

# UNIFORM LINEAR BOUND IN CHEVALLEY'S LEMMA

J. ADAMUS, E. BIERSTONE AND P.D. MILMAN

ABSTRACT. We obtain a uniform linear bound for the Chevalley function at a point in the source of an analytic mapping that is regular in the sense of Gabrielov. There is a version of Chevalley's lemma also along a fibre, or at a point of the image of a proper analytic mapping. We get a uniform linear bound for the Chevalley function for a closed Nash (or formally Nash) subanalytic set.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Techniques	4
3. Ideals of relations and Chevalley functions	6
4. Proofs of the main theorems	9
References	12

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Chevalley's lemma (1943) plays an important role in the solution of equations  $f(x) = g(\varphi(x))$ , where  $y = \varphi(x)$  is an analytic mapping in several variables. Given  $f(x)$  analytic (or, for example,  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$  in the real case), the problem is to find conditions under which we can solve for  $g(y)$  in the same class. Chevalley's lemma asserts that, given  $x = a$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , there is a corresponding  $l = l(k) < \infty$  such that the  $l$ -jet of a composite  $g \circ \varphi$  at  $a$  determines the  $k$ -jet of  $g$  at  $\varphi(a)$ , modulo a formal relation among the components of  $\varphi$  at  $a$ . The "Chevalley function" of  $\varphi$  at  $a$  is the smallest  $l(k)$ .

In this article, we answer questions raised by works of Gabrielov, Izumi and Bierstone–Milman on finding bounds for the Chevalley function that are linear with respect to  $k$  or uniform with respect to  $a$ . Such bounds characterize important regularity or "tameness" properties of analytic mappings and their images [2], [3], [10], and measure loss of differentiability in classical problems on composite differentiable functions [3].

By way of comparison, the analogue of the Chevalley function for a linear analytic equation  $f(x) = A(x) \cdot g(x)$  (where  $A(x)$  is a matrix-valued analytic function and  $f(x), g(x)$  are vector-valued) always has a linear bound, given by the exponent in the Artin-Rees lemma. Uniformity of the Artin-Rees exponent has been studied in [2], [5], [8].

---

*Key words and phrases.* Chevalley function, regular mapping, Nash subanalytic set.

Research partially supported by NSERC Postdoctoral Fellowship PDF 267954-2003 (Adamus), and NSERC Discovery Grants OGP 0009070 (Bierstone), OGP 0008949 (Milman).

Let us now be more precise. Let  $\varphi : M \rightarrow N$  denote an analytic mapping of analytic manifolds (over  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ ). Let  $a \in M$ . Let  $\varphi_a^* : \mathcal{O}_{\varphi(a)} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_a$  or  $\hat{\varphi}_a^* : \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\varphi(a)} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{O}}_a$  denote the induced homomorphisms of analytic local rings or their completions, respectively. (We write  $\mathcal{O}_a = \mathcal{O}_{M,a}$ , and  $\mathfrak{m}_a$  (or  $\hat{\mathfrak{m}}_a$ ) = maximal ideal of  $\mathcal{O}_a$  (or  $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_a$ .) According to Chevalley's lemma, there is an increasing function  $l : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  (where  $\mathbb{N}$  denotes the nonnegative integers) such that

$$\hat{\varphi}_a^*(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\varphi(a)}) \cap \hat{\mathfrak{m}}_a^{l(k)+1} \subset \hat{\varphi}_a^*(\hat{\mathfrak{m}}_{\varphi(a)}^{k+1}) ;$$

i.e., if  $F \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\varphi(a)}$  and  $\hat{\varphi}_a^*(F)$  vanishes to order  $l(k)$ , then  $F$  vanishes to order  $k$ , modulo an element of  $\text{Ker } \hat{\varphi}_a^*$  ([4]; cf. Lemma 3.2 below). Let  $l_{\varphi^*}(a, k)$  denote the least  $l(k)$  satisfying Chevalley's lemma. We call  $l_{\varphi^*}(a, k)$  the *Chevalley function* of  $\hat{\varphi}_a^*$ .

Let  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_m)$  and  $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$  denote local coordinate systems for  $M$  and  $N$  at  $a$  and  $\varphi(a)$ , respectively. The local rings  $\mathcal{O}_a$  or  $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_a$  can be identified with the rings of convergent or formal power series  $\mathbb{K}\{x\} = \mathbb{K}\{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$  or  $\mathbb{K}[[x]] = \mathbb{K}[[x_1, \dots, x_m]]$ , respectively. In the local coordinates, write  $\varphi(x) = (\varphi_1(x), \dots, \varphi_n(x))$ . Then  $\text{Ker } \hat{\varphi}_a^*$  is the *ideal of formal relations*  $\{F(y) \in \mathbb{K}[[y]] : F(\varphi_1(x), \dots, \varphi_n(x)) = 0\}$  (and  $\text{Ker } \varphi_a^*$  is the analogous *ideal of analytic relations*). Chevalley's lemma is an analogue for such nonlinear relations of the Artin-Rees lemma. (See Remark 1.4.)

Let  $r_a^1(\varphi)$  denote the generic rank of  $\varphi$  near  $a$ , and set

$$r_a^2(\varphi) := \dim \frac{\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\varphi(a)}}{\text{Ker } \hat{\varphi}_a^*}, \quad r_a^3(\varphi) := \dim \frac{\mathcal{O}_{\varphi(a)}}{\text{Ker } \varphi_a^*}$$

(where  $\dim$  denotes the Krull dimension). Then  $r_a^1(\varphi) \leq r_a^2(\varphi) \leq r_a^3(\varphi)$ . Gabrielov proved that if  $r_a^1(\varphi) = r_a^2(\varphi)$ , then  $r_a^2(\varphi) = r_a^3(\varphi)$  [6]; i.e., if there are enough formal relations, then the ideal of formal relations is generated by convergent relations. The mapping  $\varphi$  is called *regular at  $a$*  if  $r_a^1(\varphi) = r_a^3(\varphi)$ . We say that  $\varphi$  is *regular* if it is regular at every point of  $M$ . Izumi [10] proved that  $\varphi$  is regular at  $a$  if and only if the Chevalley function of  $\hat{\varphi}_a^*$  has a *linear (upper) bound*; i.e., there exist  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$l_{\varphi^*}(a, k) \leq \alpha k + \beta ,$$

for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . On the other hand, Bierstone and Milman [2] proved that, if  $\varphi$  is regular, then  $l_{\varphi^*}(a, k)$  has a *uniform bound*; i.e., for every compact  $L \subset M$ , there exists  $l_L : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$l_{\varphi^*}(a, k) \leq l_L(k) ,$$

for all  $a \in L$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . In this article, we prove that the Chevalley function associated to a regular mapping has a *uniform linear bound*:

**Theorem 1.1.** *Suppose that  $\varphi$  is regular. Then, for every compact  $L \subset M$ , there exist  $\alpha_L, \beta_L \in \mathbb{N}$  such that*

$$l_{\varphi^*}(a, k) \leq \alpha_L k + \beta_L ,$$

for all  $a \in L$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Chevalley's lemma can be used also to compare two notions of order of vanishing of a real-analytic function at a point of a subanalytic set. Let  $X$  denote a closed

subanalytic subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $b \in X$  and let  $\mathcal{F}_b(X) \subset \mathbb{R}[[y - b]]$  denote the formal local ideal of  $X$  at  $b$ . (See Lemma 3.6.) For all  $F \in \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_b = \mathbb{R}[[y - b]]$ , we define

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \mu_{X,b}(F) &:= \max\{l \in \mathbb{N} : |T_b^l F(y)| \leq \text{const } |y - b|^l, y \in X\}, \\ \nu_{X,b}(F) &:= \max\{l \in \mathbb{N} : F \in \widehat{\mathfrak{m}}_b^l + \mathcal{F}_b(X)\}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $T_b^l F(y)$  denotes the Taylor polynomial of order  $l$  of  $F$  at  $b$ . Then there exists  $l : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  such that, for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , if  $F \in \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_b$  and  $\mu_{X,b}(F) > l(k)$ , then  $\nu_{X,b}(F) > k$ . (See Section 3.) For each  $k$ , let  $l_X(b, k)$  denote the least such  $l(k)$ . We call  $l_X(b, k)$  the *Chevalley function* of  $X$  at  $b$ .

**Theorem 1.2.** *Suppose that  $X$  is a Nash (or formally Nash) subanalytic subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then the Chevalley function of  $X$  has a uniform linear bound; i.e., for every compact  $K \subset X$ , there exists  $\alpha_K, \beta_K \in \mathbb{N}$  such that*

$$l_X(b, k) \leq \alpha_K k + \beta_K,$$

for all  $b \in K$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 are the main new results in this article. They answer questions raised in [3, 1.28].

The closed *Nash subanalytic* subsets  $X$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  are the images of regular proper real-analytic mappings  $\varphi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ . In particular, a closed semianalytic set is Nash. A closed subanalytic subset  $X$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is *formally Nash* if, for every  $b \in X$ , there is a closed Nash subanalytic subset  $Y$  of  $X$  such that  $\mathcal{F}_b(X) = \mathcal{F}_b(Y)$  [3]. Unlike the situation of Theorem 1.1, the converse of Theorem 1.2 is false [3, Example 12.8].

The main theorem of [3] (Theorem 1.13) asserts that, if  $X$  is a closed subanalytic subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , then the existence of a uniform bound for  $l_X(b, k)$  is equivalent to several other natural analytic and algebro-geometric conditions; for example, semi-coherence [3, Definition 1.2], stratification by the diagram of initial exponents of the ideal  $\mathcal{F}_b(X)$ ,  $b \in X$  [3, Theorem 8.1], and a  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$  composite function property [3, §1.5]. A uniform bound for the Chevalley function measures loss of differentiability in a  $\mathcal{C}^r$  version of the composite function theorem. We use the techniques of [3] to prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 here.

Wang [12, Theorem 1.1] used [9, Theorem 1.2] to prove that the Chevalley function associated to a regular proper real-analytic mapping  $\varphi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  has a uniform linear bound if and only if  $X = \varphi(M)$  has a *uniform linear product estimate*; i.e., for every compact  $K \subset X$ , there exist  $\alpha_K, \beta_K \in \mathbb{N}$  such that, for all  $b \in K$  and  $F, G \in \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_b$ ,

$$\nu_{X_i,b}(F \cdot G) \leq \alpha_K(\nu_{X_i,b}(F) + \nu_{X_i,b}(G)) + \beta_K,$$

where  $X_b = \bigcup_i X_i$  is a decomposition of the germ  $X_b$  into finitely many irreducible subanalytic components. We therefore obtain the following from Theorem 1.1:

**Theorem 1.3.** *A closed Nash subanalytic subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  admits a uniform linear product estimate.*

*Remark 1.4.* The Artin-Rees lemma can be viewed as a version of Chevalley's lemma for linear relations over a Noetherian ring  $R$ : Suppose that  $\Psi : E \rightarrow G$  is a homomorphism of finitely-generated modules over  $R$ , and let  $F \subset G$  denote the image of  $\Psi$ . Let  $\mathfrak{m}$  be a maximal ideal of  $R$ . Then  $F \cap \mathfrak{m}^l G \subset \mathfrak{m}^k F$  if and only if  $\Psi^{-1}(\mathfrak{m}^l G) \subset \text{Ker } \Psi + \mathfrak{m}^k E$ . The Artin-Rees lemma says that there exists  $\beta \in \mathbb{N}$

such that  $F \cap \mathfrak{m}^{k+\beta}G = \mathfrak{m}^k(F \cap \mathfrak{m}^\beta G)$ , for all  $k$ . In particular, there is always a *linear Artin-Rees exponent*  $l(k) = k + \beta$ . Uniform versions of the Artin-Rees lemma were proved in [2, Theorem 7.4], [5], [8]. A uniform Artin-Rees exponent for a homomorphism of  $\mathcal{O}_M$ -modules, where  $M$  is a real-analytic manifold, measures loss of differentiability in Malgrange division, in the same way that a uniform bound for the Chevalley function relates to composite differentiable functions. (See [2].)

## 2. TECHNIQUES

**2.1. Linear algebra lemma.** Let  $R$  denote a commutative ring with identity, and let  $E$  and  $F$  be  $R$ -modules. If  $B \in \text{Hom}_R(E, F)$  and  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $r \geq 1$ , we define

$$\text{ad}^r B \in \text{Hom}_R \left( F, \text{Hom}_R \left( \bigwedge^r E, \bigwedge^{r+1} F \right) \right)$$

by the formula

$$(\text{ad}^r B)(\omega)(\eta_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \eta_r) = \omega \wedge B\eta_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge B\eta_r,$$

where  $\omega \in F$  and  $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_r \in E$ . ( $\text{ad}^0 B := \text{id}_F$ , the identity mapping of  $F$ .) Clearly, if  $r > \text{rk} B$  then  $\text{ad}^r B = 0$ , and if  $r = \text{rk} B$  then  $\text{ad}^r B \cdot B = 0$ . ( $\text{rk} B$  means the smallest  $r$  such that  $\bigwedge^s B = 0$  for all  $s > r$ .) If  $R$  is a field, then  $\text{rk} B = \dim \text{Im} B$ , so we get:

**Lemma 2.1** ([1, §6]). *Let  $E$  and  $F$  be finite-dimensional vector spaces over a field  $\mathbb{K}$ . If  $B: E \rightarrow F$  is a linear transformation and  $r = \text{rk} B$ , then*

$$\text{Im} B = \text{Ker ad}^r B.$$

*In particular, if  $A$  is another linear transformation with target  $F$ , then  $A\xi + B\eta = 0$  (for some  $\eta$ ) if and only if  $\xi \in \text{Ker ad}^r B \cdot A$ .*

**2.2. The diagram of initial exponents.** Let  $A$  be a commutative ring with identity. Consider the total ordering of  $\mathbb{N}^n$  given by the lexicographic ordering of  $(n+1)$ -tuples  $(|\beta|, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n)$ , where  $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$  and  $|\beta| = \beta_1 + \cdots + \beta_n$ . For any formal power series  $F(Y) = \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n} F_\beta Y^\beta \in A[[Y]] = A[[Y_1, \dots, Y_n]]$ , we define the *support*  $\text{supp} F := \{\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n : F_\beta \neq 0\}$  and the *initial exponent*  $\text{exp} F := \min \text{supp} F$ . ( $\text{exp} F := \infty$  if  $F = 0$ .)

Let  $I$  be an ideal in  $A[[Y]]$ . The *diagram of initial exponents* of  $I$  is defined as

$$\mathfrak{N}(I) := \{\text{exp} F : F \in I \setminus \{0\}\}.$$

Clearly,  $\mathfrak{N}(I) + \mathbb{N}^n = \mathfrak{N}(I)$ .

Suppose that  $A$  is a field  $\mathbb{K}$ . Then, by the formal division theorem of Hironaka [7] (see [2, Theorem 6.2]),

$$(2.1) \quad \mathbb{K}[[Y]] = I \oplus \mathbb{K}[[Y]]^{\mathfrak{N}(I)},$$

where  $\mathbb{K}[[Y]]^{\mathfrak{N}}$  is defined as  $\{F \in \mathbb{K}[[Y]] : \text{supp} F \subset \mathbb{N}^n \setminus \mathfrak{N}\}$ , for any  $\mathfrak{N} \in \mathbb{N}^n$  such that  $\mathfrak{N} + \mathbb{N}^n = \mathfrak{N}$ .

**2.3. Fibred product.** Let  $M$  denote an analytic manifold over  $\mathbb{K}$ , and let  $s \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $s \geq 1$ . Let  $\varphi: M \rightarrow N$  be an analytic mapping. We denote by  $M_\varphi^s$  the  $s$ -fold *fibred product* of  $M$  with itself *over*  $N$ ; i.e.,

$$M_\varphi^s := \{\underline{a} = (a^1, \dots, a^s) \in M^s: \varphi(a^1) = \dots = \varphi(a^s)\};$$

$M_\varphi^s$  is a closed analytic subset of  $M^s$ . There is a natural mapping  $\underline{\varphi} = \underline{\varphi}^s: M_\varphi^s \rightarrow N$  given by  $\underline{\varphi}(\underline{a}) = \varphi(a^1)$ ; i.e., for each  $i = 1, \dots, s$ ,  $\underline{\varphi} = \varphi \circ \rho^i$ , where  $\rho^i: M_\varphi^s \ni (x^1, \dots, x^s) \mapsto x^i \in M$ .

Suppose that  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $E$  be a closed subanalytic subset of  $M$ , and let  $\varphi: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be a continuous subanalytic mapping. Then the fibred product  $E_\varphi^s$  is a closed subanalytic subset of  $M^s$ , and the canonical mapping  $\underline{\varphi} = \underline{\varphi}^s: E_\varphi^s \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is subanalytic.

Let  $\hat{E}_\varphi^s$  denote the subset of  $E_\varphi^s$  consisting of points  $\underline{x} = (x^1, \dots, x^s) \in E_\varphi^s$  such that each  $x^i$  lies in a distinct connected component of the fibre  $\varphi^{-1}(\underline{\varphi}(\underline{x}))$ . If  $\varphi$  is proper, then  $\hat{E}_\varphi^s$  is a subanalytic subset of  $M^s$  [3, §7].

**2.4. Jets.** Let  $N$  denote an analytic manifold (over  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ ), and let  $b \in N$ . Let  $l \in \mathbb{N}$  and let  $J^l(b)$  denote  $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_b/\hat{\mathfrak{m}}_b^{l+1}$ . If  $F \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}_b$ , then  $J^l F(b)$  denotes the image of  $F$  in  $J^l(b)$ . Let  $M$  be an analytic manifold, and let  $\varphi: M \rightarrow N$  be an analytic mapping. If  $a \in \varphi^{-1}(b)$ , then the homomorphism  $\hat{\varphi}_a^*: \hat{\mathcal{O}}_b \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{O}}_a$  induces a linear transformation  $J^l \varphi(a): J^l(b) \rightarrow J^l(a)$ .

Suppose that  $N = \mathbb{K}^n$ . Let  $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$  denote the affine coordinates of  $\mathbb{K}^n$ . Taylor series expansion induces an identification of  $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_b$  with the ring of formal power series  $\mathbb{K}\llbracket y-b \rrbracket = \mathbb{K}\llbracket y_1-b_1, \dots, y_n-b_n \rrbracket$  (we write  $F(y) = \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n} F_\beta(y-b)^\beta$ ), and hence an identification of  $J^l(b)$  with  $\mathbb{K}^q$ ,  $q = \binom{n+l}{l}$ , with respect to which  $J^l F(b) = (D^\beta F(b))_{|\beta| \leq l}$ , where  $D^\beta$  denotes  $1/\beta!$  times the formal derivative of order  $\beta \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Using a system of coordinates  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_m)$  for  $M$  in a neighbourhood of  $a$ , we can identify  $J^l(a)$  with  $\mathbb{K}^p$ ,  $p = \binom{m+l}{l}$ . Then

$$J^l \varphi(a): (F_\beta)_{|\beta| \leq l} \mapsto ((\hat{\varphi}_a^*(F))_\alpha)_{|\alpha| \leq l} = \left( \sum_{|\beta| \leq l} F_\beta L_\alpha^\beta(a) \right)_{|\alpha| \leq l},$$

where  $L_\alpha^\beta(a) = (\partial^{|\alpha|} \varphi^\beta / \partial x^\alpha)(a) / \alpha!$  and  $\varphi^\beta = \varphi_1^{\beta_1} \dots \varphi_n^{\beta_n}$  ( $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n)$ ).

Set  $J_b^l := J^l(b) \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \hat{\mathcal{O}}_b = \bigoplus_{|\beta| \leq l} \mathbb{K}\llbracket y-b \rrbracket$ . We put  $J_b^l F(y) := (D^\beta F(y))_{|\beta| \leq l} \in J_b^l$ . (Evaluating at  $b$  transforms  $J_b^l F$  to  $J^l F(b)$ .) The ring homomorphism  $\hat{\varphi}_a^*: \hat{\mathcal{O}}_b \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{O}}_a$  induces a homomorphism of  $\mathbb{K}\llbracket x-a \rrbracket$ -modules,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} J_a^l \varphi: & J^l(b) \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \hat{\mathcal{O}}_a & \longrightarrow & J^l(a) \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \hat{\mathcal{O}}_a \\ & \parallel & & \parallel \\ & \bigoplus_{|\beta| \leq l} \mathbb{K}\llbracket x-a \rrbracket & & \bigoplus_{|\alpha| \leq l} \mathbb{K}\llbracket x-a \rrbracket \end{array}$$

such that, if  $F \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}_b$ , then

$$J_a^l \varphi \left( (\hat{\varphi}_a^*(D^\beta F))_{|\beta| \leq l} \right) = (D^\alpha (\hat{\varphi}_a^*(F)))_{|\alpha| \leq l}.$$

By evaluation at  $a$ ,  $J_a^l \varphi$  induces  $J^l \varphi(a): J^l(b) \rightarrow J^l(a)$ .  $J_a^l \varphi$  identifies with the matrix (with rows indexed by  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^m$ ,  $|\alpha| \leq l$ , and columns indexed by  $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n$ ,  $|\beta| \leq l$ )

whose entries are the Taylor expansions at  $a$  of the  $D^\alpha \varphi^\beta = (\partial^{|\alpha|} \varphi^\beta / \partial x^\alpha) / \alpha!$ ,  $|\alpha| \leq l$ ,  $|\beta| \leq l$ .

Let  $\underline{a} = (a^1, \dots, a^s) \in M_\varphi^s$  and let  $b = \varphi(\underline{a})$ . For each  $i = 1, \dots, s$ , the homomorphism  $J_b^l = J^l(b) \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_b \rightarrow J^l(a^i) \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{a^i} = J_{a^i}^l$  over  $\widehat{\varphi}_{a^i}^*$ , as defined above (using a coordinate system  $x^i = (x_1^i, \dots, x_m^i)$  for  $M$  in a neighbourhood of  $a^i$ ), followed by the canonical homomorphism  $J^l(a^i) \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{a^i} \rightarrow J^l(a^i) \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{M_\varphi^s, \underline{a}}$  over  $(\widehat{\rho}^i)_{\underline{a}}^*$ :  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{a^i} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{M_\varphi^s, \underline{a}}$ , induces an  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{M_\varphi^s, \underline{a}}$ -homomorphism  $J^l(b) \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{M_\varphi^s, \underline{a}} \rightarrow J^l(a^i) \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{M_\varphi^s, \underline{a}}$ . We thus obtain an  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{M_\varphi^s, \underline{a}}$ -homomorphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc} J_{\underline{a}}^l \varphi: & J^l(b) \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{M_\varphi^s, \underline{a}} & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{i=1}^s J^l(a^i) \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{M_\varphi^s, \underline{a}} \\ & \parallel & & \parallel \\ & \bigoplus_{|\beta| \leq l} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{M_\varphi^s, \underline{a}} & & \bigoplus_{i=1}^s \bigoplus_{|\alpha| \leq l} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{M_\varphi^s, \underline{a}} . \end{array}$$

For any (germ at  $\underline{a}$  of an) analytic subspace  $L$  of  $M_\varphi^s$ , we also write

$$(2.2) \quad J_{\underline{a}}^l \varphi: J^l(b) \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{L, \underline{a}} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^s J^l(a^i) \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{L, \underline{a}}$$

for the induced  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{L, \underline{a}}$ -homomorphism. Evaluation at  $\underline{a}$  transforms  $J_{\underline{a}}^l \varphi$  to

$$(2.3) \quad J^l \varphi(\underline{a}) = (J^l \varphi(a^1), \dots, J^l \varphi(a^s)): J^l(b) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^s J^l(a^i).$$

### 3. IDEALS OF RELATIONS AND CHEVALLEY FUNCTIONS

Let  $M$  denote an analytic manifold (over  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ ), and let  $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n): M \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^n$  be an analytic mapping. If  $a \in M$ , let  $\mathcal{R}_a$  denote the ideal of formal relations  $\text{Ker } \widehat{\varphi}_a^*$ .

*Remark 3.1.*  $\mathcal{R}_a$  is constant on connected components of the fibres of  $\varphi$  [3, Lemma 5.1].

Let  $s$  be a positive integer, and let  $\underline{a} = (a^1, \dots, a^s) \in M_\varphi^s$ . Put

$$(3.1) \quad \mathcal{R}_{\underline{a}} := \bigcap_{i=1}^s \mathcal{R}_{a^i} = \bigcap_{i=1}^s \text{Ker } \widehat{\varphi}_{a^i}^* \subset \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\varphi(\underline{a})}.$$

If  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we also write

$$\mathcal{R}^k(\underline{a}) := \frac{\mathcal{R}_{\underline{a}} + \widehat{\mathfrak{m}}_{\varphi(\underline{a})}^{k+1}}{\widehat{\mathfrak{m}}_{\varphi(\underline{a})}^{k+1}} \subset J^k(\varphi(\underline{a})).$$

If  $b \in \mathbb{K}^n$ , let  $\pi^k(b): \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_b \rightarrow J^k(b)$  denote the canonical projection. For  $l \geq k$ , let  $\pi^{lk}(b): J^l(b) \rightarrow J^k(b)$  be the projection. Set

$$E^l(\underline{a}) := \text{Ker } J^l \varphi(\underline{a}), \quad \text{and} \quad E^{lk}(\underline{a}) := \pi^{lk}(\varphi(\underline{a})) \cdot E^l(\underline{a}).$$

### 3.1. Chevalley's lemma.

**Lemma 3.2** ([2, Lemma 8.2.2]; cf. [4, § II, Lemma 7]). *Let  $\underline{a} \in M_\varphi^s$ ,  $\underline{a} = (a^1, \dots, a^s)$ . For all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists  $l \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\mathcal{R}^k(\underline{a}) = E^{lk}(\underline{a})$ ; i.e., such that if  $F \in \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\underline{a}}(\underline{a})$  and  $\hat{\varphi}_{a^i}^*(F) \in \widehat{\mathfrak{m}}_{a^i}^{l+1}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, s$ , then  $F \in \mathcal{R}_{\underline{a}} + \widehat{\mathfrak{m}}_{\underline{a}}^{k+1}$ .*

We write  $l(\underline{a}, k) = l_{\varphi^*}(\underline{a}, k)$  for the least  $l$  satisfying the conclusion of the lemma.

*Proof of Lemma 3.2.* If  $k \leq l_1 \leq l_2$ , then

$$\mathcal{R}^k(\underline{a}) \subset E^{l_2, k}(\underline{a}) \subset E^{l_1, k}(\underline{a}),$$

and the projection  $\pi^{l_2, l_1}(\underline{a})$  maps  $\bigcap_{l \geq l_2} E^{ll_2}(\underline{a})$  onto  $\bigcap_{l \geq l_1} E^{ll_1}(\underline{a})$ . It follows that  $\mathcal{R}^k(\underline{a}) = \bigcap_{l \geq k} E^{lk}(\underline{a})$ . Since  $\dim J^k(\underline{a}) < \infty$ , there exists  $l \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\mathcal{R}^k(\underline{a}) = E^{lk}(\underline{a})$ .  $\square$

**3.2. Generic Chevalley function.** Let  $\underline{a} \in M_\varphi^s$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Set

$$H_{\underline{a}}(k) := \dim_{\mathbb{K}} \frac{J^k(\underline{a})}{\mathcal{R}^k(\underline{a})}, \quad d^{lk}(\underline{a}) := \dim_{\mathbb{K}} \frac{J^k(\underline{a})}{E^{lk}(\underline{a})}, \text{ if } l \geq k$$

( $H_{\underline{a}}$  is the Hilbert-Samuel function of  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\underline{a}}(\underline{a})/\mathcal{R}_{\underline{a}}$ ).

*Remark 3.3.*  $d^{lk}(\underline{a}) \leq H_{\underline{a}}(k)$  since  $\mathcal{R}^k(\underline{a}) \subset E^{lk}(\underline{a})$ .  $\mathcal{R}^k(\underline{a}) = E^{lk}(\underline{a})$  (and  $d^{lk}(\underline{a}) = H_{\underline{a}}(k)$ ) if and only if  $l \geq l(\underline{a}, k)$ .

**Lemma 3.4** ([2, Lemma 8.3.3]). *Let  $L$  be a subanalytic leaf in  $M_\varphi^s$  (i.e., a connected subanalytic subset of  $M_\varphi^s$  which is an analytic submanifold of  $M^s$ ; see Remark 4.4). Then there is a residual subset  $D$  of  $L$  such that, if  $\underline{a}, \underline{a}' \in D$ , then  $H_{\underline{a}}(k) = H_{\underline{a}'}(k)$  and  $l(\underline{a}, k) = l(\underline{a}', k)$ , for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

**Definition 3.5.** We define the *generic Chevalley function* of  $L$  as  $l(L, k) := l(\underline{a}, k)$  ( $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ), where  $\underline{a} \in D$ .

*Proof of Lemma 3.4.* For  $\underline{a} \in M_\varphi^s$  and  $l \geq k$ , write  $J^l \varphi(\underline{a})$  (2.3) (using local coordinates for  $M^s$  as in §2.4, in a neighbourhood of a point of  $\overline{L}$ ) as a block matrix

$$\begin{aligned} J^l \varphi(\underline{a}) &= (S^{lk}(\underline{a}), T^{lk}(\underline{a})) \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} J^k \varphi(\underline{a}) & 0 \\ * & * \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

corresponding to the decomposition of vectors  $\xi = (\xi_\beta)_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n, |\beta| \leq l}$  in the source as  $\xi = (\xi^k, \zeta^{lk})$ , where  $\xi^k = (\xi_\beta)_{|\beta| \leq k}$  and  $\zeta^{lk} = (\xi_\beta)_{k < |\beta| \leq l}$ . Then

$$E^{lk}(\underline{a}) = \{\eta = (\eta_\beta)_{|\beta| \leq k} : S^{lk}(\underline{a}) \cdot \eta \in \text{Im } T^{lk}(\underline{a})\}.$$

Thus, by Lemma 2.1

$$E^{lk}(\underline{a}) = \text{Ker } \Theta^{lk}(\underline{a}), \text{ and } d^{lk}(\underline{a}) = \text{rk } \Theta^{lk}(\underline{a}),$$

where

$$\Theta^{lk}(\underline{a}) := \text{ad } r^{lk}(\underline{a}) T^{lk}(\underline{a}) \cdot S^{lk}(\underline{a}), \quad r^{lk}(\underline{a}) := \text{rk } T^{lk}(\underline{a}).$$

Set

$$r^{lk}(L) := \max_{\underline{a} \in L} r^{lk}(\underline{a}), \text{ and } d_L^{lk}(\underline{a}) := \text{rk } \Theta_L^{lk}(\underline{a}), \quad \underline{a} \in L,$$

where

$$\Theta_L^{lk}(\underline{a}) := \text{ad}^{r^{lk}(L)} T^{lk}(\underline{a}) \cdot S^{lk}(\underline{a})$$

(so that  $\Theta_L^{lk}(\underline{a}) = 0$  if  $r^{lk}(\underline{a}) < r^{lk}(L)$ ). Let  $Y^{lk} := \{\underline{a} \in L : r^{lk}(\underline{a}) < r^{lk}(L)\}$ . Set

$$d^{lk}(L) := \max_{\underline{a} \in L} d_L^{lk}(\underline{a}) .$$

Clearly,  $d_L^{lk}(\underline{a}) = 0$  if  $\underline{a} \in Y^{lk}$ , and  $d_L^{lk}(\underline{a}) = d^{lk}(\underline{a})$  if  $\underline{a} \in L \setminus Y^{lk}$ . Also set

$$Z^{lk} := Y^{lk} \cup \{\underline{a} \in L : d_L^{lk}(\underline{a}) < d^{lk}(L)\} .$$

Then  $Y^{lk}$  and  $Z^{lk}$  are proper closed analytic subsets of  $L$ . For all  $\underline{a} \in L \setminus Z^{lk}$ ,  $r^{lk}(\underline{a}) = r^{lk}(L)$  and  $d^{lk}(\underline{a}) = d_L^{lk}(\underline{a}) = d^{lk}(L)$ . Put

$$(3.2) \quad D^k := L \setminus \bigcup_{l > k} Z^{lk} , \quad D := \bigcap_{k \geq 1} D^k .$$

By the Baire Category Theorem, the  $D^k$  (and hence also  $D$ ) are residual subsets of  $L$ .

Fix  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . If  $\underline{a} \in D^k$ , then  $d^{lk}(\underline{a}) = d^{lk}(L)$ , for all  $l > k$ . If, in addition,  $l \geq l(\underline{a}, k)$ , then  $H_{\underline{a}}(k) = d^{lk}(L)$ , by Remark 3.3. If  $\underline{a}, \underline{a}' \in D^k$ , then, choosing  $l \geq l(\underline{a}, k)$  and  $l \geq l(\underline{a}', k)$ , we get  $H_{\underline{a}}(k) = H_{\underline{a}'}(k)$ . For the second assertion of the lemma, suppose that  $l \geq l(\underline{a}, k)$ . Then  $H_{\underline{a}'}(k) = H_{\underline{a}}(k) = d^{lk}(\underline{a}) = d^{lk}(L) = d^{lk}(\underline{a}')$ , so that  $l \geq l(\underline{a}', k)$ , by Remark 3.3. In the same way,  $l \geq l(\underline{a}', k)$  implies that  $l \geq l(\underline{a}, k)$ .  $\square$

**3.3. Chevalley function of a subanalytic set.** Let  $N$  denote a real-analytic manifold, and let  $X$  be a closed subanalytic subset of  $N$ . If  $b \in X$ , then  $\mathcal{F}_b(X)$  or  $\mathcal{R}_b \subset \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_b$  denotes the *formal local ideal* of  $X$  at  $b$ , in the sense of the following simple lemma:

**Lemma 3.6.** *Let  $b \in X$ . The following three definitions of  $\mathcal{F}_b(X)$  are equivalent:*

- (1) *Let  $M$  be a real-analytic manifold and let  $\varphi: M \rightarrow N$  be a proper real-analytic mapping such that  $X = \varphi(M)$ . Then  $\mathcal{F}_b(X) = \bigcap_{a \in \varphi^{-1}(b)} \ker \hat{\varphi}_a^*$ .*
- (2)  *$\mathcal{F}_b(X) = \{F \in \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_b : (F \circ \gamma)(t) \equiv 0 \text{ for every real-analytic arc } \gamma(t) \text{ in } X \text{ such that } \gamma(0) = b\}$ .*
- (3)  *$\mathcal{F}_b(X) = \{F \in \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_b : T_b^k F(y) = o(|y - b|^k), \text{ where } y \in X, \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Here  $T_b^k F(y)$  denotes the Taylor polynomial of order  $k$  of  $F$  at  $b$ , in any local coordinate system.*

Assume that  $N = \mathbb{R}^n$ , with coordinates  $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$ . Let  $b \in X$ . Recall (1.1).

*Remark 3.7.*  $\nu_{X,b}(F) \leq \mu_{X,b}(F)$ : Suppose that  $F \in \widehat{\mathfrak{m}}_b^l + \mathcal{F}_b(X)$ ; say  $F = G + H$ , where  $G \in \widehat{\mathfrak{m}}_b^l$  and  $H \in \mathcal{F}_b(X)$ . Then  $|T_b^l G(y)| \leq c|y - b|^l$  and  $T_b^l H(y) = o(|y - b|^l)$ ,  $y \in X$ , by Lemma 3.6. Hence  $|T_b^l F(y)| \leq \text{const}|y - b|^l$  on  $X$ .

**Definition 3.8** (*Chevalley functions*). Let  $b \in X$  and let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Set

$$l_X(b, k) := \min\{l \in \mathbb{N} : \text{If } F \in \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_b \text{ and } \mu_{X,b}(F) > l, \text{ then } \nu_{X,b}(F) > k\} .$$

Let  $\varphi: M \rightarrow N$  be a proper real-analytic mapping such that  $X = \varphi(M)$ . Set

$$l_{\varphi^*}(b, k) := \min\{l \in \mathbb{N} : \text{If } F \in \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_b \text{ and } \nu_{M,a}(\hat{\varphi}_a^*(F)) > l \\ \text{for all } a \in \varphi^{-1}(b), \text{ then } \nu_{X,b}(F) > k\} .$$



*Remark 3.9.* Suppose that  $b = \underline{\varphi}(\underline{a})$ , where  $\underline{a} = (a^1, \dots, a^s) \in M_\varphi^s$ ,  $s \geq 1$ . By Lemma 3.2,  $l_{\varphi^*}(\underline{a}, k) < \infty$ . If  $\underline{a}$  includes a point  $a^i$  in every connected component of  $\varphi^{-1}(b)$ , then  $\bigcap_{i=1}^s \text{Ker } \hat{\varphi}_{a^i}^* = \mathcal{F}_b(X)$  (by Remark 3.1 and Lemma 3.6), so that  $l_{\varphi^*}(b, k) \leq l_{\varphi^*}(\underline{a}, k)$ .

**Lemma 3.10** (see [3, Lemma 6.5]). *Let  $\varphi: M \rightarrow N$  be a proper real-analytic mapping such that  $X = \varphi(M)$ . Then  $l_X(b, \cdot) \leq l_{\varphi^*}(b, \cdot)$  for all  $b \in X$ .*

#### 4. PROOFS OF THE MAIN THEOREMS

Let  $\varphi: M \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^n$  be an analytic mapping from a manifold  $M$  (over  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ ). Let  $s$  be a positive integer. Let  $\underline{a} = (a^1, \dots, a^s) \in M_\varphi^s$ , and let  $b = \underline{\varphi}(\underline{a})$ .

*Remark 4.1.* By (2.1), the Chevalley functions  $l_{\varphi^*}(\underline{a}, k)$  and  $l_{\varphi^*}(b, k)$  (Definitions 3.8) can be defined using power series that are supported outside the diagram of initial exponents: Set  $\mathfrak{N}_{\underline{a}} := \mathfrak{N}(\mathcal{R}_{\underline{a}})$  and  $\mathfrak{N}_b := \mathfrak{N}(\mathcal{R}_b)$  (cf. 3.1 and Lemma 3.6). Then

$$\begin{aligned} l_{\varphi^*}(\underline{a}, k) &= \min\{l \in \mathbb{N}: \text{If } F \in \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_b^{\mathfrak{N}_{\underline{a}}} \text{ and } \hat{\varphi}_{a^i}^*(F) \in \widehat{\mathfrak{m}}_{a^i}^{l+1}, i = 1, \dots, s, \\ &\quad \text{then } F \in \mathcal{R}_{\underline{a}} + \widehat{\mathfrak{m}}_b^{k+1}\}, \\ l_{\varphi^*}(b, k) &= \min\{l \in \mathbb{N}: \text{If } F \in \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_b^{\mathfrak{N}_b} \text{ and } \hat{\varphi}_a^*(F) \in \widehat{\mathfrak{m}}_a^{l+1}, \text{ for all } a \in \varphi^{-1}(b), \\ &\quad \text{then } F \in \mathcal{R}_b + \widehat{\mathfrak{m}}_b^{k+1}\}. \end{aligned}$$

(In the latter, we assume that  $\varphi$  is a proper real-analytic mapping.)

If  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ , set  $J^l(b)^{\mathfrak{N}_{\underline{a}}} := \{\xi = (\xi_\beta)_{|\beta| \leq l} \in J^l(b): \xi_\beta = 0 \text{ if } \beta \in \mathfrak{N}_{\underline{a}}\}$ . Consider the linear mapping

$$\Phi^l(\underline{a}): J^l(b)^{\mathfrak{N}_{\underline{a}}} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^s J^l(a^i)$$

obtained by restriction of  $J^l \varphi(\underline{a}): J^l(b) \rightarrow \bigoplus J^l(a^i)$  (2.3). Given  $k \leq l$ , write  $\Phi^l(\underline{a})$  as a block matrix

$$\Phi^l(\underline{a}) = (A^{lk}(\underline{a}), B^{lk}(\underline{a})),$$

where  $A^{lk}(\underline{a})$  is given by the restriction of  $\Phi^l(\underline{a})$  to  $J^k(b)^{\mathfrak{N}_{\underline{a}}}$ .

*Remark 4.2.* If  $\xi \in J^l(b)^{\mathfrak{N}_{\underline{a}}}$ , write  $\xi = (\eta, \zeta)$  corresponding to this block decomposition. Then  $l \geq l_{\varphi^*}(\underline{a}, k)$  if and only if  $A^{lk}(\underline{a})\eta + B^{lk}(\underline{a})\zeta = 0$  implies  $\eta = 0$  [3, Lemma 8.13].

**Lemma 4.3** (cf. [3, Prop. 8.15]). *Let  $s \geq 1$  and consider  $\underline{\varphi} = \underline{\varphi}^s: M_\varphi^s \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $L$  be a relatively compact subanalytic leaf in  $M_\varphi^s$  (cf. Lemma 3.4) such that  $\mathfrak{N}_{\underline{a}} = \mathfrak{N}(\mathcal{R}_{\underline{a}})$  is constant on  $L$ . Let  $l(k) = l(L, k)$  denote the generic Chevalley function of  $L$ . Then there exists  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $l_{\varphi^*}(\underline{a}, k) \leq l(k) + p$ , for all  $\underline{a} \in L$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

*Proof.* Set  $\mathfrak{N} = \mathfrak{N}_{\underline{a}}$ ,  $\underline{a} \in L$ . We can assume that  $\bar{L}$  lies in a coordinate chart for  $M^s$  as in §2.4. Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and let  $l = l(k)$ . Let  $\underline{a} = (a^1, \dots, a^s) \in L$ , and set  $b = \underline{\varphi}(\underline{a})$ . Consider the linear mapping  $\Phi^l(\underline{a}) = (A^{lk}(\underline{a}), B^{lk}(\underline{a})): J^l(b)^{\mathfrak{N}} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^s J^l(a^i)$  as above. The  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{L, \underline{a}}$ -homomorphism  $J_{\underline{a}}^l \varphi: J^l(b) \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{L, \underline{a}} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^s J^l(a^i) \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{L, \underline{a}}$  (2.2) induces an  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{L, \underline{a}}$ -homomorphism

$$\Phi_{\underline{a}}^l = (A_{\underline{a}}^{lk}, B_{\underline{a}}^{lk}): J^l(b)^{\mathfrak{N}} \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{L, \underline{a}} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^s J^l(a^i) \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{L, \underline{a}};$$

evaluating at  $\underline{a}$  transforms  $\Phi_{\underline{a}}^l$  to  $\Phi^l(\underline{a}) = (A^{lk}(\underline{a}), B^{lk}(\underline{a}))$ .

Let  $r = \text{rk } B_{\underline{a}}^{lk} = \text{generic rank of } B^{lk}(\underline{x}), \underline{x} \in L$ . Let  $\Theta_{\underline{a}} = \text{ad } {}^r B_{\underline{a}}^{lk} \cdot A_{\underline{a}}^{lk}$ . Then  $\text{Ker } \Theta_{\underline{a}} = 0$  (i.e.,  $\text{Ker } \Theta(\underline{x}) = 0$  generically on  $L$ , where  $\Theta(\underline{x}) = \text{ad } {}^r B^{lk}(\underline{x}) \cdot A^{lk}(\underline{x})$ , by Remark 4.2). Let  $d = \text{rk } \Theta_{\underline{a}}$ . Then there is a nonzero minor  $\delta_{\underline{a}} \in \mathcal{O}_{L, \underline{a}}$  of  $\Theta_{\underline{a}}$  of order  $d$ ;  $\delta_{\underline{a}}$  is induced by a minor  $\delta(\underline{x})$  of order  $d$  of  $\Theta(\underline{x}), \underline{x} \in L$ , such that  $\delta(\underline{x}) \neq 0$  on a residual subset of  $\overline{L}$ . Since  $\delta$  is a restriction to  $L$  of an analytic function defined in a neighbourhood of  $\overline{L}$ , the order of  $\delta_{\underline{x}}, \underline{x} \in L$ , is bounded on  $L$ ; say,  $\delta_{\underline{x}} \leq p$ .

We claim that  $l_{\varphi^*}(\underline{a}, k) \leq l(k) + p$  for all  $\underline{a} \in L$ : Let  $\underline{a} = (a^1, \dots, a^s) \in L$ , and let  $b = \varphi(\underline{a})$ . Let  $l = l(k)$  and  $l' = l + p$ . Suppose that  $F \in \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_b^{\mathfrak{N}}$  and  $\hat{\varphi}_{a^i}^*(F) \in \widehat{\mathfrak{m}}_{a^i}^{l'+1}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, s$ . Let  $\hat{\xi}_{\underline{a}} = (\hat{\eta}_{\underline{a}}, \hat{\zeta}_{\underline{a}})$  denote the element of  $J^l(b)^{\mathfrak{N}} \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{L, \underline{a}}$  induced by  $J_b^l F \in J^l(b) \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_b$  via the pull-back. Then each component of  $A_{\underline{a}}^{lk} \hat{\eta}_{\underline{a}} + B_{\underline{a}}^{lk} \hat{\zeta}_{\underline{a}}$  belongs to  $\widehat{\mathfrak{m}}_{L, \underline{a}}^{l'+1-l}$  (as we see by taking formal derivatives of order  $\leq l$  of the  $\hat{\varphi}_{a^i}^*(F)$ ). It follows that each component of  $\Theta_{\underline{a}} \hat{\eta}_{\underline{a}}$  and therefore (by Cramer's rule) each component of  $\delta_{\underline{a}} \cdot \hat{\eta}_{\underline{a}}$  belongs to  $\widehat{\mathfrak{m}}_{L, \underline{a}}^{l'+1-l}$ . Thus, each component of  $\hat{\eta}_{\underline{a}}$  lies in  $\widehat{\mathfrak{m}}_{L, \underline{a}}^{l'+1-l-p} = \widehat{\mathfrak{m}}_{L, \underline{a}}$ ; i.e.,  $\hat{\eta}_{\underline{a}}(\underline{a}) = 0$ , so that  $F$  vanishes to order  $k$  at  $b = \varphi(\underline{a})$ .  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 1.1.** By [2, Theorems A,C], there is a locally finite partition of  $M$  into relatively compact subanalytic leaves  $L$  such that the diagram of initial exponents  $\mathfrak{N}_a = \mathfrak{N}(\mathcal{R}_a)$  is constant on each  $L$ . Given  $L$ , let  $l(L, k)$  denote the generic Chevalley function. (In particular,  $l(L, k) = l_{\varphi^*}(a, k)$ , for all  $a$  in a residual subset of  $L$ .) Since  $\varphi$  is regular, there exist  $\alpha_L, \gamma_L$  such that  $l(L, k) \leq \alpha_L k + \gamma_L$ , for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  (by [10]). By Lemma 4.3 (in the case  $s = 1$ ), there exists  $p_L \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $l_{\varphi^*}(a, k) \leq \alpha_L k + \gamma_L + p_L$ , for all  $a \in L$  and all  $k$ . The result follows.  $\square$

*Remark 4.4.* In the case  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ , we define ‘‘subanalytic leaf’’ using the underlying real structure. If  $\varphi$  is regular, then the diagram  $\mathfrak{N}_a$  is, in fact, an upper-semicontinuous function of  $a$ , with respect to the  $\mathbb{K}$ -analytic Zariski topology of  $M$  (and a natural total ordering of  $\{\mathfrak{N} \in \mathbb{N}^n : \mathfrak{N} + \mathbb{N}^n = \mathfrak{N}\}$ ) [2, Theorem C], but we do not need the more precise result here.

**Lemma 4.5.** *Let  $s \geq 1$  and let  $\underline{a} = (a^1, \dots, a^n) \in M_{\varphi}^s$ . Suppose that  $\varphi$  is regular at  $a^1, \dots, a^n$ . Then there exist  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $l_{\varphi^*}(\underline{a}, k) \leq \alpha k + \beta$ , for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $b = \varphi(\underline{a})$ . For each  $i = 1, \dots, s$ , since  $\varphi$  is regular at  $a^i$ , there exist  $\alpha^i, \beta^i$  such that

$$(4.1) \quad l_{\varphi^*}(a^i, k) \leq \alpha^i k + \beta^i, \quad \text{for all } k.$$

Of course,  $\bigcap_{i=1}^s \text{Ker } \hat{\varphi}_{a^i}^*$  is the kernel of the homomorphism  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_b \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^s \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_b / \text{ker } \hat{\varphi}_{a^i}^*$ . By the Artin-Rees lemma (cf. Remark 1.4), there exists  $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$  such that, if  $F \in \widehat{\mathfrak{m}}_b^{k+\lambda} + \text{ker } \hat{\varphi}_{a^i}^*$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, s$ , then

$$(4.2) \quad F \in \widehat{\mathfrak{m}}_b^k + \bigcap_{i=1}^s \text{Ker } \hat{\varphi}_{a^i}^*.$$

Now let  $F \in \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_b$  and suppose that  $\hat{\varphi}_{a^i}^*(F) \in \widehat{\mathfrak{m}}_{a^i}^{\alpha^i(\lambda+k)+\beta^i+1}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, s$ . Then  $F \in \widehat{\mathfrak{m}}_b^{\lambda+k+1} + \text{Ker } \hat{\varphi}_{a^i}^*$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, s$ , by (4.1), so that  $F \in \widehat{\mathfrak{m}}_b^{k+1} + \bigcap_{i=1}^s \text{Ker } \hat{\varphi}_{a^i}^*$ , by (4.2). In other words,  $l_{\varphi^*}(\underline{a}, k) \leq \alpha k + \beta$ , where  $\alpha = \max \alpha^i$  and  $\beta = \lambda \max \alpha^i + \max \beta^i$ .  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 1.2.** Suppose that  $\varphi: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is a real-analytic mapping, where  $M$  is compact. Let  $X = \varphi(M)$ . Let  $s \geq 1$ ,  $\underline{a} \in M_\varphi^s$ ,  $b = \varphi(\underline{a})$ . If  $\underline{a} = (a^1, \dots, a^s)$  includes a point  $a^i$  in every connected component of  $\varphi^{-1}(b)$ , then

$$(4.3) \quad l_X(b, k) \leq l_{\varphi^*}(\underline{a}, k),$$

by Remark 3.9 and Lemma 3.10.

Let  $L$  be a relatively compact subanalytic leaf in  $M_\varphi^s$ , such that  $\mathfrak{N}_{\underline{a}} = \mathfrak{N}(\mathcal{R}_{\underline{a}})$  is constant on  $L$ . Suppose that  $\varphi$  is regular at  $a^i$ , for all  $\underline{a} = (a^1, \dots, a^s) \in L$  and  $i = 1, \dots, s$ . Let  $l(L, k)$  denote the generic Chevalley function of  $L$ . By Lemma 4.5, there exist  $\alpha, \beta$  such that  $l(L, k) \leq \alpha k + \beta$ . Therefore, by Lemma 4.3, there exist  $\alpha_L, \beta_L$  such that

$$(4.4) \quad l_{\varphi^*}(\underline{a}, k) \leq \alpha_L k + \beta_L, \quad \text{for all } \underline{a} \in L.$$

To prove the theorem, we can assume that  $X$  is compact. Let  $\varphi$  be a mapping as above, such that  $X = \varphi(M)$ . We consider first the case that  $X$  is Nash. Then we can assume that  $\varphi$  is regular. Let  $s$  denote a bound on the number of connected components of a fibre  $\varphi^{-1}(b)$ , for all  $b \in X$ . Then there is a finite partition of  $M_\varphi^s$  into relatively compact subanalytic leaves  $L$ , such that  $\mathfrak{N}_{\underline{a}} = \mathfrak{N}(\mathcal{R}_{\underline{a}})$  is constant on every  $L$ . By (4.3) and (4.4), for each  $L$ , there exist  $\alpha_L, \beta_L$  such that  $l_X(b, k) \leq \alpha_L k + \beta_L$ , for all  $b \in \varphi(L)$  and all  $k$ . Therefore,  $l_X(b, k)$  has a uniform linear bound.

Finally, we consider  $X$  formally Nash. Let  $\text{NR}(\varphi) \subset M$  denote the set of points at which  $\varphi$  is not regular. Then  $\text{NR}(\varphi)$  is a nowhere-dense closed analytic subset of  $M$  ([11, Theorem 1]). For each positive integer  $s$ , set

$$\text{NR}(\varphi^s) := M_\varphi^s \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^s \{ \underline{a} = (a^1, \dots, a^s) \in M^s : a^i \in \text{NR}(\varphi) \};$$

then  $\text{NR}(\varphi^s)$  is a closed analytic subset of  $M_\varphi^s$ .

If  $b \in X$  and  $a, a'$  belong to the same connected component of  $\varphi^{-1}(b)$ , then  $\varphi$  is regular at  $a$  if and only if  $\varphi$  is regular at  $a'$  (cf. Remark 3.1). Let  $t$  be a bound on the number of connected components of a fibre  $\varphi^{-1}(b)$ , for all  $b \in X$ . For each  $s \leq t$ , define  $X_s := \{b \in X : \varphi^{-1}(b) \text{ has precisely } s \text{ regular components}\}$  and  $Y_s := \{b \in X : \varphi^{-1}(b) \text{ has at least } s \text{ regular components}\}$ . Then  $X_s = Y_s \setminus Y_{s+1}$ , and

$$Y_s = \varphi^s(M_\varphi^s \setminus \text{NR}(\varphi^s));$$

in particular, all the  $X_s$  and  $Y_s$  are subanalytic (cf. §3.2).

The hypothesis of the theorem implies:

$$(1) \quad X = \bigcup_{s=1}^t X_s;$$

$$(2) \quad \text{If } b \in X_s \text{ and } \underline{a} \in (\varphi^s)^{-1}(b) \cap (M_\varphi^s \setminus \text{NR}(\varphi^s)), \text{ then } \mathcal{R}_{\underline{a}} = \mathcal{R}_b.$$

((2) follows from the fact that  $\mathcal{F}_b(X) = \mathcal{F}_b(Y_b)$ , where  $Y_b$  is some closed Nash subanalytic subset of  $X$ , and (1) from the fact that the latter condition holds for all  $b \in X$ .)

By [11, Theorem 2], for each  $s$ , there is a finite stratification  $\mathcal{L}_s$  of  $M_\varphi^s$  compatible with  $\text{NR}(\varphi^s)$  such that  $\mathfrak{N}_{\underline{a}} = \mathfrak{N}(\mathcal{R}_{\underline{a}})$  is constant on every stratum  $L \subset M_\varphi^s \setminus \text{NR}(\varphi^s)$ ,  $L \in \mathcal{L}_s$ . Clearly,

$$X_s = \bigcup_{\substack{L \in \mathcal{L}_s \\ L \subset M_\varphi^s \setminus \text{NR}(\varphi^s)}} \varphi^s(L \cap M_\varphi^s) \cap X_s;$$

hence

$$X = \bigcup_{s=1}^t \bigcup_{\substack{L \in \mathcal{L}_s \\ L \subset M_\varphi^s \setminus \text{NR}(\varphi^s)}} \varphi^s \left( L \cap \overset{\circ}{M}_\varphi^s \right).$$

Again by (4.3) and (4.4), for each  $L$ , there exist  $\alpha_L, \beta_L$  such that  $l_X(b, k) \leq \alpha_L k + \beta_L$ , for all  $b \in \varphi(L)$  and all  $k$ . The result follows.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

1. E. Bierstone and P.D. Milman, *Composite differentiable functions*, Ann. of Math. (2) **116** (1982), 541–558.
2. E. Bierstone and P.D. Milman, *Relations among analytic functions I*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **37:1** (1987), 187–239; *II*, **37:2** (1987), 49–77.
3. E. Bierstone and P.D. Milman, *Geometric and differential properties of subanalytic sets*, Ann. of Math. (2) **147** (1998), 731–785.
4. C. Chevalley, *On the theory of local rings*, Ann. of Math. (2) **44** (1943), 690–708.
5. A.J. Duncan and L. O’Carroll, *A full uniform Artin-Rees theorem*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **394** (1989), 203–207.
6. A.M. Gabrielov, *Formal relations between analytic functions*, Math. USSR Izv. **7** (1973), 1056–1088.
7. H. Hironaka, *Resolution of singularities of an algebraic variety over a field of characteristic zero: I, II*, Ann. of Math. (2) **79** (1964), 109–326.
8. C. Huneke, *Uniform bounds in Noetherian rings*, Invent. Math. **107** (1992), 203–223.
9. S. Izumi, *Linear complementary inequalities for orders of germs of analytic functions*, Invent. Math. **65** (1982), 459–471.
10. S. Izumi, *Gabrielov’s rank condition is equivalent to an inequality of reduced orders*, Math. Ann. **276** (1986), 81–89.
11. W. Pawłucki, *On Gabrielov’s regularity condition for analytic mappings*, Duke Math. J. **65** (1992), 299–311.
12. T. Wang, *Linear Chevalley estimates*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **347** (1995), 4877–4898.

J. ADAMUS, INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS OF THE POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, 00-956  
 WARSZAWA 10, SNADECKICH 8, P.O. BOX 21, POLAND  
*E-mail address:* `adamus@impan.gov.pl`

E. BIERSTONE, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO, TORONTO, ON-  
 TARIO, CANADA M5S 3G3  
*E-mail address:* `bierston@math.toronto.edu`

P.D. MILMAN, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO, TORONTO, ON-  
 TARIO, CANADA M5S 3G3  
*E-mail address:* `milman@math.toronto.edu`