

METRIC CURVATURE AND CONVERGENCE

by

Conrad Plaut

**Max-Planck-Institut
für Mathematik
Gottfried-Claren-Str. 26
5300 Bonn 3
Federal Republic of Germany**

MPI/90-71

Metric Curvature and Convergence

by Conrad Plaut

We present conditions under which metric curvature bounds and geodesic completeness are preserved in Gromov-Hausdorff limits. In particular we show that if $X_i \rightarrow X$ and the "comparison radius" for bounded curvature in each X_i is uniformly bounded below by some $r > 0$, then X has the same curvature bound, with comparison radius at least r . If in addition the X_i are geodesically complete, then X is also geodesically complete, provided the injectivity radii $\text{inj}(X_i)$ have a uniform positive lower bound.

As a consequence of these general results we obtain a "Compactness Theorem" (Theorem 9) for geodesically complete inner metric spaces having curvature and injectivity radius bounded below, and diameter bounded above. Since Theorem 9 does not require an upper curvature bound, it is both a weakened generalization of the Convergence Theorem for Riemannian manifolds ([Pe1], [GW], [Ka]) and a generalization (with exceptional dimensions 3 and 4) of Cheeger's Finiteness Theorem ([C], [Pe2]). Our results also allow an entirely "metric" proof of the Convergence Theorem using [N1] (cf. also [N2]).

Our result on the lower curvature bound (Proposition 6) was essentially proved in [GP], §2, *under the additional assumption that each X_i is geodesically complete*. Our removal of this extra

assumption is important for the following reason: In [P2] we prove a generalization of Toponogov's Theorem for convex, geodesically complete inner metric spaces of curvature $\geq k$, which essentially states that the (lower curvature bound) comparison radius for such a space is infinite. It is not known if the theorem can be further generalized by weakening geodesic completeness to metric completeness. Theorem 8 c) shows that the class of spaces for which Toponogov's Theorem holds is Gromov-Hausdorff closed, making a counterexample, if one exists, more difficult to find.

A few definitions and will be recalled below. For more details, and examples, see [P1]. All curves are assumed parameterized proportional to arclength. We assume throughout that X is a metrically complete, convex inner metric space. Then by definition every pair of points $x, y \in X$ can be connected by a *minimal curve* whose length realizes $d(x, y)$; a curve which is locally minimizing is called a *geodesic*. The notation γ_{ab} is reserved for a geodesic from a to b . A *geodesic terminal* is a point in X beyond which some geodesic cannot be extended. An open subset U of X is *geodesically complete* if it has no geodesic terminals.

S_k will denote the simply connected, 2-dimensional space form of curvature k . By *monotonicity* we mean the well known fact that the angle between two minimal curves of fixed length in S_k is a monotone increasing function of the distance between the

endpoints opposite the angle.

Definition 1. An open set U in X is said to be a region of curvature $\geq k$ (resp. $\leq k$) if for every triangle $(\gamma_{ab}, \gamma_{bc}, \gamma_{ca})$ of minimal curves in U ,

a) there exists a representative $(\tilde{\gamma}_{AB}, \tilde{\gamma}_{BC}, \tilde{\gamma}_{CA})$ in S_k (i.e., $\tilde{\gamma}_{AB}, \tilde{\gamma}_{BC}, \tilde{\gamma}_{CA}$ are minimal of the same length as their correspondent curves) and

b) for any y on γ_{ab} and Y on $\tilde{\gamma}_{AB}$ such that $d(y, a) = d(Y, A)$, $d(y, c) \geq d(Y, C)$ (resp. $d(y, c) \leq d(Y, C)$).

If x is contained in a region of curvature $\geq k$, let $c_k(x) = \sup \{r : B(x, r) \text{ is a region of curvature } \geq k\}$, and put $c_k(x) = 0$ otherwise. Then the comparison radius c_k is continuous or $c_k = \infty$. If for all $x \in X$ there is a k such that $c_k(x) > 0$ then we say X has curvature locally bounded below. If for some fixed $p \in X$ and k , c_k has a positive lower bound on $B(p, r)$ for all r , we say X has curvature uniformly $\geq k$. If X is locally compact, curvature uniformly $\geq k$ is equivalent to $c_k > 0$ on X . Using regions of curvature $\leq k$ we can similarly define c^k and curvature locally or uniformly bounded above.

Monotonicity implies that a region of curvature $\geq k$ (resp. $\leq k$) contains a *Gebiet der Riemannscher Krümmung* $\geq k$ (resp. $\leq k$) in the sense of [R] (and conversely, such a *Gebiet* satisfies Definition 1); therefore the angle $\alpha(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)$ between two geodesics exists and is a bona fide metric on the space of

directions S_p (unit geodesics) at a point $p \in X$. For $\gamma \in S_p$ we let $C(\gamma) = \sup \{t : \gamma|_{[0,t]}$ is minimal) and define the injectivity radius $\text{inj}(p) = \inf \{C(\gamma) : \gamma \in S_p\}$. Finally, we let $\text{inj}(X) = \inf \{\text{inj}(p) : p \in X\}$.

Definition 2. We say that a (geodesic) triangle $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3)$ in X is $T1(k)$ (resp. $A1(k)$) if there exists a representative triangle $(\bar{\gamma}_1, \bar{\gamma}_2, \bar{\gamma}_3)$ in S_k and $\alpha(\bar{\gamma}_1, \bar{\gamma}_2) \leq \alpha(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)$ (resp. $\alpha(\bar{\gamma}_1, \bar{\gamma}_2) \geq \alpha(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)$) for $i = 1, 3$. We say that a (geodesic) wedge $(\gamma_{ab}, \beta_{ac})$ is $T2(k)$ (resp. $A2(k)$) if there is a representative wedge $(\tilde{\gamma}_{AB}, \tilde{\beta}_{AC})$ in S_k (i.e., whose sides are minimal with $L(\tilde{\gamma}_{AB}) = L(\gamma_{ab})$, $L(\tilde{\beta}_{AC}) = L(\beta_{ac})$, $\alpha(\tilde{\gamma}_{AB}, \tilde{\beta}_{AC}) = \alpha(\gamma_{ab}, \beta_{ac})$) and $d(B, C) \geq d(b, c)$ (resp. $d(B, C) \geq d(b, c)$).

When no confusion is likely to result we omit the "k" from the notation, e.g. writing "T1" for "T1(k)."

A triangle $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3)$ or wedge (γ_1, γ_2) is (k -)proper if γ_1 and γ_3 are minimal and $L(\gamma_2) < \pi/\sqrt{k}$. If $c_k(x) = \rho > 0$ (resp. $c^k(x) = \rho > 0$) then every proper triangle in $B(x, \rho)$ is $T1(k)$ (resp. $A1(k)$) and every proper wedge in $B(x, \rho)$ is $T2(k)$ (resp. $A2(k)$).

The Gromov-Hausdorff metric is defined in [GLP], [G]. Let X_1 be a collection of compact, metrically complete inner metric spaces of diameter $\leq D < \infty$, which are converted in the Gromov-Hausdorff metric to a metrically complete metric space X .

By the results of §6 in [G] we can assume that the spaces X_i and X are all embedded in a fixed compact metric space Z , and convergence is in the classical Hausdorff sense.

A prerequisite to understanding the geometry of X is the ability to express a minimal curve in X as a uniform limit of minimal curves in X_i . We now give a condition on X which guarantees that this is possible.

Definition 3. Let Y be an inner metric space. A closed branch in Y is a pair of minimal curves $\alpha_{ab}, \beta_{ab} : [0, 1] \rightarrow Y$ such that for some $T \in (0, 1)$, $\alpha_{ab}(t) = \beta_{ab}(t)$ for all $t \geq T$ and $\alpha_{ab}(s) \neq \beta_{ab}(s)$ for some $s < T$. If T is taken to be the smallest such value, the point $c = \alpha_{ab}(T)$ is called the branch point of the closed branch.

The non-existence of closed branches in a space Y is equivalent to the following: if $\gamma_{ab} : [0, 1] \rightarrow Y$ is minimal, then for all $t \in (0, 1)$, $\gamma_{ab}|_{[0,t]}$ is the unique minimal curve from a to $\gamma_{ab}(t)$.

Proposition 4. If X has no closed branches then for every minimal curve γ in X there exist minimal curves γ_i in X_i such that some subsequence of $\{\gamma_i\}$ converges uniformly to γ . Furthermore, if α is minimal in X and has a common endpoint with γ , then each approximating curve α_i can be chosen to have the corresponding endpoint in common with γ_i .

Proof. Let $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ be minimal from a to b . Suppose $b_j = \gamma(t_j)$ with $b_j \rightarrow b$. Let $a_i, b_{ji} \in X_i$ be such that $a_i \rightarrow a$ and $b_{ji} \rightarrow b_j$ for all j , and let γ_j denote the segment of γ from a to b_j . Let $\gamma_{ji} : [0, 1] \rightarrow X_i$ be minimal from a_i to b_{ji} . For fixed j , the curves γ_{ji} are arclength parameterized and have lengths uniformly bounded above, so we can apply Ascoli's Theorem to obtain a convergent subsequence of $\{\gamma_{ji}\}$. Such a subsequence converges uniformly to a minimal curve from a to b_j , and since γ_j is the unique such curve, the subsequence must in fact converge uniformly to γ_j . We now choose the desired approximating sequence from $\{\gamma_{ji}\}$ using a standard "diagonal" argument.

The second part of the proposition is obvious from the above construction. □

We will show that the hypothesis to Proposition 4 is satisfied in any region which is a limit of regions having curvature bounded either above or below: in the first case, minimal curves do not "close," and in the second they do not "branch."

Proposition 5. Suppose $x \in X$ and there exist $x_i \in X_i$ such that $x_i \rightarrow x$ and for some fixed $0 < r \leq \pi/2\sqrt{k}$ and all i , $c_k(x_i) \geq r$. Then $B(x, r)$ contains no closed branches, and $c^k(x) \geq r$.

Proof. Suppose $\alpha, \beta : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ are minimal curves from a to b . Let $a_i, b_i \in X_i$ with $a_i \rightarrow a$ and $b_i \rightarrow b$, and α_i be

minimal from a_1 to b_1 . Passing to a subsequence we can assume α_1 is uniformly convergent to a minimal curve from a to b ; changing curves if necessary we can assume that $\alpha_1 \rightarrow \alpha$. Suppose there exists some $t \in [0, 1]$ such that $c = \alpha(t) \neq \beta(t) = c'$. Let $c_1 = \alpha_1(t)$ and $c'_1 \in X_1$ be such that $c'_1 \rightarrow c'$. Let η_1 be minimal from a_1 to c_1 and ν_1 be minimal from c'_1 to b_1 . Then since $L(\eta_1) + L(\nu_1) \rightarrow L(\alpha_1)$, if $(\bar{\eta}_1, \bar{\alpha}_1, \bar{\nu}_1)$ represents $(\eta_1, \alpha_1, \nu_1)$ in S_k , $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \alpha(\bar{\eta}_1, \bar{\alpha}_1) = \pi$. Since $L(\alpha) < \pi/\sqrt{k}$, Definition 1 implies that $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} d(c_1, c'_1) = 0$, a contradiction. Therefore α and β are identical, and cannot form a closed branch.

The second part of the proposition follows immediately from Proposition 4 and Definition 1. \square

Proposition 6. *Suppose $x \in X$ and there exist $x_1 \in X_1$ such that $x_1 \rightarrow x$ and for some fixed $r > 0$ and all i , $c_k(x_1) \geq r$. Then $B(x, r)$ contains no closed branches and $c_k(x) \geq r$.*

Proof. Let $\alpha, \beta : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ be minimal such that $\alpha(t) = \beta(t)$ for all $T \leq t \leq 1$ for some $T \in (0, 1)$. For some $s < T$, let $a = \alpha(s)$, $b = \beta(s)$, $c = \alpha(T)$, and $d = \alpha(1)$, and choose $a_1, b_1, c_1, d_1 \in X_1$ converging to the respective points in X . Let η_1, ν_1 be minimal from b_1 and a_1 , respectively, to c_1 , and ζ_1 be minimal from c_1 to d_1 . Now $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} [L(\eta_1) + L(\zeta_1)] = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} [L(\nu_1) + L(\zeta_1)] = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} d(a_1, d_1) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} d(b_1, d_1)$, and T1 implies that $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \alpha(\eta_1, \zeta_1) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \alpha(\nu_1, \zeta_1) = \pi$. By Lemma 2.3, [P2], $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \alpha(\eta_1, \nu_1) = 0$. T2 now implies that $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} d(a_1, b_1) = 0$; in

other words $\alpha(s) = \beta(s)$. Since this holds for all $s < T$, α and β coincide, and cannot form a closed branch.

The second part of the proposition again follows from Proposition 4 and Definition 1. □

Proposition 7. *Suppose that for some $r, \epsilon > 0$, $B(x_1, r)$ is geodesically complete and $\text{inj} \geq \epsilon$ on $B(x_1, r)$. Then if $B(x, r)$ has no closed branches, $B(x, r)$ is geodesically complete and every geodesic of length $\leq \epsilon$ in $B(x, r)$ is minimal.*

Proof. It suffices to prove that every minimal curve $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow B(x, r)$ with $L(\gamma) < \epsilon$ can be extended as a minimal curve beyond $\gamma(1)$. Let $\gamma_i : [0, 1] \rightarrow B(x_i, r)$ converge uniformly to γ (passing to a subsequence, if necessary). For all sufficiently large i we can extend γ_i past $\gamma_i(1)$ as a minimal curve γ'_i of length $\min\{\epsilon, (r - d(\gamma(1), x) / 2)\}$. Then (γ'_i) converges uniformly to a minimal curve which extends γ . □

The next theorem follows immediately from the above three propositions. Note that if $c^k(Y) \geq r$ then $\text{inj}(Y) \geq \min\{r, \pi/\sqrt{k}\}$.

Theorem 8. For fixed $\epsilon, r > 0$ and k , the following classes of locally compact, metrically complete, inner metric spaces are Gromov-Hausdorff closed:

- a) $\{Y : c^k(Y) \geq r, r \leq \pi/2\sqrt{k}\}$,
- b) $\{Y : c^k(Y) \geq r, r \leq \pi/\sqrt{k}, Y \text{ geodesically complete}\}$,
- c) $\{Y : c_k(Y) \geq r\}$,
- d) $\{Y : c_k(Y) \geq r, \text{inj}(Y) \geq \epsilon, Y \text{ geodesically complete}\}$.

The Convergence Theorem is an immediate consequence corollary of Theorem 8 and [N1], where it is proved that a geodesically complete inner metric space with curvature bounded above and below is a smooth manifold with $C^{1,\alpha}$ Riemannian metric.

A locally compact, metrically complete inner metric space Y is called *almost Riemannian* ([P2]) if Y is finite dimensional, geodesically complete, and has curvature locally bounded below. We let $A(n, k, \epsilon, D)$ denote the class of almost Riemannian spaces having dimension n , curvature $\geq k$, injectivity radius $\geq \epsilon$, and diameter $\leq D$, and endow $A(n, k, \epsilon, D)$ with the Gromov-Hausdorff metric.

Theorem 9. $A(n, k, \epsilon, D)$ is compact space of topological manifolds, which, except possibly for $n = 4$, are smooth with continuous Riemannian metric. $A(n, k, \epsilon, D)$ has finitely many homotopy types for any n , finitely many homeomorphism types for $n \neq 3$, and finitely many diffeomorphism types for $n \neq 3, 4$.

Proof. By Theorem G, [P2], any $Y \in A(n, k, \epsilon, D)$ is a topological manifold with continuous fiber metric on its topological tangent bundle TY . In particular, if $n \neq 4$ Y is smooth and the metric is a continuous Riemannian metric. $A(n, k, \epsilon, D)$ is precompact by Theorem H, [P2]. By Theorem 8, to prove compactness we need only show that if $X \in \bar{A}(n, k, \epsilon, D)$ then $\dim X = n$. Choose $X_i \in A(n, k, \epsilon, D)$ with $X_i \rightarrow X$ and $p_i \in X_i$ with $p_i \rightarrow p$. Let $\alpha_{ji} \in S_{p_i}$ be an orthonormal basis for T_{p_i} ; choosing a subsequence if necessary we can assume $\{\alpha_{ij}\}$ converges uniformly to some $\alpha_j \in S_p$ for all j . Then by T1 and the uniform convergence, $\alpha(\alpha_j, \alpha_k) \leq \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \alpha(\alpha_{ij}, \alpha_{ik}) = \pi/2$. Since X_i and X are geodesically complete, we can apply the same argument to complementary angles and obtain $\pi - \alpha(\alpha_j, \alpha_k) \leq \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \pi - \alpha(\alpha_{ij}, \alpha_{ik}) = \pi/2$. In other words $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ spans an n -dimensional subspace of T_p and $\dim X \geq n$. To show $\dim X \leq n$ we note that given independent $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m \in S_p$ we can approximate each α_j uniformly by $\alpha_{ji} \in X_i$, and repeat the above argument to show $\dim X_i \geq m$ for sufficiently large i .

The finiteness parts of the theorem follow from the fact that elements of $A(n, k, \epsilon, D)$ have injectivity radius $\geq \epsilon$ (and so are all $LGC(\rho)$ with $\rho(r) = r$ on $[0, \epsilon/2]$), and the general finiteness theorems of [PV] and [GPW]. Note that $\dim X \leq n$ also follows from [PV]. □

References

- [C] Cheeger, J. Finiteness theorems for Riemannian manifolds, *Amer. J. Math.* 92 (1970), 61-74.
- [GW] Greene, R.E. and Wu, H. Lipschitz convergence of Riemannian manifolds, *Pacific Math. J.* 131 (1988), 119-141.
- [G] Gromov, M. Groups of polynomial growth and expanding maps, *Publ. Math. I.H.E.S.* 53 (1981), 53-78.
- [GLP] Gromov, M., Lafontaine, J., and Pansu, P. *Structure Métrique pour les Variétés Riemanniennes*, Cedric/Fernant Nathan, Paris, 1981.
- [GP] Grove, K. and Petersen, P. Manifolds near the boundary of existence, preprint.
- [Ka] Kasue, A. A convergence theorem for Riemannian manifolds and some applications, *Nagoya Math. J.* 114 (1989) 21-51.
- [N1] Nikolaev, I. G. Smoothness of the metric in spaces with bilaterally bounded curvature in the sense of A. P. Aleksandrov, *Siberian Math J.* 24 (1983) 247-263.
- [N2] Nikolaev, I. G. Bounded curvature closure of the set of compact Riemannian manifolds, to appear.
- [Pe1] Peters, S. Convergence of Riemannian manifolds, *Compositio Math.* 62 (1987), 3-16.
- [Pe1] Peters, S. Convergence of Riemannian manifolds, *Compositio Math.* 62 (1987), 3-16.
- [Pe2] Peters, S. Cheeger's finiteness theorem for diffeomorphism classes of Riemannian manifolds, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 349 (1984) 77-82.
- [PV] Petersen V, P. A finiteness theorem for metric spaces, to appear, *J. Differ. Geometry*.
- [P1] Plaut, C. A metric characterization of manifolds with boundary, Max-Planck-Institut preprint no. 89-60.
- [P2] Plaut, C. Almost Riemannian Spaces, preprint--see also Max-Planck-Institut preprints 90-20, 90-47, 90-50.

[R] Rinow, W. *Die Innere Geometrie der Metrischen Raume*,
Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1961.

Max Planck Institute fur Mathematik
Bonn

Ohio State University
Columbus