

**NONVANISHING OF $Gl(2)$
AUTOMORPHIC L FUNCTIONS
AT $1/2$**

Brooks Roberts

Department of Mathematics
University of Toronto
Toronto, M5S 1A1
CANADA

Max-Planck-Institut
für Mathematik
Gottfried-Claren-Str. 26
53225 Bonn
GERMANY

MPI/95-49

NONVANISHING OF $\mathrm{Gl}(2)$
AUTOMORPHIC L FUNCTIONS AT $1/2$

BROOKS ROBERTS

The Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik

Let k be a number field with group of adeles \mathbb{A} , let B be a quaternion algebra defined over k , and let $G = B^\times$. Let π be an infinite dimensional irreducible cuspidal automorphic representation of $G(\mathbb{A})$. Then the vanishing or nonvanishing of $L(1/2, \pi)$ has been conjectured or shown to be equivalent to conditions of considerable interest in number theory or automorphic representation theory. For example, if $k = \mathbb{Q}$, $B(k) = M_2(k)$ and π corresponds to an elliptic curve E defined over \mathbb{Q} , then Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjectured that the order of vanishing of $L(s, \pi)$ at $1/2$ is the rank of the torsion free part of $E(\mathbb{Q})$. To take another example, if the central character of π is trivial, then Waldspurger showed in [W1] and [W2] that the nonvanishing of $L(1/2, \pi)$ is equivalent to the nonvanishing of the theta lift of π to $\mathrm{Mp}(2, \mathbb{A})$, the metaplectic cover of $\mathrm{Sl}(2, \mathbb{A})$. In this paper, again when the central character of π is trivial, we show how another condition is related to the nonvanishing of $L(1/2, \pi)$. We also consider the implications of our results for elliptic modular forms.

Our first main result relates the nonvanishing of $L(1/2, \pi)$ to the existence of another irreducible cuspidal automorphic representation σ of $G(\mathbb{A})$ along with an automorphic embedding of π in $\sigma \otimes \sigma^\vee$. For a precise account we need some notation. If σ is an infinite dimensional irreducible cuspidal automorphic representation of $G(\mathbb{A})$, define the trilinear form $T(\sigma \otimes \sigma^\vee \otimes \pi) : \sigma \otimes \sigma^\vee \otimes \pi \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$f_1 \otimes f_2 \otimes f \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{A}^\times G(k) \backslash G(\mathbb{A})} f_1(g) f_2(g) f(g) dg.$$

For the remainder of this introduction, assume that the central character of π is trivial. In Theorem 1 we prove that if there exists an infinite dimensional irreducible cuspidal automorphic representation σ of $G(\mathbb{A})$ such that $T(\sigma \otimes \sigma^\vee \otimes \pi) \neq 0$, then $L(1/2, \pi) \neq 0$. We also show that the converse holds in the case $G \neq \mathrm{Gl}(2)$. To prove Theorem 1, we use the above mentioned criterion of Waldspurger and theta correspondences in the form of certain seesaw pairs. Theorem 1 is proven in section 1. In section 1 we also discuss some possible similar results and the connection of Theorem 1 to the Jacquet conjecture.

In the case $G = \mathrm{Gl}(2)$, the first part of Theorem 1 has a consequence for elliptic modular forms. As an illustration of the more general result of section 3, suppose

During the period of this work the author was supported by a Stipendium at the Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik.

that N is a nonnegative integer, k is a positive even integer and $F_1 \in S_{k/2}(\Gamma_0(N))$ is an eigenform of the Hecke operators $T(p)$ for $p \nmid N$. Then $F_1^2 \in S_k(\Gamma_0(N))$. The above result implies that if $F \in S_k(\Gamma_0(N))$ is a new form and $\langle F, F_1^2 \rangle_{\Gamma_0(N) \backslash \mathfrak{S}} \neq 0$ then $L(k/2, F) \neq 0$.

Under some hypotheses, in the case $G = \mathrm{Gl}(2)$, our second main result gives a necessary and sufficient condition for π to embed automorphically in $\pi(\chi) \otimes \pi(\chi)^\vee$ for some χ , where χ is a unitary Hecke character of a quadratic extension E of k that does not factor through N_k^E , and $\pi(\chi)$ is the irreducible cuspidal automorphic representation of $\mathrm{Gl}(2, \mathbb{A})$ associated to χ . Suppose such a χ exists. By Theorem 1, we have $L(1/2, \pi) \neq 0$. Using Theorem 1 again, we show that $L(1/2, \pi \otimes \omega_{E/k}) \neq 0$. See Lemma 2. We prove in Theorem 2 that for many π , these two necessary conditions are also sufficient. To prove this result, we use another seesaw. See Lemma 1. By this lemma, our trilinear form is related to the product of two integrals over $\mathbb{A}^\times E^\times \backslash \mathbb{A}_E^\times$. These integrals can be analyzed using the main result of [W3], and an idea from [H]. This result is described in section 2.

Our final main result applies Theorem 2 to elliptic modular forms. The key step in making the transition from the abstract situation of Theorem 2 to elliptic modular forms is to show that the local trilinear forms do not vanish on certain pure tensors formed from a combination of new and old vectors. In particular, we need more information than is contained in [GP], where the case of a triple tensor product of unramified representations or a triple tensor product of special representations is treated. We also need to generalize the description of the new vector in a Kirillov model from [GP] to the case when the central character is not trivial. The result on trilinear forms appears in Lemma 3, and the new vector in a Kirillov model is described in the discussion preceding the lemma.

We will use the following notation and definitions. Given a group, we let $\mathbf{1}$ denote the trivial one dimensional representation of that group, i.e., the character that maps all the elements of the group to 1. Throughout the paper, k is a number field, with group of adèles \mathbb{A} , B is quaternion algebra defined over k , and $G = B^\times$. The notation for trilinear forms will be as above. Let v be a place of k , and let π be an irreducible admissible representation of $G(k_v)$ or an irreducible cuspidal automorphic representation of $G(\mathbb{A})$. The central character of π will be denoted by ω_π , and the contragredient of π by π^\vee . If π is an infinite dimensional irreducible cuspidal automorphic representation of $G(\mathbb{A})$, let $\mathrm{JL}(\pi)$ be the infinite dimensional irreducible cuspidal automorphic representation of $\mathrm{Gl}(2, \mathbb{A})$ associated to π by the Jacquet-Langlands correspondence, as in Theorem 10.5 of [Ge]. If τ is an irreducible cuspidal automorphic representation of $\mathrm{Gl}(2, \mathbb{A})$, and τ lies in the Jacquet-Langlands correspondence with respect to $G(\mathbb{A})$, let $\mathrm{JL}(\tau)$ be the associated infinite dimensional irreducible cuspidal automorphic representation of $G(\mathbb{A})$; otherwise, let $\mathrm{JL}(\tau) = 0$. If π is an infinite dimensional irreducible cuspidal automorphic representation of $G(\mathbb{A})$, then $L(s, \pi)$ is defined to be $L(s, \mathrm{JL}(\pi))$. Let E be a quadratic extension of k . We denote the nontrivial unitary Hecke character of \mathbb{A}^\times that is trivial on $k^\times N_k^E(\mathbb{A}_E^\times)$ by $\omega_{E/k}$. If χ is a unitary Hecke character of \mathbb{A}_E^\times that does not factor through N_k^E , then $\pi(\chi)$ is the irreducible cuspidal automorphic representation of $\mathrm{Gl}(2, \mathbb{A})$ associated to χ as in Theorem 7.11 of [Ge]. If F is a nonarchimedean local field, then Sp is the special representation of $\mathrm{Gl}(2, F)$, i.e.,

the irreducible quotient of $\rho(| \cdot |^{-1/2}, | \cdot |^{1/2})$; the last representation is defined as in [Ge]. If D is a quaternion algebra, the canonical involution of D will be denoted by $*$ and the reduced norm N and trace T of D are defined by $N(x) = xx^*$ and $T(x) = x + x^*$. Let $(U, (\cdot , \cdot))$ be a nonzero, nondegenerate finite dimensional symmetric or symplectic bilinear space over a field F not of characteristic two. An F linear map $T : U \rightarrow U$ is called a similitude if there exists $\lambda \in F^\times$ such that $(Tu, Tu') = \lambda(u, u')$ for $u, u' \in U$; in this case, λ is uniquely determined, and we write $\lambda(T) = \lambda$. We denote the group of all similitudes by $GO(U)$ or $GSp(U)$, depending on whether U is symmetric or symplectic, respectively. If the U is symmetric and of dimension $2n$, then we denote the subgroup of $T \in GO(U)$ such that $\det(T) = \lambda(T)^n$ by $GSO(U)$. The notation for elliptic modular forms will be as in [Sh]. Finally, if M is a positive integer, we let

$$W_M = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ M & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In preparing this work, I benefited from some discussions with F. Rodriguez-Villegas. Also, the idea of using the seesaw of Lemma 1 to obtain this result was told to me by D. Prasad.

1. The general case. In this section we prove Theorem 1. At the end of the section we make some remarks about the proof and possible analogous results. We also discuss the relationship between Theorem 1 and the Jacquet conjecture.

To prove Theorem 1 we will use a certain seesaw from the theory of the theta correspondence. For an outline of the global theory of the theta correspondence for isometries and similitudes, the reader can consult [HPS] and section 2 of [HST], respectively. For more about seesaws, see [K].

Theorem 1. *Let π be an infinite dimensional irreducible cuspidal automorphic representation of $G(\mathbb{A})$ with trivial central character. If there there exists an infinite dimensional irreducible cuspidal automorphic representation σ of $G(\mathbb{A})$ such that $T(\sigma \otimes \sigma^\vee \otimes \pi) \neq 0$, then $L(1/2, \pi) \neq 0$. Conversely, if $L(1/2, \pi) \neq 0$ and $G \neq Gl(2)$, then there exists an infinite dimensional irreducible cuspidal automorphic representation σ of $G(\mathbb{A})$ such that $T(\sigma \otimes \sigma^\vee \otimes \pi) \neq 0$.*

Proof. To define the seesaw used in the proof, let X be the symmetric bilinear space defined over k with underlying space B and symmetric bilinear form (\cdot , \cdot) corresponding to $-N$, where N the reduced norm of B . Let X_0 be the subspace of X such that $X_0(k) = k$, and let X_1 be the subspace of X of trace zero elements. Then there is an orthogonal decomposition $X = X_0 \perp X_1$. Let Y be the nondegenerate two dimensional symmetric bilinear space over k . We write $Sl(2) = Sp(Y)$ and $Gl(2) = GSp(Y)$. Consider the symplectic spaces $W = X \otimes Y$, $W_0 = X_0 \otimes Y$ and $W_1 = X_1 \otimes Y$ defined over k . Via the obvious inclusions, $(O(X), Sl(2))$ is a dual pair in $Sp(W)$. Via the inclusion coming from the orthogonal decomposition $W = W_0 \perp W_1$, $(O(X_0) \times O(X_1), Sl(2) \times Sl(2))$ is also a dual pair in $Sp(W)$. Since $Sl(2)$ is contained in $Sl(2) \times Sl(2)$ and $O(X_0) \times O(X_1)$ is contained in $O(X)$, our two dual pairs are seesaw dual pairs, which is illustrated by the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Sl(2) \times Sl(2) & & O(X) \\ \uparrow & \times & \uparrow \\ Sl(2) & & O(X_0) \times O(X_1) \end{array}.$$

Let q be the projection of the metaplectic group $\text{Mp}(W(\mathbb{A}))$ onto $\text{Sp}(W(\mathbb{A}))$. Since the dimension of X is even, it follows that the inverse images of $\text{Sl}(2, \mathbb{A})$ and $\text{O}(X(\mathbb{A}))$ in $\text{Mp}(W(\mathbb{A}))$ are split. It follows that the inverse image of $\text{O}(X_0(\mathbb{A})) \times \text{O}(X_1(\mathbb{A}))$ is also split. However, the inverse image of $\text{Sl}(2, \mathbb{A}) \times \text{Sl}(2, \mathbb{A})$ is not split.

In addition, consider the dual pair $(\text{O}(X_0), \text{Sl}(2))$ in $\text{Sp}(W_0)$ and the dual pair $((\text{O}(X_1), \text{Sl}(2)))$ in $\text{Sp}(W_1)$. The inverse images of $\text{O}(X_0(\mathbb{A}))$ and $\text{O}(X_1(\mathbb{A}))$ are split, while those of $\text{Sl}(2, \mathbb{A})$ are commonly isomorphic to $\text{Mp}(2, \mathbb{A})$. Moreover, there is an epimorphism p of $\text{Mp}(2, \mathbb{A}) \times \text{Mp}(2, \mathbb{A})$ onto $q^{-1}(\text{Sl}(2, \mathbb{A}) \times \text{Sl}(2, \mathbb{A}))$ such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Mp}(2, \mathbb{A}) \times \text{Mp}(2, \mathbb{A}) & \xrightarrow{p} & q^{-1}(\text{Sl}(2, \mathbb{A}) \times \text{Sl}(2, \mathbb{A})) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Sl}(2, \mathbb{A}) \times \text{Sl}(2, \mathbb{A}) & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & \text{Sl}(2, \mathbb{A}) \times \text{Sl}(2, \mathbb{A}). \end{array}$$

Here, the vertical maps are projections.

We can summarize the situation by the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Mp}(2, \mathbb{A}) \times \text{Mp}(2, \mathbb{A}) & \xrightarrow{p} & q^{-1}(\text{Sl}(2, \mathbb{A}) \times \text{Sl}(2, \mathbb{A})) & \times & \text{O}(X(\mathbb{A})) \\ & & \uparrow i & & \uparrow \\ & & \text{Sl}(2, \mathbb{A}) & & \text{O}(X_0(\mathbb{A})) \times \text{O}(X_1(\mathbb{A})) \end{array}.$$

Fix a nontrivial additive character ψ of \mathbb{A}/k . Let $(r_0, \mathcal{S}(X_0(\mathbb{A})))$, $(r_1, \mathcal{S}(X_1(\mathbb{A})))$ and $(r, \mathcal{S}(X(\mathbb{A})))$ be the Schrödinger models of the smooth Weil representations of $\text{Mp}(W_0(\mathbb{A}))$, $\text{Mp}(W_1(\mathbb{A}))$ and $\text{Mp}(W(\mathbb{A}))$ defined with respect to ψ , respectively. Denote the composition of r with the natural maps of $q^{-1}(\text{Sl}(2, \mathbb{A}) \times \text{Sl}(2, \mathbb{A})) \times (\text{O}(X_0(\mathbb{A})) \times \text{O}(X_1(\mathbb{A})))$ and $\text{Sl}(2, \mathbb{A}) \times \text{O}(X(\mathbb{A}))$ into $\text{Mp}(W(\mathbb{A}))$ by ω and ω' , respectively, and denote the composition of r_0 and r_1 with the natural maps of $\text{Mp}(2, \mathbb{A}) \times \text{O}(X_0(\mathbb{A}))$ into $\text{Mp}(W_0(\mathbb{A}))$ and $\text{Mp}(2, \mathbb{A}) \times \text{O}(W_1(\mathbb{A}))$ into $\text{Mp}(W_1(\mathbb{A}))$ by ω_0 and ω_1 , respectively. Clearly, the restrictions of ω and ω' to $\text{Sl}(2, \mathbb{A}) \times (\text{O}(X_0(\mathbb{A})) \times \text{O}(X_1(\mathbb{A})))$ are identical. Moreover, the map from $\mathcal{S}(X_0(\mathbb{A})) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{S}(X_1(\mathbb{A}))$ to $\mathcal{S}(X(\mathbb{A}))$ that takes $\varphi_0 \otimes \varphi_1$ to φ with $\varphi(x_0 \oplus x_1) = \varphi_0(x_0)\varphi_1(x_1)$ gives an isomorphism of \mathbb{C} vector spaces such that

$$\omega(p(g_0, g_1), (h_0, h_1))\varphi(x_0 \oplus x_1) = \omega_0(g_0, h_0)\varphi_0(x_0)\omega_1(g_1, h_1)\varphi_1(x_1)$$

for $\varphi_0 \otimes \varphi_1 \in \mathcal{S}(X_0(\mathbb{A})) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{S}(X_1(\mathbb{A}))$, $(g_0, g_1) \in \text{Mp}(2, \mathbb{A}) \times \text{Mp}(2, \mathbb{A})$ and $(h_0, h_1) \in \text{O}(X_0(\mathbb{A})) \times \text{O}(X_1(\mathbb{A}))$.

We define the appropriate theta kernels. For $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(X(\mathbb{A}))$, $(g', h') \in \text{Sl}(2, \mathbb{A}) \times \text{O}(X(\mathbb{A}))$ and $(g, h) \in q^{-1}(\text{Sl}(2, \mathbb{A}) \times \text{Sl}(2, \mathbb{A})) \times (\text{O}(X_0(\mathbb{A})) \times \text{O}(X_1(\mathbb{A})))$ and let

$$\theta(g, h; \varphi) = \sum_{x \in X(k)} \omega(g, h)\varphi(x), \quad \theta(g', h'; \varphi) = \sum_{x \in X(k)} \omega'(g', h')\varphi(x).$$

If $(g, h) = (g', h')$ is in $\text{Sl}(2, \mathbb{A}) \times (\text{O}(X_0(\mathbb{A})) \times \text{O}(X_1(\mathbb{A})))$, these functions clearly agree. For $\varphi_0 \in \mathcal{S}(X_0(\mathbb{A}))$, $\varphi_1 \in \mathcal{S}(X_1(\mathbb{A}))$, $g \in \text{Mp}(2, \mathbb{A})$, $h_0 \in \text{O}(X_0(\mathbb{A}))$ and $h_1 \in \text{O}(X_1(\mathbb{A}))$ let

$$\theta(g, h_0; \varphi_0) = \sum_{x \in X_0(k)} \omega_0(g, h_0)\varphi_0(x), \quad \theta(g, h_1; \varphi_1) = \sum_{x \in X_1(k)} \omega_1(g, h_1)\varphi_1(x).$$

If $\varphi_0 \in \mathcal{S}(X_0(\mathbb{A}))$, $\varphi_1 \in \mathcal{S}(X_1(\mathbb{A}))$, $g_0, g_1 \in \mathrm{Mp}(2, \mathbb{A})$, $h_0 \in O(X_0(\mathbb{A}))$, $h_1 \in O(X_1(\mathbb{A}))$, and $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(X(\mathbb{A}))$ corresponds to $\varphi_0 \otimes \varphi_1$, then

$$\theta(p(g_0, g_1), (h_0, h_1); \varphi) = \theta(g_0, h_0; \varphi_0)\theta(g_1, h_1; \varphi_1).$$

There is a characterization of the right hand side of the above diagram that we will use. We have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{GSO}(X(\mathbb{A})) & \xleftarrow{\sim} & (G(\mathbb{A}) \times G(\mathbb{A}))/\mathbb{A}^\times \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \mathrm{SO}(X_1(\mathbb{A})) \cong \mathrm{SO}(X_0(\mathbb{A})) \times \mathrm{SO}(X_1(\mathbb{A})) & \xleftarrow{\sim} & G(\mathbb{A})/\mathbb{A}^\times. \end{array}$$

Here, the top map is defined by $\rho(g, g')x = gxg'^{-1}$, the bottom map is defined by $\rho(g)x = gxg^{-1}$, and the second vertical map takes g to (g, g) ; note that $\mathrm{SO}(X_0(\mathbb{A}))$ is trivial.

Next, we recall the theta correspondences and seesaw identity associated to our situation. Let $f \in \pi$ and let $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(X(\mathbb{A}))$. Let \tilde{f} be the function on $\mathrm{SO}(X_0(\mathbb{A})) \times \mathrm{SO}(X_1(\mathbb{A}))$ such that $\tilde{f} \circ \rho = f$. Define $\theta(\tilde{f}, \varphi)$ on $q^{-1}(\mathrm{Sl}(2, \mathbb{A}) \times \mathrm{Sl}(2, \mathbb{A}))$ by

$$\theta(\tilde{f}, \varphi)(g) = \int_{\mathrm{SO}(X_1(k)) \backslash \mathrm{SO}(X_1(\mathbb{A}))} \theta(g, (1, h_1); \varphi) f(h_1) dh_1.$$

If φ corresponds to $\varphi_0 \otimes \varphi_1 \in \mathcal{S}(X_0(\mathbb{A})) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{S}(X_1(\mathbb{A}))$, and $(g_0, g_1) \in \mathrm{Mp}(2, \mathbb{A}) \times \mathrm{Mp}(2, \mathbb{A})$ then

$$\theta(\tilde{f}, \varphi)(p(g_0, g_1)) = \theta(g_0, 1; \varphi_0)\theta(\tilde{f}, \varphi_1)(g_1),$$

where $\theta(\tilde{f}, \varphi_1)$ is the theta lift defined in [W1], p. 25, and denoted there by $T_\psi(\varphi_1, g_1, f)$.

The second theta correspondence will require some more notation. By [HK1], the representation ω' extends to a representation of the group

$$R'(\mathbb{A}) = \{(g, h) \in \mathrm{Gl}(2, \mathbb{A}) \times \mathrm{GO}(X(\mathbb{A})) : \det(g) = \lambda(h)\}.$$

Here, $\lambda(h)$ is the similitude factor of $h \in \mathrm{GO}(X(\mathbb{A}))$. With the aid of the extended representation we can lift representations of $\mathrm{Gl}(2, \mathbb{A})$. Define, as above, $\theta(g, h; \varphi)$ for $(g, h) \in R'(\mathbb{A})$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(X(\mathbb{A}))$. Suppose that τ is an irreducible cuspidal automorphic representation of $\mathrm{Gl}(2, \mathbb{A})$. Let $f' \in \tau$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(X(\mathbb{A}))$. Define $\theta(f', \varphi)$ on $\mathrm{GSO}(X(\mathbb{A}))$ by

$$\theta(f', \varphi)(h) = \int_{\mathrm{Sl}(2, k) \backslash \mathrm{Sl}(2, \mathbb{A})} \theta(g_1 g', h; \varphi) f'(g_1 g') dg_1.$$

Here, $g' \in \mathrm{Gl}(2, \mathbb{A})$ is such that $\det(g') = \lambda(h)$. Note that for $h \in \mathrm{SO}(X(\mathbb{A}))$, $\theta(f', \varphi)(h)$ is the same as the usual theta lift of f' with respect to φ . Let $\theta(\tau)$ be the \mathbb{C} vector space spanned by the functions $\theta(f', \varphi)$ for $f' \in \tau$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(X(\mathbb{A}))$. Then it is known that $\theta(\tau)$ is a cuspidal automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GSO}(X(\mathbb{A}))$. Moreover, one knows that $\theta(\tau) \circ \rho = \{F \circ \rho : F \in \theta(\tau)\}$ is the \mathbb{C} vector space spanned

by the functions $f_1 \otimes f_2$, where $f_1 \in \text{JL}(\tau)$ and $f_2 \in \text{JL}(\tau)^\vee$, and $f_1 \otimes f_2$ is the function on $(G(\mathbb{A}) \times G(\mathbb{A}))/\mathbb{A}^\times$ defined by $(f_1 \otimes f_2)(g_1, g_2) = f_1(g_1)f_2(g_2)$. For details, see [H] and [S1].

Because our dual pairs form a seesaw, if τ is an irreducible cuspidal automorphic representation of $\text{Gl}(2, \mathbb{A})$ we now have

$$\langle f', \theta(\tilde{f}, \varphi) \rangle_{\text{Sl}(2)} = \langle \tilde{f}, \theta(f', \varphi) \rangle_{\text{SO}(X_1)}$$

for $f \in \pi$, $f' \in \tau$, and $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(X(\mathbb{A}))$. Here,

$$\theta(\tilde{f}, \varphi)_{\text{Sl}(2)} = \int_{\text{Sl}(2, k) \backslash \text{Sl}(2, \mathbb{A})} f'(g) \theta(\tilde{f}, \varphi)(g) dg,$$

and $\langle \tilde{f}, \theta(f', \varphi) \rangle_{\text{SO}(X_1)}$ is similarly defined.

Now assume that there exists an infinite dimensional irreducible automorphic representation of $G(\mathbb{A})$ such that $T(\sigma \otimes \sigma^\vee \otimes \pi) \neq 0$. Let $T = T(\sigma \otimes \sigma^\vee \otimes \pi)$. Then $T(f_1 \otimes f_2 \otimes f) \neq 0$ for some $f_1 \in \sigma$, $f_2 \in \sigma^\vee$ and $f \in \pi$. From above, we can write $f_1 \otimes f_2$ as a linear combination of functions $\theta(f', \varphi) \circ \rho$, where $f' \in \tau = \text{JL}(\sigma)$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(X(\mathbb{A}))$. From $T(f_1 \otimes f_2 \otimes f) \neq 0$ it follows that there exist $f' \in \tau$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(X(\mathbb{A}))$ such that $\langle f, \theta(f', \varphi) \circ \rho \rangle_{\text{Gl}(2)} \neq 0$. Here, the integral is over $\mathbb{A}^\times \text{Gl}(2, k) \backslash \text{Gl}(2, \mathbb{A})$. Now:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f, \theta(f', \varphi) \circ \rho \rangle_{\text{Gl}(2)} &= \langle \tilde{f} \circ \rho, \theta(f', \varphi) \circ \rho \rangle_{\text{Gl}(2)} \\ &= \langle \tilde{f}, \theta(f', \varphi) \rangle_{\text{SO}(X_1)} \\ &= \langle f', \theta(\tilde{f}, \varphi) \rangle_{\text{Sl}(2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\langle f, \theta(f', \varphi) \circ \rho \rangle_{\text{Gl}(2)} \neq 0$, we have $\theta(\tilde{f}, \varphi) \neq 0$. It follows that $\theta(\tilde{f}, \varphi) \neq 0$ for some $\varphi = \varphi_0 \otimes \varphi_1 \in \mathcal{S}(X_0(\mathbb{A})) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{S}(X_1(\mathbb{A}))$. Hence, $\theta(\tilde{f}, \varphi_1) \neq 0$. By [W1], Théorème 1, and [W2], Proposition 22, this implies that $L(1/2, \pi) \neq 0$.

Next, assume that $L(1/2, \pi) \neq 0$ and $G \neq \text{Gl}(2)$, so that $B(k)$ is a division algebra. Let $f \in \pi$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(X(\mathbb{A}))$. Assume that $\varphi = \varphi_0 \otimes \varphi_1 \in \mathcal{S}(X_0(\mathbb{A})) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{S}(X_1(\mathbb{A}))$. We first show that $\theta(\tilde{f}, \varphi)|_{\text{Sl}(2, \mathbb{A})}$ is a cusp form. Let $g \in \text{Sl}(2, \mathbb{A})$, and let $(g_0, g_1) \in \text{Mp}(2, \mathbb{A}) \times \text{Mp}(2, \mathbb{A})$ be such that $p(g_0, g_1) = g$, where g is regarded as an element of $q^{-1}(\text{Sl}(2, \mathbb{A}) \times \text{Sl}(2, \mathbb{A}))$. A computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{k \backslash \mathbb{A}} \theta(\tilde{f}, \varphi)(i \left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & n \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) g) dn &= \sum_{x \in X_0(k)} \omega_0(g_0, 1) \varphi_0(x) W(\tilde{f}, g_1, \varphi_1, -(x, x)) \\ &= \sum_{a \in k} \omega_0(g_0, 1) \varphi_0(a \cdot 1) W(\tilde{f}, g_1, \varphi_1, a^2), \end{aligned}$$

where, as in [W1],

$$W(\varphi_1, g_1, \tilde{f}, t) = \int_{k \backslash \mathbb{A}} \theta(\tilde{f}, \varphi_1) \left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & n \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) g_1 \psi(-tn) dn$$

for $t \in k$. We assert that $W(\varphi_1, g_1, \tilde{f}, a^2) = 0$ for all $g \in \text{Mp}(2, \mathbb{A})$ and $a \in k$. If $a = 0$, this follows as in [W1], p. 30. Since for all $g \in \text{Mp}(2, \mathbb{A})$ and $a \in k^\times$,

$$W(\varphi_1, g_1, \tilde{f}, a^2) = W(\varphi_1, \left(\begin{array}{cc} a & 0 \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{array} \right), 1)g, \tilde{f}, 1),$$

it now suffices to show that $W(\varphi_1, g_1, \tilde{f}, 1)$ for $g \in \text{Mp}(2, \mathbb{A})$. As in [W1], p. 29, for $g \in \text{Mp}(2, \mathbb{A})$,

$$W(\varphi_1, g_1, \tilde{f}, 1) = \int_{\text{SO}(X_1(k)) \setminus \text{SO}(X_1(\mathbb{A}))} \sum_{x \in X_1(k), (x, x)=1} \omega_1(g, h_1) \varphi_1(x) \tilde{f}(h_1) dh_1.$$

Since $B(k)$ is a division algebra, there exist no $x \in X_1(k)$ such that $(x, x) = 1$, and our claim follows.

Since $L(1/2, \pi) \neq 0$, again by [W2], there exist $f \in \pi$, $\varphi_1 \in \mathcal{S}(X_1(\mathbb{A}))$ and $g \in \text{Mp}(2, \mathbb{A})$ so that $\theta(\tilde{f}, \varphi_1)(g) \neq 0$. There exists $\varphi_0 \in \mathcal{S}(X_0(\mathbb{A}))$ such that $\theta(g, 1; \varphi_0) \neq 0$. By the last paragraph, it follows that if $\varphi = \varphi_0 \otimes \varphi_1$, then $\theta(\tilde{f}, \varphi)|_{\text{Sl}(2, \mathbb{A})}$ is a nonzero cusp form on $\text{Sl}(2, \mathbb{A})$. Hence, there exists an infinite dimensional irreducible automorphic cuspidal representation τ of $\text{Gl}(2, \mathbb{A})$ and $f' \in \tau$ so that $\langle f', \theta(\tilde{f}, \varphi) \rangle_{\text{Sl}(2)} \neq 0$. Since $\langle f', \theta(\tilde{f}, \varphi) \rangle_{\text{Sl}(2)} \neq 0$ for some $f' \in \tau$, we have $\langle \tilde{f} \circ \rho, \theta(f', \varphi) \circ \rho \rangle_{\text{Gl}(2)} \neq 0$ by an identity from above. This implies that $T(\sigma \otimes \sigma^\vee \otimes \pi) \neq 0$, where $\sigma = \text{JL}(\tau)$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1 \square

We make some remarks on the proof of Theorem 1 and a possible analogous result. The argument for the second part of Theorem 1 fails if $G = \text{Gl}(2)$. In this case, we do not always have $W(\varphi_1, g, \tilde{f}, 1) = 0$. To see this, suppose that $B(k)$ is not a division algebra and that the notation is as in proof of Theorem 1. Then by [W1], p. 30,

$$W(\varphi_1, g, \tilde{f}, 1) = \int_{S(\mathbb{A}) \setminus \text{SO}(X_1(\mathbb{A}))} \omega_1(g, h_1) \varphi_1(x_1) \int_{S(k) \setminus S(\mathbb{A})} \tilde{f}(sh_1) ds dh_1.$$

Here, $S(k)$ and $S(\mathbb{A})$ are the groups of elements in $\text{SO}(X_1(k))$ and $\text{SO}(X_1(\mathbb{A}))$, respectively, that fix

$$x_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now $S(k)$ is conjugate to the image under ρ of the subgroup of $\text{Gl}(2, k)$ consisting of the elements of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $a \in k^\times$. As in [W1], for a proper choice of $f \in \pi$ and $h_1 \in \text{SO}(X_1(\mathbb{A}))$, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{S(k) \setminus S(\mathbb{A})} \tilde{f}(sh_1) ds &= \int_{k^\times \setminus \mathbb{A}^\times} f \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} da \\ &= L(1/2, \pi). \end{aligned}$$

Since we are assuming $L(1/2, \pi) \neq 0$, this implies that for some $f \in \pi$, $\varphi_1 \in \mathcal{S}(X_1(\mathbb{A}))$ and $g \in \mathrm{Mp}(2, \mathbb{A})$ we have $W(\varphi_1, g, \tilde{f}, 1) \neq 0$.

As for the similar result, it may be possible to prove a statement analogous to Theorem 1, with the quadratic base change of an irreducible cuspidal automorphic representation of $\mathrm{Gl}(2, \mathbb{A})$ in place of $\sigma \otimes \sigma^\vee$. This might be obtained by replacing X_0 from the proof of Theorem 1 with a different one dimensional symmetric bilinear space. For example, fix a quadratic extension $K = k(\sqrt{d})$ of k with Galois group $\mathrm{Gal}(K/k) = \{1, -\}$, and consider the symmetric bilinear space X over k with underlying vector space

$$X'(k) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b\sqrt{d} \\ c\sqrt{d} & \bar{a} \end{pmatrix} : a \in K, b, c \in k \right\}$$

and bilinear form coming from the restriction of $(-1/d) \cdot \det$. We see that $X'(k) = X'_0(k) \perp X'_1(k)$, where again $X'_0(k) = k \cdot I$ and $X'_1(k)$ is the subspace of elements of trace zero. Also, $X'_1(k)$ is isometric to $X_1(k)$ from the proof of Theorem 1. However, $X_0(k)$ and $X'_0(k)$ are not isometric, nor are $X(k)$ and $X'(k)$. The appropriate identifications of the groups of similitudes are now given by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{GSO}(X'(\mathbb{A})) & \xleftarrow{\sim} & (\mathbb{A}^\times \times \mathrm{Gl}(2, \mathbb{A}_K)) / \mathbb{A}_K^\times \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \mathrm{SO}(X'_1(\mathbb{A})) \cong \mathrm{SO}(X'_0(\mathbb{A})) \times \mathrm{SO}(X'_1(\mathbb{A})) & \xleftarrow{\sim} & \mathrm{Gl}(2, \mathbb{A}) / \mathbb{A}^\times \end{array}$$

Here, the top map ρ is defined by $\rho(t, g)x = t^{-1}gx\bar{g}^*$, the bottom map is defined by $\rho(g)x = gxg^{-1}$, and the second vertical map sends g to $(\det(g), g)$. The inclusion of \mathbb{A}_K^\times takes x to $(N_K^K(x), x)$. With these objects playing the role of their counterparts, there may be a development like that in the proof of Theorem 1. However, the theta correspondence from the proof of Theorem 1 that involves the Jacquet-Langlands correspondence would seem now to involve base change to $\mathrm{Gl}(2, \mathbb{A}_K)$. In the general setting, this theta correspondence has not been developed as thoroughly as the one corresponding to the Jacquet-Langlands correspondence. See, however, [C].

Finally, we make some remarks about the connection between Theorem 1 and the Jacquet conjecture. Recall that, in our case, the Jacquet conjecture states that if σ is an irreducible cuspidal automorphic representation of $G(\mathbb{A})$, and at every place of k , the local component of π embeds in the local component of $\sigma \otimes \sigma^\vee$, then $T(\sigma \otimes \sigma^\vee \otimes \pi) \neq 0$ if and only if $L(1/2, \mathrm{JL}(\sigma) \otimes \mathrm{JL}(\sigma^\vee) \otimes \mathrm{JL}(\pi)) \neq 0$. The Jacquet conjecture is known in many cases. See [HK2]. Now if σ is an irreducible cuspidal automorphic representation of $G(\mathbb{A})$, then

$$L(s, \mathrm{JL}(\sigma) \otimes \mathrm{JL}(\sigma^\vee) \otimes \mathrm{JL}(\pi)) = L(s, \pi)L(s, \mathrm{JL}(\pi) \otimes \mathrm{JL}(\sigma), r).$$

Here, r is the representation of the L -group $\mathrm{Gl}(2, \mathbb{C}) \times \mathrm{Gl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ of $\mathrm{Gl}(2) \times \mathrm{Gl}(2)$ with underlying vector space $\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^2 \mathbb{C}^2$, and action defined by $r(g, g') = g \otimes (\det g'^{-1} g' \cdot g')$. The action on \mathbb{C}^2 is the standard one. As is pointed out in [GK], $L(s, \mathrm{JL}(\pi) \otimes \mathrm{JL}(\sigma), r)$ is entire. If the Jacquet conjecture is true, then the first part of Theorem 1 follows from the above equality of L -functions. It is not clear if the second part of Theorem 1 also follows from the assumption of the Jacquet conjecture. In addition to assuming the Jacquet conjecture, one would need a σ such that $L(1/2, \mathrm{JL}(\pi) \otimes \mathrm{JL}(\sigma), r) \neq 0$.

2. The case of unitary Hecke characters. In this section we consider the case when $B(k) = M_2(k)$ and $\sigma = \pi(\chi)$, where χ is a unitary Hecke character of a quadratic extension E of k that does not factor through N_k^E , and $\pi(\chi)$ is the irreducible cuspidal automorphic representation of $\mathrm{Gl}(2, \mathbb{A})$ associated to χ . We show that for many π , there exists a χ such that $T(\pi(\chi) \otimes \pi(\chi)^\vee \otimes \pi) \neq 0$ if and only if $L(1/2, \pi)L(1/2, \pi \otimes \omega_{E/k}) \neq 0$. Using another seesaw, Lemma 1 reduces the analysis of such trilinear forms to the investigation of some period integrals over $\mathbb{A}^\times E^\times \backslash \mathbb{A}_E^\times$. These integrals can be understood using [W3] and an idea from [H]. The seesaws of Theorem 1 and Lemma 1 are quite analogous and of the same general type. However, in contrast to the seesaw in Theorem 1, in Lemma 1 the trilinear form appears on the symplectic side of the seesaw.

Lemma 1. *Suppose that k is totally real. Let D be a quaternion algebra defined over k and let E be a quadratic extension of k contained in $D(k)$ as a k subalgebra. Let $\mathrm{Gal}(E/k) = \{1, -\}$. Let χ_0 and χ_1 be unitary Hecke characters of \mathbb{A}_E^\times that do not factor through N_k^E . Let π be an irreducible cuspidal automorphic representation of $\mathrm{Gl}(2, \mathbb{A})$. Assume that $\omega_\pi \chi_0|_{\mathbb{A}^\times} \chi_1|_{\mathbb{A}^\times} = 1$. If*

$$\int_{\mathbb{A}^\times E^\times \backslash \mathbb{A}_E^\times} f_1(x) \chi_0(x) \chi_1(x) dx \cdot \int_{\mathbb{A}^\times E^\times \backslash \mathbb{A}_E^\times} f_2(x) \chi_0(\bar{x}) \chi_1(x) dx \neq 0$$

for some $f_1, f_2 \in \mathrm{JL}(\pi)$, then

$$T(\pi(\chi_0) \otimes \pi(\chi_1) \otimes \pi) \neq 0.$$

Proof. The proof of the lemma will be analogous to the proof of Theorem 1. Again, we will use a seesaw.

To define the seesaw, regard D as a symmetric bilinear space X with symmetric bilinear form $(\ , \)$ induced by the reduced norm of D . Let X_0 be a subspace of X such that $X_0(k) = E$, and let X_1 be the orthogonal complement to X_0 . Define Y, W_0, W_1 and W as in the proof of Theorem 1. We have the analogous seesaw dual pairs $(\mathrm{O}(X), \mathrm{Sl}(2))$ and $(\mathrm{O}(X_0) \times \mathrm{O}(X_1), \mathrm{Sl}(2) \times \mathrm{Sl}(2))$ in $\mathrm{Sp}(W)$, and the same seesaw diagram. We also have the auxiliary dual pairs $(\mathrm{O}(X_0), \mathrm{Sl}(2))$ and $(\mathrm{O}(X_1), \mathrm{Sl}(2))$ in $\mathrm{Sp}(W_0)$ and $\mathrm{Sp}(W_1)$, respectively. For the same reasons as before, the inverse images of $\mathrm{O}(X(\mathbb{A}))$, $\mathrm{Sl}(2, \mathbb{A})$ and $\mathrm{O}(X_0(\mathbb{A})) \times \mathrm{O}(X_1(\mathbb{A}))$ are split; since the dimensions of X_0 and X_1 are even, it also follows that the inverse images of $\mathrm{O}(X_0(\mathbb{A}))$ and $\mathrm{Sl}(2, \mathbb{A})$ in $\mathrm{Sp}(W_0(\mathbb{A}))$ and of $\mathrm{O}(X_1(\mathbb{A}))$ and $\mathrm{Sl}(2, \mathbb{A})$ in $\mathrm{Sp}(W_1(\mathbb{A}))$ are split. This implies that the inverse image of $\mathrm{Sl}(2, \mathbb{A}) \times \mathrm{Sl}(2, \mathbb{A})$ in $\mathrm{Sp}(W(\mathbb{A}))$ is split.

We will use the same notation as in the proof of Theorem 1 for the Weil representations and their restrictions. However, now ω is a representation of $(\mathrm{Sl}(2, \mathbb{A}) \times \mathrm{Sl}(2, \mathbb{A}) \times (\mathrm{O}(X_0(\mathbb{A})) \times \mathrm{O}(X_1(\mathbb{A}))))$, and

$$\omega((g_0, g_1), (h_0, h_1)) \varphi(x_0 \oplus x_1) = \omega_0(g_0, h_0) \varphi_0(x_0) \omega_1(g_1, h_1) \varphi_1(x_1)$$

for $\varphi = \varphi_0 \otimes \varphi_1 \in \mathcal{S}(X_0(\mathbb{A})) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{S}(X_1(\mathbb{A}))$, $(g_0, g_1) \in \mathrm{Sl}(2, \mathbb{A}) \times \mathrm{Sl}(2, \mathbb{A})$ and $(h_0, h_1) \in \mathrm{O}(X_0(\mathbb{A})) \times \mathrm{O}(X_1(\mathbb{A}))$.

In fact, for the proof we will need the similitude version of the seesaw. The similitude seesaw and identity require some more notation and observations. First, we claim that there is a quaternion algebra basis $1, \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k} = \mathbf{ij}$ for $D(k)$ such that $X_0(k) = E = k + k \cdot \mathbf{i}$ and $X_1(k) = k \cdot \mathbf{j} + k \cdot \mathbf{k} = E \cdot \mathbf{j}$. To see this, let $E = k + k \cdot \mathbf{i}$, where $\mathbf{i}^2 \in k^\times$. Since the canonical involution $*$ of D generates $\text{Gal}(E/k)$, $\mathbf{i}^* = -\mathbf{i}$, and $(1, \mathbf{i}) = 0$. Let $x \in X_1(k)$ be nonzero. Consider the set E' of elements of $D(k)$ that commute with x . As $x \notin k$, this is $k + k \cdot x$. Now as a k algebra, E' is either a quadratic extension of k or isomorphic to $k \times k$. Moreover, the restriction of $*$ generates $\text{Gal}(E'/k)$. Hence, there exists $\mathbf{j} \in E'$ such that $E' = k + k \cdot \mathbf{j}$, $\mathbf{j}^2 \in k^\times$ and $(1, \mathbf{j}) = 0$. Let $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{ij}$. Since $x \in X_1(k)$, we have $(\mathbf{i}, x) = 0$, so that $(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}) = 0$, i.e., $\mathbf{ij} = -\mathbf{ji}$. We conclude that any two distinct elements among $1, \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k}$ are orthogonal, and hence $1, \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k}$ is a basis. Since $(X_0(k), k \cdot \mathbf{j} + k \cdot \mathbf{k}) = 0$, we have $X_1(k) = k \cdot \mathbf{j} + k \cdot \mathbf{k}$. We note that $X_0(k)$ and $X_1(k)$ have the same determinant, though they need not be isometric.

Using the last observation, we can identify $\text{GSO}(X_0(\mathbb{A}))$ and $\text{GSO}(X_1(\mathbb{A}))$. For $a \in \mathbb{A}_E^\times$, let $m(a)$ denote both the element of $\text{GSO}(X_0(\mathbb{A}))$ and of $\text{GSO}(X_1(\mathbb{A}))$ defined by left multiplication by a . It is well known that the maps from \mathbb{A}_E^\times to $\text{GSO}(X_0(\mathbb{A}))$ and $\text{GSO}(X_1(\mathbb{A}))$ which send a to $m(a)$ are isomorphisms. Clearly, the similitude factor $\lambda(m(a))$ of $m(a)$ for $a \in \mathbb{A}_E^\times$ is $N_k^E(a)$. It follows that $\lambda(\text{GSO}(X_0(\mathbb{A}))) = \lambda(\text{GSO}(X_1(\mathbb{A}))) = N_k^E(\mathbb{A}_E^\times)$.

The seesaw that we will use now is:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} [\text{Gl}(2, \mathbb{A})^+ \times \text{Gl}(2, \mathbb{A})^+] & & \text{GSO}(X(\mathbb{A})) \\ \uparrow & \times & \uparrow \\ \text{Gl}(2, \mathbb{A})^+ & & H(\mathbb{A}) = [\text{GSO}(X_1(\mathbb{A})) \times \text{GSO}(X_2(\mathbb{A}))] \end{array}$$

Here, $\text{Gl}(2, \mathbb{A})^+$ is the set of $g \in \text{Gl}(2, \mathbb{A})$ such that $\det(g) \in \lambda(\text{GSO}(X_0(\mathbb{A}))) = \lambda(\text{GSO}(X_1(\mathbb{A}))) = N_k^E(\mathbb{A}_E^\times)$; $[\text{Gl}(2, \mathbb{A})^+ \times \text{Gl}(2, \mathbb{A})^+]$ is the subgroup of pairs (g, g') of $\text{Gl}(2, \mathbb{A})^+ \times \text{Gl}(2, \mathbb{A})^+$ such that $\det(g) = \det(g')$, and $H(\mathbb{A}) = [\text{GSO}(X_1(\mathbb{A})) \times \text{GSO}(X_2(\mathbb{A}))]$ is the subgroup of pairs $(h, h') \in \text{GSO}(X_0(\mathbb{A})) \times \text{GSO}(X_2(\mathbb{A}))$ such that $\lambda(h) = \lambda(h')$. At this point we may as well state an identification of the right hand side of the diagram, analogous to the one of Theorem 1. We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{GSO}(X(\mathbb{A})) & \xleftarrow{\sim} & (D(\mathbb{A})^\times \times D(\mathbb{A})^\times) / \mathbb{A}^\times \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ H(\mathbb{A}) & \xleftarrow{\sim} & (\mathbb{A}_E^\times \times \mathbb{A}_E^\times) / \mathbb{A}^\times \end{array}$$

Here the top map ρ is as in Theorem 1, and the bottom map is defined by $\rho(x, y) = (m(xy^{-1}), m(x\bar{y}^{-1}))$. The vertical maps are inclusion.

As in the proof of Theorem 1, to introduce similitudes into the theta correspondence, we will use the extended representation of [HK1]. Let $R(\mathbb{A})$ be as in the proof of Theorem 1, and define $R_0(\mathbb{A})$ and $R_1(\mathbb{A})$ analogously. Then ω_0 and ω_1 extend to representations of $R_0(\mathbb{A})$ and $R_1(\mathbb{A})$, respectively, just as does ω' . Moreover, we have

$$\omega'(g, (h_0, h_1))(\varphi)(x_0 \oplus x_1) = \omega_0(g, h_0)\varphi_0(x_0)\omega_1(g, h_1)\varphi_1(x_1)$$

for $\varphi = \varphi_0 \otimes \varphi_1 \in \mathcal{S}(X_0(\mathbb{A})) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{S}(X_1(\mathbb{A}))$, $(g, h_0) \in R_0(\mathbb{A})$ and $(g, h_1) \in R_1(\mathbb{A})$.

Using the extended representations we define the theta lifts, and finally state the seesaw identity. We define $\theta(f', \varphi)$ for $f' \in \pi$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(X(\mathbb{A}))$, and $\theta(\pi)$ as in the proof of Theorem 1. Using the isomorphism of $\text{GSO}(X_0(\mathbb{A}))$ with \mathbb{A}_E^\times from above, define F_0 on $\text{GSO}(X_0(\mathbb{A}))$ by $F_0(m(x)) = \chi_0(x)$. For $\varphi_0 \in \mathcal{S}(X_0(\mathbb{A}))$ define $\theta(F_0, \varphi_0)$ on $\text{Gl}(2, \mathbb{A})^+$ by

$$\theta(F_1, \varphi_1)(g) = \int_{\text{SO}(X_1(F)) \backslash \text{SO}(X_1(\mathbb{A}))} \theta(g, h_1 h; \varphi_1) F_1(h_1 h) dh_1$$

where h in $\text{GSO}(X_0(\mathbb{A}))$ is such that $\det(g) = \lambda(h)$. For $\varphi_0 \in \mathcal{S}(X_0(\mathbb{A}))$, extend $\theta(F_0, \varphi_0)$ to a $\text{Gl}(2, k)$ invariant function on $\text{Gl}(2, \mathbb{A})$ by setting $\theta(F_0, \varphi_0)(g_0 g) = \theta(F_0, \varphi_0)(g)$ for $g_0 \in \text{Gl}(2, k)$ and $g \in \text{Gl}(2, \mathbb{A})^+$ and letting $\theta(F_0, \varphi_0)$ be 0 off $\text{Gl}(2, k) \text{Gl}(2, \mathbb{A})^+$. Then the automorphic representation of $\text{Gl}(2, \mathbb{A})$ generated by these functions is $\pi(\chi_0)$. Similar notation and comments apply to X_1 and χ_1 . See [HK2], section 13.

An argument as in [HK1], Proposition 7.1.4, now shows that for $\varphi_0 \in \mathcal{S}(X_0(\mathbb{A}))$, $\varphi_1 \in \mathcal{S}(X_1(\mathbb{A}))$ and $f \in \pi$,

$$\langle \theta(F_0, \varphi_0) \theta(F_1, \varphi_1), f \rangle_{\text{Gl}(2, \mathbb{A})^+} = \langle \theta(f, \varphi_0 \otimes \varphi_1), F_0 F_1 \rangle_{H(\mathbb{A})}.$$

Here, the first integral is over $\mathbb{A}^\times \text{Gl}(2, k)^+ \backslash \text{Gl}(2, \mathbb{A})^+$, and the second integral is over $\mathbb{A}^\times H(k) \backslash H(\mathbb{A})$. It is here that we use that k is totally real.

The lemma follows easily from this identity. Suppose that the product of the integrals in the statement of the lemma is nonzero. Then for some $f_1 \in \text{JL}(\pi)$ and $f_2 \in \text{JL}(\pi)^\vee$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{A}^\times E^\times \backslash \mathbb{A}_E^\times} f_1(x) \chi_0(x) \chi_1(x) dx \cdot \int_{\mathbb{A}^\times E^\times \backslash \mathbb{A}_E^\times} f_2(x) \chi_0(x)^{-1} \chi_1(\bar{x})^{-1} dx \neq 0.$$

As was pointed out in the proof of Theorem 1, $f_1 \otimes f_2$ is a linear combination of functions $\theta(f', \varphi) \circ \rho$ where $f' \in \pi$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(X(\mathbb{A}))$. Moreover, the vectors $\varphi_0 \otimes \varphi_1$ for $\varphi_0 \in \mathcal{S}(X_0(\mathbb{A}))$ and $\varphi_1 \in \mathcal{S}(X_1(\mathbb{A}))$ span $\mathcal{S}(X(\mathbb{A}))$. It follows that since the last product of integrals is nonzero, for some $f' \in \pi$, $\varphi_0 \in \mathcal{S}(X_0(\mathbb{A}))$ and $\varphi_1 \in \mathcal{S}(X_1(\mathbb{A}))$ we have

$$\langle \theta(f', \varphi_0 \otimes \varphi_1), F_0 \otimes F_1 \rangle_{H(\mathbb{A})} \neq 0.$$

By the seesaw identity,

$$\langle \theta(F_0, \varphi_0) \theta(F_1, \varphi_1), f' \rangle_{\text{Gl}(2, \mathbb{A})^+} \neq 0.$$

This implies that $T(\pi(\chi_0) \otimes \pi(\chi_1) \otimes \pi) \neq 0$. \square

The next lemma proves the necessity of the condition described at the beginning of this section.

Lemma 2. Let π be a cuspidal automorphic representation of $\mathrm{Gl}(2, \mathbb{A})$ with trivial central character. Let E be a quadratic extension of k , and suppose χ is a unitary Hecke character of \mathbb{A}_E^\times that does not factor through N_k^E . If

$$T(\tau(\chi) \otimes \tau(\chi)^\vee \otimes \pi) \neq 0$$

then

$$L(1/2, \pi)L(1/2, \pi \otimes \omega_{E/k}) \neq 0.$$

Proof. Suppose that $T(\pi(\chi) \otimes \pi(\chi)^\vee \otimes \pi) \neq 0$. By Theorem 1 it will suffice to show that $T(\pi(\chi) \otimes \pi(\chi)^\vee \otimes (\pi \otimes \omega_{E/k})) \neq 0$. Now by the characterization of $\pi(\chi)$ from Lemma 1, there exists $f_1 \in \pi(\chi)$ with support in $\mathrm{Gl}(2, k)\mathrm{Gl}(2, \mathbb{A})^+$, $f_2 \in \pi(\chi)^\vee$ and $f \in \pi$ such that $T(\pi(\chi) \otimes \pi(\chi)^\vee \otimes \pi)(f_1 \otimes f_2 \otimes f) \neq 0$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{A}^\times \mathrm{Gl}(2, k) \backslash \mathrm{Gl}(2, \mathbb{A})} f_1(g)f_2(g)f(g)\omega_{E/k}(\det(g)) dg \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{A}^\times \mathrm{Gl}(2, k) \backslash \mathrm{Gl}(2, k) \mathrm{Gl}(2, \mathbb{A})^+} f_1(g)f_2(g)f(g) dg \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{A}^\times \mathrm{Gl}(2, k) \backslash \mathrm{Gl}(2, \mathbb{A})} f_1(g)f_2(g)f(g) dg \\ &\neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

Now we prove the main result of this section.

Theorem 2. Suppose that k is totally real. Let D be a quaternion algebra defined over k , and let ϱ be an infinite dimensional cuspidal automorphic representation of $D(\mathbb{A})^\times$ with trivial central character. Let $\pi = \mathrm{JL}(\varrho)$. Suppose that there exists a quadratic extension E contained in D such that for all places v of k , $\mathrm{Hom}_{E_v^\times}(\varrho_v, \mathbf{1}) \neq 0$. Then

$$L(1/2, \pi)L(1/2, \pi \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \omega_{E/k}) \neq 0$$

if and only if there exists a unitary Hecke character χ of \mathbb{A}_E^\times that does not factor through N_k^E such that

$$T(\pi(\chi) \otimes \pi(\chi)^\vee \otimes \pi) \neq 0.$$

Moreover, suppose S is a finite set of places of k which stay prime in E , and χ'_v for $v \in S$ are unitary characters of E_v^\times such that $\mathrm{Hom}_{E_v}(\varrho_v, \chi'_v \circ -/\chi'_v) \neq 0$ for all $v \in S$, where $\mathrm{Gal}(E_v/k_v) = \{1, -\}$. Then we may assume that in the previous statement $\chi_v = \chi'_v$ for $v \in S$.

Proof. Assume that $L(1/2, \pi)L(1/2, \pi \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \omega_{E/k}) \neq 0$. By [W3], it follows that

$$\int_{\mathbb{A}^\times E^\times \backslash \mathbb{A}_E^\times} f(x) dx \neq 0$$

for some $f \in \varrho = \text{JL}(\pi)$. By an argument as in Lemma 1.4.9 and Lemma 3.8 of [H], there exists a unitary Hecke character χ of \mathbb{A}_E^\times such that χ does not factor through N_k^E , $\chi_v = \chi'_v$ for $v \in S$, and

$$\int_{\mathbb{A}^\times E^\times \backslash \mathbb{A}_E^\times} f'(x) \chi(\bar{x}) \chi(x)^{-1} dx \neq 0$$

for some $f' \in \varrho = \text{JL}(\pi)$. By Lemma 1 with $\chi_0 = \chi$ and $\chi_1 = \chi^{-1}$, we have $T(\pi(\chi) \otimes \pi(\chi)^\vee \otimes \pi) \neq 0$.

The other implication of the theorem follows from Lemma 2. \square

3. Applications to elliptic modular forms. The main result of this section is Theorem 3, a version of Theorem 2 for new forms in $S_k(\Gamma_0(p))$, where k is an even integer such that $k/2$ is odd, and p is a prime such that $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. To obtain Theorem 3 as an application of Theorem 2, it is necessary to show locally that some trilinear forms do not vanish on certain pure tensors composed of a combination of new and old vectors. In particular, we need more information than is contained in [GP], where the case of a triple tensor product of unramified representations or a triple tensor product of special representations is treated. To obtain the required result, we need to generalize the description of the new vector in a Kirillov model from [GP] to the case when the central character is not trivial. The result on trilinear forms appears in Lemma 3, and the new vector in a Kirillov model is described in the discussion preceding the lemma. We begin the section by giving the straightforward application of one direction of Theorem 1 to elliptic modular forms.

Proposition 1. *Let N be a nonnegative integer, and let k be a positive even integer. Let $F \in S_k(\Gamma_0(N))$ be a new form. Let M be a nonnegative integer such that $N|M$, let χ be a Dirichlet character modulo M , and let F_1 in $S_{k/2}(\Gamma_0(M), \chi)$ be an eigenform for $T(p)$ for $p \nmid M$. If there exists a divisor d of M/N such that*

$$\langle F | \begin{pmatrix} d & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}_k, F_1 \cdot F_1 | [W_M]_{k/2} \rangle_{\Gamma_0(M) \backslash \mathfrak{H}} \neq 0$$

then

$$L\left(\frac{k}{2}, F\right) \neq 0.$$

Proof. Let $F_2 = F_1 | [W_M]_{k/2}$ and

$$F' = F | \begin{pmatrix} d & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}_k.$$

Let $f_F, f_{F'}, f_{F_1}$ and f_{F_2} be the functions on $\text{Gl}(2, \mathbb{A})$ corresponding to F, F', F_1 and F_2 , respectively, as in [Ge], section 3.A. Note that for $h \in \text{Gl}(2, \mathbb{A})$, $f_{F'}(h) = f_F(hh_0)$, where

$$h_0 = \prod_{p|d} \begin{pmatrix} d^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}_p.$$

Let π , σ and σ' be the irreducible cuspidal automorphic representations generated by f_F , f_{F_1} and f_{F_2} , respectively. Then $\sigma' = \sigma^\vee$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{A}^\times \mathrm{Gl}(2, \mathbb{Q}) \backslash \mathrm{Gl}(2, \mathbb{A})} f_F(hh_0) \overline{f_{F_1}(h) f_{F_2}(h)} dh \\ &= \langle F | \begin{pmatrix} d & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}_k, F_1 \cdot F_1 | [W_M]_{k/2} \rangle_{\Gamma_0(M) \backslash \mathfrak{H}} \\ & \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\overline{f_{F_1}}$ is in $\overline{\sigma} = \sigma^\vee$ and $\overline{f_{F_2}}$ is in $\overline{\sigma'} = \sigma$, the conclusion follows from Theorem 1 of section 1 and Example 6.19 of [Ge]. \square

To prove Theorem 3 we need a lemma about new vectors and trilinear forms. Before stating the lemma we recall some definitions and results. Suppose for the moment that k is a local nonarchimedean field of characteristic zero, with ring of integers \mathfrak{O}_k . Let \mathfrak{P}_k be the maximal ideal of \mathfrak{O}_k , and let π_k be a uniformizing element, i.e., $\mathfrak{P}_k = \pi_k \mathfrak{O}_k$. Suppose $\sigma \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{Gl}(2, k))$ is infinite dimensional. For each nonnegative integer n , let $L(\sigma, n)$ be the space of $f \in \sigma$ such that $\sigma(k)f = \omega_\sigma(a)f$ for

$$k = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(n) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{Gl}(2, \mathfrak{O}_k) : c \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{P}_k^n} \right\}.$$

It is well known that $L(\sigma, n) \neq 0$ for some n and that for the smallest such n , the conductor $c(\sigma)$ of σ , $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} L(\sigma, n) = 1$. We call any nonzero vector in $L(\sigma, c(\sigma))$ a new vector of σ . It is easy to see that if $f \in L(\sigma, n)$ is nonzero, then there exists a nonzero $f^\vee \in L(\sigma^\vee, n)$ such that $\langle f, f^\vee \rangle \neq 0$, where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the canonical pairing between σ and σ^\vee . In particular, $c(\sigma) = c(\sigma^\vee)$. New vectors can be explicitly described in the Kirillov model $K(\sigma, \psi)$ of σ with respect to a nontrivial additive character ψ of k . Assume that the conductor of ψ is \mathfrak{O}_k . If σ is supercuspidal, then by [S2], $f_1 = \omega_\sigma \chi_{\mathfrak{O}_k^\times}$ is a new vector in $K(\sigma, \psi)$. If σ is the irreducible principal series representation $\pi(\mu_1, \mu_2)$, then a new vector f_1 is given by the following formulas:

$$f_1(x) = \begin{cases} \chi_{\mathfrak{O}_k}(x) |x|^{1/2} \mu_1(x) \mu_2(x) \sum_{n=0}^{\mathrm{val}(x)} \mu_1(\pi_k)^{n-\mathrm{val}(x)} \mu_2(\pi_k)^{-n} & \text{if } c(\mu_1) = c(\mu_2) = 0, \\ \mu_2(x) \chi_{\mathfrak{O}_k}(x) |x|^{1/2} & \text{if } c(\mu_1) = 0, c(\mu_2) > 0, \\ \mu_1(x) \chi_{\mathfrak{O}_k}(x) |x|^{1/2} & \text{if } c(\mu_1) > 0, c(\mu_2) = 0, \\ \mu_1(x) \mu_2(x) \chi_{\mathfrak{O}_k^\times}(x) & \text{if } c(\mu_1) > 0, c(\mu_2) > 0. \end{cases}$$

These formulas can be obtained using the Weil representation model for $\pi(\mu_1, \mu_2)$, for example. Using a trick, we can also describe a new vector in the model for σ^\vee which has as underlying space $K(\sigma, \psi)$ and action defined by $\sigma'(g) = \omega_\sigma(\det(g))^{-1} \sigma(g)$. Let

$$g_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \pi_k^{-c(\sigma)} \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then a computation shows that $f_2 = \sigma(g_0)f_1$ is a new vector for $(\sigma', K(\sigma, \psi))$. Recall that by [Go], p. 1.22, the map $(\sigma', K(\sigma, \psi)) \rightarrow (\sigma^\vee, K(\sigma^\vee, \psi))$ that sends f to $\omega_\sigma^{-1}f$ is an isomorphism of $\text{Gl}(2, k)$ representations. It follows that $\omega_\sigma^{-1}f_2$ is a new vector in $(\sigma^\vee, K(\sigma^\vee, \psi))$. Using our explicit description, we find that there is a nonzero constant $c \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ such that if σ is supercuspidal representation then $f_2 = c\chi_{\mathfrak{D}_k^\times}$, and if σ is the irreducible principal series representation $\pi(\mu_1, \mu_2)$, then

$$f_2(x) = c \cdot \begin{cases} \chi_{\mathfrak{D}_k}(x)|x|^{1/2} \sum_{n=0}^{\text{val}(x)} \mu_1(\pi_k)^{-n+\text{val}(x)} \mu_2(\pi_k)^n & \text{if } c(\mu_1) = c(\mu_2) = 0, \\ \mu_1(x)\chi_{\mathfrak{D}_k}(x)|x|^{1/2} & \text{if } c(\mu_1) = 0, c(\mu_2) > 0, \\ \mu_2(x)\chi_{\mathfrak{D}_k}(x)|x|^{1/2} & \text{if } c(\mu_1) > 0, c(\mu_2) = 0, \\ \chi_{\mathfrak{D}_k^\times}(x) & \text{if } c(\mu_1) > 0, c(\mu_2) > 0. \end{cases}$$

For information about trilinear forms, see [P]. The following result should be compared to Propositions 6.1 and 6.3 of [GP].

Lemma 3. *Let k be a nonarchimedean local field. Let $\sigma, \pi \in \text{Irr}(\text{Gl}(2, k))$, with $\omega_\pi = 1$. Let $f_1 \in \sigma$ and $f_2 \in \sigma^\vee$ be new vectors. Then there exists a nonzero $f \in \pi$ fixed under $\Gamma_0(c(\sigma))$ and $T \in \text{Hom}_{\text{Gl}(2, k)}(\sigma \otimes \sigma^\vee \otimes \pi, \mathbf{1})$ such that*

$$T(f_1 \otimes f_2 \otimes f) \neq 0$$

in the following two cases:

- (1) *The representation σ is either supercuspidal or an element of the continuous series, and there exists an unramified unitary character μ of k^\times such that $\pi = \pi(\mu, \mu^{-1})$;*
- (2) *There exist unitary characters μ_1 and μ_2 of k^\times with $c(\mu_1) = 0$ and $c(\mu_2) > 0$ or $c(\mu_1) > 0$ and $c(\mu_2) = 0$ such that $\sigma = \pi(\mu_1, \mu_2)$, and $\pi = \text{Sp}$.*

Proof. By our remark concerning pairing of vectors in $L(\pi, n)$ and $L(\pi^\vee, n)$ it suffices to construct an element of $\text{Hom}_{\text{Gl}(2, k)}(\sigma \otimes \sigma^\vee, \pi^\vee)$ that is nonzero on $f_1 \otimes f_2$. To cover both of the cases of the lemma, let ν be a quasi-character of k^\times . By Frobenius reciprocity,

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{Gl}(2, k)}(\sigma \otimes \sigma^\vee, \rho(\nu, \nu^{-1})) = \text{Hom}_B(\sigma \otimes \sigma^\vee, \alpha),$$

where α is the quasi-character of the Borel subgroup B defined by

$$\alpha \begin{pmatrix} t_1 & x \\ 0 & t_2 \end{pmatrix} = \nu(t_1)\nu(t_2)^{-1}|t_1/t_2|^{1/2}.$$

Let H be the subgroup of B of all elements of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

for $a \in k^\times$ and $b \in k$. Then

$$\mathrm{Hom}_B(\sigma \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \sigma^\vee, \alpha) = \mathrm{Hom}_H(\sigma \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \sigma^\vee, \alpha).$$

Let us consider case (1). Suppose that σ and π are as in (1). In the last paragraph, let $\nu = \mu$. To prove the lemma in this case, it suffices to produce an element L of $\mathrm{Hom}_H(\sigma \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \sigma^\vee, \alpha)$ such that $L(f_1 \otimes f_2) \neq 0$. We will use the Kirillov model of σ and the model $(\sigma', K(\sigma, \psi))$ for σ^\vee from the paragraph preceding the lemma. Define $L : \sigma \otimes \sigma^\vee \rightarrow \alpha$ by

$$L(f \otimes f') = \int_{k^\times} f(x) f'(-x) \omega_\sigma(x)^{-1} \mu(x)^{-1} |x|^{-1/2} d^\times x.$$

It is easy to check that this integral always converges. Using the descriptions of f_1 and f_2 from above, a computation shows that $L(f_1 \otimes f_2) \neq 0$.

Case (2) requires a different argument. Suppose that σ and π are as in (2). In the first paragraph of the proof, take $\nu = | \cdot |^{1/2}$. Recall that $\rho(| \cdot |^{1/2}, | \cdot |^{-1/2})$ contains Sp as a subspace of codimension one, and that the quotient $\rho(| \cdot |^{1/2}, | \cdot |^{-1/2}) / \mathrm{Sp}$ is $\mathbf{1}$. Since $\rho(| \cdot |^{1/2}, | \cdot |^{-1/2})$ is pre-unitary and Sp is admissible, by Lemma 5.2 of [P], it follows that Sp is a direct summand of $\rho(| \cdot |^{1/2}, | \cdot |^{-1/2})$ as a representation of $\mathrm{Gl}(2, k)$ and that moreover $\rho(| \cdot |^{1/2}, | \cdot |^{-1/2}) \cong \mathrm{Sp} \oplus \mathbf{1}$ as representations of $\mathrm{Gl}(2, k)$. Thus, to complete the proof, it suffices to construct an element L of $\mathrm{Hom}_H(\sigma \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \sigma^\vee, \alpha)$ such that if J is the corresponding element of $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Gl}(2, k)}(\sigma \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \sigma^\vee, \rho(| \cdot |^{1/2}, | \cdot |^{-1/2}))$, then $J(f_1 \otimes f_2)$ has nontrivial projection to Sp ; and for this, it suffices to show that

$$L(f_1 \otimes f_2) \neq L(\sigma(g_0)f_1 \otimes \sigma^\vee(g_0)f_2).$$

We will use the same models for σ and σ^\vee as in the last paragraph. We let f_1 and f_2 be as in the discussion preceding the lemma. Now

$$\sigma(g_0)f_1 \otimes \sigma^\vee(g_0)f_2 = \omega_\sigma(-1)f_2 \otimes f_1.$$

Thus, it will suffice to construct for each $\epsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$ an element $L \in \mathrm{Hom}_H(\sigma \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \sigma^\vee, \alpha)$ such that $L(f_1 \otimes f_2) \neq 0$ and

$$L(f_2 \otimes f_1) = \epsilon L(f_1 \otimes f_2).$$

To construct such an L , let $\mathfrak{S}(k^\times)$ be the \mathbb{C} subspace of $K(\sigma, \psi)$ of all functions in $K(\sigma, \psi)$ that vanish in a neighborhood of 0, and let $V = K(\sigma, \psi) / \mathfrak{S}(k^\times)$. Since $\mathfrak{S}(k^\times)$ is an H subspace with respect to σ and σ' , V inherits two actions $\bar{\sigma}$ and $\bar{\sigma}'$ from σ and σ' , respectively. There is a natural map

$$\mathrm{Hom}_H((V, \bar{\sigma}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} (V, \bar{\sigma}'), \alpha) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_H(\sigma \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \sigma', \alpha).$$

To determine the structure of $(V, \bar{\sigma})$ and $(V, \bar{\sigma}')$, note that every element of $K(\sigma, \psi)$ has the form

$$f = \varphi_1 \mu_1 | \cdot |^{1/2} + \varphi_2 \mu_2 | \cdot |^{1/2},$$

where $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \mathcal{S}(k)$, the space of Schwartz functions on k . The map from $K(\sigma, \psi)$ to \mathbb{C}^2 that sends f to $(\varphi_1(0), \varphi_2(0))$ is well defined, \mathbb{C} linear, surjective, and has kernel $\mathcal{S}(k^\times)$. Hence, $V \cong \mathbb{C}^2$ as a vector space, and

$$v_1 = \chi_{\Omega_k} \mu_1 | \cdot |^{1/2} + \mathcal{S}(k^\times), \quad v_2 = \chi_{\Omega_k} \mu_2 | \cdot |^{1/2} + \mathcal{S}(k^\times)$$

form a basis for V . Moreover, we see that

$$\bar{\sigma} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} v_1 = \mu_1(a) |a|^{1/2} v_1, \quad \bar{\sigma} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} v_2 = \mu_2(a) |a|^{1/2} v_2$$

and

$$\bar{\sigma}' \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} v_1 = \mu_2(a)^{-1} |a|^{1/2} v_1, \quad \bar{\sigma}' \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} v_2 = \mu_1(a)^{-1} |a|^{1/2} v_2,$$

for $a \in k^\times$ and $b \in k$, so that

$$(V, \bar{\sigma}) \cong \mu_1 | \cdot |^{1/2} \oplus \mu_2 | \cdot |^{1/2}, \quad (V, \bar{\sigma}') \cong \mu_2^{-1} | \cdot |^{1/2} \oplus \mu_1^{-1} | \cdot |^{1/2}.$$

Define $L_+, L_- : (V, \bar{\sigma}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} (V, \bar{\sigma}') \rightarrow \alpha$ by

$$\begin{aligned} L_+((av_1 + bv_2) \otimes (cv_1 + dv_2)) &= ad + bc, \\ L_-((av_1 + bv_2) \otimes (cv_1 + dv_2)) &= ad - bc, \end{aligned}$$

for $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{C}$. Then L_+ and L_- are H maps, and

$$L_+(v \otimes v') = L(v' \otimes v), \quad L_-(v \otimes v') = -L(v' \otimes v)$$

for $v, v' \in V$. Now since $c(\mu_1) = 0$ and $c(\mu_2) > 0$ or $c(\mu_1) > 0$ and $c(\mu_2) = 0$, it follows from the above explicit expressions for f_1 and f_2 that $L_+(\bar{f}_1 \otimes \bar{f}_2) \neq 0$ and $L_-(\bar{f}_1 \otimes \bar{f}_2) \neq 0$; here, \bar{f}_1 and \bar{f}_2 are the images of f_1 and f_2 in V , respectively. This completes the proof. \square

To state Theorem 3, we need some notation. Let E be an imaginary quadratic extension of \mathbb{Q} , and let χ be a unitary Hecke character of \mathbb{A}_E^\times that does not factor through $N_{\mathbb{Q}}^E$. We let $M(\chi)$ be the conductor of $\pi(\chi)$. We say that χ is of elliptic modular form type if $\pi(\chi)_\infty$ is of elliptic modular form type. An element $\pi \in \text{Irr}(\text{Gl}(2, \mathbb{R}))$ is of elliptic modular form type if and only if there exists a positive integer l such that if $l = 1$ then $\pi = \pi(1, \text{sign})$, and if $l > 1$, then

$$\pi = \begin{cases} \sigma(| \cdot |^{(l-1)/2}, | \cdot |^{-(l-1)/2}) & \text{if } l \text{ is even,} \\ \sigma(| \cdot |^{(l-1)/2}, | \cdot |^{-(l-1)/2} \text{sign}) & \text{if } l \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Here, the notation is as in [Ge]. The terminology is motivated by the following facts. If for some positive l , nonnegative integer N , and Dirichlet character ψ modulo N , $F \in S_l(\Gamma_0(N), \psi)$ is a nonzero new form, and $\pi = \otimes_v \pi_v$ is the irreducible cuspidal automorphic representation associated to F , then π_∞ is as above. Conversely, if

$\pi = \otimes_v \pi_v$ is an irreducible cuspidal automorphic representation of $\mathrm{Gl}(2, \mathbb{A})$, and π_∞ is of elliptic modular form type and as described as above, then π canonically induces a new form in $S_l(\Gamma_0(N), \psi)$, where N is the conductor of π , and ψ is related to the central character of π . For more, see Lemma 5.16 and the discussion in section C of [Ge]. Let $\chi_\infty(z) = z^m \bar{z}^n (z\bar{z})^r$, where m and n are nonnegative integers, with at most one nonzero, and $r \in \mathbb{C}$. Then χ is of elliptic modular form type if and only if there exists a positive integer l such that

$$m + n = l - 1, \quad r = -\frac{(l-1)}{2}.$$

See [Ge], Remark 7.7.

Theorem 3. *Let p be a prime such that $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, and let k be an even positive integer such that $k/2$ is odd. Let $F \in S_k(\Gamma_0(p))$ be a new form. Let S be a finite set of primes of \mathbb{Q} not including p and ∞ that do not split in $E = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-p})$, and let $\chi'_q, q \in S$, be a collection of unitary characters of E_q^\times . Then*

$$L\left(\frac{k}{2}, F\right)L\left(\frac{k}{2}, F, \left(\frac{\cdot}{p}\right)\right) \neq 0,$$

if and only if there exists a unitary Hecke character χ of \mathbb{A}_E^\times of elliptic modular form type that does not factor through \mathbb{N}_k^E and a positive integer d such that p divides $M = M(\chi)$ exactly, $\chi_p = \mathbf{1}$, $\chi_q = \chi'_q$ for $q \in S$, $\chi_\infty(z) = z^{k/2-1} (z\bar{z})^{(1-k/2)/2}$, $d|(M/p)$ and

$$\left\langle F \left| \begin{pmatrix} d & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}_k, F_1 \cdot F_1 \right| [W_M]_{k/2} \right\rangle_{\Gamma_0(M) \backslash \mathfrak{H}} \neq 0,$$

where F_1 is the new form of weight $k/2$ and level M associated to χ , as in the preceding discussion.

Proof. Let π be the irreducible cuspidal automorphic representation of $\mathrm{Gl}(2, \mathbb{A})$ associated to F as in [Ge], Proposition 5.21. Then the product from the statement of the theorem does not vanish if and only if $L(1/2, \pi)L(1/2, \pi \otimes \omega_{E/\mathbb{Q}}) \neq 0$.

Assume that $L(1/2, \pi)L(1/2, \pi \otimes \omega_{E/\mathbb{Q}}) \neq 0$. Since $L(1/2, \pi) \neq 0$, it follows that $\epsilon(1/2, \pi) = 1$. By Theorem 6.15 and Theorem 6.16 of [Ge], it follows that $\epsilon(1/2, \pi_p) = -1$; here, and in the following, the ϵ -factor at the place v of \mathbb{Q} is defined with respect to the standard additive character of \mathbb{Q}_v . Now $\pi_p = \mathrm{Sp} \otimes \eta$ where η is an unramified quadratic character; see [GP], Lemma 4.1. Since $\epsilon(1/2, \pi_p) = -1$ it follows that $\eta = 1$. Now let D be the quaternion algebra over \mathbb{Q} ramified at exactly p and ∞ . By [V], E is contained in D . For an explicit description of D , see [S2]. Since π_p and π_∞ are in the discrete series, it follows that $\mathrm{JL}(\pi) \neq 0$; let $\varrho = \mathrm{JL}(\pi)$. Since $\varrho_p = \mathbf{1}$, we have $\mathrm{Hom}_{E_p^\times}(\varrho_p, \mathbf{1}) \neq 0$. By [W1], $\mathrm{Hom}_{E_q^\times}(\varrho_q, \mathbf{1}) \neq 0$ for all $q < \infty, q \neq p$. Since k is even, $\pi_\infty = \sigma(|\cdot|^{(k-1)/2}, |\cdot|^{-(k-1)/2})$, in the notation of [Ge], p.58. Hence, by p. 142 of [Ge], $\pi_\infty^D = \mathbb{N}^{(2-k)/2} \rho_{k-2}$. Identifying E_∞ with \mathbb{C} , it is easy to see that

$$\pi_\infty^D|_{E_\infty^\times} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{k-2} z^{i+(2-k)/2} \bar{z}^{-i-(2-k)/2}.$$

It follows that $\text{Hom}_{E_\infty^\times}(\varrho_\infty, \mathbf{1}) \neq 0$.

Now we apply Theorem 2. In addition to the χ'_q for $q \in S$, let $\chi'_p = \mathbf{1}$ and $\chi'_\infty(z) = z^{k/2-1}(z\bar{z})^{(1-k/2)/2}$. Then by $\varrho_p = \mathbf{1}$, the characterization of ϱ_∞ , and [W1],

$$\text{Hom}_{E_v^\times}(\varrho_v, \chi_v \circ -/\chi_v) \neq 0$$

for $v \in S \cup \{p, \infty\}$. By Theorem 2, it now follows that there is a unitary Hecke character χ of \mathbb{A}_E^\times such that $\chi_v = \chi'_v$ for $v \in S \cup \{p, \infty\}$ and $T(\sigma \otimes \sigma^\vee \otimes \pi) \neq 0$, where $\sigma = \pi(\chi)$.

Next, we show how the nonvanishing of the trilinear form gives the nonvanishing of the inner product from the statement of the theorem. To begin, note that $\sigma_\infty = \sigma_\infty^\vee = \sigma(|\cdot|^{(k/2-1)/2}, |\cdot|^{-(k/2-1)/2} \text{sign})$ if $k > 1$ and $\sigma_\infty = \sigma_\infty^\vee = \pi(1, \text{sign})$ if $k = 1$. For each finite prime q of \mathbb{Q} , let $f_{1,q} \in \sigma_q$ and $f_{2,q} \in \sigma_q^\vee$ be new vectors, and let $f_{1,\infty} \in \sigma_\infty$ and $f_{2,\infty} \in \sigma_\infty^\vee$ be nonzero vectors of weight $k/2$. Let $f_1 = \otimes_v f_{1,v}$ and $f_2 = \otimes_v f_{2,v}$. Then $\overline{f_1} \in \sigma^\vee$ and $\overline{f_2} \in \sigma$. Moreover, $\overline{f_1}$ is a nonzero multiple of $\otimes_q f_{2,q} \otimes f'_{2,\infty}$ and $\overline{f_2}$ is a nonzero multiple of $\otimes_q f_{1,q} \otimes f'_{1,\infty}$, where $f'_{1,\infty}$ and $f'_{2,\infty}$ are nonzero vectors of weight $-k/2$ in σ_∞ and σ_∞^\vee , respectively. We claim that there exists $f' = \otimes_v f'_v \in \pi$ such that for all finite primes q of \mathbb{Q} , $f'_q \in L(\pi_q, c(\sigma_q))$, f'_∞ is a vector of weight k , and $T(\overline{f_2} \otimes \overline{f_1} \otimes f') \neq 0$. To see this, note first that for all $q < \infty$, σ_q and π_q satisfy the hypotheses of Lemma 3; in particular, $\sigma_p = \pi(1, \omega_{E_p/\mathbb{Q}_p})$. Now by Lemma 3, since for all places v of \mathbb{Q} we have $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \text{Hom}_{\text{GL}(2, \mathbb{Q}_v)}(\sigma_v \otimes \sigma_v^\vee \otimes \pi_v, \mathbf{1}) = 1$ by [P], and since $T \neq 0$, it follows that $T(\overline{f_2} \otimes \overline{f_1} \otimes f') \neq 0$ for some $f' = \otimes_v f'_v$ with $f'_q \in L(\pi_q, c(\sigma_q))$ for each finite prime q . To show that we can take f'_∞ to be nonzero of weight k , it suffices to prove the following claim: the nonzero element of $\text{Hom}_{\text{GL}(2, \mathbb{Q}_\infty)}(\sigma_\infty \otimes \sigma_\infty^\vee \otimes \pi, \mathbf{1})$ takes a nonzero value on $f'_{1,\infty} \otimes f'_{2,\infty} \otimes f''$, where f'' is of weight k . To see this, note that for sufficiently large level, an integral like that in the proof of Proposition 1 is nonzero. Since the components at the infinite place of the representations involved are $\sigma_\infty, \sigma_\infty^\vee$ and π_∞ , our claim follows.

Before we show the nonvanishing of the inner product we define d . Let M be the conductor of σ , i.e., $M = \prod_q q^{c(\sigma_q)}$. Note that since $\sigma_p = \pi(1, \omega_{E_p/\mathbb{Q}_p})$, $c(\sigma_p) = 1$, and p divides M exactly. For each finite prime q of \mathbb{Q} let f_q be a new vector for π_q , and let f_∞ be a nonzero vector of weight k in π_∞ . Let $f = \otimes_v f_v$. We may assume that $f_F = f$. Let q be a finite prime of \mathbb{Q} . It is well known that $L(\pi_q, c(\sigma_q))$ is spanned by the vectors

$$f_q, \pi_q \begin{pmatrix} q^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} f_q, \dots, \pi_q \begin{pmatrix} q^{-c(\sigma_q)+c(\pi_q)} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} f_q.$$

By writing each f'_q as linear combination of these vectors, it follows that we may assume that each f'_q is of the form

$$f'_q = \pi_q \begin{pmatrix} q^{-j_q} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} f_q,$$

where $0 \leq j_q \leq c(\sigma_q) - c(\pi_q)$. Thus, we may assume that $f' = \pi(h_0)f$, where

$$h_0 = \prod_{q|d} \begin{pmatrix} d^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}_q,$$

and $d = \prod_q q^{j_q}$. As $c(\pi_p) = c(\text{Sp}) = 1$, $p \nmid d$, and $d|M/p$.

Define a Dirichlet character of $\alpha : (\mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z})^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ by $\alpha(a) = \prod_{q|M} \omega_{\sigma, q}(a)$. Let $F_1 \in S_{k/2}(\Gamma_0(M), \alpha)$ correspond to f_1 , i.e., define F_1 by $F_1(g \cdot i) = f_1(g_\infty)j(g, i)^{k/2}$. Let $F' = F_1|[W_M]_{k/2}$. Consider $f_{F'}$. As in the proof of Proposition 2.1, the space generated by $f_{F'}$ is σ^\vee , and $f_{F'}$ is a nonzero multiple of f_2 . It follows that $F_2 \in S_{k/2}(\Gamma_0(M), \alpha^{-1})$ corresponds to f_2 , and so F_2 is a nonzero multiple of F' . Thus, there is a nonzero constant c such that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle F | \begin{pmatrix} d & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}_k, F_1 \cdot F_1|[W_M]_{k/2} \rangle_{\Gamma_0(M) \backslash \mathfrak{H}} &= cT(\overline{f_2} \otimes \overline{f_1} \otimes \pi(h_0)f) \\ &= cT(\overline{f_2} \otimes \overline{f_1} \otimes f') \\ &\neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Finally Lemma 2, combined with an argument as in the proof of Proposition 1, shows that if χ as in the theorem exists, then the inner product from the theorem does not vanish. \square

REFERENCES

- [C] M. Cognet, *Representation de Weil et changement de base quadratique*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **113** (1985), 403–457.
- [Ge] S. S. Gelbart, *Automorphic Forms on Adele Groups*, Annals of Mathematics Studies 83, Princeton University Press., Princeton, 1975.
- [Go] R. Godement, *Notes on Jacquet-Langlands' Theory*, Lecture notes, The Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton, 1970.
- [GK] B. Gross and S.S. Kudla, *Heights and the central critical values of triple product L-functions*, Compositio Math. **81** (1992), 143–209.
- [GP] B. Gross and D. Prasad, *Test vectors for linear forms*, Math. Ann. **291** (1991), 345–355.
- [H] M. Harris, *Nonvanishing of L-functions of 2×2 unitary groups*, Forum Math. **5**, 405–419.
- [HK1] M. Harris and S.S. Kudla, *Arithmetic automorphic forms for the nonholomorphic discrete series of $\text{GSp}(2)$* , Duke Math. J. **66** (1992), 59–121.
- [HK2] M. Harris and S.S. Kudla, *The central critical value of a triple product L-function*, Ann. of Math. **133** (1991), 605–672.
- [HPS] R. Howe and I.I. Piatetski-Shapiro, *Some examples of automorphic forms on Sp_4* , Duke Math. J. **50**, 55–106.
- [HST] M. Harris, D. Soudry and R. Taylor, *l -adic representations associated to modular forms over imaginary quadratic fields*, Invent. math. **112** (1993), 377–411.
- [K] S.S. Kudla, *Seesaw dual reductive pairs*, in Automorphic Forms of Several Variables, Progress in Mathematics 46, Birkhauser, Boston, 1984, pp. 244–268.
- [P] D. Prasad, *Trilinear forms for representations of $\text{GL}(2)$ and local ϵ -factors*, Compositio Math. **75** (1990), 1–46.
- [S1] H. Shimizu, *Theta series and automorphic forms on GL_2* , J. Math. Soc. Japan **24** (1972), 638–683.
- [S2] H. Shimizu, *Some examples of new forms*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math. **24** (1977), 97–113.
- [Sh] G. Shimura, *Introduction to the Arithmetic Theory of Automorphic Functions*, Iwanami Shoten, Publishers and Princeton University Press, Tokyo and Princeton, 1971.
- [V] M. Vignéras, *Arithmétique des Algèbres de Quaternions*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 800, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1980.
- [W1] J.-L. Waldspurger, *Correspondance de Shimura*, J. Math. Pures Appl. **59** (1980), 1–132.
- [W2] J.-L. Waldspurger, *Correspondances de Shimura et quaternions*, Forum Math. **3** (1991), 219–307.

[W3] J.-L. Waldspurger, *Quelques propriétés arithmétiques de certaines formes automorphes sur $GL(2)$* , Compositio Math. **54** (1985), 121–171.

MAX-PLANCK-INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK, GOTTFRIED-CLAREN-STRASSE 26, 53225 BONN, GERMANY. ADDRESS AFTER JULY 1, 1995: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO, TORONTO, M5S 1A1, CANADA

E-mail address: brooks @ mpim-bonn.mpg.de