Comparison of the Geometric Bar and W-Constructions

Clemens Berger and Johannes Huebschmann

Université de Nice-Sophia Antipolis
Laboratoire J.A. Dieudonné, URA 168
Parc Valrose
F-06 108 Nice Cedex 2
FRANCE

Max-Planck-Institut
für Mathematik
Gottfried-Claren-Str. 26
53225 Bonn
GERMANY

MPI/95-93

COMPARISON OF THE GEOMETRIC BAR AND W-CONSTRUCTIONS

CLEMENS BERGER AND JOHANNES HUEBSCHMANN†

Université de Nice-Sophia Antipolis
Laboratoire J. A. Dieudonné, URA 168
Parc Valrose
F-06 108 NICE Cedex 2
cberger@math.unice.fr
and
Max Planck Institut für Mathematik
Gottfried Claren Str. 26
D-53 225 BONN
huebschm@mpim-bonn.mpg.de

August 2, 1995

ABSTRACT. For a simplicial group K, the realization of the W-construction $WK \to \overline{W}K$ of K is shown to be naturally homeomorphic to the universal bundle $E|K| \to B|K|$ of its geometric realization $|K|$.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 55Q05 55P35 18G30.

Key words and phrases. simplicial sets, simplicial groups, bar construction, W-construction, universal bundles.

† The second named author carried out this work in the framework of the VBAC research group of EUROPROJ.

Introduction

Let K be a simplicial group; its realization $|K|$ is a topological group suitably interpreted when K is not countable. The W-construction $WK \to \overline{W}K$ yields a functorial universal simplicial principal K-bundle, and the classifying bundle construction $E|K| \to B|K|$ of its geometric realization $|K|$ yields a functorial universal principal $|K|$-bundle. The realization of the W-construction also yields a universal principal $|K|$-bundle $|WK| \to |\overline{W}K|$. In this note we identity the two constructions. A cryptic remark about the possible coincidence of the two constructions may be found in the introduction to Steenrod's paper [24] but to our knowledge this has never been made explicit in the literature.

Spaces are assumed compactly generated, and all constructions on spaces are assumed carried out in the compactly generated category. It is in this sense that the realization $|K|$ is always a topological group; in general, the multiplication map will be continuous only in the compactly generated refinement of the product topology on $|K| \times |K|$. For countable K, there is no difference, though. Here is our main result.

Theorem. *There is a canonical $|K|$-equivariant homeomorphism between $|WK|$ and $E|K|$ which is natural in K and hence induces a natural homeomorphism between $|\overline{W}K|$ and $B|K|$.*

The map from $|WK|$ to $E|K|$ could be viewed as a kind of perturbed geometric Alexander-Whitney map while the map in the other direction is a kind of perturbed geometric shuffle map but this analogy should not be taken too far.

The classifying space $B|K|$ is the realization of the nerve NK of K as a *bisimplicial* set. The latter is homeomorphic to the realization of its *diagonal* DNK since this is known to be true for an arbitrary bisimplicial set [19]. The diagonal DNK, in turn, does *not* coincide with the reduced W-construction $\overline{W}K$, though, but after realization the two are homeomorphic. We shall spell out the precise relationships in Section 4 below.

Eilenberg-Mac Lane introduced the bar and W-constructions in [6] and showed that, for any (connected) simplicial algebra A, there is a "reduction" of the realization $|\overline{W}A|$ of the reduced W-construction of A onto the (reduced normalized) bar construction $B|A|$ of the normalized chain algebra $|A|$ of A and raised the question whether this reduction is in fact part of a *contraction*. By means of homological perturbation theory, in his "Diplomarbeit" [25] supervised by the second named author, Wong answered this question by establishing such a contraction. His map from $|\overline{W}A|$ to $B|A|$ is a kind of perturbed Alexander-Whitney map while his map in the other direction is a kind of perturbed shuffle map. Wong's basic tool is the "perturbation lemma"; see [8] for details and history.

Our result, apart from being interesting in its own right, provides a step towards a rigorous understanding of lattice gauge theory. See [9], [10] for details. At this stage, we only spell out the following consequence, relevant for what is said in [ibidem].

Corollary. *For a reduced simplicial set Y, there is a canonical map from its realization $|Y|$ to the classifying space $B|K_Y|$ of the realization of its Kan group K_Y [11] which is natural in Y and a homotopy equivalence.*

It would be interesting to extend the results of the present paper to simplicial groupoids, so that a result of the kind given in the Corollary would follow for an arbitrary connected simplicial set, with the Kan group replaced by the Kan groupoid [5]. We hope to return to this issue elsewhere.

1. The classifying space of a topological group

Let G be a topological group. Its *nerve* NG [2], [3], [20] is the simplicial space having in degree $k \geq 0$ the constituent $NG_k = G^{\times k}$, with the standard simplicial operations. The usual *lean* realization $BG = |NG|$ of NG is a classifying space for G, cf. [12], [20], [23]; there is an analoguous construction of contractible total space EG together with a free G-action and projection ξ onto BG, and this projection is locally trivial provided (G, e) is a NDR (neighborhood deformation retract) [24]. We note, for completeness, that the *fat* realization $\|NG\|$ yields MILNOR'S classifying space [15], and the projection from the corresponding total space to $\|NG\|$ is always locally trivial whether or not (G, e) is a NDR. Below (G, e) will always be CW-pair and hence a NDR, cf. e. g. the discussion in the appendix to [21], and we shall exclusively deal with the lean realization $BG = |NG|$. To reproduce a description thereof, and to introduce notation, write Δ for the category of finite ordered sets $[q] = (0, 1, \ldots, q)$, $q \geq 0$, and monotone maps. We recall the standard *coface* and *codegeneracy* operators

$$\varepsilon^j : [q-1] \to [q], \quad (0, 1, \ldots, j-1, j, \ldots, q-1) \mapsto (0, 1, \ldots, j-1, j+1, \ldots, q),$$
$$\eta^j : [q+1] \to [q], \quad (0, 1, \ldots, j-1, j, \ldots, q+1) \mapsto (0, 1, \ldots, j, j, \ldots, q),$$

respectively. As usual, for a simplicial object, the corresponding face and degeneracy operators will be written d_j and s_j. The assignment to $[q]$ of the standard simplex $\nabla[q] = \Delta_q$ yields a *cosimplicial* space ∇; here we wish to distinguish clearly in notation between the cosimplicial space ∇ and the category Δ. The lean geometric realization $|NG|$ is the *coend* $NG \otimes_\Delta \nabla$, cf. e. g. [13] for details on this notion. Exploiting this observation, Mac Lane observed in [12] that $|NG|$ coincides with the classifying space for G constructed by Stasheff [22] and Milgram [14]; see also Section 1 of Stasheff's survey paper [23] and Segal's paper [20]. Mac Lane actually worked with a variant of the category Δ which enabled him to handle simultaneously the total space EG and the base BG. Steenrod [24] has given a recursive description of $|NG|$ which we shall subsequently use. For ease of exposition, following [1], we reproduce it briefly in somewhat more categorical language.

For a space X endowed with a G-action $\phi: X \times G \to X$ we write $\eta = \eta_X^G : X \to X \times G$ for the *unit* given by $\eta(x) = (x, e)$. For an arbitrary space Y, right translation of G induces an obvious free G-action μ on $Y \times G$. In categorical language [13], the functor $\times G$ and natural transformations μ and η constitute a *monad* $(\times G, \mu, \eta)$ and a G-action on a space X is an *algebra* structure on X over this monad. Sometimes we shall refer to an action of a topological group on a space as a *geometric* action.

Let D be any space and E a subspace endowed with a G-action $\phi: E \times G \to E$; the inclusion of E into D is written β. Recall that the *enlargement* $\overline{D} \supseteq D$ of the G-action is characterized by the property: if Y is any G-space, and f any map from D to Y whose restriction to E is a G-mapping, then there exists a unique

G-mapping $\overline{f}$ from $\overline{D}$ to Y extending f. The space $\overline{D}$ then fits into a push out diagram

$$
\begin{tikzcd}
E \times G \arrow[r, "\phi"] \arrow[d, "\beta \times \mathrm{Id}"'] & E \arrow[d] \\
D \times G \arrow[r] & \overline{D}
\end{tikzcd}
\tag{1.1}
$$

and this provides a construction for $\overline{D}$. Moreover, right action of G on $D \times G$ induces an action

$$\overline{\phi}\colon \overline{D} \times G \to \overline{D} \tag{1.2}$$

of G on $\overline{D}$, and the composite

$$\alpha\colon D \to \overline{D} \tag{1.3}$$

of the unit $\eta\colon D \to D \times G$ with the map from $D \times G$ to $\overline{D}$ in (1.1) embeds D into $\overline{D}$. When D is based and E is a based subspace, the products $E \times G$ and $D \times G$ inherit an obvious base point, and the square (1.1) is one in the category of based spaces whence, in particular, the enlargement $\overline{D}$ inherits a base point. This notion of enlargement of G-action is functorial in the appropriate sense. See [24] for details. This kind of universal construction is available whenever one is given an algebra structure over a monad preserving push out diagrams.

The unit interval $I = [0,1]$ is a topological monoid under ordinary multiplication having 1 as its unit, and hence we can talk about an I-action $X \times I \to X$ on a space X. Such an I-action is plainly a special kind of homotopy which, for $t = 1$, is the identity. In the above categorical spirit, the interval I gives rise to a monad $(\times I, \mu, \eta)$ and an I-action on a space X is an *algebra* structure on X over this monad.

The *base point* of I is defined to be 0. Following [24], for a based space (X, x_0), we shall refer to an I-action $\psi\colon X \times I \to X$ as a *contraction* of X (*to the base point* $x_0 \in X$) provided ψ sends the base point $(x_0, 0)$ of $X \times I$ to x_0 and factors through the *reduced cone* or *smash product*

$$CX = X \wedge I = X \times I/(X \times \{0\} \cup \{x_0\} \times I)$$

that is to say,

$$\psi(x, 0) = x_0 = \psi(x_0, t)$$

for all $x \in X$, $t \in I$; the reduced cone will be endowed with the obvious base point, the image of $X \times \{0\} \cup \{x_0\} \times I$ in CX. Whenever we say "contraction", we mean "contraction to a pre-assigned base point". Abusing notation, the corresponding map from CX to X will as well be denoted by ψ and referred to as a *contraction*. Moreover we write $\eta = \eta_X^C$ for the map, the corresponding *unit*, which embeds X into CX by sending a point x of X to $(x, 1) \in CX$. The right action of I on $X \times I$ induces a contraction $\mu_X^C\colon CCX \to CX$ of CX. Again we can express this in categorical language: the functor C and natural transformations μ and η constitute a *monad* and a contraction of a based space X is an *algebra* structure on X over this monad. Sometimes we shall refer to a contraction of a space as a *geometric* contraction.

Let (E, x_0) be any based space and (D, x_0) a based subspace endowed with a contraction $\psi: CD \to D$; the inclusion of D into E is written α. The *enlargement* $(\overline{E}, x_0) \supseteq (E, x_0)$ of the contraction is characterized by the property: if f is any map from E to a space Y having a contraction to some point y_0 whose restriction to D is an I-mapping, then there exists a unique I-mapping $\overline{f}$ from $\overline{E}$ to Y extending f. The space $\overline{E}$ then fits into a push out diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} CD & \xrightarrow{\psi} & D \\ {\scriptstyle C\alpha}\big\downarrow & & \big\downarrow \\ CE & \longrightarrow & \overline{E} \end{array} \tag{1.4}$$

which provides a construction for $\overline{E}$. Moreover, the composite

$$\beta: E \to \overline{E} \tag{1.5}$$

of the unit $\eta: E \to CE$ with the map from CE to $\overline{E}$ in (1.4) embeds E into $\overline{E}$ and the right action of I on $E \times I$ induces a contraction of CE which, in turn, induces a contraction

$$\overline{\psi}: C\overline{E} \to \overline{E} \tag{1.6}$$

of $\overline{E}$. This notion of enlargement of contraction is functorial in the appropriate sense. See [24] for details.

Alternating the above constructions, in [24], Steenrod defines based spaces and injections of based spaces

$$D_0 \xrightarrow{\alpha_0} E_0 \xrightarrow{\beta_0} D_1 \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} \ldots \xrightarrow{\beta_{n-1}} D_n \xrightarrow{\alpha_n} E_n \xrightarrow{\beta_n} D_{n+1} \xrightarrow{\alpha_{n+1}} \ldots \tag{1.7}$$

by induction on n together with contractions $\psi_n: CD_n \to D_n$ (Steenrod writes these contractions as I-actions $D_n \times I \to D_n$) and G-actions $\phi_n: E_n \times G \to E_n$ in the following way: Let D_0 consist of the single point e with the obvious contraction. Let $E_0 = G$, the right action being right translation. Now define (D_1, e) to be the enlargement to (E_0, e), $(\overline{E}_0, e)$, of the contraction of (D_0, e); then D_1 is just the reduced cone on E_0. Define E_1 to be the enlargement to D_1, $\overline{D}_1$, of the G-action on E_0. In general, D_n is the enlargement to (E_{n-1}, e), $(\overline{E}_{n-1}, e)$, of the contraction ψ_{n-1} of (D_{n-1}, e) so that D_n fits into a push out square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} CD_{n-1} & \xrightarrow{\psi_{n-1}} & D_{n-1} \\ {\scriptstyle C\alpha_{n-1}}\big\downarrow & & \big\downarrow \\ CE_{n-1} & \longrightarrow & D_n; \end{array} \tag{1.8}$$

the requisite injection $\beta_{n-1}: E_{n-1} \to D_n$ is the map denoted above by β, cf. (1.5); and the requisite contraction $\psi_n: CD_n \to D_n$ of (D_n, e) or, equivalently, I-action

$\psi_n: D_n \times I \to D_n$, is the map denoted above by $\overline{\psi}$, cf. (1.6). Likewise, E_n is the enlargement to D_n, $\overline{D}_n$, of the G-action ϕ_{n-1} on E_{n-1}, so that E_n fits into a push out square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_{n-1} \times G & \xrightarrow{\phi_{n-1}} & E_{n-1} \\ {\scriptstyle \beta_{n-1}\times \mathrm{Id}}\big\downarrow & & \big\downarrow \\ D_n \times G & \longrightarrow & E_n; \end{array} \tag{1.9}$$

the requisite G-action $\phi_n: E_n \times G \to E_n$ and injection $\alpha_n: D_n \to E_n$ are the action denoted above by $\overline{\phi}$, cf. (1.2), and the map denoted above by α, cf. (1.3), respectively. The union

$$E_G = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} E_n = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} D_n,$$

endowed with the weak topology, inherits a G-action $\phi: E_G \times G \to E_G$ and contraction $\psi: CE_G \to E_G$ from the ϕ_n's and ψ_n's, respectively. The G-action is free, and the orbit space $BG = E_G/G$ equals the lean geometric realization $|NG|$ of the nerve of G. This is Steenrod's result in [24].

2. The recursive description of the W-construction

Let now K be a simplicial group. Let e denote the trivial simplicial group viewed at the same time as the simplicial point. For a simplicial set X endowed with a K-action $\phi: X \times K \to X$ we write $\eta = \eta_X^K: X \to X \times K$ for the *unit* of the action; in each degree, it is given by $\eta(x) = (x, e)$. Given an arbitrary simplicial set Y, right translation of K induces an obvious action μ of K on $Y \times K$. Much as before, in categorical language, the functor $\times K$ and natural transformations μ and η constitute a *monad* $(\times K, \mu, \eta)$ in the category of simplicial sets and a K-action on a simplicial set X is an *algebra* structure on X over this monad. Moreover realization preserves monad and algebra structures. In other words: the realization of a K-action $\phi: X \times K \to X$ on a simplicial set X is a geometric action $|\phi|: |X| \times |K| \to |X|$ in the usual sense. Notice this involves the standard homeomorphism [16] between the realization $|X \times K|$ of the simplicial set $X \times K$ and the product $|X| \times |K|$ of the realizations (with the compactly generated topology). The homeomorphism between $|X \times K|$ and $|X| \times |K|$ is of course natural and relies on the fact that, for an arbitrary bisimplicial set, the realization of the diagonal is homeomorphic to the realization as a bisimplicial set, cf. [19] (Lemma on p. 86). Note, however, that the product $|X| \times |K|$ yields a realization of $X \times K$ only after subdivision of the product CW-decomposition of $|X| \times |K|$, cf. [18] (Satz 5 p. 388).

Recall that in the category of simplicial sets there are *two* natural (reduced) cone constructions. The first one is defined by the simplicial smash product with the standard simplicial model $\Delta[1]$ of the unit interval. We shall say more about this in Section 4 below. The recursive description of the W-construction crucially involves the second somewhat more economical cone construction which relies on the observation that an $(n+1)$-simplex serves as a cone on an n-simplex. We reproduce this cone construction briefly; it differs from the one given in [4] (p. 113) by the order of face and degeneracy operators; our convention is forced here by our description of the W-construction with structure group acting from the right, cf. what is said in (2.6) below.

Let X be a simplicial set. For $j \geq 0$, we shall need countably many disjoint copies of each X_j which we describe in the following way: For $j \geq 0$, consider the cartesian product $X_j \times \mathbf{N}$ with the natural numbers $\mathbf{N}$. Let o be a point which we formally assign dimension -1 and, given $i \in \mathbf{N}$, write $X_{-1}(i) = \{(o,i)\}$ so that each $X_{-1}(i)$ consists of a single element; next, for $j \geq 0$, let $X_j(i) = X_j \times \{i\}$. The *unreduced simplicial cone* $\widehat{C}X$ on X is given by

$$(\widehat{C}X)_n = X_n(0) \cup \cdots \cup X_0(n) \cup X_{-1}(n+1), \quad n \geq 0,$$

with face and degeneracy operators given by the formulas

$$d_j(x,i) = \begin{cases} (d_j x, i) & j \leq n-i \\ (x, i-1) & j > n-i \end{cases}$$
$$s_j(x,i) = \begin{cases} (s_j x, i) & j \leq n-i \\ (x, i+1) & j > n-i \end{cases}$$

Notice that in these formulas $n - i = \dim x$; in particular,

$$d_j(o, n+1) = (o,n), \qquad s_j(o,n) = (o, n+1), \qquad 0 \leq j \leq n.$$

Let now $(X,*)$ be a *based* simplicial set. The unreduced simplicial cone $\widehat{C}\{*\}$ of the simplicial point $\{*\}$ is the simplicial interval, and the *reduced simplicial cone* $\widehat{C}X$ is simply the quotient

$$CX = \widehat{C}X/\widehat{C}\{*\}.$$

For each $n \geq 0$, its constituent $(CX)_n$ arises from the union $X_n(0) \cup \cdots \cup X_0(n)$ by identifying all $(*,i)$ to a single point written $*$, the *base point* of CX. The non-degenerate simplices of CX different from the base point look like $(x,0)$ and $(x,1)$ where x runs through non-degenerate simplices of X. We write $\eta = \eta^C_X \colon X \to CX$ for the *unit* induced by the assignment to $x \in X_n$ of $(x,0) \in X_n(0)$. A (simplicial) contraction is, then, a morphism $\psi \colon CX \to X$ of based simplicial sets satisfying

$$\psi \circ \eta = \mathrm{Id}_X.$$

The cone CX itself admits the obvious contraction

$$\mu = \mu^C_X \colon CCX \to CX, \quad ((x,i),j) \mapsto (x, i+j).$$

A contraction ψ is called *conical* provided

$$\psi \circ C\psi = \psi \circ \mu.$$

The contraction μ^C_X of CX is conical; in categorical terms, the triple (C,μ,η) is a monad in the category of simplicials sets, and a conical contraction is an algebra structure in the category of simplicials sets over this monad.

In [1], the first named author observed that the W-construction admits a recursive description of formally the same kind as (1.7) above, except that it is carried out in the category of based simplicial sets: Define based simplicial sets and injections of based simplicial sets

$$D_0 \xrightarrow{\alpha_0} E_0 \xrightarrow{\beta_0} D_1 \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} \dots \xrightarrow{\beta_{n-1}} D_n \xrightarrow{\alpha_n} E_n \xrightarrow{\beta_n} D_{n+1} \xrightarrow{\alpha_{n+1}} \dots \tag{2.1}$$

by induction on n together with conical contractions $\psi_n: CD_n \to D_n$ and K-actions $\phi_n: E_n \times K \to E_n$ on each E_n from the *right* in the following way: Let $D_0 = e$, with the obvious conical contraction ψ_0, let $E_0 = K$, viewed as a based simplicial set in the obvious way, the right action ϕ_0 being translation, and let α_0 be the obvious morphism of based simplicial sets from D_0 to E_0. For $n \geq 1$, define (D_n, e) to be the *enlargement* to (E_{n-1}, e) of the contraction $\psi_{n-1}: CD_{n-1} \to D_{n-1}$, that is, D_n is characterized by the requirement that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} CD_{n-1} & \xrightarrow{\psi_{n-1}} & D_{n-1} \\ {\scriptstyle C\alpha_{n-1}}\downarrow & & \downarrow \\ CE_{n-1} & \longrightarrow & D_n \end{array} \tag{2.2}$$

be a push out square of (based) simplicial sets; the composite of the unit η from E_{n-1} to CE_{n-1} with the morphism $CE_{n-1} \to D_n$ of simplicial sets in (2.2) yields the requiste injection $\beta_{n-1}: E_{n-1} \to D_n$, and the contraction ψ_{n-1} and the conical contraction of CE_{n-1} induce a conical contraction $\psi_n: CD_n \to D_n$. Likewise, E_n is the *enlargement* to D_n of the K-action ϕ_{n-1} on E_{n-1}, that is, E_n is characterized by a push out square of based simplicial sets of the kind

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_{n-1} \times K & \xrightarrow{\phi_{n-1}} & E_{n-1} \\ {\scriptstyle \beta_{n-1}\times \mathrm{Id}}\downarrow & & \downarrow \\ D_n \times K & \longrightarrow & E_n; \end{array} \tag{2.3}$$

the requisite K-action $\phi_n: E_n \times K \to E_n$ is induced by ϕ_{n-1} and the obvious K-action on $D_n \times K$, and the requisite injection $\alpha_n: D_n \to E_n$ is the composite of the unit with the morphism $D_n \times K \to E_n$ of simplicial sets in (2.3). The limit

$$WK = \varinjlim E_n = \varinjlim D_n$$

inherits a K-action $\phi: WK \times K \to WK$ and conical contraction $\psi: CWK \to WK$ from the ϕ_n's and ψ_n's, respectively. The K-action is free, and the projection map to the quotient $\overline{W}K = WK/K$ yields the universal simplicial K-bundle

$$WK \to \overline{W}K$$

or W-construction of K, cf. [1], with action of K from the *right*.

For intelligibility, we explain some of the requisite details: A straightforward induction establishes the following descriptions of the simplicial sets D_k and E_k:

$$\begin{aligned}(D_k)_n &= \{(i_0, k_0, i_1, k_1, \ldots, k_{\ell-1}, i_\ell) \mid 0 \le \ell \le k,\ i_s \ge 0, \\ &\qquad n = i_0 + \cdots + i_\ell,\ k_s \in K_{i_0+\cdots+i_s},\ 0 \le s < \ell\} / \sim, \\ (E_k)_n &= \{(i_0, k_0, i_1, k_1, \ldots, k_{\ell-1}, i_\ell) \mid 0 \le \ell \le k,\ i_s \ge 0, \\ &\qquad n = i_0 + \cdots + i_\ell,\ k_s \in K_{i_0+\cdots+i_s},\ 0 \le s \le \ell\} / \sim,\end{aligned}$$

where

$$(\ldots, i_s, e, i_{s+1}, \ldots) \sim (\ldots, i_s + i_{s+1}, \ldots), \quad (\ldots, k_s, 0, k_{s+1}, \ldots) \sim (\ldots, k_s k_{s+1}, \ldots).$$

Thus, for $n \ge 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}(WK)_n = \{(k_{j_0} k_{j_1} \ldots k_{j_\ell} \mid 0 \le j_0 < \cdots < j_\ell = n \quad \text{and} \\ k_{j_s} \in K_{j_s} \setminus e_{j_s},\ 0 \le s < \ell,\ k_{j_\ell} \in K_{j_\ell}\}.\end{aligned}$$

From this, adding the requisite neutral elements wherever appropriate, we deduce the following more common explicit description: For $n \ge 0$,

$$(WK)_n = K_0 \times \cdots \times K_n,$$

with face and degeneracy operators given by the formulas

$$\begin{aligned}&d_0(x_0, \ldots, x_n) = (d_0 x_1, \ldots, d_0 x_n) \\ (2.4) \qquad &d_j(x_0, \ldots, x_n) = (x_0, \ldots, x_{j-2}, x_{j-1} d_j x_j, d_j x_{j+1}, \ldots, d_j x_n), \quad 1 \le j \le n \\ &s_j(x_0, \ldots, x_n) = (x_0, \ldots, x_{j-1}, e, s_j x_j, s_j x_{j+1}, \ldots, s_j x_n), \quad 0 \le j \le n;\end{aligned}$$

further, $(\overline{W}K)_0 = \{e\}$ and, for $n \ge 1$

$$(\overline{W}K)_n = K_0 \times \cdots \times K_{n-1},$$

with face and degeneracy operators given by the formulas

$$\begin{aligned}d_0(x_0, \ldots, x_{n-1}) &= (d_0 x_1, \ldots, d_0 x_{n-1}), \\ d_j(x_0, \ldots, x_{n-1}) &= (x_0, \ldots, x_{j-2}, x_{j-1} d_j x_j, d_j x_{j+1}, \ldots, d_j x_{n-1}), \\ &\qquad 1 \le j \le n-1, \\ (2.5) \qquad d_n(x_0, \ldots, x_{n-1}) &= (x_0, \ldots, x_{n-2}), \\ s_0(e) &= e \in K_0, \\ s_j(x_0, \ldots, x_{n-1}) &= (x_0, \ldots, x_{j-1}, e, s_j x_j, s_j x_{j+1}, \ldots, s_j x_{n-1}), \\ &\qquad 0 \le j \le n.\end{aligned}$$

REMARK 2.6. Here preferred treatment is given to the *last* face operator, as is done in [7] and [11]. This turns out to be the appropriate thing to do for principal bundles with structure group acting on the total space from the *right* and simplifies comparison with the bar construction. See for example what is said on p. 75 of [7]. The formulas (2.4) and (2.5) arise from those given in (A.14) of [7] for a simplicial algebra by the obvious translation to the corresponding formulas for a simplicial monoid; they differ from those in [4] (pp. 136 and 161) where the constructions are carried out with structure group acting from the *left*.

3. The proof of the Theorem

The realization of a conical contraction $\psi\colon CX \to X$ of a based simplicial set (X, x_0) is a geometric contraction $|\psi|\colon C|X| \to |X|$ in the sense reproduced in Section 1 above. In fact, the association

$$(|x|(t_0, \ldots, t_n), t) \longmapsto (|(x,1)|(tt_0, \ldots, tt_n, 1-t), \quad x \in X_n, \quad n \geq 0,$$

yields a homeomorphism from the reduced cone $C|X|$ on the realization $|X|$ to the realization $|CX|$ of the cone and, furthermore, the realizations of the unit η and C-algebra structure $\mu^C_X\colon CCX \to CX$ yield the geometric unit $|X| \to C|X|$ and geometric C-algebra structure $\mu^C_{|X|}\colon CC|X| \to C|X|$, that is, the realization preserves monad- and C-algebra structures.

The proof of the Theorem is now merely an elaboration of the observation that the realization functor $|\cdot|$ carries an action of a simplicial group to a geometric action of its realization, preserves reduced cones and, having a right adjoint (the singular complex functor), also preserves colimits. In fact, denote the corresponding sequence (1.7) of based topological spaces for the realization $|K|$ by

$$(3.1) \qquad D_0|K| \xrightarrow{\alpha_0|K|} E_0|K| \xrightarrow{\beta_0|K|} \ldots \xrightarrow{\alpha_n|K|} E_n|K| \xrightarrow{\beta_n|K|} D_{n+1}|K| \xrightarrow{\alpha_{n+1}|K|} \ldots$$

and, likewise, write

$$(3.2) \qquad D_0K \xrightarrow{\alpha_0K} E_0K \xrightarrow{\beta_0K} \ldots \xrightarrow{\alpha_nK} E_nK \xrightarrow{\beta_nK} D_{n+1}K \xrightarrow{\alpha_{n+1}K} \ldots$$

for the corresponding sequence (2.1) in the category of based simplicial sets. Realization carries the sequence (3.2) to the sequence

$$(3.3) \qquad |D_0K| \xrightarrow{|\alpha_0K|} |E_0K| \xrightarrow{|\beta_0K|} \ldots \xrightarrow{|\alpha_nK|} |E_nK| \xrightarrow{|\beta_nK|} |D_{n+1}K| \xrightarrow{|\alpha_{n+1}K|} \ldots$$

of based topological spaces. Now

$$D_0|K| = e = |D_0K|, \quad E_0|K| = |K| = |E_0K|,$$

and the map $\alpha_0|K| = |\alpha_0K|$ is the canonical inclusion. Let

$$\tau_0\colon D_0|K| \to |D_0K| \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_0\colon E_0|K| \to |E_0K|$$

be the identity mappings. Let $n \geq 1$ and suppose by induction that homeomorphisms

$$\tau_j\colon D_j|K| \to |D_jK| \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_j\colon E_j|K| \to |E_jK|,$$

each ρ_j being $|K|$-equivariant, have been constructed for $j < n$, having the following properties:

(1) The diagrams

$$(3.4) \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} E_{j-1}|K| & \xrightarrow{\beta_{j-1}|K|} & D_j|K| \\ {\scriptstyle\rho_{j-1}}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\tau_j} \\ |E_{j-1}K| & \xrightarrow{|\beta_{j-1}K|} & |D_jK| \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} D_j|K| & \xrightarrow{\alpha_j|K|} & E_j|K| \\ {\scriptstyle\tau_j}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\rho_j} \\ |D_jK| & \xrightarrow{|\alpha_jK|} & |E_jK| \end{array}$$

are commutative;

(2) each τ_j identifies the realization $|\psi_j K|: |CD_j K| \to |D_j K|$ of the conical contraction $\psi_j K: CD_j K \to D_j K$ of simplicial sets with the geometric contraction $\psi_j |K|: CD_j |K| \to D_j |K|$;

(3) each ρ_j identifies the realization $|\phi_j K|: |(E_j K) \times K| \to |E_j K|$ of the simplicial K-action $\phi_j K: (E_j K) \times K \to E_j K$ with the topological $|K|$-action $\phi_j |K|: (E_j |K|) \times |K| \to E_j |K|$.

Consider the realization of (2.2); it is a push out square of topological spaces. Hence the maps τ_{n-1} and ρ_{n-1} induce a map τ_n from $D_n|K|$ to $|D_n K|$, necessarily a homeomorphism, so that $C|\tau_{n-1}|, |\tau_{n-1}|, C|\rho_{n-1}|$ and $|\tau_n|$ yield a homeomorphism of squares between the realization of (2.2) and (1.8). Moreover, the homeomorphism τ_n identifies the realization $|\psi_n K|: |CD_n K| \to |D_n K|$ of the conical contraction $\psi_n K: CD_n K \to D_n K$ of simplicial sets with the contraction $\psi_n |K|: CD_n |K| \to D_n |K|$. Likewise the maps ρ_{n-1} and τ_n induce a map ρ_n from $E_n |K|$ to $|E_n |K|$, necessarily a $|K|$-equivariant homeomorphism, so that $|\rho_{n-1} \times \mathrm{Id}_K|, |\rho_{n-1}|, |\tau_n \times \mathrm{Id}_K|$ and $|\rho_n|$ yield a homeomorphism of squares between the realization of (2.3) and (1.9). Moreover, the homeomorphism ρ_n is $|K|$-equivariant and identifies the realization $|\phi_n K|: |(E_n K) \times K| \to |E_n K|$ of the simplicial K-action $\phi_n K: (E_n K) \times K \to E_n K$ with the topological $|K|$-action $\phi_n |K|: (E_n |K|) \times |K| \to E_n |K|$. The requisite diagrams (3.4) for $j = n$ are manifestly commutative. This completes the inductive step.

The limit

$$\rho = \lim \rho_n = \lim \tau_n : E|K| \to |WK|$$

is a $|K|$-equivariant homeomorphism; it identifies the principal $|K|$-bundles $E|K| \to B|K|$ and $|WK| \to |\overline{W}K|$ as asserted, is plainly natural in K and, in particular, induces a natural homeomorphism from $B|K|$ to $|\overline{W}K|$. This proves the Theorem.

4. The other cone construction

As already pointed out, the construction (2.1) can be carried out with the simplicial smash product $(\cdot) \wedge \Delta[1]$ instead of the reduced cone: The simplicial interval $\Delta[1]$ carries a (unique) structure of a simplicial monoid having (1) as its unit, and hence we can talk about an action $X \times \Delta[1] \to X$ of $\Delta[1]$ on a simplicial set X; such an action is a special kind of simplicial homotopy which "ends" at the identity morphism of X. The fact that the naive notion of homotopy of morphisms of simplicial sets is not an equivalence relation is not of significance here. Much as before, the simplicial interval $\Delta[1]$ gives rise to a monad $(\times\Delta[1], \mu, \eta)$ in the category of simplicial sets and an action of $\Delta[1]$ on a simplicial set X is an *algebra* structure on X over this monad.

The *base point* of $\Delta[1]$ is defined to be (0). For a based simplicial set (X, x_0), we shall refer to an action $\psi\colon X \times \Delta[1] \to X$ as a $\Delta[1]$-*contraction* of X provided ψ sends the base point $(x_0, 0)$ of $X \times \Delta[1]$ to x_0 and factors through the *simplicial smash product*

$$X \wedge \Delta[1] = X \times \Delta[1]/(X \times \{0\} \cup \{x_0\} \times \Delta[1]).$$

The latter is viewed endowed with the obvious base point, the image of $X \times \{0\} \cup \{x_0\} \times \Delta[1]$ in $X \wedge \Delta[1]$. Abusing notation, the corresponding map from $X \wedge \Delta[1]$ to X will as well be denoted by ψ and referred to as a $\Delta[1]$-*contraction*. Moreover we write $\eta = \eta_X^{\Delta[1]}$ for the map, the corresponding *unit*, which embeds X into $X \wedge \Delta[1]$ by sending a simplex x of X to $(x, 1) \in X \wedge \Delta[1]$. The right action of $\Delta[1]$ on $X \times \Delta[1]$ induces a $\Delta[1]$-contraction

$$\mu_X^{\Delta[1]}\colon X \wedge \Delta[1] \wedge \Delta[1] \to X \wedge \Delta[1]$$

of $X \wedge \Delta[1]$. In categorical language, the functor $(\cdot)\wedge\Delta[1]$ and natural transformations μ and η constitute a *monad* in the category of simplicial sets, and a $\Delta[1]$-contraction of a based simplicial set X is an *algebra* structure on X over this monad.

Formally carrying out the construction (2.1) with the simplicial smash product $(\cdot) \wedge \Delta[1]$ instead of the reduced cone yields based simplicial sets and injections of based simplicial sets

$$D'_0 \xrightarrow{\alpha'_0} E'_0 \xrightarrow{\beta'_0} D'_1 \xrightarrow{\alpha'_1} \ldots \xrightarrow{\beta'_{n-1}} D'_n \xrightarrow{\alpha'_n} E'_n \xrightarrow{\beta'_n} D'_{n+1} \xrightarrow{\alpha'_{n+1}} \ldots \tag{4.1}$$

together with morphisms $\psi'_n\colon D'_n \wedge \Delta[1] \to D'_n$ of simplicial sets having certain properties and free K-actions $\phi'_n\colon E'_n \times K \to E'_n$. Its limit

$$D = \varinjlim E'_n = \varinjlim D'_n$$

inherits a morphism $\psi'\colon D \wedge \Delta[1] \to D$ of simplicial sets and a free K-action $\phi'\colon D \times K \to D$. To explain the significance thereof, recall that the nerve construction yields a simplicial object

$$K \to ENK \to NK \tag{4.2}$$

in the category of principal simplicial K-bundles which is natural for morphisms of simplicial groups. Here ENK and NK inherit structures of bisimplicial sets, one from the nerve construction and the other one from the simplicial structure of K, and the projection from ENK to NK is a morphism of bisimplicial sets; further, for each simplicial degree $q \geq 0$ coming from the nerve construction, (4.2) amounts to a principal K-bundle

$$K_* \to (ENK)_{*,q} \to (NK)_{*,q}$$

while for each simplicial degree $p \geq 0$ of $K = \{K_p\}$ itself, (4.2) comes down to the universal simplicial principal K_p-bundle

$$K_p \to (ENK)_{p,*} \to (NK)_{p,*};$$

in particular, each $(ENK)_{p,*}$ is contractible in the usual sense. The diagonal bundle

$$\delta : DENK \to DNK$$

is manifestly a principal K-bundle having $DENK$ contractible, and we have

$$DENK = \lim_{\to} E'_n = \lim_{\to} D'_n$$

as (right) K-set; moreover, the above morphism $\psi' : DENK \wedge \Delta[1] \to DENK$ induces a simplicial contraction of $DENK$.

Theorem 4.3. *There is a canonical $|K|$-equivariant homeomorphism between $|DENK|$ and $|WK|$ and hence a canonical homeomorphism between $|DNK|$ and $|\overline{W}K|$. These homeomorphisms are natural in K.*

Proof. The classifying space $B|K|$ is the realization of NK as a bisimplicial set, and the same kind of remark applies to $E|K|$ and the projection to $B|K|$. The already cited fact that, for an arbitrary bisimplicial set, the realization of the diagonal is homeomorphic to the realization as a bisimplicial set [19] implies the following statement.

4.4. *There is a canonical $|K|$-equivariant homeomorphism between $|DENK|$ and $E|K|$ and hence a canonical homeomorphism between $|DNK|$ and $B|K|$. These homeomorphisms are natural in K.*

We conclude from this that *the statement of the Theorem* (in the Introduction) *is formally equivalent to the statement of* (4.3). In fact, the Theorem identifies the realization of W-construction with the realization of the nerve as a *bisimplicial set* whereas (4.3) identifies the realization of the W-construction with the realization of the *diagonal* of the nerve.

REMARK 1. While the statement of (4.4) is obtained for free, the identifications just mentioned, in turn, are *not* obtained for free, as we have shown in this paper.

REMARK 2. For a based simplicial set $(X, *)$, the realization $|CX|$ of the cone CX is naturally homeomorphic to the realization $|X \wedge \Delta[1]|$ of $X \wedge \Delta[1]$. In fact, a suitable subdivision of $|CX|$ yields a realization of $X \wedge \Delta[1]$. It is tempting trying to construct a homeomorphism between $|DENK|$ and $|WK|$ in a combinatorial way by inductively constructing the requisite maps between the realizations of the constituents of (4.1) and of the corresponding terms in (2.1) but we did not succeed in so doing. The problem is that the realization of the simplicial monoid $\Delta[1]$ does not yield the geometric monoid structure on the interval I coming into play in Section 1 above whence the realization of an action $X \times \Delta[1] \to X$ of $\Delta[1]$ on a simplicial set X is *not* an I-action on the realization of X in the sense of Section 1. Rather, the realization of the simplicial monoid structure on $\Delta[1]$ yields the function from $I \times I$ to I which sends (a, b) to $\max(a, b)$.

References

1. C. Berger, *Une version effective du théorème de Hurewicz*, Thèse de doctorat, Université de Grenoble, 1991.
2. R. Bott, *On the Chern-Weil homomorphism and the continuous cohomology of Lie groups*, Advances **11** (1973), 289-303.
3. R. Bott, H. Shulman, and J. D. Stasheff, *On the de Rham theory of certain classifying spaces*, Advances **20** (1976), 43–56.
4. E. B. Curtis, *Simplicial homotopy theory*, Advances in Math. **6** (1971), 107–209.
5. W. G. Dwyer and D. M. Kan, *Homotopy theory and simplicial groupoids*, Indag. Math. **46** (1984), 379-385.
6. S. Eilenberg and S. Mac Lane, *On the groups* $\mathrm{H}(\pi, n)$*. I.*, Ann. of Math. **58** (1953), 55–106; *II. Methods of computation*, Ann. of Math. **60** (1954), 49–139.
7. V.K.A.M. Gugenheim and J.P. May, *On the theory and applications of differential torsion products*, Memoirs of the Amer. Math. Soc. **142** (1974).
8. J. Huebschmann and T. Kadeishvili, *Small models for chain algebras*, Math. Z. **207** (1991), 245–280.
9. J. Huebschmann, *Extended moduli spaces and the Kan construction*, MPI preprint, 1995, dg-ga/9505005.
10. J. Huebschmann, *Extended moduli spaces and the Kan construction. II. Lattice gauge theory*, MPI preprint, 1995, dg-ga/9506006.
11. D. M. Kan, *On homotopy theory and c.s.s. groups*, Ann. of Math. **68** (1958), 38–53.
12. S. Mac Lane, *Milgram's classifying space as a tensor product of functors*, in: The Steenrod algebra and its applications, F. P. Peterson, ed., Lecture Notes in Mathematics **168** (1970), Springer-Verlag, Berlin · Heidelberg · New York, 135–152.
13. S. Mac Lane, *Categories for the Working Mathematician*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 5, Springer, Berlin · Göttingen · Heidelberg, 1971.
14. J. Milgram, *The bar construction and abelian H-spaces*, Illinois J. of Math. **11** (1967), 242-250.
15. J. Milnor, *Construction of universal bundles. I. II*, Ann. of Math. **63** (1956), 272–284, 430–436.
16. J. Milnor, *The realization of a semi-simplicial complex*, Ann. of Math. **65** (1957), 357-362.
17. J. Moore, *Comparison de la bar construction à la construction W et aux complexes* $K(\pi, n)$, Exposé 13, Séminaire H. Cartan (1954/55).
18. D. Puppe, *Homotopie und Homologie in abelschen Gruppen und Monoidkomplexen. I. II*, Math. Z. **68** (1958), 367–406, 407–421.

19. D. Quillen, *Higher algebraic K-theory, I*, in: Algebraic K-theory I, Higher K-theories, ed. H. Bass, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, No. 341 (1973), Springer, Berlin · Heidelberg · New York · Tokyo, 85–147.
20. G. B. Segal, *Classifying spaces and spectral sequences*, Publ. Math. I. H. E. S. **34** (1968), 105–112.
21. G. B. Segal, *Categories and cohomology theories*, Topology **13** (1974), 293–312.
22. J. D. Stasheff, *Homotopy associativity of H-spaces.I*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **108** (1963), 275–292; *II*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **108** (1963), 293–312.
23. J. D. Stasheff, *H-spaces and classifying spaces: Foundations and recent developments*, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. **22** (1971), American Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 247–272.
24. N. E. Steenrod, *Milgram's classifying space of a topological group*, Topology **7** (1968), 349–368.
25. S.-C. Wong, *Comparison between the reduced bar construction and the reduced W-construction*, Diplomarbeit, Math. Institut der Universität Heidelberg, 1985.