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#### Abstract

It is proved that each irreducible linear system of differential equations can be analytically transformed to Birkhoff standard form


## 1 Introduction

Consider a linear system of differential equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
x \frac{d y}{d x}=A(x) y \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A(x)$ is a matrix of size $(p, p)$ of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(x)=x^{r} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_{n} x^{-n}, \quad A_{0} \neq 0, \quad r \geq 0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$x$ is a complex variable, and the power series converges in some neighborhood of $\infty$.

Under a transformation

$$
\begin{equation*}
z=\Gamma(x) y \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

system (1) is transformed to the system

$$
\begin{equation*}
x \frac{d z}{d x}=B(x) z, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
B(x)=x \frac{d \Gamma}{d x} \Gamma^{-1}+x \Gamma A(x) \Gamma^{-1} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\Gamma(x)$ is holomorphically invertible in some neighborhood of $\infty$, then such a transformation is called analytic. If $\Gamma(x)$ is holomorphically invertible in some punctured neighborhood of $\infty$, and is meromorphic at $\infty$, then such a transformation is called meromorphic.

If the matrix $B(x)$ in (4) is a polynomial in $x$ of the smallest possible degree, then (4) is called a Birkhoff standard. form for (1).

Birkhoff [Bi] claimed that each system (1) can be analytically transformed to a Birkhoff standard form, but Gantmacher [Ga] presented a counterexample to this statement. It turned out that Birkhoff's proof was valid only for the case when a monodromy matrix of system (1) was diagonalizable.

Let us call system (1) reducible if there exists a holomorphically invertible in some neighborhood of $\infty$ matrix $\Gamma(x)$ such that under the transformation (3) system (1) is transformed to system (4) with an lower diagonal block matrix

$$
B(x)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
B_{1} & 0  \tag{6}\\
* & B_{2}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

For $p=2$ Jurkat, Lutz, Peyerimhoff [JLP], and for $p=3$ Balser [Ba] proved that each irreducible system (1) (generic system in terms of Balser's paper [Ba]) can be analytically transformed to a Birkhoff standard form. We prove here that the analogous result is valid for arbitrary $p$.

Theorem 1 Each irreducible system (1) can be analytically transformed to a Birkhoff standard form.
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## 2 Technical lemmas

To prove Theorem 1 we need the following statements.

Lemma 1 . Suppose that the matrix $W(x)$ of size $(p-l, l)$ is holomorphic, and the matrix $Y(x)$ of size $(l, l)$ is holomorphically invertible in a neighborhood $O$ of the point 0 . For any integer-valued diagonal matrix $C=$ diag $\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{p}\right)$, there exists a matrix-function $\Gamma(x)$, meromorphic on the whole Riemann sphere and holomorphically invertible off the point 0 , such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma(x) x^{C}\binom{Y(x)}{W(x)}=x^{C^{\prime}}\binom{Y(x)}{W^{\prime}(x)} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C^{\prime}=\operatorname{diag}\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{l}, c_{l+1}^{\prime}, \ldots, c_{p}^{\prime}\right), \quad c_{j}^{\prime}>\min \left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{l}\right), j=l+1, \ldots, p$, and the matrix $W^{\prime}(x)$ is holomorphic in $O$ ([Bo2]).

Proof. By $t_{l}$ denote the rows of the matrix

$$
x^{C}\binom{Y(x)}{W(x)}
$$

Let $t_{m}=x^{c_{m}} w_{m}(x)$ be a row of this matrix such that $m>l, c_{m} \leq$ $\min \left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{l}\right), \quad w_{m}(0) \neq 0$. Since the rows $y_{1}(0), \ldots, y_{l}(0)$ of the matrix $Y(0)$ are linearly independent, we have $w_{m}(0)=-\sum_{j=1}^{l} d_{j} y_{j}(0)$. Hence, the row vector

$$
\begin{align*}
t_{m}^{1}(x)= & d_{1} x^{c_{m}-c_{1}} t_{1}(x)+\ldots+d_{l} x^{c_{m}-c_{l}} t_{l}(x)+t_{m}(x)=  \tag{8}\\
& x^{c_{m}}\left(d_{1} y_{1}(x)+\cdots+d_{l} y_{l}(x)+w_{m}(x)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

has the form $t_{m}^{1}(x)=x^{c_{m}^{1}} w_{m}^{1}(x)$, where either $w_{m}^{1}(x) \equiv 0$ or $w_{m}^{1}(0) \neq$ $0, c_{m}^{1}>c_{m}$. If $w_{m}^{1}(x) \equiv 0$ or $w_{m}^{1}(0) \neq 0, c_{m}^{1}>\min \left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{l}\right)$, then we stop the procedure. If $c_{m}^{1} \leq \min \left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{l}\right)$ and $w_{m}^{1}(0) \neq 0$, then $w_{m}^{1}(0)=$ $-\sum_{j=1}^{l} d_{j}^{1} y_{j}(0)$ and we again can consider the corresponding polynomial

$$
t_{m}^{2}(x)=d_{1}^{1} x^{c_{m}^{1}-c_{1}} t_{1}(x)+\ldots+d_{l}^{1} x^{c_{m}^{1}-c_{t}} t_{l}(x)+t_{m}^{1}(x)
$$

and so on.
In all cases after a finite number of steps, we get $t_{m}^{s}(x)=x^{c_{m}^{\prime}} w_{m}^{\prime}(x)$, where $c_{m}^{\prime}>\min \left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{l}\right)$ with holomorphic $w_{m}^{\prime}(x)$. We consider the polynomials

$$
Q_{j}^{m}=d_{j} x^{c_{m}-c_{j}}+d_{j}^{1} x^{c_{m}^{1}-c_{j}}+\ldots+d_{j}^{s-1} x^{c_{m}^{\prime-1}-c_{j}}
$$

in $\frac{1}{x}$. By construction,

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{l} Q_{j}^{m} t_{j}(x)+t_{m}(x)=x^{c_{m}^{\prime}} \quad w_{m}^{\prime}(x), \quad m=l+1, \ldots, p
$$

One should substitute

$$
\Gamma(x)=\left(\begin{array}{cccccccc}
1 & & & & & & &  \tag{9}\\
0 & \cdot & & & & & & \\
\cdot & & \cdot & & & & 0 & \\
\cdot & & & & & & \\
Q_{1}^{i+1} & . & . & . & Q_{l}^{i+1} & 1 & & \\
\cdot & . & . & \cdot & \cdot & 0 & \cdot & \\
\cdot Q_{1}^{p} & . & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & . & \\
Q_{l}^{p} & 0 & . & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

for the matrix $\Gamma(x)$ in (7). This concludes the proof of the lemma.
Remark 1 It follows from the form (9) of $\Gamma(x)$ that for any holomorphic in $O$ matrix $Z(x)$ of the size $(p, m)$ the matrix

$$
\Gamma(x) x^{C} Z(x)
$$

is still holomorphic in $O$.
The following statement, was proved in [Bo1] for some special case.
Lemma 2 Let a matrix $U(x)$ be holomorphically invertible in a neighborhood $O$ of the point 0 and let all the principal minors of $U(0)$ be nonzero. Then for any integer-valued diagonal matrix $C=\operatorname{diag}\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{p}\right)$ with the condition $c_{1} \geq \ldots \geq c_{p}$ there exist a holomorphically invertible off 0 matrix $\Gamma(x)$ (which is a matrix of polynomials in $\frac{1}{x}$ ) and a holomorphically invertible in $O$ matrix $V(x)$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma(x) x^{C} U(x)=V(x) x^{C} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Rewrite the matrix $x^{C} U(x)$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{C} U(x)=x^{C-c_{p} I} U(x) x^{c_{p} I} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I$ is the identity matrix and apply Lemma 1 to the matrix

$$
C=C-c_{p} I, \quad\binom{Y(x)}{W(x)}=\binom{U^{p-1}(x)}{W_{1}(x)}, \quad l=p-1
$$

where in turn $U^{l}(x)$ is formed by the intersections of the rows and columns of $U(x)$ with numbers $1, \ldots, l$, and $W_{l}(x)$ is formed by the intersections of the rows with numbers $l+1, \ldots, p$ and the columns with numbers $1, \ldots, l$ of $U$.

By Lemma 1 there exists a matrix $\Gamma_{1}(x)$ of form (9) (with $l=p-1$ ), such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{1}(x) x^{C-c_{p} I}\binom{U^{p-1}(x)}{W_{1}(x)}=x^{C_{1}}\binom{U^{p-1}(x)}{W_{1}^{\prime}(x)} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{1}=\operatorname{diag}\left(c_{1}-c_{p}, \ldots, c_{p-1}-c_{p}, c_{p}^{1}\right), \quad c_{p}^{1}>c_{p-1}-c_{p}$. Therefore,

$$
x^{C_{1}}\binom{U^{p-1}(x)}{w_{p}^{\prime}(x)}=x^{C_{1}-\left(c_{p-1}-c_{p}\right) I}\binom{U^{p-1}(x)}{w_{p}^{\prime}(x)} x^{\left(c_{p-1}-c_{p}\right) I_{p-1}}
$$

where $I_{p-1}$ is the identity matrix of the size $(p-1, p-1)$. It follows from (11) and the latter formula that the following factorization holds:

$$
\Gamma_{1}(x) x^{C} U(x)=x^{C_{1}-\left(c_{p-1}-c_{p}\right) I}\left(\begin{array}{c|c}
U^{p-2}(x) & Z_{1}  \tag{13}\\
W_{2}(x)
\end{array}\right) x^{D_{1}}
$$

where $W_{2}$ is a matrix of the size $(2, p-2)$, holomorphic in $O, D_{1}=$ $\operatorname{diag}\left(c_{p-1}, \ldots, c_{p-1}, c_{p}\right)$, and by Remark $1 Z_{1}$ is holomorphic in $O$ too. Let apply Lemma 1 to the matrices

$$
C=C_{1}-\left(c_{p-1}-c_{p}\right) I, \quad\binom{Y(x)}{W(x)}=\binom{U^{p-2}(x)}{W_{2}(x)}, \quad l=p-2 .
$$

By Lemma 1 there exists $\Gamma_{2}(x)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{2}(x) x^{C_{1}-\left(c_{p-1}-c_{p}\right) I}\binom{U^{p-2}(x)}{W_{2}(x)}=x^{C_{2}}\binom{U^{p-2}(x)}{W_{2}^{\prime}(x)} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{2}=\operatorname{diag}\left(c_{1}-c_{p-1}, \ldots, c_{p-2}-c_{p-1}, c_{p-1}^{\prime}, c_{p}^{\prime \prime}\right), \quad c_{p-1}^{\prime}>c_{p-2}-c_{p-1}, c_{p}^{\prime \prime}>$ $c_{p-2}-c_{p-1}$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{C_{2}}\binom{U^{p-2}(x)}{W_{2}^{\prime}(x)}=x^{C_{2}-\left(c_{p-2}-c_{p-1}\right) I}\binom{U^{p-2}(x)}{W_{2}^{\prime}(x)} x^{\left(c_{p-2}-c_{p-1}\right) I_{p-2}} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I_{p-2}$ is the identity matrix of the size ( $p-2, p-2$ ). From (14) and (15) we get

$$
\Gamma_{2} \Gamma_{1}(x) x^{C} U(x)=x^{C_{2}-\left(c_{p-2}-c_{p-1}\right) I}\left(\left.\begin{array}{c}
U^{p-3}(x) \\
W_{3}(x)
\end{array} \right\rvert\, Z_{2}\right) x^{D_{2}}
$$

where $W_{3}$ is a matrix of the size $(3, p-3), W_{3}, Z_{2}$ are holomorphic in $O$, $D_{2}=\operatorname{diag}\left(c_{p-2}, \ldots, c_{p-2}, c_{p-1}, c_{p}\right)$. And so on.

As a result after $p-1$ steps (the first two of which were described above) we obtain a matrix $\Gamma(x)=\Gamma_{p-1} \cdot \ldots \cdot \Gamma_{1}$, such that (10) holds with some holomorphic in $O$ matrix $V(x)$.

Since

$$
\operatorname{det} V(0)=\lim _{x \rightarrow 0} \operatorname{det} \Gamma(x) \operatorname{det} U(0)=\operatorname{det} U(0) \neq 0
$$

we obtain that $V(x)$ is holomorphically invertible at 0 . (Here we used form (9) of each $\Gamma_{i}(x)$, which implies $\left.\operatorname{det} \Gamma_{i}(x) \equiv 1\right)$.

Lemma 3 Let a matrix $U(x)$ be holomorphically invertible in a neighborhood Oof the point 0 . Then for any integer-valued diagonal matrix $C=$ diag $\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{p}\right)$ there exist a holomorphically invertible off 0 matrix $\Gamma(x)$ and a holomorphically invertible in $O$ matrix. $V(x)$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma(x) x^{C} U(x)=V(x) x^{D} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D=\operatorname{diag}\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{p}\right)$ is obtained by some permutation of diagonal elements of the matrix. $C$.

Proof. First let the diagonal elements of $C$ be nonincreasing. With help of some constant nondegenerated matrix $S$ we transpose the columns of the matrix $U(x)$ so that all principal minors of the new matrix $U^{\prime}=U S$ are not equal to zero. Applying Lemma 2 to $U^{\prime}$, we obtain

$$
\Gamma(x) x^{C} U^{\prime}(x)=V^{\prime}(x) x^{C}
$$

therefore,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Gamma(x) x^{C} U(x)=\Gamma(x) x^{C} U^{\prime}(x) S^{-1}=V^{\prime}(x) x^{C} S^{-1}= \\
=V^{\prime}(x) S^{-1} x^{S C S^{-1}}=V(x) x^{D}
\end{gathered}
$$

If the elements $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{p}$ are not ordered, then there exists a constant matrix $S^{\prime}$, such that $\left(S^{\prime}\right)^{-1} C S^{\prime}=C^{\prime}$, where $C^{\prime}=\operatorname{diag}\left(c_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, c_{p}^{\prime}\right)$ and $c_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, c_{p}^{\prime}$ already form a nonincreasing sequence. For the matrix $x^{C^{\prime}}\left(S^{\prime}\right)^{-1} U(x)$ consider the corresponding matrix $\Gamma^{\prime}(x)$. In this case one can take the matrix $\Gamma=\Gamma^{\prime}\left(S^{\prime}\right)^{-1}$ for the matrices $C$ and $U(x)$ in (16).

## 3 Analytic transformation of an irreducible system

Now we have all we need to prove Theorem 1.
Proof of Theorem 1. Consider a fundamental matrix $Y(x)$ of system (1) of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y(x)=M(x) x^{E}, \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M(x)$ is a single-valued matrix function with nonvanishing $\operatorname{det} M(x)$ in some punctured neighborhood $K$ of $\infty, E=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \log G$ has a Jordan normal form, $G$ is a monodromy matrix of (1) in the basis of the columns of $Y(x)$.

Let $F$ be arbitrary integer-valued diagonal matrix $F=\operatorname{diag}\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{p}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{1} \geq \cdots \geq f_{p} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Treat the matrix $M(x) x^{-F}$ as the transition function of some vector bundle on the Riemann sphere $P^{1}$ with the coordinate neighborhoods $K \cup\{\infty\}$ and $P^{1} \backslash\{\infty\}$. By Birkhoff-Grothendieck's theorem [OSS] there exist, a holomorphically invertible in some neighborhood of $\infty$ matrix $T(x)$ and holomorphically invertible in complex plane matrix $U(x)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(x) M(x) x^{-F}=x^{C} U(x) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C=\operatorname{diag}\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{p}\right), \quad c_{i} \in Z, \quad c_{1} \geq \cdots \geq c_{p}$. (This follows also from Sauvage's lemma, cf. [Ha]).

Proposition 1 If system (1),(2) is irreducible, then for arbitrary integervalued diagonal matrix $F$ with condition (18) the following inequalities hold for the elements of the corresponding matrix C from (19):

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{i}-c_{i+1} \leq r, \quad i=1, \ldots, p-1 \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Assume that for some $k=1, \ldots, p-1$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{k}-c_{k+1}>r . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider the system with the fundamental matrix

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y^{\prime}(x)=x^{C} U(x) x^{F} x^{E} . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

This system has only two singular points 0 and $\infty$ on the whole Riemann sphere (since $U(x)$ is holomorphically invertible everywhere except $\infty$ ) and its coefficient matrix $A^{\prime}=x \frac{d Y^{\prime}}{d x}\left(Y^{\prime}\right)^{-1}$ has a pole of order $r$ at $\infty$. The last statement follows from the fact that this system is obtained from the original system (1) by a transformation $T(x)$, which is analytic in some neighborhood of $\infty$.

On the other hand from (22) it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{\prime}(x)=C+x^{C}\left[\frac{d U}{d x} U^{-1}+U(F+L) U^{-1}\right] x^{-C} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L=x^{F} E x^{-F}$. It follows from (18) and the fact that $E$ has an uppertriangular form, that $L$ is holomorphic on the whole complex plane. Therefore, the matrix in square brackets in (23) is holomorphic everywhere except the point $\infty$. Denote this matrix by $W(x)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{\prime}(x)=C+x^{C} W(x) x^{-C} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since an element, $a_{i j}^{\prime}$ of the matrix $A^{\prime}$ and an element $w_{i j}$ of the matrix $W(x)$ are connected as follows

$$
a_{i j}^{\prime}=x^{c_{i}-c_{j}} w_{i j}, \quad i \neq j
$$

we obtain from assumption (21) that for $i=1, \ldots, k, j=k+1, \ldots, p$ the following inequalities hold:

$$
c_{i}-c_{j}>r .
$$

Therefore, for every pair of such $i, j$ the element $a_{i j}^{\prime}$ has a zero of order $m>r$ at 0 while an order of its pole at $\infty$ is less or equal to $r$. This means that

$$
a_{i j}^{\prime} \equiv 0, \quad i=1, \ldots, k, j=k+1, \ldots, p
$$

and therefore, the original system (1) is reducible . But this contradicts the assumption of the proposition. This contradiction means that equalities (20) hold true.

Let us continue the proof of Theorem 1. Consider a matrix $F$ from (18) such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{i}-f_{i+1}>r(p-1), \quad i=1, \ldots, p-1 \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

and consider the corresponding matrices $T(x), C$ from (19). By Lemma 3, applyed to the matrix $x^{C} U(x)$ from (22) there exists a holomorphically invertible off $\infty$ matrix $\Gamma(x)$ such that (16) holds true.

Under the analytic (in some neighborhood of $\infty$ ) transformation

$$
\begin{equation*}
z=\Gamma(x) T(x) y \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

our original system (1) is transformed to system (4) with the fundamental matrix

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z(x)=\Gamma(x) T(x) Y(x)=V(x) x^{D+F} x^{E} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V(x)$ is a matrix holomorphically invertible on the whole Riemann sphere except the point $\infty$.

It follows from Lemma 3 and Proposition 1 that for elements $d_{j}$ of the integer-valued diagonal matrix $D$ the following inequalities hold:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{j}-d_{j+1} \leq r(p-1), \quad j=1, \ldots, p-1 \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|d_{j}-d_{j+1}\right| \leq \max _{j} d_{j}-\min _{i} d_{i}=c_{1}-c_{p}= \\
\left(c_{1}-c_{2}\right)+\left(c_{2}-c_{3}\right)+\cdots+\left(c_{p-1}-c_{p}\right) \leq r(p-1)
\end{gathered}
$$

since $D$ is obtained by some permutation of diagonal elements of $C$.
Thus, from (28) and (25) we get that the diagonal elements of the matrix $D+F$ are in nonincreasing order. Since the matrix $E$ is upper-triangular, we again obtain that the matrix

$$
L^{\prime}=x^{D+F} E x^{-D-F}
$$

is the entire matrix function. Therefore,

$$
B(x)=x \frac{d Z}{d x} Z^{-1}=x \frac{d V}{d x} V^{-1}+V\left(D+F+L^{\prime}\right) V^{-1}
$$

is entire matrix function too. This completes the proof of the theorem.
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