

Prime geodesic theorem for the theta case II

Roland Matthes

Mathematisches Institut der
Universität Göttingen .
SFB 170
Bunsenstr. 3-5
37073 Göttingen

Germany

Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik
Gottfried-Claren-Straße 26
53225 Bonn
Germany

Prime geodesic theorem for the theta case II

Roland Matthes

1 Introduction

Let $\Gamma_0(2)$ denote the group of matrices in $\Gamma = \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbf{Z})$ with an even left-lower corner entry. Then the classical theta series

$$\vartheta_2(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} e^{\pi i (n + \frac{1}{2})^2 z}, \quad \vartheta_3(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} e^{\pi i n^2 z}, \quad \vartheta_4(z) = \vartheta_3(z + 1)$$

are modular forms of weight $\frac{1}{2}$ for $\Gamma_0(2)$, $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \Gamma_0(2) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \Gamma_0(2) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ respectively. Put

$$\Theta(z) = (\vartheta_2(z), \vartheta_3(z), \vartheta_4(z))^t$$

then \mathcal{V} is the multiplier system determined by

$$\Theta(Tz) = \mathcal{V}(T)(cz + d)^{\frac{1}{2}} \Theta(z)$$

for $T = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma$. In [8] we have proven the prime geodesic theorem

$$\pi_\Gamma(x, \mathcal{V}) = \mathrm{li} \left(x^{\frac{3}{4}} \right) + \mathcal{O}_\epsilon \left(x^{\frac{5}{8} + \epsilon} \right)$$

with

$$\pi_\Gamma(x, \mathcal{V}) = \sum_{\substack{\{P_0\}, \\ NP_0 \leq x}} \mathrm{Tr} \mathcal{W}(P_0).$$

where the sum is over all Γ -conjugacy classes of primitive hyperbolic elements P_0 with $\mathrm{Tr} P_0 > 4$ and $NP_0 = \epsilon_D^2 < x$. Here D is the discriminant of the primitive binary quadratic form, for which the automorphism group is generated by $\pm P_0$, $\epsilon_D = \frac{t_0 + u_0 \sqrt{D}}{2}$ and (t_0, u_0) is the fundamental solution of Pell's equation

$$t^2 - Du^2 = 4.$$

In the present paper we want to prove a better result, namely

Theorem 1.1

$$\pi_{\Gamma}(x, \mathcal{V}) = \text{li} \left(\frac{x}{4} \right) + \mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon} \left(x^{\frac{59}{96} + \varepsilon} \right)$$

We should remark that this result formally corresponds to Iwaniec's result $\mathcal{O}(x^{35/48} + \varepsilon)$ in the error term for the prime geodesic theorem in the weight 0 case under the Shimura lift. In what follows we shall make improvements at three different places of [8]. Yet only the one which will be made in the 'Kloosterman' term will be responsible for the result stated in the theorem.

2 Rankin–Selberg Zeta function

We use the notation of [8]. $\rho_{k,j}$ are the Fourier coefficients of the normalized (with respect to the usual inner product) eigenfunction of the Laplace Beltrami operator for the eigenvalue $\lambda_k = 1/4 + t_k^2$, $\arg t_k \in \{0, -\pi/2\}$

$$\vec{u}_k(z) = \sum_{j=1}^3 u_{k,j}(z) \vec{f}_j,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} u_{k,j}(z) &= \sum_{\substack{n \equiv \alpha_j \pmod{1} \\ n \neq 0}} \rho_{k,j}(n) W_{\frac{1}{4} + \sigma n(n), it_k}(4\pi|n|y) e(nx) \\ &+ \delta_{j1} \begin{cases} \rho_{k,1}(0) y^{\frac{1}{2} - it_k} + \bar{\rho}_{k,1}(0) y^{\frac{1}{2} + it_k} & , \text{if } t_k \neq 0 \\ \rho_{k,1}(0) y^{\frac{1}{2}} + \bar{\rho}_{k,1}(0) y^{\frac{1}{2}} \log y & , \text{if } t_k = 0 \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

with $\alpha_1 = 0, \alpha_2 = \frac{1}{2}, \alpha_3 = \frac{1}{8}$, then $\mathcal{V}(U)$ has eigenvalues $e(\alpha_1), e(\alpha_2), e(\alpha_3)$, the corresponding orthonormal set of eigenvectors is given by

$$\vec{f}_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \vec{f}_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \vec{f}_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

As in [1] introduce $\omega \in C^{\infty}(\mathbf{R})$ which has compact support in $[N, 2N]$ and satisfies

i) $\|\omega^{(\nu)}\|_{\text{sup}} \ll N^{-\nu}$, $\nu = 0, 1, 2, \dots$,

ii) $\int_N^{2N} \omega(x) dx = N$.

Our first result is an improvement of lemma 4.4 in [8]

Lemma 2.1 For $T > 1, \gamma > -3/2$ and $j = 1, 2, 3$

$$\sum_{j=1}^3 \sum_{n>0} \frac{\omega(n + \alpha_j) |\rho_{k,j}(n)|^2 (n + \alpha_j)}{\text{ch} \pi t_k} = N \frac{b_{+,k}}{\text{ch} \pi t_k} + r(N, t_k)$$

with

$$\sum_{0 < t_k \leq T} t_k^{\gamma} |r(N, t_k)| = \mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon} \left(N^{\frac{1}{2}} T^{3/2 + \gamma + \varepsilon} \right).$$

Proof. This follows from our result in [9]

$$\sum_{t_k < T} \frac{R_{+,k}(\frac{1}{2} + iv)}{\operatorname{ch} \pi t_k} \ll_{\epsilon} (vT)^{3/2+\epsilon} \quad (2)$$

which is the analogue to a conjecture of Iwaniec, which in the weight zero case was proven by Luo and Sarnak in [3]. Namely, put

$$\Omega(s) = \int_0^{\infty} \omega(t) t^{s-1} dt,$$

which is $\ll (1 + \Im(s))^{-1994} N^{\Re(s)}$ (partial integration 1994 times) then the inversion theorem for Mellin transforms gives

$$\sum_{j=1}^3 \sum_{n>0} \omega(n + \alpha_j) |\rho_{k,j}(n)|^2 (n + \alpha_j) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(2)} \Omega(s) R_{+,k}(s) ds.$$

We shift the line of integration to $\Re(s) = \frac{1}{2}$. Then

$$\sum_{j=1}^3 \sum_{n>0} \omega(n + \alpha_j) |\rho_{k,j}(n)|^2 (n + \alpha_j) = \Omega(1) b_{+,k} + \mathcal{O} \left(N^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{(\frac{1}{2})} \frac{|R_{+,k}(s)|}{|s|^{1994}} ds \right)$$

and the statement of the lemma follows from (2). □

3 Some Bessel transforms

We refer to section 4 of [8]. Recall we have chosen

$$\phi_j(w) = -\exp \left(-\frac{a_j}{w} + iw \operatorname{ch} b \right),$$

where

$$b = \frac{1}{2} \log x + \frac{i}{2T}, \quad a_j = 4\pi \frac{n + \alpha_j}{C}$$

with $1 \leq N \leq n \leq 2N$ as test function in the Kuznecov–Bruggeman sum formula. We want to show

Lemma 3.1

$$\hat{\phi}_j \left(\frac{i}{4} \right) \ll \frac{\sqrt{C}}{\sqrt{N}} \exp \left(-\frac{\sqrt{N}}{\sqrt{2C}} x^{\frac{1}{4}} \right). \quad (3)$$

Recall that

$$J_{-\frac{1}{2}}(w) = \sqrt{\frac{2 \cos w}{\pi}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{w}}$$

cf.[4], p.73. So we get

$$\left| \hat{\phi}_j \left(\frac{i}{4} \right) \right| = \frac{\pi^2}{\sin(\frac{\pi}{4}) \Gamma(\frac{1}{2})} \cdot \lim_{r \rightarrow \frac{1}{4}} \frac{(\frac{1}{4} - r)}{\frac{\pi}{2}(1 - 4r)} \cdot \left| \int_0^{\infty} J_{-\frac{1}{2}}(w) \phi_j(w) \frac{dw}{w} \right|$$

$$= \left| \int_0^\infty \cos(w) \phi_j(w) \frac{dw}{w^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right|.$$

From tables [10] we find for the Fourier cosinus transform

$$\int_0^\infty x^{-3/2} \exp\left(-\alpha x - \frac{\beta}{x}\right) \cos xy dx = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\beta}} e^{-u} \cos v$$

and the Fourier sine transform

$$\int_0^\infty x^{-3/2} \exp\left(-\alpha x - \frac{\beta}{x}\right) \sin xy dx = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\beta}} e^{-u} \sin v$$

with

$$u = \sqrt{2\beta} \left((\alpha^2 + y^2)^{1/2} + \alpha \right)^{1/2}$$

and

$$v = \sqrt{2\beta} \left((\alpha^2 + y^2)^{1/2} - \alpha \right)^{1/2}$$

and $\alpha, \beta > 0$.

Regarding this as a function in α we see that by analytic continuation the above formulas still hold for

$$\alpha = ichb = \frac{-E + iB}{2}.$$

We put $y = 1$ and observe that

$$B = \sqrt{x} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\sqrt{x}}{T^2}\right), \quad E = \frac{\sqrt{x}}{2T} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\sqrt{x}}{T^3}\right).$$

Since

$$\left((\alpha^2 + 1)^{1/2} - \alpha \right)^{1/2} \ll |\alpha|^{-1/2}$$

we obtain (3). □

The same estimate then holds for $D_j(x, T; C; n)$, cf [8] equation (29). We therefore arrive at

Proposition 3.1 *Let $x > x_0$ for a sufficiently large x_0 , $x^{\frac{1}{4}} < T < \sqrt{x}$ and $C, N > 1$ with $\frac{1}{\sqrt{x}} < 4\pi\frac{N}{C}$ and $\frac{C}{N} > x^{-\frac{1}{3}}$. Then we have for small $\varepsilon > 0$*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^{it_k}}{t_k} e^{-\frac{t_k}{T}} &= \mathcal{O}_\varepsilon \left(T^\varepsilon \left(\frac{T}{N^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{(NTx)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{C} + \frac{Nx^{\frac{1}{2}}}{C} + \left(\frac{C}{N}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{\sqrt{N}}{\sqrt{2C}} x^{\frac{1}{4}}\right) \right) \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^3 \sum_{n>0} \omega(n + \alpha_j) S_j(x, T, C, n). \end{aligned}$$

Compare this with proposition 5.1 in [8].

4 Proof of theorem

Now comes the crucial part of our paper. We put for $c > 0$

$$\rho^\pm(c, y) = \sum_{d \pm \bar{d} \equiv y \pmod{c}} 1,$$

and as in [8] we define for any even integer $c > 0$ the sum

$$\rho_e(c, y) = \sum_{\substack{d \pmod{8c}, \\ d + \bar{d} \equiv y \pmod{8c}}} \left(\frac{c}{d}\right) e\left(\frac{1-d}{8}\right).$$

and for odd $c > 0$

$$\rho_o(c, y) = \sum_{\substack{d \pmod{2c}, \\ d + \bar{d} \equiv y \pmod{2c}}} \left(\frac{d}{c}\right).$$

It is understood, that in the above sums d is coprime with c resp. $2c$ and \bar{d} denotes the inverse of $d \pmod{c}$, resp. $d \pmod{2c}$ or $d \pmod{8c}$.

Notice that ρ^+ is Iwaniec's ρ in [1]. We start with the formula, cf. [8] equation (85),

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=1}^3 \sum_{n>0} \sum_{c>0} \omega(n + \alpha_j) S_j^{(1)}(x, T, C, n) \\ & \ll \sum_{j=1}^3 |\mathcal{D}_x^{e,j}(C, N, B, E)| + \sum_{k=1}^2 |\mathcal{D}_x^{o,k}(C, N, B, E)| + \mathcal{O}(1), \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where for arbitrary $F, G > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{D}_x^{e,j}(C, N, F, G) \\ & = \sum_{n>0} \sum_{\substack{\frac{NG}{\log^2 x} \leq c \leq C \log^2 x, \\ c \equiv 0 \pmod{2}}} \frac{\omega(n + \alpha_j)}{c} e^{-\frac{c}{c}} \sum_{-\frac{c}{2} < y \leq \frac{15c}{2}} e\left(\frac{8(n + \alpha_j)}{8c}(y + F + iG)\right) \rho_e(c, y), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{D}_x^{o,k}(C, N, F, G) \\ & = \sum_{n>0} \sum_{\substack{\frac{NG}{\log^2 x} \leq c \leq C \log^2 x, \\ c \equiv 1 \pmod{2}}} \frac{\omega(n + \alpha_k)}{c} e^{-\frac{c}{c}} e\left(-\frac{c}{8}\right) \sum_{-\frac{c}{2} < y \leq \frac{3c}{2}} e\left(\frac{2(n + \alpha_k)}{2c}(y + F + iG)\right) \rho_o(c, y). \end{aligned}$$

As distinguished from [8] we again split up the above expressions

$$\mathcal{D}_x^{e,j}(C, N, F, G) = \mathcal{D}_x^{e,j,*}(C, N, F, G) + \mathcal{D}_x^{e,j,**}(C, N, F, G)$$

where the star shall indicate that in the sum over y we exclude those summands with $y \pm 2$ a square, these being just contained in the expression with the two stars.

Our analysis in [8], section 6, shows that

Lemma 4.1 *Let $C, F, G > 0$, $N > (8F \log^2 x)/G > 1$ and $F > 2C \log^2 x/N^{1-a}$ for some small $a > 0$. Then for any $0 < \varepsilon \leq a$ and $1 \leq j \leq 3$, $1 \leq k \leq 2$*

$$\mathcal{D}_x^{\varepsilon, j, **}(C, N, F, G), \quad \mathcal{D}_x^{\varepsilon, k, **}(C, N, F, G) \ll_{\varepsilon} (FCN)^{\varepsilon} C^{\frac{3}{4}} F^{\frac{3}{16}}.$$

The proof of the theorem now follows from the following

Proposition 4.1 *With the notations and assumptions as above*

$$\mathcal{D}_x^{\varepsilon, j, **}(C, N, F, G), \quad \mathcal{D}_x^{\varepsilon, k, **}(C, N, F, G) \ll_{\varepsilon} (FCN)^{\varepsilon} ((N^{\frac{1}{2}} C^{\frac{1}{2}} F^{-\frac{3}{32}} + C^2 N^{-1} F^{-\frac{3}{2}} + C^{\frac{1}{2}}).$$

Namely instead of proposition 6.2 in [8] we obtain from the above propositions together with lemma 4.1

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^{it_k}}{t_k} e^{-\frac{t_k}{T}} &\ll_{\varepsilon} \\ T^{\varepsilon} &\left(\frac{T}{N^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{(NTx)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{C} + \frac{Nx^{\frac{1}{2}}}{C} + \left(\frac{C}{N}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{\sqrt{N}}{\sqrt{2C}} x^{\frac{1}{4}}\right) \right. \\ &+ \left. \frac{C^{\frac{1}{2}}}{N^{\frac{1}{2}} x^{\frac{5}{32}}} + \frac{C^2}{N^2 x^{\frac{3}{4}}} + \frac{C^{\frac{19}{16}} x^{\frac{3}{32}}}{N^{\frac{39}{32}}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Now choose $N = x^{15}$, $C = x^{15+37/96}$ to arrive at

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^{it_k}}{t_k} e^{-\frac{t_k}{T}} \ll x^{\frac{11}{96} + \varepsilon}.$$

By standard calculations as in [8] and together with proposition 3.3 in [8] the theorem follows on choosing $T = x^{\frac{37}{96}}$.

5 Proof of proposition

For the proof of the proposition we shall need the following two lemmas.

Lemma 5.1 *Let $y \pm 2$ be a square, $y = z^2 \mp 2$, say. Then*

$$\rho_{\varepsilon}(c, y) = \rho^{+}(8c, y), \quad \rho_{\varepsilon}(c, y) = \rho^{+}(2c, y).$$

Further for any squarefree odd number k

$$\rho^{+}(k, y) = \rho^{\pm}(k, z).$$

Proof. First look at ρ_{ε} . The condition $d + \bar{d} \equiv y \pmod{8c}$ is equivalent to $d^2 \mp 2d + 1 \equiv dz^2 \pmod{8c}$. From this follows that d must be a square mod $8c$, hence $(c/d) = 1$ and $(2/d) = 1$. The latter implies $d \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ giving $e\left(\frac{1-d}{8}\right) = 1$, hence the statement for ρ_{ε} . For ρ_{ε} the proof is similar. For proving $\rho^{+}(\cdot, y) = \rho^{\pm}(\cdot, z)$ we use the product representation

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho^+(k, y) &= \prod_{p|k} \left(1 + \left(\frac{y^2 - 4}{p} \right) \right) = \prod_{p|k} \left(1 + \left(\frac{y-2}{p} \right) \left(\frac{y+2}{p} \right) \right) \\
&= \prod_{p|k} \left(1 + \left(\frac{y-2}{p} \right) \left(\frac{y+2}{p} \right) \right) \\
&= \prod_{p|k} \left(1 + \left(\frac{z^2 \mp 4}{p} \right) \right) = \rho^\pm(k, y).
\end{aligned}$$

The last equality follows from the observation that the congruence $d^2 - yd \pm 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{k}$ is equivalent to $(y^2 \mp 4) \equiv x^2 \pmod{k}$ in $x \pmod{k}$. \square

Put

$$F_h^\pm(A, B, C) = \sum_{c \leq C} \sum_{|y+B| \leq A}^{\pm, hc} \rho^+(c, y + hc),$$

where the upper index at the sum means that the sum is over those y for which $y \pm 2 + hc$ is a square. We have the following

Lemma 5.2 *i)* $F_0^\pm = \lambda A_0^\pm C + \mathcal{O}\left(\left(A^{\frac{1}{2}} B^{-\frac{5}{32}} C + AB^{-\frac{1}{2}} C^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)(BC)^\epsilon\right)$.

ii) For $1 \leq h \leq 7$ we obtain

$$F_h^\pm(A, B, C) = \mathcal{O}\left(AC^{\frac{1}{2}}\right).$$

Proof. If we proceed as in the proof of theorem 3 in [1] we obtain by means of lemma 5.1

$$F_h^\pm(A, B, C) = \sum_{\substack{lrs \leq C, \\ l \in \mathcal{L}, (sr, 2l) = 1}} \sum_{\mu^2(sr)} \sum_{|y+B| \leq A, y \pm 2 + hlrs = z^2} \rho^+(l, y) \left(\frac{z^2 \mp 4}{r} \right). \quad (5)$$

where $\mathcal{L} = \{n \in \mathbf{N} : n \text{ odd and } p|n \Rightarrow p^2|n \text{ for all primes } p\}$. Splitting this sum up into two sums $F_{h,0}^\pm(A, B, C) + F_{h,\infty}^\pm(A, B, C)$ restricted by $lr \leq R$ and $lr > R$ respectively, with some parameter $R > 0$, then the analysis of Iwaniec in [1] leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{h,0}^\pm(A, B, C) &= \sum_{l \in \mathcal{L}, l \leq C} \sum_{\alpha \pmod{l}} \rho^+(l, \alpha) \sum_{\substack{lrs \leq C, lr \leq R \\ (rs, 2l) = 1}} \mu^2(rs) \left(\frac{m^\pm(r)}{rl} A_{hlrs}^\pm \right) \\
&\quad + \mathcal{O}\left(\left(\min\{\tau(r) \log(2r) r^{\frac{1}{2}}, A_{hlrs}^\pm\}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}$$

where A_{hlrs}^\pm denotes the number of y with $|y+B| \leq A$ for which $y \pm 2 + hlrs$ is a square. We have for $h \neq 0$

$$A_{hlrs}^\pm \ll A \left((lrs + B)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right)$$

giving

$$F_{h,0}^\pm(A, B, C) = \mathcal{O}\left(AC^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)$$

independently of R and in this case we choose $R = C$ i.e. $F_h^\pm(A, B, C) = F_{h,0}^\pm(A, B, C)$. this proves (ii).

If $h = 0$ then the analysis in *loc.cit.* gives

$$F_{h,0}^\pm(A, B, C) = \lambda A_0^\pm C + \mathcal{O}\left(A_0^\pm C^{\frac{1}{2}} + CR^{\frac{1}{2}} + A_0^\pm CR^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)$$

for some $\lambda > 0$. Now completely analogous calculations as in [1] lead to

$$F_{0,\infty}^\pm \ll A_0^\pm B^{\frac{3}{16}} CR^{-\frac{1}{2}} (BC)^\varepsilon.$$

Put $R = A_0^\pm B^{\frac{3}{16}}$ then we obtain

$$F_0^\pm = \lambda A_0^\pm C + \mathcal{O}\left((A^{\frac{1}{2}} B^{-\frac{5}{32}} C + AB^{-\frac{1}{2}} C^{\frac{1}{2}})(BC)^\varepsilon\right)$$

since $A_0^\pm \ll AB^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. The proof of the lemma is thus complete. \square

Consider now $\mathcal{D}_x^{\varepsilon,1,\ast}(C, N, F, G)$. The sum over y equals

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\pm} e\left(\mp \frac{2}{c}\right) \sum_{-\frac{\varepsilon}{2} < z^2 \mp 2 \leq \frac{16\varepsilon}{2}} e\left(\frac{n}{c}(z^2 + F + iG)\right) \rho^+(8c, z^2 \mp 2) \\ &= \sum_{\pm} e\left(\mp \frac{2}{c}\right) \sum_{-\frac{\varepsilon}{2} < z^2 \mp 2 \leq \frac{16\varepsilon}{2}} e\left(\frac{n}{c}(z^2 - h(c, z)c + F + iG)\right) \rho^+(8c, z^2 \mp 2), \end{aligned}$$

where $h(c, z) \in \mathbf{Z}$, $0 \leq h(c, z) \leq 7$ is such that $z^2 - h(c, z)c \in (-c/2, c/2]$. Next we proceed as in [1] and replace the sum over n by an integral via the Poisson summation formula. Put $M_{c,z} = z^2 - h(c, z)c + F + iG$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} \omega(n) e\left(\frac{n}{c} M_{c,z}\right) &= \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \omega(x) e\left(\frac{x}{c} M_{c,z} - xn\right) dx \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \omega(x) e\left(\frac{x}{c} M_{c,z}\right) dx + \sum_{n \neq 0} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \omega(x) e\left(x \left(\frac{M_{c,z}}{c} - n\right)\right) dx \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \omega(x) e\left(\frac{x}{c} M_{c,z}\right) dx \\ &\quad + \sum_{n \neq 0} \left(\left(n - \frac{M_{c,z}}{c}\right) 2\pi i\right)^{-f} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \omega^{(f)}(x) e\left(x \left(\frac{M_{c,z}}{c} - n\right)\right) dx \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \omega(x) e\left(\frac{x}{c} M_{c,z}\right) dx + \mathcal{O}_f(N^{-f+1}) \end{aligned}$$

for any integer $f \geq 2$, provided there is a positive real constant γ such that $\left|\frac{M_{c,z}}{c} - n\right| \geq \gamma > 0$ for all $n \in \mathbf{Z}$. The latter is assured by our assumption

$$N > \frac{8F}{G} \log^2 x.$$

For then $c \geq NG/\log^2 x > 8F$, therefore

$$\left|\frac{M_{c,z}}{c} - n\right|^2 = \frac{G^2}{c^2} + \left(\frac{z^2 - h(c, z)c}{c} + \frac{F}{c} - n\right)^2 \geq \left(n - \frac{5}{8}\right)^2 \geq \frac{9}{64}$$

for all $n \in \mathbf{Z}$. Further partial integration l -times gives

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \omega(x) e\left(\frac{x}{c} M_{c,z}\right) dx \ll_l \left(\frac{c}{|M_{c,z}|}\right)^l N^{-l+1}, \quad (6)$$

which is $\ll_l N^{-l\epsilon+1}$ for $|M_{c,z}| > \frac{c}{N^{1-\epsilon}}$. Especially this is the case for all z with $|z^2 - h(c,z)c + F| > \frac{c}{N^{1-\epsilon}}$. Therefore for any $C, N, F, G > 0$ with $C > N > \log^2 x$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_x^{\epsilon,1,*}(C, N, F, G) = & \sum_{\pm} e\left(\mp \frac{2}{c}\right) \sum_{\substack{\frac{NG}{\log^2 x} \leq c \leq C \log^2 x, \\ c \equiv 0 \pmod{2}}} \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{c}{C}\right)}{c} \sum_{|z^2 - h(c,z)c + F| \leq \frac{c}{N^{1-\epsilon}}} \rho^+(8c, z^2 \mp 2) \\ & \cdot \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \omega(x) e\left(\frac{x}{c} M_{c,z}\right) dx + \mathcal{O}_\epsilon\left(\frac{C}{N^{1777}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

The sum over c is split up into $\mathcal{O}(\log C)$ subsums, a typical sum of which is

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{H \leq c \leq 2H \\ c \equiv 0(2)}} \exp\left(\frac{-c}{C}\right) \sum_{|z^2 - h(c,z)c + F| \leq \frac{c}{N^{1-\epsilon}}} \rho^+(8c, z^2 \mp 2) \int_{\frac{N}{2H}}^{\frac{2N}{H}} \omega(c\xi) e(\xi M_{c,z}) d\xi \\ & = \int_{\frac{N}{2H}}^{\frac{2N}{H}} \sum_{\substack{H \leq c \leq 2H \\ c \equiv 0(2)}} a^\pm(c, \xi, z) f(c, \xi) d\xi + \mathcal{O}_\epsilon\left(\frac{H}{N^{1777}}\right), \quad \frac{NG}{\log^2 x} \leq H \leq \frac{C}{2} \log^2 x, \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} a^\pm(c, \xi, z) &= \sum_{|z^2 - h(c,z)c + F| \leq 2H/N^{1-\epsilon}} e(\xi M_{c,z}) \rho^+(8c, z^2 \mp 2), \\ f(c, \xi) &= \exp\left(\frac{-c}{C}\right) \omega(c\xi). \end{aligned}$$

The \mathcal{O} -term in (7) arises, since we replaced $\sum_{|z^2 - h(c,z)c + F| \leq 2c/N^{1-\epsilon}}$ by $\sum_{|z^2 - h(c,z)c + F| \leq 2H/N^{1-\epsilon}}$, applying (6) with a sufficiently large l . Consider for $0 \leq h < 7$, $C > 0$ and $B > A > 0$ the sums

$$F_{\xi,h}^\pm(A, B, C) = \sum_{c \leq C} \sum_{|z^2 - hc + B| \leq A} e(\xi(z^2 + B)) \rho^+(8c, z^2 \mp 2).$$

We apply lemma ?? to (5) and obtain for $h \neq 0$

$$F_{\xi,h}^\pm(A, B, C) \ll (AC^{\frac{1}{2}})$$

while

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\xi,0}^\pm(A, B, C) &= \lambda B^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\sqrt{B-A}}^{\sqrt{B+A}} e(\xi(\alpha^2 + B)) d\alpha \\ &+ \mathcal{O}\left((1 + \xi A)(A^2 B^{-\frac{3}{2}} C + A^{\frac{1}{2}} B^{-\frac{5}{2}} C + AB^{-\frac{1}{2}} C^{\frac{1}{2}})(BC)^\epsilon\right). \end{aligned}$$

Again proceeding as in [1], p.157f gives

$$\mathcal{D}_x^{\epsilon,1,*}(C, N, F, G) \ll (FCN)^\epsilon (N^{\frac{1}{2}} C^{\frac{1}{2}} F^{-\frac{5}{2}} + C^2 N^{-1} F^{-\frac{3}{2}} + C^{\frac{1}{2}}).$$

This proves the proposition for the 'even' case. In the 'odd' case the proof runs similar.

References

- [1] H.Iwaniec: *Prime geodesic theorem*; J. Reine Angew. Math. **349** (1984), 136–159
- [2] N.V.Kuznecov: *Petersson hypothesis for parabolic forms of weight zero and Linnik hypothesis. Sums of Kloosterman sums*; Math. UdSSR Sbornik, **39**, no.3 (1981), 299–342
- [3] W.Luo, P.Sarnak: *Quantum ergodicity of eigenfunctions on $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbf{Z}) \backslash \mathbf{H}^2$* ; preprint
- [4] W.Magnus, F.Oberhettinger, R.P.Soni: *Formulas and theorems for the special functions of mathematical physics*; 3. ed., Springer 1966
- [5] R.Matthes: *Rankin-Selberg method for real analytic cusp forms of arbitrary real weight*; Math. Zeitschrift **211** (1992), 155-172
- [6] R.Matthes: *Fourier coefficients of real analytic cusp forms of arbitrary real weight*; Acta arith., **65.1** (1993), 1–15
- [7] R.Matthes: *Über das quadratische Spektralmittel von Fourierkoeffizienten reell-analytischer automorpher Formen halbzahlichen Gewichts*; Math. Z., **214** (1993), 225–244
- [8] R.Matthes: *Prime geodesic theorem for the theta case*; J. Reine Angew. Math. **446** (1994), 165–217
- [9] R.Matthes: *A mean value estimate for Rankin–Selberg zeta functions*; preprint
- [10] F.Oberhettinger: *Tables of Fourier transforms and Fourier transforms of Distributions*; Springer 1990