi), \Theta_{2}\left(f_{1}\right)=\Theta_{2}(\psi) \cup \Theta_{3}(\psi)$. Put $f^{\prime}=\left(f_{1}-f_{2}\right) / 3$. Then using $\left(C 2^{\prime}\right)$ one checks easily that $d f^{\prime}=\psi$.

In both cases we have $d f^{\prime}=\psi$ for some element $f^{\prime} \in \mathcal{G}_{2}$. Note that $d\left(g^{21}\right)=-(d g)^{321}$ for every $g \in \mathcal{G}_{2}$. The sum $f=\left(f^{\prime}+\sigma f^{\prime}\right) / 2$ is a symmetric element. Using $\left(C 3^{\prime}\right)$ we see that $d f=\psi$.

Now Proposition 3 follows from this proposition and Theorem 9.

## 10. Representation by matrices

Suppose for $1 \leq i, j, k, l \leq N$ there are given complex numbers $r_{i j}^{k l}$. By a state of a chord diagram $D$ in $\mathcal{A}$ we mean a map from the set of all arcs of the loop divided by vertices of chords to the set $\{1,2, \ldots, N\}$. For a fixed state we associate to every chord of $D$ a number as indicated below:


Take the product of all the numbers associated to all the chords, and then sum up over all the possible states to get a number. This number is well-defined (because of 4-term relation) iff (cf.[Lin, Bar1]):
a) $r_{i j}^{k l}=r_{j i}^{l k}$,
b) $\left[r^{(12)}, r^{(13)}\right]+\left[r^{(12)}, r^{(23)}\right]=0$.

Where in b ) we view $r$ as a linear mapping from $\mathbb{C}^{N} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{N}$ to $\mathbb{C}^{N} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{N}$, and $r^{(i j)}$ is the linear mapping from $\mathbb{C}^{N} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{N} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{N}$ to $\mathbb{C}^{N} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{N} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{N}$ which is as $r$ on the $i$-th and $j$-th components while leaves the rest unchanged. The equation $b$ ) is the linearized classical Yang-Baxter equation ([Drin3]).

Suppose $r$ satisfies $a$ ), $b$ ). Multiplying $r$ by a formal parameter $h$ and applying the above procedure we get for every diagram $D \in \mathcal{A}$ an element $W_{r}(D)$ in $\mathbb{C}[h]$. If $K$ is a
framed link then $W_{\tau}\left(\hat{Z}_{f}(K)\right) \in \mathbb{C}[[h]]$ is an isotopy invariant.
Now suppose $\mathfrak{g}$ is a classical simple Lie algebra, $\rho: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \operatorname{End}(V)$ is a representation. Fix an invariant non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form (Killing form) on $\mathfrak{g}$. Let $t$ be the symmetric invariant element in $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ corresponding to the bilinear form. Then it can be checked easily that $\rho(t) \in \operatorname{End}(V) \otimes \operatorname{End}(V)$ satisfies both equations $a), b)$. Hence we can get an invariant of framed links $\kappa_{\mathfrak{g}, \rho}=W_{\rho(t)}\left(\hat{Z}_{f}\right)$ by the above procedure ( $t$ is defined up to a constant).

On the other hand, for every representation $\rho: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \operatorname{End}(V)$, using the universal $R$ matrix, one can construct another invariant $\tau_{g, \rho}$ of framed links by the Reshetikhin-Turaev method (cf. [Re-Tu, Tul]). Actually this method gives a representation of tangles rather than q -tangles and can be summarized as follows. There is a structure of ribbon Hopf algebra ( $[\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{Tu}]$ ) on the $h$-adic completion $\hat{U} \mathfrak{g}$ of $U \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[[h]]$, where $U \mathfrak{g}$ is the universal enveloping algebra of $\mathfrak{g}$. The $R$-matrix of this ribbon Hopf algebra was constructed by Drinfeld and Jimbo [Drin3, Jim]. The standard procedure (see [Re-Tu]) associates to every representation $\rho: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \operatorname{End}(V)$ an invariant $\tau_{\mathfrak{g}, \rho}$ of framed oriented links.

Theorem 10: The two invariants $\kappa_{\mathfrak{g}, \rho}$ and $\tau_{\mathfrak{g}, \rho}$ of framed oriented links are the same, up to constant.

To see that the two invariants $\kappa, \tau$ are the same (problem 4.9 in [Bar1]) we can proceed as follows. Let $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}$ be an orthonormal base with respect to the Killing form. We will first define a linear mapping $\mu: \mathcal{B}_{m} \rightarrow \hat{U}^{2}{ }^{\otimes m}[[h]]$. Suppose the vertices of a chord diagram $D \in \mathcal{B}_{m}$ are $a_{1}^{i}, \ldots, a_{k_{i}}^{i}$ on the $i$-th string (the order follows the orientation of the string). A state is a mapping $\sigma$ from the set of all vertices $\left\{a_{i}^{j}\right\}$ to $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ which takes the same value on the two vertices of every chord ( $n$ is the dimension of $\mathfrak{g}$ ). Let

$$
\mu(D)=h^{(\# \text { of vertices }) / 2} \sum_{\text {states } \sigma} g_{\sigma\left(a_{1}^{1}\right)} \ldots g_{\sigma\left(a_{k_{1}}^{1}\right)} \otimes \cdots \otimes g_{\sigma\left(a_{1}^{m}\right)} \ldots g_{\sigma\left(a_{k_{m}}^{m}\right)}
$$

This is a well-defined linear mapping (see also [Bar1]).
Drinfeld proved that ([Drin1, Drin2]) there is another structure on $\hat{U} \mathfrak{g}$ which makes $\hat{U} \mathfrak{g}$ a quasi-triangular quasi-Hopf algebra (not Hopf algebra), with the usual co-multiplication of the universal enveloping algebra, $R=\exp (h t / 2), \Phi=\Phi_{K Z}\left(t^{12}, t^{23}\right)$. Moreover this quasi-triangular quasi-Hopf algebra is a ribbon quasi-Hopf algebra (see the definition of ribbon quasi-Hopf algebra in [Al-Co]), the ribbon element is $v=\exp \left(-\sum_{i=1}^{n} g_{i} g_{i} / 2\right)$.

The series of algebras $\mathcal{B}_{n}$ is not a ribbon quasi-Hopf algebra, but we have defined operators $\Delta, \varepsilon$, elements $\Phi, R$ for them. It is easy to see that the mapping $\mu$ commutes
with $\Delta, \varepsilon, \Phi, R$, and the invariant $\kappa_{\mathbf{9}, \mathrm{p}}$ is exactly the invariant of oriented framed links obtained by the standard procedure (see [Al-Co]) using the ribbon quasi-Hopf algebra and the representation $\rho: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \operatorname{End}(V)$.

Drinfeld [Drin1] proved that the above two structures on $\hat{U} \mathfrak{g}: 1$ ) ribbon Hopf algebra structure and 2) ribbon quasi-Hopf algebra structure are gauge equivalent, i.e. one can be obtained from the other by a (non-symmetric) twist (see also [Koh, Kas]). Their categories of representations are equivalent, hence the two invariants $\kappa$ and $\tau$ are the same (up to constant).

In case $g=s l_{N}$ or $s o_{N}$ and $V$ is the fundamental representation from this fact we can deduce some relations between the multiple zeta values $\zeta\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}\right)$ (cf. [Le-Mu1, Le-Mu2], in these papers we need not use Drinfeld's results, instead we use the explicit formula of the Kontsevich integral).

## 11. Comments

The series of algebras $\mathcal{B}_{n}, n=1,2, \ldots$ can be thought of as a generalization of a ribbon quasi-Hopf algebra (cf. [Al-Co, Drin1, Drin2]). Such operations as multiplication, co-multiplication, antipode etc. can be defined. The ribbon element is $v=\exp (-\omega / 2) \in \mathcal{B}_{1}$. This series of algebras play the role of one ribbon quasi-Hopf algebra in the construction of invariants of q -tangles, as in [AI-Co].
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