ZETA STARS

YASUO OHNO AND WADIM ZUDILIN

Abstract. We present two new families of identities for the multiple zeta (star) values: The first one generalizes the formula $\zeta^\star(\{2\}_n, 1) = 2\zeta(2n+1)$, where $\{2\}_n$ denotes the n-tuple $(2, 2, \dots, 2)$, while the second family is a weighted analogue of Euler's formula $\sum_{l=2}^{n-1} \zeta(l, n-l) = \zeta(n)$ $(n \ge 3)$.

Introduction

Although the structure of algebraic relations of the multiple zeta values (MZVs)

(1)
$$\zeta(\boldsymbol{s}) = \zeta(s_1, \dots, s_n) = \sum_{a_1 > \dots > a_n \ge 1} \frac{1}{a_1^{s_1} \cdots a_n^{s_n}}, \qquad s_1, \dots, s_n \in \{1, 2, \dots\}, \quad s_1 \ge 2,$$

got a conjectural description (by means of shuffle and stuffle, or harmonic, relations) and there was a big progress on obtaining the (expected) upper bounds for dimensions of the $\mathbb{Q}$-spaces spanned by MZVs of fixed *weight* $|\boldsymbol{s}| = \mathrm{wt}(\boldsymbol{s}) = s_1 + \dots + s_n$ (recent works of P. Deligne, A. Goncharov [2] and T. Terasoma [12]), new parametric families of elegant identities for MZVs continue to come. Many of these identities have a simple form for an alternative model of zeta values, so-called multiple zeta star values (MZSVs or zeta stars),[1]

(2)
$$\zeta^\star(\boldsymbol{s}) = \zeta^\star(s_1, \dots, s_n) = \sum_{a_1 \ge \dots \ge a_n \ge 1} \frac{1}{a_1^{s_1} \cdots a_n^{s_n}}, \qquad s_1, \dots, s_n \in \{1, 2, \dots\}, \quad s_1 \ge 2,$$

see the discussion in [5]. The equivalence of the models of MZVs (1) and MZSVs (2), in the sense that the $\mathbb{Q}$-spaces in $\mathbb{R}$ spanned by MZVs and by MZSVs of fixed weight coincide, is a well-known fact (it is an immediate consequence of Proposition 1 below). In our further discussion we require some standard characteristics of the index $\boldsymbol{s}$ in (1) and (2): the already defined weight $|\boldsymbol{s}|$ and the *depth* (or the *length*) $\ell(\boldsymbol{s}) = \mathrm{dep}(\boldsymbol{s}) = n$. By an *admissible index* $\boldsymbol{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ we mean an index with positive entries and $s_1 \ge 2$ (the latter condition is necessary for the convergence of the series in (1) and (2)). We also assign these characteristics to a MZV $\zeta(\boldsymbol{s})$ or a MZSV $\zeta^\star(\boldsymbol{s})$ themselves, just speaking about the weight and depth of the multiple zeta (star) value, and calling it admissible if $\boldsymbol{s}$ is an admissible index.

Date: October 18, 2007.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11M06; Secondary 11G55, 16W25.

The work of both authors was supported by fellowships of the Max Planck Institute for Mathematics (Bonn).

[1] They also appear as non-strict multiple zeta values in [10], the name which is owed to the anonymous referee of that paper. Note also that the notation $\widetilde{\zeta}$ instead of $\zeta^\star$ was used in the 'Russian' literature [13], [18], [19].

Apart from a simplicity of several identities in terms of MZSVs (a good example is the cyclic sum formula — cf. [11] vs. [8]), these zeta values appear very naturally in the diophantine problems for the values of the classical Riemann zeta function $\zeta(s)$, especially due to the identities

$$\zeta^\star(\{2\}_n,1)=2\zeta(2n+1), \tag{3a}$$

$$\zeta^\star(\{2\}_n)=2(1-2^{1-2n})\zeta(2n), \tag{3b}$$

where $\{2\}_n$ denotes the n-tuple $(2,2,\dots,2)$; the interested reader is referred to the papers [16]–[18] by S. Zlobin (we discuss these aspects at the end of Section 1). Identity (3a) also comes as a special case of the cyclic sum formula [11] while (3b) follows from a generating series argument [1], [18].

The starting goal of our project was to find a general form of identities (3a) and (3b), and on this way we succeeded at least in generalizing (3a) (see the 'two-one formula' accompanied with Theorems 1 and 2 below). Another result (Theorem 3) appearing as an auxiliary identity in our deduction of Theorem 2, which is new and interesting by itself, is a 'weighted' version of Euler's formula [3]

$$\sum_{l=2}^{n-1}\zeta(l,n-l)=\zeta(n), \qquad n\ge 3 \tag{4}$$

(the sum formula of depth 2 in the modern terminology).

In Section 1 we provide formulae for expressing the values (2) in terms of (1) and vice versa, and state our main results (Theorems 1–3). Section 2 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1, while Theorems 2 and 3 are proved in Section 3.

1. Background and formulae for multiple zeta (star) values

Let us first consider a simple recipe of passing from MZSVs to MZVs and vice versa.

Proposition 1. *For any admissible index $\boldsymbol{s}=(s_1,s_2,\dots,s_n)$, we have the (dual) relations*

$$\zeta^\star(\boldsymbol{s})=\sum_{\boldsymbol{p}}\zeta(\boldsymbol{p}) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \zeta(\boldsymbol{s})=\sum_{\boldsymbol{p}}(-1)^{\sigma(\boldsymbol{p})}\zeta^\star(\boldsymbol{p}), \tag{5}$$

where $\boldsymbol{p}$ runs through all indices of the form $(s_1\circ s_2\circ\cdots\circ s_n)$ with '$\circ$' being either the symbol ',' or the sign '+', and the exponent $\sigma(\boldsymbol{p})$ denotes the number of signs '+' in $\boldsymbol{p}$. (The total number of such indices $\boldsymbol{p}$ is 2^{l-1}.)

This statement comes as a special case of the Propositions 2 and 3 in [13] for *generalized polylogarithms*

$$\operatorname{Li}_{\boldsymbol{s}}(z)=\sum_{a_1>\dots>a_n\ge1}\frac{z^{a_1}}{a_1^{s_1}\cdots a_n^{s_n}} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \operatorname{Le}_{\boldsymbol{s}}(z)=\sum_{a_1\ge\dots\ge a_n\ge1}\frac{z^{a_1}}{a_1^{s_1}\cdots a_n^{s_n}} \tag{6}$$

at the point $z=1$.

The following identity was discovered experimentally when we searched for a generalization of (3a) (which is the particular case $l=1$ of our finding). It is a weighted analogue the expressions on the right-hand sides in (5).

Two-one formula. *For $k=0,1,2,\dots,$ denote $\mu_{2k+1}=(\{2\}_k,1)$. Then for any admissible index $\boldsymbol{s}=(s_1,s_2,\dots,s_l)$ with* odd *entries $s_1,\dots,s_l$ the following identities are valid:*

$$\zeta^\star(\mu_{s_1},\mu_{s_2},\dots,\mu_{s_l})=\sum_{\boldsymbol{p}}(-1)^{\sigma(\boldsymbol{p})}2^{l-\sigma(\boldsymbol{p})}\zeta^\star(\boldsymbol{p}) \tag{7a}$$

$$=\sum_{\boldsymbol{p}}2^{l-\sigma(\boldsymbol{p})}\zeta(\boldsymbol{p}), \tag{7b}$$

where, as before, $\boldsymbol{p}$ runs through all indices of the form $(s_1\circ s_2\circ\dots\circ s_l)$ with '$\circ$' being either the symbol '$,$' or the sign '$+$', and the exponent $\sigma(\boldsymbol{p})$ denotes the number of signs '$+$' in $\boldsymbol{p}$.

Proof of the equality of the right-hand sides in (7a) *and* (7b). By Proposition 1 for the right-hand side in (7a) we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{\{\square=\,,\text{ or }+\}}(-1)^{\#\{\square=+\}}2^{l-\#\{\square=+\}}\zeta^\star(s_1\square s_2\square\cdots\square s_l)\\
&\quad=\sum_{\{\square=\,,\text{ or }+\}}\;\sum_{\{\circ=+\text{ or }\square\}}(-1)^{l-\#\{\circ=\square\}-1}2^{\#\{\circ=\square\}+1}\zeta(s_1\circ s_2\circ\cdots\circ s_l)\end{aligned}$$

which in the notation $r=\#\{\circ=\square\}+1$ turns out to be

$$\begin{aligned}&=\sum_{n=1}^{l}\biggl(\sum_{r=n}^{l}\binom{l-n}{l-r}(-1)^{l-r}2^r\biggr)\sum_{l-\#\{\circ=+\}=n}\zeta(s_1\circ s_2\circ\cdots\circ s_l)\\
&=\sum_{n=1}^{l}\biggl(\sum_{m=0}^{l-n}\binom{l-n}{l-n-m}(-1)^{l-n-m}2^{n+m}\biggr)\sum_{\#\{\circ=+\}=l-n}\zeta(s_1\circ s_2\circ\cdots\circ s_l)\\
&=\sum_{n=1}^{l}2^n\sum_{\#\{\circ=+\}=l-n}\zeta(s_1\circ s_2\circ\cdots\circ s_l)\\
&=\sum_{\{\circ=\,,\text{ or }+\}}2^{l-\#\{\circ=+\}}\zeta(s_1\circ s_2\circ\cdots\circ s_l),\end{aligned}$$

which is exactly the right-hand side of (7b). ∎

In spite of a nicely simple (but somehow unusual) form of the two-one formula we cannot yet prove it in the full generality. The following two particular cases ($l=2$, and $s_1=3$, $s_2=\dots=s_{n-2}=1$ with $n\ge3$ arbitrary) strongly support the validity of identities (7a), (7b).

Theorem 1. *For any $n\ge1$ and $1\le i\le n$,*

$$\zeta^\star(\underbrace{2,\dots,2}_{i},1,\underbrace{2,\dots,2}_{n-i},1)=4\zeta^\star(2i+1,2n-2i+1)-2\zeta(2n+2). \tag{8}$$

Theorem 2. *For any $n \ge 3$,*

(9)

$$\zeta^\star(2,\underbrace{1,\dots,1}_{n-2}) = \sum_{\{\circ=\,,\ or\ +\}} 2^{n-2-\#\{\circ=+\}}\zeta(3\circ\underbrace{1\circ\dots\circ 1}_{n-3})$$

$$= \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} 2^{n-i} \sum_{e_1+e_2+\dots+e_{n-i}=i-2} \zeta(3+e_1,1+e_2,1+e_3,\dots,1+e_{n-i}),$$

where all e_j are non-negative integers.

We deduce Theorem 2 from the following weighted analogue of Euler's formula (4).

Theorem 3 (**Weighted sum formula**). *For any $n \ge 3$,*

$$\sum_{l=2}^{n-1} 2^l \zeta(l,n-l) = (n+1)\zeta(n). \tag{10}$$

Before proceeding with our proofs of Theorems 1–3, let us make some comments on the two-one formula.

The formula

$$\zeta^\star(\{2,\{1\}_{m-1}\}_n,1) = (m+1)\zeta((m+1)n+1)$$

for any positive integers m,n is known (two different proofs are given in [18] and [11]). If $m=1$ it is nothing but formula (3a), while if $m \ge 2$ then its left-hand side equals $\zeta^\star(\{\mu_3,\{\mu_1\}_{m-2}\}_n,\mu_1)$. This together with the two-one formula mean that the corresponding right-hand side in (7a) (equivalently, in (7b)) is expected to have a closed-form evaluation by means of the single zeta value $(m+1)\zeta((m+1)n+1)$, where the integers $m \ge 2$ and $n \ge 1$ are arbitrary.

Using the integral representation of MZSVs,

$$\zeta^\star(\boldsymbol{s}) = \int\cdots\int_{[0,1]^{s_1+\dots+s_l}} \frac{\mathrm{d}x_1\cdots\mathrm{d}x_{s_1+\dots+s_l}}{\prod_{i=1}^{l}(1-x_1\cdots x_{s_1+\dots+s_i})}$$

valid for any admissible index $\boldsymbol{s}=(s_1,\dots,s_l)$, we can write the right-hand side of (7a) as follows:

$$2\int\cdots\int_{[0,1]^{s_1+\dots+s_l}} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{l-1}(1+x_1\cdots x_{s_1+\dots+s_i})}{\prod_{i=1}^{l}(1-x_1\cdots x_{s_1+\dots+s_i})}\mathrm{d}x_1\cdots\mathrm{d}x_{s_1+\dots+s_l}. \tag{11a}$$

The change of variable $y_j = x_1\cdots x_j$ for $j=1,\dots,s_1+\dots+s_l$ gives the integral

$$2\int\cdots\int_{1>y_1>\dots>y_{s_1+\dots+s_l}>0} \prod_{i=1}^{l-1}\biggl(\prod_{j=s_1+\dots+s_{i-1}+1}^{s_1+\dots+s_i-1}\frac{\mathrm{d}y_j}{y_j}\cdot\frac{(1+y_{s_1+\dots+s_i})\,\mathrm{d}y_{s_1+\dots+s_i}}{(1-y_{s_1+\dots+s_i})y_{s_1+\dots+s_i}}\biggr) \tag{11b}$$
$$\times\prod_{j=s_1+\dots+s_{l-1}+1}^{s_1+\dots+s_l-1}\frac{\mathrm{d}y_j}{y_j}\cdot\frac{\mathrm{d}y_{s_1+\dots+s_l}}{1-y_{s_1+\dots+s_l}},$$

where the empty sum $s_1+\dots+s_{i-1}$ for $i=1$ is interpreted as 0. Therefore, any of the two integrals in (11a), (11b) may replace the right-hand sides of (7a) or (7b).

The case $l=1$ of the two-one formula is identity (3a). The case $l=2$ (Theorem 1) reads as

$$\zeta^\star(\{2\}_{s_1},1,\{2\}_{s_2},1)=2\zeta(2s_1+2s_2+2)+4\zeta(2s_1+1,2s_2+1).$$

In particular, the latter identity implies

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta^\star(\{2\}_{s_1},1,&\{2\}_{s_2},1)+\zeta^\star(\{2\}_{s_2},1,\{2\}_{s_1},1)\\ &=4\zeta(2s_1+2s_2+2)+4\zeta(2s_1+1,2s_2+1)+4\zeta(2s_2+1,2s_1+1)\\ &=4\zeta(2s_1+1)\zeta(2s_2+1)=\zeta^\star(\{2\}_{s_1},1)\zeta^\star(\{2\}_{s_2},1)\end{aligned}$$

whenever $s_1\ge 1$ and $s_2\ge 1$.

On the right-hand side of (7a) and (7b) we have MZSVs and MZVs of length at most l, while the left-hand side involves a single zeta star attached to an index with entries 2 and 1 only (and the number of 1's is equal to l); the latter circumstance is the reason of dubbing the formula as the two-one formula (with no relations to the 21st century).

We stress that neither the two-one formula nor its special cases treated in Theorems 1 and 2 are specializations of identities for polylogarithms (6) as may be seen from the fact $\operatorname{Le}_{2,1}(z)\ne 2\operatorname{Le}_3(z)$ for $z\ne 1$ (cf. formula (3a) with $n=1$).

Zlobin's theorem [17, Theorem 3] implies that under certain natural restrictions on positive integer parameters $b_i>a_i$, $i=1,\dots,m$, and c_j, $j=1,\dots,l$, and with a choice of integers $2\le r_1<r_2<\dots<r_l=m$ such that $r_{j+1}-r_j$ is 1 or 2 for all $j=1,\dots,l-1$, the multiple integral

$$\int\cdots\int_{[0,1]^m}\frac{\prod_{j=1}^m x_i^{a_i-1}(1-x_i)^{b_i-a_i-1}}{\prod_{j=1}^l(1-zx_1x_2\cdots x_{r_j})^{c_j}}\,\mathrm{d}x_1\cdots\mathrm{d}x_m \tag{12}$$

is a $\mathbb{Q}[z^{-1}]$-linear combination of generalized polylogarithms $\operatorname{Le}_{\boldsymbol{s}}(z)$ with indices $\boldsymbol{s}$ of weight at most m and length at most l whose entries are only 2 and 1. In several cases the method in [17] allows to control the number of 1's in these indices (for instance, the case when only the zeta stars from (3a) occur after specialization $z=1$ is treated in [17] in details). The integrals (12) and formulae (7a), (7b) may therefore become useful in diophantine study of multiple zeta (star) values of depth higher than 1.

2. Depth 2 case of the two-one problem: Proof of Theorem 1

For $a\ge c>0$, we define the harmonic sum

$$H(a,c)=\sum_{\substack{j=1\\ j\ne a}}^{c}\frac{1}{a-j} \tag{13}$$

and interpret $H(\infty,c)$ and $H(a,0)$ as zero.

Lemma 1. *If $B\ge C$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\substack{A\ge a\ge B\\ C\ge c\ge D}}\frac{1}{a^2c}=\sum_{C\ge c\ge D}&\frac{H(B,c)-H(A+1,c)}{c^2}\\ &-\sum_{A\ge a\ge B}\frac{H(a,C)-H(a,D-1)}{a^2}+\delta_{B,C}\frac{2}{B^3}.\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

Proof. It follows that

$$\sum_{\substack{C\ge c\ge D\\ c\ne a}} \frac{1}{a-c} = H(a,C) - H(a,D-1)$$

whenever $a \ge C$, and

$$\sum_{\substack{A\ge a\ge B\\ a\ne c}} \left(\frac{1}{a-c} - \frac{1}{a}\right) = H(B,c) - H(A+1,c) + \delta_{c,B}\frac{1}{c}$$

whenever $c \le B$. Furthermore, for $a \ne c$ the following partial fraction decomposition is valid:

$$\frac{1}{a^2c} = \left(\frac{1}{a-c} - \frac{1}{a}\right)\cdot\frac{1}{c^2} - \frac{1}{a-c}\cdot\frac{1}{a^2}. \tag{15}$$

Thus, under the condition $B \ge C$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\substack{A\ge a\ge B\\ C\ge c\ge D}} \frac{1}{a^2c} &= \sum_{\substack{A\ge a\ge B\\ C\ge c\ge D\\ a\ne c}} \left(\left(\frac{1}{a-c} - \frac{1}{a}\right)\cdot\frac{1}{c^2} - \frac{1}{a-c}\cdot\frac{1}{a^2}\right) + \delta_{B,C}\frac{1}{B^3} \\
&= \sum_{C\ge c\ge D} \frac{H(B,c) - H(A+1,c)}{c^2} \\
&\qquad - \sum_{A\ge a\ge B} \frac{H(a,C) - H(a,D-1)}{a^2} + \delta_{B,C}\frac{2}{B^3}.
\end{aligned}$$

which is the desired statement. □

Remark 1. The proof of the cyclic sum theorem in [11] exploits the general forms of identities (15) and (14) which are

$$\sum_{l=1}^{m-1} \frac{1}{a^{m+1-l}c^l} = \left(\frac{1}{a-c} - \frac{1}{a}\right)\cdot\frac{1}{c^m} - \frac{1}{a-c}\cdot\frac{1}{a^m}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\substack{A\ge a\ge B\\ C\ge c\ge D}} \sum_{l=1}^{m-1} \frac{1}{a^{m+1-l}c^l} &= \sum_{C\ge c\ge D} \frac{H(B,c) - H(A+1,c)}{c^m} \\
&\qquad - \sum_{A\ge a\ge B} \frac{H(a,C) - H(a,D-1)}{a^m} + \delta_{B,C}\frac{m}{B^{m+1}},
\end{aligned}$$

respectively, although the function (13) is not used there in an explicit form (it was introduced later by D. Zagier in his unpublished note on the proof in [11]). We are wondering if the two-one formula may be generalized to some kind of 'multiple cyclic formula'.

Lemma 2. *For any $i \ge 1$ and $j \ge 0$ we have*

$$\zeta^\star(2i+1, \underbrace{2,\dots,2}_{j}, 1) = -\sum_{a_0\ge a_1\ge\dots\ge a_j\ge 1} \frac{H(a_0+1, a_j)}{a_0^{2i+1}a_1^2\cdots a_j^2} + 2\zeta^\star(2i+1, 2j+1). \tag{16}$$

Proof. If $j \geq 1$, we apply Lemma 1 with $a = a_1$ and $c = a_{j+1}$:

$$\zeta^\star(2i+1, \underbrace{2, \dots, 2}_{j}, 1) = \sum_{a_0 \geq a_1 \geq \cdots \geq a_{j+1} \geq 1} \frac{1}{a_0^{2i+1} a_1^2 \cdots a_j^2 a_{j+1}} \tag{17}$$

$$= \sum_{a_0 \geq a_2 \geq \cdots \geq a_{j+1} \geq 1} \frac{H(a_2, a_{j+1}) - H(a_0+1, a_{j+1})}{a_0^{2i+1} a_2^2 \cdots a_j^2 a_{j+1}^2}$$

$$- \sum_{a_0 \geq a_1 \geq \cdots \geq a_j \geq 1} \frac{H(a_1, a_j)}{a_0^{2i+1} a_1^2 a_2^2 \cdots a_j^2} + 2 \sum_{a_0 \geq a_1 \geq 1} \frac{1}{a_0^{2i+1} a_1^{2j+1}}$$

$$= - \sum_{a_0 \geq a_1 \geq \cdots \geq a_j \geq 1} \frac{H(a_0+1, a_j)}{a_0^{2i+1} a_1^2 \cdots a_j^2} + 2\zeta^\star(2i+1, 2j+1).$$

Although the application of (14) is possible if $j \geq 1$, it is easy to see that the resulting formula (16) trivially holds for $j = 0$, since

$$\sum_{a_0 \geq 1} \frac{H(a_0+1, a_0)}{a_0^{2i+1}} = \sum_{a_0 > b \geq 0} \frac{1}{a_0^{2i+1}(a_0 - b)} = \sum_{a_0 \geq a_1 \geq 1} \frac{1}{a_0^{2i+1} a_1} = \zeta^\star(2i+1, 1)$$

in this case. □

We will also need the following formula whose proof is just changing the condition $a_0 \geq a_1$ by $a_0 > a_1$ in (17).

Lemma 3. *For any $i \geq 1$ and $j \geq 0$ we have*

$$\sum_{a_0 > a_1 \geq \cdots \geq a_{j+1} \geq 1} \left(\frac{1}{a_0 - a_{j+1}} - \frac{1}{a_0} \right) \frac{1}{a_0^{2i-1} a_1^2 \cdots a_{j+1}^2} = 2\zeta(2i+1, 2j+1).$$

Proof. We proceed as in (17):

$$\sum_{a_0 > a_1 \geq \cdots \geq a_{j+1} \geq 1} \frac{1}{a_0^{2i+1} a_1^2 \cdots a_j^2 a_{j+1}}$$

$$= - \sum_{a_0 > a_1 \geq \cdots \geq a_j \geq 1} \frac{H(a_0, a_j)}{a_0^{2i+1} a_1^2 \cdots a_j^2} + 2 \sum_{a_0 > a_1 \geq 1} \frac{1}{a_0^{2i+1} a_1^{2j+1}}$$

$$= - \sum_{a_0 > a_1 \geq \cdots \geq a_{j+1} \geq 1} \frac{1}{a_0^{2i+1} a_1^2 \cdots a_j^2 (a_0 - a_{j+1})} + 2\zeta(2i+1, 2j+1);$$

again the formula remains valid $j = 0$. Then we use the identity

$$\frac{1}{a_0^{2i+1} a_{j+1}} + \frac{1}{a_0^{2i+1}(a_0 - a_{j+1})} = \frac{1}{a_0^{2i} a_{j+1}(a_0 - a_{j+1})} = \left(\frac{1}{a_0 - a_{j+1}} - \frac{1}{a_0} \right) \frac{1}{a_0^{2i-1} a_{j+1}^2}$$

to conclude with the desired claim. □

Lemma 4. *Given $n \geq 1$, for any $1 \leq i \leq n$ the following identity holds:*

$$\zeta^\star(\underbrace{2, \dots, 2}_{i}, 1, \underbrace{2, \dots, 2}_{n-i}, 1) = 4\zeta^\star(2i+1, 2n-2i+1) \tag{18}$$

$$+ \sum_{a_0 \geq \cdots \geq a_n \geq 1} \frac{H(a_0, a_i - 1)}{a_0^2 \cdots a_{n-1}^2 a_n} - 2 \sum_{a_0 \geq a_{i+1} \geq \cdots \geq a_n \geq 1} \frac{H(a_0+1, a_n)}{a_0^{2i+1} a_{i+1}^2 \cdots a_n^2}.$$

Proof. We use Lemma 1 with $a = a_0$ and $c = a_i$:

$$\zeta^\star(\underbrace{2,\dots,2}_{i},1,\underbrace{2,\dots,2}_{n-i},1) = \sum_{a_0\ge\dots\ge a_i\ge a_{i+1}\ge\dots\ge a_{n+1}\ge1}\frac{1}{a_1^2\cdots a_{i-1}^2a_ia_{i+1}^2\cdots a_n^2a_{n+1}}$$

$$= \sum_{a_1\ge\dots\ge a_{i-1}\ge a_i\ge a_{i+1}\ge\dots\ge a_{n+1}\ge1}\frac{H(a_1,a_i)}{a_1^2\cdots a_{i-1}^2a_i^2\,a_{i+1}^2\cdots a_n^2a_{n+1}}$$

$$- \sum_{a_0\ge a_1\ge\dots\ge a_{i-1}\ge a_{i+1}\ge\dots\ge a_{n+1}\ge1}\frac{H(a_0,a_{i-1})-H(a_0,a_{i+1}-1)}{a_0^2a_1^2\cdots a_{i-1}^2\,a_{i+1}^2\cdots a_n^2a_{n+1}}$$

$$+ 2\sum_{a_0\ge a_{i+1}\ge\dots\ge a_{n+1}\ge1}\frac{1}{a_0^{2i+1}a_{i+1}^2\cdots a_n^2a_{n+1}}$$

$$= \sum_{a_0\ge a_1\ge\dots\ge a_{i-1}\ge a_{i+1}\ge\dots\ge a_{n+1}\ge1}\frac{H(a_0,a_{i+1}-1)}{a_0^2a_1^2\cdots a_{i-1}^2\,a_{i+1}^2\cdots a_n^2a_{n+1}} + 2\zeta^\star(2i+1,\underbrace{2,\dots,2}_{n-i},1)$$

$$= \sum_{a_0\ge\dots\ge a_n\ge1}\frac{H(a_0,a_i-1)}{a_0^2\cdots a_{n-1}^2a_n} + 2\zeta^\star(2i+1,\underbrace{2,\dots,2}_{n-i},1),$$

and for the latter zeta star term we apply Lemma 2. □

Lemma 5. *We have*

$$\zeta^\star(\underbrace{2,\dots,2}_{n},1,1) = 4\zeta^\star(2n+1,1) - 2\zeta(2n+2).$$

To prove Lemma 5 we will require an additional identity.

Lemma 6. *For any positive integers l and m satisfying $l > m$, the following identity is valid:*

$$\sum_{b=1}^{m}\frac{H(l,b)}{l-b} = \sum_{a=l}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{a-m}-\frac{1}{a}\right)H(a+1,m+1). \tag{19}$$

Proof. For the right-hand side in (19) we have

(20)

$$\sum_{a=l}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{a-m}-\frac{1}{a}\right)H(a+1,m+1)$$

$$= \sum_{a=l}^{\infty}\sum_{d=0}^{m}\left(\frac{1}{a-m}-\frac{1}{a}\right)\frac{1}{a-d} = \sum_{a=l}^{\infty}\sum_{d=0}^{m}\left(\frac{1}{(a-m)(a-d)}-\frac{1}{a(a-d)}\right)$$

$$= \sum_{a=l}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{(a-m)^2}+\sum_{d=0}^{m-1}\frac{1}{m-d}\left(\frac{1}{a-m}-\frac{1}{a-d}\right) - \frac{1}{a^2}-\sum_{d=1}^{m}\frac{1}{d}\left(\frac{1}{a-d}-\frac{1}{a}\right)\right)$$

$$= \sum_{b=1}^{m}\frac{1}{(l-b)^2}+\sum_{d=0}^{m-1}\frac{1}{m-d}\sum_{a=l}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{a-m}-\frac{1}{a-d}\right)-\sum_{d=1}^{m}\frac{1}{d}\sum_{a=l}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{a-d}-\frac{1}{a}\right)$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{b=1}^{m} \frac{1}{(l-b)^2} + \sum_{d=0}^{m-1} \frac{1}{m-d} \sum_{c=d+1}^{m} \frac{1}{l-c} - \sum_{d=1}^{m} \frac{1}{d} \sum_{c=1}^{d} \frac{1}{l-c} \\
&= \sum_{b=1}^{m} \frac{1}{(l-b)^2} + \sum_{b=1}^{m} \frac{1}{b} \sum_{c=m-b+1}^{m} \frac{1}{l-c} - \sum_{b=1}^{m} \frac{1}{b} \sum_{c=1}^{b} \frac{1}{l-c} \\
&= \sum_{b=1}^{m} \frac{1}{(l-b)^2} + \sum_{b=1}^{m} \frac{1}{b} \Bigg(\sum_{c=m-b+1}^{m} - \sum_{c=1}^{b} \Bigg) \frac{1}{l-c},
\end{aligned}
$$

while the right-hand side can be written as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{b=1}^{m} \frac{H(l,b)}{l-b} &= \sum_{b=1}^{m} \sum_{c=1}^{b} \frac{1}{(l-b)(l-c)} \\
&= \sum_{b=1}^{m} \frac{1}{(l-b)^2} + \sum_{b=1}^{m} \sum_{c=1}^{b-1} \frac{1}{b-c} \left(\frac{1}{l-b} - \frac{1}{l-c} \right) \\
&= \sum_{b=1}^{m} \frac{1}{(l-b)^2} + \sum_{d=1}^{m} \sum_{c=1}^{d-1} \frac{1}{d-c} \frac{1}{l-d} - \sum_{d=1}^{m} \sum_{c=1}^{d-1} \frac{1}{d-c} \frac{1}{l-c} \\
&= \sum_{b=1}^{m} \frac{1}{(l-b)^2} + \sum_{d=1}^{m} \frac{1}{l-d} \sum_{c=1}^{d-1} \frac{1}{d-c} - \sum_{c=1}^{m-1} \frac{1}{l-c} \sum_{d=c+1}^{m} \frac{1}{d-c} \\
&= \sum_{b=1}^{m} \frac{1}{(l-b)^2} + \sum_{d=1}^{m} \frac{1}{l-d} \sum_{b=1}^{d-1} \frac{1}{b} - \sum_{c=1}^{m-1} \frac{1}{l-c} \sum_{b=1}^{m-c} \frac{1}{b} \\
&= \sum_{b=1}^{m} \frac{1}{(l-b)^2} + \sum_{b=1}^{m} \frac{1}{b} \sum_{d=b+1}^{m} \frac{1}{l-d} - \sum_{b=1}^{m} \frac{1}{b} \sum_{c=1}^{m-b} \frac{1}{l-c} \\
&= \sum_{b=1}^{m} \frac{1}{(l-b)^2} + \sum_{b=1}^{m} \frac{1}{b} \Bigg(\sum_{c=b+1}^{m} - \sum_{c=1}^{m-b} \Bigg) \frac{1}{l-c}.
\end{aligned} \tag{21}
$$

Comparing the right-hand sides of the resulting expressions in (20) and (21) and using

$$
\sum_{c=m-b+1}^{m} - \sum_{c=1}^{b} = \Bigg(\sum_{c=1}^{m} - \sum_{c=1}^{m-b} \Bigg) - \Bigg(\sum_{c=1}^{m} - \sum_{c=b+1}^{m} \Bigg) = \sum_{c=b+1}^{m} - \sum_{c=1}^{m-b}
$$

we derive the required identity (19). $\square$

Proof of Lemma 5. We are first interested in the finite multiple sum

$$F_n(a,b)=\sum_{\substack{a_0\ge\dots\ge a_n\\ a_0\le a,\ a_n>b}}\frac{1}{a_0^2\cdots a_{n-1}^2a_n} \tag{22}$$
$$=\sum_{\substack{a_1\ge\dots\ge a_n\\ a_1\le a,\ a_n>b}}\frac{H(a_1,a_n)-H(a+1,a_n)}{a_1^2\cdots a_{n-1}^2a_n^2}$$
$$-\sum_{\substack{a_0\ge\dots\ge a_{n-1}\\ a_0\le a,\ a_{n-1}>b}}\frac{H(a_0,a_{n-1})-H(a_0,b)}{a_0^2a_1^2\cdots a_{n-1}^2}+2\sum_{a\ge a_0>b}\frac{1}{a_0^{2n+1}}$$
$$=\sum_{\substack{a_0\ge\dots\ge a_{n-1}\\ a_0\le a,\ a_{n-1}>b}}\frac{H(a_0,b)-H(a+1,a_{n-1})}{a_0^2a_1^2\cdots a_{n-1}^2}+2\sum_{a\ge a_0>b}\frac{1}{a_0^{2n+1}}.$$

Multiplying both expressions for the sum in (22) by $1/(a-b)-1/(a-b+1)$, notifying that

$$\sum_{a\ge a_0}\left(\frac{1}{a-b}-\frac{1}{a-b+1}\right)=\frac{1}{a_0-b}$$

and

$$\sum_{b=1}^{a_n-1}\left(\frac{1}{a-b}-\frac{1}{a-b+1}\right)=\frac{1}{a-a_n+1}-\frac{1}{a},$$

and summing over all a and b, $a>b\ge 1$, we obtain

$$\sum_{a_0\ge\dots\ge a_n>b\ge1}\frac{1}{(a_0-b)a_0^2\cdots a_{n-1}^2a_n}=\sum_{a_0\ge\dots\ge a_{n-1}>b\ge1}\frac{H(a_0,b)}{(a_0-b)a_0^2a_1^2\cdots a_{n-1}^2} \tag{23}$$
$$-\sum_{a\ge a_0\ge\dots\ge a_{n-1}>1}\left(\frac{1}{a-a_{n-1}+1}-\frac{1}{a}\right)\frac{H(a+1,a_{n-1})}{a_0^2a_1^2\cdots a_{n-1}^2}$$
$$+2\sum_{a_0>b\ge1}\frac{1}{(a_0-b)a_0^{2n+1}}.$$

Applying Lemma 6 with $l=a_0$ and $m=a_{n-1}-1$ we derive from (23) that

$$\sum_{a_0\ge\dots\ge a_n>b\ge1}\frac{1}{(a_0-b)a_0^2\cdots a_{n-1}^2a_n}=2\sum_{a_0>b\ge1}\frac{1}{(a_0-b)a_0^{2n+1}}.$$

Finally, from Lemma 4 in the case $i=n$ we obtain

$$\zeta^\star(\underbrace{2,\dots,2}_{n},1,1)=4\zeta^\star(2n+1,1)+2\sum_{a_0>b\ge1}\frac{1}{(a_0-b)a_0^{2n+1}}-2\sum_{a_0>b\ge0}\frac{1}{(a_0-b)a_0^{2n+1}}$$
$$=4\zeta^\star(2n+1,1)-2\zeta(2n+2),$$

which is the desired identity. $\square$

Lemma 7. *For $1 \le i < n$ we have*

(24)
$$\sum_{a_0\ge\cdots\ge a_n\ge 1}\frac{H(a_0,a_i-1)-H(a_0,a_{i+1}-1)}{a_0^2\cdots a_{n-1}^2a_n}=2\sum_{a_0>a_{i+1}\ge\cdots\ge a_n\ge 1}\frac{H(a_0,a_n)}{a_0^{2i+1}a_{i+1}^2\cdots a_n^2}$$
$$-\sum_{a_0\ge\cdots\ge a_n\ge 1}\left(\frac{H(a_0,a_n)}{a_0^2\cdots a_{i-1}^2a_ia_{i+1}^2\cdots a_n^2}-\frac{H(a_0,a_n)}{a_0^2\cdots a_i^2a_{i+1}a_{i+2}^2\cdots a_n^2}\right).$$

Proof. We use the identity in (22) for $F_i(a,a_{i+1}-1)$ (that is, for n replaced by i and b replaced by $a_{i+1}-1$). Multiplying it by $1/(a_{i+1}^2\cdots a_n^2)$ and summing over $a_{i+1},\dots,a_{n+1}$ satisfying $a_{i+1}\ge\cdots\ge a_n\ge a_{n+1}$ results in the following identity:

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{F}_i(a,a_{n+1}) &= \sum_{\substack{a_0\ge\cdots\ge a_i\ge a_{i+1}\ge\cdots\ge a_n\\ a_0\le a,\ a_n\ge a_{n+1}}}\frac{1}{a_0^2\cdots a_{i-1}^2a_ia_{i+1}^2\cdots a_n^2}\\ &= \sum_{\substack{a_1\ge\cdots\ge a_i\ge a_{i+1}\ge\cdots\ge a_n\\ a_1\le a,\ a_n\ge a_{n+1}}}\frac{H(a_1,a_{i+1}-1)-H(a+1,a_i)}{a_1^2\cdots a_n^2}\\ &\quad+2\sum_{\substack{a_1\ge a_{i+1}\ge\cdots\ge a_n\\ a_1\le a,\ a_n\ge a_{n+1}}}\frac{1}{a_1^{2i+1}a_{i+1}^2\cdots a_n^2}.\end{aligned}$$

As before, we multiply both sides by $1/(a-a_{n+1})-1/(a-a_{n+1}+1)$ and sum over all $a\ge a_{n+1}\ge 1$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{a_0\ge\cdots\ge a_n\ge 1}\frac{H(a_0,a_n)}{a_0^2\cdots a_{i-1}^2a_ia_{i+1}^2\cdots a_n^2}\\ &= \sum_{\substack{a_0\ge\cdots\ge a_n\ge a_{n+1}\ge 1\\ a_0\ne a_{n+1}}}\frac{1}{(a_0-a_{n+1})a_0^2\cdots a_{i-1}^2a_ia_{i+1}^2\cdots a_n^2}\\ &= \sum_{\substack{a_1\ge\cdots\ge a_n\ge a_{n+1}\ge 1\\ a_1\ne a_{n+1}}}\frac{H(a_1,a_{i+1}-1)}{(a_1-a_{n+1})a_1^2\cdots a_{n-1}^2a_n^2}\\ &\quad-\sum_{\substack{a\ge a_1\ge\cdots\ge a_n\ge 1\\ a\ne a_n}}\left(\frac{1}{a-a_n}-\frac{1}{a}\right)\frac{H(a+1,a_i)}{a_1^2\cdots a_{n-1}^2a_n^2}\\ &\quad+2\sum_{\substack{a_1\ge a_{i+1}\ge\cdots\ge a_{n+1}\ge 1\\ a_1\ne a_{n+1}}}\frac{1}{(a_1-a_{n+1})a_1^{2i+1}a_{i+1}^2\cdots a_n^2}\end{aligned}$$

(we set $a_0=a$ in the second sum)

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{\substack{a_1\ge\dots\ge a_n\ge a_{n+1}\ge1\\ a_1\ne a_{n+1}}} \frac{H(a_1,a_{i+1}-1)}{(a_1-a_{n+1})a_1^2\cdots a_{n-1}^2a_n^2} \\
&\qquad - \sum_{\substack{a_0\ge a_1\ge\dots\ge a_n\ge1\\ a_0\ne a_n}} \biggl(\frac{1}{a_0-a_n}-\frac{1}{a_0}\biggr)\frac{H(a_0,a_i-1)+1/a_0}{a_1^2\cdots a_{n-1}^2a_n^2} \\
&\qquad + 2\sum_{a_1\ge a_{i+1}\ge\dots\ge a_n\ge1} \frac{H(a_1,a_n)}{a_1^{2i+1}a_{i+1}^2\cdots a_n^2} \\
&= \sum_{\substack{a_0\ge\dots\ge a_n\ge1\\ a_0\ne a_n}} \frac{H(a_0,a_i-1)}{(a_0-a_n)a_0^2\cdots a_{n-1}^2} \\
&\qquad - \sum_{\substack{a_0\ge a_1\ge\dots\ge a_n\ge1\\ a_0\ne a_n}} \biggl(\frac{1}{a_0-a_n}-\frac{1}{a_0}\biggr)\frac{H(a_0,a_i-1)+1/a_0}{a_1^2\cdots a_{n-1}^2a_n^2} \\
&\qquad + 2\sum_{a_0\ge a_{i+1}\ge\dots\ge a_n\ge1} \frac{H(a_0,a_n)}{a_0^{2i+1}a_{i+1}^2\cdots a_n^2}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the partial fraction decomposition (15) with $x=a_0$ and $y=a_n$ reduces the latter expression to

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{a_0\ge\dots\ge a_n\ge1} \frac{H(a_0,a_n)}{a_0^2\cdots a_{i-1}^2a_ia_{i+1}^2\cdots a_n^2} \\
&\quad= -\sum_{\substack{a_0\ge\dots\ge a_n\ge1\\ a_0\ne a_n}} \frac{H(a_0,a_i-1)}{a_0^2\cdots a_{n-1}^2a_n} - \sum_{\substack{a_0\ge a_1\ge\dots\ge a_n\ge1\\ a_0\ne a_n}} \biggl(\frac{1}{a_0-a_n}-\frac{1}{a_0}\biggr)\frac{1/a_0}{a_1^2\cdots a_{n-1}^2a_n^2} \\
&\qquad + 2\sum_{a_0\ge a_{i+1}\ge\dots\ge a_n\ge1} \frac{H(a_0,a_n)}{a_0^{2i+1}a_{i+1}^2\cdots a_n^2} \\
&\quad= -\sum_{a_0\ge\dots\ge a_n\ge1} \frac{H(a_0,a_i-1)}{a_0^2\cdots a_{n-1}^2a_n} + \sum_{a_0\ge1}\frac{H(a_0,a_0-1)}{a_0^{2n+1}} \\
&\qquad - \sum_{\substack{a_0\ge a_1\ge\dots\ge a_n\ge1\\ a_0\ne a_n}} \frac{1}{(a_0-a_n)a_0a_1^2\cdots a_n^2} + \sum_{a_0\ge a_1\ge\dots\ge a_n\ge1}\frac{1}{a_0^2a_1^2\cdots a_n^2} \\
&\qquad - \sum_{a_0\ge1}\frac{1}{a_0^{2n+2}} + 2\sum_{a_0\ge a_{i+1}\ge\dots\ge a_n\ge1} \frac{H(a_0,a_n)}{a_0^{2i+1}a_{i+1}^2\cdots a_n^2}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{25}
$$

Subtracting now the expression in (25) from the one corresponding to the i replaced by $i+1$ we finally obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{a_0\ge\cdots\ge a_n\ge 1}\left(\frac{H(a_0,a_n)}{a_0^2\cdots a_i^2a_{i+1}a_{i+2}^2\cdots a_n^2}-\frac{H(a_0,a_n)}{a_0^2\cdots a_{i-1}^2a_ia_{i+1}^2\cdots a_n^2}\right)\\
&\quad=\sum_{a_0\ge\cdots\ge a_n\ge 1}\frac{H(a_0,a_i-1)-H(a_0,a_{i+1}-1)}{a_0^2\cdots a_{n-1}^2a_n}\\
&\qquad+2\sum_{a_0\ge a_{i+2}\ge\cdots\ge a_n\ge 1}\frac{H(a_0,a_n)}{a_0^{2i+3}a_{i+2}^2\cdots a_n^2}-2\sum_{a_0\ge a_{i+1}\ge\cdots\ge a_n\ge 1}\frac{H(a_0,a_n)}{a_0^{2i+1}a_{i+1}^2\cdots a_n^2},
\end{aligned}$$

which gives us the required formula (24). □

Lemma 8. *For $0\le i<n$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{a_0\ge\cdots\ge a_n\ge 1}\left(\frac{H(a_0,a_n)}{a_0^2\cdots a_{i-1}^2a_ia_{i+1}^2\cdots a_n^2}-\frac{H(a_0,a_n)}{a_0^2\cdots a_i^2a_{i+1}a_{i+2}^2\cdots a_n^2}\right)\\
&\quad=\zeta^\star(\underbrace{2,\dots,2}_{i+1},1,\underbrace{2,\dots,2}_{n-i-1},1)-2\zeta(2n+2).
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. We apply Lemma 1, this time with $a=a_0$ and $c=a_{n+1}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\zeta^\star(\underbrace{2,\dots,2}_{i+1},1,\underbrace{2,\dots,2}_{n-i-1},1)&=\sum_{a_0\ge\cdots\ge a_{n+1}\ge 1}\frac{1}{a_0^2\cdots a_i^2a_{i+1}a_{i+2}^2\cdots a_n^2a_{n+1}}\\
&=\sum_{a_1\ge\cdots\ge a_{n+1}\ge 1}\frac{H(a_1,a_{n+1})}{a_1^2\cdots a_i^2a_{i+1}a_{i+2}^2\cdots a_{n+1}^2}\\
&\quad-\sum_{a_0\ge\cdots\ge a_n\ge 1}\frac{H(a_0,a_n)}{a_0^2\cdots a_i^2a_{i+1}a_{i+2}^2\cdots a_n^2}+2\zeta(2n+2)\\
&=\sum_{a_0\ge\cdots\ge a_n\ge 1}\frac{H(a_0,a_n)}{a_0^2\cdots a_{i-1}^2a_ia_{i+1}^2\cdots a_n^2}\\
&\quad-\sum_{a_0\ge\cdots\ge a_n\ge 1}\frac{H(a_0,a_n)}{a_0^2\cdots a_i^2a_{i+1}a_{i+2}^2\cdots a_n^2}+2\zeta(2n+2),
\end{aligned}$$

from which the desired identity follows. □

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 1. We will use the descending induction on $i=n,n-1,\dots,1$. In the case $i=n$ (induction base) the identity of the theorem is already shown in Lemma 5. Therefore, we assume that $i<n$ and that identity (8) is proved with i replaced by $i+1$, that is,

$$\zeta^\star(\underbrace{2,\dots,2}_{i+1},1,\underbrace{2,\dots,2}_{n-i-1},1)=4\zeta^\star(2i+3,2n-2i-1)-2\zeta(2n+2). \tag{26}$$

We substitute expressions

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{a_0>a_{i+1}\ge\cdots\ge a_n\ge1}\frac{H(a_0,a_n)}{a_0^{2i+1}a_{i+1}^2\cdots a_n^2}\\
&\quad=\sum_{a_0>a_{i+1}\ge\cdots\ge a_n\ge1}\frac{H(a_0+1,a_n)}{a_0^{2i+1}a_{i+1}^2\cdots a_n^2}\\
&\qquad+\sum_{a_0>a_{i+1}\ge\cdots\ge a_n\ge1}\biggl(\frac{1}{a_0-a_n}-\frac{1}{a_0}\biggr)\frac{1}{a_0^{2i+1}a_{i+1}^2\cdots a_n^2}\\
&\quad=\sum_{a_0>a_{i+1}\ge\cdots\ge a_n\ge1}\frac{H(a_0+1,a_n)}{a_0^{2i+1}a_{i+1}^2\cdots a_n^2}+2\zeta(2i+3,2n-2i-1)
\end{aligned}$$

followed from Lemma 3 and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{a_0\ge\cdots\ge a_n\ge1}\biggl(\frac{H(a_0,a_n)}{a_0^2\cdots a_{i-1}^2a_ia_{i+1}^2\cdots a_n^2}-\frac{H(a_0,a_n)}{a_0^2\cdots a_i^2a_{i+1}a_{i+2}^2\cdots a_n^2}\biggr)\\
&\quad=4\zeta^\star(2i+3,2n-2i-1)-4\zeta(2n+2)=4\zeta(2i+3,2n-2i-1)
\end{aligned}$$

followed from Lemma 8 and (26) into the identity of Lemma 7 to get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{a_0\ge\cdots\ge a_n\ge1}\frac{H(a_0,a_i-1)-H(a_0,a_{i+1}-1)}{a_0^2\cdots a_{n-1}^2a_n}=2\sum_{a_0>a_{i+1}\ge\cdots\ge a_n\ge1}\frac{H(a_0+1,a_n)}{a_0^{2i+1}a_{i+1}^2\cdots a_n^2}\\
&\quad=2\sum_{a_0\ge a_{i+1}\ge\cdots\ge a_n\ge1}\frac{H(a_0+1,a_n)}{a_0^{2i+1}a_{i+1}^2\cdots a_n^2}-2\sum_{a_0\ge a_{i+2}\ge\cdots\ge a_n\ge1}\frac{H(a_0+1,a_n)}{a_0^{2i+3}a_{i+2}^2\cdots a_n^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

The last identity may be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{a_0\ge\cdots\ge a_n\ge1}\frac{H(a_0,a_i-1)}{a_0^2\cdots a_{n-1}^2a_n}-2\sum_{a_0\ge a_{i+1}\ge\cdots\ge a_n\ge1}\frac{H(a_0+1,a_n)}{a_0^{2i+1}a_{i+1}^2\cdots a_n^2}\\
&\quad=\sum_{a_0\ge\cdots\ge a_n\ge1}\frac{H(a_0,a_{i+1}-1)}{a_0^2\cdots a_{n-1}^2a_n}-2\sum_{a_0\ge a_{i+2}\ge\cdots\ge a_n\ge1}\frac{H(a_0+1,a_n)}{a_0^{2i+3}a_{i+2}^2\cdots a_n^2},
\end{aligned}$$

where the right-hand side equals $-2\zeta(2n+2)$ by Lemma 4 applied to $i+1$ instead of i and (26), so does the left-hand side:

$$\sum_{a_0\ge\cdots\ge a_n\ge1}\frac{H(a_0,a_i-1)}{a_0^2\cdots a_{n-1}^2a_n}-2\sum_{a_0\ge a_{i+1}\ge\cdots\ge a_n\ge1}\frac{H(a_0+1,a_n)}{a_0^{2i+1}a_{i+1}^2\cdots a_n^2}=-2\zeta(2n+2). \tag{27}$$

Finally, from (18) and (27) we obtain identity (8) for the given i, just completing the proof of Theorem 1. $\square$

3. Weighted sum formula: Proof of Theorems 2 and 3

We first prove the weighted sum formula.

Proof of Theorem 3. Recall two standard ways of computing the product of two zeta values [19]: the shuffle product (coming from the representation of MZVs by iterated integrals [14])

$$\zeta(m)\zeta(n-m)=\sum_{l=2}^{n-1}\left(\binom{l-1}{m-1}+\binom{l-1}{n-m-1}\right)\zeta(l,n-l) \tag{28a}$$

and the harmonic (or stuffle) product (originated by the series representation [7])

$$\zeta(m)\zeta(n-m)=\zeta(n)+\zeta(m,n-m)+\zeta(n-m,m); \tag{28b}$$

here $m>1$ and $n>m+1$ are arbitrary integers.[2] Summing up the right-hand sides in (28a) and (28b) over $m=2,3,\dots,n-2$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{l=2}^{n-1}\sum_{m=2}^{n-2}&\left(\binom{l-1}{m-1}+\binom{l-1}{n-m-1}\right)\zeta(l,n-l)\\ &=(n-3)\zeta(n)+\sum_{m=2}^{n-2}\big(\zeta(m,n-m)+\zeta(n-m,m)\big)\end{aligned}$$

which reduces to

$$2\sum_{l=2}^{n-1}\sum_{m=2}^{\min\{l,n-2\}}\binom{l-1}{m-1}\zeta(l,n-l)=(n-3)\zeta(n)+2\sum_{m=2}^{n-2}\zeta(m,n-m).$$

Adding $2\zeta(n-1,1)$ to the both sides of the latter equality we obtain

$$2\sum_{l=2}^{n-1}\sum_{m=2}^{l}\binom{l-1}{m-1}\zeta(l,n-l)=(n-3)\zeta(n)+2\sum_{m=2}^{n-1}\zeta(m,n-m)$$

and using the binomial theorem for the left-hand side results in

$$2\sum_{l=2}^{n-1}(2^{l-1}-1)\zeta(l,n-l)=(n-3)\zeta(n)+2\sum_{m=2}^{n-1}\zeta(m,n-m).$$

It remains to apply Euler's formula (4) to the both sides, to arrive at the desired formula (10). $\square$

Proof of Theorem 2. For $i=2,\dots,n-1$, the sum

$$\sum_{e_1+e_2+\dots+e_{n-i}=i-2}\zeta(3+e_1,1+e_2,1+e_3,\dots,1+e_{n-i})$$

can be written as

$$\sum_{e_1+e_2=i-2}\zeta(n-i+1+e_1,1+e_2)=\sum_{l=1}^{i-1}\zeta(n-l,l)$$

by the identity in [9]. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}2^{n-2}\zeta(3,\underbrace{1,\dots,1}_{n-3})&+2^{n-3}\sum_{e_1+e_2+\dots+e_{n-3}=1}\zeta(3+e_1,1+e_2,\dots,1+e_{n-3})+\cdots\\ &+2^{n-i}\sum_{e_1+e_2+\dots+e_{n-i}=i-2}\zeta(3+e_1,1+e_2,\dots,1+e_{n-i})+\cdots+2\zeta(n)\end{aligned}$$

---

[2] A general form of these relations, known as double shuffle relations, is discussed in [6].

$$= \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} 2^{n-i} \sum_{l=1}^{i-1} \zeta(n-l,l) = \sum_{l=1}^{n-2} \sum_{i=2}^{n-l-1} 2^i \zeta(n-l,l)$$
$$= \sum_{l=1}^{n-2} (2^{n-l}-2)\zeta(n-l,l).$$

Applying Euler's formula (4), the latter sum becomes

$$\sum_{l=1}^{n-2} 2^{n-l}\zeta(n-l,l) - 2\zeta(n)$$

which turns out to be $(n-1)\zeta(n)$ by Theorem 3. Finally, we use the formula

$$(n-1)\zeta(n) = \zeta^\star(2, \underbrace{1, 1, \dots, 1}_{n-2})$$

which is a special case of the sum formula due to A. Granville [4] and D. Zagier [15]. This implies (9) and completes our proof of Theorem 2. □

As one can see from the above proofs of Theorems 2 and 3, these theorems are equivalent modulo the algebraic relations from [9] (that also contain the sum formula as a particular case).

Acknowledgements. We would like to thank Kentaro Ihara, Jun-ichi Okuda and Don Zagier for useful comments. We are also thankful to Tatsushi Tanaka for providing us with a program of computing multiple zeta star values which was used in our experimental verification of the formulae.

The work was done when the both authors were fellows in the Max Planck Institute for Mathematics (Bonn). We thank the staff of the institute for the wonderful working conditions we experienced there.

References

[1] T. Aoki and Y. Ohno, Sum relations for multiple zeta values and connection formulas for the Gauss hypergeometric functions, *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* **41**:2 (2005), 329–337.

[2] P. Deligne and A. B. Goncharov, Groupes fondamentaux motiviques de Tate mixte, *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.* (4) **38**:1 (2005), 1–56.

[3] L. Euler, Meditationes circa singulare serierum genus, *Novi Comm. Acad. Sci. Petropol* **20** (1775), 140–186; Reprinted in: *Opera Omnia, Ser. I*, vol. 15, Teubner, Berlin (1927), 217–267.

[4] A. Granville, A decomposition of Riemann's zeta-function, *Analytic number theory* (Kyoto, 1996), London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. **247**, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge (1997), 95–101.

[5] K. Ihara, J. Kajikawa, Y. Ohno, and J. Okuda, in preparation.

[6] K. Ihara, M. Kaneko, and D. Zagier, Derivation and double shuffle relations for multiple zeta values, *Compos. Math.* **142**:2 (2006), 307–338.

[7] M. E. Hoffman, Multiple harmonic series, *Pacific J. Math.* **152**:2 (1992), 275–290.

[8] M. E. Hoffman and Y. Ohno, Relations of multiple zeta values and their algebraic expression, *J. Algebra* **262**:2 (2003), 332–347.

[9] Y. Ohno, A generalization of the duality and sum formulas on the multiple zeta values, *J. Number Theory* **74**:1 (1999), 39–43.

[10] Y. Ohno and J. Okuda, On the sum formula for the q-analogue of non-strict multiple zeta values, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **135** (2007), 3029–3037.

[11] Y. Ohno and N. Wakabayashi, Cyclic sum of multiple zeta values, *Acta Arith.* **123**:3 (2006), 289–295.

[12] T. Terasoma, Mixed Tate motives and multiple zeta values, *Invent. Math.* **149**:2 (2002), 339–369.
[13] E. A. Ulanskii, Identities for generalized polylogarithms, *Mat. Zametki* **73**:4 (2003), 613–624; English transl., *Math. Notes* **73**:3-4 (2003), 571–581.
[14] D. Zagier, Values of zeta functions and their applications, *First European Congress of Mathematics*, vol. II (Paris, 1992), Progr. Math. **120**, Birkhäuser, Basel (1994), 497–512.
[15] D. Zagier, Multiple zeta values, Unpublished manuscript, Bonn (1995).
[16] S. A. Zlobin, On some integral identities, *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk* **57**:3 (2002), 153–154; English transl., *Russian Math. Surveys* **57**:3 (2002), 617–618.
[17] S. A. Zlobin, Expansion of multiple integrals in linear forms, *Mat. Zametki* **77**:5 (2005), 683–706; English transl., *Math. Notes* **77**:5-6 (2005), 630–652.
[18] S. A. Zlobin, Generating functions for the values of a multiple zeta function, *Vestnik Moskov. Univ. Ser. I Mat. Mekh.* no. 2 (2005), 55–59; English transl., *Moscow Univ. Math. Bull.* **60**:2 (2005), 44–48.
[19] W. Zudilin, Algebraic relations for multiple zeta values, *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk* **58**:1 (2003), 3–32; English transl., *Russian Math. Surveys* **58**:1 (2003), 1–29.

Department of Mathematics, Kinki University, Higashi-Osaka, Osaka 577-8502, Japan
E-mail address: ohno@math.kindai.ac.jp

Department of Mechanics and Mathematics, Moscow Lomonosov State University, Vorobiovy Gory, GSP-1, 119991 Moscow, RUSSIA

Steklov Mathematical Institute, Russian Academy of Sciences, Gubkina str. 8, 119991 Moscow, RUSSIA
E-mail address: wadim@mi.ras.ru