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#### Abstract

We describe the non-trivial deformations of the standard embedding of the Lie superalgebras $D(2,1 ; x)$ into the derived contact superconformal algebra $K^{\prime}(4)$, and realize $D(2,1 ; x)$ as $4 \times 4$ matrices over a Weyl algebra.


## 1. Introduction

The Lie superalgebras $D(2,1 ; x)$ have recently been studied by mathematicians and physicists from different points of view. In particular, they became important in the context of the AdS/CFT correspondence $[2,6,13]$. Recall that $D(2,1 ; x)$, where $x \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0,-1\}$, is a one-parameter family of classical simple Lie superalgebras of dimension 17 [7]. The bosonic part of $D(2,1 ; x)$ is $\mathfrak{s l}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{s l}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{s l}(2)$, and the action of $D(2,1 ; x)_{\overline{0}}$ on $D(2,1 ; x)_{\overline{1}}$ is the product of 2-dimensional representations. We will use another notation for these superalgebras (see [20]): $\Gamma\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \sigma_{3}\right)$, where $\sigma_{i}$ are nonzero complex numbers such that $\sigma_{1}+\sigma_{2}+\sigma_{3}=0$. Note that $\Gamma\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \sigma_{3}\right) \cong D(2,1 ; x)$, where $x=\sigma_{1} / \sigma_{2}$.

The family $D(2,1 ; x)$ is closely connected with the derived contact superconformal algebra $K^{\prime}(4)[3]$. Recall that $K^{\prime}(4)$ is spanned by 16 fields, one of which is a Virasoro field, and it is also known to physicists as the centerless big $N=4$ superconformal algebra $[8,9]$. It was shown in $[21,22]$ that the big $N=4$ superconformal algebra contains $D(2,1 ; x)$ as a subsuperalgebra.

In this work we consider the standard embedding of $\Gamma_{\alpha}=\Gamma(2,-1-\alpha, \alpha-1)$, where $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, into the Poisson superalgebra $P(4)$ of pseudodifferential symbols on the supercircle $S^{1 \mid 2}$ with two odd variables. $\Gamma_{\alpha}$ is naturally embedded into $K^{\prime}(4) \subset P(4)$. We describe the infinitesimal deformations of this embedding, which are classified by $H^{1}\left(\Gamma_{\alpha}, K^{\prime}(4)\right)$. We prove that this cohomology space is one-dimensional and that the infinitesimal deformations are indeed the formal deformations of the embedding.

Integrability of infinitesimal deformations of embeddings of Lie algebras were studied by A. Nijenhuis and R. W. Richardson in [14, 19]. For the standard embeddings of $V e c t\left(S^{1}\right)$ into the Poisson algebra on $S^{1}$ and into the Lie algebra of pseudodifferential symbols on $S^{1}$ they were studied in $[15,16]$. Similar problems in the case of
superalgebras $K(1)$ and $K(2)$ of contact vector fields on $S^{1 \mid 1}$ and $S^{1 \mid 2}$ were studied in $[4,1]$. In our work we use the similar approach.

Note that in $[17,18]$ we constructed a different embedding of $\Gamma_{\alpha}$ into $P(4)$, where pseudodifferential symbols were essentially used. In this work we actually obtain an embedding of $\Gamma_{\alpha}$ into the Lie superalgebra of differential operators on $S^{1 \mid 2}$.

We also realize $\Gamma_{\alpha}$ as a Lie subsuperalgebra of $4 \times 4$ matrices over the Weyl algebra $\mathcal{W}=\sum_{i \geq 0} \mathbb{C}\left[t, t^{-1}\right] d^{i}$, where $d=\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$. This realization is different from the one given in [17, 18].

This work was done during my staying in Bonn. I would like to thank the Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik for the hospitality and support and for the excellent working conditions.

## 2. Superalgebras $\Gamma\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \sigma_{3}\right)$

Recall the definition of $\Gamma\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \sigma_{3}\right)$ [20]. Let $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{g}_{\overline{0}} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{\overline{1}}$ be a Lie superalgebra, where $\mathfrak{g}_{\overline{0}}=s p\left(\psi_{1}\right) \oplus s p\left(\psi_{2}\right) \oplus s p\left(\psi_{3}\right)$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{\overline{1}}=V_{1} \otimes V_{2} \otimes V_{3}$, where $V_{i}$ are 2-dimensional vector spaces, and $\psi_{i}$ is a non-degenerate skew-symmetric form on $V_{i}, i=1,2,3$. A representation of $\mathfrak{g}_{\overline{0}}$ on $\mathfrak{g}_{\overline{1}}$ is the tensor product of the standard representations of $s p\left(\psi_{i}\right)$ in $V_{i}$. Consider $s p\left(\psi_{i}\right)$ - invariant bilinear mapping

$$
\mathcal{P}_{i}: V_{i} \times V_{i} \rightarrow s p\left(\psi_{i}\right), \quad i=1,2,3,
$$

given by

$$
\mathcal{P}_{i}\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right) z_{i}=\psi_{i}\left(y_{i}, z_{i}\right) x_{i}-\psi_{i}\left(z_{i}, x_{i}\right) y_{i}
$$

for all $x_{i}, y_{i}, z_{i} \in V_{i}$. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a mapping

$$
\mathcal{P}: \mathfrak{g}_{\overline{1}} \times \mathfrak{g}_{\overline{1}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{\overline{0}}
$$

given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{P}\left(x_{1} \otimes x_{2} \otimes x_{3}, y_{1} \otimes y_{2} \otimes y_{3}\right)= \\
& \sigma_{1} \psi_{2}\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right) \psi_{3}\left(x_{3}, y_{3}\right) \mathcal{P}_{1}\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)+ \\
& \sigma_{2} \psi_{1}\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right) \psi_{3}\left(x_{3}, y_{3}\right) \mathcal{P}_{2}\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)+ \\
& \sigma_{3} \psi_{1}\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right) \psi_{2}\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right) \mathcal{P}_{3}\left(x_{3}, y_{3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $x_{i}, y_{i} \in V_{i}, i=1,2,3$, where $\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \sigma_{3}$ are some complex numbers. The super Jacobi identity is satisfied if and only if $\sigma_{1}+\sigma_{2}+\sigma_{3}=0$. In this case $\mathfrak{g}$ is denoted by
$\Gamma\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \sigma_{3}\right)$. Superalgebras $\Gamma\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \sigma_{3}\right)$ and $\Gamma\left(\sigma_{1}^{\prime}, \sigma_{2}^{\prime}, \sigma_{3}^{\prime}\right)$ are isomorphic if and only if there exists a nonzero element $k \in \mathbb{C}$ and a permutation $\pi$ of the set $\{1,2,3\}$ such that

$$
\sigma_{i}^{\prime}=k \cdot \sigma_{\pi i} \text { for } i=1,2,3
$$

Superalgebras $\Gamma\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \sigma_{3}\right)$ are simple if and only if $\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \sigma_{3}$ are all different from zero. Note that $\Gamma\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \sigma_{3}\right) \cong D(2,1 ; x)$ (see [7]) where $x=\sigma_{1} / \sigma_{2}$.

## 3. Embeddings into the Poisson superalgebra on $S^{1 \mid 2}$

The Poisson algebra $P$ of pseudodifferential symbols on the circle is formed by the formal series

$$
A(t, \tau)=\sum_{-\infty}^{n} a_{i}(t) \tau^{i}
$$

where $a_{i}(t) \in \mathbb{C}\left[t, t^{-1}\right]$, and the even variable $\tau$ corresponds to $\partial_{t}$, see [15]. The Poisson bracket is defined as follows:

$$
\{A(t, \tau), B(t, \tau)\}=\partial_{\tau} A(t, \tau) \partial_{t} B(t, \tau)-\partial_{t} A(t, \tau) \partial_{\tau} B(t, \tau) .
$$

An associative algebra $P_{h}$, where $h \in(0,1]$, is a deformation of $P$, see [16]. The multiplication in $P_{h}$ is given as follows:

$$
A(t, \tau) \circ_{h} B(t, \tau)=\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{h^{n}}{n!} \partial_{\tau}^{n} A(t, \tau) \partial_{t}^{n} B(t, \tau) .
$$

The Lie algebra structure on the vector space $P_{h}$ is given by

$$
[A, B]_{h}=A \circ_{h} B-B \circ_{h} A,
$$

so that

$$
\lim _{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h}[A, B]_{h}=\{A, B\}
$$

Let $\Lambda(2 N)$ be the Grassmann algebra in $2 N$ variables $\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{N}, \eta_{1}, \ldots, \eta_{N}$ with the parity $p\left(\xi_{i}\right)=p\left(\eta_{i}\right)=\overline{1}$. The Poisson superalgebra of pseudodifferential symbols on $S^{1 \mid N}$ is $P(2 N)=P \otimes \Lambda(2 N)$. The Poisson bracket is defined as follows:

$$
\{A, B\}=\partial_{\tau} A \partial_{t} B-\partial_{t} A \partial_{\tau} B+(-1)^{p(A)+1} \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(\partial_{\xi_{i}} A \partial_{\eta_{i}} B+\partial_{\eta_{i}} A \partial_{\xi_{i}} B\right) .
$$

Let $W(2 N)$ be the Lie superalgebra of all superderivations of the associative superalgebra $\mathbb{C}\left[t, t^{-1}\right] \otimes \Lambda(2 N)$. By definition,

$$
K(2 N)=\left\{D \in W(2 N) \mid D \Omega=f \Omega \text { for some } f \in \mathbb{C}\left[t, t^{-1}\right] \otimes \Lambda(2 N)\right\}
$$

where $\Omega=d t+\sum_{i=1}^{N} \xi_{i} d \eta_{i}+\eta_{i} d \xi_{i}$ is a differential 1-form, which is called a contact form [10]. Note that there exists an embedding

$$
K(2 N) \subset P(2 N), \quad N \geq 0
$$

Consider a $\mathbb{Z}$-grading on the associative superalgebra $P(2 N)$, defined by

$$
\operatorname{deg} t=\operatorname{deg} \eta_{i}=\operatorname{deg} \tau=\operatorname{deg} \xi_{i}=1 \text { for } i=1, \ldots, N .
$$

With respect to the Poisson super bracket,

$$
\left\{P_{(i)}(2 N), P_{(j)}(2 N)\right\} \subset P_{(i+j-2)}(2 N)
$$

Thus $P_{(2)}(2 N)$ is a subsuperalgebra of $P(2 N)$, and one can easily check that it is isomorphic to $K(2 N)$. Note that this embedding of $K(2 N)$ into $P(2 N)$ is different from the embedding considered in $[17,18]$, which is based on another $\mathbb{Z}$-grading of $P(2 N)$.
$K(2 N)$ is simple if $N \neq 2$, and if $N=2$, then the derived Lie superalgebra $K^{\prime}(4)=[K(4), K(4)]$ is a simple ideal in $K(4)$ of codimension one, defined from the exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow K^{\prime}(4) \rightarrow K(4) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} t^{-1} \tau^{-1} \xi_{1} \xi_{2} \eta_{1} \eta_{2} \rightarrow 0
$$

Proposition 3.1. For each $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ there exists an embedding

$$
\rho_{\alpha}: \Gamma(2,-1-\alpha, \alpha-1) \rightarrow K^{\prime}(4) \subset P(4) .
$$

$\Gamma_{\alpha}=\rho_{\alpha}(\Gamma(2,-1-\alpha, \alpha-1))$ is spanned by the following elements:

$$
\begin{align*}
& E_{\alpha}^{1}=t^{2}, \quad F_{\alpha}^{1}=\tau^{2}-2 \alpha t^{-2} \xi_{1} \xi_{2} \eta_{1} \eta_{2}, \quad H_{\alpha}^{1}=t \tau, \\
& E_{\alpha}^{2}=\xi_{1} \xi_{2}, \quad F_{\alpha}^{2}=\eta_{1} \eta_{2}, \quad H_{\alpha}^{2}=\xi_{1} \eta_{1}+\xi_{2} \eta_{2}, \\
& E_{\alpha}^{3}=\xi_{1} \eta_{2}, \quad F_{\alpha}^{3}=\xi_{2} \eta_{1}, \quad H_{\alpha}^{3}=\xi_{1} \eta_{1}-\xi_{2} \eta_{2}, \\
& T_{\alpha}^{1}=t \eta_{1}, \quad T_{\alpha}^{2}=t \eta_{2}, \quad T_{\alpha}^{3}=t \xi_{1}, \quad T_{\alpha}^{4}=t \xi_{2},  \tag{3.1}\\
& D_{\alpha}^{1}=\tau \xi_{1}+\alpha t^{-1} \xi_{1} \xi_{2} \eta_{2}, \quad D_{\alpha}^{2}=\tau \xi_{2}-\alpha t^{-1} \xi_{1} \xi_{2} \eta_{1}, \\
& D_{\alpha}^{3}=\tau \eta_{1}+\alpha t^{-1} \xi_{2} \eta_{1} \eta_{2}, \quad D_{\alpha}^{4}=\tau \eta_{2}-\alpha t^{-1} \xi_{1} \eta_{1} \eta_{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Note that if $\alpha=0$, then $\Gamma(2,-1,-1) \cong \mathfrak{s p v}(2 \mid 4)$, and $\rho_{\alpha}$ is the standard embedding of $\mathfrak{s p v}(2 \mid 4)$ into $P(4)$.

Let

$$
V_{1}=\operatorname{Span}\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right), \quad V_{2}=\operatorname{Span}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right), \quad V_{3}=\operatorname{Span}\left(h_{1}, h_{2}\right),
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \psi_{1}\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)=-\psi_{1}\left(e_{2}, e_{1}\right)=1 \\
& \psi_{2}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)=-\psi_{2}\left(f_{2}, f_{1}\right)=1 \\
& \psi_{3}\left(h_{1}, h_{2}\right)=-\psi_{3}\left(h_{2}, h_{1}\right)=1
\end{aligned}
$$

Explicitly an embedding $\rho_{\alpha}$ is given as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rho_{\alpha}\left(\mathcal{P}_{1}\left(e_{1}, e_{1}\right)\right)=-E_{\alpha}^{1}, \quad \rho_{\alpha}\left(\mathcal{P}_{1}\left(e_{2}, e_{2}\right)\right)=-F_{\alpha}^{1}, \quad \rho_{\alpha}\left(\mathcal{P}_{1}\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)\right)=-H_{\alpha}^{1}, \\
& \rho_{\alpha}\left(\mathcal{P}_{2}\left(f_{1}, f_{1}\right)\right)=-2 F_{\alpha}^{2}, \quad \rho_{\alpha}\left(\mathcal{P}_{2}\left(f_{2}, f_{2}\right)\right)=-2 E_{\alpha}^{2}, \quad \rho_{\alpha}\left(\mathcal{P}_{2}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)\right)=H_{\alpha}^{2}, \\
& \rho_{\alpha}\left(\mathcal{P}_{3}\left(h_{1}, h_{1}\right)\right)=-2 F_{\alpha}^{3}, \quad \rho_{\alpha}\left(\mathcal{P}_{3}\left(h_{2}, h_{2}\right)\right)=2 E_{\alpha}^{3}, \quad \rho_{\alpha}\left(\mathcal{P}_{3}\left(h_{1}, h_{2}\right)\right)=H_{\alpha}^{3}, \\
& \rho_{\alpha}\left(e_{1} \otimes f_{1} \otimes h_{1}\right)=\sqrt{2} i T_{\alpha}^{1}, \quad \rho_{\alpha}\left(e_{1} \otimes f_{1} \otimes h_{2}\right)=\sqrt{2} i T_{\alpha}^{2}, \\
& \rho_{\alpha}\left(e_{1} \otimes f_{2} \otimes h_{1}\right)=-\sqrt{2} i T_{\alpha}^{4}, \quad \rho_{\alpha}\left(e_{1} \otimes f_{2} \otimes h_{2}\right)=\sqrt{2} i T_{\alpha}^{3}, \\
& \rho_{\alpha}\left(e_{2} \otimes f_{1} \otimes h_{1}\right)=\sqrt{2} i D_{\alpha}^{3}, \quad \rho_{\alpha}\left(e_{2} \otimes f_{1} \otimes h_{2}\right)=\sqrt{2} i D_{\alpha}^{4}, \\
& \rho_{\alpha}\left(e_{2} \otimes f_{2} \otimes h_{1}\right)=-\sqrt{2} i D_{\alpha}^{2}, \quad \rho_{\alpha}\left(e_{2} \otimes f_{2} \otimes h_{2}\right)=\sqrt{2} i D_{\alpha}^{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $s p\left(\psi_{i}\right) \cong \operatorname{Span}\left(E_{\alpha}^{i}, H_{\alpha}^{i}, F_{\alpha}^{i}\right)$ for $i=1,2,3$.

## 4. Deformations of embeddings

Let $\rho: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ be an embedding of Lie superalgebras, then $\mathfrak{h}$ is a $\mathfrak{g}$-module. A map $\rho+\beta \rho_{1}: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$, where $\rho_{1} \in Z^{1}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ is a Lie superalgebra homomorphism up to quadratic terms in $\beta$. It is called an infinitesimal deformation. Infinitesimal deformations are classified by $H^{1}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$, see $[14,19]$.

Describe obstructions to higher order prolongations of these infinitesimal deformations, see [15, 16]. Let

$$
\tilde{\rho}_{\beta}=\rho+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \beta^{k} \rho_{k}: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h},
$$

where $\rho_{k}: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ are even linear maps, satisfy

$$
\tilde{\rho}_{\beta}([X, Y])=\left[\tilde{\rho}_{\beta}(X), \tilde{\rho}_{\beta}(Y)\right] .
$$

$\tilde{\rho}_{\beta}$ is called a formal deformation of $\rho$. Let $\varphi_{\beta}=\tilde{\rho}_{\beta}-\rho$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\varphi_{\beta}(X), \rho(Y)\right]+\left[\rho(X), \varphi_{\beta}(Y)\right]-\varphi_{\beta}([X, Y])+\sum_{i, j>0}\left[\rho_{i}(X), \rho_{j}(Y)\right] \beta^{i+j}=0 \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first three terms are $\left(d \varphi_{\beta}\right)(X, Y)$, where $d$ stands for coboundary. For arbitrary linear maps $\varphi, \varphi^{\prime}: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$, define

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\left[\varphi, \varphi^{\prime}\right]\right]: \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h},}  \tag{4.2}\\
& {\left[\left[\varphi, \varphi^{\prime}\right]\right](X, Y)=\left[\varphi(X), \varphi^{\prime}(Y)\right]+\left[\varphi^{\prime}(X), \varphi(Y)\right] .}
\end{align*}
$$

The relation (4.1) is equivalent to

$$
d \varphi_{\beta}+\frac{1}{2}\left[\left[\varphi_{\beta}, \varphi_{\beta}\right]\right]=0 .
$$

Expanding this relation in power series in $\beta$, we have

$$
d \rho_{k}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i+j=k}\left[\left[\rho_{i}, \rho_{j}\right]\right]=0
$$

The first nontrivial relation is

$$
d \rho_{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left[\left[\rho_{1}, \rho_{1}\right]\right]=0
$$

and it gives the first obstruction to integrability of an infinitesimal deformation. Note that (4.2) defines a bilinear map, called the cup-product:

$$
H^{1}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}) \otimes H^{1}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}) \rightarrow H^{2}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}) .
$$

The obstructions to integrability of infinitesimal deformations lie in $H^{2}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$. Thus we have to compute $H^{1}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ and the product classes in $H^{2}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$.

Consider the embedding (3.1).
Theorem 4.1. $\operatorname{dim} H^{1}\left(\Gamma_{\alpha}, K^{\prime}(4)\right)=1$. The cohomology is spanned by the class of the 1 -cocycle $\theta$ given as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
\theta\left(T_{\alpha}^{1}\right) & =\tau^{-1} \xi_{2} \eta_{1} \eta_{2}, & & \theta\left(T_{\alpha}^{2}\right)=-\tau^{-1} \xi_{1} \eta_{1} \eta_{2}, \\
\theta\left(T_{\alpha}^{3}\right) & =\tau^{-1} \xi_{1} \xi_{2} \eta_{2}, & & \theta\left(T_{\alpha}^{4}\right)=-\tau^{-1} \xi_{1} \xi_{2} \eta_{1} \\
\theta\left(D_{\alpha}^{1}\right) & =t^{-1} \xi_{1} \xi_{2} \eta_{2}, & & \theta\left(D_{\alpha}^{2}\right)=-t^{-1} \xi_{1} \xi_{2} \eta_{1},  \tag{4.3}\\
\theta\left(D_{\alpha}^{3}\right) & =t^{-1} \xi_{2} \eta_{1} \eta_{2}, & & \theta\left(D_{\alpha}^{4}\right)=-t^{-1} \xi_{1} \eta_{1} \eta_{2}, \\
\theta\left(E_{\alpha}^{1}\right) & =2 \tau^{-2} \xi_{1} \xi_{2} \eta_{1} \eta_{2}, & & \theta\left(F_{\alpha}^{1}\right)=-2 t^{-2} \xi_{1} \xi_{2} \eta_{1} \eta_{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

The map $\tilde{\rho}_{\alpha, \beta}=\rho_{\alpha}+\beta \theta(\beta \in \mathbb{C})$ is a formal deformation of the embedding (3.1).

Proof. Consider $\mathfrak{g l}(2) \cong \operatorname{Span}\left(\xi_{i} \eta_{j} \mid i, j=1,2\right) \subset \Gamma_{\alpha}$. The diagonal subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g l}(2)$ consists of $h=h_{1} \xi_{1} \eta_{1}+h_{2} \xi_{2} \eta_{2}$, where $h_{1}, h_{2} \in \mathbb{C}$. Let $\epsilon_{i}(h)=h_{i}, i=1,2$. Obviously, $\operatorname{Span}\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right)$ is the standard $\mathfrak{g l}(2)$-module, $\operatorname{Span}\left(\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}\right)$ is its dual, $\xi_{i}$ and $\eta_{i}$ have weight $\epsilon_{i}$ and $-\epsilon_{i}$. Note that $H^{1}\left(\Gamma_{\alpha}, K^{\prime}(4)\right)$ is a trivial $\mathfrak{g l}(2)$-module, since a Lie (super)algebra acts trivially on its cohomology [5]. Hence we have to compute only the 1-cocycles of weight zero. Note also that

$$
H^{1}\left(\Gamma_{\alpha}, K^{\prime}(4)\right)=\oplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} H^{1, n}\left(\Gamma_{\alpha}, K^{\prime}(4)\right)
$$

where the $\mathbb{Z}$-grading is given by the condition

$$
\operatorname{deg} t=1, \operatorname{deg} \tau=-1, \quad \operatorname{deg} \xi_{i}=\operatorname{deg} \eta_{i}=0 .
$$

Let $c \in C^{1, n}\left(\Gamma_{\alpha}, K^{\prime}(4)\right)$ be a 1-cochain of weight zero. Note that if $c \neq 0$, then $n$ is even: $n=2 m$, and $c$ acts on the odd elements of $\Gamma_{\alpha}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{lc}
c\left(T_{\alpha}^{1}\right)=g_{1}^{m} t^{m+1} \tau^{-m} \eta_{1}+s_{1}^{m} t^{m} \tau^{-m-1} \xi_{2} \eta_{1} \eta_{2}, & c\left(D_{\alpha}^{1}\right)=r_{1}^{m} t^{m} \tau^{-m+1} \xi_{1}+q_{1}^{m} t^{m-1} \tau^{-m} \xi_{1} \xi_{2} \eta_{2}, \\
c\left(T_{\alpha}^{2}\right)=g_{2}^{m} t^{m+1} \tau^{-m} \eta_{2}+s_{2}^{m} t^{m} \tau^{-m-1} \xi_{1} \eta_{1} \eta_{2}, & c\left(D_{\alpha}^{2}\right)=r_{2}^{m} t^{m} \tau^{-m+1} \xi_{2}+q_{2}^{m} t^{m-1} \tau^{-m} \xi_{1} \xi_{2} \eta_{1}, \\
c\left(T_{\alpha}^{3}\right)=g_{3}^{m} t^{m+1} \tau^{-m} \xi_{1}+s_{3}^{m} t^{m} \tau^{-m-1} \xi_{1} \xi_{2} \eta_{2}, & c\left(D_{\alpha}^{3}\right)=r_{3}^{m} t^{m} \tau^{-m+1} \eta_{1}+q_{3}^{m} t^{m-1} \tau^{-m} \xi_{2} \eta_{1} \eta_{2}, \\
c\left(T_{\alpha}^{4}\right)=g_{4}^{m} t^{m+1} \tau^{-m} \xi_{2}+s_{4}^{m} t^{m} \tau^{-m-1} \xi_{1} \xi_{2} \eta_{1}, & c\left(D_{\alpha}^{4}\right)=r_{4}^{m} t^{m} \tau^{-m+1} \eta_{2}+q_{4}^{m} t^{m-1} \tau^{-m} \xi_{1} \eta_{1} \eta_{2}, \tag{4.4}
\end{array}
$$

where $g_{i}^{m}, s_{i}^{m}, r_{i}^{m}, q_{i}^{m} \in \mathbb{C}$. Let

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
c_{0}=t^{m+1} \tau^{-m+1}, & c_{1}=t^{m} \tau^{-m} \xi_{1} \eta_{1}, \\
c_{2}=t^{m} \tau^{-m} \xi_{2} \eta_{2}, & c_{3}=t^{m-1} \tau^{-m-1} \xi_{1} \xi_{2} \eta_{1} \eta_{2}
\end{array}
$$

If $m \neq 0$, then the elements of weight zero in $C^{0,2 m}\left(\Gamma_{\alpha}, K^{\prime}(4)\right)$ span the subspace $\operatorname{Span}\left(c_{0}, c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}\right)$. If $m=0$, then the elements of weight zero in $C^{0,0}\left(\Gamma_{\alpha}, K^{\prime}(4)\right)$ span the subspace $\operatorname{Span}\left(c_{0}, c_{1}, c_{2}\right)$. Note that the coefficients $g_{i}^{m}$ in (4.4) are as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { if } c=d c_{0} \text {, then } g_{1}^{m}=g_{2}^{m}=g_{3}^{m}=g_{4}^{m}=m-1, \\
& \text { if } c=d c_{1} \text {, then } g_{1}^{m}=-g_{3}^{m}=1, g_{2}^{m}=g_{4}^{m}=0, \\
& \text { if } c=d c_{2} \text {, then } g_{1}^{m}=g_{3}^{m}=0, g_{2}^{m}=-g_{4}^{m}=1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $X, Y \in \Gamma_{\alpha}$. Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
d c(X, Y)=\{X, c(Y)\}+\{Y, c(X)\}-c(\{X, Y\}), & \\
d c(X, Y)=\{X, c(Y)\}-\{Y, c(X)\}-c(\{X, Y\}), & \text { if } p(X)=\overline{0}, p(Y)=\overline{1}, \\
d c(X, Y)=\{X, c(Y)\}-\{Y, c(X)\}-c(\{X, Y\}), & \text { if } p(X)=p(Y)=\overline{0} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $c \in Z^{1,2 m}\left(\Gamma_{\alpha}, K^{\prime}(4)\right)$ be of weight zero. From the condition $d c(X, Y)=0$, we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{T_{\alpha}^{1}, c\left(T_{\alpha}^{3}\right)\right\}+\left\{T_{\alpha}^{3}, c\left(T_{\alpha}^{1}\right)\right\}-c\left(E_{\alpha}^{1}\right)=0  \tag{4.5}\\
& \left\{T_{\alpha}^{2}, c\left(T_{\alpha}^{4}\right)\right\}+\left\{T_{\alpha}^{4}, c\left(T_{\alpha}^{2}\right)\right\}-c\left(E_{\alpha}^{1}\right)=0
\end{align*}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{3}^{m}+g_{1}^{m}=g_{2}^{m}+g_{4}^{m} . \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Case $m \neq 1$. One can change $c$ by adding (or removing) coboundaries $d c_{i}$ for $i=$ $0,1,2$, and thus assume that $g_{i}^{m}=0$ for $i=1,2,3$. Then from (4.6) $g_{4}^{m}=0$. Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{T_{\alpha}^{1}, c\left(T_{\alpha}^{2}\right)\right\}+\left\{T_{\alpha}^{2}, c\left(T_{\alpha}^{1}\right)\right\}=0 \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

hence $s_{2}^{m}=-s_{1}^{m}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{T_{\alpha}^{1}, c\left(T_{\alpha}^{4}\right)\right\}+\left\{T_{\alpha}^{4}, c\left(T_{\alpha}^{1}\right)\right\}=0 \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

hence $s_{4}^{m}=-s_{1}^{m}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{T_{\alpha}^{2}, c\left(T_{\alpha}^{3}\right)\right\}+\left\{T_{\alpha}^{3}, c\left(T_{\alpha}^{2}\right)\right\}=0 \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

hence $s_{3}^{m}=-s_{2}^{m}=s_{1}^{m}$.
Note that if $c=d c_{3}$, then in (4.4) $g_{i}^{m}=0$ for $i=1, \ldots, 4$ and $s_{1}^{m}=s_{3}^{m}=-s_{2}^{m}=$ $-s_{4}^{m}=1$. Changing 1-cocycle $c$ using the coboundary $d c_{3}$, we can assume in addition that $s_{1}^{m}=0$. Then $s_{i}^{m}=0$ for $i=2,3,4$. We have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{E_{\alpha}^{2}, c\left(T_{\alpha}^{1}\right)\right\}-\left\{T_{\alpha}^{1}, c\left(E_{\alpha}^{2}\right)\right\}+c\left(T_{\alpha}^{4}\right)=0 \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

hence $c\left(E_{\alpha}^{2}\right)=0$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{E_{\alpha}^{3}, c\left(T_{\alpha}^{1}\right)\right\}-\left\{T_{\alpha}^{1}, c\left(E_{\alpha}^{3}\right)\right\}-c\left(T_{\alpha}^{2}\right)=0 \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

hence $c\left(E_{\alpha}^{3}\right)=0$. Also

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{F_{\alpha}^{2}, c\left(T_{\alpha}^{3}\right)\right\}-\left\{T_{\alpha}^{3}, c\left(F_{\alpha}^{2}\right)\right\}+c\left(T_{\alpha}^{2}\right)=0 \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

hence $c\left(F_{\alpha}^{2}\right)=0$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{F_{\alpha}^{3}, c\left(T_{\alpha}^{3}\right)\right\}-\left\{T_{\alpha}^{3}, c\left(F_{\alpha}^{3}\right)\right\}-c\left(T_{\alpha}^{4}\right)=0 \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

hence $c\left(F_{\alpha}^{3}\right)=0$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{D_{\alpha}^{1}, c\left(T_{\alpha}^{4}\right)\right\}+\left\{T_{\alpha}^{4}, c\left(D_{\alpha}^{1}\right)\right\}=0  \tag{4.14}\\
& \left\{T_{\alpha}^{2}, c\left(D_{\alpha}^{1}\right)\right\}+\left\{D_{\alpha}^{1}, c\left(T_{\alpha}^{2}\right)\right\}=0 \tag{4.15}
\end{align*}
$$

From (4.14) $(1-m) r_{1}^{m}+q_{1}^{m}=0$, and from (4.15) $(1-m) r_{1}^{m}-q_{1}^{m}=0$. Hence, $r_{1}^{m}=q_{1}^{m}=0$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{T_{\alpha}^{1}, c\left(D_{\alpha}^{2}\right)\right\}+\left\{D_{\alpha}^{2}, c\left(T_{\alpha}^{1}\right)\right\}=0 \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{D_{\alpha}^{2}, c\left(T_{\alpha}^{3}\right)\right\}+\left\{T_{\alpha}^{3}, c\left(D_{\alpha}^{2}\right)\right\}=0 \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4.16) $(1-m) r_{2}^{m}+q_{2}^{m}=0$, and from (4.17) $(1-m) r_{2}^{m}-q_{2}^{m}=0$. Hence, $r_{2}^{m}=q_{2}^{m}=0$.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{T_{\alpha}^{2}, c\left(D_{\alpha}^{3}\right)\right\}+\left\{D_{\alpha}^{3}, c\left(T_{\alpha}^{2}\right)\right\}=0  \tag{4.18}\\
& \left\{T_{\alpha}^{4}, c\left(D_{\alpha}^{3}\right)\right\}+\left\{D_{\alpha}^{3}, c\left(T_{\alpha}^{4}\right)\right\}=0 \tag{4.19}
\end{align*}
$$

From (4.18) $(1-m) r_{3}^{m}+q_{3}^{m}=0$ and from (4.19) $(m-1) r_{3}^{m}+q_{3}^{m}=0$. Hence, $r_{3}^{m}=q_{3}^{m}=0$.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{T_{\alpha}^{3}, c\left(D_{\alpha}^{4}\right)\right\}+\left\{D_{\alpha}^{4}, c\left(T_{\alpha}^{3}\right)\right\}=0  \tag{4.20}\\
& \left\{T_{\alpha}^{1}, c\left(D_{\alpha}^{4}\right)\right\}+\left\{D_{\alpha}^{4}, c\left(T_{\alpha}^{1}\right)\right\}=0 \tag{4.21}
\end{align*}
$$

from (4.20) $(m-1) r_{4}^{m}-q_{4}^{m}=0$ and from (4.21) $(m-1) r_{4}^{m}+q_{4}^{m}=0$. Hence, $r_{4}^{m}=q_{4}^{m}=0$. Hence the cocycle $c$ is zero on the odd elements.
Case $m=1$. Changing 1-cocycle $c$ using coboundaries $d c_{i}$, we can assume that $g_{1}^{1}=g_{2}^{1}=0$. Then from (4.6) $g_{3}^{1}=g_{4}^{1}$. In addition, changing $c$ by a multiple of $d c_{3}$, we can assume that $s_{1}^{1}=0$. Next

$$
\left\{T_{\alpha}^{3}, c\left(T_{\alpha}^{4}\right)\right\}+\left\{T_{\alpha}^{4}, c\left(T_{\alpha}^{3}\right)\right\}=0,
$$

Hence $s_{3}^{1}=-s_{4}^{1}$. From (4.7) $s_{2}^{1}=-s_{1}^{1}=0$. From (4.8) $s_{4}^{1}=g_{4}^{1}$. From (4.9) $s_{3}^{1}=-g_{3}^{1}=-g_{4}^{1}$. Note that from (4.5) we have that

$$
c\left(E_{\alpha}^{1}\right)=g_{4}^{1}\left(-t^{2} \tau^{-2} \xi_{1} \eta_{1}-t^{2} \tau^{-2} \xi_{2} \eta_{2}+t^{3} \tau^{-1}\right) .
$$

Since the coefficient of $\xi_{1}$ in

$$
\left\{E_{\alpha}^{1}, c\left(D_{\alpha}^{1}\right)\right\}-\left\{D_{\alpha}^{1}, c\left(E_{\alpha}^{1}\right)\right\}+2 c\left(T_{\alpha}^{3}\right)=0
$$

is $-2 g_{4}^{1}$, then $g_{4}^{1}=0$. Thus $c\left(T_{\alpha}^{i}\right)=0$ for $i=1,2,3,4$, and $c\left(E_{\alpha}^{1}\right)=0$. From (4.10) $c\left(E_{\alpha}^{2}\right)=0$. From (4.11) $c\left(E_{\alpha}^{3}\right)=0$. From (4.12) $c\left(F_{\alpha}^{2}\right)=0$. From (4.13) $c\left(F_{\alpha}^{3}\right)=0$. From

$$
\left\{D_{\alpha}^{1}, c\left(T_{\alpha}^{4}\right)\right\}+\left\{T_{\alpha}^{4}, c\left(D_{\alpha}^{1}\right)\right\}-(1+\alpha) c\left(E_{\alpha}^{2}\right)=0
$$

$q_{1}^{1}=0$. From

$$
\left\{T_{\alpha}^{1}, c\left(D_{\alpha}^{2}\right)\right\}+\left\{D_{\alpha}^{2}, c\left(T_{\alpha}^{1}\right)\right\}-(1-\alpha) c\left(F_{\alpha}^{3}\right)=0
$$

$q_{2}^{1}=0$. From

$$
\left\{T_{\alpha}^{2}, c\left(D_{\alpha}^{3}\right)\right\}+\left\{D_{\alpha}^{3}, c\left(T_{\alpha}^{2}\right)\right\}-\alpha c\left(F_{\alpha}^{2}\right)=0
$$

$q_{3}^{1}=0$. From

$$
\left\{T_{\alpha}^{3}, c\left(D_{\alpha}^{4}\right)\right\}+\left\{D_{\alpha}^{4}, c\left(T_{\alpha}^{3}\right)\right\}-(\alpha-1) c\left(E_{\alpha}^{3}\right)=0
$$

$q_{4}^{1}=0$. From

$$
\left\{D_{\alpha}^{1}, c\left(D_{\alpha}^{2}\right)\right\}+\left\{D_{\alpha}^{2}, c\left(D_{\alpha}^{1}\right)\right\}=0,
$$

$(1+\alpha) r_{2}^{1}-(1+\alpha) r_{1}^{1}=0$. From

$$
\left\{D_{\alpha}^{3}, c\left(D_{\alpha}^{4}\right)\right\}+\left\{D_{\alpha}^{4}, c\left(D_{\alpha}^{3}\right)\right\}=0
$$

$(1+\alpha) r_{4}^{1}-(1+\alpha) r_{3}^{1}=0$. From

$$
\left\{D_{\alpha}^{1}, c\left(D_{\alpha}^{4}\right)\right\}+\left\{D_{\alpha}^{4}, c\left(D_{\alpha}^{1}\right)\right\}=0
$$

$(1-\alpha) r_{4}^{1}-(1-\alpha) r_{1}^{1}=0$. From

$$
\left\{D_{\alpha}^{2}, c\left(D_{\alpha}^{3}\right)\right\}+\left\{D_{\alpha}^{3}, c\left(D_{\alpha}^{2}\right)\right\}=0
$$

$(1-\alpha) r_{3}^{1}-(1-\alpha) r_{2}^{1}=0$.
If $\alpha \neq \pm 1$, then $r_{1}^{1}=r_{2}^{1}=r_{3}^{1}=r_{4}^{1}$. Then $c$ is a multiple of $d c_{0}$.
Subcase $\alpha=1$. In this case $r_{1}^{1}=r_{2}^{1}$ and $r_{3}^{1}=r_{4}^{1}$. One can change $c$ by a multiple of $d c_{0}$ and assume that $r_{1}^{1}=r_{2}^{1}=0$. From

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{D_{\alpha}^{1}, c\left(D_{\alpha}^{3}\right)\right\}+\left\{D_{\alpha}^{3}, c\left(D_{\alpha}^{1}\right)\right\}-c\left(F_{\alpha}^{1}\right)=0 \tag{4.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

$c\left(F_{\alpha}^{1}\right)=r_{3}^{1}\left(\xi_{1} \eta_{1}+\xi_{2} \eta_{2}+t \tau\right)$. From

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{F_{\alpha}^{1}, c\left(T_{\alpha}^{1}\right)\right\}-\left\{T_{\alpha}^{1}, c\left(F_{\alpha}^{1}\right)\right\}-2 c\left(D_{\alpha}^{3}\right)=0, \tag{4.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

$2 r_{3}^{1} t \eta_{1}=0$, hence $r_{3}^{1}=r_{4}^{1}=0$. Hence the cocycle $c$ is zero on the odd elements.
Subcase $\alpha=-1$. In this case $r_{1}^{1}=r_{4}^{1}$ and $r_{2}^{1}=r_{3}^{1}$. One can change $c$ by a multiple of $d c_{0}$ and assume that $r_{1}^{1}=r_{4}^{1}=0$. From (4.22) $c\left(F_{\alpha}^{1}\right)=r_{3}^{1}\left(\xi_{1} \eta_{1}-\xi_{2} \eta_{2}+t \tau\right)$. From (4.23) $2 r_{3}^{1} t \eta_{1}=0$, hence $r_{3}^{1}=r_{2}^{1}=0$. Hence the cocycle $c$ is zero on the odd elements. Finally, from (4.5) $c\left(E_{\alpha}^{1}\right)=0$, from (4.23) $c\left(F_{\alpha}^{1}\right)=0$. From

$$
\left\{E_{\alpha}^{1}, c\left(F_{\alpha}^{1}\right)\right\}-\left\{F_{\alpha}^{1}, c\left(E_{\alpha}^{1}\right)\right\}+4 c\left(H_{\alpha}^{1}\right)=0
$$

$c\left(H_{\alpha}^{1}\right)=0$. From (4.10) $c\left(E_{\alpha}^{2}\right)=0$, from (4.11) $c\left(E_{\alpha}^{3}\right)=0$, from (4.12) $c\left(F_{\alpha}^{2}\right)=0$, and from (4.13) $c\left(F_{\alpha}^{3}\right)=0$. Hence $c\left(H_{\alpha}^{2}\right)=c\left(H_{\alpha}^{3}\right)=0$, and $c$ is the zero cocycle. This proves that if $m \neq 0$, then each 1-cocycle $c$ of weight zero has the zero cohomology class, and the cohomology is spanned by the cocycle $d c_{3}$ where $m=0$, because $c_{3}=t^{-1} \tau^{-1} \xi_{1} \xi_{2} \eta_{1} \eta_{2} \notin K^{\prime}(4)$. The coefficients in (4.4) for this cocycle are $g_{i}=r_{i}=0$, $s_{1}=s_{3}=-s_{2}=-s_{4}=1$, and $q_{1}=q_{3}=-q_{2}=-q_{4}=1$. Thus $d c_{3}=\theta$ as it is given in (4.3).

According to the Richardson-Nijenhuis theory, one has to determine the cup product $[[\theta, \theta]][15,16]$. It is easy to see that this cup product is identically zero (and not only in cohomology). Thus $\tilde{\rho}_{\alpha, \beta}=\rho_{\alpha}+\beta \theta$ is a formal deformation of the embedding $\rho_{\alpha}$.

## 5. Matrices over a Weyl algebra

By definition, a Weyl algebra is

$$
\mathcal{W}=\sum_{i \geq 0} \mathcal{A} d^{i}
$$

where $\mathcal{A}$ is an associative commutative algebra and $d: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is a derivation of $\mathcal{A}$, with the relations

$$
d a=d(a)+a d, \quad a \in \mathcal{A},
$$

see $[11,12]$. Set

$$
\mathcal{A}=\mathbb{C}\left[t, t^{-1}\right], d=\frac{\partial}{\partial t} .
$$

Let $\operatorname{End}\left(\mathcal{W}^{2 \mid 2}\right)$ be the Lie superalgebra of $4 \times 4$ matrices over $\mathcal{W}$.
Theorem 5.1. For each $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ there exists an embedding

$$
\bar{\rho}_{\alpha}: \Gamma(2,-1-\alpha, \alpha-1) \rightarrow \operatorname{End}\left(\mathcal{W}^{2 \mid 2}\right)
$$

given as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{\rho}_{\alpha}\left(T_{\alpha}^{1}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc|cc}
0 & 0 & t & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & t & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad \bar{\rho}_{\alpha}\left(T_{\alpha}^{2}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc|cc}
0 & 0 & 0 & t \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 0 & -t & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \\
& \bar{\rho}_{\alpha}\left(T_{\alpha}^{3}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc|cc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & t \\
\hline t & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad \bar{\rho}_{\alpha}\left(T_{\alpha}^{4}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc|cc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -t & 0 \\
\hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
t & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \\
& \bar{\rho}_{\alpha}\left(D_{\alpha}^{1}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc|cc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & d+\alpha t^{-1} \\
\hline d & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad \bar{\rho}_{\alpha}\left(D_{\alpha}^{2}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc|cc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -d-\alpha t^{-1} & 0 \\
\hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
d & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \\
& \bar{\rho}_{\alpha}\left(D_{\alpha}^{3}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc|cc}
0 & 0 & d+\alpha t^{-1} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & d & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad \bar{\rho}_{\alpha}\left(D_{\alpha}^{4}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc|cc}
0 & 0 & 0 & d+\alpha t^{-1} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 0 & -d & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\left.\begin{array}{c}
\bar{\rho}_{\alpha}\left(E_{\alpha}^{1}\right)=t^{2} 1_{4 \mid 4} \\
\bar{\rho}_{\alpha}\left(F_{\alpha}^{1}\right)=\left(\left.\begin{array}{c}
\left(d^{2}+\alpha t^{-1} d\right) 1_{2 \mid 2} \\
0
\end{array} \right\rvert\,\left(d^{2}+\alpha d t^{-1}\right) 1_{2 \mid 2}\right.
\end{array}\right), \quad \begin{gathered}
\bar{\rho}_{\alpha}\left(H_{\alpha}^{1}\right)=\left(t d+\frac{1+\alpha}{2}\right) 1_{4 \mid 4}, \\
\bar{\rho}_{\alpha}\left(E_{\alpha}^{2}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll|ll}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \bar{\rho}_{\alpha}\left(F_{\alpha}^{2}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc|cc}
0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \bar{\rho}_{\alpha}\left(H_{\alpha}^{2}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc|cc}
-1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \\
\bar{\rho}_{\alpha}\left(E_{\alpha}^{3}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll|ll}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \bar{\rho}_{\alpha}\left(F_{\alpha}^{3}\right), \bar{\rho}_{\alpha}\left(H_{\alpha}^{3}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc|ccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -1
\end{array}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Proof. For each $h \in(0,1]$ and each $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ there exists an embedding

$$
\rho_{\alpha, h}: \Gamma(2,-1-\alpha, \alpha-1) \rightarrow P_{h}(4) .
$$

$\Gamma_{\alpha, h}=\rho_{\alpha, h}(\Gamma(2,-1-\alpha, \alpha-1))$ is spanned by the following elements:

$$
\begin{gathered}
E_{\alpha, h}^{1}=t^{2} \\
F_{\alpha, h}^{1}=\tau^{2}-\alpha\left(2 t^{-2} \xi_{1} \xi_{2} \eta_{1} \eta_{2}+t^{-2}\left(\xi_{1} \eta_{1}+\xi_{2} \eta_{2}\right) h-t^{-1} \tau h\right), \\
H_{\alpha, h}^{1}=t \tau+\frac{\alpha+1}{2} h, \\
E_{\alpha, h}^{2}=\xi_{1} \xi_{2}, \quad F_{\alpha, h}^{2}=\eta_{1} \eta_{2}, \quad H_{\alpha, h}^{2}=\xi_{1} \eta_{1}+\xi_{2} \eta_{2}-h, \\
E_{\alpha, h}^{3}=\xi_{1} \eta_{2}, \quad F_{\alpha, h}^{3}=\xi_{2} \eta_{1}, \quad H_{\alpha, h}^{3}=\xi_{1} \eta_{1}-\xi_{2} \eta_{2}, \\
T_{\alpha, h}^{1}=t \eta_{1}, \quad T_{\alpha, h}^{2}=t \eta_{2}, \\
T_{\alpha, h}^{3}=t \xi_{1}, \quad T_{\alpha, h}^{4}=t \xi_{2}, \\
D_{\alpha, h}^{1}=\tau \xi_{1}+\alpha t^{-1} \xi_{1} \xi_{2} \eta_{2}, \quad D_{\alpha, h}^{2}=\tau \xi_{2}-\alpha t^{-1} \xi_{1} \xi_{2} \eta_{1}, \\
D_{\alpha, h}^{3}=\tau \eta_{1}+\alpha t^{-1} \eta_{1} \eta_{2} \xi_{2}, \quad D_{\alpha, h}^{4}=\tau \eta_{2}-\alpha t^{-1} \eta_{1} \eta_{2} \xi_{1}
\end{gathered}
$$

so that

$$
\lim _{h \rightarrow 0} \Gamma_{\alpha, h}=\rho_{\alpha}\left(\Gamma_{\alpha}\right) \subset P(4) .
$$

Let $V=\mathbb{C}\left[t, t^{-1}\right] \otimes \Lambda\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right)$. We fix $h=1$, and define a representation of $\Gamma(2,-1-$ $\alpha, \alpha-1)$ in $V$ according to the embedding $\rho_{\alpha, h=1}$. Namely, $\xi_{i}$ is the operator of multiplication in $\Lambda\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right), \eta_{i}$ is identified with $\partial_{\xi_{i}}$, and $1 \in P_{h=1}(4)$ acts by the identity operator. Consider the following basis in $V$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{m}^{0}=t^{m}, \quad v_{m}^{1}=t^{m} \xi_{1} \\
& v_{m}^{2}=t^{m} \xi_{2}, \quad v_{m}^{3}=t^{m} \xi_{1} \xi_{2} \text { for all } m \in \mathbb{Z}
\end{aligned}
$$

Explicitly, the action of $\Gamma(2,-1-\alpha, \alpha-1)$ on $V$ is given as follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{\alpha}^{1}\left(v_{m}^{3}\right)=v_{m+1}^{2}, \quad T_{\alpha}^{1}\left(v_{m}^{1}\right)=v_{m+1}^{0}, \quad T_{\alpha}^{2}\left(v_{m}^{3}\right)=-v_{m+1}^{1}, \quad T_{\alpha}^{2}\left(v_{m}^{2}\right)=v_{m+1}^{0}, \\
& T_{\alpha}^{3}\left(v_{m}^{0}\right)=v_{m+1}^{1}, \quad T_{\alpha}^{3}\left(v_{m}^{2}\right)=v_{m+1}^{3}, \quad T_{\alpha}^{4}\left(v_{m}^{0}\right)=v_{m+1}^{2}, \quad T_{\alpha}^{4}\left(v_{m}^{1}\right)=-v_{m+1}^{3}, \\
& D_{\alpha}^{1}\left(v_{m}^{0}\right)=m v_{m-1}^{1}, \quad D_{\alpha}^{1}\left(v_{m}^{2}\right)=(m+\alpha) v_{m-1}^{3}, \quad D_{\alpha}^{2}\left(v_{m}^{0}\right)=v_{m-1}^{2}, \quad D_{\alpha}^{2}\left(v_{m}^{1}\right)=-(m+\alpha) v_{m-1}^{3}, \\
& D_{\alpha}^{3}\left(v_{m}^{3}\right)=m v_{m-1}^{2}, \quad D_{\alpha}^{3}\left(v_{m}^{1}\right)=(m+\alpha) v_{m-1}^{0}, \quad D_{\alpha}^{4}\left(v_{m}^{3}\right)=-m v_{m-1}^{1}, \quad D_{\alpha}^{4}\left(v_{m}^{2}\right)=(m+\alpha) v_{m-1}^{0}, \\
& E_{\alpha}^{1}\left(v_{m}^{0}\right)=v_{m+2}^{0}, \quad E_{\alpha}^{1}\left(v_{m}^{3}\right)=v_{m+2}^{3}, \quad E_{\alpha}^{1}\left(v_{m}^{1}\right)=v_{m+2}^{1}, \quad E_{\alpha}^{1}\left(v_{m}^{2}\right)=v_{m+2}^{1}, \\
& F_{\alpha}^{1}\left(v_{m}^{0}\right)=m(m-1+\alpha) v_{m-2}^{0}, \quad F_{\alpha}^{1}\left(v_{m}^{3}\right)=m(m-1+\alpha) v_{m-2}^{3}, \\
& F_{\alpha}^{1}\left(v_{m}^{1}\right)=(m+\alpha)(m-1) v_{m-2}^{1}, \quad F_{\alpha}^{1}\left(v_{m}^{2}\right)=(m+\alpha)(m-1) v_{m-2}^{2}, \\
& H_{\alpha}^{1}\left(v_{m}^{i}\right)=\left(m+\frac{\alpha+1}{2}\right) v_{m}^{i}, \quad i=0,1,2,3, \\
& E_{\alpha}^{2}\left(v_{m}^{0}\right)=v_{m}^{3}, \quad F_{\alpha}^{2}\left(v_{m}^{3}\right)=-v_{m}^{0}, \quad H_{\alpha}^{2}\left(v_{m}^{0}\right)=-v_{m}^{0}, \quad H_{\alpha}^{2}\left(v_{m}^{3}\right)=v_{m}^{3}, \\
& E_{\alpha}^{3}\left(v_{m}^{2}\right)=v_{m}^{1}, \quad F_{\alpha}^{3}\left(v_{m}^{1}\right)=v_{m}^{2}, \quad H_{\alpha}^{3}\left(v_{m}^{1}\right)=v_{m}^{1}, \quad H_{\alpha}^{3}\left(v_{m}^{2}\right)=-v_{m}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we obtain the above-mentioned embedding $\bar{\rho}_{\alpha}$ of $\Gamma(2,-1-\alpha, \alpha-1)$ into $\operatorname{End}\left(\mathcal{W}^{2 \mid 2}\right)$.
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