

Vanishing of Ext groups in functor categories

T. Pirashvili

Mathematical Institute
Academy of Sciences
Rukhadze st. 1.. Tbilisi,
Georgia, 380093

USSR

Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik
Gottfried-Claren-Straße 26
D-5300 Bonn 3

Germany

§ 1. Introduction.

The aim of this paper is the following result.

1.1 Theorem. *Let \underline{A} be a small additive category and \underline{F} be the category of all functors from \underline{A} to the category of modules over the ring R . Let*

$$N : \underline{A}^n \longrightarrow R\text{-mod}, \quad n \geq 2,$$

be a functor with the property that

$$(1.2) \quad N(X_1, \dots, X_n) = 0 \text{ if there is } i \in \{1, \dots, n\} \text{ with } X_i = 0.$$

Let

$$N^d : \underline{A} \longrightarrow R\text{-mod}$$

be the composition of N with the diagonal embedding $\underline{A} \longrightarrow \underline{A}_n$. Then

$$\text{Ext}_{\underline{F}}^q(M, N^d) = 0 = \text{Ext}_{\underline{F}}^q(N^d, M), \quad q \geq 0,$$

whenever F has degree (in sense of Eilenberg and MacLane [EM]) at most $n - 1$.

This theorem was proved in [P] in case $n = 2$. Using 3.9 [JP] we deduce from theorem 1.1 the

1.3 Corollary. *Suppose that the values of M are projective R -modules. Then*

$$H^*\left(\underline{A}, \text{Hom}_R(M, N^d)\right) = 0$$

where $\text{Hom}_R(M, N^d)$ is the bifunctor on \underline{A} given by

$$(X, Y) \mapsto \text{Hom}_R(M(X), N(Y, \dots, Y)).$$

§ 2. Proof of the theorem

We recall that for any functor $T : \underline{A} \longrightarrow R\text{-mod}$ and any $\kappa \geq 0$ the κ -th cross-effect of T is a functor

$$T_\kappa : \underline{A}^\kappa \longrightarrow R\text{-mod},$$

such that for $X_1, \dots, X_m \in \text{Ob } \underline{A}$ there exists a natural decomposition (see [EM])

$$(2.1) \quad T(X_1 \oplus \dots \oplus X_m) = \bigoplus T_\kappa(X_{i_1}, \dots, X_{i_n}),$$

where the sum is taken over all $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq m$. The functor T has degree at most m if $T_{m+1} = 0$. We denote by $\eta_{T, \kappa}(X)$ the projection on the summand $T_\kappa(X, \dots, X)$ of the map $T(X) \longrightarrow T\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{\kappa} X\right)$ which is induced by diagonal map $X \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\kappa} X$. Then we obtain the natural transformation

$$\eta_{T, \kappa} : T \longrightarrow T_\kappa^d.$$

Here T_κ^d denotes the composition of $T_\kappa : \underline{A}^\kappa \longrightarrow R\text{-mod}$ with the diagonal embedding $\underline{A} \longrightarrow \underline{A}^\kappa$. Let $\xi_{T,\kappa}(X)$ be the restriction on the summand $T_\kappa^d(X)$ of the map $T\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^\kappa X\right) \longrightarrow T(X)$ which is induced by the codiagonal map $\bigoplus_{i=1}^\kappa X \longrightarrow X$. Then we have the natural transformation

$$\xi_{T,\kappa} : T_\kappa^d \longrightarrow T.$$

2.2 Lemma. Let $N : \underline{A}^n \longrightarrow R\text{-mod}$ be the functor satisfying condition 1.2 and $T = N^d$. Then $\eta_{T,n}$ is a split monomorphism and $\xi_{T,n}$ is a split epimorphism.

Proof. Let $X_1, \dots, X_n \in \text{Ob } \underline{A}$ and let

$$\alpha(X_1, \dots, X_n) : \bigoplus_{i=1}^n T(X_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \hat{X}_i \oplus \dots \oplus X_n) \longrightarrow T\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^n X_i\right)$$

be induced by the inclusion

$$X_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \hat{X}_i \oplus \dots \oplus X_n \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^n X_i.$$

Then 2.1 implies that the column in the following diagram is exact.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & & & \bigoplus_{i=1}^n T(X \oplus \dots \oplus \hat{X}_i \oplus \dots \oplus X) \\ & & & & \downarrow \alpha \\ T(X) & = & N(X, \dots, X) & \xrightarrow[N(p_1, \dots, p_n)]{T(\text{diag})} & T\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^n X\right) & = & N\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^n X, \dots, \bigoplus_{i=1}^n X\right) \\ & \searrow \eta_{T,n} & & & \downarrow pr & & \\ & & & & T_n^d(X) & & \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \\ & & & & 0 & & \end{array}$$

Here pr is the projection in the decomposition 2.1 and

$$p_i : \bigoplus_{i=1}^n X \longrightarrow X$$

is the projection on the i -th summand. Hence we get

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_{T,n} &= pr \circ T(\text{diag}), \\ N(p_1, \dots, p_n) \circ T(\text{diag}) &= 1_{T(X)}, \\ N(p_1, \dots, p_n) \circ \alpha &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that there is a retraction of $\eta_{T,n}$. A similar argument shows that $\xi_{T,n}$ has a section.

Lemma 2.3 Let $\underline{F}(\underline{n})$ be the category of all functors from \underline{A}^n to $R\text{-mod}$. Then there is a functor

$$\psi : \underline{F}(\underline{n}) \longrightarrow \underline{F}(\underline{n})$$

with the following properties.

- i) ψ is an exact functor
- ii) $\psi(W)$ satisfies property 1.2
- iii) if W satisfies property 1.2 then $\psi(W) = W$
- iv) if N satisfies property 1.2 and W is an arbitrary object in $\underline{F}(\underline{n})$ then

$$\text{Hom}_{\underline{F}(\underline{n})}(W, N) = \text{Hom}_{\underline{F}(\underline{n})}(\psi(W), N)$$

and

$$\text{Hom}_{\underline{F}(\underline{n})}(N, W) = \text{Hom}_{\underline{F}(\underline{n})}(N, \psi(W))$$

- v) ψ sends injective and projective objects to injective and projective objects respectively.

Proof. We define ψ by

$$(\psi W)(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \text{Ker} \left(W(X_1, \dots, X_n) \xrightarrow{\gamma} \bigoplus_{i=1}^n W(X_1, \dots, 0, \dots, X_n) \right).$$

Here γ is induced by $X_i \rightarrow 0$. Then ii) and iii) follows from the definition. Since γ has a section ψW is a direct summand of W and we have

$$(\psi W)(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \text{Coker} \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^n W(X_1, \dots, 0, \dots, X_n) \rightarrow W(X_1, \dots, X_n) \right).$$

From this follows i). We remark that v) formally follows from i) and iii). Let $f : W \rightarrow N$ be a natural transformation. Then the commutative diagramm

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} W(X_1, \dots, X_n) & & f(X_1, \dots, X_n) & & N(X_1, \dots, X_n) \\ & \uparrow & & & \uparrow \\ \bigoplus_{i=1}^n W(X_1, \dots, 0, \dots, X_n) & \xrightarrow{f(X_1, \dots, 0, \dots, X_n)} & \bigoplus_{i=1}^n N(X_1, \dots, 0, \dots, X_n) & = & 0 \end{array}$$

shows that $f(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ uniquely factors through $\psi(W)$ and we obtain the first isomorphism in iii). The second is proved by the dual argument.

2.4 Lemma. Let W be an injective (resp. projective) object in $\underline{F}(\underline{n})$. Then W^d is an injective (resp. a projective) object in \underline{F} .

Proof. We recall that for an arbitrary small category \underline{C} the following family of functors is a family of injective (resp. projective) generators in $(R - \text{mod})^{\underline{C}}$:

$$X \mapsto \text{Maps}(\underline{C}(\underline{X}, \underline{c}), I) \quad (\text{resp. } X \mapsto R[\underline{C}(c, X)]) .$$

Here $c \in \text{Ob } \underline{C}$, I is an injective generator in the category of R -modules and $R[S]$ is the free R -module with base S . Therefore it is sufficient to consider the case

$$W(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \text{Maps}(\underline{A}(X_1, c_1) \times \dots \times \underline{A}(X_n, c_n), I) ,$$

resp. the case

$$W(X_1, \dots, X_n) = R[\underline{A}(c_1, X_1) \times \dots \times \underline{A}(c_n, X_n)]$$

where $c_1, \dots, c_n \in \text{Ob } \underline{A}$. Hence

$$W^d(X) = \text{Maps}(\underline{A}(X, c_1 \times \dots \times c_n), I)$$

resp.

$$W^d(X) = R[\underline{A}(c_1 \oplus \dots \oplus c_n, X)].$$

Therefore W^d is injective (resp. projective) in \underline{F} .

2.5 Proof of the theorem. First consider the case when $q = 0$. Let

$$\tau : M \rightarrow T = N^d$$

(resp.

$$\sigma : N^d = T \rightarrow F)$$

be a natural transformation with $\text{deg } M < n$ and assume N satisfies condition 1.2. Since $\eta_{T,n}$ and $\xi_{T,n}$ are natural with respect to T , we obtain the following commutative diagrams...

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 M & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & T & & T_n^d & \xrightarrow{\sigma_n} & F_n^d = 0 \\
 \eta_{M,n} \downarrow & & \downarrow \eta_{T,n} & & \xi_{T,n} \downarrow & & \downarrow \xi_{F,n} \\
 0 = M_n^d & \xrightarrow{\sigma_n} & T_n^d & , & T & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & F.
 \end{array}$$

By 2.2 $\eta_{T,n}$ is a monomorphism and $\xi_{T,n}$ is an epimorphism, therefore $\tau = \sigma = 0$. Let $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow I^*$ be an injective resolution in $\underline{F}(\underline{n})$. Then $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow \psi(I^*)$ is also an injective resolution by 2.3. Therefore $0 \rightarrow N^d \rightarrow (\psi(I^*))^d$ is an injective resolution too (by 2.4). But $\psi(I^*)$ satisfies the property 2.1 by 2.3. Therefore

$$\text{Ext}_{\underline{F}}^*(M, N^d) = H^*(\text{Hom}_{\underline{F}}(M, (\psi(I^*))^d)) = H^*(0) = 0.$$

By the dual argument we obtain the second equality

$$\text{Ext}_{\underline{F}}^*(N^d, M) = 0.$$

References

- [EM] S. Eilenberg & S. MacLane. On the groups $H(\pi, n)$, II. Ann. Math. 60 (1954), 49–139.
- [JP] M. Jibladze & T. Pirashvili. Cohomology of algebraic theories. To appear in J. Algebra.
- [P] T. Pirashvili. Higher additivizations. Proc. Math. Inst. Tbilisi. v. 91 (1988), 44–54.