

# **Stratified local moduli of Calabi-Yau 3-folds**

**Yoshinori Namikawa**

Sophia University  
Department of Mathematics  
Tokyo 102

Japan

Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik  
Gottfried-Claren-Str. 26  
53225 Bonn

Germany



# Stratified local moduli of Calabi-Yau 3-folds

Yoshinori Namikawa

## Introduction

By a Calabi-Yau 3-fold  $X$  we mean, in this paper, a projective 3-fold with only terminal singularities such that  $K_X \sim 0$ . A Calabi-Yau 3-fold appears as a minimal model (cf. [Mo, Ka]) of a smooth projective 3-fold with Kodaira dimension 0. Let  $\text{Def}(X)$  be the Kuranishi space of  $X$  (cf. [Do, Gr]). Then by [Na 1, Theorem A] it is a smooth analytic space of  $\dim = \text{Ext}^1(\Omega_X^1, \mathcal{O}_X)$ . Moreover, we have proved in [Na 2, Theorem(5.2)] that if  $X$  is a  $\mathbf{Q}$ -factorial Calabi-Yau 3-fold, then a general point of  $\text{Def}(X)$  parametrizes a smooth Calabi-Yau 3-fold, in other words,  $X$  is smoothable by a flat deformation. In this paper we shall give a necessary and sufficient condition for a (not necessarily  $\mathbf{Q}$ -factorial) Calabi-Yau 3-fold  $X$  to be smoothed and prove a structure theorem of  $\text{Def}(X)$ .

Let  $V$  be the germ of a Gorenstein terminal singularity of  $\dim 3$ . Then  $V$  is an isolated cDV point (i.e. its general hyperplane section is a rational double point) by Reid [Re]. Let  $\text{Def}(V)$  be the Kuranishi space of  $V$  and let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a semi-universal family over  $\text{Def}(V)$ . Let  $V_t$  denote its fiber over  $t \in \text{Def}(V)$ . We here remark that  $V_t$  is not a germ of the singularity for  $t \neq 0$ ; it has non-zero 3-rd Betti number in general. Define  $\sigma(V_t)$  to be the rank of  $\text{Weil}(V_t)/\text{Pic}(V_t)$ . Set  $Y_i = \{t \in \text{Def}(V); \sigma(V_t) = i\}$ . A small partial resolution  $\pi : \hat{V} \rightarrow V$  is, by definition, a proper birational (bimeromorphic) morphism from a normal variety  $\hat{V}$  to  $V$  such that  $\pi$  is an isomorphism over smooth points of  $V$  and that  $\pi^{-1}(0)$  is a connected curve. Since  $V$  is a rational singularity, the exceptional curve forms a tree of  $\mathbf{P}^1$ 's. Note that  $V$  has only finitely many small partial resolutions  $\hat{V}$  and each  $\hat{V}$  has only isolated cDV points. Then  $\text{Def}(V)$  has the following description:

### Proposition(1.6)

(1) Let  $\hat{V}$  be a small partial resolution of  $V$  and  $\text{Def}(\hat{V})$  the Kuranishi space of  $\hat{V}$ . Then there is a natural closed immersion of  $\text{Def}(\hat{V})$  into  $\text{Def}(V)$  (Wahl).

(2)  $\text{Def}(V) = \coprod Y_i$ ,  $Y_i = \bar{Y}_i - \bar{Y}_{i+1}$  and  $\bar{Y}_i = \cup \text{Def}(\hat{V})$ , where  $\hat{V}$  runs through all small partial resolution such that  $\rho(\hat{V}) \geq i$ .

This proposition has a natural globalization to a Calabi-Yau 3-fold  $X$  with only terminal singularities. By definition, a small partial resolution  $\pi : \hat{X} \rightarrow X$  is a proper birational morphism from a normal variety  $\hat{X}$  to  $X$  such that  $\pi$  is an isomorphism over smooth points of  $X$  and that it is a small partial resolution of every singular point of  $X$ . When  $\pi$  is a projective morphism,  $\hat{X}$  is also a Calabi-Yau 3-fold. Let  $\text{Def}(X)$  be the Kuranishi space of  $X$  and let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a semi-universal family over  $\text{Def}(X)$ . We shall define  $\sigma(X_i)$  and  $Y_i$  in the same way as above. Then one has:

**Proposition(2.3)**

- (1) Let  $\hat{X}$  be a small projective partial resolution of  $X$  and  $\text{Def}(\hat{X})$  the Kuranishi space of  $\hat{X}$ . Then there is a natural closed immersion of  $\text{Def}(\hat{X})$  into  $\text{Def}(X)$ .
- (2)  $\text{Def}(X) = \coprod Y_i$ ,  $Y_i = \bar{Y}_i - \bar{Y}_{i+1}$  and  $\bar{Y}_i = \cup \text{Def}(\hat{X})$ , where  $\hat{X}$  runs through all small projective partial resolution such that  $\rho(\hat{X}) - \rho(X) \geq i$ .
- (3) Each stratum  $Y_i$  is a (Zariski) locally closed smooth subset of  $\text{Def}(X)$ .

Let  $\hat{X}$  be a small projective partial resolution of  $X$ . Then  $\hat{X}$  is called *maximal* if for any small projective partial resolution  $\tilde{X}$  of  $\hat{X}$ ,  $\text{Def}(\tilde{X})$  is a proper closed subvariety of  $\text{Def}(\hat{X})$  via the natural inclusion (i.e.  $\text{Def}(\tilde{X}) \rightarrow \text{Def}(\hat{X})$  is not a surjection). We have the following criterion of the maximality:

**Proposition** (cf. Theorem(2.5)) Let  $\{p_1, \dots, p_n\} \subset \text{Sing}(\hat{X})$  be the ordinary double points on  $\hat{X}$  and let  $f : Z \rightarrow \hat{X}$  be a small (not necessarily projective) partial resolution of  $\hat{X}$  such that  $C_i := f^{-1}(p_i) \cong \mathbf{P}^1$  and that  $f$  is an isomorphism over  $\hat{X} - \{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) There is a relation in  $H_2(Z, \mathbf{C})$ :  $\sum \alpha_i [C_i] = 0$  with  $\alpha_i \neq 0$  for all  $i$ .
- (2)  $\hat{X}$  is maximal.

Our main theorem now can be stated as follows.

**Theorem** (cf. Theorems(2.5) and (2.7)) Let  $\hat{X}$  be a small projective partial resolution (possibly  $X$  itself) of  $X$ . Then we have:

- (1)  $\hat{X}$  is smoothable by a flat deformation if and only if  $\hat{X}$  is maximal.
- (2) If  $\hat{X}$  is not maximal, then there is a (not necessarily unique) small projective partial resolution  $\tilde{X}$  of  $\hat{X}$  such that  $\tilde{X}$  is maximal and  $\text{Def}(\tilde{X}) \cong \text{Def}(\hat{X})$ .
- (3) In the situation of (2), let  $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}$  (resp.  $\hat{\mathcal{X}}$ ) denote the universal family over  $\text{Def}(\tilde{X})$  (resp.  $\text{Def}(\hat{X})$ ). Then  $\hat{X}_t$  has only ordinary double points for a general point  $t \in \text{Def}(\hat{X})$  and  $\tilde{X}_t$  is a small resolution of it.

Let  $X$  be a  $\mathbf{Q}$ -factorial Calabi-Yau 3-fold. Put  $\hat{X} = X$ . Then it is easily checked that

$\hat{X}$  is maximal by the criterion above. Now we can apply the Theorem to the situation and obtain:

**Corollary**(Na 2, Theorem(5.2)) Any  $\mathbf{Q}$ -factorial Calabi-Yau 3-fold is smoothable by a flat deformation.

*Acknowledgement:* This work has been done during the author's staying at Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik. The author expresses his thanks to Professor Hirzebruch for inviting him to the institute.

### §1. Isolated cDV singularity

Let  $V$  be the germ of an isolated cDV singularity. By definition, there is a holomorphic map  $f$  of  $V$  to a 1-dimensional disc  $\Delta$  with a sufficiently small radius such that  $f^{-1}(0) = S$  is a rational double point and other fibers are smooth. Let  $\pi : \tilde{S} \rightarrow S$  be the minimal resolution of  $S$ . We shall denote by  $\mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \text{Def}(V)$  (resp.  $\mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \text{Def}(S)$ ) the semi-universal family for the deformations of  $V$  (resp.  $S$ ). One can regard  $\mathcal{Y}$  as a flat family of rational double points over  $\text{Def}(V) \times \Delta$ . Then, by the versality of  $\text{Def}(S)$ , there is a holomorphic map  $\varphi : \text{Def}(V) \times \Delta \rightarrow \text{Def}(S)$  and the  $\mathcal{Y}$  is obtained as the pull-back of  $\mathcal{Z}$  by  $\varphi$ .

Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a flat deformation of  $V$  over a 1-dimensional disc  $\Delta'$ . Then there is a holomorphic map  $\phi : \Delta' \rightarrow \text{Def}(V)$  and  $\mathcal{V}$  is the pull-back of  $\mathcal{Y}$  by  $\phi$ . Since  $\mathcal{Y}$  is a flat family of rational double points over  $\text{Def}(V) \times \Delta$ ,  $\mathcal{V}$  constitutes a flat family of rational double points over  $\Delta' \times \Delta$ . Let  $B$  be the discriminant divisor on  $\text{Def}(S)$  and  $D$  its inverse image in  $\Delta' \times \Delta$ . Let  $p_1 : \Delta' \times \Delta \rightarrow \Delta'$  be the first projection. Since  $V$  is an isolated singularity,  $\{D_t\}$  is a family of Cartier divisors with  $t \in \Delta'$ .

**Definition(1.1)** A pair  $(\mathcal{V}, \phi)$  is called *admissible* if  $\#(D_t)$  is constant for  $t \in \Delta' - 0$ .

We have the following lemma.

**Lemma(1.2)** For  $t \in \text{Def}(V)$ , there is a flat deformation  $g : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \Delta'$  of  $V$  over a 1-dimensional disc and a holomorphic map  $\phi$  of the disc to  $\text{Def}(V)$  such that (1)  $g^{-1}(0) = V$ ,  $g^{-1}(s) = Y_t$  for some point  $s \in \Delta'$  and (2)  $(\mathcal{V}, \phi)$  is admissible.

*Proof.* Set  $E = \varphi^{-1}(B)$ . Take a suitable system of local coordinates  $(s_1, \dots, s_n)$  of  $\text{Def}(V)$  ( $\text{Def}(V)$  is smooth because  $V$  is an isolated cDV point.). Let  $u$  be the coordinate of  $\Delta$ . By the Weierstrass Preparation Theorem, we may assume that  $E$  is defined as the zero locus of the function  $h(u, s) = u^n + h_1(s)u^{n-1} + \dots + h_n(s)$ , where  $h_i(0) = 0$  for all  $i$ . It can be checked that the set  $W_p := \{u \in \text{Def}(V); h(u, s) \text{ has } p \text{ different roots as a polynomial of } s\}$  forms a locally (Zariski) closed subset of  $\text{Def}(V)$  for every  $p$  and that

$\bar{W}_p \ni 0$ . If we take the  $\text{Def}(V)$  sufficiently small, we can assume that  $\bar{W}_p$  is connected. This implies that one can connect any point  $t \in W_p$  with the origin 0 by an analytic curve  $\rho : \Delta' \rightarrow \text{Def}(V)$  in such a way that  $\rho(\Delta') - 0 \subset W_p$ . Q.E.D.

Let  $(\mathcal{V}, \phi)$  be an admissible pair. Then there is a holomorphic map  $h : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \Delta' \times \Delta$ , and  $\mathcal{V}$  can be regarded as a family of rational double points (resp. a family of isolated cDVpoints) by  $h$  (resp.  $g := p_1 \circ h$ ).

Write  $V_t = g^{-1}(t)$  for a point  $t \in \Delta'$ . Then one has a holomorphic map  $h_t : V_t \rightarrow \Delta$ . The map  $h_t$  has exactly  $\#(D_t)$  singular fibers  $V_{t,u_i}$ , ( $i = 1, \dots, \#(D_t)$ ). The number  $\#(D_t)$  remains constant when  $t$  varies in  $\Delta' - 0$ , and  $\#(D_0) = 1$ . We then have the following lemma.

**Lemma(1.3)** In the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^2(V_t - \text{Sing}(V_t); \mathbf{Z}) & \xrightarrow{j} & H^2(V_t - \bigcup V_{t,u_i}; \mathbf{Z}) \\ \delta_1 \uparrow & & \delta_2 \uparrow \\ H^1(V_t - \text{Sing}(V_t); \mathcal{O}_{V_t}^*) & \longrightarrow & H^1(V_t - \bigcup V_{t,u_i}; \mathcal{O}_{V_t}^*) \end{array}$$

all homomorphisms are isomorphisms.

*Proof.* Take a suitable Galois cover  $\Delta' \rightarrow \Delta$  in such a way that it is ramified over  $p_i$ 's and that the base change  $V'_t$  of  $V_t$  by the cover admits a simultaneous resolution  $\pi : W \rightarrow V'_t$ . Let  $E$  be the exceptional curve of  $\pi$ . Since  $H^3(E; \mathbf{Z}) = 0$ ,  $H^3_E(W; \mathbf{Z}) = 0$  by duality. Hence the restriction map:  $H^2(W; \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(W - E; \mathbf{Z})$  is a surjection. On the other hand, the composition  $H^2(W; \mathbf{Z}) \cong H^1(W; \mathcal{O}^*) \cong H^1(V'_t - \text{Sing}(V'_t); \mathcal{O}^*) \rightarrow H^2(V'_t - \text{Sing}(V'_t); \mathbf{Z}) \cong H^2(W - E; \mathbf{Z})$  is an injection since  $H^2_{\text{Sing}(V'_t)}(V'_t; \mathcal{O}) = 0$  by the depth argument. These implies that  $H^2(W; \mathbf{Z}) \cong H^2(W - E; \mathbf{Z})$ . As  $H^2(W; \mathbf{Z}) \cong H^2(V'_t - \bigcup V'_{t,u_i}; \mathbf{Z})$ , and  $H^2(W - E; \mathbf{Z}) \cong H^2(V'_t - \text{Sing}(V'_t); \mathbf{Z})$ , we have an isomorphism  $H^2(V'_t - \bigcup V'_{t,u_i}; \mathbf{Z}) \cong H^2(V'_t - \text{Sing}(V'_t); \mathbf{Z})$ . Take its invariant part by the Galois group. One then sees that  $j$  is an isomorphism. One also sees that the map  $H^1(V'_t - \text{Sing}(V'_t); \mathcal{O}^*) \rightarrow H^2(V'_t - \text{Sing}(V'_t); \mathbf{Z})$  is an isomorphism by the above observation. Hence we have that  $\delta_1$  is an isomorphism by taking the invariant part by the Galois group. The map  $\delta_2$  is an isomorphism because  $V_t - \bigcup V_{t,u_i}$  is a Stein space and hence  $H^i(V_t - \bigcup V_{t,u_i}; \mathcal{O}) = 0$  for  $i > 0$ . Q.E.D.

**Lemma(1.4)** Suppose that  $\sigma(V_t) = \dim(Weil(V_t)/Pic(V_t)) > 0$  for some  $t \in \Delta' - 0$ . Then there is a projective small partial resolution  $\nu : \hat{\mathcal{V}} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$  such that

- (1)  $\nu_s$  is a projective small partial resolution for every  $s \in \Delta'$ ;

$$(2) \sigma(\hat{V}_t) = 0.$$

*Proof.* Since the number  $r := \#(D_s)$  is constant for  $s \in \Delta' - 0$ , we have  $\pi_1(\Delta' \times \Delta - D) = \bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq r} \mathbf{Z}$ , and we can take the loops  $\gamma_i$  in  $\{t\} \times \Delta$  ( $1 \leq i \leq r$ ) which go around  $u_i$  in the positive direction as its basis. Hence one sees that the restriction map  $H^0(\Delta' \times \Delta - D; R^2 h_* \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow H^0(\{t\} \times \Delta - \{u_1, \dots, u_r\}; R^2 h_{t*} \mathbf{Z})$  is an isomorphism. Since  $\sigma(V_t) > 0$ , there is a  $\mathbf{Q}$ -factorialization  $\nu_t : \hat{V}_t \rightarrow V_t$ . Take a  $\nu_t$ -ample line bundle  $L$  on  $\hat{V}_t$ . Since  $H^1(\hat{V}_t; \mathcal{O}^*) \cong H^1(V_t - \text{Sing}(V_t); \mathcal{O}^*)$ , we have a non-zero element  $\tau \in H^0(\{t\} \times \Delta - \{u_1, \dots, u_r\}; R^2 h_{t*} \mathbf{Z})$  corresponding to  $L$  by Lemma(1.3). The  $\tau$  gives an element of  $H^0(\Delta' \times \Delta - D; R^2 h_* \mathbf{Z})$ .

We now take a finite Galois cover  $\alpha : T \rightarrow \Delta' \times \Delta$  with the Galois group  $G$  in such a way that the base change  $\mathcal{V}'$  of  $\mathcal{V}$  by  $\alpha$  admits a simultaneous resolution  $\mu : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}'$ . Since we have  $H^0(T - \alpha^{-1}(D); R^2 h'_* \mathbf{Z}) \cong H^0(T; R^2(\mu \circ h')_* \mathbf{Z}) \cong H^1(\mathcal{W}; \mathcal{O}^*)$ , we also have an isomorphism  $H^0(T - \alpha^{-1}(D); R^2 h'_* \mathbf{Z})^G \cong H^1(\mathcal{W}; \mathcal{O}^*)^G$ .

As there is a homomorphism from  $H^0(\Delta' \times \Delta - D; R^2 h_* \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow H^0(T - \alpha^{-1}(D); R^2 h'_* \mathbf{Z})^G$ , one has a line bundle  $\mathcal{L} \in H^1(\mathcal{W}; \mathcal{O}^*)^G$  corresponding to  $\tau$ . We here recall that there are many choices of the simultaneous resolution  $\nu : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}'$ . Two simultaneous resolutions are connected by a sequence of *flops*. Now we can specify one of them in such a way that  $\mathcal{L}$  is  $\nu$ -nef by [Re, §§7, 8]. Then it is easily checked that the graded  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{V}'}$ -algebra  $\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \nu_* \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}$  is a finitely generated  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{V}'}$ -algebra. The line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  is  $G$ -invariant in the following sense:

The  $G$  has a meromorphic action on  $\mathcal{W}$ . Each element  $g \in G$  induces a bimeromorphic automorphism  $\psi_g$  of  $\mathcal{W}$ . Note that  $\psi_g$  is an isomorphism in codimension 1 and hence there is an isomorphism  $\psi_g^* : \text{Pic}(\mathcal{W}) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(\mathcal{W})$ . Then  $\mathcal{L}$  is invariant under  $\psi_g^*$  for every  $g \in G$ .

Hence  $\nu_* \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}$  is a  $G$ -sheaf for every  $n$ . We here set  $\hat{\mathcal{V}} = \text{Proj}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{V}'}} \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \nu_* \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}$ . Q.E.D.

**Remark(1.5)** (1) In the proof of (1.4), one has a birational morphism  $\varphi : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{W}}$  over  $\mathcal{V}'$  by using a  $\nu$ -free line bundle  $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes m}$  ( $m \gg 0$ ). Then the  $\hat{\mathcal{V}}$  is obtained as the quotient of  $\hat{\mathcal{W}}$  by  $G$ . Let  $p = \alpha^{-1}((0, 0)) \in T$ . Then the fiber  $\hat{\mathcal{W}}_p$  of the morphism  $\hat{\mathcal{W}} \rightarrow T$  is a partial resolution  $S'$  of the rational double point  $S$  (i.e. the minimal resolution  $\tilde{S}$  of  $S$  factors through  $S'$ ). By the assumption, the exceptional locus of the partial resolution has exactly  $r$  irreducible components. Since  $G$  acts on  $\hat{\mathcal{W}}_p$  trivially, we see that the exceptional locus of  $\nu_0 : \hat{\mathcal{V}}_0 \rightarrow V_0$  has  $r$  irreducible components.

(2) Since  $R^1 \nu_{s*} \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n} = 0$  for all  $s \in \Delta'$ , one has the base change property:  $\nu_s^G \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{V}'}} \mathcal{O}_{V_s} \cong \nu_s^G \mathcal{L}_s^{\otimes n}$ . In particular, we have  $\hat{\mathcal{V}}_s = \text{Proj} \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \nu_s^G \mathcal{L}_s^{\otimes n}$  for all  $s \in \Delta'$ .

(3) One can state the result of (1.4) in more generality as follows. With the same

assumption of (1.4), suppose that a projective small partial resolution  $\nu_i : \hat{V}_i \rightarrow V_i$  is given. Then we can extend the  $\nu_i$  to a projective small partial resolution  $\nu : \hat{\mathcal{V}} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$  with the property (1) in (1.4). In fact, we only have to replace the  $\mathbf{Q}$ -factorialization with this  $\nu_i$  in the proof of (1.4).

Let  $V$  be the germ of an isolated cDV point and  $Def(V)$  the Kuranishi space of  $V$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{Y}$  the semi-universal family over  $Def(V)$ . Define  $\sigma(Y_i) = \text{rank}(Weil(Y_i)/Pic(Y_i))$  and set  $Y_i = \{t \in Def(V); \sigma(Y_t) = i\}$ . Then we have the following description of  $Def(V)$ .

**Proposition(1.6)**

(1) Let  $\hat{V}$  be a small partial resolution of  $V$  and  $Def(\hat{V})$  the Kuranishi space of  $\hat{V}$ . Then there is a natural closed immersion of  $Def(\hat{V})$  into  $Def(V)$ .

(2)  $Def(V)$  has a stratification into the disjoint sums of (Zariski) locally closed subsets:  $Def(V) = \coprod Y_i, Y_i = \bar{Y}_i - \bar{Y}_{i+1}$  and  $\bar{Y}_i = \cup Def(\hat{V})$ , where  $\hat{V}$  runs through all small partial resolutions such that  $\rho(\hat{V}) \geq i$ .

*Proof* (1): Since  $V$  has only rational singularity, there is a natural map  $Def(\hat{V}) \rightarrow Def(V)$  by Wahl[Wa]. So we only have to check that the homomorphism  $Ext^1(\Omega^1_{\hat{V}}, \mathcal{O}_{\hat{V}}) \rightarrow Ext^1(\Omega^1_V, \mathcal{O}_V)$  is an injection. Set  $\hat{U} := \hat{V} - Sing(\hat{V})$  and  $U := V - Sing(V)$ . By Schlessinger[Sch], we have  $Ext^1(\Omega^1_{\hat{V}}, \mathcal{O}_{\hat{V}}) \cong H^1(\hat{U}; \Theta_{\hat{U}})$  and  $Ext^1(\Omega^1_V, \mathcal{O}_V) \cong H^1(U; \Theta_U)$ . Denote by  $C$  the exceptional curve of the small partial resolution. Then we have an exact sequence of local cohomology:

$$H^1_{C \cap \hat{U}}(\hat{U}; \Theta_{\hat{U}}) \rightarrow H^1(\hat{U}; \Theta_{\hat{U}}) \rightarrow H^1(U; \Theta_U)$$

By the depth argument, we have  $H^1_{C \cap \hat{U}}(\hat{U}; \Theta_{\hat{U}}) = 0$ . Hence we have done.

(2): Let  $t \in Y_i$ . Then by Lemma(1.2) there is an admissible pair  $(\mathcal{V}, \phi)$  such that  $g^{-1}(0) = V$  and  $g^{-1}(s) = Y_t$ . We have a projective partial resolution  $\nu : \hat{\mathcal{V}} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$  by Lemma(1.4) and Remark(1.5) such that  $\rho(\hat{V}_0) = i$ . This implies that  $t \in Def(\hat{V}_0)$ . Moreover, we have  $t \in Def(\hat{V}_0) - \cup_{\rho(\hat{V}) \geq i+1} Def(\hat{V})$ . In fact, suppose that  $t \in Def(\hat{V})$  for some  $\hat{V}$  with  $\rho(\hat{V}) > i$ . We can find an analytic curve  $\Gamma \subset Def(\hat{V})$  passing through  $t$  and the origin  $0$  in such a way that there is a flat deformation  $\hat{\mathcal{V}} \rightarrow \Gamma$  of  $\hat{V}$  and a birational morphism  $\nu$  from  $\hat{\mathcal{V}}$  to  $\mathcal{Y} \times_{Def(V)} \Gamma$ . Since  $\rho(\hat{V}) > i$ , the exceptional locus of  $\nu_0$  has more than  $i$  irreducible components  $C_1, \dots, C_n$  ( $n = \rho(\hat{V})$ ). Each curve  $C_j$  moves sideways in the family  $\hat{\mathcal{V}} \rightarrow \Gamma$  to a curve  $C_j(t)$  in  $\hat{V}_t$ . Since  $C_j$ 's are numerically independent in  $\hat{V}_0$ ,  $C_j(t)$ 's are also numerically independent in  $\hat{V}_t$ . This, in particular, implies that  $\sigma(Y_t) > i$ , which is a contradiction. Hence we have proved that  $Y_i \subset \cup_{\rho(\hat{V}) \geq i} Def(\hat{V}) - \cup_{\rho(\hat{V}) \geq i+1} Def(\hat{V})$ . We can also prove the converse implication by the same argument. Q.E.D.



**Example(1.7)** Let  $V$  be a good representative of the germ of  $\{(x, y, z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^4; x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + w^3 = 0\}$  at the origin. Consider the 1-parameter deformation  $\mathcal{V}$  of  $V$  given by the equation  $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + w^3 + w^2t = 0$ . For  $t \neq 0$ ,  $V_t$  has a singularity at  $p = (0, 0, 0, 0, t)$  and  $(V_t, p)$  is not  $\mathbf{Q}$ -factorial. However,  $V_t$  itself is  $\mathbf{Q}$ -factorial.

Let  $(\mathcal{V}, \phi)$  be an admissible pair such that  $V_t$  has only ordinary double points for  $t \neq 0$ . Assume that there is a small partial resolution  $\nu : \hat{\mathcal{V}} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$  which satisfies

- (1)  $\nu_0$  is a small partial resolution of  $V$  with  $n$  irreducible curves as the exceptional locus (or equivalently  $\rho(\hat{V}_0) = n$ );
- (2)  $\nu_t$  is a small resolution of ordinary double points of  $V_t$  for  $t \neq 0$ .

Note that the exceptional locus of the map  $\nu_t$  is a disjoint union of  $(-1, -1)$ -curves for  $t \neq 0$ . As  $(\mathcal{V}, \phi)$  is an admissible pair, the number of such  $(-1, -1)$ -curves is independent of  $t \neq 0$ . We denote this number by  $m$ . In this situation, we have the following lemma.

**Lemma(1.8)** One has the inequality  $m \geq n$ , and the equality holds if and only if  $V$  is the germ of an ordinary double point and  $\mathcal{V}$  is a trivial deformation of  $V$ .

*Proof.* As we have seen above, there is a holomorphic map  $h : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \Delta' \times \Delta$  and  $\mathcal{V}$  can be regarded as a family of rational double points. Set  $S = h^{-1}((0, 0))$  and  $S' = (h \circ \nu)^{-1}((0, 0))$ . Then the minimal resolution  $\pi : \tilde{S} \rightarrow S$  factors through  $S'$  (cf. [Re]). By the versality of  $Def(S)$ , one has a holomorphic map of  $\Delta' \times \Delta$  to  $Def(S)$ . In our case, this map factors through  $Def(S')$ . By the assumption, the partial resolution  $S' \rightarrow S$  has  $n$  irreducible curves as the exceptional divisor. Since  $Ext^2(\Omega_{S'}^1, \mathcal{O}'_S) = 0$ ,  $Def(S')$  is smooth.

Here we recall a result of Brieskorn (cf.[Br, Pi]). Let  $E_j$  ( $1 \leq j \leq l$ ) be the irreducible components of the exceptional locus of  $\tilde{S} \rightarrow S$ . Put  $\Sigma = \{D = \sum a_j E_j; D^2 = -2, a_j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ . The  $\Sigma$  forms a root system. Then  $Def(\tilde{S}) \rightarrow Def(S)$  is a finite Galois cover with Galois group  $G = W(\Sigma)$ , the Weyl group of  $\Sigma$ . Moreover, there is a one to one correspondence between the effective roots of  $\Sigma$  and the ramification divisors of  $Def(\tilde{S})$ . Since  $W(\Sigma)$  acts transitively on  $\Sigma$ , one sees that  $G$  acts on the set of ramification divisors of  $Def(\tilde{S})$  transitively. Thus, the discriminant locus  $B$  of  $Def(S)$  is an irreducible divisor.

We shall prove that there are at least  $n$  irreducible component in the ramification locus  $R \subset Def(S')$  of the finite cover  $Def(S') \rightarrow Def(S)$ . First we factorize the partial resolution into  $n$  number of birational morphisms:  $S' \rightarrow S_{n-1} \rightarrow \dots, S_1 \rightarrow S$  in such a way that  $\rho(S_i/S_{i-1}) = 1$  for all  $i$ . Then we have a sequence of finite covers:  $Def(S') \rightarrow Def(S_{n-1}), \dots, Def(S_1) \rightarrow Def(S)$ . Renumbering the indices of  $E_j$ 's, we may assume that  $E_i$  corresponds to the exceptional divisor of  $S_i \rightarrow S_{i-1}$ . As we

have remarked above, there is a ramification divisor  $D_i \subset \text{Def}(\tilde{S})$  corresponding to  $E_i$ . Denote by  $B_i \subset \text{Def}(S_i)$  its image by the map  $\text{Def}(\tilde{S}) \rightarrow \text{Def}(S_i)$ , and denote by  $R_i \subset \text{Def}(S')$  its image by the map  $\text{Def}(\tilde{S}) \rightarrow \text{Def}(S')$ . Then it can be checked that  $B_i$  is an irreducible component of the ramification locus of  $\text{Def}(S_i) \rightarrow \text{Def}(S_{i-1})$ . Since the ramification indices of ramification divisors of  $\text{Def}(\tilde{S})$  all equal 1, this implies that  $R_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) are mutually *different* irreducible components of  $R$ .

Next assume that  $S$  is not of type  $A_1$ . Consider the map  $f_1 : \text{Def}(S_1) \rightarrow \text{Def}(S)$ . Decompose  $f_1^{-1}(B)$  into the two parts: the ramification locus  $G$  of  $f_1$  and the non-ramification locus  $H$ . Both of them are Cartier divisors on  $\text{Def}(S_1)$ . Suppose that  $H$  is empty. Then all  $D_i$ 's are mapped onto some irreducible components of  $G$  by the map  $\text{Def}(\tilde{S}) \rightarrow \text{Def}(S_1)$ . But this is absurd because if so, then the ramification indices of  $D_i$  ( $i \geq 2$ ) are greater than one. Hence  $H$  should be non-empty and  $R_i$ 's ( $i \geq 2$ ) are mapped onto some irreducible components of  $H$  by the map  $\text{Def}(S') \rightarrow \text{Def}(S_1)$ . Here if  $G$  has more than one irreducible component, then there are at least  $n + 1$  irreducible components in the ramification locus  $R \subset \text{Def}(S')$  of the finite cover  $\text{Def}(S') \rightarrow \text{Def}(S)$ . Even if  $G$  is irreducible, we can show that there are at least  $n + 1$  irreducible components in  $R$  in the following way. Let  $D^* \subset \text{Def}(\tilde{S})$  be the ramification divisor corresponding to the fundamental cycle of the minimal resolution  $\tilde{S}$  of  $S$ . It can be checked that  $D^*$  is mapped onto  $G$  by the map  $\text{Def}(\tilde{S}) \rightarrow \text{Def}(S_1)$ . Let  $R^* \subset \text{Def}(S')$  be the image of  $D^*$  by the map  $\text{Def}(\tilde{S}) \rightarrow \text{Def}(S')$ . We shall prove that  $R_1$  and  $R^*$  are different divisors on  $\text{Def}(S')$ . Let  $S' \rightarrow S''$  be the birational morphism contracting the curve  $E_1$  to a point.  $R_1$  is clearly a ramification divisor of the map  $\text{Def}(S') \rightarrow \text{Def}(S'')$ , but  $R^*$  is not a ramification divisor by definition. Thus,  $R_1$  and  $R^*$  are different divisors on  $\text{Def}(S')$ . Now the  $n + 1$  divisors  $R_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) and  $R^*$  are mutually different irreducible components of  $R$ .

Assume finally that  $S$  is of type  $A_1$ . Then  $V$  is isomorphic to the germ of  $\{(x, y, z, w) \in \mathbf{C}^4; x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + w^k = 0\}$  at the origin for some  $k > 1$ . In this case, we can directly check that  $m = n$  if and only if  $k = 2$  (cf.[Fr]). Q.E.D.

## §2. Calabi-Yau 3-folds

Let  $X$  be a Calabi-Yau 3-fold with terminal singularities. As  $K_X \sim 0$ ,  $X$  has only Gorenstein terminal singularities. Thus,  $X$  has only isolated cDV singularities by [Re]. For each singular point  $p_i \in X$ , we take a sufficiently small open neighborhood  $V_i$  of  $p_i$ . There is a holomorphic map  $f_i$  of  $V_i$  to a 1-dimensional disc  $\Delta$  with a small radius such that  $f_i^{-1}(0) = S_i$  is a rational double point and other fibers are smooth. Let  $\mathcal{Y}_i \rightarrow \text{Def}(V_i)$  be the semi-universal family for the deformations of  $V_i$ . One can regard

$\mathcal{Y}_i$  as a flat family of rational double points over  $Def(V_i) \times \Delta$ . By the versality of  $Def(S_i)$  there is a holomorphic map  $\varphi_i : Def(V) \times \Delta \rightarrow Def(S_i)$ .

Let  $\mathcal{X}_{\Delta'}$  be a flat deformation of  $X$  over a 1-dimensional disc  $\Delta'$ . Then there is a holomorphic map  $\phi$  of  $\Delta'$  to the Kuranishi space  $Def(X)$  corresponding to this flat deformation. By composing this map with the natural map  $Def(X) \rightarrow Def(V_i)$ , we obtain a holomorphic map  $\phi_i : \Delta' \rightarrow Def(V_i)$  for each singularity  $p_i \in X$ . We also have a holomorphic map from  $\Delta' \times \Delta$  to  $Def(S_i)$  by composing  $\phi_i \times id$  with  $\varphi_i$ . By pulling back the semi-universal family  $\mathcal{Z}_i$  over  $Def(S_i)$  by the map, obtained is a flat family  $\mathcal{V}_i$  of rational double points over  $\Delta' \times \Delta$ . The  $\mathcal{V}_i$  can be also viewed as a flat deformation of  $V_i$  over  $\Delta'$ . Note that  $\mathcal{V}_i$  is an open neighborhood of  $p_i \in \mathcal{X}_{\Delta'}$ .

**Definition(2.1)** A pair  $(\mathcal{X}_{\Delta'}, \phi)$  is called *admissible* if  $(\mathcal{V}_i, \phi_i)$  are all admissible in the sense of (1.1).

Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be the universal family over the Kuranishi space  $Def(X)$  of  $X$ . By the same argument as (1.2) we have

**Lemma(2.2)** For  $t \in Def(X)$  there is a flat deformation  $g : \mathcal{X}_{\Delta'} \rightarrow \Delta'$  of  $X$  over a 1-dimensional disc and a holomorphic map  $\phi$  of the disc to  $Def(X)$  such that (1)  $g^{-1}(0) = X$ ,  $g^{-1}(s) = X_t$  for some point  $s \in \Delta'$  and (2)  $(\mathcal{X}_{\Delta'}, \phi)$  is admissible.

Define  $\sigma(X_t)$  to be the rank of  $Weil(X_t)/Pic(X_t)$  and set  $Y_i = \{t \in Def(X); \sigma(X_t) = i\}$ . Then one has the following globalization of (1.6).

**Proposition(2.3)** (1) Let  $\hat{X}$  be a small projective partial resolution of  $X$  and  $Def(\hat{X})$  the Kuranishi space of  $\hat{X}$ . Then there is a natural closed immersion of  $Def(\hat{X})$  into  $Def(X)$ .

(2)  $Def(X) = \coprod Y_i$ ,  $Y_i = \bar{Y}_i - \bar{Y}_{i-1}$  and  $\bar{Y}_i = \cup Def(\hat{X})$ , where  $\hat{X}$  runs through all small projective resolutions such that  $\rho(\hat{X}) - \rho(X) \geq i$ .

(3) Each stratum  $Y_i$  is a (Zariski) locally closed smooth subset of  $Def(X)$ .

*Proof* (1): The proof is quite similar to that of (1.6)(1).

(2): Let  $t \in Y_i$ . We take a flat deformation  $g : \mathcal{X}_{\Delta'} \rightarrow \Delta'$  and a holomorphic map  $\phi : \Delta' \rightarrow Def(X)$  with the properties (1) and (2) of Lemma(2.2). Let  $\nu_t : \hat{X}_t \rightarrow X_t$  be a  $\mathbf{Q}$ -factorialization. The  $\nu_t$  induces a projective small partial resolution  $\nu_t^i : \hat{V}_{i,t} \rightarrow V_{i,t}$ . By Lemma(1.4) and Remark(1.5),(3) each  $\nu_t^i$  extends to a projective small partial resolution  $\nu_i : \hat{V}_i \rightarrow V_i$ . As a consequence, one has a small partial resolution  $\nu : \hat{\mathcal{X}}_{\Delta',t} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{\Delta',t}$ . Note that  $\hat{\mathcal{X}}_{\Delta',t} = \hat{X}_t$ . Since  $\hat{X}_t$  is projective, there is an ample line bundle  $L$  on  $\hat{X}_t$ . The 2-nd Betti number (with respect to the usual cohomology) is preserved under a flat deformation of Calabi-Yau 3-folds with isolated

hypersurface singularities by the vanishing cycle argument. This implies that the Picard number is also preserved because  $h^1 = h^2 = 0$  in this case. Thus, the line bundle  $L$  extends to a line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  on  $\hat{\mathcal{X}}_{\Delta'}$ . Let  $C_1, \dots, C_m$  be the irreducible components of the exceptional locus of  $\nu_0$ .  $C_j$ 's move sideways in  $\mathcal{X}_{\Delta'}$  to the curves  $C_j(t)$ 's on  $\hat{X}_t$ . Since  $(L, C_j(t)) > 0$ ,  $(\mathcal{L}, C_j) > 0$ , which means that  $\hat{X}_{\Delta',0}$  is projective over  $X$ . Now the relative Picard number  $\rho(\hat{X}_t/X_t) = i$  by our assumption. Hence we have  $\rho(\hat{X}_{\Delta',0}/X) = i$ . It follows from the observation above that  $t \in \bigcup_{\rho(\hat{X}/X) \geq i} \text{Def}(\hat{X})$ . Moreover, we have  $t \in \bigcup_{\rho(\hat{X}/X) \geq i} \text{Def}(\hat{X}) - \bigcup_{\rho(\hat{X}/X) \geq i+1} \text{Def}(\hat{X})$ . In fact, if  $t \in \text{Def}(\hat{X})$  for a projective small partial resolution  $\hat{X}$  with  $\rho(\hat{X}/X) > i$ , then we can choose an analytic curve  $\Gamma \subset \text{Def}(\hat{X})$  passing through  $t$  and  $0$  in such a way that there is a flat deformation  $\hat{X} \rightarrow \Gamma$  of  $\hat{X}$  and a birational morphism  $\nu$  from  $\hat{X}$  to  $\mathcal{X} \times_{\text{Def}(X)} \Gamma$ . Since  $\rho(\hat{X}/X) > i$ , we have  $\rho(\hat{X}_t/X_t) > i$ , which is a contradiction.

Finally we show that if  $t \in \bigcup_{\rho(\hat{X}/X) \geq i} \text{Def}(\hat{X}) - \bigcup_{\rho(\hat{X}/X) \geq i+1} \text{Def}(\hat{X})$ , then  $t \in Y_i$ . By the assumption,  $t \in \text{Def}(\hat{X})$  with a projective small resolution  $\hat{X} \rightarrow X$  for which  $\rho(\hat{X}/X) = i$ . Thus,  $\sigma(X_t) \geq i$ . On the other hand,  $\sigma(X_t) \leq i$  because  $t \notin \bigcup_{\rho(\hat{X}/X) \geq i+1} \text{Def}(\hat{X})$ . Hence we have done.

(3): Assume that  $Y_i$  has a singular point  $t$ . Since  $\text{Def}(\hat{X})$  is a smooth subvariety of  $\text{Def}(X)$  for every projective small partial resolution  $\hat{X}$  of  $X$ , there are at least two different irreducible components of  $\bar{Y}_i$  which contain  $t$ , say,  $\text{Def}(\hat{X}_1)$  and  $\text{Def}(\hat{X}_2)$ , for which  $\rho(\hat{X}_1/X) = \rho(\hat{X}_2/X) = i$ . This means that there are two different projective small partial resolutions  $X_t'$  and  $X_t''$  of  $X_t$ , for which  $\text{Def}(X_t') \neq \text{Def}(X_t'')$  as a subvariety of  $\text{Def}(X_t)$ . Let  $W'$  (resp.  $W''$ ) be a  $\mathbf{Q}$ -factorization of  $X_t'$  (resp.  $X_t''$ ). Then  $W'$  and  $W''$  are both  $\mathbf{Q}$ -factorizations of  $X_t$ , and hence they are connected by a flop. It is proved by Kollár and Mori [K-M,(11.10)] that  $\text{Def}(W') \cong \text{Def}(W'')$ . This, in particular, implies that  $\rho(W'/X_t) > \rho(X_t'/X_t) = i$ . However, it is absurd because  $\sigma(X_t) = i$ . Q.E.D.

**Definition(2.4)** Let  $\hat{X}$  be a projective small partial resolution of  $X$ . Then  $\hat{X}$  is called *maximal* if for any projective small partial resolution  $\tilde{X}$  of  $\hat{X}$ ,  $\text{Def}(\tilde{X})$  is a proper closed subvariety of  $\text{Def}(\hat{X})$  via the natural inclusion.

In view of Proposition(2.3), the stratification of  $\text{Def}(X)$  is determined only by maximal projective small partial resolutions. We have the following criterion of the maximality.

**Theorem(2.5)** Let  $\{p_1, \dots, p_l\} \subset \text{Sing}(\hat{X})$  be the ordinary double points on  $\hat{X}$  and let  $f : Z \rightarrow \hat{X}$  be a small (not necessarily projective) partial resolution of  $\hat{X}$  such that  $C_i := f^{-1}(p_i) \cong \mathbf{P}^1$  and that  $f$  is an isomorphism over  $\hat{X} - \{p_1, \dots, p_l\}$ . Then the following three conditions are equivalent:

- (1)  $\hat{X}$  is maximal;
- (2)  $\hat{X}$  is smoothable by a flat deformation;
- (3) There is a relation in  $H_2(Z, \mathbf{C}) : \sum \alpha_i [C_i] = 0$  with  $\alpha_i \neq 0$  for all  $i$ .

*Proof* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2):  $\hat{X}$  has a flat deformation to a Calabi-Yau 3-fold  $Y$  with only ordinary double points by [Na 2, Theorem(5.2)]. Let  $Y_j$  be the germ of a singular point  $q_j \in Y$ . We may assume that  $\sum \sigma(Y_j) = \sigma(Y)$  by [Na 2, Corollary(6.12)]. If  $Y$  has a singularity, then  $\sigma(Y) > 0$ , which implies that a general point of  $\text{Def}(X)$  corresponds to a non- $\mathbf{Q}$ -factorial Calabi-Yau 3-fold. Hence there is a projective small partial resolution  $\tilde{X}$  of  $\hat{X}$  such that  $\text{Def}(\tilde{X}) \cong \text{Def}(\hat{X})$  by applying Proposition(2.3) to  $\text{Def}(\hat{X})$ . This contradicts the maximality of  $\hat{X}$ . So  $Y$  must be a smooth Calabi-Yau 3-fold.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): It is obvious because smooth Calab-Yau 3-fold  $Y$  has no small partial resolutions except for  $Y$  itself.

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): First we shall show that all singularities of  $\hat{X}$  which are not ordinary double points are smoothed under a suitable flat deformation of  $\hat{X}$ . Let  $g : \hat{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \Delta$  be a flat deformation of  $\hat{X}$  over a 1-dimensional disc such that  $g^{-1}(0) = \hat{X}$  and a general fiber  $g^{-1}(t) := Y(t \neq 0)$  is the same as above. Suppose that when  $\hat{X}$  is deformed to  $Y$ , a non-ordinary double point  $p \in \hat{X}$  splits into a finite number of ordinary double points  $q_1, \dots, q_m$  on  $Y$ . By Proposition(2.3), there is a projective birational morphism  $\nu : \tilde{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{X}}$  which satisfies (a)  $\nu_0 : \tilde{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{X}}$  is a small partial resolution of  $\hat{\mathcal{X}}$  and (b)  $\nu_t$  is a small resolution of the ordinary double points on  $Y$  for  $t \neq 0$ . Define  $n$  to be the number of the irreducible components of  $\nu_0^{-1}(p)$ . Then we have  $m > n$  by Lemma(1.8). Hence the curves  $D_i := \nu_t^{-1}(q_i) (1 \leq i \leq m)$  are not numerically independent on  $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_t$ , which contradicts the assumption  $\sum \sigma(Y_j) = \sigma(Y)$ .

We shall next prove that all ordinary double points are smoothed under a suitable flat deformation of  $\hat{X}$ . Let  $\hat{X}_i$  be the germ of an ordinary double point  $p_i \in \hat{X}$ . Let  $\pi : W \rightarrow Z$  be a resolution of singularities such that  $\pi^{-1}(Z - \text{Sing}(Z)) \cong Z - \text{Sing}(Z)$ . Let  $E$  be the exceptional divisor of  $\pi$ . Then the exceptional locus of  $f \circ \pi$  is a disjoint union of  $C_i$ 's and  $E$ . We have the following exact commutative diagram:

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} H^1(\hat{X} - \text{Sing}(\hat{X}); \Theta_{\hat{X}}) & \longrightarrow \oplus_i H^2_{C_i}(W; \Omega^2_W) \oplus H^2_E(W; \Omega^2_W) & - \gamma \longrightarrow H^2(W; \Omega^2_W) \\ \parallel & \beta \uparrow & \\ H^1(\hat{X} - \text{Sing}(\hat{X}); \Theta_{\hat{X}}) & - \alpha \longrightarrow \oplus H^2_{\text{Sing}(\hat{X})}(\hat{X}; \Theta_{\hat{X}}) & \end{array}$$

By the assumption of (3), there is an element  $\epsilon \in \text{Ker}(\gamma)$  whose  $i$ -th component  $\epsilon_i$  are all non-zero for  $1 \leq i \leq l$ . Then there is an element  $\eta \in H^1(\hat{X} - \text{Sing}(\hat{X}); \Theta_{\hat{X}})$  such that  $\alpha(\eta)_i \in H^2_{p_i}(\hat{X}; \Theta_{\hat{X}}) \cong \text{Ext}^1(\Omega^1_{\hat{X}_i}; \mathcal{O}_{\hat{X}_i})$  are all non-zero by (2.6). Since any infinitesimal

deformation of  $\hat{X}$  is unobstructed, 1-st order deformation of  $\hat{X}$  corresponding to the  $\eta$  can be realized. Hence we have done.

It follows from two observations above that  $\hat{X}$  is smoothable by a flat deformation because  $Def(\hat{X})$  is smooth (in particular, irreducible).

(2)  $\implies$  (3): Assume that there is a positive integer  $k \leq l$  and all relations in  $H_2(Z; \mathbf{C})$  are of the form  $\sum_{i \geq k+1} \alpha_i [C_i] = 0$  for some  $\alpha_i$ 's. Let  $f' : Z' \longrightarrow \hat{X}$  be a small partial resolution of  $\hat{X}$  obtained by contracting the curves  $C_i$  ( $i \geq k+1$ ) on  $Z$  to points. We shall show that  $Def(Z') \cong Def(\hat{X})$ . If this is proved, then we see that the ordinary double points  $p_i \in \hat{X}$  ( $i \leq k$ ) are not smoothed by any flat deformation of  $\hat{X}$  because  $(-1, -1)$ -curves  $C_i$  ( $i \geq k+1$ ) are stable under any flat deformation of  $Z'$ .

In the diagram(2.6) choose an element  $\epsilon \in Ker(\gamma)$ . We denote by  $\epsilon_i \in H^2_{C_i}(W, \Omega^2_W)$  its  $i$ -th component and denote by  $\epsilon_E \in H^2_E(W, \Omega^2_W)$  its other component. The assumption implies that  $\epsilon_i$  are all zero for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . Hence, for an arbitrary element  $\eta \in H^1(X - Sing(X); \Theta_X)$ , we see that the  $i$ -th component  $\alpha(\eta)_i$  of  $\alpha(\eta)$  are all zero for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . Next we set  $\hat{X}' = \hat{X} - (Sing(\hat{X}) - \{p_1, \dots, p_k\})$  and consider the following exact commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} 0 \longrightarrow H^1(\hat{X}'; \Theta_{\hat{X}'}) & \longrightarrow & H^1(Z' - Sing(Z'); \Theta_Z) & \longrightarrow & H^0(\hat{X}; R^1 f_* \Theta_Z) \\ & \parallel & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 \longrightarrow H^1(\hat{X}'; \Theta_{\hat{X}'}) & \longrightarrow & H^1(\hat{X} - Sing(\hat{X}); \Theta_{\hat{X}}) - \alpha' & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq k} H^2_{p_i}(\hat{X}; \Theta_{\hat{X}}) \end{array}$$

Since  $\alpha' = 0$ , one has an isomorphism  $H^1(Z - Sing(Z); \Theta_Z) \cong H^1(\hat{X} - Sing(\hat{X}); \Theta_{\hat{X}})$ . By Schlessinger [Sch] these are isomorphic to the tangent spaces to  $Def(Z)$  and  $Def(\hat{X})$  at the origin respectively. As  $Def(Z)$  and  $Def(\hat{X})$  are both smooth, we conclude that  $Def(Z) \cong Def(\hat{X})$ . Q.E.D.

When a projective small partial resolution  $\hat{X}$  of  $X$  is not maximal, one has the following.

**Theorem(2.7)** Let  $\hat{X}$  be not maximal. Then there is a (not necessarily unique) small projective partial resolution  $\tilde{X}$  of  $\hat{X}$  such that  $\tilde{X}$  is maximal and  $Def(\tilde{X}) \cong Def(\hat{X})$ . In this situation, let  $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}$  (resp.  $\hat{\mathcal{X}}$ ) be the universal family over  $Def(\tilde{X})$  (resp.  $Def(\hat{X})$ ). Then there is a projective birational morphism  $\nu$  from  $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}$  to  $\hat{\mathcal{X}}$ . For general  $t \in Def(\hat{X})$ ,  $\hat{X}_t$  has only ordinary double points and  $\nu_t : \tilde{X}_t \longrightarrow \hat{X}_t$  is a small resolution of  $\hat{X}_t$ .

*Proof.* This is already shown in the proof of Theorem(2.5) (especially in the (1)  $\implies$  (2) part). Q.E.D.

## References

- [Br] Brieskorn, E.: Singular elements of semi-simple algebraic groups, Proc. Int. Cong. Math. Nice, **2**. (1970)
- [Do] Douady, A.: Le problème des modules locaux pour les espaces  $\mathbb{C}$ -analytiques compacts, Ann. Sci. Ec. Norm. Sup. **7**. (1974)
- [Fr] Friedman, R.: Simultaneous resolution of threefold double points, Math Ann. **274**. (1986)
- [Gr] Grauert, H.: Der Satz von Kuranishi für kompakte Komplexe Räume, Invent. Math. **25**. (1974)
- [Ka] Kawamata, Y.: Abundance theorem for minimal threefolds, Invent. Math **108** (1992)
- [K-M] Kollár, J., Mori, S.: Classification of the three-dimensional flips, Journal of A.M.S. **5**.
- [Mo] Mori, S.: Flip theorem and the existence of minimal 3-folds, Journal of A.M.S. **1**. (1988)
- [Na 1] Namikawa, Y.: On deformations of Calabi-Yau 3-folds with terminal singularities, Topology **33**.(3) (1994)
- [Na 2] Namikawa, Y.: Global smoothing of Calabi-Yau 3-folds, Preprint (1994)
- [Pi] Pinkham, H.: Résolution simultanée de points doubles rationnels, Seminaire sur les Singularités des Surfaces, Lecture Note in Math. **777**. (1980)
- [Re] Reid, M.: Minimal models of canonical 3-folds, Adv. in Math **1** Kinokuniya, North-Holland (1983)
- [Sch] Schlessinger, M.: Rigidity of quotient singularities, Invent. Math. **14**. (1971)
- [Wa] Wahl, J.: Equisingular deformations of normal surface singularities I, Ann Math. **104**. (1976)

Max-Planck-Institute for Mathematics, Gottfried-Claren-Strasse 26, 53225, Bonn,  
Germany