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#### Abstract

We consider ind-varieties obtained as direct limits of chains of embeddings $X_{1} \stackrel{\varphi_{1}}{\longrightarrow}$ $\ldots \xrightarrow{\varphi_{m-1}} X_{m} \xrightarrow{\varphi_{m}} X_{m+1} \xrightarrow{\varphi_{m+1}} \ldots$, where each $X_{m}$ is a Grassmannian or an isotropic Grassmannian (possibly mixing Grassmannians and isotropic Grassmannians), and the embeddings $\varphi_{m}$ are linear in the sense that they induce isomorphisms of Picard groups. We prove that any such ind-variety is isomorphic to one of certain standard ind-Grassmannians and that the latter are pairwise non-isomorphic ind-varieties.
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## 1. Introduction

The Barth-Van de Ven-Tyurin-Sato Theorem claims that any finite rank vector bundle on the infinite complex projective space $\mathbf{P}^{\infty}$ is isomorphic to a direct sum of line bundles. For rank two bundles this theorem has been proved by Barth and Van de Ven in [BV], and in the general case the theorem has been proved by Tyurin in $[T]$ and Sato in [S1]. In the last decade we have studied more general ind-varieties for which the result holds true [PT1], [PT2] [DP].

This study has naturally led us to the problem of constructing non-isomorphic ind-varieties arising as direct limits of given classes of embeddings of projective varieties. In the present note we address a classification problem along those lines: we consider linear embeddings of Grassmannians, i.e. embeddings $i: X_{1} \hookrightarrow X_{2}$ of a Grassmannian $X_{1}$ into a Grassmannian $X_{2}$ satisfying the condition $i^{*} \mathcal{O}_{X_{2}}(1) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{X_{1}}(1)$, and determine how many non-isomorphic indvarieties can be obtained from such embeddings. Moreover, we consider also orthogonal and symplectic Grassmannians (i.e. isotropic Grassmannians arising from non-degenerate orthogonal or symplectic forms) and define a linear ind-Grassmannian as an ind-variety arising as the direct limit $\underset{\longrightarrow}{\lim } X_{n}$ of any chain of linear embeddings

$$
X_{1} \hookrightarrow X_{2} \hookrightarrow \ldots \hookrightarrow X_{m} \hookrightarrow X_{m+1} \hookrightarrow \ldots
$$

of Grassmannians, some or all of them orthogonal or symplectic.
Our main result (Theorem 2, see Section 5) states that each linear ind-Grassmannian is isomorphic (as an ind-variety) to one of the standard ind-Grassmannians introduced in [DiP]. In particular, any linear ind-Grassmannian is a homogeneous space of one of the three classical ind-groups $S L(\infty), O(\infty), S p(\infty)$. We also prove in Theorem 2 that the standard indGrassmannians are pairwise non-isomorphic. To make the note self-contained, we do not rely on the article [DiP], but introduce (in Section 4 below) the standard ind-Grassmannians in terms of explicit chains of embeddings.

The main tool we use in Theorem 2 is Theorem 1 (see Section 3) which describes linear morphisms of Grassmannians, as well as isotropic Grassmannians.

In the related paper [PT3] we return to the original question of extending the generality of the Barth-Van de Ven- Tyurin-Sato theorem. There we give the list of linear ind-Grassmannians on which a bundle of finite rank is isomorphic to a direct sum of line bundles.

Acknowledgement. I.P. acknowledges the hospitality of the Max-Planck-Institute for Mathematics in Bonn, as well as partial DFG support through "DFG Schwerpunkt 1388, Darstellungstheorie". A.S.T. acknowledges partial support from Jacobs University Bremen.

## 2. Preliminaries

2.1. Notation and conventions. Recall that $\mathbb{N}=\{0,1,2, \ldots\}$. We set $\mathbb{Z}_{+}=\{1,2,3, \ldots\}$. All vector spaces and algebraic varieties are defined over an algebraically closed field $\mathbb{F}$ of characteristic 0 . The superscript * indicates dual space or dual vector bundle as well as inverse image. If $X$ is a projective variety with Picard group isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}$, then $\mathcal{O}_{X}(1)$ stands for the ample generator of the Picard group.

By $G(k, V), 1 \leq k \leq \operatorname{dim} V$, we denote the Grassmannian of $k$-dimensional subspaces of a finite-dimensional vector space $V$. For $k=1, G(k, V)=\mathbb{P}(V)$. Furthermore, $\mathcal{O}_{G(k, V)}(1) \cong$ $\wedge^{k} S_{G(k, V)}^{*}$, where $S_{G(k, V)}$ is the tautological bundle on $G(k, V)$, and Pic $G(k, V) \cong \mathbb{Z} \mathcal{O}_{G(k, V)}(1)$.

In what follows we will consider, both symmetric and symplectic, quadratic forms $\Phi$ on $V$. Under the assumption that $\Phi$ is fixed, we set $W^{\perp}:=\{v \in V \mid \Phi(v, w)=0$ for any $w \in W\}$ for any subspace $W \subset V$. Recall that $W$ is isotropic (or $\Phi$-isotropic) if $W \subset W^{\perp}$.

### 2.2. Linear morphisms.

Definition 2.1. We call a morphism $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$ of algebraic varieties (or ind-varieties) linear if $\varphi$ induces an epimorphism of Picard groups $\varphi^{*}: \operatorname{Pic} Y \rightarrow \operatorname{Pic} X$.

In this paper we focus on linear embeddings $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$ of Grassmannians or isotropic Grassmannians. In this case $\varphi$ is linear iff $\varphi^{*} \mathcal{O}_{Y}(1) \cong \mathcal{O}_{X}(1)$. By a projective space on, or in, a variety (or ind-variety) $X$ we understand a linearly embedded subvariety $Y$ of $X$ isomorphic to a projective space. Note that the Plücker embedding $G(k, V) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}\left(H^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{G(k, V)}(1)\right)^{*}\right)$ is a linear morphism.

By a quadric on $X$ of dimension $m \geq 3$ we understand a linearly embedded subvariety $Y$ of $X$ isomorphic to a smooth $m$-dimensional quadric. By a quadric on $X$ of dimension 2 we understand the image of an embedding $i: \mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1} \hookrightarrow X$ such that $i^{*} \mathcal{O}_{X}(1) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(1) \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(1)$. By a quadric on $X$ of dimension 1 , or a conic on $X$, we understand the image of an embedding $i: \mathbb{P}^{1} \hookrightarrow X$ such that $i^{*} \mathcal{O}_{X}(1) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(2)$. Given a quadric $Q$, we set $\mathbb{P}_{Q}=\mathbb{P}\left(H^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Q}(1)\right)^{*}\right)$ for $m \geq 3$, and respectively $\mathbb{P}_{Q}=\mathbb{P}\left(H^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(1) \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(1)\right)^{*}\right), \mathbb{P}_{Q}=\mathbb{P}\left(H^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Q}(2)\right)^{*}\right)$ for $m=2,1$. Then $Q$ is canonically embedded into $\mathbb{P}_{Q}$.

### 2.3. Orthogonal Grassmannians.

Let $\Phi \in S^{2} V^{*}$ be a non-degenerate symmetric form on $V$. For $\operatorname{dim} V \geq 3$ and $1 \leq k \leq\left[\frac{\operatorname{dim} V}{2}\right]$, the orthogonal Grassmannian $G O(k, V)$ is defined as the subvariety of $G(k, V)$ consisting of $\Phi$-isotropic $k$-dimensional subspaces of $V$. Unless $\operatorname{dim} V=2 n, k=n, G O(k, V)$ is a smooth irreducible variety. For $\operatorname{dim} V=2 n, k=n, G O(k, V)$ is smooth and has two irreducible components, both of which are isomorphic to $G O\left(n-1, V^{\prime}\right)$ where $\operatorname{dim} V^{\prime}=2 n-1$.

The orthogonal Grassmannian $G O(k, V)$ has the following dimension:

$$
\operatorname{dim} G O(k, V)= \begin{cases}2 k n-\frac{1}{2} k(3 k+1) & \text { for } 1 \leq k \leq n, \quad \operatorname{dim} V=2 n \\ k(2 n+1)-\frac{1}{2} k(3 k+1) & \text { for } 1 \leq k \leq n, \quad \operatorname{dim} V=2 n+1\end{cases}
$$

Moreover, for any $V$ and $1 \leq k \leq\left[\frac{\operatorname{dim} V}{2}\right], k \neq \frac{\operatorname{dim} V}{2}-1$,

$$
\operatorname{Pic} G O(k, V)=\mathbb{Z} \mathcal{O}_{G O(k, V)}(1)
$$

where the sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{G O(k, V)}(1)$ posesses the following property: if $t: G O(k, V) \hookrightarrow G(k, V)$ is the tautological embedding, then

$$
t^{*} \mathcal{O}_{G(k, V)}(1) \cong \begin{cases}\mathcal{O}_{G O(k, V)}(1) & \text { for } \\ \mathcal{O}_{G O(k, V)}(2) & \text { for } \\ k=\left[\frac{\operatorname{dim} V}{2}\right]\end{cases}
$$

In what follows we will think of $G O(n-1, V)$ for $\operatorname{dim} V=2 n$ as a variety of isotropic flags rather than as an orthogonal Grassmannian. In addition, we exclude the case $\operatorname{dim} V=2 n, k=n$ from consideration. More precisely, when writing $G O(k, V)$ below we assume that $\operatorname{dim} V \geq 7$ and $k \neq \frac{\operatorname{dim} V}{2}, k \neq \frac{\operatorname{dim} V}{2}-1$.

For $k<n=\left[\frac{\operatorname{dim} V}{2}\right]$ on $G O(k, V)$ there is a single family of maximal projective spaces of dimension $k$ with base $P O_{\alpha}(k, V)$. There is also a family of $(\operatorname{dim} V-2 k)$-dimensional maximal quadrics not contained in projective spaces on $G O(k, V)$. We denote the base of this family by $Q O_{\beta}(k, V)$. In addition, for $k \leq\left[\frac{\operatorname{dim} V}{2}\right]-2$ there is a family of 4 -dimensional maximal quadrics not contained in projective spaces on $G O(k, V)$. We denote the base of this family by $Q O_{\gamma}(k, V)$.

For $k=n$ on $G O(k, V)$ there is a single family of maximal projective spaces of dimension $\left[\frac{\operatorname{dim} V-1}{2}\right]$ with irreducible base $P O_{\alpha}(k, V)$. Furthermore, if $\operatorname{dim} V=2 n+1$ and $1 \leq k \leq n$, on $G O(k, V)$ there is a single family of maximal projective spaces of dimension $n-k$ with irreducible base $P O_{\beta}(k, V)$. The varieties $P O_{\alpha}(k, V), P O_{\beta}(k, V), Q O_{\beta}(k, V)$ and $Q O_{\gamma}(k, V)$ are described by the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2. (i) If $1 \leq k \leq n-1$, then each $k$-dimensional projective space on $G O(k, V)$ is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{V_{k} \in G O(k, V) \mid V_{k} \subset V_{k+1}\right\} \simeq \mathbb{P}\left(V_{k+1}^{*}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a fixed $(k+1)$-dimensional isotropic subspace $V_{k+1}$. Consequently, for $k \neq \frac{\operatorname{dim} V}{2}-2$, $P O_{\alpha}(k, V)$ is isomorphic to $G O(k+1, V)$.
(ii) If $1 \leq k \leq n-1$, then $P O_{\beta}(k, V)$ is isomorphic to the variety of isotropic $(k-1, n)$ flags in $V$, and for any point $\left(V_{k-1} \subset V_{n}\right) \in P O_{\beta}(k, V)$ the corresponding projective space on $G O(k, V)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{V_{k} \in G O(k, V) \mid V_{k-1} \subset V_{k} \subset V_{n}\right\} \simeq \mathbb{P}\left(V_{n} / V_{k-1}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(iii) If $k=n$, then $P O_{\alpha}(n, V)$ is isomorphic to $G O(n, V)$, and for any point $V_{n} \in G O(n, V)$ the corresponding projective space on $G O(n, V)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{V_{n}^{\prime} \in G O(n, V) \mid \operatorname{dim}\left(V_{n}^{\prime} \cap V_{n}\right)=n-1\right\} \simeq \mathbb{P}\left(V / V_{n}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(iv) If $1 \leq k \leq n$, then $Q O_{\beta}(k, V)$ is isomorphic to $G O(k-1, V)$, and for any point $V_{k-1} \in$ $G O(k-1, V)$ the corresponding quadric on $G O(k, V)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{V_{k} \in G O(k, V) \mid V_{k} \supset V_{k-1}\right\} \simeq G O\left(1, V_{k-1}^{\perp} / V_{k-1}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

(v) $Q O_{\gamma}(k, V)$ is isomorphic to the variety of isotropic $(k-2, k+2)$-flags in $V$, and for any point $\left(V_{k-2} \subset V_{k+2}\right) \in Q O_{\gamma}(k, V)$ the corresponding quadric on $G O(k, V)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{V_{k} \in G O(k, V) \mid V_{k-2} \subset V_{k} \subset V_{k+2}\right\} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

(vi) Any maximal quadric on $G O(k, V)$ is either of the form (4) or (5), or lies in a projective space on $G O(k, V)$.

Proof. We leave the proof of (i)-(v) to the reader and give an outline of the proof of (vi). Let $Q$ be a quadric on $G O(k, V)$ and let $G$ be the variety of projective planes in $\mathbb{P}_{Q}$. In $G$ there is a dense open subset $U=\left\{\mathbb{P}^{2} \in G \mid \mathbb{P}^{2} \cap Q\right.$ is a conic $\}$, and if $\mathbb{P}^{2} \cap Q=C$ then $\mathbb{P}_{C}=\mathbb{P}^{2} \in U$. In what follows, by a slight abuse of notation, we will indicate this latter fact by writing $C \in U$.

Let $F$ be the variety of $(1, k)$-isotropic flags in $V$ with projections $\mathbb{P}(V) \xrightarrow{p r_{1}} F \stackrel{p r_{2}}{\underset{\sim}{~}} G O(k, V)$. For any $C \in U$ set $\tilde{K}_{C}:=p r_{2}^{-1}(C), K_{C}:=p r_{1}\left(\tilde{K}_{C}\right)$ and let $p_{C}:=\left.p r_{1}\right|_{\tilde{K}_{C}}: \tilde{K}_{C} \rightarrow K_{C}$ be the projection. There are three possibilities:
(a) there exists a dense open subset $U^{\prime}$ in $U$ such that, for any $C \in U^{\prime}, p_{C}$ is an isomorphism and $K_{C}$ is a quadratic cone with vertex $S=\mathbb{P}\left(V_{k-1}(C)\right)$ for some subspace $V_{k-1}(C)$ in $V$,
(b) there exists a dense open subset $U^{\prime}$ in $U$ such that, for any $C \in U^{\prime}, p_{C}$ is an isomorphism and $K_{C}$ is a quadratic cone with vertex $S=\mathbb{P}\left(V_{k-2}(C)\right)$ for some subspace $V_{k-2}(C)$ in $V$,
(c) for any $C \in U, p_{C}$ is a double covering and $K_{C}=\mathbb{P}\left(V_{k+1}(C)\right)$ for some subspace $V_{k+1}(C)$ of $V$.

Using the fact that $U$ and $U^{\prime}$ are dense subsets in $G$, one easily checks the following facts. In case (a) the space $V_{k-1}=V_{k-1}(C)$ does not depend on the conic $C \in U^{\prime}$ and $Q \subset G O\left(1, V_{k-1}^{\perp} / V_{k-1}\right) \in Q O_{\beta}(k, V)$. In case (b) the space $V_{k-2}=V_{k-2}(C)$ does not depend on the conic $C \in U^{\prime}$ and $Q$ is contained in a quadric $\bar{Q}$ given by formula (5), i.e $\bar{Q} \in Q O_{\gamma}(k, V)$. In case (c) the space $V_{k+1}=V_{k+1}(C)$ does not depend on the conic $C \in U$, so that $Q \subset \mathbb{P}\left(V_{k+1}^{*}\right) \subset G O(k, V)$.

In what follows we will sometimes write $\mathbb{P}_{\alpha}^{k}$ for a maximal projective space on $G O(k, V)$ of the form (1) or (3), and $\mathbb{P}_{\beta}^{n-k}$ for a maximal projective space on $G O(k, V)$ of the form (2). We will also write $Q_{\beta}^{\operatorname{dim}}{ }^{V-2 k}$ for a maximal quadric on $G O(k, V)$ of the form (4), and $Q_{\gamma}^{4}$ for a maximal quadric of the form (5).

Lemma 2.3. Let $1 \leq k \leq n$.
(i) The intersection of any two distinct projective spaces $\mathbb{P}_{\alpha}^{k}$ and $\left(\mathbb{P}_{\alpha}^{k}\right)^{\prime}\left(\right.$ respectively, $\mathbb{P}_{\beta}^{n-k}$ and $\left.\left(\mathbb{P}_{\beta}^{n-k}\right)^{\prime}\right)$ on $G O(k, V)$ is either empty or equals a point.
(ii) The intersection of any projective space $\mathbb{P}_{\alpha}^{k}$ and any quadric $Q_{\beta}^{\operatorname{dim} V-2 k}$ on $G O(k, V)$ is empty, equals a point, or equals a projective line. The intersection of any two distinct quadrics $Q_{\beta}^{\operatorname{dim} V-2 k}$ and $\left(Q_{\beta}^{\operatorname{dim} V-2 k}\right)^{\prime}$ on $G O(k, V)$ is either empty or equals a point.
(iii) Assume $k \leq n-1$. Then the intersection of any two distinct projective spaces $\mathbb{P}_{\alpha}^{k}$ and $\mathbb{P}_{\beta}^{n-k}$ on $G O(k, V)$ is empty, equals a point, or equals a projective line.
(iv) Assume $k \leq n-1$. Then $\mathbb{P}_{\alpha}^{k} \cap \mathbb{P}_{\beta}^{n-k}=\left\{V_{k}\right\}$ if and only if $\mathbb{P}_{\alpha}^{k}=\mathbb{P}\left(V_{k+1}^{*}\right), \mathbb{P}_{\beta}^{n-k}=$ $\mathbb{P}\left(V_{n} / V_{k-1}\right)$ for a configuration of isotropic vector subspaces $V_{k+1}, V_{k-1}, V_{n}$ of $V$ satisfying

$$
V_{k-1} \subset V_{k} \subset V_{n}, \quad V_{k+1} \cap V_{n}=V_{k} .
$$

Proof. Exercise.
Lemma 2.4. (i) Let $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ be a projective line on $G O(k, V), x \notin \mathbb{P}^{1}$ be a fixed point in $G O(k, V)$, and $C \subset G O(k-1, V)$ be an irreducible curve such that, for any $V_{k-1} \in C$, the quadric $G O\left(1, V_{k-1}^{\perp} / V_{k-1}\right)$ on $G O(k, V)$ contains $x$ and intersects $\mathbb{P}^{1}$. Then $C$ is a projective line on $G O(k-1, V)$.
(ii) Assume $1 \leq k \leq n-1$. Let $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ be a projective line on $G O(k, V), x \notin \mathbb{P}^{1}$ be a fixed point in $G O(k, V)$, and $C \subset G O(k+1, V)$ be an irreducible curve such that, for any $V_{k+1} \in C$, the projective space $\mathbb{P}\left(V_{k+1}^{*}\right)$ on $G O(k, V)$ contains $x$ and intersects $\mathbb{P}^{1}$. Then $C$ is a projective line on $G O(k+1, V)$.

Proof. (i) Assume $k<n$ and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{P}^{1}=\left\{V_{k} \in V \mid U_{k-1} \subset V_{k} \subset U_{k+1}\right\} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a fixed isotropic flag $U_{k-1} \subset U_{k+1}$ in $V$. Next, let $x=W_{k}$. Since for any $V_{k-1} \in C$, the quadric $G O\left(1, V_{k-1}^{\perp} / V_{k-1}\right)$ contains the point $x$, we have $V_{k-1} \subset W_{k}$, and consequently

$$
\operatorname{Span}\left(\underset{V_{k-1} \in C}{\cup} V_{k-1}\right)=W_{k} .
$$

The condition that the quadric $G O\left(1, V_{k-1}^{\perp} / V_{k-1}\right)$ intersects $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{k-1} \subset V_{k} \subset U_{k+1}, \quad U_{k-1} \subset V_{k} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $V_{k} \in \mathbb{P}^{1}$. In particular,

$$
W_{k} \subset U_{k+1}
$$

Note that $U_{k-1} \not \subset W_{k}$ as otherwise $x \in \mathbb{P}^{1}$. Therefore $W_{k-2}:=W_{k} \cap U_{k-1}$ is a $(k-2)$-dimensional subspace of $W_{k}$. Now (7) implies that $C=\left\{V_{k-1} \in G O(k-1, V) \mid W_{k-2} \subset V_{k-1} \subset W_{k}\right\}$, i.e. $C$ is a projective line on $G O(k-1, V)$.

We leave the case $k=n$ to the reader.
(ii) Formula (6) holds also in this case. Furthermore,

$$
\cap_{V_{k+1} \in C}^{\cap} V_{k+1}=W_{k}=x .
$$

For any $V_{k+1} \in C$, the condition that $\mathbb{P}\left(V_{k+1}^{*}\right)$ intersects $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ yields $V_{k}$ such that

$$
U_{k-1} \subset V_{k} \subset V_{k+1}
$$

Therefore $U_{k-1} \subset W_{k}$. Now if $U_{k+1} \in C$, then for any $V_{k+1} \in C, \mathbb{P}\left(V_{k+1}^{*}\right)$ intersects $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ in $x$, contrary to the assumption that $x \notin \mathbb{P}^{1}$. Hence, $U_{k+1} \notin C$ and one checks that $C=\left\{V_{k+1} \subset\right.$ $\left.V \mid W_{k} \subset V_{k+1} \subset W_{k+2}\right\}$, where $W_{k+2}:=\operatorname{Span}\left(W_{k}, U_{k+1}\right)$ is a $(k+2)$-dimensional subspace of $V$. This means that $C$ is a projective line on $G O(k+1, V)$.

### 2.4. Symplectic Grassmannians.

Let now $\Phi \in \wedge^{2} V^{*}$ be a non-degenerate symplectic form on $V, \operatorname{dim} V=2 n$.
Assume $1 \leq k \leq n$. Recall that the $k$-th symplectic Grassmannian $G S(k, V)$ is the smooth irreducible subvariety of $G(k, V)$ consisting of $\Phi$-isotropic $k$-dimensional subspaces of $V$. It is well known that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dim} G S(k, V)=2 k n-\frac{1}{2} k(3 k-1) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is also known that $\operatorname{Pic} G S(k, V)=\mathbb{Z} \mathcal{O}_{G S(k, V)}(1)$ and $\mathcal{O}_{G S(k, V)}(1)=i^{*} \mathcal{O}_{G(k, V)}(1)$, where $i: G S(k, V) \hookrightarrow G(k, V)$ is the tautological embedding.

One can see that, for $1 \leq k \leq n-1$, there are two families of maximal projective spaces on $G S(k, V)$ of respective dimensions $k$ and $2 n-2 k+1$, with bases $P S_{\alpha}(k, V)$ and $P S_{\beta}(k, V)$. For $k=n$ there is a single family $P S_{\beta}(n, V)$ of maximal projective lines on $G S(k, V)$.

Lemma 2.5. (i) Let $1 \leq k \leq n-1$. Then $P S_{\alpha}(k, V)$ is isomorphic to $G S(k+1, V)$, and for any point $V_{k+1} \in G S(k+1, V)$ the corresponding projective space on $G S(k, V)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{V_{k} \in G S(k, V) \mid V_{k} \subset V_{k+1}\right\} \simeq \mathbb{P}\left(V_{k+1}^{*}\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) Let $1 \leq k \leq n$. Then $P S_{\beta}(k, V)$ is isomorphic to $G S(k-1, V)$, and for any point $V_{k-1} \in G S(k-1, V)$ the corresponding projective space on $G S(k, V)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{V_{k} \in G S(k, V) \mid V_{k-1} \subset V_{k} \subset V_{k-1}^{\perp}\right\} \simeq \mathbb{P}\left(V_{k-1}^{\perp} / V_{k-1}\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

(iii) If $k=n$, then any maximal projective space on $G S(n, V)$ is a projective line.

Proof. Exercise.
In what follows we will sometimes write $\mathbb{P}_{\alpha}^{k}$ for a maximal projective space on $G S(k, V)$ of the form (9), and $\mathbb{P}_{\beta}^{2 n-2 k+1}$ for a maximal projective space on $G S(k, V)$ of the form (10) (despite the fact that we use the same notation as in the orthogonal case, we will carefully distinguish between the two cases).

Lemma 2.6. Let $\operatorname{dim} V=2 n, n \geq 2$, and $1 \leq k \leq n-1$.
(i) The intersection of any two distinct projective spaces $\mathbb{P}_{\alpha}^{k}$ and $\left(\mathbb{P}_{\alpha}^{k}\right)^{\prime}$ (respectively, $\mathbb{P}_{\beta}^{2 n-2 k+1}$ and $\left.\left(\mathbb{P}_{\beta}^{2 n-2 k+1}\right)^{\prime}\right)$ on $G S(k, V)$ is either empty or equals a point.
(ii) The intersection of any two distinct projective spaces $\mathbb{P}_{\alpha}^{k}$ and $\mathbb{P}_{\beta}^{2 n-2 k+1}$ on $G S(k, V)$ is either empty or equals a projective line.
(iii) The spaces $\mathbb{P}_{\alpha}^{k}$ and $\mathbb{P}_{\beta}^{2 n-2 k+1}$ intersect in a projective line if and only if $\mathbb{P}_{\alpha}^{k}=\mathbb{P}\left(V_{k+1}^{*}\right)$, $\mathbb{P}_{\beta}^{2 n-2 k+1}=\mathbb{P}\left(V_{k-1}^{\perp} / V_{k-1}\right)$ for a flag $V_{k-1} \subset V_{k+1}$ of isotropic subspaces of $V$. Then $\mathbb{P}_{\alpha}^{k} \cap$ $\mathbb{P}_{\beta}^{2 n-2 k+1}=\mathbb{P}\left(V_{k+1} / V_{k-1}\right)$.

Proof. Exercise.
Lemma 2.7. (i) Assume $2 \leq k \leq n$. Let $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ be a projective line on $G S(k, V), x \notin \mathbb{P}^{1}$ be a fixed point in $G S(k, V)$, and $C \subset G S(k-1, V)$ be an irreducible curve such that, for any $V_{k-1} \in C$, the projective space $\mathbb{P}\left(V_{k-1}^{\perp} / V_{k-1}\right)$ on $G S(k, V)$ contains $x$ and intersects $\mathbb{P}^{1}$. Then $C$ is a projective line on $G S(k-1, V)$.
(ii) Assume $1 \leq k \leq n-1$. Let $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ be a projective line on $G S(k, V), x \notin \mathbb{P}^{1}$ be a fixed point in $G S(k, V)$, and $C \subset G S(k+1, V)$ be an irreducible curve such that, for any $V_{k+1} \in C$, the projective space $\mathbb{P}\left(V_{k+1}^{*}\right)$ on $G S(k, V)$ contains $x$ and intersects $\mathbb{P}^{1}$. Then $C$ is a projective line on $G S(k+1, V)$.

Proof. Very similar to the proof of Lemma 2.4.

## 3. Linear embeddings of Grassmannians

In this section we study linear embeddings of Grassmannians and isotropic Grassmannians. We start with the following general lemma whose proof we leave to the reader.

Lemma 3.1. Any non-constant morphism of Grassmannians (respectively, orthogonal or symplectic Grassmannians) is finite.

Definition 3.2. Let $X, X^{\prime}$ be Grassmannians. An embedding $\varphi^{\prime}: X \hookrightarrow X^{\prime}$ is a standard extension, if there are isomorphisms $i_{X}, i_{X^{\prime}}$ and an embedding $\varphi: G(k, V) \hookrightarrow G\left(k^{\prime}, V^{\prime}\right)$ for $\operatorname{dim} V^{\prime} \geq \operatorname{dim} V, k^{\prime} \geq k$, such that the diagram

is commutative and $\varphi$ is given by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi: V_{k} \mapsto V_{k} \oplus W \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some fixed isomorphism $V^{\prime} \simeq V \oplus \hat{W}$ and a fixed subspace $W \subset \hat{W}$ of dimension $k^{\prime}-k$.
It is easy to see that a standard extension is a linear embedding. Furthermore, if $\mathbb{P}^{q}$ is a projective space on $G(k, V)$, then the inclusion $\mathbb{P}^{q} \hookrightarrow G(k, V)$ is a standard extension.

Example 3.3. Let $V^{\prime}=V \oplus \hat{W}, X=G\left(n-k, V^{*}\right), X^{\prime}=G\left(k^{\prime}, V^{\prime}\right), W \subset \hat{W}$ be a fixed subspace of dimension $k^{\prime}-k, \varepsilon: V \rightarrow V$ be any autorphism. Then the morphism

$$
\begin{gathered}
X=G\left(n-k, V^{*}\right) \simeq G(k, V) \rightarrow G\left(k^{\prime}, V^{\prime}\right)=X^{\prime} \\
V_{n-k} \mapsto V_{n-k}^{*} \mapsto \varepsilon\left(V_{n-k}^{*}\right) \oplus W
\end{gathered}
$$

is a standard extension. Here $i_{X}$ is the isomorphism $G\left(n-k, V^{*}\right) \simeq G(k, V)$ and $i_{X^{\prime}}$ is the automorphism of $X^{\prime}$ induced by the automorphism $\varepsilon^{-1} \oplus \mathrm{id}_{\hat{W}}$ of $V^{\prime}$.
Remark 3.4. Note that, for a standard extension $\varphi^{\prime}: X \rightarrow X^{\prime}$ the dimensions of $V$ and $V^{\prime}$ are fixed by the respective isomorphism classes of $X$ and $X^{\prime}$, however, the choice between $k$ and $\operatorname{dim} V-k$, respectively, $k^{\prime}$ and $\operatorname{dim} V^{\prime}-k^{\prime}$, in diagram (11) is made by the morphism $\varphi^{\prime}$. Furthermore, if $V, V^{\prime}, k, k^{\prime}$ are chosen, fixing a standard extension $\varphi: G(k, V) \rightarrow G\left(k^{\prime}, V^{\prime}\right)$ for which $i_{G(k, V)}$ and $i_{G\left(k^{\prime}, V^{\prime}\right)}$ are automorphisms is equivalent to fixing some linear algebraic data. More precisely, given such a standard extension $\varphi: G(k, V) \hookrightarrow G\left(k^{\prime}, V^{\prime}\right)$, we can recover $W$ by the formula $W=\bigcap_{V_{k} \in G(k, V)} \varphi\left(V_{k}\right)$. Set $U:=\operatorname{Span}\left(\underset{V_{k} \in G(k, V)}{\cup} \varphi\left(V_{k}\right)\right)$. Then $W \subset U$ is a flag in $V^{\prime}$ and $\varphi$ determines a surjective linear operator $\varphi: U \rightarrow V$ with kernel $W$, such that $(\underline{i})^{-1}\left(V_{k}\right)=\varphi\left(V_{k}\right)$ for any $k$-dimensional subspace $V_{k} \in \overline{G(k, V)}$. It is easy to check that fixing the standard extension $\varphi$ is equivalent to fixing the triple $(W, U, \varphi)$.

In what follows we will write somewhat informally $\varphi: G(k, \bar{V}) \hookrightarrow G\left(k^{\prime}, V^{\prime}\right)$ for a general standard extension, while we will speak about a strict standard extension when $i_{G(k, V)}$ and $i_{G\left(k^{\prime}, V^{\prime}\right)}$ are automorphisms. Given a strict standard extension $\varphi: G(k, V) \hookrightarrow G\left(k^{\prime}, V^{\prime}\right)$, the isomorphism $V^{\prime} \simeq V \oplus \hat{W}$ can always be changed so that $\varphi$ is given simply by formula (12).

We now give a similar definition of a standard extension of isotropic Grassmannians (cf. [DP] and (PT1, section 3]).

Definition 3.5. An embedding $\varphi: G O(k, V) \hookrightarrow G O\left(k^{\prime}, V^{\prime}\right)$ is a standard extension if $\varphi$ is given by formula (12) for some orthogonal isomorphism $V^{\prime} \simeq V \oplus \hat{W}$ and a fixed isotropic subspace $W$ of $\hat{W}$. A standard extension of symplectic Grassmannians is defined in the same way by replacing $G O$ with $G S$, and the orthogonal isomorphism $V^{\prime} \simeq V \oplus \hat{W}$ by a symplectic isomorphism $V^{\prime} \simeq V \oplus \hat{W}$.

Under an orthogonal isomorphism (respectively, symplectic isomorphism) we mean an isomorphism of vector spaces together with an isomorphism of forms $\Phi^{\prime} \simeq \Phi \oplus \hat{\Phi}$, where $\Phi$ is a fixed symmetric (respectively, symplectic) form on $V, \Phi^{\prime}$ is a fixed (respectively, symplectic) form on $V^{\prime}$, and $\hat{\Phi}$ is a fixed symmetric (respectively, symplectic) form on $\hat{W}$.

Remark 3.6. A standard extension of isotropic Grassmannians can be defined as follows: consider a flag of subspaces $W \subset U$ of $V^{\prime}$, where $W$ is isotropic and there is a surjective linear operator $\varphi: U \rightarrow V$ with kernel $W$, such that the form $\varphi^{*} \Phi$ coincides with the form induced on $U$ by the form $\Phi^{\prime}$. This datum defines an embedding $\bar{G} O(k, V) \rightarrow G O\left(k^{\prime}, V^{\prime}\right)$ (respectively, $\left.G S(k, V) \rightarrow G S\left(k^{\prime}, V^{\prime}\right)\right)$ by the formula

$$
\left.\varphi: \quad V_{k} \mapsto(\underline{\varphi})^{-1}\left(V_{k}\right) \subset U \subset V^{\prime} \quad \text { for } \quad V_{k} \in G O(k, V) \quad \text { (resp., } V_{k} \in G S(k, V)\right)
$$

Furthermore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
W=\cap \varphi\left(V_{k}\right), \quad U=\operatorname{Span}\left(\cup \varphi\left(V_{k}\right)\right), \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V_{k}$ runs over $G O(k, V)$ (respectively, $G S(k, V)$ ) and the intersection and the union are taken in $V^{\prime}$.

Remark 3.7. Let $\varphi: G(k, V) \rightarrow G\left(k^{\prime}, V^{\prime}\right)$ be a strict standard extension (respectively, $\varphi$ : $G O(k, V) \rightarrow G O\left(k^{\prime}, V^{\prime}\right)$ or $\varphi: G S(k, V) \rightarrow G S\left(k^{\prime}, V^{\prime}\right)$ be a standard extension). Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
k^{\prime} \geq k \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{dim} V^{\prime}-k^{\prime} \geq \operatorname{dim} V-k \geq 0 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

(respectively,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.k^{\prime} \geq k \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{dim} V^{\prime}-k^{\prime} \geq \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{dim} V-k \geq 0\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, Definition 3.2 implies $k^{\prime}-k=\operatorname{dim} W \geq 0$. Next, from $\operatorname{dim} W \leq \operatorname{dim} \hat{W}=\operatorname{dim} V^{\prime}-$ $\operatorname{dim} V$ it follows that $\operatorname{dim} V^{\prime}-k^{\prime}=\operatorname{dim} V-k+(\operatorname{dim} \hat{W}-\operatorname{dim} W) \geq \operatorname{dim} V-k$. This proves (14). As for (15), from Definition 3.5 we have $k^{\prime}-k=\operatorname{dim} W \geq 0$. Furthermore, as $V_{k}$ is $\Phi$-isotropic, $V_{k^{\prime}}:=V_{k} \oplus W$ is $\Phi^{\prime}$-isotropic and $W$ is $\hat{\Phi}$-isotropic, we have $k \leq \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{dim} V, k^{\prime} \leq$ $\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{dim} V^{\prime}, 0 \leq \operatorname{dim} W \leq \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{dim} \hat{W}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\operatorname{dim} V^{\prime}-\operatorname{dim} V\right)$. This implies $\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{dim} V^{\prime}-k^{\prime}=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{dim} V-$ $k+\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{dim} \hat{W}-\operatorname{dim} W \geq \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{dim} V-k \geq 0$.
Definition 3.8. (a) Let $V^{\prime \prime}$ be an isotropic subspace of $V$. For $\mathbb{Z}_{+} \ni k \leq \operatorname{dim} V^{\prime \prime}$, we call the natural inclusions $G\left(k, V^{\prime \prime}\right) \hookrightarrow G O(k, V)$ and $G\left(\operatorname{dim} V^{\prime \prime}-k, V^{\prime \prime *}\right) \hookrightarrow G O(k, V)$ (respectively, $G\left(k, V^{\prime \prime}\right) \hookrightarrow G S(k, V)$ and $\left.G\left(\operatorname{dim} V^{\prime \prime}-k, V^{\prime \prime *}\right) \hookrightarrow G S(k, V)\right)$ isotropic extensions.
(b) A combination of isotropic and standard extensions is an embedding of the form

$$
G O(k, V) \stackrel{t}{\hookrightarrow} G(k, V) \stackrel{\varphi^{\prime}}{\hookrightarrow} G(l, U) \stackrel{\tau}{\hookrightarrow} G O(l, \tilde{U}) \stackrel{\varphi^{\prime \prime}}{\hookrightarrow} G O\left(k^{\prime}, V^{\prime}\right)
$$

(respectively,

$$
\left.G S(k, V) \stackrel{t}{\hookrightarrow} G(k, V) \stackrel{\varphi^{\prime}}{\hookrightarrow} G(l, U) \stackrel{\tau}{\hookrightarrow} G S(l, \tilde{U}) \stackrel{\varphi^{\prime \prime}}{\hookrightarrow} G S\left(k^{\prime}, V^{\prime}\right)\right),
$$

where $t$ is the tautological embedding, $\varphi^{\prime}$ and $\varphi^{\prime \prime}$ are standard extensions and $\tau$ is an isotropic extension.

Note that a combination of isotropic and standard extensions is always given by one of the formulas $V_{k} \mapsto V_{k} \oplus W$ or $V_{k} \mapsto V_{k}^{\perp} \oplus W$ for an appropriately chosen orthogonal (respectively, symplectic) isomorphism $V^{\prime} \simeq V \oplus \hat{W}$ and an isotropic subspace $W \subset \hat{W}$. Here $\perp$ refers to the orthogonal (respectively, symplectic) structure on $V$. Furthermore, one easily proves the following lemma.
Lemma 3.9. A composition of combinations of isotropic and standard extensions is a combination of isotropic and standard extensions.

Remark 3.10. Let $\varphi^{\prime}: X \rightarrow X^{\prime}$ be a standard extension, where $X$ and $X^{\prime}$ are both Grassmannians or, respectively, isotropic Grassmannians of the same type. It is easy to see that, if $X$ and $X^{\prime}$ are not (isomorphic to) projective spaces, then $\varphi^{\prime}$ does not factor through an embedding of a projective space into $X^{\prime}$. If $X$ and $X^{\prime}$ are isotropic, then $\varphi^{\prime}$ is not a combination of isotropic and standard extensions.

Theorem 1. Let $X \simeq G(k, V), X^{\prime} \simeq G\left(k^{\prime}, V^{\prime}\right)$, or $X=G O(k, V), X^{\prime}=G O\left(k^{\prime}, V^{\prime}\right)$, or $X=G S(k, V)$, $X^{\prime}=G S\left(k^{\prime}, V^{\prime}\right)$, and let $\varphi: X \rightarrow X^{\prime}$ be a linear morphism. If $X=$ $G O(k, V), X^{\prime}=G O\left(k^{\prime}, V^{\prime}\right)$, assume in addition that either $k \leq\left[\frac{\operatorname{dim} V}{2}\right]-3$ and $k^{\prime} \leq\left[\frac{\operatorname{dim} V^{\prime}}{2}\right]-3$, or that $\left[\frac{\operatorname{dim} V^{\prime}}{2}\right]-k^{\prime} \leq\left[\frac{\operatorname{dim} V}{2}\right]-k \leq 2$ and both $\operatorname{dim} V$ and $\operatorname{dim} V^{\prime}$ are odd. Then some of the following statements holds:
(i) $\varphi$ is a standard extension;
(ii) $X$ and $X^{\prime}$ are isotropic Grassmannians and $\varphi$ is a combination of isotropic and standard extensions;
(iii) $\varphi$ factors through a projective space on $X^{\prime}$ or, in case $X^{\prime}=G O\left(k^{\prime}, V^{\prime}\right)$, through a maximal quadric $Q_{\beta}^{\operatorname{dim} V^{\prime}-2 k^{\prime}}$.
Proof. We first consider in detail the case of symplectic Grassmannians. The proof goes by induction on $k$. For $k=1$ the symplectic Grassmannian $G S(1, V)$ equals $\mathbb{P}(V)$, hence the linear morphism $\varphi$ maps it isomorphically onto a projective space in $X^{\prime}$. Therefore statement (iii) holds trivially in this case.

Assume now that $k \geq 2$ and the assertion holds for $k-1$ and any $k^{\prime} \geq 1$. Set $n:=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{dim} V$, $n^{\prime}:=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{dim} V^{\prime}, Y_{\beta}:=G S(k-1, V)$. Let $Z:=\left\{\left(V_{k-1}, x\right) \in Y_{\beta} \times X \mid x \in \mathbb{P}\left(V_{k-1}^{\perp} / V_{k-1}\right)\right\} \xrightarrow{p} Y_{\beta}$ be the family of projective spaces $\mathbb{P}_{\beta}^{q}, q=2 n-2 k+2$, on $X \simeq G S(k, V)$. Since $\varphi$ is a linear morphism, for any $V_{k-1} \in Y_{\beta}$ the morphism $\varphi\left(\mathbb{P}\left(V_{k-1}^{\perp} / V_{k-1}\right)\right)$ is a projective space on $X^{\prime}$. Therefore we obtain a family $\tilde{Z}:=\left\{\left(V_{k-1}, x\right) \in Y_{\beta} \times X^{\prime} \mid x \in \varphi\left(\mathbb{P}\left(V_{k-1}^{\perp} / V_{k-1}\right)\right)\right\} \xrightarrow{\tilde{p}} Y_{\beta}$ of $q$-dimensional projective spaces on $X^{\prime}$. We claim that
(a) all spaces of the family $\tilde{p}: \tilde{Z} \rightarrow Y_{\beta}$ lie in the spaces of the family with base $Y_{\alpha}^{\prime}:=$ $G S\left(k^{\prime}+1, V^{\prime}\right)$ (this is possible only if $k \leq n-1$ ),
or
(b) all spaces of the family $\tilde{p}: \tilde{Z} \rightarrow Y_{\beta}$ lie in the spaces of the family with base $Y_{\beta}^{\prime}:=$ $G S\left(k^{\prime}-1, V^{\prime}\right)$.

Indeed, consider the varieties $\Sigma_{\alpha}:=\left\{\left(V_{k-1}, V_{k^{\prime}+1}^{\prime}\right) \in Y_{\beta} \times Y_{\alpha}^{\prime} \mid \varphi\left(\mathbb{P}\left(V_{k-1}^{\perp} / V_{k-1}\right)\right) \subset \mathbb{P}\left(\left(V_{k^{\prime}+1}^{\prime}\right)^{*}\right)\right\}$ and $\Sigma_{\beta}:=\left\{\left(V_{k-1}, V_{k^{\prime}-1}^{\prime}\right) \in Y_{\beta} \times Y_{\beta}^{\prime} \mid \varphi\left(\mathbb{P}\left(V_{k-1}^{\perp} / V_{k-1}\right) \subset \mathbb{P}\left(V_{k^{\prime}-1}^{\perp} / V_{k^{\prime}-1}\right)\right\}\right.$ with natural projections $Y_{\beta} \stackrel{p_{\alpha}}{\leftarrow} \Sigma_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{q_{\alpha}} Y_{\alpha}^{\prime}$ and $Y_{\beta} \stackrel{p_{\beta}}{\leftarrow} \Sigma_{\beta} \xrightarrow{q_{\beta}} Y_{\beta}^{\prime}$. By construction, $\Sigma_{\alpha}$ is a closed subset of $Y_{\beta} \times Y_{\alpha}^{\prime}$ and $p_{\alpha}$ is a projective morphism. Hence, $W_{\alpha}:=p_{\alpha}\left(\Sigma_{\alpha}\right)$ is a closed subset of $Y_{\beta}$. By a similar reason, $W_{\beta}:=p_{\beta}\left(\Sigma_{\beta}\right)$ is a closed subset of $Y_{\beta}$. Since any space of the family $\tilde{Z} \rightarrow Y_{\beta}$ lies in at least one maximal space on $X^{\prime}$, it follows that $W_{\alpha} \cup W_{\beta}=Y_{\beta}$. However, $Y_{\beta}$ is irreducible, therefore either $W_{\alpha}=Y_{\beta}$ (i.e. case (a) holds), or $W_{\beta}=Y_{\beta}$ (i.e. case (b) holds).

We now consider the cases (a) and (b) separately.
In the case (a), by Lemma 2.6,(i), each space of the family $\tilde{p}: \tilde{Z} \rightarrow Y_{\beta}$ lies in a unique space $\mathbb{P}\left(\left(V_{k^{\prime}+1}^{\prime}\right)^{*}\right)$ of the family with base $Y_{\alpha}^{\prime}$. This means that $p_{\alpha}: \Sigma_{\alpha} \rightarrow Y_{\beta}$ is a bijective morphism, hence an isomorphism as $Y_{\beta}$ is a smooth variety. Therefore, there is a well defined morphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{\alpha}:=q_{\alpha} \circ p_{\alpha}^{-1}: Y_{\beta}=G S(k-1, V) \rightarrow Y_{\alpha}^{\prime}=G S\left(k^{\prime}+1, V^{\prime}\right) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, there is a commutative diagram

where $\Gamma$ is the variety of isotropic $(k-1, k)$-flags in $V, \Gamma_{\alpha}^{\prime}$ is the variety of isotropic $\left(k^{\prime}, k^{\prime}+1\right)$ flags in $V^{\prime}$, and $\varphi_{\Gamma}, p_{1}, p_{2}, \bar{p}_{1}$ and $\bar{p}_{2}$ are the induced projections.

Assume that $\varphi_{\alpha}$ is not a constant map. We first show that $\varphi_{\alpha}$ is linear. Fix $V_{k+1} \in G S(k+$ $1, V)$ and a subspace $V_{k-2}$ of $V_{k+1}$. Consider the projective plane $\mathbb{P}_{X}^{2}:=\mathbb{P}\left(\left(V_{k+1} / V_{k-2}\right)^{*}\right)$ on $X$. The points on $\mathbb{P}_{X}^{2}$ are $k$-dimensional subspaces $U_{k} \subset V$ such that $V_{k-2} \subset U_{k} \subset V_{k+1}$. According to Lemma 2.5,(i), any $U_{k}$ defines a projective space $\mathbb{P}\left(U_{k}^{*}\right)$ on $Y_{\beta}$, and also a projective line $\mathbb{P}\left(\left(U_{k} / V_{k-2}\right)^{*}\right)$ on $Y_{\beta}$. Fix $U_{k}$ and denote the projective line $\mathbb{P}\left(\left(U_{k} / V_{k-2}\right)^{*}\right)$ by $\mathbb{P}_{Y_{\beta}}^{1}$. Furthermore, fix a projective line $\mathbb{P}_{X}^{1}$ in $\mathbb{P}_{X}^{2}$ and consider the rational curve $\mathbb{P}_{\Gamma}^{1}:=\left\{\left(V_{k-1}, V_{k}\right) \in \Gamma \mid V_{k-1} \in\right.$ $\left.\mathbb{P}_{Y_{\beta}}^{1}, V_{k} \in \mathbb{P}_{X}^{1}\right\}$ on $\Gamma$. Diagram (17) yields a commutative diagram


Since $\varphi: X \rightarrow X^{\prime}$ is linear, $\left.\varphi\right|_{\mathbb{P}_{X}^{1}}: \mathbb{P}_{X}^{1} \rightarrow \varphi\left(\mathbb{P}_{X}^{1}\right)$ is an isomorphism. Furthermore, $\left.p_{2}\right|_{\mathbb{P}_{\Gamma}^{1}}$ is an isomorphism by construction. Therefore, $\left.\bar{p}_{2}\right|_{\varphi_{\Gamma}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\Gamma}^{1}\right)}$ and $\left.\varphi_{\Gamma}\right|_{\mathbb{P}_{\Gamma}^{1}}$ are isomorphisms.

We claim now that $\left.p_{1}\right|_{\mathbb{P}_{\Gamma}^{1}}$ and $\left.\bar{p}_{1}\right|_{\varphi_{\Gamma}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\Gamma}^{1}\right)}$ are also isomorphisms. Indeed, for $\left.p_{1}\right|_{\mathbb{P}_{\Gamma}^{1}}$ this holds by construction. Consider $\left.\bar{p}_{1}\right|_{\varphi_{\Gamma}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\Gamma}^{1}\right)}$. As $\varphi\left(\mathbb{P}_{X}^{1}\right)$ is a projective line in $X^{\prime}$, the subspaces of $V^{\prime}$ corresponding to the points of $\varphi\left(\mathbb{P}_{X}^{1}\right)$ lie in some $k^{\prime}+1$-dimensional subspace $V_{k^{\prime}+1}^{\prime}$ of $V^{\prime}$. This implies in view of Lemma 2.6,(i) that, for any two distinct points $V_{k^{\prime}}^{\prime}, V_{k^{\prime}}^{\prime \prime} \in \varphi\left(\mathbb{P}_{X}^{1}\right)$, the projective spaces $\mathbb{P}\left(V_{k^{\prime}}^{\prime} / V_{k^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\mathbb{P}\left(V_{k^{\prime}}^{\prime \perp} / V_{k^{\prime}}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ on $Y_{\alpha}^{\prime}$ have $V_{k^{\prime}+1}^{\prime}$ as unique common point. Note that, for each $V_{k^{\prime}}^{\prime} \in \varphi\left(\mathbb{P}_{X}^{1}\right), \mathbb{P}\left(V_{k^{\prime}}^{\prime \perp} / V_{k^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)$ is the isomorphic image under $\bar{p}_{1}$ of the projective space $\bar{p}_{2}^{-1}\left(V_{k^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)$, and that $\bar{p}_{2}^{-1}\left(V_{k^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right) \cap \varphi_{\Gamma}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\Gamma}^{1}\right)$ is a single point. Hence, either $\bar{p}_{1}\left(\varphi_{\Gamma}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\Gamma}^{1}\right)\right)=\varphi_{\alpha}\left(\mathbb{P}_{Y_{\beta}}^{1}\right)$ equals the point $V_{k^{\prime}+1}^{\prime}$, or $\left.\bar{p}_{1}\right|_{\varphi_{\Gamma}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\Gamma}^{1}\right)}$ is an isomorphism. However, the former case is impossible since $\left.\varphi_{\alpha}\right|_{\mathbb{P}_{Y_{\beta}}^{1}}$ is a non-constant, hence finite morphism by Lemma 3.1. Thus $\left.\bar{p}_{1}\right|_{\varphi_{\Gamma}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\Gamma}^{1}\right)}$ is an isomorphism.

Diagram (18) implies now that $\left.\varphi_{\alpha}\right|_{\mathbb{P}_{Y_{\beta}}^{1}}$ is also an isomorphism. To show that $\varphi_{\alpha}$ is linear it suffices to prove that $\varphi_{\alpha}\left(\mathbb{P}_{Y_{\beta}}^{1}\right)$ is a projective line on $Y_{\alpha}^{\prime}$. This latter fact follows directly from Lemma 2.7,(ii) applied to the following data: $\mathbb{P}^{1}=\mathbb{P}_{Y_{\beta}}^{1}, x=\varphi\left(\operatorname{Span}\left(\underset{V_{k-1} \in \mathbb{P}^{1}}{\cup} V_{k-1}\right)\right)$, $C=\varphi_{\alpha}\left(\mathbb{P}_{Y_{\beta}}^{1}\right)$.

Note next that the diagram (17) allows to reconstruct $\varphi$ from $\varphi_{\alpha}$. Indeed, for any $V_{k} \in X$ the projective space $\mathbb{P}\left(V_{k}^{*}\right)=p_{1}\left(p_{2}^{-1}\left(V_{k}\right)\right)$ is mapped via $\varphi_{\alpha}$ to the unique projective space $\mathbb{P}\left(V_{k^{\prime}}^{\prime \perp} / V_{k^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)$ which contains $\varphi_{\alpha}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\alpha}^{k-1}\right)$. The original morphism $\varphi$ is precisely the map assigning $V_{k^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ to $V_{k} \in X$.

We are now ready to apply the induction assumption to $\varphi_{\alpha}$. Since $\varphi_{\alpha}$ is linear we conclude that there are the following three possibilities: (a.1) $\varphi_{\alpha}$ is a standard extension, or (a.2) $\varphi_{\alpha}$ factors through an isotropic extension, or (a.3) $\varphi_{\alpha}$ factors through a morphism to a projective space in $Y_{\alpha}$.
(a.1) In this case we have a fixed isomorphism $V^{\prime} \simeq V \oplus \hat{W}$ and $\varphi_{\alpha}$ is given by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{k-1} \mapsto V_{k-1} \oplus W \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

for an isotropic subspace $W$ of $\hat{W}$ (see Remark 3.4). Therefore, for any $V_{k} \in X$, the space $\mathbb{P}_{\alpha}^{k-1}=\mathbb{P}\left(V_{k}^{*}\right)$ on $Y_{\beta}$ is embedded by $\varphi_{\alpha}$ in the projective space $\mathbb{P}_{\alpha}^{k^{\prime}+1}=\mathbb{P}\left(\left(V_{k} \oplus W\right)^{*}\right)$ on $Y_{\alpha}^{\prime}$. Since $\mathbb{P}\left(V_{k}^{*}\right)=p_{1}\left(p_{2}^{-1}\left(V_{k}\right)\right)$, diagram (17) implies that $\varphi_{\alpha}\left(\mathbb{P}\left(V_{k}^{*}\right)\right) \subset \mathbb{P}_{\beta}^{\operatorname{dim} V^{\prime}-2 k^{\prime}-1}=\bar{p}_{1}\left(\bar{p}_{2}^{-1}\left(V_{k^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)\right)$, where $V_{k^{\prime}}^{\prime}:=\varphi\left(V_{k}\right)$. We have thus shown that $\varphi_{\alpha}\left(\mathbb{P}\left(V_{k}^{*}\right)\right)$ lies in the intersection of maximal projective spaces from the distinct families $P S_{\alpha}\left(k^{\prime}+1, V^{\prime}\right)$ and $P S_{\beta}\left(k^{\prime}+1, V^{\prime}\right)$ on $Y_{\alpha}^{\prime}$. Hence, by Lemma 2.6,(ii), $k-1=\operatorname{dim} \varphi_{\alpha}\left(\mathbb{P}\left(V_{k}^{*}\right)\right) \leq 1$, i.e. $k=2$. Therefore, $X \simeq G S(2, V), Y_{\beta}=\mathbb{P}(V)$, and $\varphi_{\alpha}$ is an embedding of $\mathbb{P}(V)$ into $Y_{\alpha}^{\prime}$. Then $\varphi_{\alpha}(\mathbb{P}(V))$ lies in a unique maximal projective space $\mathbb{P}\left(\left(V_{k^{\prime}+2}^{\prime}\right)^{*}\right)$ for an isotropic subspace $V_{k^{\prime}+2}^{\prime}$ of $V^{\prime}$. This yields a monomorphism $j: V \hookrightarrow$ $\left(V_{k^{\prime}+2}^{\prime}\right)^{*}$. Now the above reconstruction of $\varphi$ via $\varphi_{\alpha}$ shows that $\varphi$ decomposes as

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=G S(2, V) \stackrel{t}{\hookrightarrow} G(2, V) \stackrel{\tilde{j}}{\hookrightarrow} G\left(2,\left(V_{k^{\prime}+2}^{\prime}\right)^{*}\right) \simeq G\left(k^{\prime}, V_{k^{\prime}+2}^{\prime}\right) \stackrel{\tau}{\hookrightarrow} G S\left(k^{\prime}, V^{\prime}\right)=X^{\prime}, \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $t$ is the tautological embedding, the embedding $\tilde{j}$ is induced by the monomorphism $j$, and the embedding $\tau$ is induced by the embedding of $V_{k^{\prime}+2}^{\prime}$ in $V^{\prime}$. Hence, $\varphi$ is a combination of isotropic and standard extensions. One checks that as a consequence $\varphi_{\alpha}$ is also a combination of isotropic and standard extensions. However, this contradicts to Remark 3.10, and we conclude that case (a.1) is impossible.
(a.2) In this case $\varphi_{\alpha}$ is given by one of the formulas

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{k-1} \mapsto V_{k-1} \oplus W \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
V_{k-1} \mapsto V_{k-1}^{\perp} \oplus W
$$

where $\perp$ refers to the symplectic structure on $V$. If $\varphi_{\alpha}$ is given by (21), then for an arbitrary $V_{k} \in X, \varphi_{\alpha}\left(\mathbb{P}\left(V_{k}^{*}\right)\right) \subset \mathbb{P}\left(\left(V_{k} \oplus W\right)^{*}\right)$. Assume that $\operatorname{dim} \varphi_{\alpha}\left(\mathbb{P}\left(V_{k}^{*}\right)\right)>1$. Then by Lemma 2.6,(ii), $\varphi_{\alpha}\left(\mathbb{P}\left(V_{k}^{*}\right)\right) \not \subset \mathbb{P}\left(\varphi\left(V_{k}\right)^{\perp^{\prime}} / \varphi\left(V_{k}\right)\right)$, where the symbol $\perp^{\prime}$ refers to the symplectic structure on $V^{\prime}$. On the other hand, in view of diagram (17), $\varphi_{\alpha}\left(\mathbb{P}\left(V_{k}^{*}\right)\right) \subset \mathbb{P}\left(\varphi\left(V_{k}\right)^{\perp^{\prime}} / \varphi\left(V_{k}\right)\right)$. This implies $k=2$. Therefore, $\varphi_{\alpha}$ is a combination of isotropic and standard extensions of the form

$$
Y_{\beta}=\mathbb{P}(V) \stackrel{\varphi_{\alpha}^{\prime}}{\longrightarrow} G(l, U) \stackrel{\tau_{\alpha}}{\hookrightarrow} G S(l, \tilde{U}) \stackrel{\varphi_{\alpha}^{\prime \prime}}{\longrightarrow} G S\left(k^{\prime}+1, V^{\prime}\right)=Y_{\alpha}^{\prime}
$$

(see Definition 3.8). Then using diagram (17) it is easy to check that $\varphi$ is given by the formula

$$
V_{k} \mapsto V_{k} \oplus W
$$

and is a combination of isotropic and standard extensions of the form

$$
X=G S(2, V) \stackrel{t}{\hookrightarrow} G(2, V) \stackrel{\varphi^{\prime}}{\hookrightarrow} G(l+1, U) \stackrel{\tau}{\hookrightarrow} G S(l+1, \tilde{U}) \stackrel{\varphi^{\prime \prime}}{\hookrightarrow} G S\left(k^{\prime}, V^{\prime}\right)=X^{\prime} .
$$

If $\varphi_{\alpha}$ is given by (22), then for an arbitrary $V_{k} \in X, \varphi_{\alpha}\left(\mathbb{P}\left(V_{k}^{*}\right)\right)=\mathbb{P}\left(\left(V_{k}^{\perp} \oplus W\right)^{\perp^{\prime}} /\left(V_{k}^{\perp} \oplus W\right)\right)$. In view of the diagram (17) $\varphi$ is given in this case by the formula

$$
V_{k} \mapsto V_{k}^{\perp} \oplus W
$$

and is a combination of isotropic and standard extensions of the form

$$
X=G S(k, V) \stackrel{t}{\hookrightarrow} G(k, V) \stackrel{\perp}{\simeq} G(2 n-k, V) \stackrel{\varphi^{\prime}}{\hookrightarrow} G(l+1, U) \stackrel{\tau}{\hookrightarrow} G S(l+1, \tilde{U}) \stackrel{\varphi^{\prime \prime}}{\hookrightarrow} G S\left(k^{\prime}, V^{\prime}\right)=X^{\prime} .
$$

In this way, (ii) holds under the assumption (a.2).
(a.3) Here $\varphi_{\alpha}$ factors through a morphism to some projective space $\mathbb{P}^{s}$ in $Y_{\alpha}^{\prime}$, and we may assume without loss of generality that $\mathbb{P}^{s}$ is maximal. If $\mathbb{P}^{s}=\mathbb{P}\left(V_{k^{\prime}}^{\prime} / V_{k^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)$ for some $V_{k^{\prime}}^{\prime} \in X^{\prime}$, then in view of diagram (17) $\varphi$ is the constant map $V_{k} \mapsto V_{k^{\prime}}^{\prime}$, contrary to the linearity of $\varphi$. Hence, $\mathbb{P}^{s}=\mathbb{P}\left(\left(V_{k^{\prime}+2}^{\prime}\right)^{*}\right)$ for some isotropic subspace $V_{k^{\prime}+2}^{\prime}$ of $V^{\prime}$. On the other hand, diagram(17) implies that the projective space $\mathbb{P}\left(V_{k}^{*}\right)=p_{1}\left(p_{2}^{-1}\left(V_{k}\right)\right)$ is mapped via $\varphi_{\alpha}$ to the projective space $\mathbb{P}\left(V_{k^{\prime}}^{\prime \perp} / V_{k^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)=\bar{p}_{1}\left(\bar{p}_{2}^{-1}\left(V_{k^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)\right)$ for $V_{k^{\prime}}^{\prime}=\varphi\left(V_{k}\right)$. Thus, $\varphi_{\alpha}\left(\mathbb{P}\left(V_{k}^{*}\right)\right) \subset \mathbb{P}\left(\left(V_{k^{\prime}+2}^{\prime}\right)^{*}\right) \cap \mathbb{P}\left(V_{k^{\prime}}^{\prime \perp} / V_{k^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)$. By Lemma 2.6,(ii) this implies $k=2$. Hence, $X=G S(2, V), Y_{\beta}=\mathbb{P}(V)$ and, since $\varphi_{\alpha}$ is linear, it is an embedding $\mathbb{P}(V) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}\left(\left(V_{k^{\prime}+2}^{\prime}\right)^{*}\right)$ corresponding to a monomorphism $j: V \hookrightarrow\left(V_{k^{\prime}+2}^{\prime}\right)^{*}$. The above mentioned reconstruction of $\varphi$ from $\varphi_{\alpha}$ shows now that $\varphi$ decomposes as

$$
X=G S(2, V) \stackrel{t}{\hookrightarrow} G(2, V) \stackrel{\tilde{j}}{\hookrightarrow} G\left(2,\left(V_{k^{\prime}+2}^{\prime}\right)^{*}\right) \simeq G\left(k^{\prime}, V_{k^{\prime}+2}^{\prime}\right) \stackrel{\tau}{\hookrightarrow} G S\left(k^{\prime}, V^{\prime}\right)=X^{\prime},
$$

where $t$ is the tautological embedding, $\tilde{j}$ is the standard extension corresponding to the monomorphism $j$, and $\tau$ is an isotropic extension corresponding to an embedding of an isotropic subspace $V_{k^{\prime}+2}^{\prime}$ in $V^{\prime}$. This means that $\varphi$ is a combination of isotropic and standard extensions, i.e. statement (ii) holds.

To complete case (a) it remains to consider the possibility that $\varphi_{\alpha}$ is a constant map, i.e. $\varphi_{\alpha}\left(Y_{\beta}\right)=\left\{V_{k^{\prime}+1}^{\prime}\right\}$ for some $V_{k^{\prime}+1}^{\prime} \subset V^{\prime}$. Then diagram (17) implies that $\varphi(X)$ lies in the projective space $\mathbb{P}\left(\left(V_{k^{\prime}+1}^{\prime}\right)^{*}\right)$ on $X^{\prime}$, i.e. (iii) holds.

We now proceed to the case (b). In this case, by Lemma 2.6,(i) each space of the family $\tilde{p}: \tilde{Z} \rightarrow Y_{\beta}$ lies in a unique projective space $\mathbb{P}\left(V_{k^{\prime}-1}^{\perp} / V_{k^{\prime}-1}\right)$ of the family with base $Y_{\beta}^{\prime}$. This means that $p_{\beta}: \Sigma_{\beta} \rightarrow Y_{\beta}$ is a bijective morphism, hence an isomorphism as $Y_{\beta}$ is a smooth variety. Therefore, there is a well-defined morphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{\beta}:=q_{\beta} \circ p_{\beta}^{-1}: Y_{\beta}=G S(k-1, V) \rightarrow Y_{\beta}^{\prime}=G S\left(k^{\prime}-1, V^{\prime}\right) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

and a commutative diagram similar to (17)

where $\varphi, \Gamma, p_{1}, p_{2}$, are as in (17), $\Gamma_{\beta}^{\prime}$ is the variety of isotropic $\left(k^{\prime}-1, k^{\prime}\right)$-flags in $V^{\prime}$, and $\varphi_{\Gamma}, p_{1}^{\prime}$ and $p_{2}^{\prime}$ are the induced projections.

Assume that $\varphi_{\beta}$ is a non-constant morphism. Then $\varphi_{\beta}$ is linear, and the proof is similar to that of the linearity of $\varphi_{\alpha}$. Indeed, consider the diagram analogous to (18) with $\varphi_{\alpha}, \bar{p}_{1}, \bar{p}_{2}$ replaced respectively by $\varphi_{\beta}, p_{1}^{\prime}, p_{2}^{\prime}$. By essentially the same argument as above, this is a commutative diagram of isomorphisms. The fact that $\varphi_{\beta}\left(\mathbb{P}_{Y_{\beta}}^{1}\right)$ is a projective line on $Y_{\beta}^{\prime}$ follows from Lemma 2.7,(i) for the data $\mathbb{P}^{1}=\varphi\left(\mathbb{P}_{X}^{1}\right), x=\varphi\left(\operatorname{Span}\left(\underset{V_{k-1} \in \mathbb{P}^{1}}{\cup} V_{k-1}\right)\right), C=\varphi_{\beta}\left(\mathbb{P}_{Y_{\beta}}^{1}\right)$.

The morphism $\varphi_{\beta}$ maps a projective space $\mathbb{P}\left(V_{k}^{*}\right)$ to a unique projective space, and thus reconstructs $\varphi$ in an obvious way.

Now, by the induction assumption, (b.1) $\varphi_{\beta}$ is a standard extension, or (b.2) $\varphi_{\beta}$ is a combination of isotropic and standard extensions, or (b.3) $\varphi_{\beta}$ factors through a linear morphism into some projective space $\mathbb{P}^{s}$ in $Y_{\beta}^{\prime}$. Consider these three cases (b.1)-(b.3).
(b.1) In this case $\varphi_{\beta}$ is a standard extension. Using the reconstruction of $\varphi$ via $\varphi_{\beta}$ mentioned above, one immediately sees that $\varphi$ is also a standard extension.
(b.2) In this case $\varphi_{\beta}$ is a combination of isotropic and standard extensions, and, using the reconstruction of $\varphi$ via $\varphi_{\beta}$, the reader will check that $\varphi$ also is a combination of isotropic and standard extensions.
(b.3) In this case $\varphi_{\beta}$ factors through a linear morphism of $Y_{\beta}$ into some maximal projective space $\mathbb{P}^{s}$ on $Y_{\beta}^{\prime}$. Then $\mathbb{P}^{s}=\mathbb{P}_{\beta}^{s}:=\mathbb{P}\left(V_{k^{\prime}-2}^{\prime} / V_{k^{\prime}-2}^{\prime}\right)$ for some $V_{k^{\prime}-2}^{\prime} \subset V^{\prime}$, or $\mathbb{P}^{s}=\mathbb{P}_{\alpha}^{s}:=$ $G\left(k^{\prime}-1, V_{k^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)$ for some isotropic subspace $V_{k^{\prime}}^{\prime} \subset V^{\prime}$. The second case is clearly impossible because it would imply that $\varphi$ maps $X$ into the single point $V_{k^{\prime}}^{\prime}$, contrary to linearity of $\varphi$. Hence, $\mathbb{P}^{s}=\mathbb{P}_{\beta}^{s}$.

Fix $V_{k} \in X$ and set $V_{k^{\prime}}^{\prime}:=\varphi\left(V_{k}\right)$. Diagram (24) shows that the projective space $\mathbb{P}\left(V_{k}^{*}\right)=$ $p_{1}\left(p_{2}^{-1}\left(V_{k}\right)\right)$ is embedded by $\varphi_{\beta}$ into the intersection of the maximal projective spaces $\mathbb{P}_{\beta}^{s}$ and $\mathbb{P}_{\alpha}^{k^{\prime}-1}:=\mathbb{P}\left(\left(V_{k^{\prime}-1}^{\prime}\right)^{*}\right)=p_{1}^{\prime}\left(p_{2}^{\prime-1}\left(V_{k^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)\right)$ in $Y_{\beta}^{\prime}$. By Lemma 2.6,(ii) this implies $k=2$, i.e. $X=$ $G S(2, V), \quad Y_{\beta}=\mathbb{P}(V)$, and $\varphi_{\beta}: \mathbb{P}(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\beta}^{s}=\mathbb{P}\left(V_{k^{\prime}-2}^{\prime \perp} / V_{k^{\prime}-2}^{\prime}\right)$ is a linear embedding induced by a certain monomorphism $f: V \rightarrow V_{k^{\prime}-2}^{\prime} / V_{k^{\prime}-2}^{\prime}$. Diagram (24) shows now that $\varphi$ is the composition

$$
X=G S(2, V) \xrightarrow{i} G S\left(2, V_{k^{\prime}-2}^{\prime} / V_{k^{\prime}-2}^{\prime}\right) \stackrel{\tilde{\varphi}}{\rightarrow} G S\left(k^{\prime}, V^{\prime}\right)=X^{\prime},
$$

where $i$ is induced by $f$ and $\tilde{\varphi}$ is the standard extension corresponding to the flag $V_{k^{\prime}-2}^{\prime} \subset V^{\prime} \stackrel{\perp}{k^{\prime}-2}$ in $V^{\prime}$. Being a composition of standard extensions, $\varphi$ is itself a standard extension, i.e. (i) holds.

To complete the proof in the symplectic case it remains to consider the possibility the $\varphi_{\beta}$ is a constant morphism. Let $\varphi_{\beta}\left(Y_{\beta}\right)=\left\{V_{k^{\prime}-1}^{\prime}\right\}$ for some $V_{k^{\prime}-1}^{\prime} \subset V^{\prime}$. Then $\varphi(X)$ lies in the projective space $\mathbb{P}\left(V_{k^{\prime}-1}^{\prime \perp} / V_{k^{\prime}-1}^{\prime}\right)$ on $X^{\prime}$, i.e. (iii) holds.

We now briefly outline the changes needed in the proof for the orthogonal case. The main idea is to replace the family of projective spaces $P S_{\beta}(k, V)$ by the family of maximal quadrics $Q O_{\beta}(k, V)$ on $X$. Note first that the image of a quadric $Q_{\beta}^{\operatorname{dim} V-2 k}$ under a linear morphism is either a quadric or a projective space. Using this and the additional conditions imposed on
$k, k^{\prime}, \operatorname{dim} V, \operatorname{dim} V^{\prime}$ we show that $\varphi$ induces a well defined linear morphism of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{\alpha}: Q O_{\beta}(k, V)=G O(k-1, V) \rightarrow G O\left(k^{\prime}+1, V^{\prime}\right) \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{\beta}: Q O_{\beta}(k, V)=G O(k-1, V) \rightarrow G O\left(k^{\prime}-1, V^{\prime}\right) \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

The above conditions ensure that $\varphi$ does not map maximal quadrics of the form $Q_{\beta}^{\operatorname{dim} V-2 k}$ into maximal quadrics of the form $Q_{\gamma}^{4}$.

The linearity of $\varphi_{\alpha}$ and $\varphi_{\beta}$, provided that they are non-constant morphisms, is proved by arguments similar to the above using Lemma 2.4 instead of Lemma 2.7. The rest of the proof goes along the same lines as in the symplectic case. When working with maximal quadrics $Q_{\beta}^{\operatorname{dim} V-2 k}$ on $G O(k, V)$ instead of maximal projective spaces $\mathbb{P}_{\beta}^{2 n-2 k+1}$ on $G S(k, V)$, one uses Lemmas 2.2,(iv) and 2.3,(ii) instead of Lemmas 2.5,(ii) and 2.6,(ii).

Finally, we leave the case $X \simeq G(k, V)$ and $X^{\prime} \simeq G\left(k^{\prime}, V^{\prime}\right)$ entirely to the reader.
Corollary 3.11. Let $X \simeq G(k, V), X^{\prime} \simeq G\left(k^{\prime}, V^{\prime}\right)$, or $X=G O(k, V), X^{\prime}=G O\left(k^{\prime}, V^{\prime}\right)$, or $X=G S(k, V)$, $X^{\prime}=G S\left(k^{\prime}, V^{\prime}\right)$, and let $\varphi: X \rightarrow X^{\prime}$ be a linear morphism. If $X=$ $G O(k, V), X^{\prime}=G O\left(k^{\prime}, V^{\prime}\right)$, assume in addition that either $k \leq\left[\frac{\operatorname{dim} V}{2}\right]-3$ and $k^{\prime} \leq\left[\frac{\operatorname{dim} V^{\prime}}{2}\right]-3$, or that $\left[\frac{\operatorname{dim} V^{\prime}}{2}\right]-k^{\prime} \leq\left[\frac{\operatorname{dim} V}{2}\right]-k \leq 2$ and both $\operatorname{dim} V$ and $\operatorname{dim} V^{\prime}$ are odd. Then $\varphi$ is an embedding unless it factors through a projective space on $X^{\prime}$ or through a maximal quadric when $X^{\prime}=G O\left(k^{\prime}, V^{\prime}\right)$.

Corollary 3.12. Let $X \simeq G(k, V), X^{\prime} \simeq G\left(k^{\prime}, V^{\prime}\right)$, or $X=G O(k, V), X^{\prime}=G O\left(k^{\prime}, h^{\prime}\right)$, or $X=G S(k, V), X^{\prime}=G S\left(k^{\prime}, V^{\prime}\right)$, and let $\varphi: X \rightarrow X^{\prime}$ be a linear embedding. If $X=$ $G O(k, V), X^{\prime}=G O\left(k^{\prime}, V^{\prime}\right)$, assume in addition that either $k \leq\left[\frac{\operatorname{dim} V}{2}\right]-3$ and $k^{\prime} \leq\left[\frac{\operatorname{dim} V^{\prime}}{2}\right]-3$, or that $\left[\frac{\operatorname{dim} V^{\prime}}{2}\right]-k^{\prime} \leq\left[\frac{\operatorname{dim} V}{2}\right]-k \leq 2$ and both $\operatorname{dim} V$ and $\operatorname{dim} V^{\prime}$ are odd. Then some of the following statements holds:
(i) $\varphi$ is a standard extension;
(ii) $X$ and $X^{\prime}$ are isotropic Grassmannians and $\varphi$ is a combination of isotropic and standard extensions;
(iii) $\varphi$ factors through a projective space on $X^{\prime}$ or, in case $X^{\prime}=G O\left(k^{\prime}, V^{\prime}\right)$, through a maximal quadric $Q_{\beta}^{\operatorname{dim} V^{\prime}-2 k^{\prime}}$.
Remark 3.13. Note that if $X \simeq G(k, V), X^{\prime} \simeq G\left(k^{\prime}, V^{\prime}\right)$ and $\varphi: X \rightarrow X^{\prime}$ is an embedding, the statement of Corollary 3.12 simplifies as follows: $\varphi$ is either a standard extension, or factors through a projective space on $X^{\prime}$ (cf. Proposition 3.1 in [PT1]).
Remark 3.14. If $X=G S(k, V), X^{\prime}=G S\left(\frac{\operatorname{dim} V^{\prime}}{2}, V^{\prime}\right)$ and $\varphi: X \rightarrow X^{\prime}$ is a linear morphism, then $k=\frac{\operatorname{dim} V}{2}$. This follows easily from Lemmas 2.5,(iii) and 3.1.

We will also need the following partial extension of Theorem 1.
Proposition 3.15. Let $\operatorname{dim} V=2 n \geq 10$, $\operatorname{dim} V^{\prime}=2 n^{\prime}$ and $\varphi: X=G O(n-2, V) \rightarrow X^{\prime}=$ $G O\left(n^{\prime}-2, V^{\prime}\right)$ be a linear embedding. Then some of the following statements holds:
(i) $\varphi$ is a standard extension;
(ii) $X$ and $X^{\prime}$ are isotropic Grassmannians and $\varphi$ is a combination of isotropic and standard extensions;
(iii) $\varphi$ factors through a projective space on $X^{\prime}$, through a maximal quadric $Q_{\beta}^{\operatorname{dim} V^{\prime}-2 k^{\prime}}$, or through the Grassmannian $G\left(n^{\prime}-2, V_{n^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right) \subset X^{\prime}$ for a maximal isotropic subspace $V_{n^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ of $V^{\prime}$.

Proof. Considering the image of the family $Q O_{\beta}(n-2, V)$ under $\varphi$, we see similarly to the proof of Theorem 1, that at least one of the following morphisms

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{\alpha}: Q O_{\beta}(n-2, V)=G O(n-3, V) \rightarrow P O_{\alpha}\left(n^{\prime}-2, V^{\prime}\right) \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varphi_{\beta}: Q O_{\beta}(n-2, V)=G O(n-3, V) \rightarrow G O\left(n^{\prime}-3, V^{\prime}\right),  \tag{28}\\
& \varphi_{\gamma}: Q O_{\beta}(n-2, V)=G O(n-3, V) \rightarrow Q O_{\gamma}\left(n^{\prime}-2, V^{\prime}\right) \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

must be well defined.
Assume that $\varphi_{\alpha}$ is well defined. Then one sees that an obvious analog of diagram (17) applies also in the case we consider here. Set $V_{n^{\prime}-2}^{\prime}:=\varphi\left(V_{n-2}\right)$ for $V_{n-2} \in X$. Note that $p_{2}^{-1}\left(V_{n-2}\right)=\mathbb{P}\left(V_{n-2}^{*}\right)$ is mapped under $\varphi_{\Gamma}$ into $p_{2}^{\prime-1}\left(V_{n^{\prime}-2}^{\prime}\right) \simeq \mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$. Since $n \geq 5$, this map is a constant map. Hence $\varphi_{\alpha}$ maps the projective space $\mathbb{P}\left(V_{n-2}^{*}\right)$ into a point. Lemma 3.1 implies now that $\varphi_{\alpha}$ is a constant map. i.e. $\varphi_{\alpha}\left(Q O_{\beta}(n-2, V)\right)=\left\{V_{n^{\prime}-1}^{\prime}\right\}$ for some $V_{n^{\prime}-1}^{\prime} \subset V^{\prime}$. Then the analog of diagram (17) implies that $\varphi(X)$ lies in the projective space $\mathbb{P}\left(\left(V_{n^{\prime}-1}^{\prime}\right)^{*}\right)$ on $X^{\prime}$, i.e. statement (iii) holds.

Next, if $\varphi_{\beta}$ is well defined, then one applies Theorem 1 to $\varphi_{\beta}$ and recovers $\varphi$ from $\varphi_{\beta}$ as in the proof of Theorem 1.

In the remainder of the proof we assume that $\varphi_{\gamma}$ is well defined. We start by constructing a diagram analogous to (17):


By definition, $\bar{\Gamma}$ is a fixed connected component of the variety of isotropic $(n-2, n)$-flags in $V$, and $\bar{\Gamma}^{\prime}$ is a fixed connected component of the variety of isotropic $\left(n^{\prime}-2, n^{\prime}\right)$-flags in $V$. Next, we define $Y$. For this we fix codimension 1 subspace $\tilde{V}$ in $V$ such that the symmetric form $\left.\Phi\right|_{\tilde{V}}$ is non-degenerate, and set $Y:=G O(n-1, \tilde{V})$. Similarly we define $Y^{\prime}$ as $G O\left(n^{\prime}-1, \tilde{V}^{\prime}\right)$. The projections $\pi_{1}, \pi_{2}, \pi_{1}^{\prime}, \pi_{2}^{\prime}$ are as follows: $\pi_{1}:\left(V_{n-2} \subset V_{n}\right) \mapsto V_{n} \cap \tilde{V}, \pi_{2}:\left(V_{n-2} \subset V_{n}\right) \mapsto V_{n-2}$, $\pi_{1}^{\prime}:\left(V_{n^{\prime}-2}^{\prime} \subset V_{n^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right) \mapsto V_{n^{\prime}}^{\prime} \cap \tilde{V}^{\prime}, \pi_{2}^{\prime}:\left(V_{n^{\prime}-2}^{\prime} \subset V_{n^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right) \mapsto V_{n^{\prime}-2}^{\prime}$. To define the morphisms $\varphi_{Y}$ and $\varphi_{\bar{\Gamma}}$, consider a point $V_{n} \cap \tilde{V} \in Y$. By construction, the fibre $\pi_{1}^{-1}\left(V_{n} \cap \tilde{V}\right)$ is isomorphic to the Grassmannian $G\left(n-2, V_{n}\right)$ which is isomorphically mapped onto $\pi_{2}\left(G\left(n-2, V_{n}\right)\right)$. The composition $G\left(n-2, V_{n}\right) \xrightarrow{\pi_{2}} \pi_{2}\left(G\left(n-2, V_{n}\right)\right) \stackrel{\varphi}{\hookrightarrow} X^{\prime} \stackrel{t}{\hookrightarrow} G\left(n^{\prime}-2, V^{\prime}\right)$, where $t$ is the tautological embedding, is a linear embedding of Grassmannians, hence by Theorem 1 it is either a standard extension or factors through an embedding into a projective space. In both cases one sees that there is a unique isotropic subspace $V_{n^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ of $V^{\prime}$ such that $\left(\varphi \circ \pi_{2}\right)\left(G\left(n-2, V_{n}\right)\right) \subset G\left(n^{\prime}-2, V_{n^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)$. Define now $\varphi_{Y}: Y \rightarrow Y^{\prime}$ by setting $\varphi_{Y}\left(V_{n} \cap \tilde{V}\right)=V_{n^{\prime}}^{\prime} \cap \tilde{V}^{\prime}$. The morphism $\varphi_{\bar{\Gamma}}: \bar{\Gamma} \rightarrow \bar{\Gamma}^{\prime}$ is then recovered by the commutativity of diagram (30).

Assume now that the morphism $\varphi_{Y}$ is finite. Consider a point $V_{n-2} \in X$ and set $V_{n^{\prime}-2}^{\prime}=$ $\varphi\left(V_{n-2}\right)$. By diagram (30) the projective line $\mathbb{P}^{1}:=\pi_{1}\left(\pi_{2}^{-1}\left(V_{n-2}\right)\right)$ on $Y$ is mapped into the projective line $\mathbb{P}^{\prime 1}:=\pi_{1}^{\prime}\left(\pi_{2}^{\prime-1}\left(V_{n^{\prime}-2}^{\prime}\right)\right)$ on $Y^{\prime}$. Since the morphism $\left.\varphi_{Y}\right|_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}$ is finite, it follows that this morphism is surjective. This implies that the morphism $\varphi_{\bar{\Gamma}}: \bar{\Gamma} \rightarrow \bar{\Gamma}^{\prime}$ maps fibres of $\pi_{2}$ onto fibres of $\pi_{2}^{\prime}$.

Next, fix a point $V_{n-3} \in G O(n-3, V)$. The maximal quadric $G O\left(1, V_{n-3}^{\perp} / V_{n-3}\right)$ is mapped by $\varphi$ onto the quadric $Q_{\gamma}^{4}$ corresponding to the isotropic flag $\varphi_{\gamma}\left(V_{n-3}\right)$. Consequently, according to the above stated property of $\varphi_{\bar{\Gamma}}$ the variety $\pi_{2}^{-1}\left(G O\left(1, V_{n-3}^{\perp} / V_{n-3}\right)\right)$ is mapped by $\varphi_{\bar{\Gamma}}$ onto the variety $\pi_{2}^{\prime-1}\left(Q_{\gamma}^{4}\right)$. Hence $\pi_{1}\left(\pi_{2}^{-1}\left(G O\left(1, V_{n-3}^{\perp} / V_{n-3}\right)\right)\right)$ is mapped by $\varphi_{Y}$ onto $\pi_{1}^{\prime}\left(\pi_{2}^{\prime-1}\left(Q_{\gamma}^{4}\right)\right)$. However, one can check that the variety $\pi_{1}\left(\pi_{2}^{-1}\left(G O\left(1, V_{n-3}^{\perp} / V_{n-3}\right)\right)\right)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^{3}$, while the variety $\pi_{1}^{\prime}\left(\pi_{2}^{\prime-1}\left(Q_{\gamma}^{4}\right)\right)$ is 5 -dimensional. This is a contradiction.

Hence $\varphi_{Y}$ is not finite, and Lemma 3.1 implies that $\varphi_{Y}$ is a constant map. Set $V_{n^{\prime}}^{\prime}=\varphi_{Y}(Y)$. Then diagram (30) yields that $\varphi(X) \subset \pi_{2}^{\prime}\left(\pi_{1}^{\prime-1}\left(V_{n^{\prime}-2}^{\prime}\right)\right)=G\left(n^{\prime}-2, V_{n^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)$, and statement (iii) holds.

## 4. Linear ind-Grassmannians

Recall that an ind-variety is the direct limit $\mathbf{X}=\underset{\longrightarrow}{\lim } X_{m}$ of a chain of morphisms of algebraic varieties

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{1} \xrightarrow{\varphi_{1}} X_{2} \xrightarrow{\varphi_{2}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\varphi_{m-1}} X_{m} \xrightarrow{\varphi_{m}} X_{m+1} \xrightarrow{\varphi_{m+1}} \ldots \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the direct limit of the chain (31) does not change if we replace the sequence $\left\{X_{m}\right\}_{m \geq 1}$ by a subsequence $\left\{X_{i_{m}}\right\}_{m \geq 1}$ and the morphisms $\varphi_{m}$ by the compositions $\tilde{\varphi}_{i_{m}}:=\varphi_{i_{m+1}-1} \circ \ldots \circ$ $\varphi_{i_{m}+1} \circ \varphi_{i_{m}}$. Let $\mathbf{X}$ be the direct limit of (31) and $\mathbf{X}^{\prime}$ be the direct limit of a chain

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{1}^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\varphi_{1}^{\prime}} X_{2}^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\varphi_{2}^{\prime}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\varphi_{m-1}^{\prime}} X_{m}^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\varphi_{m}^{\prime}} X_{m+1}^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\varphi_{m+1}^{\prime}} \ldots \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

A morphism of ind-varieties $\mathbf{f}: \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}^{\prime}$ is a map from $\lim _{\rightarrow} X_{n}$ to $\lim _{\rightarrow} X_{n}^{\prime}$ induced by a collection of morphisms of algebraic varieties $\left\{f_{m}: X_{m} \rightarrow Y_{n_{m}}\right\}_{m \geq 1}$ such that $\psi_{n_{m}} \circ f_{m}=f_{m+1} \circ \varphi_{m}$ for all $m \geq 1$. The identity morphism $\mathrm{id}_{\mathbf{X}}$ is a morphism which induces the identity as a set-theoretic map from $\mathbf{X}$ to $\mathbf{X}^{\prime}$. A morphism $\mathbf{f}: \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}^{\prime}$ is an isomorphism if there exists a morphism $\mathbf{g}: \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$ such that $\mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}=\mathrm{id}_{\mathbf{X}}$ and $\mathbf{f} \circ \mathbf{g}=\mathrm{id}_{\mathbf{X}^{\prime}}$.

In what follows we only consider chains (31) such that $X_{m}$ are complete algebraic varieties, $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\operatorname{dim} X_{n}\right)=\infty$, and the morphisms $\varphi_{m}$ are embeddings. We call such ind-varieties locally complete. Furthermore, we call a morphism $\mathbf{f}: \mathbf{X}=\lim _{\rightarrow} X_{n} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}^{\prime}=\lim _{\rightarrow} X_{n}^{\prime}$ of locally complete ind-varieties an embedding if all morphisms $f_{m}: X_{m} \rightarrow X_{n_{m}}^{\prime}, m \geq 1$, are embeddings.
Definition 4.1. A linear ind-Grassmannian is an ind-variety $\mathbf{X}$ obtained as a direct limit of a chain of embeddings

$$
X_{1} \xrightarrow{\varphi_{1}} X_{2} \xrightarrow{\varphi_{2}} \ldots \xrightarrow{\varphi_{m-1}} X_{m} \xrightarrow{\varphi_{m}} X_{m+1} \xrightarrow{\varphi_{m+1}} \ldots
$$

where each $X_{m}$ is a Grassmannian or an isotropic Grassmannian, $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\operatorname{dim} X_{n}\right)=\infty$, and all embeddings $\varphi_{m}$ are linear morphisms.

Note that Definition 4.1 allows for a "mixture" of all three types of Grassmannians (usual Grassmannians, orthogonal Grassmannians, symplectic Grassmannians). Note also that when considering orthogonal Grassmannians we restrict ourselves to connected orthogonal Grassmannians with Picard group isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}$, see 2.3.

We now define certain standard Grassmannians and isotropic Grassmannians.
Definition 4.2. Fix an infinite chain of vector spaces

$$
V_{n_{1}} \subset V_{n_{2}} \subset \ldots \subset V_{n_{m}} \subset V_{n_{m+1}} \subset \ldots
$$

of dimensions $n_{m}, n_{m}<n_{m+1}$.
a) For an integer $k, 1 \leq k<n_{1}$, set $\mathbf{G}(k):=\lim _{\rightarrow} G\left(k, V_{n_{m}}\right)$ where

$$
G\left(k, V_{n_{1}}\right) \hookrightarrow G\left(k, V_{n_{2}}\right) \hookrightarrow \ldots \hookrightarrow G\left(k, V_{n_{m}}\right) \hookrightarrow G\left(k, V_{n_{m+1}}\right) \hookrightarrow \ldots
$$

is the chain of canonical inclusions of Grassmannians.
b) For a sequence of integers $1 \leq k_{1}<k_{2}<\ldots$ such that $k_{m}<n_{m}, \lim _{m \rightarrow \infty}\left(n_{m}-k_{m}\right)=\infty$, set $\mathbf{G}(\infty):=\lim _{\rightarrow} G\left(k_{m}, V_{n_{m}}\right)$ where

$$
G\left(k_{1}, V_{n_{1}}\right) \hookrightarrow G\left(k_{2}, V_{n_{2}}\right) \hookrightarrow \ldots \hookrightarrow G\left(k_{m}, V_{n_{m}}\right) \hookrightarrow G\left(k_{m+1}, V_{n_{m+1}}\right) \hookrightarrow \ldots
$$

is an arbitrary chain of standard extensions of Grassmannians.
c) Assume that $V_{n_{m}}$ are endowed with compatible non-degenerate symmetric (respectively, symplectic) forms $\Phi_{m}$. In the symplectic case $\frac{1}{2} n_{m} \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$. For an integer $k, 1 \leq k \leq\left[\frac{n_{1}}{2}\right]$, set $\mathbf{G O}(k, \infty):=\lim _{\rightarrow} G O\left(k, V_{n_{m}}\right)$ (respectively, $\left.\mathbf{G S}(k, \infty):=\lim _{\rightarrow} G S\left(k, V_{n_{m}}\right)\right)$ where

$$
G O\left(k, V_{n_{1}}\right) \hookrightarrow G O\left(k, V_{n_{2}}\right) \hookrightarrow \ldots \hookrightarrow G O\left(k, V_{n_{m}}\right) \hookrightarrow G O\left(k, V_{n_{m+1}}\right) \hookrightarrow \ldots
$$

(respectively,

$$
\left.G S\left(k, V_{n_{1}}\right) \hookrightarrow G S\left(k, V_{n_{2}}\right) \hookrightarrow \ldots \hookrightarrow G S\left(k, V_{n_{m}}\right) \hookrightarrow G S\left(k, V_{n_{m+1}}\right) \hookrightarrow \ldots\right)
$$

is the chain of canonical inclusions of isotropic Grassmannians.
d) For a sequence of integers $1 \leq k_{1}<k_{2}<\ldots$ such that $k_{m}<\left[\frac{n_{m}}{2}\right], \lim _{m \rightarrow \infty}\left(\left[\frac{n_{m}}{2}\right]-k_{m}\right)=\infty$, set $\mathrm{GO}(\infty, \infty)=\lim _{\rightarrow} G \mathrm{O}\left(k_{m}, V_{n_{m}}\right)$ (respectively, $\left.\mathbf{G S}(\infty, \infty):=\lim _{\rightarrow} G S\left(k_{m}, V_{n_{m}}\right)\right)$ where

$$
\begin{equation*}
G O\left(k_{1}, V_{n_{1}}\right) \hookrightarrow G O\left(k_{2}, V_{n_{2}}\right) \hookrightarrow \ldots \hookrightarrow G O\left(k_{m}, V_{n_{m}}\right) \hookrightarrow G O\left(k_{m+1}, V_{n_{m+1}}\right) \hookrightarrow \ldots \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

(respectively,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.G S\left(k_{1}, V_{n_{1}}\right) \hookrightarrow G S\left(k_{2}, V_{n_{2}}\right) \hookrightarrow \ldots \hookrightarrow G S\left(k_{m}, V_{n_{m}}\right) \hookrightarrow G S\left(k_{m+1}, V_{n_{m+1}}\right) \hookrightarrow \ldots\right) \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

is an arbitrary chain of standard extensions of isotropic Grassmannians.
e) In the symplectic case, consider a sequence of integers $1 \leq k_{1}<k_{2}<\ldots$ such that $k_{m}<\frac{n_{m}}{2}, \lim _{m \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{n_{m}}{2}-k_{m}\right)=k \in \mathbb{N}$, and set $\operatorname{GS}(\infty, k):=\lim _{\rightarrow} G S\left(k_{m}, V_{n_{m}}\right)$ for any chain of standard extensions (34). In the orthogonal case, assume first that $\operatorname{dim} V_{n_{m}}$ are even. Then set $\mathrm{GO}^{0}(\infty, k):=\lim _{\rightarrow} G O\left(k_{m}, V_{n_{m}}\right)$ for a chain (33) where $k_{m}<\frac{n_{m}}{2}, \lim _{m \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{n_{m}}{2}-k_{m}\right)=k \in \mathbb{N}, k \geq 2$. Finally, consider the orthogonal case under the assumption that $\operatorname{dim} V_{n_{m}}$ are odd. Then set $\mathrm{GO}^{1}(\infty, k):=\lim _{\rightarrow} G O\left(k_{m}, V_{n_{m}}\right)$ for a chain (33) where $k_{m}<\left[\frac{n_{m}}{2}\right], \lim _{m \rightarrow \infty}\left(\left[\frac{n_{m}}{2}\right]-k_{m}\right)=k \in \mathbb{N}$.

The infinite projective space $\mathbf{P}^{\infty}$ is defined as the ind-variety $\mathbf{G}(1)$. Note that $\mathbf{P}^{\infty} \simeq G S(1)$. When writing $\mathbf{G O}^{0}(\infty, k)$ below we automatically assume $k \neq 1$.
Lemma 4.3. All standard ind-Grassmannians $\mathbf{G}(\infty)$, $\mathbf{G O}(\infty, \infty), \quad \mathbf{G S}(\infty, \infty), \quad \mathbf{G}(k)$, $\mathrm{GO}(k, \infty), \mathrm{GS}(k, \infty), \mathrm{GO}^{0}(\infty, k), \mathrm{GO}^{1}(\infty, k), \mathbf{G S}(\infty, k)$, are well defined. In other words, a standard Grassmannian does not depend, up to an isomorphism of ind-varieties, on the specific chain of standard embeddings used in its definition.

Proof. We consider only $\mathbf{G}(\infty)$. All other cases are similar. Let two chains of strict standard extensions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G\left(k_{1}, V_{n_{1}}\right) \stackrel{\varphi_{1}}{\longrightarrow} G\left(k_{2}, V_{n_{2}}\right) \stackrel{\varphi_{2}}{\longrightarrow} \ldots \stackrel{\varphi_{m-1}}{\longrightarrow} G\left(k_{m}, V_{n_{m}}\right) \xrightarrow{\varphi_{m}} G\left(k_{m+1}, V_{n_{m+1}}\right) \stackrel{\varphi_{m+1}}{\varphi_{m}} \ldots, \\
& G\left(k_{1}^{\prime}, V_{n_{1}^{\prime}}\right) \stackrel{\varphi_{1}^{\prime}}{\longrightarrow} G\left(k_{2}^{\prime}, V_{n_{2}^{\prime}}\right) \stackrel{\varphi_{2}^{\prime}}{\hookrightarrow} \ldots \stackrel{\varphi_{m-1}^{\prime}}{\longrightarrow} G\left(k_{m}^{\prime}, V_{n_{m}^{\prime}}\right) \stackrel{\varphi_{m}^{\prime}}{\longrightarrow} G\left(k_{m+1}^{\prime}, V_{n_{m+1}^{\prime}}\right) \stackrel{\varphi_{m+1}^{\prime}}{\longrightarrow} \ldots,
\end{aligned}
$$

such that

$$
\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} k_{m}=\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} k_{m}^{\prime}=\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty}\left(n_{m}-k_{m}\right)=\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty}\left(n_{m}^{\prime}-k_{m}^{\prime}\right)=\infty,
$$

be given. We will show that their respective direct limits $\mathbf{G}(\infty)$ and $\mathbf{G}^{\prime}(\infty)$ are isomorphic as ind-varieties.

For this, we have to construct two infinite subsequences $\left\{i_{s}\right\}_{s \geq 1}$ and $\left\{j_{s}\right\}_{s \geq 1}$ of $\mathbb{Z}_{+}$and two sets of morphisms $\mathbf{f}=\left\{f_{s}: G\left(k_{i_{s}}, V_{n_{i_{s}}}\right) \rightarrow G\left(k_{j_{s}}^{\prime}, V_{n_{j_{s}}^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)\right\}_{s \geq 1}, \mathbf{g}=\left\{g_{m}: G\left(k_{j_{s}}^{\prime}, V_{n_{j_{s}}^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow\right.$ $\left.G\left(k_{i_{s+1}}, V_{n_{i_{s+1}}}\right)\right\}_{m \geq 1}$ such that they determine morphisms of ind-varieties $\mathbf{f}: \mathbf{G}(\infty) \rightarrow$ $\mathbf{G}^{\prime}(\infty), \mathbf{g}: \mathbf{G}^{\prime}(\infty) \rightarrow \mathbf{G}(\infty)$ with $\mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}=\operatorname{id}_{\mathbf{G}(\infty)}$ and $\mathbf{f} \circ \mathbf{g}=\operatorname{id}_{\mathbf{G}^{\prime}(\infty)}$. Assume that the
desired subsequences $\left\{i_{s}\right\}_{s \geq 1},\left\{j_{s}\right\}_{s \geq 1}$ and morphisms $f_{l}, g_{l}$ are constructed for $1 \leq l \leq s-1$, and that these morphisms are strict standard extensions. Denote for short $k:=k_{i_{s}}, n:=$ $n_{i_{s}}, V:=V_{n}, k^{\prime}:=k_{j_{s}}^{\prime}, n^{\prime}:=n_{j_{s}}^{\prime}, V^{\prime}:=V_{n^{\prime}}^{\prime}, G:=G(k, V), G^{\prime}:=G\left(k^{\prime}, V^{\prime}\right), f:=f_{s}:$ $G \hookrightarrow G^{\prime}, \tilde{k}:=k_{i_{s+1}}, \tilde{n}:=n_{i_{s+1}}, \tilde{V}:=V_{\tilde{n}}, \tilde{G}:=G(\tilde{k}, \tilde{V}), \varphi:=\varphi_{i_{s}}: G \hookrightarrow \tilde{G}$. Without loss of generality that we assume that $\tilde{k}>k^{\prime}$. By Remark $3.4, f$ is given by a triple $\left(W_{f}, U_{f}, f\right)$, where $W_{f} \subset U_{f}$ is a flag in $V^{\prime}$. Respectively, $\varphi$ is given by a triple $\left(W_{\varphi}, U_{\varphi}, \underline{\varphi}\right)$, where $W_{\varphi} \subset U_{\varphi}$ is a flag in $\tilde{V}$.

For the induction step we will now find a strict standard extension $g:=g_{s}: G^{\prime} \hookrightarrow \tilde{G}$ such that $g \circ f=\varphi$. Indeed, consider the exact triples $0 \rightarrow W_{f} \rightarrow U_{f} \stackrel{f}{\rightarrow} V \rightarrow 0,0 \rightarrow W_{\varphi} \rightarrow$ $U_{\varphi} \xrightarrow{\varphi} V \rightarrow 0$. Since both $\underline{f}$ and $\underline{\varphi}$ are epimorphisms, and $\operatorname{dim} U_{\varphi}>\operatorname{dim} U_{f}$ as $\tilde{k}>k^{\prime}$, it follows that there exists a (non-unique) epimorphism $\varepsilon_{U}: U_{\varphi} \rightarrow U_{f}$ such that $\underline{\varphi}=\underline{f} \circ \varepsilon_{U}$. Then $\left.\varepsilon_{U}\right|_{W}$ is a well-defined epimorphism $W_{\varphi} \rightarrow W_{f}$. Putting $W_{g}:=\operatorname{ker} \varepsilon_{U}$, we have the exact triple $0 \rightarrow W_{g} \rightarrow U_{\varphi} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_{U}} U_{f} \rightarrow 0$. Next, set $U_{g}^{\prime}:=W_{g} \oplus V^{\prime}$ and fix an embedding $i: U_{g}^{\prime} \hookrightarrow \tilde{V}$ such that $\left.i\right|_{U_{f}}=\mathrm{id}$. Then $W_{g} \subset U_{g}:=i\left(U_{g}^{\prime}\right)$ is a flag in $\tilde{V}$ equipped with an isomorphism $\underline{g}: U_{g} / W_{g} \simeq V^{\prime}$. The corresponding strict standard extension $g: G^{\prime} \hookrightarrow \tilde{G}$ satisfies the property $\bar{g} \circ f=\varphi$, as claimed.

Note furthermore that the standard ind-Grassmannians introduced above are isomorphic to certain ind-varieties introduced in $[\mathrm{DiP}]$. More precisely, let $\widetilde{V}$ be a countable-dimensional vector space with basis $\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}, \ldots\right\}$ and let $\widetilde{W} \subset \widetilde{V}$ be a subspace generated by a subset of $\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}, \ldots\right\}$. Then $G(\widetilde{W}, \widetilde{V})$ is by definition the set of subspaces $\widetilde{E} \subset \widetilde{V}$ satisfying the following two conditions:
(i) $\operatorname{Span}\left(\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}, \ldots\right\} \cap \widetilde{E}\right)$ is of finite codimension in $\widetilde{E}$;
(ii) there exists a finite-dimensional subspace $\widetilde{U} \subset \widetilde{V}$ such that $\widetilde{W} \subset \widetilde{E}+\widetilde{U}, \widetilde{E} \subset \widetilde{W}+\widetilde{U}$, $\operatorname{dim}(\widetilde{E} \cap \widetilde{U})=\operatorname{dim}(\widetilde{W} \cap \widetilde{U})$.
Then it is easy to see (a much stronger result is proved in [DiP]) that $G(\widetilde{W}, \widetilde{V})$ has a natural structure of an ind-variety such that $G(\widetilde{W}, \widetilde{V})$ is the direct limit of a chain of standard extensions of Grassmannians. Moreover,

$$
G(\widetilde{W}, \widetilde{V}) \cong \mathbf{G}\left(\min \left\{\operatorname{dim} \widetilde{W}, \operatorname{codim}_{\widetilde{V}} \widetilde{W}\right\}\right)
$$

Similarly, in the isotropic case (i.e. in the case when $\widetilde{W}$ is equipped with an appropriate nondegenerate quadratic form) the standard isotropic ind-Grassmannians introduced in this paper represent all isomorphism classes of ind-varieties $G(\widetilde{W}, \widetilde{V})$ introduced in $[\mathrm{DiP}]$ (in this case $\widetilde{W}$ is an isotropic subspace of $\widetilde{V})$ and satisfying $\operatorname{Pic} G(\widetilde{W}, \widetilde{V}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$.

## 5. Classification of linear ind-Grassmannians

In this section we prove the following main result of the note.
Theorem 2. Every linear ind-Grassmannian is isomorphic as an ind-variety to one of the standard ind-Grassmannians $\mathbf{G}(k)$ for $k \geq 1, \mathbf{G}(\infty), \mathbf{G O}(k, \infty)$ for $k \geq 1, \mathbf{G O}^{0}(\infty, k)$ for $k \geq 2$, $\mathbf{G O}^{1}(\infty, k)$ for $k \geq 0$, $\mathbf{G O}(\infty, \infty)$, $\mathbf{G S}(k, \infty)$ for $k \geq 2$, $\mathbf{G S}(\infty, k)$ for $k \geq 0$, $\mathrm{GS}(\infty, \infty)$, and the latter are pairwise non-isomorphic.

Proof. Let a linear ind-Grassmannian $\mathbf{X}$ be given as the direct limit of a chain of embeddings

$$
X_{1} \xrightarrow{\varphi_{1}} X_{2} \xrightarrow{\varphi_{2}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\varphi_{m-1}} X_{m} \xrightarrow{\varphi_{m}} X_{m+1} \xrightarrow{\varphi_{m+1}} \ldots,
$$

where $X_{m}$ are Grassmannians, possibly orthogonal or symplectic, such that $\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty}\left(\operatorname{dim} X_{m}\right)=\infty$. Then, for infinitely many $m, X_{m}$ will be a Grassmannian, or an orthogonal Grassmannian, or a symplectic Grassmannian. Therefore, without loss of generality, we can assume that all $X_{m}$ are of one of the above three types.

Suppose first that all $X_{m}$ are Grassmannians. Then we have the following two options: for infinitely many $m$, the embedding $\varphi_{m}: X_{m} \rightarrow X_{m+1}$ factors through an embedding of a projective space into $X_{m+1}$, i.e. there exists a commutative diagram of embeddings

or this is not the case. In the first case $\mathbf{X} \simeq \lim \mathbb{P}^{j_{m}}$, hence $\mathbf{X} \simeq \mathbf{P}^{\infty}$. In the second case, by deleting some first embeddings we can assume that none of the embeddings $\varphi_{m}: X_{m} \rightarrow X_{m+1}$ factors through an embedding of a projective space into $X_{m+1}$. Then, Corollary 3.12 implies that all embeddings $\varphi_{m}$ are standard extensions, hence $\mathbf{X}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{G}(k)$ or $\mathbf{G}(\infty)$.

In the symplectic case, the reader will argue in a similar way that Corollary 3.12 implies that $\mathbf{X}$ is either isomorphic to $\mathbf{G}(k)$ or $\mathbf{G}(\infty)$ (this happens when all $\varphi_{m}$ are combinations of isotropic and standard extensions or factor through projective spaces), or to one of the standard symplectic ind-Grassmannians.

The orthogonal case is similar but has some special features. First, if all morphisms $\varphi_{m}$ factor through respective quadrics $Q_{\beta}^{\operatorname{dim} V_{m+1}-2 k_{m+1}}$, one needs to prove that the direct limit of any chain of linear embeddings

$$
Q_{1} \hookrightarrow Q_{2} \hookrightarrow \ldots \hookrightarrow Q_{m} \hookrightarrow Q_{m+1} \hookrightarrow \ldots,
$$

where $\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{dim} Q_{m}=\infty$, is isomorphic either to $\mathbf{P}^{\infty}$ or to $\mathrm{GO}(1, \infty)$. This is an exercise which we leave to the reader. Second, in the orthogonal case one applies Corollary 3.12 when $\left[\frac{\operatorname{dim} V_{m}}{2}\right]-k_{m} \geq 3$ for infinitely many $m$ (in this case one can assume without loss of generality that $\left[\frac{\operatorname{dim} V_{m}}{2}\right]-k_{m} \geq 3$ for all $m$ ). The case when $\left[\frac{\operatorname{dim} V_{m}}{2}\right]-k_{m} \leq 2$ for infinitely many $m$ needs special attention. In the latter case one assumes without loss of generality that $\left[\frac{\operatorname{dim} V_{m}}{2}\right]-k_{m}$ is constant and then applies Theorem 1 when $\operatorname{dim} V_{m}$ is odd for all $m$, and Proposition 3.15 when $\operatorname{dim} V_{m}$ is even for all $m$ (in the latter case $\frac{\operatorname{dim} V_{m}}{2}-k_{m}=2$ for all $m$ ).

The first claim of Theorem 2 is now proved.
The claim that the standard ind-Grassmannians are pairwise non-isomorphic follows from Lemmas 5.1, 5.2 and 5.4 below.

In what follows we will sometimes write $\mathbf{G O}(\infty, k)$ meaning $\mathbf{G O}^{0}(\infty, k)$ or $\mathbf{G O}^{1}(\infty, k)$. This allows the simultaneous consideration of $\mathbf{G O}^{0}(\infty, k)$ and $\mathbf{G O}^{1}(\infty, k)$.
Lemma 5.1. (i) Let $k, k^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Z}_{+} \cup\{\infty\}, k \neq k^{\prime}$. Then $\mathbf{G}(k) \nsucceq \mathbf{G}\left(k^{\prime}\right), \mathbf{G O}(k, \infty) \nsucceq \mathbf{G O}\left(k^{\prime}, \infty\right)$, $\mathbf{G O}(k, \infty) \nsucceq \mathbf{G O}\left(k^{\prime}, \infty\right)$.
(ii) Let $k \geq 2$. Then $\mathbf{G O}^{0}(\infty, k) \not \approx \mathbf{G O}^{1}(\infty, k)$.
(iii) Let $k, k^{\prime} \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{\infty\}, k \neq k^{\prime}$. Then $\mathbf{G O}(\infty, k) \not 千 \mathrm{GO}\left(\infty, k^{\prime}\right)$, $\mathrm{GS}(\infty, k) \not \approx \mathrm{GS}\left(\infty, k^{\prime}\right)$.
(iv) Let $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{\infty\}, k^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Z}_{+} \cup\{\infty\}, k \neq k^{\prime}$. Then $\mathbf{G O}(\infty, k) \not 千 \mathbf{G O}\left(k^{\prime}, \infty\right)$, $\mathbf{G S}(\infty, k) \nsim$ $\mathrm{GS}\left(k^{\prime}, \infty\right)$.

Proof. In (i), (iii) and (iv) we only consider the symplectic case and leave the other cases to the reader.
(i) Let $k>k^{\prime}$. Assume that $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$and that $\mathbf{X}:=\mathbf{G S}(k, \infty)$ and $\mathbf{X}^{\prime}:=\mathbf{G S}\left(k^{\prime}, \infty\right)$ are isomorphic. This implies that there exist subsequences $\left\{i_{s}\right\}_{s \geq 1}$ and $\left\{j_{s}\right\}_{s \geq 1}$ of $\mathbb{Z}_{+}$and a chain
of linear embeddings

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ldots \hookrightarrow G S\left(k, V_{n_{i_{s}}}\right) \stackrel{f_{s}}{\hookrightarrow} G S\left(k^{\prime}, V_{n_{j_{s}}^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right) \stackrel{g_{s}}{\longrightarrow} G S\left(k, V_{n_{i_{s+1}}}\right) \stackrel{f_{s+1}}{\longrightarrow} G S\left(k^{\prime}, V_{n_{j_{s+1}}^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right) \stackrel{g_{s+1}}{\longrightarrow} \ldots, \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that the compositions $g_{s} \circ f_{s}$ and $f_{s+1} \circ g_{s}$ are standard extensions and the direct limit of the chain（35）is isomorphic to both $\mathbf{X}$ and $\mathbf{X}^{\prime}$ ．According to Corollary 3．12，we can assume without loss of generality that all embeddings $f_{s}$ and $g_{s}$ are standard extensions，or factor through isotropic extensions，or factor through embeddings to projective spaces．

In the first case，since $G S\left(k, V_{n_{i_{s}}}\right) \stackrel{f_{s}}{\longrightarrow} G S\left(k^{\prime}, V_{n_{j_{s}}^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)$ is a standard extension，it follows from （14）that $k^{\prime} \geq k$ ，contrary to the assumption．

In the third case both $\mathbf{X}$ and $\mathbf{X}^{\prime}$ are isomorphic to $\mathbf{P}^{\infty}$ ．On the other hand，Remark 3.10 implies that $\mathbf{X}$ is not isomorphic to $\mathbf{P}^{\infty}$ as $k>1$ ．

Consider now the second case．Here $f_{s}$ factorizes as $f_{s}: G S\left(k, V_{n_{i_{s}}}\right) \stackrel{t}{\hookrightarrow} G\left(k, V_{n_{i_{s}}}\right) \stackrel{\tilde{f}_{s}}{\hookrightarrow}$ $G S\left(k^{\prime}, V_{n_{j_{s}}^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)$ ，where $t$ is the tautological embedding and $\tilde{f}_{s}$ is an isotropic extension followed by a standard extension．The composition

$$
\begin{equation*}
G\left(k, V_{n_{i_{s}}}\right) \stackrel{\tilde{f}_{s}}{\hookrightarrow} G S\left(k^{\prime}, V_{n_{j_{s}}^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right) \stackrel{\tilde{t}}{\hookrightarrow} G\left(k^{\prime}, V_{n_{j_{s}}^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right), \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\tilde{t}$ being the tautological embedding，is a standard extension or factors through a projective space．The latter assumption leads to the same contradiction as in the above considered third case，so we must assume that（36）is a standard extension．The existence of a standard extension $G\left(k, V_{n_{i_{s}}}\right) \hookrightarrow G\left(k^{\prime}, V_{n_{j_{s}}^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)$ implies $k^{\prime} \geq k, k^{\prime}-k \leq n_{j_{s}}^{\prime}-n_{i_{s}}$ ，or $k \leq n_{j_{s}}^{\prime}-k^{\prime}, k+k^{\prime} \geq n_{i_{s}}$（see Remark 3．7）．Since for $n_{i_{s}}$ large enough，both pairs of inequalities contradict our assumption that $k>k^{\prime}$ ，we conclude that the second case is also impossible．

We have now shown that all three cases lead to contradictions，hence（i）follows for $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$． The argument for $k=\infty$ is very similar．
（ii）The maximal quadrics on $\mathrm{GO}^{0}(\infty, k)$ not lying in projective spaces have dimension $2 k$ ， while $\mathrm{GO}^{1}(\infty, k)$ not lying in projective spaces have dimension $2 k+1$ ，see Lemma 2．2．This imlies that $\mathrm{GO}^{0}(\infty, k) \nsucceq \mathrm{GO}^{1}(\infty, k)$ ．
（iii）Let $\mathbb{Z}_{+} \ni k>k^{\prime}$ ．Assume that $\mathbf{X}:=\mathrm{GS}(k, \infty)$ and $\mathbf{X}^{\prime}:=\mathrm{GS}\left(k^{\prime}, \infty\right)$ are isomorphic．As above，this implies that there exists a chain of linear embeddings（35）such that the compositions $g_{s} \circ f_{s}$ and $f_{s+1} \circ g_{s}$ are standard extensions and the direct limit of the chain（35）is isomorphic to both $\mathbf{X}$ and $\mathbf{X}^{\prime}$ ．Without loss of generality we can assume that all embeddings $f_{s}$ and $g_{s}$ are standard extensions，or factor through isotropic extensions，or factor through embeddings to projective spaces．

In the first case we have a standard extension

$$
G S\left(\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{dim} V_{n_{i_{s}}}-k, V_{n_{i_{s}}}\right) \stackrel{f_{s}}{\hookrightarrow} G S\left(\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{dim} V_{n_{j_{s}}^{\prime}}^{\prime}-k^{\prime}, V_{n_{j_{s}}^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right),
$$

and（15）gives $k \leq k^{\prime}$ ，contrary to the assumption．
The arguments in the second and third case are similar to the respective arguments in（i）．
The proof is finished for $k<\infty$ ．The case $k=\infty$ is similar．
（iv）The argument is practically the same as in（i）．

Lemma 5．2．For any $k, k^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Z}_{+} \cup\{\infty\}, k^{\prime \prime} \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{\infty\}$ the following assertions hold．
（i） $\mathbf{G}(k) \nsucceq \mathbf{G S}\left(k^{\prime}, \infty\right)$ ，unless $k=k^{\prime}=1, \mathbf{G}(k) \nsucceq \mathbf{G O}\left(k^{\prime}, \infty\right)$ ，
（ii） $\mathbf{G}(k) \not 千 \mathbf{G O}\left(\infty, k^{\prime \prime}\right), \mathbf{G}(k) \not 千 \mathbf{G S}\left(\infty, k^{\prime \prime}\right)$ ．
Proof．Again we consider only the symplectic case and leave the orthogonal case to the reader．
（i）We have to prove that $\mathbf{G}(k) \not 千 \mathbf{G S}\left(k^{\prime}, \infty\right)$ ，unless $k=k^{\prime}=1$ ．The case $k^{\prime}=1, k>k^{\prime}$ ，is already considered in Lemma 5．1，（i），so we can assume $k \neq 1, k^{\prime} \neq 1, k \neq k^{\prime}$ ．

Let $\mathbf{G}(k)$ (respectively, $\mathbf{G S}\left(k^{\prime}, \infty\right)$ ) be given as the direct limit of a chain of strict standard extensions

$$
G\left(k, V_{n_{1}}\right) \hookrightarrow G\left(k, V_{n_{2}}\right) \hookrightarrow \ldots \hookrightarrow G\left(k, V_{n_{m}}\right) \hookrightarrow G\left(k, V_{n_{m+1}}\right) \hookrightarrow \ldots
$$

(respectively,

$$
\left.G S\left(k^{\prime}, V_{n_{1}^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right) \stackrel{\varphi_{1}}{\longrightarrow} G S\left(k^{\prime}, V_{n_{2}^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right) \stackrel{\varphi_{2}}{\longrightarrow} \ldots \xrightarrow{\varphi_{m-1}} G S\left(k^{\prime}, V_{n_{m}^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right) \stackrel{\varphi_{m}}{\longrightarrow} G S\left(k^{\prime}, V_{n_{m+1}^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right) \xrightarrow{\varphi_{m+1}} \ldots\right) .
$$

Suppose that $\mathbf{G}(k) \simeq \mathbf{G S}\left(k^{\prime}, \infty\right)$. This means that there exist two infinite subsequences $\left\{i_{s}\right\}_{s \geq 1}$ and $\left\{j_{s}\right\}_{s \geq 1}$ of $\mathbb{Z}_{+}$and two sets of morphisms $\mathbf{f}=\left\{f_{s}: G\left(k, V_{n_{i_{s}}}\right) \rightarrow G S\left(k^{\prime}, V_{n_{j_{s}}^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)\right\}_{s \geq 1}, \mathbf{g}=$ $\left\{g_{s}: G S\left(k^{\prime}, V_{n_{j_{s}}^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow G\left(k, V_{n_{i_{s+1}}}\right)\right\}_{m \geq 1}$ which determine morphisms of ind-varieties $\mathbf{f}: \mathbf{G}(k) \rightarrow$ $\mathbf{G S}\left(k^{\prime}, \infty\right), \mathbf{g}: \mathbf{G S}\left(k^{\prime}, \infty\right) \rightarrow \mathbf{G}(k)$ with $\mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}=\mathrm{id}_{\mathbf{G}(k)}$ and $\mathbf{f} \circ \mathbf{g}=\mathrm{id}_{\mathbf{G S}\left(k^{\prime}, \infty\right)}$.

Set $\tilde{V}:=V_{n_{i_{s+1}}}, \tilde{G}:=G(k, \tilde{V}), V^{\prime}:=V_{n_{j_{s}}^{\prime}}^{\prime}, G S:=G S\left(k^{\prime}, V^{\prime}\right), \quad \tilde{V}^{\prime}:=V_{n_{j_{s+1}}^{\prime}}^{\prime}, \widetilde{G S}:=$ $G S\left(k^{\prime}, \tilde{V}^{\prime}\right), g:=g_{s}: G S \hookrightarrow \tilde{G}, f:=f_{s+1}: \tilde{G} \hookrightarrow \widetilde{G S}, \varphi:=\varphi_{i_{s}}: G S \hookrightarrow \widetilde{G S}$. Note that $\varphi$ is a standard extension and $\varphi=f \circ g$ by construction.

Consider the composition $F: \tilde{G} \stackrel{f}{\hookrightarrow} \widetilde{G S} \stackrel{i}{\hookrightarrow} G\left(k^{\prime}, \tilde{V}^{\prime}\right)$ where $i$ is the tautological embedding.
The morphism $F$ is a linear embedding, hence, by Corollary 3.12, we may assume without loss of generality that
(a) $F$ is a standard extension, or
(b) $F$ factors through an embedding into a projective space.

Consider these two cases.
(a) By Remark 3.6, $\varphi$ is given by a triple $\left(W_{\varphi}, U_{\varphi}, \underline{\varphi}\right)$ where $W_{\varphi} \subset U_{\varphi}$ is a flag in $\tilde{V}^{\prime}$. Furthermore, without loss of generality we may assume that $F$ is given by a triple ( $W_{f}, U_{f}, \underline{F}$ ) for a flag $W_{f} \subset U_{f}$ in $\tilde{V}^{\prime}$. Since $\varphi(G S)=f \circ g(G S) \subset F(\tilde{G})$, the following chain of inclusions holds:

$$
W_{F} \subset W_{\varphi} \subset U_{\varphi} \subset U_{F} \subset \tilde{V}^{\prime}
$$

Therefore we have an embedding $U_{\varphi} / W_{\varphi} \hookrightarrow U_{F} / W_{\varphi}$ and a projection $U_{F} / W_{F} \rightarrow U_{F} / W_{\varphi}$. However, since $\varphi$ is a standard extension, the fixed symplectic form $\tilde{\Phi}^{\prime}$ on $\tilde{V}^{\prime}$ induces a nondegenerate form on $U_{\varphi} / W_{\varphi}$, while it induces the zero form on $U_{F} / W_{F}$ as $f(\tilde{G}) \subset \widetilde{G S}$. This contradiction shows that the case (a) is impossible.
(b) By assumption, $F: \tilde{G} \stackrel{f}{\hookrightarrow} \widetilde{G S} \stackrel{i}{\hookrightarrow} G\left(k^{\prime}, \tilde{V}^{\prime}\right)$ decomposes as $\tilde{G} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{r} \hookrightarrow G\left(k^{\prime}, \tilde{V}^{\prime}\right)$. Without loss of generality we assume that $\mathbb{P}^{r}$ is a maximal projective space on $G\left(k^{\prime}, \tilde{V}^{\prime}\right)$, and consider the two possible cases: $\mathbb{P}^{r}=\left\{V_{k^{\prime}} \subset \tilde{V}^{\prime} \mid V_{k^{\prime}-1} \subset V_{k^{\prime}} \subset V_{k^{\prime}-1}^{\perp}\right\}$ and $\mathbb{P}^{r}=\left\{V_{k^{\prime}} \subset \tilde{V}^{\prime} \mid V_{k^{\prime}} \subset V_{k^{\prime}+1}\right\}$ for some fixed subspaces $V_{k^{\prime}-1}$ and $V_{k^{\prime}+1}$ of $\tilde{V}^{\prime}, V_{k^{\prime}-1}$ being isotropic.

In the former case any $V_{k^{\prime}} \in G\left(k^{\prime}, \tilde{V}^{\prime}\right)$ such that $V_{k^{\prime}-1} \subset V_{k^{\prime}} \subset V_{k^{\prime}-1}^{\perp}$ is isotropic, i.e. $V_{k^{\prime}} \in$ $\widetilde{G S} \cap \mathbb{P}^{r}$. In other words,

$$
\widetilde{G S} \cap \mathbb{P}^{r}=\mathbb{P}\left(V_{k^{\prime}-1}^{\perp} / V_{k^{\prime}-1}\right),
$$

where the intersection is taken in $G\left(k^{\prime}, \tilde{V}^{\prime}\right)$. This means that $\varphi$ factors through a projective subspace of $\widetilde{G S}$, which contradicts Remark 3.10. Hence, the former case is impossible.

In the latter case it is easy to check that, for $n_{j_{s+1}}^{\prime}=\operatorname{dim} \tilde{V}^{\prime}>2$, the subspace $V_{k^{\prime}+1} \subset \tilde{V}^{\prime}$ is necessarily isotropic. Then $\widetilde{G S} \cap \mathbb{P}^{r}=\mathbb{P}\left(\left(V_{k^{\prime}+1}\right)^{*}\right)$, and we are led to a contradiction as in the former case.
(ii) The proof is analogous to the proof of (i) and we leave it to the reader.

Lemma 5.3. Let $1 \leq k<n=[\operatorname{dim} V / 2]$ and $\varphi: G O(k, V) \rightarrow G O\left(k^{\prime}, V^{\prime}\right), V_{k} \mapsto V_{k} \oplus W$, be a standard extension. Let two maximal projective spaces $\mathbb{P}_{\alpha}^{k}$ and $\mathbb{P}_{\beta}^{n-k}$ intersect in a point. Then there exist maximal projective spaces $\mathbb{P}_{\alpha}^{k^{\prime}}$ and $\mathbb{P}_{\beta}^{n^{\prime}-k^{\prime}}, n^{\prime}=\left[\operatorname{dim} V^{\prime} / 2\right]$, on $G O\left(k^{\prime}, V^{\prime}\right)$ such that $\varphi\left(\mathbb{P}_{\alpha}^{k}\right) \subset \mathbb{P}_{\alpha}^{k^{\prime}}, \varphi\left(\mathbb{P}_{\beta}^{n-k}\right) \subset \mathbb{P}_{\beta}^{n^{\prime}-k^{\prime}}$, and $\mathbb{P}_{\alpha}^{k^{\prime}} \cap \mathbb{P}_{\beta}^{n^{\prime}-k^{\prime}}$ is a point.

Proof. The projective spaces $\mathbb{P}_{\alpha}^{k}$ and $\mathbb{P}_{\beta}^{n-k}$ determine a configuration $V_{k-1}, V_{k+1}, V_{n}$ as in Lemma 2.3,(iv). The subspaces $V_{k-1} \oplus W, V_{k+1} \oplus W, V_{n} \oplus W$ of $V^{\prime}$ form the configuration which determines the desired projective spaces $\mathbb{P}_{\alpha}^{k^{\prime}}$ and $\mathbb{P}_{\beta}^{n^{\prime}-k^{\prime}}$.
Lemma 5.4. (i) $\mathrm{GO}(k, \infty) \not \approx \mathrm{GS}\left(k^{\prime}, \infty\right)$ for $k, k^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Z}_{+} \cup\{\infty\}$.
(ii) $\mathbf{G O}(k, \infty) \nsucceq \mathbf{G S}\left(\infty, k^{\prime}\right)$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{+} \cup\{\infty\}, k^{\prime} \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{\infty\}$.
(iii) $\mathbf{G O}(\infty, k) \not 千 \mathbf{G S}\left(k^{\prime}, \infty\right)$ for $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{\infty\}, k^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Z}_{+} \cup\{\infty\}$.
(iv) $\mathbf{G O}(\infty, k) \not \approx \mathbf{G S}\left(\infty, k^{\prime}\right)$ for $k, k^{\prime} \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{\infty\}$.

Proof. We consider in detail only the case of $\mathrm{GO}(\infty, \infty)$ and $\mathrm{GS}(\infty, \infty)$. Let $\mathbb{P}^{q}$ for $q \geq 2$ be a projective space on $\mathrm{GO}(\infty, \infty)$ (respectively, $\operatorname{GS}(\infty, \infty)$ ). We now explain how to label $\mathbb{P}^{q}$ as $\mathbb{P}_{\alpha}^{q}$ or $\mathbb{P}_{\beta}^{q}$. Fix an arbitrary chain of standard extensions

$$
\begin{equation*}
G O\left(k_{1}, V_{n_{1}}\right) \hookrightarrow G O\left(k_{2}, V_{n_{2}}\right) \hookrightarrow \ldots \hookrightarrow G O\left(k_{m}, V_{n_{m}}\right) \hookrightarrow G O\left(k_{m+1}, V_{n_{m+1}}\right) \hookrightarrow \ldots \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

(respectively,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.G S\left(k_{1}^{\prime}, V_{n_{1}^{\prime}}\right) \hookrightarrow G S\left(k_{2}^{\prime}, V_{n_{2}^{\prime}}\right) \hookrightarrow \ldots \hookrightarrow G S\left(k_{m}^{\prime}, V_{n_{m}^{\prime}}\right) \hookrightarrow G S\left(k_{m+1}^{\prime}, V_{n_{m+1}^{\prime}}\right) \hookrightarrow \ldots\right) \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that

$$
\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} k_{m}=\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty}\left(n_{m}-k_{m}\right)=\infty
$$

(respectively,

$$
\left.\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} k_{m}^{\prime}=\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty}\left(n_{m}^{\prime}-k_{m}^{\prime}\right)=\infty\right)
$$

and $\lim _{\rightarrow} G O\left(k_{m}, V_{n_{m}}\right)=\mathrm{GO}(\infty, \infty)$ (respectively, $\lim _{\rightarrow} G S\left(k_{m}^{\prime}, V_{n_{m}^{\prime}}\right)=\mathrm{GS}(\infty, \infty)$ ). Without loss of generality we assume that all $n_{m}$ in (37) are odd.

Consider some $n_{m}$ such that $\mathbb{P}^{q} \subset G O\left(k_{m}, V_{n_{m}}\right)$ (respectively, $\mathbb{P}^{q} \subset G S\left(k_{m}^{\prime}, V_{n_{m}^{\prime}}\right)$ ) and choose a maximal projective space $\mathbb{P}^{r}$ on $G O\left(k_{m}, V_{n_{m}}\right)$ (respectively, $G S\left(k_{m}^{\prime}, V_{n_{m}^{\prime}}\right)$ such that $\mathbb{P}^{q} \subset \mathbb{P}^{r}$. The projective space $\mathbb{P}^{r}$ is either of type $\mathbb{P}_{\alpha}^{r}$ or $\mathbb{P}_{\beta}^{r}$, and we label $\mathbb{P}^{q}$ according to the label of $\mathbb{P}^{r}$. Lemma 2.3,(i),(iii) (respectively, Lemma 2.6,(i),(ii)) implies that this labeling is well defined as long as the chain (37) (respectively, (38)) is fixed. Moreover, using Theorem 1 and Lemma 5.2 one can verify that the labelings $\mathbb{P}_{\alpha}^{q}$ and $\mathbb{P}_{\beta}^{q}$ are intrinsic to the ind-variety $\mathrm{GO}(\infty, \infty)$ (respectively, $\mathbf{G S}(\infty, \infty)$ ), i.e. do not depend on the choice of chain (37) (respectively, (38)) satisfying the above conditions.

Let now $\mathbf{P}^{\infty} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{G O}(\infty, \infty)$ (respectively, $\mathbf{P}^{\infty} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{G S}(\infty, \infty)$ ) be a linear embedding. We call its image an infinite projective space $\mathbf{P}^{\infty}$ on $\mathbf{G O}(\infty, \infty)$ (respectively, $\mathbf{G S}(\infty, \infty)$ ). We say that $\mathbf{P}^{\infty}=\mathbf{P}_{\alpha}^{\infty}$ if $\mathbf{P}^{\infty}=\lim _{\rightarrow} \mathbb{P}_{\alpha}^{q}$ for some projective spaces $\mathbb{P}_{\alpha}^{q}$ on $\mathbf{G O}(\infty, \infty)$ (respectively, $\mathrm{GS}(\infty, \infty)$ ). In a similar way we define $\mathbf{P}_{\beta}^{\infty}$ on $\mathbf{G O}(\infty, \infty)$ (respectively, $\mathbf{G S}(\infty, \infty)$ ).

Next, we observe that Lemma 5.3 implies that on $\mathbf{G O}(\infty, \infty)$ there are pairs of maximal infinite projective spaces $\mathbf{P}_{\alpha}^{\infty}$ and $\mathbf{P}_{\beta}^{\infty}$ such that $\mathbf{P}_{\alpha}^{\infty} \cap \mathbf{P}_{\beta}^{\infty}$ is a point.

To complete the proof, we observe that on $\mathbf{G S}(\infty, \infty)$ any two maximal infinite projective spaces $\mathbf{P}_{\alpha}^{\infty}$ and $\mathbf{P}_{\beta}^{\infty}$ intersect in a projective line whenever their intersection is non-empty. This follows from Lemma 2.6. More precisely, an infinite projective space $\mathbf{P}_{\alpha}^{\infty}$ (respectively, $\mathbf{P}_{\beta}^{\infty}$ ) is maximal on $\mathbf{G} S(\infty, \infty)$ if and only if, for any chain (38) the intersections $\mathbf{P}_{\alpha}^{\infty} \cap G S\left(k_{m}^{\prime}, V_{n_{m}^{\prime} j}\right)$ are maximal projective spaces in $G S\left(k_{m}^{\prime}, V_{n_{m}^{\prime}}\right)$ for large enough $m$. This is a consequence of Lemma 2.3,(i). Now Lemma 2.3,(iii) implies the assertion that maximal projective spaces $\mathbf{P}_{\alpha}^{\infty}$ and $\mathbf{P}_{\beta}^{\infty}$ intersect in a projective line whenever their intersection is non-empty.

Since the intersection properties of maximal infinite projective spaces $\mathbf{P}_{\alpha}^{\infty}$ and $\mathbf{P}_{\beta}^{\infty}$ on $\mathrm{GO}(\infty, \infty)$ and $\mathrm{GS}(\infty, \infty)$ are intrinsic to the geometry of $\mathrm{GO}(\infty, \infty)$ and $\mathrm{GS}(\infty, \infty)$, we conclude that $\mathrm{GO}(\infty, \infty)$ and $\mathrm{GS}(\infty, \infty)$ are non-isomorphic ind-varieties.

The arguments in all other cases are similar. One either shows that on one of the ind-varieties in question there are maximal projective spaces which do not exist on the other, or shows that the intersection properties of maximal projective spaces are different on both ind-varieties. For
instance, on $\mathrm{GO}(k, \infty)$ there are maximal projective spaces $\mathbb{P}_{\alpha}^{k}$ and $\mathbf{P}_{\beta}^{\infty}$ which intersect in a point, while on $\mathrm{GS}(k, \infty)$ two maximal projective spaces $\mathbb{P}_{\alpha}^{k}$ and $\mathbf{P}_{\beta}^{\infty}$ intersect in a projective line or do not intersect at all. We leave the details to the reader.
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