

Newforms, geodesic periods and
modular forms of half-integral weight

Winfried Kohnen

Universität Augsburg
Mathematisch-Naturwissenschaftliche Fakultät
Memmingerstr. 6
8900 Augsburg, FRG

Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik
Gottfried-Claren-Str. 26
5300 Bonn 3, FRG

Introduction

In [3] an identity is given which relates the integral of a newform f of even integral weight and odd squarefree level N along a geodesic period on the modular curve $X_0(N)$ to the Fourier coefficients of a modular form g of half-integral weight and level $4N$ associated with f under the Shimura correspondence. This formula contains as a special case a refinement of a result of Waldspurger [8] about the special values of L-series attached to f at the central point.

The proof strongly depends on a "strong multiplicity 1" theorem for a certain subspace of forms of half-integral weight, which so far is known only when N is odd and squarefree, and therefore our identity could be stated only in this case.

The main purpose of this note is to show that the restriction to N squarefree can be lifted and that our identity in the more general case is essentially a consequence of results of Waldspurger [6,7,9].

Certainly our formula should be valid also for N even and probably could be proved in a similar way as here.

1. Notations

We let $\Gamma(1) = SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ operate on integral binary quadratic forms $[a, b, c](x, y) = ax^2 + bxy + cy^2$ by

$$[a, b, c] \circ \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} (x, y) = [a, b, c](\alpha x + \beta y, \gamma x + \delta y).$$

The symbol \mathfrak{H} denotes the upper half-plane. For $z \in \mathfrak{H}$ we write $q = e^{2\pi iz}$.

The letters k and N denote positive integers, N is always assumed to be odd.

We write $N' || N$ if $N' | N$ and $(N', \frac{N}{N'}) = 1$.

We let $M_{2k}(N) (S_{2k}(N))$ be the space of modular forms (cusp forms) of weight $2k$ on the group $\Gamma_0(N) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & \beta \\ \gamma & b \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma(1) \mid N | \gamma \right\}$ and $S_{2k}^{\text{new}}(N) \subset S_{2k}(N)$ be the subspace of cuspidal newforms.

For a prime p we denote by $T_{2k}(p)$ the Hecke operator acting on $S_{2k}(N)$ by

$$T_{2k}(p) \sum_{n \geq 1} a(n) q^n = \sum_{n \geq 1} \left(a(pn) + \left(\frac{N^2}{p}\right) p^{2k-1} a\left(\frac{n}{p}\right) \right) q^n$$

(with the convention $a(\frac{n}{p}) = 0$ if $p \nmid n$). The Hecke operators leave $S_{2k}^{\text{new}}(N)$ stable.

For $f, f' \in S_{2k}(N)$ we write

$$\langle f, f' \rangle = \frac{1}{[\Gamma(1) : \Gamma_0(N)]} \int_{\Gamma_0(N) \backslash \mathcal{H}_2} f(z) \overline{f'(z)} y^{2k-2} dx dy \quad (z = x + iy)$$

for the Petersson product of f and f' .

We let $\mathcal{G}_{k+1/2}(4N)$ be the space of cusp forms of weight $k+1/2$ on $\Gamma_0(4N)$ ([4]) and $S_{k+1/2}(N)$ be the subspace of forms whose n^{th} Fourier coefficients at infinity vanish for $(-1)^k n \equiv 2, 3(4)$ ([2]). For a prime p we write $T_{k+1/2}(p^2)$ and $T_{k+1/2}(p)$, respectively, for the Hecke operators acting on $\mathcal{G}_{k+1/2}(4N)$ and $S_{k+1/2}(N)$ by

$$T_{k+1/2}(p^2) \sum_{n \geq 1} c(n) q^n = \sum_{n \geq 1} \left(c(p^2 n) + \left(\frac{4N^2}{p}\right) \left(\frac{(-1)^k n}{p}\right) p^{k-1} c(n) + \left(\frac{4N^2}{p}\right) p^{2k-1} c(n/p^2) \right) q^n$$

and

$$T_{k+1/2}(p) \sum_{n \geq 1, (-1)^k n \equiv 0, 1(4)} c(n) q^n = \sum_{n \geq 1, (-1)^k n \equiv 0, 1(4)} \left(c(p^2 n) + \left(\frac{N^2}{p}\right) \left(\frac{(-1)^k n}{p}\right) p^{k-1} c(n) + \left(\frac{N^2}{p}\right) p^{2k-1} c(n/p^2) \right) q^n,$$

respectively (cf. [4], §1. and [2], §3., Propos. and Remark, p.46).

For $g, g' \in \mathcal{G}_{k+1/2}(4N)$ we denote by

$$\langle g, g' \rangle = \frac{1}{[\Gamma(1) : \Gamma_0(4N)]} \int_{\Gamma_0(4N) \backslash \mathcal{H}_2} g(z) \overline{g'(z)} y^{k-3/2} dx dy \quad (z = x + iy)$$

the Petersson product of g and g' .

2. Statement of results

In [3] for every fundamental discriminant D with $(-1)^k D > 0$ we defined a Shimura lifting \mathcal{Y}_D mapping $S_{k+1/2}(N)$ to $M_{2k}(N)$ (to $S_{2k}(N)$ if $k \geq 2$ or if N is cubefree) and a Shintani lifting \mathcal{Y}_D^* mapping $S_{2k}(N)$ to $S_{k+1/2}(N)$, and \mathcal{Y}_D and \mathcal{Y}_D^* were shown to be adjoint maps with respect to the Petersson products. Explicitly, for $g = \sum_{n \geq 1, (-1)^k n \equiv 0, 1(4)} c(n) q^n \in S_{k+1/2}(N)$ one

has

$$\mathcal{Y}_D g = \sum_{n \geq 1} \left(\sum_{d|n, (d, N)=1} \left(\frac{D}{d}\right) d^{k-1} c(|D|n^2/d^2) \right) q^n,$$

and for $f \in S_{2k}^{\text{new}}(N)$ a newform (the case we will be interested in) one has

$$\mathcal{Y}_D^* f = (-1)^{[k/2]} 2^k \sum_{m \geq 1, (-1)^k m \equiv 0, 1(4)} r_{k, N, D}(f; |D|m) q^m.$$

Here for any positive integer Δ satisfying $\Delta \equiv 0, 1(4)$ and $D|\Delta$ we have put

$$(1) \quad r_{k, N, D}(f; \Delta) = \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}_{N, \Delta} / \Gamma_0(N)} \omega_D(Q) \int_{C_Q} f(z) Q(z, 1)^{k-1} dz,$$

where $\mathcal{Q}_{N, \Delta} / \Gamma_0(N)$ is the set of $\Gamma_0(N)$ -classes of integral binary quadratic forms $Q = [a, b, c]$ with $b^2 - 4ac = \Delta$ and $N|a$, and where C_Q is the image in $X_0(N)(\mathbb{C}) = \Gamma_0(N) \backslash \mathcal{H} \cup \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})$ of the semicircle $a|z|^2 + b\text{Re}z + c = 0$ oriented from $\frac{-b - \sqrt{\Delta}}{2a}$ to $\frac{-b + \sqrt{\Delta}}{2a}$, if $a \neq 0$ or of the vertical line $b\text{Re}z + c = 0$, oriented from $-\frac{c}{b}$ to $i\infty$ if $b > 0$ and from $i\infty$ to $-\frac{c}{b}$ if $b < 0$, if $a = 0$. Furthermore, ω_D is the genus character given by

$$\omega_D(Q) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } (a, b, c, D) \neq 1 \\ \left(\frac{D}{n}\right) & \text{if } (a, b, c, D) = 1, \text{ where } Q \text{ represents } n, (n, D) = 1. \end{cases}$$

Remark. In [3], p.240 the factor $(-1)^{[k/2]} 2^k$ is missing in the definition of \mathcal{Y}_D^* , and the orientation of C_Q should be the opposite one for $a=0, b < 0$.

Recall that for any positive number N' with $N' || N$ we have an Atkin-Lehner involution $W_{N'}$ on $S_{2k}(N)$ leaving $S_{2k}^{\text{new}}(N)$ stable and defined by

$$W_{N'} f = N'^k (Nz + N'\delta)^{-2k} f\left(\frac{N'z + \beta}{Nz + N'\delta}\right) \quad (\beta, \delta \in \mathbb{Z}, N'\delta - N\beta = N').$$

Suppose $(D, N) = 1$. Then using the fact that $Q(x, y) \mapsto Q \circ W_{N'}(x, y) := \frac{1}{N'} Q(N'x + \beta y, Nx + N'\delta y)$ induces a bijection of $\mathcal{G}_{N, \Delta} / \Gamma_0(N)$ and that $\omega_D(Q \circ W_{N'}) = \left(\frac{D}{N'}\right) \omega_D(Q)$ it is easy to see that $\mathcal{Y}_D^* f = 0$ for a normalized Hecke eigenform f in $S_{2k}^{\text{new}}(N)$ unless we have $W_{N'} f = \left(\frac{D}{N'}\right) f$ for all N' and hence in particular $W_{N'} f = f$ whenever N' is a square.

We define

$$(2) \quad S_{2k}^{\text{new}}(N)^+ = \{f \in S_{2k}^{\text{new}}(N) \mid W_{N'} f = f \text{ whenever } N' \text{ is a square}\}.$$

The main result of this paper then is:

Theorem. Let $k \geq 1$ and N odd, and let f be a normalized Hecke eigenform in the space $S_{2k}^{\text{new}}(N)^+$ defined by (2). Then:

i) The subspace $S_{k+1/2}(N)_f \subset S_{k+1/2}(N)$ generated by the functions $\mathcal{Y}_D^* f$, where D runs through all fundamental discriminants with $(-1)^k D > 0$ and $(D, N) = 1$, is of dimension 1.

ii) Let $g = \sum_{m \geq 1, (-1)^k m \equiv 0, 1(4)} c(m) q^m$ be a generator of $S_{k+1/2}(N)_f$. Then for

all positive integers m and n with $(-1)^k m \equiv 0, 1(4)$, $(-1)^k n \equiv 0, 1(4)$ and $(-1)^k n$ a fundamental discriminant we have

$$(3) \quad \frac{c(m)\overline{c(n)}}{\langle g, g \rangle} = \frac{(-1)^{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor} 2^k}{\langle f, f \rangle} r_{k, N, (-1)^k n}(f; mn),$$

where $r_{k, N, (-1)^k n}(f; mn)$ is the cycle integral defined by (1).

As already mentioned in the Introduction, the proof which will be given in the next section, strongly depends on results of Waldspurger: from the "weak multiplicity 1" theorem for $\mathcal{G}_{k+1/2}(4N)$ ([6, 7]) it follows that $S_{k+1/2}(N)_f$ is of dimension ≤ 1 , and from the non-vanishing results for L -series at the central point ([5, 9]) that, in fact, it is of dimension 1. Assertion ii) then can be deduced as in [3], using the fact the Shimura liftings and the Shintani liftings are adjoint maps with respect to the Petersson products.

For a fundamental discriminant D with $(D, N) = 1$ let

$$(4) \quad L(f, D, s) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \left(\frac{D}{n}\right) a(n) n^{-s} \quad (\text{Re } s \gg 0)$$

be the L-series of $f(z) = \sum_{n \geq 1} a(n) q^n$ twisted with the quadratic character $\left(\frac{D}{\cdot}\right)$.

Recall that $L(f, D, s)$ has a holomorphic continuation to \mathbb{C} and that

$$L^*(f, D, s) = (2\pi)^{-s} (ND^2)^{s/2} \Gamma(s) L(f, D, s)$$

satisfies the functional equation

$$L^*(f, D, s) = (-1)^k \left(\frac{D}{-N}\right) L^*(W_N f, D, 2k - s).$$

As in [3], setting $m = n$ in (3) we can deduce a refined version of a result of Waldspurger ([8]):

Corollary. Let f and g be as in the Theorem, and let D be a fundamental discriminant with $(-1)^k D > 0$ and $(D, N) = 1$. Suppose that for all positive integers N' with $N' \parallel N$ we have $W_{N'} f = \left(\frac{D}{N'}\right) f$. Then

$$\frac{|c(D)|^2}{\langle g, g \rangle} = 2^{\nu(N)} \frac{(k-1)!}{\pi^k} |D|^{k-1/2} \frac{L(f, D, k)}{\langle f, f \rangle},$$

where $\nu(N)$ denotes the number of different prime divisors of N .

Of course, Corollaries 2-6 in [3] also have natural generalizations to the more general situation here. However, we leave their explicit formulation to the reader.

3. Proofs

Proposition. For all primes p and all fundamental discriminants D with $(-1)^k D > 0$, $(D, N) = 1$ one has

$$(5) \quad \mathfrak{Y}_D^* T_{2k}(p) f = T_{k+1/2}(p) \mathfrak{Y}_D^* f \quad (\forall f \in S_{2k}^{\text{new}}(N)).$$

Remark. Formula (5) is also true if $(D, N) > 1$, the proof is somewhat more tedious.

Proof of Proposition. We may assume that f is a normalized Hecke eigenform.

If $p \nmid N$, then $T_{2k}(p)$ and $T_{k+1/2}(p)$ are hermitean, and since \mathfrak{F}_D and \mathfrak{F}_D^* are adjoint maps and \mathfrak{F}_D commutes with the action of Hecke operators (immediate verification), identity (5) is obvious in this case.

Next assume $p \mid N$. Then by definition of \mathfrak{F}_D^* and $T_{k+1/2}(p)$ we must show that

$$r_{k,N,D}(f; |D|_m p^2) = r_{k,N,D}(T_{2k}(p)f; |D|_m) \quad (\forall m \geq 1 \text{ with } (-1)^k m \equiv 0, 1(4)).$$

According to Propos. 7 in [3]

$$r_{k,N,D}(f; |D|_m) = a_k (|D|_m)^{k-1/2} \langle f, f_{k,N,D}, (-1)^k_m \rangle,$$

where a_k is a constant depending only on N and k and $f_{k,N,D}, (-1)^k_m(z)$ ($m \geq 1, (-1)^k m \equiv 0, 1(4); z \in \mathcal{L}_y$) is the modular form (cusp form if N is cubefree or if $k \geq 2$) in $M_{2k}(N)$ defined by

$$f_{k,N,D}, (-1)^k_m(z) = \begin{cases} \sum_{Q \in \mathfrak{q}_{N, |D|_m}} \omega_D(Q) Q(z, 1)^{-k} & \text{if } k > 1 \\ \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \sum_{Q \in \mathfrak{q}_{N, |D|_m}} \omega_D(Q) Q(z, 1)^{-1} |Q(z, 1)|^{-s} & \text{if } k = 1 \end{cases}$$

(cf. [3], §1.). In the following we will assume $k \geq 2$ (the case $k=1$ is entirely similar). We will distinguish two cases.

Case i): $p^2 \mid N$. Then $T_{2k}(p)f=0$ by [1], hence we must show

$$(6) \quad \langle f, f_{k,N,D}, (-1)^k_{mp^2} \rangle = 0.$$

Since $p^2 \mid N$, the conditions $b^2 - 4ac = |D|_m p^2$, $N \mid a$ imply $p^2 \mid a$, $p \mid b$, hence

$$f_{k,N,D}, (-1)^k_{mp^2}(z) = \sum_{[a,b,c] \in N', |D|_m} \omega_D(p^2 a, pb, c) (p^2 az^2 + pbz + c)^{-k}$$

where $N' = N/p^2$.

Since $p \nmid D$, we have $\omega_D(p^2 a, pb, c) = \omega_D(a, b, c)$; in fact, $(a, b, c, D) = 1$ is equivalent to $(p^2 a, pb, c, D) = 1$, and if $[a, b, c]$ represents n , then $[p^2 a, pb, c]$ represents $p^2 n$.

Hence

$$f_{k,N,D}, (-1)^k_{mp^2}(z) = f_{k,N',D}, (-1)^k_m(pz),$$

and since f is in $S_{2k}^{\text{new}}(N)$, (6) follows.

Case ii): $p \nmid N$. By [1] we have $T_{2k}(p)f = -p^{k-1} W_p f$, hence we have to show that

$$(7) \quad \langle f, f_{k,N,D,(-1)^k_{mp^2}} \rangle = -p^{-k} \langle W_p f, f_{k,N,D,(-1)^k_m} \rangle.$$

If $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $b^2 - 4ac = |D|mp^2$ and $N|a$, then it follows that $p|b$ and either $p^2|a$ or $p||a$ and $p|c$. Hence setting $N' = N/p$ we have

$$f_{k,N,D,(-1)^k_{mp^2}}(z) = \sum_{[a,b,c] \in \mathcal{Q}_{N',|D|m}} \omega_D(p^2a, pb, c) (p^2az^2 + pbz + c)^{-k} \\ + p^{-k} \sum_{\substack{a,b,c \in \mathbb{Z}, N'|a, p \nmid a \\ b^2 - 4ac = |D|m}} \omega_D(pa, pb, pc) (az^2 + bz + c)^{-k}.$$

As in case i) the first sum equals $f_{k,N,D,(-1)^k_m}(pz)$. Since $\omega_D(pa, pb, pc) = (\frac{D}{p})\omega_D(a, b, c)$ the second sum equals

$$p^{-k} \left(\frac{D}{p}\right) (f_{k,N',D,(-1)^k_m}(z) - f_{k,N,D,(-1)^k_m}(z)),$$

hence since $f \in S_{2k}^{\text{new}}(N)$ it follows that

$$\langle f, f_{k,N,D,(-1)^k_{mp^2}} \rangle = -p^{-k} \left(\frac{D}{p}\right) \langle f, f_{k,N,D,(-1)^k_m} \rangle.$$

By definition

$$W_p f_{k,N,D,(-1)^k_m}(z) = \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}_{N,|D|m}} \omega_D(Q \circ W_p)(Q \circ W_p)(z, 1)^{-k}.$$

Since acting by W_p is a permutation of $\mathcal{Q}_{N,|D|m}$ and $\omega_D(Q \circ W_p) = (\frac{D}{p})\omega_D(Q)$ for $p \nmid D$ we conclude that

$$W_p f_{k,N,D,(-1)^k_m} = \left(\frac{D}{p}\right) f_{k,N,D,(-1)^k_m}$$

and (7) follows, since W_p is hermitean. This concludes the proof of the Proposition.

We shall now prove assertion i) of the Theorem. First let us show that $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} S_{k+1/2}(N)_f \leq 1$. Put $g_D = S_D^* f$. Assume that $T_{2k}(p)f = \lambda_p f$ for all primes p , and let α_2 be a solution of the equation $X^2 - \lambda_2 X + 2^{2k-1} = 0$. Put

$$G_D = (-\alpha_2 + \mathfrak{T}_{k+1/2}(4))g_D.$$

Then from the Proposition we see that $\mathfrak{T}_{k+1/2}(p^2)G_D = \lambda_p G_D$ for all primes $p \neq 2$. Furthermore $\mathfrak{T}_{k+1/2}(4)G_D = \frac{2^{2k-1}}{\alpha_2} G_D$ (observe that $\alpha_2 \neq 0$): in fact, it is easy to verify that the latter equation is equivalent to the equation $\lambda_2 \mathfrak{T}_{k+1/2}(4)f = \mathfrak{T}_{k+1/2}(4)^2 f + 2^{2k-1} f$, which in turn follows from $T_{k+1/2}(2)g_D = \lambda_2 g_D$ by applying the operator $\mathfrak{T}_{k+1/2}(4)$ on both sides.

From the "weak multiplicity 1" theorem for the space $\mathcal{G}_{k+1/2}(4N)$ ([6,7]) it now follows that the \mathbb{C} -linear span of all the functions G_D is of dimension ≤ 1 .

Let pr be the orthogonal projection from $\mathcal{G}_{k+1/2}(4N)$ onto $S_{k+1/2}(N)$ ([2], §§2.,3.). Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{pr } G_D &= -\lambda_2 \varepsilon_D + \text{pr } \mathcal{T}_{k+1/2}^{(4)} \varepsilon_D \\ &= -\lambda_2 \varepsilon_D + \frac{2}{3} T_{k+1/2}^{(2)} \varepsilon_D && ([2], §3., p.42) \\ &= \left(\frac{2}{3} \lambda_2 - \lambda_2\right) \varepsilon_D. \end{aligned}$$

If $\frac{2}{3} \lambda_2 - \lambda_2$ were equal to zero, then $\lambda_2 = \pm 3 \cdot 2^{k-1}$, which contradicts Deligne's theorem, previously the Ramanujan-Petersson conjecture. Hence $\text{pr } G_D$ is a non-zero multiple of g_D and we see that $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} S_{k+1/2}(N)_f \leq 1$, too.

To show that actually $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} S_{k+1/2}(N)_f = 1$, we compute the D^{th} -Fourier coefficient of $\mathcal{F}_D^* f$. By definition it equals

$$r_{k,N,D}(f; D^2) = \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{O}_{N,D}^2 / \Gamma_0(N)} \omega_D(Q) \int_{\mathbb{C}_Q} f(z) Q(z,1)^{k-1} dz.$$

If we suppose that $W_{N'} f = \left(\frac{D}{N'}\right) f$ for all N' with $N' \parallel N$, then the same computations as in [3], p.243 show that

$$(8) \quad r_{k,N,D}(f; D^2) = 2^{\nu(N)} (-1)^{[k/2]} (2\pi)^{-k} \Gamma(k) |D|^{k-1/2} L(f, D, k),$$

where $L(f, D, s)$ is the L-function defined for $\text{Re } s \gg 0$ by (4) and $\nu(N)$ is the number of different prime factors of N .

But according to [9], Thm.4 and [5], Thm.2.3. there is a fundamental discriminant D_0 with $(-1)^k D_0 > 0$, $W_{N'} f = \left(\frac{D_0}{N'}\right) f$ for all N' and $L(f, D_0, k) \neq 0$. Hence $S_{D_0}^* f$ is a non-zero function in $S_{k+1/2}(N)_f$.

Let us now prove ii). Since f and $\mathcal{F}_{(-1)^k_n} g$ have the same eigenvalues under the Hecke operators, $\mathcal{F}_{(-1)^k_n} g$ is a cusp form and is a multiple of f by the "multiplicity 1" theorem for $S_{2k}^{\text{new}}(N)$. Comparing the Fourier coefficients at q we find that

$$(9) \quad \mathcal{F}_{(-1)^k_n} g = c(n)g.$$

From i) we have

$$\mathcal{S}_{(-1)^k n}^* f = \beta_n g$$

for some $\beta_n \in \mathbb{C}$.

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_n c(m) &= \text{coefficient of } q^m \text{ in } \mathcal{S}_{(-1)^k n}^* f \\ &= (-1)^{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor} 2^k r_{k,N} (-1)^k c_n(f; mn). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_n c(m) \langle g, g \rangle &= c(m) \langle \mathcal{S}_{(-1)^k n}^* f, g \rangle \\ &= c(m) \langle f, \mathcal{S}_{(-1)^k n} g \rangle \\ &= c(m) \overline{c(n)} \langle f, f \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last line we have used (9). Comparing these two formulas we obtain (3).

The Corollary to the Theorem, of course, follows from (8).

References

- [1] A.O.L. Atkin and J. Lehner: Hecke operators on $\Gamma_0(m)$, Math. Ann. 185, 134-160 (1970)
- [2] W. Kohnen: Newforms of half-integral weight, J. reine angew. Math. 333, 32-72 (1982)
- [3] W. Kohnen: Fourier coefficients of modular forms of half-integral weight, Math. Ann. 271, 237-268 (1985)
- [4] G. Shimura: On modular forms of half-integral weight, Ann. Math. 97, 440-481 (1973)
- [5] M.-F. Vignéras: Valeur au centre de symétrie des fonctions L associées aux formes modulaires, Séminaire de Théorie des Nombres, Paris 1979-80, Progress in Math. 12, pp. 331-356, Boston: Birkhäuser 1981
- [6] J.L. Waldspurger: Correspondances de Shimura et Shintani, J. Math. Pures Appl. 59, 1-133 (1980)
- [7] J.L. Waldspurger: Correspondance de Shimura, Séminaire de Théorie des Nombres, Paris 1979-80, Progress in Math. 12, pp. 357-369, Boston: Birkhäuser 1981

- [8] J.L.Waldspurger: Sur les coefficients de Fourier des formes modulaires de poids demi-entier, J. Math. Pures Appl. 60, 375-484 (1981)
- [9] J.L. Waldspurger: Correspondances de Shimura et quaternions, preprint (1985)

Universität Augsburg, Memmingerstr.6, 8900 Augsburg, FRG

and

Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik, Gottfried-Claren-Str.26, 5300 Bonn 3,
FRG