

**ON COMPOSITION SERIES AND NEW
INVARIANTS OF LOCAL ALGEBRA**

Jürgen Stückrad¹ and Wolfgang Vogel²

1
Department of Mathematics
University of Leipzig
Augustusplatz 10-11
04109 Leipzig

Germany

Max-Planck-Institut
für Mathematik
Gottfried-Claren-Str. 26
53225 Bonn

Germany

2
Department of Mathematics
Massey University
Palmerston North

New Zealand

ON COMPOSITION SERIES AND NEW INVARIANTS OF LOCAL ALGEBRA

JÜRGEN STÜCKRAD AND WOLFGANG VOGEL

§ 1 INTRODUCTION, MOTIVATION AND PRELIMINARY RESULTS

In 1965, D.A. Buchsbaum posed the problem to describe the difference between the length and multiplicity of parameter ideals of local rings, see, e.g., [SV]. 30 years later, the same problem was discussed in [Vo], [MV] for the quotient. Analyzing this approach the aim of our paper is to study two new invariants of local rings. Moreover, we describe some applications.

Let A be a local ring or a graded K -algebra, K is a field, with maximal ideal m_A . We note that a graded K -algebra is a Noetherian graded ring, say $A = A_0 \oplus A_1 \oplus \dots$ with $A_0 = K$, generated by A_1 . By an A -module we always mean a unitary finitely generated module over A . Let M be a (graded) A -module. The length of M over A is denoted by $\ell_A(M)$ or $\ell(M)$. We consider a (homogeneous) ideal Q of A with $\ell(M/QM) < \infty$. Then the multiplicity of Q on M is well-defined and denoted by $e(Q; M)$, see, e.g., [Ei], [SV].

We want to study the following two invariants:

$$n_A(M) := \sup\{\ell(M/QM)/e(Q; M) \mid Q \text{ (homogeneous) ideal of } A \text{ with } \ell(M/QM) < \infty\} \in \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{\infty\}.$$

$$\tilde{n}_A(M) := \sup\{\ell(M/QM)/e(Q; M) \mid Q \text{ (homogeneous) parameter ideal for } M\} \in \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{\infty\}.$$

Remarks 1. (i) We only consider homogeneous ideals Q provided A is a graded K -algebra and M is a graded A -module.

(ii) Of course, $1 \leq \tilde{n}_A(M) \leq n_A(M)$. We get $n_A(M) = \tilde{n}_A(M)$ if A is a local ring and A/m_A is an infinite field, see our discussion about an application of the theorem of transition. This follows from well-known results of local algebra (see, e.g., [Ma], theorems 14.13 and 14.14). However, the following example shows that n_A and \tilde{n}_A need not to be equal in the graded case even when the field K is infinite.

Moreover, we note that $\tilde{n}_A(M) = 1$ if and only if M is a (graded) Cohen-Macaulay module over A (see, e.g., [BH]). Using this fact and the considerations below on flat extensions we also get: $n_A(M) = 1$ if and only if M is a (graded) Cohen-Macaulay module.

(iii) Using our notation we note that the lemma of Lech, see [Le], can be stated as follows:

$$\inf\{\ell(M/QM)/e(Q; M) \mid Q \text{ parameter ideal for } M\} = 1.$$

Example. Let R be the polynomial ring $K[x, y, z]$. Consider the ideal $I = xR \cap (y, z)R$ and the graded K -algebra $A := R/I$. Then we have

- (1) $n_A(A) = \infty$,
- (2) $\tilde{n}_A(A) = 2$.

Proof. (1) Let n be an integer ≥ 1 . We set $Q_n := (x^n, y, z)R$. We note that $Q_n A$ is not a parameter ideal for A . We have $\ell(A/Q_n A) = n$. Applying the associative law for multiplicities, see, e.g., [No], Prop. 11 on page 341, we get for the multiplicity $e(Q_n; A) = 1$. Hence we get (1).

(2) We set $q := (x_0 + x_1, x_2) \cdot R$. Then we have $\ell(A/qA) = 2$. Using again the associative law for multiplicities we obtain $e(q; A) = 1$. Hence we get $\tilde{n}_A(A) \geq 2$. Our theorem 4, (i) of § 2 and example 1 of § 4 yield the desired equality. \square

Remarks 2. (i) If M is a FLC A -module (i.e. the local cohomology $H_m^i(M)$ has finite length for $i = 0, \dots, \dim M - 1$) then the corrected version of the theorem, (i) of [MV] shows that $n_A(M) < \infty$. From this point of view we want to mention that R/I in our above example is not FLC (see, e.g., [SV], Prop. 16, page 260).

(ii) Assertion (1) shows that $n_A(A) < \infty$ is not true in general. However, by considering the same ideal I we have $\tilde{n}_A(A) < \infty$. Hence we want to ask the following question.

Problem. Characterize the (graded) A -modules M with $n_A(M) < \infty$ or $\tilde{n}_A(M) < \infty$.

In order to study this problem and to describe our first applications of the new invariants we need to explain some further notations. First we require some dimension theory. Let M be an A -module. We set

$$\text{Assh } M := \{P \in \text{Ass } M \mid \dim A/P = \dim M\}.$$

M is said to be equidimensional if $\dim A/P = \dim M$ for all minimal prime ideals $P \in \text{Supp } M$, i.e., if $\min \text{Supp } M = \text{Assh } M$. Following M. Nagata [Na], page 124, an A -module M is called quasi-unmixed if \hat{M} is equidimensional where \hat{M} is the completion of M with respect to m_A . Moreover, M is unmixed if $\dim \hat{A}/P = \dim \hat{M}$ for all $P \in \text{Ass } \hat{M}$, i.e., if $\text{Ass } \hat{M} = \text{Assh } \hat{M}$ (see again [Na], page 82). It is known that there are local integral domains which are not quasi-unmixed (see our remark after Theorem 2 of § 2). However, “quasi-unmixed” and “equidimensional” coincide in the graded case. In this case the chain conditions for prime ideals are satisfied by A as A is an epimorphic image of a polynomial ring over a field (see [Na]).

Second we need to apply the theorem of transition (see [Na], Ch. II, § 19). Let A' be a faithfully flat A -algebra. We assume that A' is again a local ring or a graded K' -algebra with a field $K' \supseteq K$. If $\ell_{A'}(A'/m_A A') < \infty$ then the length and multiplicities over A' and A differ only by the factor $\ell(A'/m_A A')$ (see [Na],

(19.1)). Hence we get $n_A(M) \leq n_{A'}(M')$ where $M' := M \otimes_A A'$. In order to obtain upper bounds for $\tilde{n}_A(M)$ and $n_A(M)$ we therefore may assume that $A/m_A (= K$ if A is a graded K -algebra) is an infinite field. We need to apply this fact in order to get our above remark 1, (ii).

Moreover, if Q' is a parameter ideal for \hat{M} in \hat{A} then there is a parameter ideal Q for M in A such that $\hat{M}/Q'\hat{M} \cong M/QM$. Considering the faithfully flat A -module \hat{A} we therefore have $\tilde{n}_A(M) = \tilde{n}_{\hat{A}}(\hat{M})$ and $n_A(M) = n_{\hat{A}}(\hat{M})$.

Using the Cohen structure theory we therefore may assume that in the local case the ring A is a complete regular local ring with infinite residue class field or in the graded case that A is a polynomial ring in finitely many variables over an infinite field since the length and multiplicity are the same by considering epimorphic images of A .

An important approach to the proofs of § 3 is to apply filtrations: Let M be a (graded) A -module. A finite sequence $(M_i)_{0 \leq i \leq r}$ of submodules M_i of M is said to be a filtration of M if $0 = M_0 \subset M_1 \subset \dots \subset M_r = M$. Following [Bo], pp. 265-266 a filtration is called a composition series if for every $i = 1, \dots, r$ we have $M_{i-1}/M_i \cong A/P_i$ for some (homogenous) prime ideal P_i of A . It follows that $\text{Ass } M \subseteq \{P_1, \dots, P_r\}$. Moreover, following [Dr] and [Si] M is defined to be clean if there exists a composition series of M , say $(M_i)_{0 \leq i \leq r}$ with $M_{i-1}/M_i \cong A/P_i$, such that $\{P_1, \dots, P_r\} = \min(\text{Supp } M)$.

Let us consider the following special case: $R = K[x_0, \dots, x_n]$, I a monomial ideal of R and $M = R/I$. Then M has a composition series where the corresponding prime ideals P_1, \dots, P_r are monomial primes. This follows from the construction of composition series. Let's call such composition series of R/I a monomial composition series. Therefore our Theorem 4 of § 2 yields a first application of the new invariants. Before stating this result we need to introduce the degree of a graded module M over a graded K -algebra A . This degree denoted by $\deg_A M$ or $\deg M$ is defined as the multiplicity $e(m_A; M)$.

Corollary 1. Let I be a monomial ideal of $R := K[x_0, \dots, x_n]$. For every monomial composition series $(M_i)_{0 \leq i \leq r}$ of R/I we have

$$r \geq \deg R/I \cdot \tilde{n}_R(R/I).$$

If R/I is quasi-unmixed then we get $r \geq \deg R/I + n_R(R/I) - 1$.

Moreover, with the aid of the cleanness concept, our study on the invariants yields a new proof of the known and interesting fact: Let I be an unmixed clean monomial ideal of R then R/I is Cohen-Macaulay (see Corollary 5 of § 2).

Another application of these invariants follows from theorem 1 of § 2.

Corollary 2. Let A be a local ring and let M be an A -module. If $n_A(M) < \infty$ then M is quasi-unmixed.

However, the main result of this paper states that $\tilde{n}_A(M) < \infty$ for all graded modules M over a graded K -algebra A , see theorem 2 (2) of § 2.

§ 2 MAIN RESULTS

The aim of this section is to describe our four theorems. First we study the invariant $n_A(M)$.

Theorem 1. (1) Let A be a local ring (or a graded K -algebra) and let M be an (graded) A -module. If $n_A(M) < \infty$ then M is quasi-unmixed.

(2) The following conditions are equivalent

- (i) For every local ring A (or graded K -algebra) and every quasi-unmixed (graded) A -module M we have $n_A(M) < \infty$.
- (ii) For every complete regular local ring R with infinite residue class field (or for every polynomial ring $R = K[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ over an infinite field K) we have $n_R(R/P) < \infty$ for all (homogeneous) prime ideals P of R .

Now we are going to examine the invariant $\tilde{n}_A(M)$.

Theorem 2. (1) Let A be a local ring and let M be an A -module. If $\tilde{n}_A(M) < \infty$ then M is quasi-unmixed.

(2) Let A be a graded K -algebra. Then we have $\tilde{n}_A(M) < \infty$ for all graded A -modules M .

Remarks 3. (1) By remark 1, (ii) it is clear that (1) of theorem 2 is equivalent to (1) of theorem 1 provided that the residue class field of A is infinite. Hence theorem 2, (1) gives only a new result when this residue class field is finite.

(2) M. Nagata has constructed local integral domains A which are not quasi-unmixed, see [Na], example 2 of the appendix. Theorems 1, 2, (1) show that for such local rings we have $n_A(A) = \tilde{n}_A(A) = \infty$.

Theorem 3. We set $R := K[x_0, \dots, x_n]$. Let $M \neq 0$ be a graded R -module having the following property: There is a filtration of M , say

$$0 = M_0 \subset M_1 \subset \dots \subset M_r = M,$$

of graded R -modules $M_0, \dots, M_r, r \geq 1$, such that the factors $N_i := M_i/M_{i-1}$ are FLC R -modules for $i = 1, \dots, r$. Then we have

$$\tilde{n}_R(M) \leq \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{\deg N_i}{\deg M} \cdot \tilde{n}_R(N_i) < \infty.$$

In the special case that N_i are Cohen-Macaulay modules we get the following corollary of theorem 3.

Corollary 3. Let M and R be as in theorem 3. We assume that M has a filtration such that the factors $N_i = M_i/M_{i-1}$ are Cohen-Macaulay R -modules for $i = 1, \dots, r$. Then we have

$$\tilde{n}_R(M) \leq \sum_{i=1}^r \deg N_i / \deg M.$$

Theorem 4. Let $I \subset R := K[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ be a monomial ideal. Take a composition series $0 = M_0 \subset M_1 \subset \dots \subset M_r = R/I$ of R/I with graded R -modules M_0, \dots, M_r such that for $i = 1, \dots, r$ we have $M_i/M_{i-1} = R/P_i$ for monomial prime ideals

P_1, \dots, P_r of R (a so-called monomial composition series, cf. § 1). Then we have the following bounds:

- (i) $\tilde{n}_R(R/I) \leq r / \deg R/I$
- (ii) $n_R(R/I) \leq r - \deg R/I + 1$,

provided R/I is equidimensional.

Remark 4. The assertion of theorem 4, (ii) remains analogously true for complete regular local rings. If $R = W[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$ with a discrete valuation ring W then we may replace x_0 by a canonical generator of m_W .

With the aid of the cleanness concept, we get the following two corollaries.

Corollary 4. Let I be a square-free monomial ideal of $R := K[x_0, \dots, x_n]$. If R/I is clean then we have:

$$\tilde{n}_R(R/I) \leq \#Ass R/I / \#Assh R/I.$$

The next corollary shows that our approach via composition series yields sharp bounds on $n_R(R/I)$. Indeed, having additional assumptions, the bound $r - \deg R/I + 1$ of theorem 4, (ii) is equal to 1. Hence we obtain a new proof of the following known result about unmixed clean monomial ideals, see [Si], Cor. 2.2.4.

Corollary 5. Let I be a monomial ideal of $R := K[x_0, \dots, x_n]$. Assume that R/I is unmixed, i.e., $\dim R/P = \dim R/I$ for all $P \in Ass R/I$. If R/I is clean then R/I is Cohen-Macaulay.

§ 3 PROOFS

Proof of Theorem 1, (1) and Theorem 2, (1). Assume that M is not quasi-unmixed. We may assume w.l.o.g. that $A = R$ is a complete regular local ring or a polynomial ring in finitely many indeterminates over a field K . By assumption we can consider a minimal prime ideal $P \in Ass M$ such that $d' := \dim R/P < \dim M =: d$. Let N be the intersection of all P' -primary submodules of a primary decomposition of 0 in M , where $P' \in Ass M$ with $P \subseteq P'$. Let U be the intersection of the remaining primary submodules, i.e., $U = 0 :_M P^t$ for $t \gg 0$. We note that $Assh M \subseteq Ass U$ and $\dim M/N = d'$. We now take (homogeneous) elements $x, y \in m_R$ such that $xM \subseteq U, x \notin P$ and $yM \subseteq N, y \notin p$ for all $p \in Ass M/U$. We note that such elements do exist since $Ann M/U \not\subseteq P$ and $Ann M/U \not\subseteq p$ for all $p \in Ass M/U$. We also note that $Supp M/(x+y)M = Supp M/(x,y)M = Supp M/(x^n, y)M = Supp M/(x^n + y)M$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$. Hence we have $\dim M/(x^n + y)M = \dim M/(x^n, y)M = d - 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$. Take (homogeneous) elements $f_1, \dots, f_{d-d'} \in Ann M/N$ which form a part of a system of parameters for $M/(x, y)M$. Indeed, such elements exist since the radical of $Ann M/N$ is equal to P and $(x, y)R \not\subseteq P$. Consider (homogeneous) elements $f_{d-d'+1}, \dots, f_{d-1}$ such that f_1, \dots, f_{d-1} is a system of parameters for $M/(x^n, y)M$ and for $M/(x^n + y)M$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$. We set $Q_n := (x^n, y, f_1, \dots, f_{d-1})R$ and $Q'_n := (x^n + y, f_1, \dots, f_{d-1})R, n \in \mathbb{N}^+$. Of course, $\ell(M/Q_n M)$ and $\ell(M/Q'_n M)$ are finite. We also get

$$\begin{aligned} \ell(M/Q'_n M) &\geq \ell(M/N + Q'_n M) = \\ &\ell(M/N + (x^n, f_{d-d'+1}, \dots, f_{d-1})M) \end{aligned}$$

(since $(y, f_{d-d'+1}, \dots, f_{d-1})M \subseteq N$),
 $=: \ell(\bar{M}/x^n \bar{M})$ with $\bar{M} := M/N + (f_{d-d'+1}, \dots, f_{d-1})M$.

The same result is true by taking Q_n for Q'_n .

Since $\dim \bar{M} \geq \dim M/N - (d' - 1) = 1$ and $\ell(\bar{M}/x^n \bar{M}) < \infty$ we have $\dim \bar{M} = 1$ and x^n is a parameter element for \bar{M} . We now choose $m \in \mathbb{N}^+$ such that $0 :_{\bar{M}} x^m = 0 :_{\bar{M}} x^{m+1} = \dots$. Hence we may consider the following exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \bar{M}/0 :_{\bar{M}} x^m + x\bar{M} \xrightarrow{x^n} \bar{M}/x^{n+1}\bar{M} \rightarrow \bar{M}/x^n \bar{M} \rightarrow 0$$

(up to a shift of degrees in the graded case) for $n \geq m$. Then it follows by induction on n that $\ell(\bar{M}/x^n \bar{M}) = (n - m)\ell(\bar{M}/0 :_{\bar{M}} x^m + x\bar{M}) + \ell(\bar{M}/x^m \bar{M}) > n - m$. On the other hand, we get for the multiplicity $e(Q'_n; M) = e(Q'_n; M/U) = e(y, f_1, \dots, f_{d-1}; M/U)$ since $xM/U = 0$. The same result is again true by taking Q_n for Q'_n . Hence we have that $e(Q'_n; M)$ and $e(Q_n; M)$ do not depend on n by construction of y, f_1, \dots, f_{d-1} and by using the above facts. Therefore we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ell(M/Q'_n M)}{e(Q'_n; M)} \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n - m}{e(y, f_1, \dots, f_{d-1}; M/U)} = \infty$$

The same is true for Q_n . Finally we obtain that $n_R(M) = \infty$ for the local and graded case, and $\tilde{n}_R(M) = \infty$ for the local case since in this situation $(x^n + y, f_1, \dots, f_{d-1})R$ is a parameter ideal for M for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$. This gives the desired contradiction and completes the proof. \square

Proof of Theorem 1, (2). (i) \Rightarrow (ii) is trivial.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i): We assume again w.l.o.g. that $R = A$ is either a complete regular local ring or a polynomial ring in finitely many indeterminates over a field K . Choose a composition series $0 = M_0 \subset M_1 \subset \dots \subset M_r = M$ of M consisting of (graded) submodules M_0, M_1, \dots, M_r of M such that $M_i/M_{i-1} \cong R/P_i$ (up to shifts of degree) for some (homogeneous) prime ideal P_i of $R, i = 1, \dots, r$. Since M is quasi-unmixed and $\{P_1, \dots, P_r\} \subseteq \text{Supp } M$, for each $i = 1, \dots, r$, there is a prime ideal $P \in \text{Assh } M$ such that $P \subseteq P_i$. Therefore we get for any (homogeneous) m_R -primary ideal Q :

$$\begin{aligned} \ell(M/QM) &\leq \sum_{i=1}^r \ell(R/P_i + Q) \leq \sum_{P \in \text{Assh } M} \left(\sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq r \\ P \subseteq P_i}} \ell(R/P_i + Q) \right) \\ &\leq \sum_{P \in \text{Assh } M} \beta_P \ell(R/P + Q), \quad \text{where } \beta_P := \#\{i | 1 \leq i \leq r, P \subseteq P_i\} \end{aligned}$$

for all $P \in \text{Assh } M$. Moreover, we define $\alpha_P := \ell_{R_P}(M_P)$ for all $P \in \text{Assh } M$. Then it follows by [Bo], Remark 1 on page 275 that $1 \leq \alpha_P \leq \beta_P$ for all $P \in \text{Assh } M$. We set $\rho := \max\{\beta_P/\alpha_P | P \in \text{Assh } M\} \geq 1$. Then we get

$$\ell(M/QM) \leq \rho \sum_{P \in \text{Assh } M} \alpha_P \ell(R/P + Q).$$

Applying the associative law for multiplicities we obtain

$$e(Q; M) = \sum_{P \in \text{Assh } M} \alpha_P e(Q; R/P).$$

Hence we have

$$\frac{\ell(M/QM)}{e(Q; M)} \leq \frac{\rho \sum \alpha_P \ell(R/P + Q)}{\sum \alpha_P e(Q; R/P)} \leq \rho \max\left\{ \frac{\ell(R/P + Q)}{e(Q; R/P)} \mid \right.$$

$$\left. P \in \text{Assh } M \right\} \leq \rho \max\{n_R(R/P) \mid P \in \text{Assh } M\} < \infty$$

by our assumption (ii). This completes the proof. \square

Remarks 5. Our proof of Theorem 1 (2) remains true if we take the following refined definition of β_P : We are going to count each $P_i, 1 \leq i \leq r$, precisely once, i.e., if $P \subseteq P_i$ and $P' \subseteq P_i$ with $P, P' \in \text{Assh } M$ then we count P_i , say for β_P , but not for $\beta_{P'}$. Counting the primes P_i in this sense we get $\sum_{P \in \text{Assh } M} \beta_P = r$. Hence we have

$$\rho \leq r + 1 - \sum_{P \in \text{Assh } M} \ell_{R_P}(M_P).$$

Before embarking in the proof of the main result of this paper given by theorem 2, (2) we need some investigations on local cohomology. Let A be a graded K -algebra and let $M, N \neq 0$ be graded A -modules, where M is assumed to be finitely generated with $\dim M =: d \geq 0$ but where N need not be finitely generated. We set $a(M) := \inf\{i \in \mathbb{Z} \mid [M]_i \neq 0\}$, and $N|_p := \bigoplus_{i \geq p} [N]_i$ for any $p \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $H_m^i(M)$ be the local cohomology module for $i \geq 0$. We introduce the following nonnegative integer:

$$I_t(M) := \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} \binom{d-1}{i} \ell(H_m^i(M)|_{a(M)-it})$$

for an integer $t \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Lemma 1. Assume K is an infinite field. Let x_1, \dots, x_d be a homogeneous system of parameters for M with $\deg x_i \leq t$ for some $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ and for all $i = 1, \dots, d$. Then we have

$$\ell(M/(x_1, \dots, x_d)M) - e((x_1, \dots, x_d); M) \leq I_t(M).$$

Proof. For $d = 0$ there is nothing to prove. For $d = 1$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}\ell(M/xM) - e(x; M) &= \ell(0 :_M x) \leq \ell(H_m^0(M)) = \\ &= \ell(H_m^0(M)|_{a(M)}) = I_t(M) \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{Z}.\end{aligned}$$

Let $d \geq 2$. We can assume w.l.o.g. that $x_1 \notin p$ for all $p \in \text{Ass } M \setminus \{m\}$. We set $\delta := \deg x_1 \leq t$. Then we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M/0 :_M x_1(-\delta) \xrightarrow{x_1} M \rightarrow M/x_1M \rightarrow 0$$

which gives rise to exact sequences for all $i \geq 0$

$$H_m^i(M) \rightarrow H_m^i(M/x_1M) \rightarrow H^{i+1}(M/0 :_M x_1)(-\delta).$$

By assumption on the element x_1 we have $H_m^{i+1}(M/0 :_M x_1) \cong H_m^{i+1}(M)$. Hence we get

$$\begin{aligned}\ell(H_m^i(M/x_1M)|_{a(M/x_1M)-it}) &\leq \ell(H_m^i(M)|_{a(M)-it}) + \ell(H_m^{i+1}(M)(-\delta)|_{a(M)-it}) \leq \\ &= \ell(H_m^i(M)|_{a(M)-it}) + \ell(H_m^{i+1}(M)|_{a(M)-(i+1)t}) \quad \text{since } a(M/x_1M) = a(M)\end{aligned}$$

(note that $[x_1M]_{a(M)} = 0$ because $\deg x_1 > 0$) and $\ell(H_m^{i+1}(M)(-\delta)|_p) = \ell(H_m^{i+1}(M)|_{p-\delta}) \leq \ell(H_m^{i+1}(M)|_{p-t})$ for all $p \in \mathbb{Z}$. By induction hypothesis we therefore get:

$$\begin{aligned}\ell(M/(x_1, \dots, x_d)M) - e((x_1, \dots, x_d); M) &= \\ \ell(M/x_1M/(x_2, \dots, x_d)M/x_1M) - e((x_2, \dots, x_d); M/x_1M) &\leq \\ \sum_{i=0}^{d-2} \binom{d-2}{i} \ell(H_m^i(M/x_1M)|_{a(M/x_1M)-it}) &\leq \\ \sum_{i=0}^{d-2} \binom{d-2}{i} (\ell(H_m^i(M)|_{a(M)-it}) + \ell(H_m^{i+1}(M)|_{a(M)-(i+1)t})) & \\ = \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} \binom{d-1}{i} \ell(H_m^i(M)|_{a(M)-it}) &= I_t(M).\end{aligned}$$

□

In order to state the next lemma we need to recall the definition of the Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity in terms of local cohomology: Let N be an Artinian graded A -module. Then we set $e(N) := \max\{i \mid [N]_i \neq 0\} \in \mathbb{Z}$. The Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity of a graded A -module M , denoted by $\text{reg } M$, is defined as follows:

$$\text{reg } M := \max\{i + e(H_m^i(M)) \mid 0 \leq i \leq \dim M\}.$$

Now we are going to examine $\text{reg } M$.

Lemma 2. Let A, M and x_1, \dots, x_d be as in lemma 1. Then we have

$$\operatorname{reg} M/(x_1, \dots, x_d)M \leq \sum_{i=1}^d (\deg x_i - 1) + \operatorname{reg} M.$$

Proof. We can assume that $x_i \notin p$ for all $p \in \operatorname{Ass} M/(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1})M \setminus \{m\}$ and $i = 1, \dots, d$. Under this assumption we will prove by induction on $j, 1 \leq j \leq d$, that

$$\operatorname{reg} M/(x_1, \dots, x_j)M \leq \sum_{i=1}^j (\deg x_i - 1) + \operatorname{reg} M.$$

It is therefore enough to consider just the case $j = 1$. Set $x := x_1$ and $\delta := \deg x \geq 1$. The proof of lemma 1 has established the following exact sequence for all $i \geq 1$:

$$H_m^i(M) \rightarrow H_m^i(M/xM) \rightarrow H_m^{i+1}(M)(-\delta).$$

Hence we get

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{reg} M/xM &= \max\{i + e(H_m^i(M/xM)) \mid 0 \leq i \leq \dim M - 1\} \\ &\leq \max\{\max\{i + e(H_m^i(M)), i + e(H_m^{i+1}(M)(-\delta))\} \mid \\ &0 \leq i \leq \dim M - 1\} = \max\{e(H_m^0(M)), \delta + e(H_m^1(M)), \\ &\dots, d - 1 + \delta + e(H_m^d(M))\} \leq \delta - 1 + \max\{i + \\ &e(H_m^i(M)) \mid 0 \leq i \leq d\} = \delta - 1 + \operatorname{reg} M. \end{aligned}$$

□

In order to prove theorem 2, (2) we need the following application of lemma 2.

Corollary 2.1. Take an integer $t \geq 1 + \sum_{i=1}^d (\deg x_i - 1) + \operatorname{reg} M - a(M)$ then we have

$$m^t M \subseteq (x_1, \dots, x_d)M.$$

Proof. Since $\operatorname{reg} M/(x_1, \dots, x_d)M = e(M/(x_1, \dots, x_d)M)$ we have $m^s M \subseteq (x_1, \dots, x_d)M$ for all integers $s \geq 1 + e(M/(x_1, \dots, x_d)M) - a(M/(x_1, \dots, x_d)M) = 1 + e(M/(x_1, \dots, x_d)M) - a(M)$. Applying lemma 2 we therefore get corollary 2.1. □

Proof of theorem 2, (2). Let x_1, \dots, x_d be a homogeneous system of parameters for M . Assume w.l.o.g. that $\delta_1 := \deg x_1 \geq \deg x_2 \geq \dots \geq \deg x_d$. We set $t_1 := 1 + \sum_{i=1}^d (\deg x_i - 1) + \operatorname{reg} M - a(M)$. Then corollary 2.1 shows that $m^{t_1} M \subseteq (x_1, \dots, x_d)M$. Take a linear form $\ell_1 \in [A]_1$ such that ℓ_1, x_2, \dots, x_d is again a

system of parameters for M . Then we get $(\ell_1^{t_1}, x_2, \dots, x_d)M \subseteq (x_1, \dots, x_d)M$. Hence we have

$$\ell(M/(x_1, \dots, x_d)M) \leq \ell(M/(\ell_1^{t_1}, x_2, \dots, x_d)M) \leq t_1 \cdot \ell(M/(\ell_1, x_2, \dots, x_d)M)$$

by applying the following exact sequence for all $t \in \mathbb{N}^+$:

$$M/(\ell_1, x_2, \dots, x_d)M(-t) \xrightarrow{\ell_1^t} M/(\ell_1^{t+1}, x_2, \dots, x_d)M \rightarrow M/(\ell_1^t, x_2, \dots, x_d)M \rightarrow 0.$$

Now we need to apply the following Bezout-type theorem, see, e.g. [Se]:

Let f_1, \dots, f_d be a homogeneous system of parameters for M generating a parameter ideal, say Q . Then we have for the multiplicity

$$e(Q; M) = (\deg A/Q) \deg M = \deg f_1 \cdot \dots \cdot \deg f_d \cdot \deg M.$$

An application to x_1, \dots, x_d , and ℓ_1, x_2, \dots, x_d gives the equality $e((x_1, \dots, x_d); M) = \delta_1 e((\ell_1, x_2, \dots, x_d); M)$. Therefore we get with $Q := (x_1, \dots, x_d)A$ and $Q' := (\ell_1, x_2, \dots, x_d)A$:

$$\frac{\ell(M/QM)}{e(Q, M)} \leq \frac{t_1}{\delta_1} \frac{\ell(M/Q'M)}{e(Q'; M)}.$$

Now we have

$$\frac{t_1}{\delta_1} = 1 + \sum_{i=2}^d \frac{\deg x_i - 1}{\delta_1} + \frac{\text{reg } M - a(M)}{\delta_1} \leq d + \text{reg } M - a(M).$$

Therefore we obtain

$$\frac{\ell(M/QM)}{e(Q; M)} \leq (d + \text{reg } M - a(M)) \cdot \frac{\ell(M/Q'M)}{e(Q'; M)}.$$

Repeating this process we get

$$\frac{\ell(M/QM)}{e(Q; M)} \leq (d + \text{reg } M - a(M))^d \cdot \frac{\ell(M/Q^*M)}{e(Q^*; M)},$$

where Q^* is a parameter ideal for M generated by elements of degree one. Applying lemma 1 we obtain

$$\frac{\ell(M/Q^*M)}{e(Q^*; M)} \leq 1 + \frac{I_1(M)}{e(Q^*; M)} = 1 + \frac{I_1(M)}{\deg M}$$

by Bezout's theorem. Finally we get

$$\tilde{n}_A(M) \leq (d + \text{reg } M - a(M))^d \left(1 + \frac{I_1(M)}{\deg M}\right) < \infty.$$

This completes the proof of theorem 2. □

Proof of Theorem 3. Since $\text{Supp } N_i \subseteq \text{Supp } M$ for all $i = 1, \dots, r$ we have $d_i := \dim N_i \leq \dim M =: d$. Let $Q := (f_1, \dots, f_d)R$ be a homogeneous ideal of R generated by a homogeneous system of parameters for M . Then $\ell(N_i/QN_i) < \infty$. Hence there are d_i elements of a suitable minimal generating set of Q consisting of homogeneous elements which generate a parameter ideal, say F_i , for $N_i, i = 1, \dots, r$. Then we have: $\ell(N_i/QN_i) \leq \ell(N_i/F_iN_i)$, and $e(F_i; N_i) \leq \prod_{j=1}^{d_i} \deg f_j \cdot \deg N_i$ by Bezout's theorem (see the proof of theorem 2, (2)). Moreover, it follows by induction on r : $\ell(M/QM) \leq \sum_{i=1}^r \ell(N_i/QN_i)$. Using again Bezout's theorem we therefore get:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\ell(M/QM)}{e(Q; M)} &\leq \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{\ell(N_i/F_iN_i)}{(\prod_{j=1}^{d_i} \deg f_j) \deg M} \leq \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{\deg N_i}{\deg M} \cdot \frac{\ell(N_i/F_iN_i)}{e(F_iR; N_i)} \leq \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{\deg N_i}{\deg M} \cdot \tilde{n}_R(N_i). \end{aligned}$$

Since N_i are FLC modules we get $\tilde{n}_R(N_i) \leq n_R(N_i) < \infty$ by a corrected version of [MV]. This shows theorem 3. \square

Proof of theorem 4. (i) Since R/P_i are Cohen-Macaulay modules for $i = 1, \dots, r$ we have from Corollary 3 of theorem 3:

$$\tilde{n}_R(R/I) \leq \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{\deg R/P_i}{\deg R/I} = \frac{r}{\deg R/I}.$$

(ii) Let Q be a homogeneous m -primary ideal of R . Using the notation and method of the proof of theorem 1, (2) we get:

$$\frac{\ell(R/IR + Q)}{e(Q; R/I)} \leq \rho \cdot \frac{\sum_{P \in \text{Assh } R/I} \alpha_P \ell(R/P + Q)}{\sum_{P \in \text{Assh } R/I} e(Q; R/P)}.$$

Since R/P are Cohen-Macaulay modules with $\dim R/P = \dim R/I$ for all $P \in \text{Assh } R/I$ we have $\ell(R/I + Q) \leq e(Q; R/P)$, i.e., we get

$$\frac{\ell(R/I + Q)}{e(Q; R/I)} \leq \rho \leq r + 1 - \sum_{P \in \text{Assh } R/I} \ell_{R_P}(R_P/IR_P)$$

by applying remark 5

$$= r + 1 - \deg R/I.$$

\square

Proof of Corollary 4 and 5. With the aid of the cleanness concept, we get both corollaries from theorem 4.

§ 4 EXAMPLES AND PROBLEMS

Example 1. We consider the example discussed in § 1: $R = K[x_0, x_1, x_2]$, and $I = (x_0)R \cap (x_1, x_2)R$. In order to complete the proof of the assertion of this example of § 1 we need to show that $\tilde{n}_R(R/I) \leq 2$. Applying theorem 4, (i) we describe a filtration of R/I as follows:

$$0 \subset x_0R/I \subset R/I$$

having the factors $x_0R/I \cong R/(x_1, x_2)R$, and $R/I/x_0R/I \cong R/x_0R$ with the corresponding monomial prime ideals $P_1 = (x_1, x_2)R$ and $P_2 = x_0R$. Hence theorem 4, (i) gives $\tilde{n}_R(R/I) \leq 2$.

With a view to theorem 3 and Corollary 3 we want to describe the following class of examples.

Example 2. We set $R = K[x_0, \dots, x_n]$. Let I and J be homogeneous ideals of R with the following two properties:

- (i) R/I and R/J are locally Cohen-Macaulay
- (ii) There is a form, say f of R such that

$$I + J = J + fR \quad \text{and} \quad J :_R f = J.$$

Then we have

$$\tilde{n}_R(R/I \cap J) \leq \frac{\deg R/I}{\deg R/I \cap J} \cdot \tilde{n}_R(R/I) + \frac{\deg R/J}{\deg R/I \cap J} \cdot \tilde{n}_R(R/J) < \infty$$

Proof. We may assume that $f \in I$. Consider the following filtration of $R/I \cap J$:

$$0 \subset (I \cap J) + fR/I \cap J \subset R/I \cap J$$

having the two factors $(I \cap J) + fR/I \cap J \cong R/J(-\deg f)$ and $R/I \cap J/(I \cap J) + fR/I \cap J \cong R/(I \cap J) + fR = R/I$. Hence assumption (i) and theorem 3 provide our assertion of example 2.

We note that $R/I \cap J$ is not a FLC module provided that $ht I < ht J \leq n$, or $ht J < ht I \leq n$. Moreover, we note that example 1 is a special case of example 2.

In the light of theorem 1 we want to state the following problem. A positive solution of this problem proves our conjecture at least in the graded case below.

Problem 1. We set $R = K[x_0, \dots, x_n]$. Let P be a homogeneous prime ideal of R . Is then $n_R(R/P) < \infty$?

The first open case of this problem is given by $n = 4$ and $\dim R/P = 3$. To show this we want to study the following example.

Example 3. Let S be the toric surface of \mathbb{P}_K^4 given parametrically by

$$\{s^{10}, t^{10}, u^{10}, s^6tu^3, st^6u^3\}.$$

Let P be the defining prime ideal of S in $K[x_0, \dots, x_4]$. Considering the system of parameters x_0, x_1, x_2 for R/P we get $n_R(R/P) \geq \tilde{n}_R(R/P) \geq 3$. We believe that $n_R(R/P) = \tilde{n}_R(R/P) = 3$. A possible way to prove such results is given by the following problem stated in terms of the theory of Gröbner bases.

Problem 2. We set $R = K[x_0, \dots, x_n]$. Let P be a homogeneous prime ideal of R . Let $\text{in}(P)$ be the initial ideal of P for some term order. Describe a relationship between $\tilde{n}_R(R/P)$ and $\tilde{n}_R(R/\text{in}(P))$. For example, is $\tilde{n}_R(R/P) \leq \tilde{n}_R(R/\text{in}(P))$? The same problem is given in terms of $n_R(\dots)$.

Continuation of example 3. Taking any term order with $x_4 > x_3$ we get the following initial ideal $\text{in}(P) = (x_0x_4^2, x_3^{10}, x_3^8x_4^2, \dots, x_4^{10})R =: Q_1 \cap Q_2$, where

$$Q_1 := (x_3^{10}, x_4^2)R, \quad Q_2 := (x_0, x_3^{10}, x_3^8x_4^2, \dots, x_4^{10})R.$$

Moreover, we set $Q_3 := (x_0, x_3^8, x_3^6x_4^2, \dots, x_4^8)R$. Then we consider the following filtration of $R/\text{in}P$:

$0 \subset \text{in}P + x_4^2R/\text{in}P \subset R/\text{in}P$ having the factors $\text{in}P + x_4^2R/\text{in}P \cong R/Q_3$, and

$$R/\text{in}P/\text{in}P + x_4^2R/\text{in}P \cong R/\text{in}P + x_4^2R = R/Q_1 \cap (Q_2 + x_4^2R) = R/Q_1.$$

Both factors are Cohen-Macaulay modules. Hence Corollary 3 shows that

$$\tilde{n}_R(R/\text{in}P) \leq \frac{\deg R/Q_1}{\deg R/\text{in}P} + \frac{\deg R/Q_3}{\deg R/\text{in}P} = \frac{20 + 40}{20} = 3.$$

Taking the parameter ideal $Q := (x_0, x_1, x_2)R$ for $R/\text{in}P$ we get

$$\tilde{n}_R(R/\text{in}P) \geq \frac{\ell(R/\text{in}P + Q)}{e(Q; R/\text{in}P)} = \frac{\ell(R/Q_2 + Q)}{\ell(R/Q_1 + Q)} = \frac{60}{20} = 3$$

Hence $\tilde{n}_R(R/\text{in}P) = 3$. It is not too difficult to show that $n_R(R/\text{in}P) \leq 3$. This gives $n_R(R/\text{in}P) = \tilde{n}_R(R/\text{in}P) = 3$.

Example 4. We set $R := K[x_0, \dots, x_4]$. Considering theorem 4 we want to give a square-free monomial ideal I of R such that

$$\tilde{n}_R(R/I) < n_R(R/I) < \infty.$$

Take $I = (x_0, x_1)R \cap (x_2, x_3)R \cap (x_3, x_4)R$.

Claim. $n_R(R/I) = 3/2 > \tilde{n}_R(R/I) = 4/3$.

Proof. Taking the following filtration of R/I :

$$0 \subset I + x_3R/I \subset (x_2x_4, x_3)R/I \subset (x_3, x_4)R/I \subset R/I$$

having the factors $R/(x_0, x_1)R, R/(x_0, x_1, x_3)R, R/(x_2, x_3)R$ and $R/(x_3, x_4)R$ (up to shifts in gradings). Hence theorem 4, (i) gives the upper bound $\tilde{n}_R(R/I) \leq 4/3$. Considering the homogeneous system of parameters $f := x_0 - x_2, g = x_2 - x_4, h = x_1 - x_3$ for R/I we get $\tilde{n}_R(R/I) \geq 4/3$. Hence we have $\tilde{n}_R(R/I) = 4/3$.

We note that theorem 4, (ii) just gives $n_R(R/I) \leq 2$. However, taking the ideal $Q' := (x_0, x_2^2, g, h)R$ we obtain $n_R(R/I) \geq 3/2$. A more careful study shows that $n_S(S/IS) \leq 3/2$, where $S = K[[x_0, \dots, x_4]]$. Since $n_R(R/I) \leq n_S(S/IS)$ we have $4/3 = \tilde{n}_R(R/I) < n_R(R/I) = 3/2$. This completes the proof of our claim.

We want to conclude with one of possible conjectures.

Conjecture. Let A be a local ring or a graded K -algebra. Then $n_A(M) < \infty$ for every quasi-unmixed (graded) A -module M .

Acknowledgements. We are grateful to Bernd Ulrich for a helpful and stimulating discussion. We acknowledge partial support for Jürgen Stückrad by a DFG grant and by Massey University, New Zealand, whilst commencing this paper. Wolfgang Vogel was partially supported by Massey University and Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik in Bonn whilst finishing this paper.

References

- [BH] Bruns, W. and Herzog, J., *Cohen-Macaulay rings*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1993).
- [Bo] Bourbaki, N., *Commutative Algebra*, Hermann, Paris (1972).
- [Dr] Dress, A., *A new algebraic criterion for shellability*, Contribution to Algebra and Geometry 34 (1993), 45-55.
- [Ei] Eisenbud, D., *Commutative Algebra with a View Toward Algebraic Geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 150, Springer, New York (1995).
- [Le] Lech, C., *On the associativity formula for multiplicities*, Arkiv. Math. 3 (1956), 301-314.
- [Ma] Matsumura, H., *Commutative ring theory*, Cambridge studies in advanced Math. 8, Cambridge Univ. Press (1986).
- [MV] Miyazaki, C. and Vogel, W., *A new invariant of local rings*, C.R. Math. Rep. Acad. Sci. Canada 17 (1995), 117-122.
- [Na] Nagata, M., *Local rings*, Interscience Publishers, New York (1962).
- [No] Northcott, D.G., *Lessons on rings, modules and multiplicities*, Cambridge University Press (1968).
- [Se] Serre, J.-P., *Algèbre locale - Multiplicités*, Lecture Notes in Math. 11 (1965), Springer, New York.
- [Si] Simon, R.S., *Combinatorial properties of "cleanness"*, J. Algebra 167 (1994), 361-388.
- [SV] Stückrad, J. and Vogel, W., *Buchsbaum rings and applications*, Springer, New York (1986).
- [Vo] Vogel, W., *New problems concerning the classical length-multiplicity*, New Zealand J. Math. 24 (1995), 87-96.

JÜRGEN STÜCKRAD: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF LEIPZIG, AUGUSTUS-PLATZ 10-11, D-04109 LEIPZIG, GERMANY

E-MAIL: STUECKRA@MATHEMATIK.UNI-LEIPZIG.D400.DE

WOLFGANG VOGEL: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MASSEY UNIVERSITY, PALMERSTON NORTH, NEW ZEALAND

E-MAIL: W.VOGEL@MASSEY.AC.NZ

CURRENT ADDRESS: MAX-PLANCK-INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK, GOTTFRIED-CLAREN-STR. 26, D-53225 BONN, GERMANY