

Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik Bonn

Analysis of gauge-equivariant complexes and a
topological index theorem for gauge-invariant families

by

Victor Nistor
Evgenij Troitsky

Analysis of gauge-equivariant complexes and a topological index theorem for gauge-invariant families

Victor Nistor
Evgenij Troitsky

Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik
Vivatsgasse 7
53111 Bonn
Germany

Université de Lorraine
UFR MIM
Ile du Saulcy, CS 50128
57045 Metz
France

Pennsylvania State University
Mathematics Department
University Park, PA 16802
USA

Department of Mechanics and Mathematics
Moscow State University
119899 Moscow
Russia

ANALYSIS OF GAUGE-EQUIVARIANT COMPLEXES AND A TOPOLOGICAL INDEX THEOREM FOR GAUGE-INVARIANT FAMILIES

VICTOR NISTOR AND EVGENIJ TROITSKY

ABSTRACT. We continue our study of gauge equivariant K -theory. We thus study the analysis of complexes endowed with the action of a family of compact Lie groups and their index in gauge equivariant K -theory. We introduce various index functions, including an axiomatic one, and show that all index functions coincide. As an application, we prove a topological index theorem for a family $D = (D_b)_{b \in B}$ of gauge-invariant elliptic operators on a \mathcal{G} -bundle $X \rightarrow B$, where $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow B$ is a locally trivial bundle of compact groups, with typical fiber G . More precisely, one of our main results states that $\text{a-ind}(D) = \text{t-ind}(D) \in K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(X)$, that is, the equality of the analytic index and of the topological index of the family D in the gauge-equivariant K -theory groups of X . The analytic index $\text{ind}_a(D)$ is defined using analytic properties of the family D and is essentially the difference of the kernel and cokernel $K_{\mathcal{G}}$ -classes of D . The topological index is defined purely in terms of the principal symbol of D .

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminaries	4
2.1. Bundles of compact groups and finite holonomy conditions	4
2.2. Gauge-equivariant K -theory	5
3. The Thom isomorphism, Gysin maps, and topological index	9
3.1. The compact case	9
3.2. The non-compact case	10
4. An axiomatic approach	13
5. Proof of the index theorem	22
References	26

1. INTRODUCTION

Analysis on singular spaces, as developed by Connes, Cordes, Melrose, Rosenberg, Skandalis, Schrohe, Schulze [12, 13, 14, 28, 41, 45, 46], and many others, leads naturally to Lie algebroids and hence to Lie groupoids. This was formalized in [1, 29, 36] and in other papers. One of the simplest Lie groupoids is given by a *family (or bundle) of Lie groups*. In applications, one is interested mostly in the

V.N. was partially supported by ANR-14-CE25-0012-01. E.T. was partially supported by RFFI Grant 13-01-00232 and by Grant of the Russian Government N 2010-220-01-077 contract 11.G34.31.0005. The present joint research was started under the hospitality of MPIM (Bonn).

case of families of *solvable* Lie groups [2, 3, 25, 30, 34, 51]. Nevertheless, families of compact Lie groups are interesting because some of the techniques that are developed for dealing with compact groups can be used as a model in the case of solvable Lie groups as well. Moreover, families of compact Lie groups form a basic building block in the structure of *proper groupoids*, which is a very important class of groupoids. Proper groupoids have received recently a great deal of attention and several important results have been proved for this class of groupoids by Emerson and Meyer [16, 17, 18, 19], among others.

In this paper, we continue our study of families of *compact* Lie groups [32, 33, 49, 50] by studying gauge-equivariant complexes and the various index functions defined on them. The natural topological invariants of these complexes live in the *gauge-equivariant K-theory* defined in [32]. Among these invariants, a prominent role is played by the analytic and topological indices. Our approach is based on a careful investigation of the formal properties of index maps, which leads us to the result stating that the analytic and topological index for these complexes coincides. This result is a generalization of the well known theorem of Atiyah and Singer [5], and is proved in the spirit of their original paper.

Let us introduce some notation to describe our results in more detail. Let $p : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow B$ be a bundle of *compact* groups. Recall that this means that each fiber $\mathcal{G}_b := p^{-1}(b)$ is a compact group and that, locally, \mathcal{G} is of the form $U \times G$, where $U \subset B$ open and G a fixed compact group. Let X and B be locally compact spaces and $\pi_X : X \rightarrow B$ be a continuous map. In the present paper, as in [32], this map will be supposed to be a locally trivial bundle. The case of non-locally trivial bundles will be dealt with in a later publication.

Assume that \mathcal{G} acts on X . This action will always be fiber-preserving. Then we can associate to the action of \mathcal{G} on X the *\mathcal{G} -equivariant K-theory groups* $K_{\mathcal{G}}^i(X)$ as in [32]. We shall review and slightly generalize this definition in Section 2. For X compact, the group $K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(X)$ is defined as the Grothendieck group of \mathcal{G} -equivariant vector bundles on X . If X is not compact, we define the groups $K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(X)$ using fiberwise one-point compactifications. We shall call these groups simply the *gauge-equivariant K-theory groups* of X when we do not want to specify \mathcal{G} . The reason for introducing the gauge-equivariant *K-theory* groups is that they are the natural range for the index of a gauge-invariant families of elliptic operators. In turn, the motivation for studying gauge-invariant families and their index is due to their connection to spectral theory and boundary value problems on non-compact manifolds. Some possible connections with Ramond-Ramond fields in String Theory were mentioned in [21, 32]. See also [7, 22, 26, 27, 31, 42]. A different approach to equivariant index constructions can be found in [43].

In this paper, in particular, we also continue our study of gauge-equivariant *K-theory*. Our initial motivation for this paper was to develop an equivariant topological index theorem for gauge equivariant operators in the framework of our two earlier papers on the subject [32, 33], see Theorem 5.6. In fact, the most part of the present paper was written in 2009 (and the results were presented at the conference [35]). Connections with proper groupoids [19] provide now further motivation for our study. We begin by providing two alternative definitions of the relative $K_{\mathcal{G}}$ -groups, both based on complexes of vector bundles. (In this paper, all vector bundles are complex vector bundles, with the exception of the tangent bundles or where explicitly stated.) These alternative definitions, modeled on the

classical case [4, 23], provide a convenient framework for the study of products, especially in the relative or non-compact cases. The products are especially useful for the proof of the Thom isomorphism in gauge-equivariant theory [33], which is one of the main ingredients for the results of this paper. A Thom isomorphism was proved later also in the framework of bivariant KK -theory [19]. Let $E \rightarrow X$ be a \mathcal{G} -equivariant complex vector bundle. Then the Thom isomorphism is a natural isomorphism

$$(1) \quad \tau_E : K_{\mathcal{G}}^i(X) \rightarrow K_{\mathcal{G}}^i(E).$$

(There is also a variant of this result for spin^c -vector bundles, but since we will not need it for the index theorem 5.6, we will not discuss it in this paper.) The Thom isomorphism allows us to define Gysin (or push-forward) maps in K -theory. As it is well known from the classical work of Atiyah and Singer [5], the Thom isomorphism and the Gysin maps are some of the main ingredients used for the definition and study of the topological index. In fact, we shall proceed along the lines of that paper to define the topological index for gauge-invariant families of elliptic operators. Some other approaches to Thom isomorphism in general settings of Noncommutative geometry were the subject of [11, 20, 24, 26, 39, 47] and many other papers.

Gauge-equivariant K -theory behaves in many ways like the usual equivariant K -theory, but exhibits also some new phenomena. For example, the groups $K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(B)$ may turn out to be reduced to $K^0(B)$ when \mathcal{G} has “a lot of twisting” [32, Proposition 3.6]. This is never the case in equivariant K -theory when the action of the group is trivial, but the group itself is not trivial. In [32], we addressed this problem in two ways: first, we found conditions on the bundle of groups $p : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow B$ that guarantee that $K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(X)$ is not too small (this condition is called *finite holonomy* and is recalled below), and, second, we studied a substitute of $K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(X)$ that is never too small (this substitute is $K_*(C^*(\mathcal{G}))$, the K -theory of the C^* -algebra of the bundle of compact groups \mathcal{G}).

In this paper, we shall again need the finite holonomy condition, so let us review it now. To define the finite holonomy condition, we introduced the *representation covering* of \mathcal{G} , denoted $\widehat{\mathcal{G}} \rightarrow B$. As a space, $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}$ is the union of all the representation spaces $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}_b$ of the fibers \mathcal{G}_b of the bundle of compact groups \mathcal{G} . One measure of the twisting of the bundle \mathcal{G} is the holonomy associated to the covering $\widehat{\mathcal{G}} \rightarrow B$. We say that \mathcal{G} has *representation theoretic finite holonomy* if $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}$ is a union of compact-open subsets. (An equivalent conditions can be obtained in terms of the fundamental groups when B is path-connected, see Proposition 2.3 below.) Let $C^*(\mathcal{G})$ be the enveloping C^* -algebra of the bundle of compact groups \mathcal{G} . We have proved in [32, Theorem 5.2] that

$$(2) \quad K_{\mathcal{G}}^j(B) \cong K_j(C^*(\mathcal{G})),$$

provided that \mathcal{G} has representation theoretic finite holonomy. This guarantees that $K_{\mathcal{G}}^j(B)$ is not too small. It also points out to an alternative, algebraic definition of the groups $K_{\mathcal{G}}^i(X)$.

Let us put our results into some perspective. Recently, an important paper of Emerson and Meyer with implications for our project [19] has appeared. In that paper, Emerson and Meyer had developed a \mathcal{G} -equivariant version of the bivariant K -groups, denoted $KK^{\mathcal{G}}$ for a proper groupoid \mathcal{G} . Then, to any K -oriented map

$f : X \rightarrow Y$, they had associated an element $f_{\text{an}}^! \in KK^{\mathcal{G} \times Y}(C_0(X), C_0(Y))$ and had shown that this defined a functor. They then interpreted this result as an equivariant topological index theorem. R. Meyer has kindly informed us that some of the results the present paper can also be obtained from this theorem in [19]. It would be quite worthwhile to complete in full detail this alternative proof. We are grateful to him and to H. Emerson for discussions on that subject at the above mentioned conference ([35]) and later. In particular, in view of the comments in the introduction to that paper, the exact relation between our gauge-invariant operators and bivariant K -theory still needs to be understood. We thus feel that the concrete constructions in this paper, done in the spirit of the original Atiyah-Singer paper, have a merit of their own. They may turn out to be useful also in cyclic homology calculations of the index and shed some new light and explain the difficult results of Emerson and Meyer.

The structure of the paper is as follows. We start with the definition of gauge-equivariant K -theory and with some basic results from [32], most of them related to the “finite holonomy condition,” a condition on bundles of compact groups that we recall in Section 2. In Subsection 2.2, we describe an equivalent definition of gauge-equivariant K -theory in terms of complexes of vector bundles. This will turn out to be especially useful when studying the topological index. In Section 3, we review the Thom isomorphism in gauge-equivariant K -theory, we define and study the Gysin maps, and we define the topological index, building on the results from [33]. In Section 4, we establish the main properties of topological index. As a consequence, in Section 5 we prove that the topological and analytical index coincide. We conclude with a discussion of the cyclic homology of the relevant groupoid algebras and with some comments on future work.

We thank T. Schick, R. Meyer, and G. Skandalis for useful discussions. We would like to thank also the Max Planck Institute for Mathematics, where part of this work was completed.

2. PRELIMINARIES

We begin by recalling the definition of gauge-equivariant K -theory and some basic results from [32]. An important part of our discussion will be devoted to the discussion of the finite holonomy condition for a bundle of compact groups $p : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow B$, a condition introduced below.

*All vector bundles considered in this paper are **complex** vector bundles, excluding the tangent bundles to the various manifolds appearing below and if otherwise mentioned.*

2.1. Bundles of compact groups and finite holonomy conditions. We begin with a short discussion of bundles of locally compact groups. Then we study finite holonomy conditions for bundles of compact groups. Let G be a locally compact group. We shall denote by \hat{G} the set of equivalence classes of irreducible representations of G with the Jacobson topology and by $\text{Aut}(G)$ we shall denote the group of continuous (group) automorphisms of G . We endow this group with the topology of uniform convergence on compact subsets. Clearly, $\text{Aut}(G)$ acts on \hat{G} .

Definition 2.1. Let B be a locally compact space and let G be a locally compact group. A *bundle of locally compact groups \mathcal{G} with typical fiber G over B* is, by definition, a fiber bundle $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow B$ with typical fiber G and structural group $\text{Aut}(G)$.

We need now to introduce the representation theoretic holonomy of a bundle of Lie group with *compact fibers* $p : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow B$. Let $\mathcal{P} \rightarrow B$ be the principal $\text{Aut}(G)$ -bundle such that

$$\mathcal{G} \cong \mathcal{P} \times_{\text{Aut}(G)} G := (\mathcal{P} \times G) / \text{Aut}(G).$$

We fix the above notation. In particular, if \mathcal{G}_b is the fiber of $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow B$ above b , then $\mathcal{G}_b \simeq G$ as groups (non-uniquely).

We assume from now on that G is compact and denote by $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}$ the (disjoint) union of the sets $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}_b$ of equivalence classes of irreducible representations of the groups \mathcal{G}_b . Using the natural action of $\text{Aut}(G)$ on \widehat{G} , we can naturally identify $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}$ with $\mathcal{P} \times_{\text{Aut}(G)} \widehat{G}$ as fiber bundles over B . Let also $\text{Aut}_0(G)$ be the connected component of the identity in $\text{Aut}(G)$. The group $\text{Aut}_0(G)$ will act trivially on the set \widehat{G} , because the later is discrete. Let

$$H_G := \text{Aut}(G) / \text{Aut}_0(G), \quad \mathcal{P}_0 := \mathcal{P} / \text{Aut}_0(G), \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{\mathcal{G}} \simeq \mathcal{P}_0 \times_{H_G} \widehat{G}.$$

Above, $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}$ is defined because \mathcal{P}_0 is an H_G -principal bundle. The space $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}$ will be called the *representation space of \mathcal{G}* and the covering $\widehat{\mathcal{G}} \rightarrow B$ will be called *the representation covering associated to \mathcal{G}* .

Assume now that B is a path-connected, locally simply-connected space and fix a point $b_0 \in B$. We shall denote, as usual, by $\pi_1(B, b_0)$ the fundamental group of B . Then the bundle \mathcal{P}_0 is classified by a morphism

$$(3) \quad \rho : \pi_1(B, b_0) \rightarrow H_G := \text{Aut}(G) / \text{Aut}_0(G),$$

which will be called *the holonomy of the representation covering of \mathcal{G}* .

For our further reasoning, we shall sometimes need the following finite holonomy condition.

Definition 2.2. We say that \mathcal{G} has *representation theoretic finite holonomy* if every $\sigma \in \widehat{\mathcal{G}}$ is contained in a compact-open subset of $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}$.

In the cases we are interested in, the above condition can be reformulated as follows [32].

Proposition 2.3. *Assume that B is path-connected and locally simply-connected. Then \mathcal{G} has representation theoretic finite holonomy if, and only if $\pi_1(B, b_0)\sigma \subset \widehat{\mathcal{G}}$ is a finite set for any irreducible representation σ of G .*

The case when \mathcal{G} *does not* have the representation theoretic finite holonomy condition (“does not satisfy the finite holonomy condition” for short) leads to some interesting, but pathological situations [19, 32]. In particular, they lead to the appearance of bundles with non-trivial Dixmier-Douady invariants [32]. See [44, 40] for more on Dixmier-Douady invariants.

Example 2.4. For instance, let A_1, \dots, A_k be *commuting* $n \times n$ matrices with integer coefficients and denote also by the same letters the corresponding automorphism of \mathbb{Z}^n and $G := (S^1)^n$. Let $B := (S^1)^k$ (so both G and B are tori, possibly of different dimensions.) Then the matrices A_1, \dots, A_k give rise to a morphism $\pi_1(B) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^k \rightarrow \text{Aut}(G)$. By choosing the matrices A_1, \dots, A_k appropriately, we may arrange that the resulting G family of Lie groups will *not* have the finite holonomy condition. We may even have that $\pi_1(B) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^k \rightarrow \text{Aut}(G)$ is injective.

From now on we shall assume that \mathcal{G} has representation theoretic finite holonomy, unless explicitly otherwise mentioned.

2.2. Gauge-equivariant K -theory. Let us now define the gauge equivariant K -theory groups of a “ \mathcal{G} -fiber bundle” $\pi_Y : Y \rightarrow B$. All our definitions are well known if B is reduced to a point (cf. [4, 23]). First we need to fix the notation.

If $f_i : Y_i \rightarrow B$, $i = 1, 2$, are two maps, we shall denote by

$$(4) \quad Y_1 \times_B Y_2 := \{(y_1, y_2) \in Y_1 \times Y_2, f_1(y_1) = f_2(y_2)\}$$

their fibered product. Let $p : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow B$ be a bundle of locally compact groups and let $\pi_Y : Y \rightarrow B$ be a continuous map. We shall say that \mathcal{G} acts on Y if each group \mathcal{G}_b acts continuously on $Y_b := \pi^{-1}(b)$ and the induced map μ defined by

$$\mathcal{G} \times_B Y := \{(g, y) \in \mathcal{G} \times Y, p(g) = \pi_Y(y)\} \ni (g, y) \longrightarrow \mu(g, y) := gy \in Y$$

is continuous. If \mathcal{G} acts on Y , we shall say that Y is a \mathcal{G} -space. If, in addition to that, $Y \rightarrow B$ is also locally trivial, we shall say that Y is a \mathcal{G} -fiber bundle, or, simply, a \mathcal{G} -bundle. This definition is a particular case of the definition of the action of a differentiable groupoid on a space.

Let $\pi_Y : Y \rightarrow B$ be a \mathcal{G} -space, with \mathcal{G} a bundle of compact groups over B . Recall that a vector bundle $\tilde{\pi}_E : E \rightarrow Y$ is a \mathcal{G} -equivariant vector bundle (or simply a \mathcal{G} -equivariant vector bundle) if

$$\pi_E := \pi_Y \circ \tilde{\pi}_E : E \rightarrow B$$

is a \mathcal{G} -space, the projection

$$\tilde{\pi}_E : E_b := \pi_E^{-1}(b) \rightarrow Y_b := \pi_Y^{-1}(b)$$

is $\mathcal{G}_b := p^{-1}(b)$ equivariant, and the induced action $E_y \rightarrow E_{gy}$ of $g \in \mathcal{G}$, between the corresponding fibers of $E \rightarrow Y$, is linear for any $y \in Y_b$, $g \in \mathcal{G}_b$, and $b \in B$.

To define gauge-equivariant K -theory, we first recall some preliminary definitions from [32]. Let $\tilde{\pi}_E : E \rightarrow Y$ be a \mathcal{G} -equivariant vector bundle and let $\tilde{\pi}_{E'} : E' \rightarrow Y'$ be a \mathcal{G}' -equivariant vector bundle, for two bundles of compact groups $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow B$ and $\mathcal{G}' \rightarrow B'$. We shall say that $(\gamma, \varphi, \eta, \psi) : (\mathcal{G}', E', Y', B') \rightarrow (\mathcal{G}, E, Y, B)$ is a γ -equivariant morphism of vector bundles if the following five conditions are satisfied:

- (i) $\gamma : \mathcal{G}' \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$, $\varphi : E' \rightarrow E$, $\eta : Y' \rightarrow Y$, and $\psi : B' \rightarrow B$,
- (ii) all the resulting diagrams are commutative,
- (iii) $\varphi(ge) = \gamma(g)\varphi(e)$ for all $e \in E'_b$ and all $g \in \mathcal{G}'_b$,
- (iv) γ is a group morphism in each fiber, and
- (v) f is a vector bundle morphism.

We shall say that $\phi : E \rightarrow E'$ is a γ -equivariant morphism of vector bundles if, by definition, it is part of a morphism $(\gamma, \varphi, \eta, \psi) : (\mathcal{G}', E', Y', B') \rightarrow (\mathcal{G}, E, Y, B)$. Note that η and ψ are determined by γ and ϕ .

Let $p : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow B$ be a bundle of compact groups and $\pi_Y : Y \rightarrow B$ be a \mathcal{G} -space. The set of isomorphism classes of \mathcal{G} -equivariant vector bundles $\tilde{\pi}_E : E \rightarrow Y$ will be denoted by $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{G}}(Y)$. On this set we introduce a monoid operation, denoted “+,” using the direct sum of vector bundles. This defines a monoid structure on the set $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{G}}(Y)$ as in the case when B consists of a point.

Definition 2.5. Let $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow B$ be a bundle of compact groups acting on the \mathcal{G} -space $Y \rightarrow B$. Assume Y to be compact. The \mathcal{G} -equivariant K -theory group $K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(Y)$ is defined as the group completion of the monoid $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{G}}(Y)$.

The groups $K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(Y)$ have a natural ring structure and the functoriality properties of the usual equivariant K -theory groups extend to the gauge equivariant K -theory groups.

A \mathcal{G} -equivariant vector bundle $E \rightarrow Y$ on a \mathcal{G} -space $Y \rightarrow B$, Y compact, is called *trivial* if, by definition, there exists a \mathcal{G} -equivariant vector bundle $E' \rightarrow B$ such that E is isomorphic to the pull-back of E' to Y . Thus $E \simeq Y \times_B E'$. If $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow B$ has representation theoretic finite holonomy and Y is a compact \mathcal{G} -bundle, then every \mathcal{G} -equivariant vector bundle over Y can be embedded into a trivial \mathcal{G} -equivariant vector bundle. This embedding will necessarily be as a direct sum.

If $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow B$ does not have finite holonomy, it is possible to provide examples of \mathcal{G} -equivariant vector bundles that do not embed into trivial \mathcal{G} -equivariant vector bundles [32]. Also, a related example from [32] shows that the groups $K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(Y)$ can be fairly small if the holonomy of \mathcal{G} is “large.” This is seen by considering $k = 2$ in Example 2.4 and choosing an injective morphism $\mathbb{Z}^k \rightarrow \text{Aut}(G)$. In this case $K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(Y)$ and $K_0(C^*(\mathcal{G}))$ are *not* isomorphic. A very similar construction was used in [19]. A further observation is that it follows from the definitions that the tensor product of vector bundles defines a natural ring structure on $K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(Y)$.

The definition of the gauge-equivariant groups extends to non-compact \mathcal{G} -spaces Y as in the case of equivariant K -theory with some small modifications. Let Y be a \mathcal{G} -bundle. We shall denote then by $Y^+ := Y \cup B$ the compact space obtained from Y by the one-point compactification of each fiber Y_b of $\pi_Y : Y \rightarrow B$ (recall that B is compact). The need to consider the space Y^+ is the main reason for considering also non longitudinally smooth fibers bundles on B . Then we define

$$K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(Y) := \ker(K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(Y^+) \rightarrow K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(B)).$$

Also as in the classical case, we let

$$K_{\mathcal{G}}^n(Y, Y') := K_{\mathcal{G}}^n((Y \setminus Y') \times \mathbb{R}^n)$$

for a \mathcal{G} -subbundle $Y' \subset Y$. Then [32] we have the following periodicity result

Theorem 2.6. *We have natural isomorphisms*

$$K_{\mathcal{G}}^n(Y, Y') \cong K_{\mathcal{G}}^{n-2}(Y, Y').$$

The extended gauge-equivariant K -theory is then functorial with respect to open embeddings.

For the purpose of defining the Thom isomorphism, it is convenient to work with an equivalent definition of gauge-equivariant K -theory in terms of complexes of vector bundles. This will turn out to be especially useful when studying the topological index. The details and proofs can be found in [33]. Analogous results in \mathcal{G} -bivariant theory were obtained by [19].

Let $X \rightarrow B$ be a locally compact, paracompact \mathcal{G} -bundle. A *finite complex of \mathcal{G} -equivariant vector bundles* over X is a complex

$$(E^*, d) = \left(\dots \xrightarrow{d_{i-1}} E^i \xrightarrow{d_i} E^{i+1} \xrightarrow{d_{i+1}} \dots \right)$$

of \mathcal{G} -equivariant vector bundles over X with only finitely many E^i 's different from zero. Explicitly, the E^i are \mathcal{G} -equivariant vector bundles, the d_i 's are \mathcal{G} -equivariant morphisms, $d_{i+1}d_i = 0$ for every i , and $E^i = 0$ for $|i|$ large enough. We shall also use the notation $(E^*, d) = (E^0, \dots, E^n, d_i : E^i|_Y \rightarrow E^{i+1}|_Y)$, if $E^i = 0$ for $i < 0$ and for $i > n$. As usual, a *morphism of complexes* $f : (E^*, d) \rightarrow (F^*, \delta)$ is a sequence of

morphisms $f_i : E^i \rightarrow F^i$ such that $f_{i+1}d_i = \delta_{i+1}f_i$, for all i . These constructions yield the category of finite complexes of \mathcal{G} -equivariant vector bundles. Isomorphism in this category will be denoted by $(E^*, d) \cong (F^*, \delta)$.

Definition 2.7. Let X be a compact \mathcal{G} -bundle and Y be a closed \mathcal{G} -invariant subbundle. Denote by $C_{\mathcal{G}}^n(X, Y)$ the set of (isomorphism classes of) sequences

$$(E^*, d) = (E^0, E^1, \dots, E^n, d_k : E^k|_Y \rightarrow E^{k+1}|_Y)$$

of \mathcal{G} -equivariant vector bundles over X such that $(E^k|_Y, d)$ is exact if we let $E^j = 0$ for $j < 0$ or $j > n$.

We endow $C_{\mathcal{G}}^n(X, Y)$ with the semigroup structure given by the direct sums of complexes. An element in $C_{\mathcal{G}}^n(X, Y)$ is called *elementary* if it is isomorphic to a complex of the form

$$\dots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow E \xrightarrow{\text{Id}} E \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \dots$$

Two complexes $(E^*, d), (F^*, \delta) \in C_{\mathcal{G}}^n(X, Y)$ are called *equivalent* if, and only if, there exist elementary complexes $Q^1, \dots, Q^k, P^1, \dots, P^m \in C_{\mathcal{G}}^n(X, Y)$ such that

$$E \oplus Q_1 \oplus \dots \oplus Q_k \cong F \oplus P_1 \oplus \dots \oplus P_m,$$

in which case we write $E \simeq F$. The semigroup of equivalence classes of sequences in $C_{\mathcal{G}}^n(X, Y)$ will be denoted by $L_{\mathcal{G}}^n(X, Y)$.

We therefore obtain natural semigroup homomorphisms

$$C_{\mathcal{G}}^n(X, Y) \rightarrow C_{\mathcal{G}}^{n+1}(X, Y) \quad \text{and} \quad C_{\mathcal{G}}(X, Y) := \bigcup_n C_{\mathcal{G}}^n(X, Y).$$

The equivalence relation \sim commutes with embeddings, so the above morphisms induce morphisms $L_{\mathcal{G}}^n(X, Y) \rightarrow L_{\mathcal{G}}^{n+1}(X, Y)$. Let $L_{\mathcal{G}}^{\infty}(X, Y) := \varinjlim L_{\mathcal{G}}^n(X, Y)$.

Definition 2.8. Let X be a compact \mathcal{G} -space and $Y \subset X$ be a \mathcal{G} -invariant subset. An *Euler characteristic* χ_n is a natural transformation of functors $\chi_n : L_{\mathcal{G}}^n(X, Y) \rightarrow K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(X, Y)$, such that, for $Y = \emptyset$, it takes the form

$$\chi_n(E) = \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i [E^i],$$

for any sequence $E = (E^*, d) \in L_{\mathcal{G}}^n(X, Y)$.

Now, let (E, d) be a complex of \mathcal{G} -equivariant vector bundles over a \mathcal{G} -space X . A point $x \in X$ will be called a *point of acyclicity* of (E, d) if the restriction of (E, d) to x , i.e., the sequence of linear spaces

$$(E, d)_x = \left(\dots \xrightarrow{(d_i)_x} E_x^i \xrightarrow{(d_{i+1})_x} E_x^{i+1} \xrightarrow{(d_{i+2})_x} \dots \right)$$

is exact. The *support* of a finite complex (E, d) is defined as the complement in X of the set of its points of acyclicity and is denoted $\text{supp}(E, d)$. We shall say that two complexes in $L_{\mathcal{G}}^n(X, Y)$ are *homotopic* if they are isomorphic to the restrictions to $X \times \{0\}$ and $X \times \{1\}$ of a complex defined over $X \times I$ and acyclic over $Y \times I$.

Definition 2.9. Let X be a compact \mathcal{G} -bundle and $Y \subset X$ be a \mathcal{G} -invariant subbundle. We define $E_{\mathcal{G}}^n(X, Y)$ to be the semigroup of homotopy classes of complexes of \mathcal{G} -equivariant vector bundles of length n over X such that their restrictions to Y are acyclic (i.e., exact).

The restriction of morphisms induces a morphism $\Phi_n : E_{\mathcal{G}}^n(X, Y) \rightarrow L_{\mathcal{G}}^n(X, Y)$.

In the case of a locally compact, paracompact \mathcal{G} -bundle X , we change the definitions of $L_{\mathcal{G}}^n$ and $E_{\mathcal{G}}^n$ as follows. Recall that $Y \subset X$ is closed. Then, in the definition of $L_{\mathcal{G}}^n$, the morphisms d_i have to be defined and form an exact sequence outside some compact \mathcal{G} -invariant subset C of $X \setminus Y$. In the definition of $E_{\mathcal{G}}^n$, the complexes have to be exact outside some compact \mathcal{G} -invariant subset of $X \setminus Y$. In particular, $L_{\mathcal{G}}^n(X, Y) = L_{\mathcal{G}}^n(X^+, Y^+)$. The following result was proved in [33].

Theorem 2.10. *One has natural isomorphisms*

$$(5) \quad K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(X, Y) \cong L_{\mathcal{G}}^n(X, Y) \cong E_{\mathcal{G}}^n(X, Y), \quad n \geq 1,$$

induced by χ_n and Φ_n in the case of compact bundles.

3. THE THOM ISOMORPHISM, GYSIN MAPS, AND TOPOLOGICAL INDEX

We now recall the Thom isomorphism in gauge-equivariant K -theory [33]. We begin with a discussion of the compact case.

3.1. The compact case. Let us recall products and the Thom morphism in gauge-equivariant K -theory. Let $\pi_X : X \rightarrow B$ be a \mathcal{G} -space, $\tilde{\pi}_F : F \rightarrow X$ be a complex \mathcal{G} -vector bundle over X , and $s : X \rightarrow F$ a \mathcal{G} -invariant section. We shall denote by $\Lambda^i F$ the i -th exterior power of F , which is again a complex \mathcal{G} -equivariant vector bundle over X . As in the proof of the Thom isomorphism for ordinary vector bundles, we define the complex $\Lambda(F, s)$ of \mathcal{G} -equivariant vector bundles over X by

$$(6) \quad \Lambda(F, s) := (0 \rightarrow \Lambda^0 F \xrightarrow{\alpha^0} \Lambda^1 F \xrightarrow{\alpha^1} \dots \xrightarrow{\alpha^{n-1}} \Lambda^n F \rightarrow 0),$$

where $\alpha^k(v_x) = s(x) \wedge v_x$ for $v_x \in \Lambda^k F_x$ and $n = \dim F$. It is immediate to check that $\alpha^{j+1}(x)\alpha^j(x) = 0$, and hence that $(\Lambda(F, s), \alpha)$ is indeed a complex.

The Künneth formula shows that the complex $\Lambda(F, s)$ is acyclic for $s(x) \neq 0$, and hence $\text{supp}(\Lambda(F, s)) := \{x \in X \mid s(x) = 0\}$. If this set is compact, we can associate to the complex $\Lambda(F, s)$ of Equation (6) an element

$$(7) \quad [\Lambda(F, s)] \in K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(X).$$

Let X be a \mathcal{G} -bundle and $\pi_F : F \rightarrow X$ be a \mathcal{G} -equivariant vector bundle over X . The point of the above construction is that $\pi_F^*(F)$, the lift of F back to itself, has a canonical section $s_F(f) = (f, f)$ whose support is X . Hence, if X is a compact space, we obtain an element

$$(8) \quad \lambda_F := [\Lambda(\pi_F^*(F), s_F)] \in K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(F).$$

Recall that the tensor product of vector bundles defines a natural product $ab = a \otimes b \in K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(X)$ for any $a \in K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(B)$ and any $b \in K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(X)$, where $\pi_X : X \rightarrow B$ is a compact \mathcal{G} -space, as above.

Recall that all our vector bundles are assumed to be complex vector bundles, except for the ones coming from geometry (tangent bundles, their exterior powers) and where explicitly mentioned. Due to the importance that F be complex in the following definition, we shall occasionally repeat this assumption.

Definition 3.1. Let $\pi_F : F \rightarrow X$ be a (complex) \mathcal{G} -equivariant vector bundle. Assume the \mathcal{G} -bundle $X \rightarrow B$ is compact and let $\lambda_F \in K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(F)$ be the class defined in Equation (8), then the mapping

$$\varphi^F : K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(X) \rightarrow K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(F), \quad \varphi^F(a) = \pi_F^*(a) \otimes \lambda_F.$$

is called the *Thom morphism*.

As we shall see below, the definition of the Thom homomorphism extends to the case when X is not compact, although the Thom element itself is not defined if X is not compact.

The definition of the Thom homomorphism immediately gives the following proposition. We shall use the notation of Proposition 3.1.

Proposition 3.2. *The Thom morphism $\varphi^F : K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(X) \rightarrow K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(F)$ is an isomorphism of $K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(B)$ -modules. It extends to K^1 by periodicity.*

Let $\iota : X \hookrightarrow F$ be the zero section embedding of X into F . Then ι induces a homomorphism $\iota^* : K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(F) \rightarrow K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(X)$. Then $\iota^* \varphi^F(a) = a \cdot \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \Lambda^i F$.

3.2. The non-compact case. We consider now the case when X is locally compact, but not necessarily compact. The complex $\Lambda(\pi_F^*(F), s_F)$ has a non-compact support, and hence it does not define an element of $K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(F)$. However, if $a = [(E, \alpha)] \in K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(X)$ is represented by the complex (E, α) of vector bundles with compact support, then we can still consider the tensor product complex

$$(\pi_F^*(\mathcal{E}), \pi_F^*(\alpha)) \otimes \Lambda(\pi_F^*F, s_F).$$

From the Künneth formula for the homology of a tensor product we obtain that the support of a tensor product complex is the intersection of the supports of the two complexes. In particular, we obtain

$$(9) \quad \text{supp}\{(\pi_F^*E, \pi_F^*\alpha) \otimes \Lambda(\pi_F^*F, s_F)\} \subset \text{supp}(\pi_F^*E, \pi_F^*\alpha) \cap \text{supp} \Lambda(\pi_F^*F, s_F) \subset \\ \subset \text{supp}(\pi_F^*E, \pi_F^*\alpha) \cap X = \text{supp}(E, \alpha).$$

Thus, the complex $(\pi_F^*\mathcal{E}, \pi_F^*\alpha) \otimes \Lambda(\pi_F^*F, s_F)$ has compact support and hence defines an element in $K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(F)$. Of course, the reason for this is that the Thom element is more naturally an element of a bivariant K -theory group.

Proposition 3.3. *The homomorphism of $K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(B)$ -modules*

$$(10) \quad \varphi^F : K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(X) \rightarrow K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(F), \quad \varphi^F(a) = [(\pi_F^*\mathcal{E}, \pi_F^*\alpha) \otimes \Lambda(\pi_F^*F, s_F)],$$

defined in Equation (9) extends the Thom morphism to the case of not necessarily compact X . The Thom morphism φ^F satisfies $i^ \varphi^F(a) = a \cdot \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \Lambda^i F$.*

We are now ready to formulate the Thom isomorphism in the setting of gauge-equivariant vector bundles.

Theorem 3.4 ([33, Theorem 4.5]). *Let $X \rightarrow B$ be a \mathcal{G} -bundle and $F \rightarrow X$ a complex \mathcal{G} -equivariant vector bundle, then $\varphi^F : K_{\mathcal{G}}^i(X) \rightarrow K_{\mathcal{G}}^i(F)$ is an isomorphism.*

We now discuss a few constructions related to the Thom isomorphism, which will be necessary for the definition of the topological index. The most important one is the Gysin map. For several of the constructions below, the setting of \mathcal{G} -spaces and even \mathcal{G} -bundles is too general, and we shall have to consider *longitudinally smooth* \mathcal{G} -fiber bundles $\pi_X : X \rightarrow B$. The main reason why we need longitudinally smooth bundles to define the Gysin map is the same as in the definition of the Gysin map for embeddings of smooth manifolds. We shall denote by $T_{\text{vert}}X$ the *vertical* tangent bundle to the fibers of $X \rightarrow B$. All tangent bundles below will be *vertical* tangent bundles.

Let X and Y be longitudinally smooth \mathcal{G} -fiber bundles, $i : X \rightarrow Y$ be an equivariant fiberwise embedding, and $p_T : T_{\text{vert}}X \rightarrow X$ be the vertical tangent bundle to X . Assume Y is equipped with a \mathcal{G} -invariant Riemannian metric and let $p_N : N_{\text{vert}} \rightarrow X$ be the fiberwise normal bundle to the image of i

Let us choose a function $\varepsilon : X \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ such that the map $\rho : N_{\text{vert}} \rightarrow N_{\text{vert}}$, defined by $\phi(\xi) = \frac{\xi}{1+|\xi|}$ is \mathcal{G} -equivariant and defines a \mathcal{G} -diffeomorphism $\Phi : N_{\text{vert}} \rightarrow W$ onto a bundle of open tubular neighborhoods $W \supset X$ in Y .

Let $(N \oplus N)_{\text{vert}} := N_{\text{vert}} \oplus N_{\text{vert}}$. The embedding $i : X \rightarrow Y$ can be written as a composition of two fiberwise embeddings $i_1 : X \rightarrow W$ and $i_2 : W \rightarrow Y$. Passing to differentials we obtain

$$T_{\text{vert}}X \xrightarrow{di_1} T_{\text{vert}}W \xrightarrow{di_2} T_{\text{vert}}Y \quad \text{and} \quad d\Phi : T_{\text{vert}}N \rightarrow T_{\text{vert}}W,$$

where we use the simplified notation $T_{\text{vert}}N = T_{\text{vert}}N_{\text{vert}}$.

Lemma 3.5. (cf. [23, page 112]) *The manifold $T_{\text{vert}}N$ can be identified to $p_T^*(N \oplus N)_{\text{vert}}$ with the help of a \mathcal{G} -equivariant diffeomorphism ψ that makes the following diagram commutative*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} p_T^*(N \oplus N)_{\text{vert}} & \xleftarrow{\psi} & T_{\text{vert}}N \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ T_{\text{vert}}X & & N_{\text{vert}} \\ & \searrow p_T & \swarrow p_N \\ & X, & \end{array}$$

where $p_T : T_{\text{vert}}X \rightarrow X$ is the canonical projection.

With the help of the relation $i \cdot (n_1, n_2) = (-n_2, n_1)$, we can equip

$$p_T^*(N \oplus N)_{\text{vert}} = p_T^*(N_{\text{vert}}) \oplus p_T^*(N_{\text{vert}})$$

with a structure of a complex manifold. Then we can consider the Thom homomorphism

$$\varphi : K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(T_{\text{vert}}X) \rightarrow K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(p_T^*(N \oplus N)_{\text{vert}}).$$

Since $T_{\text{vert}}W$ is an open \mathcal{G} -stable subset of $T_{\text{vert}}Y$ and $di_2 : T_{\text{vert}}W \rightarrow T_{\text{vert}}Y$ is a fiberwise embedding, by using the direct image morphism, we obtain a homomorphism $(di_2)_* : K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(T_{\text{vert}}W) \rightarrow K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(T_{\text{vert}}Y)$.

Definition 3.6. Let $i : X \rightarrow Y$ be an equivariant embedding of \mathcal{G} -bundles. The *Gysin homomorphism* is the mapping

$$i_! : K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(T_{\text{vert}}X) \rightarrow K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(T_{\text{vert}}Y), \quad i_! = (di_2)_* \circ (d\Phi^{-1})^* \circ \psi^* \circ \varphi.$$

We thus see that the Gysin homomorphism is obtained by passage to K -groups in the upper part of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
p_T^*(N \oplus N)_{\text{vert}} & \xleftarrow{\psi} & T_{\text{vert}}N & \xrightarrow{d\Phi} & T_{\text{vert}}W & \xrightarrow{di_2} & T_{\text{vert}}Y \\
q_T \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
T_{\text{vert}}X & & N_{\text{vert}} & & & & \\
& \searrow p_T & \swarrow p_N & \searrow \Phi & & & \\
& & X & \xrightarrow{i_1} & W & \xrightarrow{i_2} & Y.
\end{array}$$

A different choice of a metric or neighborhood W induces a homotopic map and (by (iii) of Theorem 3.7 below) the same Gysin homomorphism $i_!$. Recall from the following result from [33], where $p_T : T_{\text{vert}}X \rightarrow X$ is the canonical projection.

Theorem 3.7 (Properties of Gysin homomorphism). *Let $i : X \rightarrow Y$ be a \mathcal{G} -embedding.*

- (i) $i_!$ is a homomorphism of $K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(B)$ -modules.
- (ii) Let $i : X \rightarrow Y$ and $j : Y \rightarrow Z$ be two fiberwise \mathcal{G} -embeddings, then $(j \circ i)_! = j_! \circ i_!$.
- (iii) Let fiberwise embeddings $i_1 : X \rightarrow Y$ and $i_2 : X \rightarrow Y$ be \mathcal{G} -homotopic in the class of embeddings. Then $(i_1)_! = (i_2)_!$.
- (iv) Let $i : X \rightarrow Y$ be a fiberwise \mathcal{G} -diffeomorphism and $di : T_{\text{vert}}X \rightarrow T_{\text{vert}}Y$ be the differential of i . Then $i_!^{-1} = (di)^*$.
- (v) A fiberwise embedding $i : X \rightarrow Y$ can be represented as a compositions of embeddings X in N_{vert} (as the zero section $s_0 : X \rightarrow N$) and $N_{\text{vert}} \rightarrow Y$ by $i_2 \circ \Phi : N_{\text{vert}} \rightarrow Y$. Then $i_! = (i_2 \circ \Phi)_!(s_0)_!$.
- (vi) Consider the complex bundle $p_T^*(N_{\text{vert}} \otimes \mathbb{C})$ over $T_{\text{vert}}X$. Let us form the complex $\Lambda(p_T^*(N_{\text{vert}} \otimes \mathbb{C}), 0)$:

$$0 \rightarrow \Lambda^0(p_T^*(N_{\text{vert}} \otimes \mathbb{C})) \xrightarrow{0} \dots \xrightarrow{0} \Lambda^k(p_T^*(N_{\text{vert}} \otimes \mathbb{C})) \rightarrow 0$$

with noncompact support. If $a \in K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(T_{\text{vert}}X)$, then the complex

$$a \otimes \Lambda(p_T^*(N_{\text{vert}} \otimes \mathbb{C}), 0)$$

has compact support and defines an element of $K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(T_{\text{vert}}X)$. Then

$$(di)^* i_!(a) = a \cdot \Lambda(p_T^*(N_{\text{vert}} \otimes \mathbb{C}), 0),$$

where di is the differential of the embedding i .

- (vii) $i_!(x(di)^*y) = i_!(x) \cdot y$, where $x \in K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(T_{\text{vert}}X)$ and $y \in K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(T_{\text{vert}}Y)$.

We shall need also the following properties of the Gysin map. If $X = B$, the trivial longitudinally smooth \mathcal{G} -bundle, we shall identify $T_{\text{vert}}X = B$ and $T_{\text{vert}}\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ for a real bundle $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow B$.

Theorem 3.8 ([33, Theorem 5.4]). *Suppose that $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow B$ is a \mathcal{G} -equivariant real vector bundle and that $X = B$. Then the mapping*

$$i_! : K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(B) = K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(T_{\text{vert}}X) \rightarrow K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(T_{\text{vert}}\mathcal{V}) = K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(\mathcal{V} \otimes \mathbb{C})$$

coincides with the Thom homomorphism $\varphi^{\mathcal{V} \otimes \mathbb{C}}$.

Now we recall our ‘‘fibered Mostow-Palais theorem’’ that will be useful in defining the index. A generalization of this result to proper groupoids can be found in [19].

Theorem 3.9 ([33, Theorem 6.1]). *Let $\pi_X : X \rightarrow B$ be a compact \mathcal{G} -fiber bundle. Then there exists a real \mathcal{G} -equivariant vector bundle $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow B$ and a fiberwise smooth \mathcal{G} -embedding $X \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$. After averaging one can assume that the action of \mathcal{G} on \mathcal{V} is orthogonal.*

Let us now turn to the definition of the topological index. Let $X \rightarrow B$ be a compact, longitudinally smooth \mathcal{G} -bundle. From Theorem 3.9 it follows that there exists an \mathcal{G} -equivariant real vector bundle $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow B$ and a fiberwise smooth \mathcal{G} -equivariant embedding $i : X \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$. We can assume that \mathcal{V} is endowed with an orthogonal metric and that \mathcal{G} preserves this metric. Thus, the Gysin homomorphism

$$i_! : K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(T_{\text{vert}}X) \rightarrow K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(T_{\text{vert}}\mathcal{V}) = K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(\mathcal{V} \otimes \mathbb{C})$$

is defined. Since $T_{\text{vert}}\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ is a complex vector bundle, we have the following Thom isomorphism

$$\varphi : K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(B) \xrightarrow{\sim} K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(T_{\text{vert}}\mathcal{V}).$$

Definition 3.10. The *topological index* is by definition the morphism:

$$\text{t-ind}_{\mathcal{G}}^X : K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(T_{\text{vert}}X) \rightarrow K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(B), \quad \text{t-ind}_{\mathcal{G}}^X := \varphi^{-1} \circ i_!.$$

The topological index satisfies the following properties.

Theorem 3.11 ([33, Theorem 6.3]). *Let $X \rightarrow B$ be a longitudinally smooth bundle and*

$$\text{t-ind}_{\mathcal{G}}^X : K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(T_{\text{vert}}X) \rightarrow K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(B)$$

be its associated topological index. Then

- (i) $\text{t-ind}_{\mathcal{G}}^X$ does not depend on the choice of the \mathcal{G} -equivariant vector bundle \mathcal{V} and on the \mathcal{G} -equivariant embedding $i : X \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$.
- (ii) $\text{t-ind}_{\mathcal{G}}^X$ is a $K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(B)$ -homomorphism.
- (iii) If $X = B$, then the map

$$\text{t-ind}_{\mathcal{G}}^X : K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(B) = K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(T_{\text{vert}}X) \rightarrow K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(B)$$

coincides with $\text{Id}_{K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(B)}$.

- (iv) *Suppose X and Y are compact longitudinally smooth \mathcal{G} -bundles, $i : X \rightarrow Y$ is a fiberwise \mathcal{G} -embedding. Then the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(T_{\text{vert}}X) & \xrightarrow{i_!} & K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(T_{\text{vert}}Y) \\ & \searrow \text{t-ind}_{\mathcal{G}}^X & \swarrow \text{t-ind}_{\mathcal{G}}^Y \\ & & K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(B). \end{array}$$

commutes.

4. AN AXIOMATIC APPROACH

Condition 4.1. From now on, we shall assume some additional smoothness properties of the spaces and actions involved. Namely, we assume B to be a *smooth* (compact) manifold and $X \rightarrow B$ to be a *smooth* bundle. We also assume that all vector bundles involved are smooth. Let us trivialize over an open subset $U \subset B$, so that $X|_U = X_0 \times U$ and $\mathcal{G}|_U = G \times U \subset G \times \mathbb{R}^n$ (we consider U as an open neighborhood of zero in \mathbb{R}^n). We then assume that the induced action of $G \times U$ on X_0 is smooth.

Below, by a *morphism of bundles of groups* we shall mean a morphism of longitudinally smooth bundles that is a fiberwise homomorphism of groups. The morphism ψ^* is naturally induced by the group-bundle morphism $\psi : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$. We now introduce the important concept of an “index function”, extending the definition in [5].

Definition 4.2. An *index function* is a family of $K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(B)$ -homomorphisms $\{\text{ind}_{\mathcal{G}}^X\}$

$$\text{ind}_{\mathcal{G}}^X : K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(T_{\text{vert}} X) \rightarrow K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(B),$$

where \mathcal{G} runs over the set of bundles of compact Lie groups and X runs over compact longitudinally smooth \mathcal{G} -bundles. This family is required to satisfy the following two conditions:

- (1) If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a \mathcal{G} -diffeomorphism, then the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(T_{\text{vert}} X) & \xrightarrow{(df^{-1})^*} & K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(T_{\text{vert}} Y) \\ & \searrow \text{ind}_{\mathcal{G}}^X & \swarrow \text{ind}_{\mathcal{G}}^Y \\ & K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(B) & \end{array}$$

is commutative.

- (2) If $\psi : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ is a morphism of bundles of groups over B , then the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(T_{\text{vert}} X) & \xrightarrow{\psi^*} & K_{\mathcal{H}}^0(T_{\text{vert}} X) \\ \text{ind}_{\mathcal{G}}^X \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{ind}_{\mathcal{H}}^X \\ K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(B) & \xrightarrow{\psi^*} & K_{\mathcal{H}}^0(B) \end{array}$$

is commutative.

We have the following.

Proposition 4.3. *The topological index $\text{t-ind}_{\mathcal{G}}^X$ is an index function.*

Proof. Indeed, we need to check the two conditions defining an index function. To prove (1), let us suppose that we have a map $i : Y \hookrightarrow \mathcal{V}$ and let $j := i \circ f : X \hookrightarrow \mathcal{V}$. By (ii) of Theorem 3.7 (on the properties of the Gysin map), the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(T_{\text{vert}} Y) & & \\ \uparrow f_! & \searrow i_! & \\ K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(T_{\text{vert}} X) & \xrightarrow{j_!} & K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(T_{\text{vert}} \mathcal{V}) \xrightarrow{\varphi^{-1}} K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(B). \end{array}$$

By (iv) of the same theorem, we have that, in our case, $f_! = (df^{-1})^*$ and then we complete the proof of (1) by using this definition of t-ind .

Property (2) immediately follows from the definitions if on \mathcal{V} we consider the action of \mathcal{H} induced by ψ . \square

Let us consider the following two axioms for an index function $\{\text{ind}_{\mathcal{G}}^X\}$ family of maps $\text{ind}_{\mathcal{G}}^X : K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(T_{\text{vert}}X) \rightarrow K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(B)$, defined for all compact, longitudinally smooth \mathcal{G} -bundles $X \rightarrow B$, and satisfying the two conditions above.

Axiom A1. If $X = B$, then $\text{ind}_{\mathcal{G}}^X : K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(T_{\text{vert}}X) \rightarrow K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(B)$ coincides with $\text{Id}_{K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(B)}$.

Axiom A2. Suppose $i : X \rightarrow Y$ is a fiberwise \mathcal{G} -embedding. Then the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(T_{\text{vert}}X) & \xrightarrow{i_!} & K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(T_{\text{vert}}Y) \\ & \searrow \text{ind}_{\mathcal{G}}^X & \swarrow \text{ind}_{\mathcal{G}}^Y \\ & K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(B) & \end{array}$$

is commutative.

We have the following corollary of Theorem 3.11.

Corollary 4.4. *The topological index $\text{t-ind}_{\mathcal{G}}^X$ satisfies Axioms A1 and A2.*

We can now prove the following theorem.

Theorem 4.5. *Let $\text{ind}_{\mathcal{G}}^X$ be an index function satisfying axioms A1 and A2. Then $\text{ind}_{\mathcal{G}}^X = \text{t-ind}_{\mathcal{G}}^X$.*

Proof. Consider a \mathcal{G} -embedding $i : X \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ of a longitudinally smooth \mathcal{G} -bundle X in a real vector \mathcal{G} -bundle $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow B$. The fiberwise one-point compactification \mathcal{V}^+ (i. e., a sphere bundle) is a \mathcal{G} -bundle with the canonical \mathcal{G} -inclusion $\varepsilon^+ : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^+$. Put $i^+ := \varepsilon^+ \circ i : X \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^+$. If $P = B \subset \mathcal{V}$ and $j : P \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ is the inclusion, then we obtain the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(T_{\text{vert}}X) & & \\ & \swarrow i_! & \downarrow i_!^+ & \searrow \text{ind}_{\mathcal{G}}^X & \\ K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(T_{\text{vert}}\mathcal{V}) & \xrightarrow{(\varepsilon^+)_!} & K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(T_{\text{vert}}\mathcal{V}^+) & \xrightarrow{\text{ind}_{\mathcal{G}}^{\mathcal{V}^+}} & K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(B) \\ & \swarrow j_! & \uparrow j_!^+ & \searrow \text{ind}_{\mathcal{G}}^P & \\ & & K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(T_{\text{vert}}P) = K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(B) & & \end{array}$$

where $j^+ = \varepsilon^+ \circ j : P \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^+$. By (ii) of Theorem 3.7 (respectively, by axiom A2), the left (respectively, right) triangles commute. By Axiom A1, $\text{ind}_{\mathcal{G}}^P$ is the identity mapping. Since $j_! : K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(B) \rightarrow K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(T\mathcal{V}) = K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(\mathcal{V} \otimes \mathbb{C})$ coincides with the Thom homomorphism, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ind}_{\mathcal{G}}^X &= \text{ind}_{\mathcal{G}}^{\mathcal{V}^+} \circ i_!^+ = \text{ind}_{\mathcal{G}}^{\mathcal{V}^+} \circ (\varepsilon^+)_! \circ i_! = \text{ind}_{\mathcal{G}}^{\mathcal{V}^+} \circ (j^+)_! \circ j_!^{-1} \circ i_! = \\ &= \text{ind}_{\mathcal{G}}^P \circ j_!^{-1} \circ i_! = j_!^{-1} \circ i_! = \text{t-ind}_{\mathcal{G}}^X. \end{aligned}$$

The theorem is proved. \square

We would like to replace axiom A2 by new axioms. We start from the following formulation.

Axiom B1 (excision). Let U be a (non-compact) longitudinally smooth \mathcal{G} -bundle and

$$j_1 : U \rightarrow X', \quad j_2 : U \rightarrow X''$$

be fiberwise \mathcal{G} -embeddings of U onto open subsets of the compact longitudinally smooth \mathcal{G} -bundles X' and X'' . Then the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(T_{\text{vert}}X') & \\
 (dj_1)_* \nearrow & & \searrow \text{ind}_{\mathcal{G}}^{X'} \\
 K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(T_{\text{vert}}U) & & K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(B) \\
 (dj_2)_* \searrow & & \nearrow \text{ind}_{\mathcal{G}}^{X''} \\
 & K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(T_{\text{vert}}X'') &
 \end{array}$$

is commutative.

Suppose at least one of the embeddings j_1 or j_2 is defined. Then by Axiom B1, the index map

$$\text{ind}_{\mathcal{G}}^U : K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(T_{\text{vert}}U) \rightarrow K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(B)$$

is well defined.

Let Y be a smooth, compact manifold and H be a compact Lie group acting on Y . Let D be an elliptic (pseudo)differential operator acting between suitable sections of two vector bundles on Y . Then the kernel and cokernel of D are finite dimensional, complex representations of H , and thus define elements in $R(H)$, the representation ring of H . We shall denote by ${}^c\text{-ind}_H^Y(D) := [\ker(D)] - [\text{coker}(D)] \in R(H)$ the classical H -equivariant index of D . We thus obtain a well defined morphism

$${}^c\text{-ind}_H^Y : K_H^0(TY) \rightarrow R(H).$$

We have the following statement (see [5]). Suppose $j : * \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is the embedding of the origin, hence $j_! : R(O(n)) \rightarrow K_{O(n)}^0(T\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then

$$(11) \quad {}^c\text{-ind}_{O(n)}^{\mathbb{R}^n} j_!(1) = 1.$$

Let $\pi : P \rightarrow X$ be a compact longitudinally smooth principal bundle for a compact bundle of Lie groups $\mathcal{H} \rightarrow B$, i.e. we have a (right) free action of \mathcal{H} on P and $X = P/\mathcal{H}$. Suppose we have a left action of the bundle $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow B$ on P and these two actions commute. Let F be a compact longitudinally smooth left $(\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{H})$ -bundle, where we write $\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{H}$ instead of $\mathcal{G} \times_B \mathcal{H}$ for a more compact notation. We can form the associated bundle $\pi_1 : Y = P \times_{\mathcal{H}} F \rightarrow X$ with the natural action of \mathcal{G} . Consider the tangent bundle along the fibers of π_1 (which is automatically ‘‘vertical’’). Let us denote it by $T_F Y$. Then $T_F Y$ is a \mathcal{G} -invariant real subbundle of TY_{vert} and $T_F Y = P \times_{\mathcal{H}} TF_{\text{vert}}$. Using the metric it is possible to decompose TY_{vert} into a direct sum $T_{\text{vert}} Y = T_F Y \oplus \pi_1^*(T_{\text{vert}} X)$. Therefore the multiplication

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(T_{\text{vert}} X) \otimes K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(T_F Y) & & \\
 \downarrow & & \\
 K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(\pi_1^* T_{\text{vert}} X) \otimes K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(T_F Y) & \rightarrow & K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(T_{\text{vert}} Y)
 \end{array}$$

is defined. There exists the map

$$K_{\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{H}}^0(T_{\text{vert}} F) \rightarrow K_{\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{H}}^0(P \times T_{\text{vert}} F) \cong K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(P \times_{\mathcal{H}} T_{\text{vert}} F) = K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(T_F Y).$$

Hence we can define the map

$$\gamma : K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(T_{\text{vert}} X) \otimes K_{\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{H}}^0(T_{\text{vert}} F) \rightarrow K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(T_{\text{vert}} Y).$$

Let us denote $\gamma(a \otimes b)$ by $a \cdot b$.

If $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow B$ is a complex vector $(\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{H})$ -bundle, then $P \times_{\mathcal{H}} \mathcal{V}$ is a complex vector \mathcal{G} -bundle over X . We obtain the following ring homomorphism being a homomorphism of $K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(B)$ -modules:

$$\mu_P : K_{\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{H}}^0(B) \rightarrow K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(X), \quad [\mathcal{V}] \mapsto [P \times_{\mathcal{H}} \mathcal{V}].$$

Since $K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(T_{\text{vert}} X)$ has a $K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(X)$ -module structure, we can formulate the following axiom.

Axiom B2. If $a \in K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(T_{\text{vert}} X)$, $b \in K_{\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{H}}^0(T_{\text{vert}} F)$, then

$$\text{ind}_{\mathcal{G}}^Y(a \cdot b) = \text{ind}_{\mathcal{G}}^X(a \cdot \mu_P(\text{ind}_{\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{H}}^F(b))),$$

i. e., the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(T_{\text{vert}} X) \otimes K_{\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{H}}^0(T_{\text{vert}} F) & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \text{ind}_{\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{H}}^F} & K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(T_{\text{vert}} X) \otimes K_{\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{H}}^0(B) \\ \downarrow \gamma & & \downarrow 1 \otimes \mu_P \\ K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(T_{\text{vert}} Y) & & K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(T_{\text{vert}} X) \otimes K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(X) \\ \downarrow \text{ind}_{\mathcal{G}}^Y & & \downarrow \\ K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(B) & \xleftarrow{\text{ind}_{\mathcal{G}}^X} & K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(T_{\text{vert}} X) \end{array}$$

is commutative

The following two statements were briefly mentioned in [33], we remind them for the convenience of the reader.

Theorem 4.6. *Let $\pi : P \rightarrow X$ be a principal right \mathcal{H} -bundle with a left action of \mathcal{G} commuting with \mathcal{H} . Suppose F is a longitudinally smooth $(\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{H})$ -bundle. Let us denote by Y the space $P \times_{\mathcal{H}} F$. Let $j : X' \rightarrow X$ and $k : F' \rightarrow F$ be fiberwise \mathcal{G} - and $(\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{H})$ -embeddings, respectively. Let $\pi' : P' \rightarrow X'$ be the principal \mathcal{H} -bundle induced by j on X' . Assume that $Y' := P' \times_{\mathcal{H}} F'$. The embeddings j and k induce \mathcal{G} -embedding $j * k : Y' \rightarrow Y$. Then the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(T_{\text{vert}} X) \otimes_{K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(B)} K_{\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{H}}^0(T_{\text{vert}} F) & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(T_{\text{vert}} Y) \\ \uparrow j_! \otimes k_! & & \uparrow (j * k)_! \\ K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(T_{\text{vert}} X') \otimes_{K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(B)} K_{\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{H}}^0(T_{\text{vert}} F') & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(T_{\text{vert}} Y') \end{array}$$

is commutative.

Let us remark that in the statement of this theorem there is no compactness assumption on X, X', F , and F' , since there is no compactness assumption in the definition of the Gysin homomorphism. This is unlike in the definition of the topological index where we start with a compact \mathcal{G} -bundle $X \rightarrow B$.

Proof. Let us use the definition of γ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(T_{\text{vert}} X) \otimes K_{\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{H}}^0(T_{\text{vert}} F) & \longrightarrow & K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(T_{\text{vert}} X) \otimes K_{\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{H}}^0(P \times T_{\text{vert}} F) \xrightarrow{\cong} \\ \uparrow j_! \otimes k_! & \boxed{1} & \uparrow \epsilon & \boxed{2} \\ K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(T_{\text{vert}} X') \otimes K_{\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{H}}^0(T_{\text{vert}} F') & \longrightarrow & K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(T_{\text{vert}} X') \otimes K_{\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{H}}^0(P' \times T_{\text{vert}} F') \xrightarrow{\cong} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\cong K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(T_{\text{vert}}X) \otimes K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(P \times_{\mathcal{H}} T_{\text{vert}}F) \rightarrow K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(\pi_1^* T_{\text{vert}}X) \otimes K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(P \times_{\mathcal{H}} T_{\text{vert}}F) \rightarrow \\
&\quad \quad \quad \beta \uparrow \quad \quad \quad \boxed{3} \quad \quad \quad \alpha \uparrow \\
&\cong K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(TX') \otimes K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(P' \times_{\mathcal{H}} T_{\text{vert}}F') \rightarrow K_{\mathcal{G}}^0((\pi'_1)^* T_{\text{vert}}X') \otimes K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(P' \times_{\mathcal{H}} T_{\text{vert}}F') \rightarrow \\
(12) \quad &\rightarrow K_{\mathcal{G}}^0((\pi_1^* T_{\text{vert}}X) \times (P \times_{\mathcal{H}} T_{\text{vert}}F)) \rightarrow \\
&\rightarrow K_{\mathcal{G}}^0((\pi'_1)^* T_{\text{vert}}X' \times (P' \times_{\mathcal{H}} T_{\text{vert}}F')) \rightarrow \\
&\rightarrow K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(\pi_1^* T_{\text{vert}}X \oplus (P \times_{\mathcal{H}} T_{\text{vert}}F)) = K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(T_{\text{vert}}Y) \\
&\quad \quad \quad \boxed{4} \quad \quad \quad \uparrow (j * k)_! \\
&\rightarrow K_{\mathcal{G}}^0((\pi'_1)^* T_{\text{vert}}X' \oplus (P' \times_{\mathcal{H}} T_{\text{vert}}F')) = K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(TY'_{\text{vert}}),
\end{aligned}$$

where the projections $\pi_1 : Y = P \times_{\mathcal{H}} F \rightarrow X$ and $\pi'_1 : Y' = P \times_{\mathcal{H}} F' \rightarrow X'$ are defined as above. Here we use the isomorphism $K_{\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{H}}^0(P \times W) \cong K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(P \times_{\mathcal{H}} W)$ for a free \mathcal{H} -bundle P (see [33, Theorem 2.6]). Let us remind the following diagram that was used for the definition of the Gysin homomorphism of an embedding $j : X' \rightarrow X$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
p_T^*(N_{X'} \oplus N_{X'})_{\text{vert}} & \xleftarrow{\psi} & T_{\text{vert}}N_{X'} & \xrightarrow{d\Phi_{X'}} & T_{\text{vert}}W_{X'} & \xrightarrow{dj_2} & T_{\text{vert}}X \\
\downarrow q_T^{X'} & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
T_{\text{vert}}X' & & N_{X', \text{vert}} & & W_{X'} & & X \\
\searrow p_T & \swarrow p_{N_{X', \text{vert}}} & \searrow \Phi_{X'} & & \searrow j_2 & & \\
& X' & \xrightarrow{j_1} & W_{X'} & \xrightarrow{j_2} & X.
\end{array}$$

From the similar diagrams for $k_!$ and $(j * k)_!$ and the explicit form of these maps, it follows that the square $\boxed{4}$ in (12) is commutative if, and only if, α has the following form:

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha(\sigma \otimes \rho) &= (\pi_1^*) \left\{ (dj_2)_* (d\Phi_{X'}^{-1})^* \psi_{X'}^* \right\} \circ \varphi^S(\sigma) \otimes \\
&\otimes (\pi^* j_2 \times_{\mathcal{H}} dk_2)_* \left((\pi^* \Phi_{X'} \times_{\mathcal{H}} d\Phi_{F'})^{-1} \right)^* (1 \times_{\mathcal{H}} \psi_{F'})^* \varphi^R(\rho),
\end{aligned}$$

where S and T are bundles of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\pi_1^* \left((p_T^{X'})^* \{N_{X'} \oplus N_{X'}\} \right) & & \pi^* N_{X'} \times_{\mathcal{H}} (p_T^{F'})^* (N_{F'} \oplus N_{F'}) \\
S : \quad \downarrow (\pi_1^*)^* q_T^{X'} & R : & \downarrow (\pi^*)^* (p_{N_{X'}}) \times_{\mathcal{H}} q_T^{F'} \\
(\pi_1^*)^* (T_{\text{vert}}X') & & \pi^* X' \times_{\mathcal{H}} T_{\text{vert}}F' = P' \times_{\mathcal{H}} T_{\text{vert}}F'.
\end{array}$$

Hence the square $\boxed{3}$ in (12) is commutative if, and only if, the homomorphism β has the form

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta(\tau \otimes \rho) &= j_!(\tau) \otimes \\
&\otimes (\pi^* j_2 \times_{\mathcal{H}} dk_2)_* \left((\pi^* \Phi_{X'} \times_{\mathcal{H}} d\Phi_{F'})^{-1} \right)^* (1 \times_{\mathcal{H}} \psi_{F'})^* \varphi^R(\rho), \\
\tau &\in K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(TX'), \quad \rho \in K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(P' \times_{\mathcal{H}} TF').
\end{aligned}$$

In turn, the square $\boxed{2}$ in (12) is commutative if, and only if, the homomorphism ε has the form

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon(\tau \otimes \delta) &= j_!(\tau) \otimes \\ &\otimes (\pi^* j_2 \times_{\mathcal{H}} dk_2)_* \left((\pi^* \Phi_{X'} \times_{\mathcal{H}} d\Phi_{F'})^{-1} \right)^* (1 \times_{\mathcal{H}} \psi_{F'})^* \varphi_{\mathbb{C}}^{\tilde{R}}(\delta), \\ \tau &\in K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(TX'), \quad \delta \in K_{\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{H}}^0(P' \times TF'), \end{aligned}$$

where \tilde{R} is the following bundle:

$$\tilde{R} : \begin{array}{c} \pi^* N_{X'} \times (p_T^{F'})^* (N_{F'} \oplus N_{F'}) \\ \downarrow (\pi')^* (p_N) \times q_T^{F'} \\ P' \times TF'. \end{array}$$

Suppose $\delta = [\mathbb{C}] \widehat{\otimes} \omega$, where $[\mathbb{C}] \in K_{\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{H}}^0(P')$, \mathbb{C} is the one-dimensional trivial bundle and $\omega \in K_{\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{H}}^0(TF')$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon(\tau \otimes \delta) &= j_!(\tau) \otimes \left\{ \pi^* (j_2)_* (\Phi_{X'}^{-1})^* [\mathbb{C}] \widehat{\otimes} k_!(\omega) \right\} = \\ &= j_!(\tau) \otimes \left\{ [\mathbb{C}] \widehat{\otimes} k_!(\omega) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since the map $K_{\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{H}}^0(TF) \rightarrow K_{\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{H}}^0(P \times TF)$ (as well as the lower line in (12)) has the form $\omega \mapsto [\mathbb{C}] \widehat{\otimes} \omega$, we have proved the commutativity of $\boxed{1}$ in (12). \square

From this theorem we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 4.7. *Let M be a compact smooth H -manifold, let $\mathcal{H} = B \times H$, and let P be a principal longitudinally smooth \mathcal{H} -bundle over X carrying also an action of \mathcal{G} commuting with the action of \mathcal{H} . Also, let $X \rightarrow B$ be a compact longitudinally smooth \mathcal{G} -bundle. Let $Y := P \times_H M \rightarrow X$ be associated longitudinally smooth \mathcal{G} -bundle. Taking $F = B \times M$, we define $T_M Y := T_F Y$. Then $T_M Y$ is a \mathcal{G} -invariant real subbundle of $T_{\text{vert}} Y$ and $T_M Y = P \times_H T M$. Let $j : X' \rightarrow X$ be a fiberwise \mathcal{G} -equivariant embedding and let $k : M' \rightarrow M$ be an H -embedding. Denote by $\pi' : P' \rightarrow X'$ the principal \mathcal{H} -bundle induced by j on X' and assume that $Y' := P' \times_H M'$. The embeddings j and k induce \mathcal{G} -embedding $j * k : Y' \rightarrow Y$. Then the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(T_{\text{vert}} X) \otimes K_H^0(TM) & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(T_{\text{vert}} Y) \\ \uparrow j_! \otimes k_! & & \uparrow (j * k)_! \\ K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(T_{\text{vert}} X') \otimes K_H^0(TM') & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(T_{\text{vert}} Y') \end{array}$$

is commutative.

Let us assume that in Axiom B2 the class $b \in K_{\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{H}}^0(B)$ is actually in $K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(B)$, namely

$$\text{ind}_{\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{H}}^F(b) \in K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(B) \subset K_{\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{H}}^0(B).$$

To be precise, the map $K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(B) \rightarrow K_{\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{H}}^0(B)$ is induced at the level of semigroups by sending a \mathcal{G} -vector bundle E with an action $g : e \mapsto ge$ ($e \in E_b$, $g \in \mathcal{G}_b$) to the same bundle with the action $(g, h) : e \mapsto ge$ ($h \in \mathcal{H}_b$). The existence of a left inverse map (restriction of action) implies injectivity.

We now consider two following weak forms of Axiom B2:

Axiom B2'. If $\text{ind}_{\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{H}}^F(b) \in K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(B) \subset K_{\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{H}}^0(B)$, then

$$\text{ind}_{\mathcal{G}}^Y(a \cdot b) = \text{ind}_{\mathcal{G}}^X(a) \cdot \text{ind}_{\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{H}}^F(b).$$

Assume in B2 $X = P$, $\mathcal{H} = B$. We can then formulate the following axiom.

Axiom B2''. If X and F are longitudinally smooth \mathcal{G} -bundles, then

$$\text{ind}_{\mathcal{G}}^{X \times F}(a \cdot b) = \text{ind}_{\mathcal{G}}^X(a) \cdot \text{ind}_{\mathcal{G}}^F(b).$$

Since μ_P and $\text{ind}_{\mathcal{G}}^X$ are $K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(B)$ -homomorphisms, then Axioms B2' and B2'' are consequences of Axiom B2.

Theorem 4.8. *Suppose that an index function $\text{ind}_{\mathcal{G}}^X$ satisfies A1, B1, B2', then*

$$\text{ind}_{\mathcal{G}}^X = \text{t-ind}_{\mathcal{G}}^X.$$

Proof. First we extend the axiom B2' to the non-compact case under some restrictions in the following way. Suppose that, in the axiom B2', F is equal to an open $(\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{H})$ -subbundle of the compact longitudinally smooth bundle \tilde{F} . Let $j : F \hookrightarrow \tilde{F}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ind}_{\mathcal{G}}^Y(a \cdot b) &= \text{ind}_{\mathcal{G}}^{\tilde{Y}}(dJ_*)(a \cdot b) = \text{ind}_{\mathcal{G}}^{\tilde{Y}}(a \cdot ((dj)_*b)) = \\ (13) \quad &= \text{ind}_{\mathcal{G}}^X(a) \cdot \text{ind}_{\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{H}}^{\tilde{F}}((dj)_*b) = \text{ind}_{\mathcal{G}}^X(a) \cdot \text{ind}_{\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{H}}^F(b), \end{aligned}$$

where J is the embedding

$$Y = P \times_{\mathcal{H}} F \xrightarrow{\text{Id} \times_{\mathcal{H}} j} P \times_{\mathcal{H}} \tilde{F} = \tilde{Y}.$$

Indeed, let us consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(T_{\text{vert}}X) \otimes K_{\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{H}}^0(T_{\text{vert}}F) & \longrightarrow & K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(T_{\text{vert}}X) \otimes K_{\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{H}}^0(P \times T_{\text{vert}}F) \cong \\ \downarrow 1 \otimes (dj)_* & & \downarrow 1 \otimes (\text{Id} \times dj)_* \\ K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(T_{\text{vert}}X) \otimes K_{\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{H}}^0(T_{\text{vert}}\tilde{F}) & \longrightarrow & K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(T_{\text{vert}}X) \otimes K_{\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{H}}^0(P \times T_{\text{vert}}\tilde{F}) \cong \\ \cong K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(T_{\text{vert}}X) \otimes K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(P \times_{\mathcal{H}} T_{\text{vert}}F) & \longlongequal{\quad} & K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(T_{\text{vert}}X) \otimes K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(T_F Y) \longrightarrow \\ \downarrow 1 \otimes (\text{Id} \times_{\mathcal{H}} dj)_* & & \downarrow 1 \otimes \alpha_* \\ \cong K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(T_{\text{vert}}X) \otimes K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(P \times_{\mathcal{H}} T_{\text{vert}}\tilde{F}) & \longlongequal{\quad} & K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(T_{\text{vert}}X) \otimes K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(T_{\tilde{F}} Y) \longrightarrow \\ & \longrightarrow & K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(\pi_1^* T_{\text{vert}}X) \otimes K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(T_F Y) \longrightarrow K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(T_{\text{vert}}Y) \\ & & \downarrow 1 \otimes \alpha_* \quad \downarrow (dJ)_* \\ & \longrightarrow & K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(\pi_1^* T_{\text{vert}}X) \otimes K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(T_{\tilde{F}} Y) \longrightarrow K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(T_{\text{vert}}\tilde{Y}). \end{array}$$

This diagram is commutative. In fact, we have

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T_{\text{vert}}Y & \longlongequal{\quad} & T_F Y \oplus \pi_1^*(T_{\text{vert}}X) \\ \downarrow dJ & & \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ T_{\text{vert}}\tilde{Y} & \longlongequal{\quad} & T_{\tilde{F}} Y \oplus \pi_1^*(T_{\text{vert}}X), \end{array}$$

and $\alpha = \text{Id} \times_{\mathcal{H}} dj$ under the identification $T_F Y = P \times_{\mathcal{H}} T F$. We have proved the second equality in (13), the remaining are obvious.

Let us now take, in particular,

$$F = \mathbb{R}^n \times B, \quad \tilde{F} = (\mathbb{R}^n)^+ \times B = S^n \times B, \quad \mathcal{H} = O(n) \times B, \quad b = \phi!(1), \quad 1 = [\mathbb{C}],$$

where $\phi : \vec{0} \times B \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \times B$ is the natural embedding. Then P is a principal $O(n) \times B$ -bundle over X , the action of the bundle of groups \mathcal{G} on P commutes with the action of $O(n) \times B$. Suppose \mathcal{G} acts on $\mathbb{R}^n \times B$ in a trivial way. We form the associated real \mathcal{G} -bundle

$$Y := P \times_{O(n)} \mathbb{R}^n = P \times_{O(n) \times B} (\mathbb{R}^n \times B) \rightarrow X.$$

Let us denote by

$$i : X \rightarrow Y, \quad i = 1_X * \phi,$$

the embedding of X as of the zero section. Assume that in Theorem 4.6 we have $F = \mathbb{R}^n \times B$, $X' = X$, $F' = B$. Then we obtain the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(T_{\text{vert}} X) \otimes_{K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(B)} K_{\mathcal{G} \times (O(n) \times B)}^0(T_{\text{vert}}(\mathbb{R}^n \times B)) & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(T_{\text{vert}} Y) \\ \uparrow (1_X)! \otimes \phi! & & \uparrow (1_X * \phi)! = i! \\ K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(T_{\text{vert}} X) \otimes_{K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(B)} K_{\mathcal{G} \times (O(n) \times B)}^0(B) & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(T_{\text{vert}} X). \end{array}$$

Since $\gamma(a \otimes 1) = a$ on the bottom line, we have

$$i!(a) = \gamma\left(\left((1_X)! \otimes \phi!\right)(a \otimes 1)\right) = \gamma(a \otimes \phi!(1)) = a \cdot \phi!(1) = a \cdot b.$$

By Equation (11),

$$\text{ind}_{\mathcal{G} \times O(n)}^{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi!(1) = 1,$$

where \mathcal{G} acts on $\mathbb{R}^n \times B$ in a trivial way. Now by equality in Equation (13),

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ind}_{\mathcal{G}}^X(a) &= \text{ind}_{\mathcal{G}}^X(a \cdot 1) = \text{ind}_{\mathcal{G}}^X(a \cdot \mu_P(1)) = \\ (14) \quad &= \text{ind}_{\mathcal{G}}^X(a \cdot \mu_P(\text{ind}_{\mathcal{G} \times (O(n) \times B)}^{\mathbb{R}^n}(b))) = \text{ind}_{\mathcal{G}}^Y(a \cdot b) = \text{ind}_{\mathcal{G}}^Y i!(a). \end{aligned}$$

Let $k : X \rightarrow Z$ be a fiberwise embedding of X in a compact longitudinally smooth \mathcal{G} -bundle Z with the fiberwise normal bundle N and a fiberwise \mathcal{G} -invariant tubular neighborhood $\Phi : N \rightarrow W$. By the definition of the Gysin homomorphism, $k! = (di_2 \circ d\Phi)_* i!$, where $di_2 : T_{\text{vert}} W \rightarrow T_{\text{vert}} Z$ is an embedding of vertical tangent bundle and $i : X \rightarrow N$ is the fiberwise embedding of X as of the zero section in the normal bundle. In the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(T_{\text{vert}} X) & \xrightarrow{i!} & K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(T_{\text{vert}} N) & \xrightarrow{(di_2 \circ d\Phi)_*} & K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(T_{\text{vert}} Z) \\ & \searrow \text{ind}_{\mathcal{G}}^X & \downarrow \text{ind}_{\mathcal{G}}^N & \swarrow \text{ind}_{\mathcal{G}}^Z & \\ & & K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(B) & & \end{array}$$

the left triangle is commutative by (14). Indeed, we can take P equal to the principal $O(n)$ -bundle of normal vertical orthonormal frames and $Y = N$. The map $i_2 \cdot \Phi$ is an open embedding. Hence by B1, the right triangle is commutative too. Therefore, $\text{ind}_{\mathcal{G}}^X = \text{ind}_{\mathcal{G}}^Z \circ k!$. Hence A2 is satisfied. To complete the proof it remains to apply Theorem 4.5. \square

Let us remark that we have used only a very particular case of Axiom B2', namely the following one:

Axiom B2⁰. Let P be the principal $O(n) \times B$ -bundle of normal (vertical) orthonormal frames of the embedding $k : X \rightarrow Z$, i.e. the bundle of frames of N . Suppose \mathcal{G} acts on $\mathbb{R}^n \times B$ in a trivial way. The associated real \mathcal{G} -bundle

$$Y := P \times_{O(n)} \mathbb{R}^n = P \times_{O(n) \times B} (\mathbb{R}^n \times B) \rightarrow X$$

is just N . Let

$$i : X \rightarrow Y, \quad i = 1_X * \phi,$$

be the embedding of X as of the zero section. Then the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(T_{\text{vert}}X) & \xrightarrow{i_!} & K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(T_{\text{vert}}Y) \\ \text{ind}_{\mathcal{G}}^X \searrow & & \swarrow \text{ind}_{\mathcal{G}}^Y \\ & K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(B) & \end{array}$$

commutes.

In the formulation of the next theorem we require the excision axiom, hence one can use instead of B2⁰ its reformulation for the fiber-wise compactification, demanding commutativity of the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(T_{\text{vert}}X) & \xrightarrow{i_!} & K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(T_{\text{vert}}Y^{\bullet}), \\ \text{ind}_{\mathcal{G}}^X \searrow & & \swarrow \text{ind}_{\mathcal{G}}^{Y^{\bullet}} \\ & K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(B) & \end{array}$$

where $Y^{\bullet} = P \times_{O(n) \times B} (S^n \times B)$. So we have:

Theorem 4.9. *Suppose that an index function $\text{ind}_{\mathcal{G}}^X$ satisfies A1, B1, B2⁰, then*

$$\text{ind}_{\mathcal{G}}^X = \text{t-ind}_{\mathcal{G}}^X.$$

5. PROOF OF THE INDEX THEOREM

First of all, let us notice that the analytical index is an index function. Indeed, it has the property (1) of Definition 4.2, since a \mathcal{G} -diffeomorphism takes KER to KER and COK to COK. The property (2) of 4.2 for the analytical index means that, in the presence of ψ , the bundles KER and COK can be considered as \mathcal{G} -bundles and \mathcal{H} -bundles in a coherent way. Thus, the analytic index function a-ind also satisfies the property (2) of the definition of an index function.

Lemma 5.1. *The analytical index a-ind satisfies the axiom A1.*

Proof. An elliptic family of operators over the trivial bundle $X = B \rightarrow B$ is a \mathcal{G} -morphism $P : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ of equivariant vector bundles and $[\sigma(P)] = [\mathcal{V}] - [\mathcal{W}] = \text{a-ind}P \in K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(B)$. \square

Before going further, let us note that, if a Fredholm \mathcal{G} -family is fiberwise surjective, then its kernel forms a \mathcal{G} -vector bundle over B , i.e. $\text{KER} = \ker$ and $\text{COK} = \text{coker} = 0$, see [32] for the definitions of KER and COK.

Lemma 5.2. *Let H_b^0 and H_b^1 be \mathcal{G} -bundles of Hilbert spaces together with an equivariant Fredholm family $D_b : H_b^0 \rightarrow H_b^1$, $b \in B$. Let $L \rightarrow B$ be a finite-dimensional \mathcal{G} -bundle and $T : L \rightarrow H$ a morphism of \mathcal{G} -bundles such that $D_b + T_b : H_b \oplus L_b \rightarrow H_b$ is surjective for all b (that is, $B + T$ is fiberwise surjective). Then*

$$\text{a-ind}(D) = \ker(D + T) - [L].$$

Proof. Denote by Q the family $D + T$ considered as a family of fiberwise maps from $H \oplus L \rightarrow H \oplus L$. Then Q is a fiberwise compact perturbation of $D \oplus \text{Id}$. Hence $\text{a-ind}(Q) = \text{a-ind}(D)$. Also $\text{a-ind}(Q) = \ker(D + T) - [L]$. \square

Also we need the following statement.

Lemma 5.3. *Let $(D_b)_{b \in B}$ be an elliptic family with an invariant principal symbol family $(\sigma_b)_{b \in B}$. Then the fiberwise average $\text{Av}_{\mathcal{G}} D$ is an invariant elliptic family with the same principal symbol.*

Proof. All facts are known from single operator theory, except for the continuity of $\text{Av}_{\mathcal{G}} D$. However, since this is a local question, we can assume that $X|_U = X_0 \times U$, $\mathcal{G}|_U = G \times U$, D_b depends continuously on $b \in U \subset B$, and the action of $G \times U$ on X_0 is smooth (see Condition 4.1). Then, by [5, 5.5], the action of \mathcal{G} is continuous on families of operators, and hence we can integrate over G to project onto the fixed-point set. \square

Theorem 5.4. *The index a-ind satisfies the axiom B1.*

Proof. We shall use the notation introduced in the statement of Axiom B1. Thus, suppose that $a \in K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(T_{\text{vert}}U)$, that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} j_1 : U & \xrightarrow{\quad} & X' \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & & B \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} j_2 : U & \xrightarrow{\quad} & X'' \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & & B \end{array}$$

are fiberwise \mathcal{G} -embeddings, and that $\pi : T_{\text{vert}}U \rightarrow U$ is the natural projection. Let the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \pi^* E \xrightarrow{\rho} \pi^* F \rightarrow 0$$

of \mathcal{G} -bundles be exact for $x \in U \setminus L$, $|\xi| > c$ (point and (co)vector), where $E \rightarrow U$ and $F \rightarrow U$ are longitudinally smooth \mathcal{G} -bundles and L is some \mathcal{G} -invariant compact subbundle of U . Suppose

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha : E|_{U \setminus L} &\cong (U \setminus L) \times_B N, & \beta : F|_{U \setminus L} &\cong (U \setminus L) \times_B N, \\ \text{and } \rho &= (\pi^* \beta)^{-1} (\pi^* \alpha), \end{aligned}$$

where $N \rightarrow B$ is a vector \mathcal{G} -bundle. More precisely, one can assume that, for a \mathcal{G} -invariant metric, L is a bundle of open balls of continuously changing radius over B . Take a representative of the homotopy class of ρ being a symbol of order zero. Then it is possible to assume the corresponding symbols $\sigma_1 \in \text{Smb}_0(X', E_1, F_1)$, $\sigma_2 \in \text{Smb}_0(X'', E_2, F_2)$ (we use the notation Smb_k , Int_k and CZ_k for family symbols, Fourier integral operators and Calderon-Zygmund operators (cf. [37])) be as follows. Suppose

$$E_1 = E \cup_{j_1 \alpha} (X' \setminus j_1 L) \times_B N, \quad E_2 = E \cup_{j_2 \alpha} (X'' \setminus j_2 L) \times_B N.$$

Let the similar equalities hold for F_1 and F_2 , and $\sigma_1 = \rho \cup_{j_1} \text{Id}$, $\sigma_2 = \rho \cup_{j_2} \text{Id}$. Let us pass to the construction of families of operators \tilde{D}_1 and \tilde{D}_2 that represent these families of symbols in $\text{Int}_0(X'; E_1, F_1)$ and $\text{Int}_0(X''; E_2, F_2)$, respectively. Let us take a trivializing cover, a partition of unity and smoothing functions on U . Pull them back on j_1U and j_2U , and then complete these collections of open sets (to obtain covers) by some open sets not intersecting with j_1L and j_2L , respectively. By our symbols and with the help of this data, let us construct in the usual way (non-invariant) families of operators $D_1, D_2 \in \text{CZ}_0$, and then (keeping in mind Lemma 5.3) we let

$$\tilde{D}_1 = \text{Av}_{\mathcal{G}} D_1 \in \text{Int}_0(X'), \quad \tilde{D}_2 = \text{Av}_{\mathcal{G}} D_2 \in \text{Int}_0(X'').$$

It is necessary to verify the equality

$$\text{a-ind} \tilde{D}_1 = \text{a-ind} \tilde{D}_2 \in K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(B).$$

Since L is invariant, the averaging over this set is the same for both operators. Since the operators have the order zero, we compute the index in continuous families of L_2 (square integrable) spaces. For these spaces

$$L_2(X', E_1) \cong L_2(j_1L, E_1|_{j_1L}) \oplus L_2(X' \setminus j_1L, E_1|_{X' \setminus j_1L})$$

and

$$\tilde{D}_1 : L_2(X' \setminus j_1L, E_1|_{X' \setminus j_1L}) \cong L_2(X' \setminus j_1L, E_1|_{X' \setminus j_1L})$$

(this is the identity operator). These decompositions are continuous (in L_2 -norms) in $b \in B$. Similar relations hold for \tilde{D}_2 . On the second summand of the decomposition of L_2 , we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Gamma(E_1|_{j_1L}) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{D}_1} & \Gamma(F_1|_{j_1L}) \\ (j_2j_1^{-1}) \downarrow \cong & & \cong \downarrow (j_2j_1^{-1}) \\ \Gamma(E_2|_{j_2L}) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{D}_2} & \Gamma(F_2|_{j_2L}). \end{array}$$

This diagram of \mathcal{G} -maps demonstrates the coincidence of indices, because KER and COK for \tilde{D}_1 can serve as KER and COK for \tilde{D}_2 . \square

See also [8, 10].

Theorem 5.5. *The analytical index a-ind satisfies the axiom B2⁰.*

Proof. Denote by \mathcal{B} an $O(n)$ -equivariant elliptic operator of order 1 over S^n , $\mathcal{B} : \Gamma^\infty(S^n, F^0) \rightarrow \Gamma^\infty(S^n, F^1)$, such that

- (i) its symbol $\sigma(\mathcal{B}) : \pi_S^* F^0 \rightarrow \pi_S^* F^1$ represents the class $\phi_!(1)$, where we denote by ϕ the injection $0 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ as well as its lift to B and $\pi_S : TS^n \rightarrow S^n$ is the natural projection;
- (ii) $\ker \mathcal{B}^* = 0$ and $\ker \mathcal{B}$ is a one dimensional trivial $O(n)$ -module.

The existence of such $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ follows e.g. from [6, Lemma 4.1]. Let an $a \in K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(T_{\text{vert}} X)$ be presented by a symbol $s : \pi_X^* E^0 \rightarrow \pi_X^* E^1$ of order one. As it was explained in the proof of Theorem 4.8, we have $i_!(a) = a \cdot \phi_!(1)$. Thus, by (i) above, $i_!(a)$ has a representative

$$(15) S = \begin{pmatrix} s \otimes_B \text{Id} & -\text{Id} \otimes_B \sigma(\mathcal{B})^* \\ \text{Id} \otimes_B \sigma(\mathcal{B}) & s^* \otimes_B \text{Id} \end{pmatrix} : \pi_{Y^\bullet}^* ((E^0 \otimes_B F^0) \oplus (E^1 \otimes_B F^1)) \\ \rightarrow \pi_{Y^\bullet}^* ((E^1 \otimes_B F^0) \oplus (E^0 \otimes_B F^1))$$

because γ or \cdot is locally the tensor multiplication of 2-complexes reduced over B . Now we need to verify that $\text{a-ind}_{\mathcal{G}}^X(s) = \text{a-ind}_{\mathcal{G}}^{Y^\bullet}(S)$.

Starting from a family A with the symbol s and operator B , using local lifts and averaging, we construct in a standard way (see, e.g. [6] and [48, p. 173]) an elliptic family

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\mathcal{A}} & -\tilde{\mathcal{B}}^* \\ \tilde{\mathcal{B}} & \tilde{\mathcal{A}}^* \end{pmatrix}$$

with the symbol S . The main difference with the standard argument is the new way of averaging over \mathcal{G} . It is explained in Lemma 5.3 that the averaging over \mathcal{G} respects continuity of families, the other properties are known from the single operator equivariant theory [5].

It remains to verify that $\text{a-ind}(A) = \text{a-ind}(D)$. Indeed, let $h_0 \in \Gamma^\infty(S^n, F^0)$ be a generator of the one dimensional $O(n)$ -module $\ker \mathcal{B}$. Define for any \mathcal{G} -equivariant bundle \tilde{E} over X the map

$$f : H^s(X, \tilde{E}) \rightarrow H^{s-1}(Y^\bullet, \tilde{E} \otimes F^0), \quad f(u) = u \otimes h_0.$$

Since h_0 is $O(n)$ -fixed, f is a well-defined injective \mathcal{G} -vector bundle homomorphism. Let L be a finite-dimensional \mathcal{G} -vector bundle over B and $T : L \rightarrow H^{s-1}(X, \tilde{E}^1)$ a \mathcal{G} -vector bundle homomorphism such that

$$Q_b : H^s(X, \tilde{E}_0)_b \oplus L_b \rightarrow H^{s-1}(X, \tilde{E}^1)_b, \quad Q_b(u, v) = \mathcal{A}_b(u) + T(v),$$

is surjective for any b . For L one can take (a bundle representing) $\text{COK}(\mathcal{A})$ and for T one can take the natural inclusion.

Consider a map

$$R_b : H^s(Y^\bullet, \tilde{E}^0 \otimes_B F^0 \oplus \tilde{E}^1 \otimes_B F^1)_b \oplus L_b \rightarrow H^{s-1}(Y^\bullet, \tilde{E}^1 \otimes_B F^0 \oplus \tilde{E}^0 \otimes_B F^1)_b$$

defined by the formula

$$R_b(u, v) = D_b(u) + (f \circ T(v) \oplus 0).$$

A standard argument (see e.g. [48, pp. 174–175]) shows that R_b is surjective for any b and $\ker R = \ker Q$. Thus, by Lemma 5.2

$$\text{a-ind}(\mathcal{A}) = \ker(Q) - [L] = \ker(R) - [L] = \text{a-ind}(D)$$

and we are done. \square

We can now prove the following topological index theorem for gauge-equivariant operators.

Theorem 5.6. *The index functions a-ind and t-ind coincide. More precisely, suppose that \mathcal{G} satisfies the finite holonomy condition, that $Y \rightarrow B$ is a longitudinally smooth bundle, and that P is a gauge-equivariant family of pseudodifferential operators on Y . Then*

$$\text{a-ind}(P) = \text{t-ind}(P).$$

Proof. From the results of this section (Lemma 5.1 and Theorems 5.4 and 5.5), it follows that we can apply Theorem 4.9 to conclude that $\text{a-ind} = \text{t-ind}$. \square

We conclude with a brief discussion of the homology of the groupoid algebras, in view of its connections to index theory [12].

Remark 5.7. Let us denote for any Lie group G by $I(G) := C^\infty(G)^G$, the space of smooth *class* functions on G . We shall use this only for compact G . Let $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow B$ be a longitudinally smooth bundle of Lie groups. Then $I(\mathcal{G}_b)$, $b \in B$, is a naturally flat bundle over B . It follows then from the Künneth formula in Hochschild and cyclic homology and using also localization with respect to the maximal ideals of $C^\infty(B)$ that the Hochschild homology groups of $C^\infty(\mathcal{G})$ are isomorphic to the space of forms on B with values in the sheaf defined by $I(\mathcal{G}_b)$. Similarly, the periodic cyclic homology groups of $C^\infty(\mathcal{G})$ are isomorphic to the cohomology groups of B with coefficients in the sheaf $I(\mathcal{G}_b)$. It would be interesting to establish a cohomological index theorem in cyclic homology, but this seems hard even in the case of a single operator without any group action, in spite of the many recent advances on the subject. See [38] and the references therein.

As mentioned in the Introduction, operators invariant with respect to groups appear in analysis on singular spaces, see [1, 2, 3, 9, 29, 36, 51], for example. It would be quite important to extend the results of this paper to operators invariant with respect to bundles of *solvable* Lie groups [15, 25, 30, 34, 49, 50].

REFERENCES

- [1] Bernd Ammann, Robert Lauter, and Victor Nistor, *Pseudodifferential operators on manifolds with a Lie structure at infinity*, Ann. of Math. (2) **165** (2007), no. 3, 717–747. MR 2335795 (2009e:58038)
- [2] Iakovos Androulidakis and Georges Skandalis, *The holonomy groupoid of a singular foliation*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **626** (2009), 1–37.
- [3] ———, *Pseudodifferential calculus on a singular foliation*, J. Noncommut. Geom. **5** (2011), no. 1, 125–152.
- [4] M. F. Atiyah, *K-theory*, W.A. Benjamin, Inc., New York – Amsterdam, 1967.
- [5] M. F. Atiyah and I. M. Singer, *The index of elliptic operators. I*, Ann. of Math. (2) **87** (1968), 484–530.
- [6] ———, *The index of elliptic operators. IV*, Ann. of Math. (2) **93** (1971), 119–138.
- [7] Michael Atiyah and Graeme Segal, *Twisted K-theory*, Ukr. Mat. Visn. **1** (2004), no. 3, 287–330. MR 2172633 (2006m:55017)
- [8] Catarina Carvalho, *A K-theory proof of the cobordism invariance of the index*, K-Theory **36** (2005), no. 1-2, 1–31 (2006). MR 2274155 (2007i:19010)
- [9] Catarina Carvalho and Yu Qiao, *Layer potentials C^* -algebras of domains with conical points*, Cent. Eur. J. Math. **11** (2013), no. 1, 27–54. MR 2988781
- [10] Catarina C. Carvalho, *Cobordism invariance of the family index*, Math. Nachr. **285** (2012), no. 7, 808–820. MR 2924514
- [11] A. Connes, *An analogue of the Thom isomorphism for crossed products of a C^* -algebra by an action of \mathbb{R}* , Adv. Math. **39** (1981), 31–55.
- [12] ———, *Noncommutative geometry*, Academic Press, San Diego, 1994.
- [13] A. Connes and G. Skandalis, *The longitudinal index theorem for foliations*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci., Kyoto Univ. **20** (1984), no. 6, 1139–1183.
- [14] H. O. Cordes, *Spectral theory of linear differential operators and comparison algebras*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 76, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1987. MR 890743 (88g:35144)
- [15] Claire Debord and Jean-Marie Lescure, *K-duality for stratified pseudomanifolds*, Geom. Topol. **13** (2009), no. 1, 49–86. MR 2469513 (2009h:19005)
- [16] Ivo Dell’Ambrogio, Heath Emerson, and Ralf Meyer, *An equivariant Lefschetz fixed-point formula for correspondences*, Doc. Math. **19** (2014), 141–194. MR 3178251
- [17] Heath Emerson and Ralf Meyer, *Bivariant K-theory via correspondences*, Adv. Math. **225** (2010), no. 5, 2883–2919. MR 2680187 (2012a:19013)
- [18] ———, *Dualities in equivariant Kasparov theory*, New York J. Math. **16** (2010), 245–313. MR 2740579 (2012b:19004)

- [19] ———, *Equivariant embedding theorems and topological index maps*, Adv. Math. **225** (2010), no. 5, 2840–2882, (<http://arxiv.org/abs/0908.1465>). MR 2680186 (2011j:19009)
- [20] Th. Fack and G. Skandalis, *Connes' analogue of the Thom isomorphism for the Kasparov groups*, Invent. Math. **64** (1981), 7–14.
- [21] D. S. Freed, *Twisted K-theory and loop groups*, International Congress of Mathematicians (2002, Beijing), Higher Education Press, Beijing, 2002, pp. 419–430.
- [22] Daniel S. Freed, Michael J. Hopkins, and Constantin Teleman, *Twisted equivariant K-theory with complex coefficients*, J. Topol. **1** (2008), no. 1, 16–44. MR 2365650 (2009c:19011)
- [23] T. Friedrich, *Vorlesungen über K-Theorie*, Teubner, Leipzig, 1987.
- [24] M. Hilsum and G. Skandalis, *Invariance par homotopie de la signature à coefficients dans un fibré presque plat*, J. Reine Angew. Math **423** (1992), 73–99.
- [25] Matthias Lesch and Markus J. Pflaum, *Traces on algebras of parameter dependent pseudo-differential operators and the eta-invariant*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **352** (2000), no. 11, 4911–4936. MR 1661258 (2001b:58042)
- [26] Varghese Mathai, Richard B. Melrose, and Isadore M. Singer, *The index of projective families of elliptic operators*, Geom. Topol. **9** (2005), 341–373 (electronic). MR 2140985 (2005m:58044)
- [27] ———, *The index of projective families of elliptic operators: the decomposable case*, Astérisque (2009), no. 328, 255–296 (2010). MR 2674880 (2012b:19006)
- [28] Richard B. Melrose, *Geometric scattering theory*, Stanford Lectures, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995. MR 1350074 (96k:35129)
- [29] Bertrand Monthubert, *Pseudodifferential calculus on manifolds with corners and groupoids*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **127** (1999), no. 10, 2871–2881. MR 1600121 (2000a:58076)
- [30] V. Nistor, *An index theorem for gauge-invariant families: the case of solvable groups*, Acta Math. Hungar. **99** (2003), no. 1-2, 155–183. MR 1973092 (2004e:58038)
- [31] ———, *An index theorem for gauge-invariant families: The case of solvable groups*, Acta Math. Hungar. **99** (2003), no. 1-2, 155–183.
- [32] V. Nistor and E. Troitsky, *An index for gauge-invariant operators and the Dixmier-Douady invariant.*, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. **356** (2004), no. 1, 185–218.
- [33] ———, *The Thom isomorphism in gauge-equivariant K-theory*, C*-algebras and elliptic theory, Trends in Math., Birkhäuser, 2006, pp. 213–245.
- [34] Victor Nistor, *Asymptotics and index for families invariant with respect to a bundle of Lie groups*, Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl. **47** (2002), no. 4, 451–483 (2003). MR 1998896 (2004e:58037)
- [35] Victor Nistor and Evgenij Troitsky, *An index theorem in the gauge-equivariant K-theory*, 2009, (<http://wwwmath.uni-muenster.de/u/echters/Focused-Semester/workshopabstracts.html>, <http://mech.math.msu.su/~troitsky/muens.pdf>).
- [36] Victor Nistor, Alan Weinstein, and Ping Xu, *Pseudodifferential operators on differential groupoids*, Pacific J. Math. **189** (1999), no. 1, 117–152. MR 1687747 (2000c:58036)
- [37] Richard S. Palais, *Seminar on the Atiyah-Singer index theorem*. With contributions by M. F. Atiyah, A. Borel, E. E. Floyd, R. T. Seeley, W. Shih and R. Solovay. Annals of Mathematics Studies, No. 57, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1965. MR 0198494 (33 #6649)
- [38] Denis Perrot, *Pseudodifferential extension and Todd class*, Adv. Math. **246** (2013), 265–302. MR 3091807
- [39] Marc A. Rieffel, *Connes' analogue for crossed products of the Thom isomorphism*, Operator algebras and K-theory (San Francisco, Calif., 1981), Contemp. Math., vol. 10, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1982, pp. 143–154. MR 658513 (83g:46062)
- [40] J. Rosenberg, *Continuous trace C*-algebras from the bundle theoretic point of view*, J. Aust. Math. Soc. A **47** (1989), 368–381.
- [41] Jonathan Rosenberg, *Groupoid C*-algebras and index theory on manifolds with singularities*, Geom. Dedicata **100** (2003), 65–84. MR 2011114 (2004i:58037)
- [42] ———, *Topology, C*-algebras, and string duality*, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, vol. 111, Published for the Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences, Washington, DC; by the American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2009. MR 2560910 (2011c:46153)
- [43] A. Yu. Savin and B. Yu. Sternin, *Uniformization of nonlocal elliptic operators and KK-theory*, Russ. J. Math. Phys. **20** (2013), no. 3, 345–359. MR 3096381

- [44] Claude Schochet, *The Dixmier-Douady invariant for dummies*, Notices Amer. Math. Soc. **56** (2009), no. 7, 809–816. MR 2546823 (2010m:19001)
- [45] Elmar Schrohe, *Fréchet algebra techniques for boundary value problems on noncompact manifolds: Fredholm criteria and functional calculus via spectral invariance*, Math. Nachr. **199** (1999), 145–185. MR 1676318 (2000b:58046)
- [46] Elmar Schrohe and Bert-Wolfgang Schulze, *Boundary value problems in Boutet de Monvel’s algebra for manifolds with conical singularities. I*, Pseudo-differential calculus and mathematical physics, Math. Top., vol. 5, Akademie Verlag, Berlin, 1994, pp. 97–209. MR 1287666 (95h:58136)
- [47] E. V. Troitsky, *”Twice” equivariant C^* -index theorem and the index theorem for families*, Acta. Appl. Math. **68** (2001), 39–70.
- [48] Kenji Tsuboi, *The Atiyah-Singer index theorem for G -equivariant real elliptic families*, Math. J. Okayama Univ. **36** (1994), 145–177 (1995). MR MR1349029 (96h:58164)
- [49] Ezio Vasselli, *Bundles of C^* -categories*, J. Funct. Anal. **247** (2007), no. 2, 351–377. MR 2323439 (2008f:46089)
- [50] ———, *Gauge-equivariant Hilbert bimodules and crossed products by endomorphisms*, Internat. J. Math. **20** (2009), no. 11, 1363–1396. MR 2584191 (2012b:46121)
- [51] Stéphane Vassout, *Unbounded pseudodifferential calculus on Lie groupoids*, J. Funct. Anal. **236** (2006), no. 1, 161–200. MR 2227132 (2007g:58030)

UNIVERSITÉ DE LORRAINE, UFR MIM, ILE DU SAULCY, CS 50128, 57045 METZ, FRANCE AND
 PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY, MATH. DEPT., UNIVERSITY PARK, PA 16802, USA
E-mail address: `victor.nistor@univ-lorraine.fr`

DEPT. OF MECH. AND MATH., MOSCOW STATE UNIVERSITY, 119899 MOSCOW, RUSSIA
E-mail address: `troitsky@mech.math.msu.su`
URL: `http://mech.math.msu.su/~troitsky`