

# **Holomorphic Automorphisms Of Quadrics Of Codimension 2 In $\mathbb{C}^5$**

**Vladimir V. Ešov \***

**Gerd Schmalz \*\***

\*

Oklahoma State University  
Department of Mathematics  
College of Arts and Sciences  
Stillwater  
Oklahoma 74078-0613

U.S.A

Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik  
Gottfried-Claren-Straße 26  
D-5300 Bonn 3

Germany

\*\*

Mathematisches Institut der  
Universität Bonn  
Wegelerstraße 10  
5300 Bonn 1

Germany



# HOLOMORPHIC AUTOMORPHISMS OF QUADRICS OF CODIMENSION 2 IN $\mathbb{C}^5$

VLADIMIR V. EŽOV AND GERD SCHMALZ

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $(z_1, \dots, z_n, w_1, \dots, w_k)$  be coordinates in  $\mathbb{C}^{n+k}$ . A quadric of codimension  $k$  in  $\mathbb{C}^{n+k}$  will be given by the equations

$$(1) \quad v^j = \sum_{\mu, \nu=1}^n H_{\mu\nu}^j z^\mu \bar{z}^\nu = \langle z, z \rangle^j, j = 1, \dots, k,$$

where  $\langle z, z \rangle^j$  is a hermitian form in  $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$  and  $w^j = u^j + iv^j, j = 1, \dots, k$ .

According to the definition of Baouendi-Trèves-Beloshapka,  $Q$  is called Levi-non-degenerate iff the forms  $v^j = \langle z, z \rangle^j$  are linearly independent and

$$\langle z, a \rangle^j = 0 \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, k \text{ and for all } z \in \mathbb{C}^n$$

implies  $a = 0$ .

It was proved by Beloshapka [2] that the nondegeneracy condition is equivalent to the finiteness of the group of holomorphic automorphisms. He also described the Lie algebras of these groups [3].

Since the quadrics are homogenous, we may restrict our interest to the so-called isotropy groups, the groups of automorphisms preserving a fixed point (say the origin).

In [4] the authors found the automorphism groups in the case  $n = k = 2$ , using a matrix substitution into the scheme of Chern-Moser's normalizations of the equation of the Heisenberg sphere in  $\mathbb{C}^2$ .

The same method allowed in [5] to find the automorphism groups of some quadrics with  $n = k = 3$ , among them Beloshapka's nullquadric.

In the present paper we give a classification of all types of quadrics with  $n = 3, k = 2$  and their automorphism groups. The substitution scheme also works in this case with  $n \neq k$ .

It follows from a result by Abrosimov [1], that quadrics in general position with  $n > 2, k = 2$  have only linear automorphisms. More precisely, if  $H^2$  is non-degenerate in usual sense (this can be assumed if there is a linear combination of  $H^1$  and  $H^2$  being

---

Research of the second author was supported by Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft.

non-degenerate) and the matrix  $H^1(H^2)^{-1}$  has more than two different eigenvalues then all holomorphic automorphisms of the corresponding quadric are linear.

In our case 4 of 10 different types have nonlinear automorphisms. Two of them are direct products. The other two quadrics (one of them is a nullquadric) give a counterexample to Beloshapka's conjecture, that the nullquadrics might have the largest automorphism groups.

## 2. CLASSIFICATION OF THE QUADRICS AND THE LINEAR AUTOMORPHISMS

We will classify the possible types of Levi-nondegenerate quadrics with  $n = 3, k = 2$  under the action

$$\begin{aligned} z^* &= Cz \\ w^* &= \rho w, \end{aligned}$$

where  $C \in \text{GL}(3, \mathbb{C}), \rho \in \text{GL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ .

**Theorem 1.** *Any nondegenerate quadric of codimension 2 in  $\mathbb{C}^5$  is equivalent to one of the following, pairwise nonequivalent quadrics:*

$$\begin{aligned}
(i) \quad v^1 &= |z^1|^2 + |z^2|^2 \\
v^2 &= |z^2|^2 + |z^3|^2 \\
(ii) \quad v^1 &= |z^1|^2 - |z^2|^2 \\
v^2 &= |z^2|^2 - |z^3|^2 \\
(iii) \quad v^1 &= |z^1|^2 - |z^2|^2 \\
v^2 &= |z^2|^2 + z^1 \bar{z}^3 + z^3 \bar{z}^1 \\
(iv) \quad v^1 &= z^1 \bar{z}^2 + z^2 \bar{z}^1 \\
v^2 &= |z^1|^2 - |z^3|^2 \\
(v) \quad v^1 &= z^1 \bar{z}^2 + z^2 \bar{z}^1 \\
v^2 &= |z^1|^2 + |z^3|^2 \\
(vi) \quad v^1 &= z^1 \bar{z}^2 + z^2 \bar{z}^1 \\
v^2 &= |z^2|^2 + z^1 \bar{z}^3 + z^3 \bar{z}^1 \\
(vii) \quad v^1 &= |z^1|^2 + |z^2|^2 \\
v^2 &= |z^3|^2 \\
(viii) \quad v^1 &= |z^1|^2 - |z^2|^2 \\
v^2 &= |z^3|^2 \\
(ix) \quad v^1 &= |z^1|^2 \\
v^2 &= |z^2|^2 + z^1 \bar{z}^3 + z^3 \bar{z}^1 \\
(x) \quad v^1 &= z^1 \bar{z}^2 + z^2 \bar{z}^1 \\
v^2 &= z^1 \bar{z}^3 + z^3 \bar{z}^1
\end{aligned}$$

At first we consider the case when there exists a linear combination of the two forms which is positive definite. Then we can without loss of generality assume that

$$v^1 = |z^1|^2 + |z^2|^2 + |z^3|^2$$

After some coordinate transformation preserving this first form the second form can be written

$$v^2 = a_{11}|z^1|^2 + a_{22}|z^2|^2 + a_{33}|z^3|^2.$$

Substituting the second form by some linear combination we obtain

$$v^2 = (a_{22} - a_{11})|z^2|^2 + (a_{33} - a_{11})|z^3|^2.$$

Since the two forms are linear independent it follows that at least one of the coefficients in the second form is different from 0, say  $a_{33}$ . Therefore we can assume that the two forms after some transformation  $\rho$  in  $\mathbb{C}_w^2$  are

$$\begin{aligned} v^1 &= |z^1|^2 + (1 - \kappa)|z^2|^2 \\ v^2 &= |z^3|^2 + \kappa|z^2|^2 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\kappa = a_{22}/a_{33}$

If  $\kappa = 0$  or  $\kappa = 1$  we obtain case *vii*, otherwise, after some  $\rho$  transformation, case *i*.

We assume now, that there does not exist any linear combination of the two forms being positive definite. We prove that also in this case there exists a linear combination of rank not exceeding 2:

**Lemma 1.** *Let a nondegenerate quadric of codimension 2 in  $\mathbb{C}^5$  be given by 1. Then there exist coordinates in  $\mathbb{C}^3$  and a linear combination of the two forms of rank not exceeding 2.*

*Proof.* We choose coordinates such that the first form is diagonal. If it is positive definite or of rank  $< 3$ , the lemma is proved. Suppose, it has the signature  $v^1 = |z^1|^2 + |z^2|^2 - |z^3|^2$  and the second form is arbitrary:  $v^2 = \sum a_{ij}z^i\bar{z}^j$ . After some linear transformation in  $\mathbb{C}^3$  preserving the first form, the second form satisfies the conditions:  $a_{33} = a_{12} = 0$  and  $a_{13}, a_{23}$  are real.

Now we consider the linear combination  $v^1 + tv^2$ . We have to show that the determinant of the corresponding matrix vanishes for a suitable  $t$ . This determinant is a polynomial  $p(t)$ . Therefore it is sufficient to prove that it is not constant: If  $p(t)$  is constant then  $a_{11} = -a_{22}$ ,  $|a_{23}| = |a_{13}|$  and  $a_{13}^2 + a_{23}^2 = a_{11}^2$ . But this means that  $v^2$  has rank  $< 3$ . This completes the proof of the lemma.

We suppose now that the first form is  $v^1 = |z^1|^2 + |z^2|^2$ . Without loss of generality we may then assume that  $v^2 = a_{11}|z^1|^2 + a_{22}|z^2|^2 + a_{33}|z^3|^2 + a_{13}(z^1\bar{z}^3 + z^3\bar{z}^1) + a_{23}(z^2\bar{z}^3 + z^3\bar{z}^2)$ , where  $a_{13}$  and  $a_{23}$  are real. Since we consider the case that there is no positive definite linear combination of the two forms, we conclude that  $a_{33} = 0$ .

It follows from the condition that the quadric is nondegenerate that  $a_{13}$  and  $a_{23}$  cannot both equal to 0, hence there exists a transformation

$$\begin{aligned} z^1 &\mapsto z^1 \\ z^2 &\mapsto z^2 \\ z^3 &\mapsto z^3 + \alpha z^1 + \beta z^2 \end{aligned}$$

leading to  $a_{11} = a_{22}$ .

After trivial transformations  $v^2 = z^1\bar{z}^3 + z^3\bar{z}^1$ . This is case *v*.

We consider the case that  $v^1 = |z^1|^2 - |z^2|^2$  and  $v^2 = \sum a_{ij}z^i\bar{z}^j$ .

Then two cases are possible: 1.  $a_{33} \neq 0$  (then without loss of generality  $a_{33} = 1$ ), or 2.  $a_{33} = 0$ .

In the first case we apply

$$\begin{aligned} z^1 &\mapsto z^1 \\ z^2 &\mapsto z^2 \\ z^3 &\mapsto z^3 - a_{13}z^1 - a_{23}z^2 \end{aligned}$$

then  $v^2 = a_{11}|z^1|^2 + a_{22}|z^2|^2 + a_{12}z^1\bar{z}^2 + \bar{a}_{12}z^2\bar{z}^1 + |z^3|^2$ .

By means of some transformation with respect to  $z^1, z^2$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} v^1 &= z^1\bar{z}^2 + z^2\bar{z}^1 \\ v^2 &= a_{11}|z^1|^2 + a_{22}|z^2|^2 + |z^3|^2. \end{aligned}$$

The following cases are possible

$$\begin{aligned} & a_{11} = a_{22} = 0 \quad (viii) \\ a_{11} = 0, a_{22} > 0 & \quad a_{22} = 0, a_{11} > 0 \quad (iv) \\ & a_{11}a_{22} > 0 \quad (i) \text{ or } (ii) \\ & a_{11}a_{22} < 0 \quad (iii). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $a_{33} = 0$ . Then  $a_{13} \neq 0$ , or  $a_{23} \neq 0$ , and, without loss of generality  $\text{Im } a_{13} = \text{Im } a_{23} = 0$ . If  $|a_{13}|^2 - |a_{23}|^2$ , we apply

$$\begin{aligned} a_{13}z^1 + a_{23}z^2 &\mapsto z^1 \\ a_{23}z^1 + a_{13}z^2 &\mapsto z^2 \\ z^3 &\mapsto z^3 \end{aligned}$$

and obtain

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} v^1 &= |z^1|^2 - |z^2|^2 \\ v^2 &= \sum_{i,j=1,2} a_{ij}z^i\bar{z}^j + z^1\bar{z}^3 + z^3\bar{z}^1. \end{aligned}$$

One easily eliminates  $a_{22}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} z^1 &\mapsto z^1 \\ z^2 &\mapsto z^2 \\ z^3 &\mapsto z^3 - \frac{a_{11}}{2}z^1 - a_{12}z^2 \end{aligned}$$

leads to  $v^2 = z^1\bar{z}^3 + z^3\bar{z}^1$ . This is case (iv).

It remains to consider the case  $|a_{13}| = |a_{23}|$ .

We apply

$$\begin{aligned} z^1 &\mapsto z^1 + z^2 \\ z^2 &\mapsto z^1 - z^2 \\ z^3 &\mapsto z^3 \end{aligned}$$

and then

$$\begin{aligned} z^1 &\mapsto z^1 \\ z^2 &\mapsto z^2 \\ z^3 &\mapsto z^3 - \frac{a_{11}}{2}z^1 - a_{12}z^2. \end{aligned}$$

This leads to

$$\begin{aligned} v^1 &= z^1\bar{z}^2 + z^2\bar{z}^1 \\ v^2 &= a_{22}|z^2|^2 + z^1\bar{z}^3 + z^3\bar{z}^1. \end{aligned}$$

This is either case  $v$  or case  $x$ .

It remains to consider the case, when the first form is  $v^1 = |z^1|^2$ . Suppose  $a_{22} \neq 0$  and  $a_{33} \neq 0$ . By means of some transformation of the form

$$\begin{aligned} z^1 &\mapsto z^1 \\ z^2 &\mapsto z^2 + \alpha z^1 + \beta z^3 \\ z^3 &\mapsto z^3 + \gamma z^1 + \delta z^2 \end{aligned}$$

one can eliminate  $a_{12}$ ,  $a_{13}$  and  $a_{23}$ . Then there exists a linear combination of the forms with  $a_{11} = 0$ . We obtain the following cases:  $v^2 = |z^2|^2 + |z^3|^2$  (case vii),  $v^2 = |z^2|^2 - |z^3|^2$  (case viii).

We consider the case  $a_{22} = 0$   $a_{33} \neq 0$  (this is equivalent to  $a_{33} = 0$   $a_{22} \neq 0$ ). Then  $a_{13}$  can be eliminated and  $v^2 = |z^3|^2 + z^1\bar{z}^2 + z^2\bar{z}^1$  (case ix).

Now let  $a_{22} = 0$   $a_{33} = 0$ . After some obvious transformation we obtain  $v^2 = z^1\bar{z}^2 + z^2\bar{z}^1$ . This quadric is degenerate.



We have to show that the 10 cases are not equivalent by pairs.

Below we will give the linear groups of  $(C, \rho)$  transformations. The dimension of these Lie groups is invariant for a quadric. In cases *i*, *ii*, *iii* this dimension is 4, in cases *iv*, *v*, *vi* it is 5, in cases *vii*, *viii* it is 7 and in cases *ix*, *x* it is 8.

Case *i* has no vector with  $\langle z, z \rangle = 0$ ; case *ii* has a 4-dimensional variety and case *iii* a 3-dimensional variety of such vectors.

The cases *iv*, *v* and *vi* are different, because the variety of vectors with  $\langle z, z \rangle = 0$  is 4-dimensional in case *iv*, 2-dimensional in case *v*, and 3-dimensional in case *vi*.

The cases *vii* and *viii* are direct products of hyperquadrics in  $\mathbb{C}^2$  and  $\mathbb{C}^3$ . The signatures of the quadrics in  $\mathbb{C}^3$  are different, hence cases *vii* and *viii* are different.

The same argument as in cases *iv*, *v* and *vi* shows, that cases *ix* and *x* are different.

We give now the groups of linear  $(C, \rho)$  transformations in the 10 cases. We denote real parameters by greek letters and complex parameters by latin letters.

In case *i* and *ii*  $C$  has the form:

$$\lambda \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\phi_1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\phi_2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{i\phi_3} \end{pmatrix}$$

and  $\rho = \lambda^2 \text{id}$ .

In case *iii*  $C$  equals

$$a \begin{pmatrix} \cosh \alpha & 0 & \sinh \alpha \\ 0 & e^{i\phi} & 0 \\ \sinh \alpha & 0 & \cosh \alpha \end{pmatrix},$$

and  $\rho = |a| \text{id}$ .

In case *iv* and *v*  $C$  has the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda e^{i\phi_1} & i\alpha e^{i\phi_1} & 0 \\ 0 & \mu e^{i\phi_1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mu e^{i\phi_2} \end{pmatrix}$$

and  $\rho$  has the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda\mu & 0 \\ 0 & \mu^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

In case *vi* the matrices  $C$  have the form

$$C = a \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ i\theta & \lambda & 0 \\ i\delta - \frac{\theta^2}{2} & \gamma - i\theta\lambda & \lambda^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\rho = |a|^2 \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 2\gamma\lambda & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In cases *vii*, *viii* the transformation groups are direct products of the corresponding groups for hyperquadrics.

In case *ix* we obtain

$$C = a \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ b & e^{i\phi} & 0 \\ c & -\bar{b}e^{i\phi} & \lambda \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\rho = |a|^2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ |b|^2 + 2 \operatorname{Re} c & \lambda \end{pmatrix}.$$

In case *x*

$$C = a \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ i\alpha & \beta & \gamma \\ i\theta & \delta & \xi \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\rho = |a|^2 \begin{pmatrix} \beta & \gamma \\ \delta & \xi \end{pmatrix}.$$

### 3. MATRIX SUBSTITUTIONS

It follows from Beloshapka's uniqueness theorem [3] that in the cases *i-vi* any automorphism is linear.

In the cases *vii-ix* we present matrix substitutions which realize 8 dimensional subgroups. In fact, only case *ix* is interesting because *vii* and *viii* are direct products. Case *ix* is of special interest because it has a group of dimension 16, the maximally possible.

It was a conjecture of Beloshapka that the groups of nullquadrics are the maximal. The quadric *ix* is a counterexample.

The isotropy group of *x* will be obtained in the next section. It has only dimension 10.

The matrix substitutions are

in case *vii*

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= \begin{pmatrix} z^1 & z^3 \\ z^2 & z^2 \\ z^3 & z^1 \end{pmatrix} \\ \bar{Z} &= \begin{pmatrix} \bar{z}^1 & \bar{z}^2 & \bar{z}^3 \\ \bar{z}^3 & \bar{z}^2 & \bar{z}^1 \end{pmatrix} \\ W &= \begin{pmatrix} w^1 & w^2 \\ w^2 & w^1 \end{pmatrix} \\ \bar{W} &= \begin{pmatrix} \bar{w}^1 & \bar{w}^2 \\ \bar{w}^2 & \bar{w}^1 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

in case *viii*

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= \begin{pmatrix} z^1 & z^3 \\ z^2 & -z^2 \\ z^3 & z^1 \end{pmatrix} \\ \bar{Z} &= \begin{pmatrix} \bar{z}^1 & -\bar{z}^2 & \bar{z}^3 \\ \bar{z}^3 & \bar{z}^2 & \bar{z}^1 \end{pmatrix} \\ W &= \begin{pmatrix} w^1 & w^2 \\ w^2 & w^1 \end{pmatrix} \\ \bar{W} &= \begin{pmatrix} \bar{w}^1 & \bar{w}^2 \\ \bar{w}^2 & \bar{w}^1 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

in case *ix*

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= \begin{pmatrix} z^1 & 0 \\ z^2 & 0 \\ z^3 & z^1 \end{pmatrix} \\ \bar{Z} &= \begin{pmatrix} \bar{z}^1 & 0 & 0 \\ \bar{z}^3 & \bar{z}^2 & \bar{z}^1 \end{pmatrix} \\ W &= \begin{pmatrix} w^1 & 0 \\ w^2 & w^1 \end{pmatrix} \\ \bar{W} &= \begin{pmatrix} \bar{w}^1 & 0 \\ \bar{w}^2 & \bar{w}^1 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

The complex 3-vector  $a$  will be represented as  $2 \times 3$  matrix like the corresponding  $z$ , and the real 2-vector  $r$  as  $2 \times 2$  matrix like the corresponding  $w$ .

Then the Poincaré formula

$$\begin{aligned} Z &\mapsto (Z + AW)(\text{id} - 2i\bar{A}Z - (R + i\bar{A}A)W)^{-1} \\ W &\mapsto W(\text{id} - 2i\bar{A}Z - (R + i\bar{A}A)W)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

gives 8-dimensional subgroups of the automorphism groups. Together with the linear automorphisms they cover the whole groups.

#### 4. THE NULLQUADRIC

It follows from Beloshapka's uniqueness theorem that the isotropy group of the nullquadric  $Q_0$  has dimension 10. We obtained a subgroup of dimension 8 consisting of linear automorphisms.

We present now a 2-dimensional subgroup of automorphisms with identical CR-projection of the differential in 0.

Therefore, let  $S$  be the hyperquadric in  $\mathbb{C}^3$  determined by

$$\text{Im } w = 2 \text{Re } z^1 \bar{z}^2$$

Then  $Q_0$  is the fibred product of two copies of  $S$  over  $\mathbb{C}$  with respect to the projection  $S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  defined by  $(z^1, z^2, w) \mapsto z^1$ .

The automorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} z^1 &\mapsto \frac{z^1}{1 - 2i\bar{a}z^1} \\ z^2 &\mapsto \frac{z^2 + aw}{1 - 2i\bar{a}z^1} \\ w &\mapsto \frac{w}{1 - 2i\bar{a}z^1}, \end{aligned}$$

with  $a \in \mathbb{C}$ , can be lifted to automorphisms of  $Q_0$ , because the  $z^1$  component depends only on  $z^1$ . It is easy to see that they have identical CR projection in 0.

We write down the final formula

$$\begin{aligned}
 z^1 &\mapsto \frac{z^1}{1 - 2i\bar{a}z^1} \\
 z^2 &\mapsto \frac{z^2 + aw^1}{1 - 2i\bar{a}z^1} \\
 z^3 &\mapsto \frac{z^3 + aw^2}{1 - 2i\bar{a}z^1} \\
 w^1 &\mapsto \frac{w^1}{1 - 2i\bar{a}z^1} \\
 w^2 &\mapsto \frac{w^2}{1 - 2i\bar{a}z^1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

## REFERENCES

1. A.B. Abrosimov, *On local automorphisms of certain quadrics of codimension two (Russian)*, Mat. Zametki 52 (1992), no. 1, 9–14.
2. V.K. Beloshapka, *Finite-dimensionality of the group of automorphisms of a real analytic surface*, USSR Izvestiya 32 (1989), no. 2, 437–442.
3. ———, *A uniqueness theorem for automorphisms of a nondegenerate surface in a complex space*, Math. Notes 47 (1990), 239–242.
4. V.V. Ešov and G. Schmalz, *Biholomorphic automorphisms of Siegel domains in  $\mathbb{C}^4$* , preprint, Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik Bonn, 1992.
5. ———, *Holomorphic automorphisms of quadrics ii*, preprint, Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik Bonn, 1992.

(V.V. Ešov) OKLAHOMA STATE UNIVERSITY, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCES, STILLWATER, OKLAHOMA 74078-0613  
*E-mail address:* ezhov@hardy.math.okstate.edu

(G. Schmalz) MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT DER UNIVERSITÄT BONN, WEGELERSTRASSE 10, D-5300 BONN-1  
*E-mail address:* schmalz@mpim-bonn.mpg.de