

**Expansivity for optical Hamiltonian
systems with two degrees of freedom**

**Gabriel P. Paternain
Miguel Paternain**

IMERL-Facultad de Ingenieria
Julio Herrera y Reissig 565
Montevideo

Uruguay

Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik
Gottfried-Claren-Straße 26
D-5300 Bonn 3

Germany

EXPANSIVITY FOR OPTICAL HAMILTONIAN SYSTEMS WITH TWO DEGREES OF FREEDOM

GABRIEL P. PATERNAIN AND MIGUEL PATERNAIN

ABSTRACT. Let H be an optical Hamiltonian on T^*M where M is a compact oriented surface. Let h be the maximum of H over the zero section of T^*M . We show that if the Hamiltonian flow of H is expansive on the compact regular energy level $H^{-1}(\sigma)$, then there are no conjugate points provided $\sigma > h$. We also show that if H is symmetric and $\sigma < h$ then the Hamiltonian flow of H on $H^{-1}(\sigma)$ cannot be expansive.

1. Introduction

A flow $\phi_t : X \rightarrow X$ on a compact metric space (X, d) is said to be *expansive* if given $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that if there is an homeomorphism $\tau : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$, $\tau(0) = 0$, such that

$$d(\phi_{\tau(t)}(y), \phi_t(x)) < \delta$$

then $y = \phi_{\bar{t}}(x)$ where $|\bar{t}| < \epsilon$. Anosov flows and suspension of Pseudo-Anosov maps are expansive.

In [10] the second author showed that if M is a closed riemannian surface whose geodesic flow is expansive, then M has no conjugate points and hence all expansive geodesic flows are topologically equivalent. In this note we announce a generalization of these results to the wider open class of optical Hamiltonians and we give outlines of the proofs.

Let M be a closed oriented surface and let $H : T^*M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be a smooth Hamiltonian with associated Hamiltonian flow ϕ_t . We will say that H is *optical or convex* (cf.[1]) if for each $q \in M$ the function $H(q, \cdot)$ regarded as a function on the linear space T_q^*M has positive definite Hessian; equivalently the second fibre derivative $D_F^2 H$ is positive definite. Let σ be a regular value of H and let $\Sigma_\sigma = H^{-1}(\sigma)$. It is well known that ϕ_t leaves Σ_σ invariant. Let h be the maximum of H over the zero section of T^*M .

Let $\pi : T^*M \rightarrow M$ be the canonical projection. For $s \in (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$ consider a curve $s \rightarrow p_s \in T_q^*M$ with $p_0 \in \Sigma_\sigma$. Let $Y(t)$ be the variational field

$$Y(t) = \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=0} \pi \circ \phi_t(p_s).$$

First author supported by the Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik and by a travel grant from CDE. On leave from : IMERL-Facultad de Ingenieria, Julio Herrera y Reissig 565, Montevideo-Uruguay

Second author partially supported by Centro de Matemática, Montevideo-Uruguay

We will say that Σ_σ has no conjugate points if for all fields Y as above we have that $Y(t) \neq 0$ for all $t \neq 0$.

Theorem 1.1. *Let M be a closed oriented surface and let H be an optical Hamiltonian on T^*M . Suppose ϕ_t is expansive on the compact energy level Σ_σ . Then Σ_σ has no conjugate points provided $\sigma > h$.*

The Hamiltonian H is said to be symmetric if it is invariant under the involution $(q, p) \rightarrow (q, -p)$. The following theorem describes the situation when $\sigma < h$ under the additional assumption of symmetry (note that $\sigma = h$ is not a regular value of H).

Theorem 1.2. *Let M be a closed oriented surface and let H be an optical symmetric Hamiltonian on T^*M . Then if $\sigma < h$ the Hamiltonian flow ϕ_t on Σ_σ cannot be expansive.*

We are very grateful to Ricardo Mañé for his generous help and for encouraging us to write this work. We are also grateful to Marco Brunella and to the participants of the Dynamical Systems Seminar at Montevideo for numerous conversations.

2. Sketches of proofs

We start by describing a few important facts about expansive flows. Let X be a closed oriented 3-manifold endowed with a riemannian metric. Let $\phi_t : X \rightarrow X$ be an expansive smooth flow with associated vector field W . Suppose $W(x) \neq 0$ for all $x \in X$. Define

$$H_\epsilon(x) = \{exp_x v : \|v\| < \epsilon \text{ and } \langle W(x), v \rangle = 0\}.$$

It is easy to see that ϕ_t is expansive if there exists $0 < \alpha < \epsilon$ so that if there is a smooth increasing surjective function $\tau_{x,y} : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$, $\tau_{x,y}(0) = 0$ for which

$$\phi_t(y) \in H_\alpha(\phi_{\tau_{x,y}(t)}(x))$$

for all $t \in \mathbf{R}$ then $x = y$.

For $\delta < \alpha$ define the stable set $S_\delta(x)$ as

$$S_\delta(x) = \{y \in H_\delta(x) : \phi_t(y) \in H_\delta(\phi_{\tau_{x,y}(t)}(x)) \text{ for } t \geq 0$$

for some increasing surjective function $\tau_{x,y} : \mathbf{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^+$ \}.

Analogously for $t \leq 0$ we define the unstable set $U_\delta(x)$.

Definition 2.1. We say that $x \in X$ has a local product structure if there exists a homeomorphism of \mathbf{R}^2 onto an open neighborhood of x in $H_\alpha(x)$ that maps horizontal (vertical) lines onto open subsets of local stable (unstable) sets.

The main consequence of expansivity is the following proposition which is proved in [11] (see [8] for the discrete version).

Proposition 2.2. *Except for a finite number of periodic orbits, whose points we call singular, every point of X has a local product structure. If x is a singular point, $S_\delta(x)$ is the union of r arcs, $r \geq 3$, that only meet at x .*

In what follows, lemmas 2.3, 2.6 and 2.7 are due to Marco Brunella and are borrowed from the paper [2] in which he classifies all expansive flows on Seifert manifolds and torus bundles.

Lemma 2.3. *There is no periodic orbit of ϕ_t belonging to a homotopy class which is a central element of $\pi_1(X)$.*

Proof: Is an adaptation of Lemma 2.4 in [3] to the expansive case; this adaptation is possible on account of results in [7, 11]. \square

Remark 2.4. *A periodic orbit is not null-homotopic.*

Suppose from now on that X is a circle bundle over an oriented surface M .

Corollary 2.5. *A periodic orbit of ϕ_t is not homotopic to a circle of the fibration.*

Proof: The fundamental group of a fibre is central in $\pi_1(X)$ (cf.[6]). \square

Now let $\omega \subset M$ be a simple closed curve not null-homotopic and disjoint from the projection of the singular orbits. Denote by T_ω^2 the incompressible torus $\pi^{-1}(\omega) \subset X$, where $\pi : X \rightarrow M$ is the fibration map. The following lemma is straightforward:

Lemma 2.6. *If $\gamma \subset X$ is a simple closed curve such that for all $\omega \subset M$ as above γ is homotopic to a curve which does not intersect T_ω^2 , then $\pi \circ \gamma$ is null-homotopic.*

Now let S denote the set of singular orbits of ϕ_t .

Lemma 2.7. *The set S is empty.*

Proof: Assume that S is not empty. Let $\gamma \subset S$ be a singular orbit of ϕ_t and let $\omega \subset M$ be any closed curve as before. Let us disjoin γ and T_ω^2 and thus obtain a contradiction to Corollary 2.5 and Lemma 2.6. First we put (as in [11, 7] by techniques of [13, 5]) T_ω^2 in general position with respect to the stable foliation. By this procedure, the stable foliation induces a 1-dimensional foliation on T_ω^2 whose singularities are centers and saddles due to the tangencies, and a finite number of prongs due to the transverse intersection of T_ω^2 and S . This can be done in such a way that the only connection between these elements are saddle self-connections ([7, 11]). A combinatorial argument as in [12] shows that the number of centers is less than or equal than the number of self-connected saddles and this, in turn implies -via the Poincaré-Hopf formula- that there are no prongs and consequently that T_ω^2 does not intersect S : a contradiction. \square

We are now ready to sketch the proof of the theorems.

Proof of Theorem 1.1: Since $\sigma > h$ then Σ_σ admits a fibration by circles where the circles are just $T_q^*M \cap \Sigma_\sigma$. By Proposition 2.2 and Lemma 2.7 the stable sets give rise to a continuous foliation on Σ_σ without singularities (in [10] the symmetry of the riemannian metric was heavily used to show the absence of singular orbits; as Lemma 2.7 shows, the symmetry is in fact not needed). Hence we can attach to each

continuous closed curve $\alpha : S^1 \rightarrow \Sigma_\sigma$ an index, $ind \alpha$, just as it was done in [10] for the geodesic flow case (this definition of the index is inspired by Mañé's paper [9] and is a continuous version of the Maslov-Arnold index). This index is roughly the winding number of the stable foliation around α and its basic properties are (the last two properties depend strongly on the fact that H is optical):

- It is invariant under homotopies
- If α is a closed orbit of ϕ_t then $ind \alpha \geq 0$.
- If α is a closed orbit of ϕ_t then $ind \alpha > 0$ if and only if $\pi \circ \alpha$ has conjugate points within one period.

Since H is convex on each fibre and $\sigma > h$ we can assume without loss of generality that H is the Hamiltonian corresponding to a Finsler metric. Suppose Σ_σ has conjugate points. Since the closed orbits of ϕ_t are dense we can find a closed orbit α such that $\pi \circ \alpha$ possesses conjugate points and thus positive index. Let us consider the homotopy class $[\pi \circ \alpha]$ and observe that it is not trivial (cf. Remark 2.4 and Corollary 2.5). Hence on account of Morse theory we can find an orbit β of ϕ_t so that $\pi \circ \beta$ is homotopic to $\pi \circ \alpha$ and it minimizes the length in its homotopy class. By the Morse index theorem $\pi \circ \beta$ has no conjugate points within one period and thus $ind \beta = 0$. But this is a contradiction because $ind \beta = ind \alpha > 0$ since α and β are homotopic. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2: Since H is convex and symmetric if $\sigma < h$ there exists [4, Theorem A'] a break orbit in Σ_σ ; that is, an orbit of ϕ_t whose projection connects boundary points of $\pi\Sigma_\sigma$ but otherwise runs through the interior. But such an orbit is contractible within Σ_σ which contradicts Remark 2.4. \square

REFERENCES

1. M.L. Bialy, L.V. Polterovich, *Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms and Lagrangian distributions*, Geom. and Funct. Analysis **2** (1992) 173-210
2. M. Brunella, *Expansive flows on Seifert manifolds and torus bundles*, preprint ICTP (1991)
3. E. Ghys, *Flots d'Anosov sur les 3-variétés fibrées en cercles*, Ergod. Th and Dynam. Sys. **4** (1984) 67-80
4. H. Gluck, W. Ziller, *Periodic motions of conservative systems*, Ann. of Math. Studies **103** (1983) ed. E. Bombieri- Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 65-98
5. G. Hector, U. Hirsch, *Introduction to the Geometry of Foliations*, Part B. Aspects of Mathematics. Vieweg (1987)
6. J. Hempel, *3-manifolds*, Ann. of Math. Studies **86** (1976) Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press
7. T. Inaba, S. Matsumoto, *Nonsingular expansive flows on 3-manifolds and foliations with circle prong singularities*, Japan Jour. of Math. **16** (2) (1990) 329-340
8. J. Lewowicz, *Expansive homeomorphisms on surfaces*, Bol. Soc. Brasi. Mat. **20** (1) (1989) 113-133
9. R. Mañé, *On a theorem of Klingenberg*, Dynamical Systems and Bifurcation Theory, M. Camacho, M. Pacifico and F. Takens eds, Pitman Research Notes in Math. **160** (1987), 319-345
10. M. Paternain, *Expansive geodesic flows on surfaces*, to appear in Ergod Th. and Dynam. Sys.
11. M. Paternain, *Expansive flows and the fundamental group*, An. Acad. Bras. Ci. (1989) 129-130 and Ph.D. Thesis, IMPA (1990)

12. H. Rosenberg, R. Roussarie, *Reeb foliations*, Ann. of Math. **91** (1970) 1-24
13. V.V. Solodov, *Components of topological foliations*, Math. of the USSR Sbornik, **42** (2) (1984) 329-343

MAX-PLANCK-INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK, GOTTFRIED-CLAREN-STRASSE 26, 5300- BONN
3, GERMANY, FAX: (49-228) 402277
E-mail address: gabriel@mpim-bonn.mpg.de

IMERL-FACULTAD DE INGENIERIA, JULIO HERRERA Y REISSIG 565, MONTEVIDEO- URUGUAY,
FAX: (5982) 715446
E-mail address: miguel@cmat.edu.uy