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## 1 Introduction

The goal of my talk was to survey some of the recent developments concerning moduli spaces of curves. For a pair $(g, n)$ of nonnegative integers with $2 g-$ $2+n>0$, we have the moduli space $M_{g, n}$ of objects ( $C, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ ), where $C$ is a smooth and irreducible curve of genus $g$ and the $x_{i}$ are $n$ distinct marked points, and the moduli space $\bar{M}_{g, n}$ of stable $n$-pointed curves of genus $g$, where the curves are still connected and reduced and may have ordinary nodes, the $x_{i}$ are distinct marked nonsingular points, and the objects must have finite automorphism groups. It is known that $\bar{M}_{g, n}$, the Knudsen-Deligne-Mumford compactification of $M_{g, n}$, is a projective variety of dimension $3 g-3+n$.
$\bar{M}_{g, n}$ has a stratification by topological type. To each stable $n$-pointed curve, one associates its dual graph: the vertices correspond to the irreducible components of the normalization, whose genera are remembered; for every two points identified in a node, there is an edge; there are $n$ numbered legs for the marked points. The curves with a given graph form a stratum. The stratum belonging to a graph $\Gamma$ is isomorphic to $\left(\prod_{v} M_{g_{v}, n_{v}}\right) / \operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma)$. Note that $g=$ $h^{1}(\Gamma)+\sum_{v} g_{v}$. The codimension of the stratum equals the number of edges of the graph (i.e., the number of nodes of the curves).

In codimension one, there is (for $g>0$ ) one graph with a single vertex; the closure of the stratum is denoted $\Delta_{i r r}$ (or $\Delta_{0}$ when $n=0$ ). The other graphs have two vertices, say of genus $i$ with a set $I$ of legs and of genus $g-i$ with $I^{c}$. The closure of the stratum is denoted $\Delta_{i, I}=\Delta_{g-i, I^{c}}$. If $i=0$ then $|I| \geq 2$ and if $i=g$ then $\left|I^{c}\right| \geq 2$. On $\bar{M}_{g}$ (i.e., $n=0$ ) the empty sets of legs are omitted in the notation.

In dimension one, exactly one factor in the product of moduli spaces is $M_{0,4}$ or $M_{1,1}$; the other factors are $M_{0,3}$. Let us call the closures of these strata the 1-curves.

Besides the boundary divisors, we have the divisor classes $\psi_{i}=c_{1}\left(\mathbf{L}_{i}\right)$, where $\mathbf{L}_{i}$ is the line bundle with fiber the cotangent space to $C$ at $x_{i}$, and $\lambda$, the first Chern class of the Hodge bundle $\mathbf{E}$ of rank $g$. Put

$$
\delta_{\ldots}=\left[\Delta_{\ldots} . .\right] /\left|\operatorname{Aut}\left(C_{\eta}\right)\right|,
$$

where $C_{\eta}$ stands for the generic curve parametrized by the boundary divisor. It is well-known that $\lambda, \psi_{1}, \ldots, \psi_{n}, \delta_{i r r}$, and the $\delta_{i, I}$ generate the Picard group of the moduli functor. In fact, they generate freely for $g \geq 3$, while the relations occurring for $g \leq 2$ are well-understood. It is known that $\kappa_{1}=12 \lambda-\delta+\psi$ is ample. Here $\delta$ stands for the sum of all the $\delta$... and $\psi$ for the sum of the $\psi_{i}$.

## 2 Nef divisors on $\bar{M}_{g}$

Cornalba and Harris have shown that $a \lambda-\delta$ is ample exactly when $a>11$. But it is not known when a general divisor class

$$
a \lambda-\sum_{i=0}^{[g / 2]} b_{i} \delta_{i}
$$

is ample. On any projective variety, the ample cone is the interior of the nef cone, where a divisor is said to be nef if it intersects all effective curves nonnegatively. At first sight, it seems quite difficult to describe the effective curves inside $\bar{M}_{g}$. A very special class of curves is given naturally: the 1-curves mentioned in the introduction. Call a divisor a 1-divisor if it intersects the 1 -curves nonnegatively. Note that it is rather easy to write down explicitly the inequalities in $a$ and the $b_{i}$ that characterize a 1-divisor: in the corresponding family of curves with $3 g-4$ nodes, all nodes outside the component arising from $\bar{M}_{0,4}$ or $\bar{M}_{1,1}$ may be smoothed, which leaves only a few possibilities.

Theorem 1 On $\bar{M}_{g}$ for $g \leq 24$ the 1-divisors are exactly the nef divisors.
This is largely due to Gibney; see [G], [FG], [GKM], [KM], [Fb]. So in these cases we have the remarkable result that the Mori cone of effective curves has finitely many extremal rays, spanned by smooth rational curves, only one of which is $K_{\bar{M}_{g}}$-negative. As will be recalled below, $\bar{M}_{24}$ is of general type; since the cone of curves is very much related to the birational geometry of a projective variety, the result seems especially remarkable in this case.

## 3 Effective divisors on $\bar{M}_{g}$

Farkas talked about this subject at the Arbeitstagung in 2003 and I will try to review what happened since then.

For an effective divisor $D$, write $[D]=a \lambda-\sum_{i=0}^{[g / 2]} b_{i} \delta_{i}$ and assume $a>0$ and $b_{i} \geq 0$. The slope $s(D)$ of such a divisor is defined as $a /\left(\min _{i} b_{i}\right)$. It is wellknown that $s\left(K_{\bar{M}_{g}}\right)=13 / 2$. For $r$ and $d$ with $\rho:=g-(r+1)(g-d+r)=-1$ the Brill-Noether divisor of curves with a $g_{d}^{r}$ has slope $6+12 /(g+1)$. A single effective divisor with slope $<13 / 2$ causes $\bar{M}_{g}$ to be of general type. Thanks to Eisenbud, Harris, and Mumford, we know that $\bar{M}_{g}$ is of general type for $g \geq 24$. The slope conjecture of Harris and Morrison states in particular that $s(D) \geq 6+12 /(g+1)$ for all effective $D$. Two years ago, Farkas discussed the counterexample Popa and he found: the divisor in $\bar{M}_{10}$ of curves lying on a K3 surface. They characterized this divisor in four distinct ways, which enabled them to calculate all the coefficients $b_{i}$ and complete work of Cukierman. It turns out that some of these characterizations generalize and lead to additional counterexamples.

Recall the properties ( $N_{p}$ ) introduced by Green and Lazarsfeld: for a projective variety $X$ embedded in $\mathbf{P}^{r}$ by the complete linear system of a very ample line bundle $L$, let $I$ be its ideal in the homogeneous coordinate ring $S$ of $\mathbf{P}^{r}$ and let

$$
0 \rightarrow E_{r+1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow E_{1} \rightarrow I \rightarrow 0
$$

be a minimal graded free resolution of $S$-modules. For $p \geq 1$, property $\left(N_{p}\right)$ is that $E_{i}$ is a sum of copies of $S(-i-1)$ for $1 \leq i \leq p$, while $\left(N_{0}\right)$ is the property that the map $\operatorname{Sym}^{2} H^{0}(X, L) \rightarrow H^{0}\left(X, L^{\otimes 2}\right)$ is surjective.

Let me now discuss some of the results in [Fr]. For $g=2 k-2$ even, Farkas defines $Z_{g, i}$ as the locus of curves $C$ having a pencil $A$ of minimal degree $k$ such that $\left|K_{C} \otimes A^{\vee}\right|$ fails property $\left(N_{i}\right)$. He shows that for all $i \geq 0$ the locus $Z_{6 i+10, i}$ is the push-forward from a finite cover of the degeneracy locus of a map between vector bundles of equal rank. Thus $Z_{6 i+10, i}$ is expected to be a divisor. If it is indeed a divisor, its closure has the property that $a / b_{0}<6+12 /(g+1)$. Almost always, $b_{0}$ is the smallest of the $b_{i}$, so potentially this provides infinitely many counterexamples to the slope conjecture. But it is difficult to show that these loci are divisors. For $i=0$ (thus $g=10$ ), the earlier counterexample is recovered. Both for $i=1$ and $i=2$, Farkas shows that $Z_{6 i+10, i}$ is a divisor and that $b_{0}$ is the smallest of the $b_{i}$, establishing two new counterexamples. As corollaries, he shows that the following moduli spaces are of general type: $\bar{M}_{22, n}$ for $n \geq 2, \bar{M}_{21, n}$ for $n \geq 5$, and $\bar{M}_{16, n}$ for $n \geq 9$. Another counterexample to the slope conjecture (on $\bar{M}_{21}$ ) was provided by Khosla [K]. Finally, Farkas has announced that he expects to prove soon that $\bar{M}_{22}$ and $\bar{M}_{23}$ are of general type. Perhaps an appropriate topic for the Arbeitstagung in 2007?

## 4 Rationality

Farkas's general-type results mentioned above improve upon earlier results of Logan [L]. Logan also has a table of unirational moduli spaces, for $2 \leq g \leq 9$ and $g=11$, and $n$ at most equal to $f(g)$. For $2 \leq g \leq 6$ and $1 \leq n \leq f(g)$, Casnati and Fontanari [CFn] have shown that $M_{g, n}$ is in fact rational. This was known for $n=0$ in the same range, by the work of Igusa, ShepherdBarron and Katsylo. The proofs in the presence of marked points turn out to
be considerably simpler. For $g=1$, Belorousski had earlier shown that $M_{1, n}$ is rational for $n \leq 10$. It is well-known that $\bar{M}_{1,11}$ is not unirational (see below). Bini and Fontanari [BF] proved that it has Kodaira dimension 0, while $\bar{M}_{1, n}$ has Kodaira dimension 1 for $n \geq 12$. Verra [V] recently proved that $M_{14}$ is unirational.

## 5 Cohomology of $M_{g, n}$ and $\bar{M}_{g, n}$

Harer and Zagier, and Penner, obtained the beautiful result that the orbifold Euler characteristic $\chi\left(M_{g, 1}\right)$ equals $\zeta(1-2 g)$. Harer and Zagier also determined $e\left(M_{g}\right)$ and $e\left(M_{g, 1}\right)$. It has long been known that $M_{g, n}$ is the quotient of a smooth variety $X$ by a finite group $G$; then $\chi=e(X) /|G|$. The corresponding result for $\bar{M}_{g, n}$ is much more recent and was obtained by Looijenga, De Jong, Pikaart, and Boggi. Of course $\chi\left(M_{g, n}\right)$ can be determined immediately from $\chi\left(M_{g, 1}\right)$. But the other Euler characteristics were not determined. Very recently, Bini and Harer [ BH ] completed this work by giving recursive formulas for $e\left(M_{g, n}\right)$ (for $n \geq 2$ ), $\chi\left(\bar{M}_{g, n}\right)$, and $e\left(\bar{M}_{g, n}\right)$.

Recall some of the fundamental results obtained by Harer: the cohomology $H^{i}\left(M_{g, n}\right)$ stabilizes as $g \rightarrow \infty$; the determination of the virtual cohomological dimension of $M_{g, n}$; and the calculations of $H^{i}\left(M_{\infty}\right)$ for small $i$. Recently, Madsen and Weiss [MW], building on work of Tillmann, determined the stable cohomology:

$$
H^{*}\left(M_{\infty}\right)=\mathbf{Q}\left[\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}, \ldots\right] .
$$

The class $\kappa_{i}$ is defined as $\pi_{*} \psi^{i+1}$, where $\pi: M_{g, 1} \rightarrow M_{g}$ is the forgetful map. The $\kappa$-classes are called the tautological or Mumford-Morita-Miller classes.

The tautological ring of $\bar{M}_{g, n}$ is by definition generated by decorated strata classes, where each factor in the product of compactified moduli spaces may carry a monomial in $\kappa$ - and $\psi$-classes. Denote by $R^{*}\left(\bar{M}_{g, n}\right)$ the subalgebra of the rational Chow ring thus obtained and by $R H^{*}$ its image in rational cohomology. It is known that $c_{i}(\mathbf{E}) \in R^{*}$ (Mumford). Recently, Pandharipande and the author showed that (e.g.) $\left[\bar{H}_{g}\right] \in R^{*}$, the class of the closure of the hyperelliptic locus [FP]. We also showed that $\psi_{1}^{g}$, which is known to vanish on $M_{g, 1}$, is tautological boundary. For $g=3$, this result was used by Kimura and Liu [KL] to give an explicit formula for $\psi_{1}^{3}$; such a so-called topological recursion relation has strong implications in Gromov-Witten theory (some of which were used in [KL] to determine the unknown coefficients).

It is speculated that $R^{*}\left(\bar{M}_{g, n}\right)$ satisfies Poincaré duality (so that $R^{*}$ would equal $R H^{*}$ ), but the evidence is perhaps not so strong, although $R_{0}\left(\bar{M}_{g, n}\right)$ is known to be one-dimensional. Maybe the main inspiration for the speculation comes from the author's conjecture for $R^{*}\left(M_{g}\right)$, which in particular says that it satisfies a form of Poincaré duality with socle in algebraic degree $g-2$. There is a number of general results on $R^{*}\left(M_{g}\right)$, obtained by Looijenga, Morita, Ionel, Givental, and the author, and in addition the full conjecture is known to be true for $g \leq 21$.

Graber and Pandharipande [GP] have shown that not all algebraic classes are tautological.

For low $g$ and $n, H^{*}\left(\bar{M}_{g, n}\right)$ tends to be all tautological. There are general results due to Arbarello and Cornalba, and Polito: $H^{1}=H^{3}=H^{5}=0$ and $H^{2}$ and $H^{4}$ are tautological. Once one knows $H^{*}\left(\bar{M}_{g, n}\right)$, one may try to show that everything is tautological by intersecting sufficiently many tautological classes; these intersection numbers are determined by the work of Witten and Kontsevich (see [GP]).

But how to determine $H^{*}\left(\bar{M}_{g, n}\right)$ ? A possible strategy is the following: use the stratification by topological type, and compute the Euler characteristic of all strata in a category where the weights of cohomology are remembered. Do this equivariantly for the symmetric groups permuting the marked points; then the theory of modular operads of Getzler and Kapranov allows one to determine the Euler characteristic of $\bar{M}_{g, n}$. Since $H^{i}\left(\bar{M}_{g, n}\right)$ is pure of weight $i$, one knows the cohomology. This was carried out by Getzler for genus 0 and 1 , and also for $g=2$ and $n \leq 3$, in the category of mixed Hodge structures.

One may also work in the category of $\ell$-adic Galois representations. Then the trace of the Frobenius $F$ of a finite field $\mathbf{F}_{q}$ equals the number of points of the moduli space (and the trace of $F \sigma$ with $\sigma \in \Sigma_{n}$ has an analogous interpretation). The number of points can be counted for small $q$, or sometimes for almost all $q$. If the answer is polynomial in $q$, one is convinced that the Euler characteristic is a polynomial in the class $\mathbf{L}=\mathbf{Q}_{\ell}(-1)$. But can it be proved? By the recent result of Van den Bogaart and Edixhoven [BE], the answer is yes for a smooth proper stack over $\mathbf{Z}$. If the coarse moduli space is the quotient of a smooth projective variety by a finite group, as is the case for $\bar{M}_{g, n}$, then the corresponding conclusion also holds in the category of mixed Hodge structures.

A variant of this strategy has been used by Bergström and Tommasi [BT] to determine $H^{*}\left(\bar{M}_{4}\right)$. Tommasi had earlier computed $H^{*}\left(M_{4}\right)$ in the category of mixed Hodge structures; Bergström has counted $\left|H_{g, n}\left(\mathbf{F}_{q}\right)\right|$ for $g \geq 2, n \leq 5$, and odd $q$, and $\left|Q_{n}\left(\mathbf{F}_{q}\right)\right|$ for $n \leq 6$ and all $q$. Here $H_{g, n}$ is the moduli space of hyperelliptic curves with marked points, and $Q_{n}$ is the moduli space of smooth plane quartic curves with marked points.

But it is well-known that $\left|\bar{M}_{g, n}\left(\mathbf{F}_{q}\right)\right|$ is not always polynomial. The standard example is $\bar{M}_{1,11}$, with $H^{11}\left(\bar{M}_{1,11}\right)=S[12]$, the motive of cusp forms for $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbf{Z})$ of weight 12 (the work of Eichler and Shimura, Deligne, Scholl, and Getzler). When $S[12]$, or more generally $S[k]$, is viewed as Hodge structure, one knows that $F^{k-1} S[k]=S_{k}$, the space of holomorphic cusp forms of weight $k$, and $S[k]=S_{k} \oplus \bar{S}_{k}$. Consani and the author recently showed that

$$
S[n+1]=\operatorname{Alt}\left(H^{*}\left(\bar{M}_{1, n}\right)\right)=\operatorname{Alt}\left(H^{n}\left(\bar{M}_{1, n}\right)\right),
$$

the part of the cohomology where $\Sigma_{n}$ acts via the alternating representation; this provides an alternative construction of these motives [CFb].

What happens for $g \geq 2$ ? At the Arbeitstagung in 2003, Van der Geer discussed joint work with the author in which an explicit conjecture for the answer for $g=2$ was obtained. But what happens for higher $g$ remains mysterious. For example, some years ago M.S. Narasimhan asked the following question: What is the smallest $g$ for which $M_{g}$, or $\bar{M}_{g}$, contains cohomology not of Tate type?
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