

80X

A simple remark on eigenvalues of
Hecke operators on Siegel modular forms

Winfried Kohnen

Universität Augsburg
Mathematisch Naturwissenschaftliche Fakultät
Memmingerstr. 6
8900 Augsburg, FRG

Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik
Gottfried-Claren-Str. 26
5300 Bonn 3, FRG

For an integer k let $S_k^{(n)}$ be the complex vector space of Siegel cusp forms of weight k on $\Gamma_n = \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{Z})$. For $m \in \mathbb{N}$ denote by $T_k^{(n)}(m)$ the Hecke operator which acts on $S_k^{(n)}$ according to

$$f|_{T_k^{(n)}(m)} = m^{nk-n(n+1)/2} \sum_{M \in \Gamma_n \backslash \mathcal{W}_m^{(n)}} f|_k M;$$

here $\mathcal{W}_m^{(n)}$ is the set of integral $2n \times 2n$ matrices M satisfying $M' J_n M = m J_n$, where $J_n = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & E_n \\ -E_n & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and M' is the transpose of M , the summation is over a set of representatives for the action of Γ_n on $\mathcal{W}_m^{(n)}$ by left-multiplication and $f|_k M$ is defined by

$$(1) \quad (f|_k M)(z) = \det(Cz+D)^{-k} f((Az+B)(Cz+D)^{-1})$$

($z \in \mathcal{S}_n$ = Siegel upper half-space of degree n , $M = \begin{pmatrix} AB \\ CD \end{pmatrix}$).

R. Weissauer has proved the following

Theorem ([6], §6.). Let λ_m be an eigenvalue of $T_k^{(n)}(m)$, $m > 1$. Then
(2) $|\lambda_m| < m^{(nk-n(n+1))/2} d(m),$

where $d(m)$ is the number of elements in $\Gamma_n \backslash \mathcal{W}_m^{(n)}$. In particular, if p is prime, then

$$|\lambda_p| < p^{(nk-n(n+1))/2} \prod_{v=1}^n (p^v + 1).$$

The above inequality is useful at several places (cf. [2], [6]; cf. also [1] for $n=1$, where it can be used to shorten some proofs in the theory of newforms).

The proof of (2) given in [6] is easy and essentially only uses the strong approximation theorem for the symplectic group.

The purpose of this note is to give a second (and almost trivial) proof of (2) based on the existence of the Petersson inner product (for $n=1$ this proof already appeared in [4], the case $n>1$ is a direct generalization).

We now recall some elementary properties of the Petersson product (cf. [5], pp. 37-40 and [3], pp. 270-273).

Let Γ be an admissible group, i.e. there is $a \in \mathbb{M}_m^{(n)}$ (for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$) such that $a^{-1}\Gamma a$ is of finite index in Γ_n . We put $\bar{\Gamma} = \Gamma \cdot \{\pm E_n\} / \{\pm E_n\}$.

If f and g are Siegel cusp forms of weight k on Γ , we set

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \frac{1}{[\bar{\Gamma}_n : \bar{\Gamma}]} \int_{F_\Gamma} f(z) \overline{g(z)} (\det Y)^k d\omega,$$

where $X = \operatorname{Re} Z$, $Y = \operatorname{Im} Z$, $d\omega = dX dY / (\det Y)^{n+1}$ is the invariant symplectic volume element and F_Γ is a fundamental domain for the action of Γ on \mathcal{H}_n . One easily shows that the integral is independent of the fundamental domain, converges absolutely and is also independent of the choice of Γ . Moreover, if for any symplectic similitude M with rational coefficients we define $f|_k M$ by (1), then

$$(3) \quad \langle f|_k M, g \rangle = \langle f, g|_{k \cdot m M^{-1}} \rangle \quad (M \in \mathbb{M}_m^{(n)}).$$

We put

$$\|f\| = \langle f, f \rangle^{1/2}.$$

Then from (3) we see that for $M \in \mathbb{M}_m^{(n)}$

$$(4) \quad \|f|_k M\| = m^{-nk/2} \|f\|.$$

Now let $f \in S_k^{(n)}$ be a non-zero eigenform of $T_k^{(n)}(m)$ with eigenvalue λ_m . We have

$$\lambda_m \langle f, f \rangle = \langle f | T_k^{(n)}(m), f \rangle = m^{nk-n(n+1)/2} \sum_{M \in \Gamma_0(m) \setminus \mathbb{H}_E^{(n)}} \langle f |_{kM}, f \rangle.$$

From the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality and (4) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle f |_{kM}, f \rangle| &\leq \|f |_{kM}\| \|f\| \\ &= m^{-nk/2} \|f\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

with equality in the first line if and only if $f |_{kM}$ is proportional to f . Hence to prove (2) it is sufficient to show that if $f |_{kM}$ is proportional to f , for all $M \in \Gamma_0(m) \setminus \mathbb{H}_E^{(n)}$, then $f=0$. This, however, is obvious; in fact, $\begin{pmatrix} mE_n & 0 \\ 0 & E_n \end{pmatrix}$ is in $\mathbb{H}_E^{(n)}$, and from

$$f(Z) = cf(mZ) \quad (c \in \mathbb{C})$$

it follows easily, e. g. by comparing Fourier coefficients, that f must be zero, if $m>1$.

References

- [1] A.O.L. Atkin and J. Lehner, Hecke operators on $\Gamma_0(m)$, Math. Ann. 185, 134-160 (1970)
- [2] J. Elstrodt, Eine Charakterisierung der Eisenstein-Reihe zur Siegelschen Modulgruppe, Math. Ann. 268, 473-474 (1984)
- [3] E. Freitag, Siegelsche Modulfunktionen, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York Springer 1983
- [4] W. Kohnen, Beziehungen zwischen Modulformen halbganzen Gewichts und Modulformen ganzen Gewichts, Bonn. Math. Schr. 131 (1981)
- [5] S. Lang, Introduction to Modular Forms, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York Springer 1976
- [6] R. Weissauer, Eisensteinreihen vom Gewicht $n+1$ zur Siegelschen Modulgruppe n -ten Grades, Math. Ann. 268 (1984), 357-377