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#### Abstract

In some Sturm-Liouville problems the estimates of the first eigenvalues are obtained. In many cases the sharp values are found and the existence of the optimal solution is proved. For the classical Lagrange problem the extremal values of the Lagrange functional are indicated. The functions realizing these extremal values are found. It is proved that these values are extremal globally.


## 1. On some estimates of the first eigen-value of a SturmLiouville problem

Let us consider the dependence of the first eigen-value $\lambda_{1}$ of the SturmLiouville problem

$$
y^{\prime \prime}(x)+\lambda q(x) y(x)=0
$$

on the segment $0 \leq x \leq 1$, with the boundary conditions

$$
y(0)=0, y(1)=0
$$

on the potential $q$. Denote $R_{\beta}$ the set of real-valued measurable on $(0,1)$ functions $q$ with positive values such that

$$
\int_{0}^{1} q(x)^{\beta} d x=1
$$

where $\beta$ is a real number, $\beta \neq 0$. The variational principle implies that the first eigen-value $\lambda_{0}$ can be found as

$$
\lambda_{1}=\inf _{y \in C_{0}^{\infty}(0,1)} \frac{\int_{0}^{1} y^{\prime}(x)^{2} d x}{\int_{0}^{1} q(x) y(x)^{2} d x}
$$

We will estimate the values

$$
m_{\beta}=\inf _{q \in R_{\beta}} \lambda_{1}, M_{\beta}=\sup _{q \in R_{\beta}} \lambda_{1} .
$$

Put

$$
L[q, y]=\frac{\int_{0}^{1} y^{\prime}(x)^{2} d x}{\int_{0}^{1} q(x) y(x)^{2} d x}, G[y]=\frac{\int_{0}^{1} y^{\prime}(x)^{2} d x}{\left(\int_{0}^{1} y(x)^{p} d x\right)^{2 / p}}
$$

where

$$
p=\frac{2 \beta}{\beta-1} .
$$

The main result of this section is the following

Theorem 1. If $\beta>1$, then

$$
m_{\beta}=\frac{(\beta-1)^{1+1 / \beta}}{\beta(2 \beta-1)^{1 / \beta}} B^{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2 \beta}\right)
$$

and $M_{\beta}=\infty$, where $B$ is the Euler's Beta-function:

$$
B(a, b)=\int_{0}^{1} x^{a-1}(1-x)^{b-1} d x
$$

There exist functions $u(x)$ and $q(x)$ such that

$$
\inf _{y} L[q, y]=L[q, u]=m_{\mathcal{B}} .
$$

If $\beta=1$, then $M_{1}=\infty$ and $m_{1}=4$.
If $0<\beta<1 / 2$, then

$$
M_{\beta}=\frac{(1-\beta)^{1+1 / \beta}}{\beta(1-2 \beta)^{1 / \beta}} B^{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2 \beta}\right)
$$

and $m_{\beta}=0$. There exist functions $u(x)$ and $q(x)$ such that $\inf _{y} L[q, y]=$ $L[q, u]=M_{\beta}$.

If $\beta<0$, then

$$
M_{\beta}=-\frac{(1-\beta)^{1+1 / \beta}}{\beta(1-2 \beta)^{1 / \beta}} B^{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2 \beta}\right),
$$

and $m_{\beta}=0$. There exist functions $u(x)$ and $q(x)$ such that $\inf _{y} L[q, y]=$ $\left.L[q, u]=M_{\beta}\right)$.

If $1 / 2 \leq \beta<1$, then $M_{\beta}=\infty$ and $m_{\beta}=0$.

Proof. 1. If $\beta>1$, then we have by the Hölder inequality

$$
\int_{0}^{1} q y(x)^{2} d x \leq\left(\int_{0}^{1} q(x)^{\beta} d x\right)^{1 / \beta}\left(\int_{0}^{1}|y(x)|^{p} d x\right)^{2 / p}=\left(\int_{0}^{1}|y(x)|^{p} d x\right)^{2 / p}
$$

where $p=2 \beta /(\beta-1)$, for any $y \in H_{0}^{1}(0,1)$. Therefore,

$$
\lambda_{1} \geq m
$$

where $m=\operatorname{in} f_{y} G[y]$ in the class $H_{0}^{1}(0,1)$. Remark that the homogeneity allows to assume that

$$
\int_{0}^{1}|y(x)|^{p} d x=1
$$

Let $\left\{y_{k}\right\}$ be a sequence of functions of this class, such that

$$
\int_{0}^{1} y_{k}^{\prime}(x)^{2} d x \rightarrow m
$$

This sequence is bounded in $H_{0}^{1}(0,1)$, therefore it is weakly compact in this space and compact in $C[0,1]$. We will assume that this sequence is converging uniformly and weakly in $H_{0}^{1}(0,1)$ to a function $u$. Then

$$
\int_{0}^{1}|u(x)|^{p} d x=1, \int_{0}^{1} u^{\prime}(x)^{2} d x \leq \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{1} y_{k}^{\prime}(x)^{2} d x
$$

and therefore $\int_{0}^{1} u^{\prime}(x)^{2} d x=m$. Since $G[y]$ has the minimal value at $y=u$, we have

$$
\frac{d}{d t} G[u+t z]=0 \text { at } t=0
$$

for an arbitrary function $z$ of the class $H_{0}^{1}(0,1)$. It means that

$$
\int_{0}^{1} u^{\prime}(x) z^{\prime}(x) d x-m \int_{0}^{1}|u(x)|^{p-2} u(x) z(x) d x=0
$$

for all $z \in H_{0}^{1}(0,1)$. This equality yields that the function $u^{\prime}$ has a generalized derivative, equal to $-m|u|^{p-2} u$, i.e.

$$
u^{\prime \prime}+m|u|^{p-2} u=0
$$

almost everywhere in $(0,1)$. Since $u$ is a continuous function, we have $u^{\prime \prime} \in$ $C[0,1]$, so the equation is true in the classical sense.

Since $G[|y|]=G[y]$ for all $y$, we can assume that $y_{k}(x) \geq 0$ and thus $u(x) \geq 0$. Then by the unicity theorem for the Cauchy problem $u(x)>0$ in $(0,1)$. Multiplying the both sides of the equation

$$
u^{\prime \prime}(x)+m u(x)^{p-1}=0
$$

by $2 u^{\prime}$ and integrating over $(0, x)$, we obtain that

$$
u^{\prime}(x)^{2}+\frac{2 m}{p} u(x)^{p}=C .
$$

Integrating over $(0,1)$ the both sides of this equality and taking into account that

$$
\int_{0}^{1} u^{\prime}(x)^{2} d x=m, \int_{0}^{1} u(x)^{p} d x=1
$$

we obtain that $m(1+2 / p)=C$.
Let $b$ be a point, at which the function $u$ has the maximal value $M$. Since $u^{\prime \prime}=-m u^{p-1}<0$, such a point exists and is unique. If $b \neq 1 / 2$, then we can assume that $b<1 / 2$, since $u(x)$ can be replaced by $u(1-x)$. The function $u_{1}(x)=u(2 b-x)$ satisfies the same equation on $(b, 2 b)$ as $u$ and $u(b)=u_{1}(b)=M, u^{\prime}(b)=u_{1}^{\prime}(b)=0$. Therefore, these functions coincide and $u(2 b)=u(0)=0$, i.e. $b=1 / 2$. Since

$$
u^{\prime}(x)=\sqrt{C-\frac{2 m}{p} u(x)^{p}}
$$

for $0 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{2}$, we have

$$
\int_{0}^{M} \frac{d y}{\sqrt{C-2 m y^{p} / p}}=\frac{1}{2}
$$

Since $u^{\prime}(1 / 2)=0$, we have

$$
M=u(1 / 2)=\left(\frac{p C}{2 m}\right)^{1 / p}=(1+p / 2)^{1 / p}
$$

Changing the variable of integration $y=M t$, we obtain the equality

$$
M^{1-p / 2} \sqrt{\frac{p}{2 m}} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{d t}{\sqrt{1-t^{p}}}=\frac{1}{2}
$$

Remark that

$$
\int_{0}^{1} \frac{d t}{\sqrt{1-t^{p}}}=\frac{1}{p} B\left(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{2}\right)
$$

so that

$$
M^{p / 2-1}=\sqrt{\frac{2}{p m}} B\left(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{2}\right)
$$

The obtained relations allows to find

$$
m=C(\beta)=\frac{(\beta-1)^{1+1 / \beta}}{\beta(2 \beta-1)^{1 / \beta}} B^{2}(1 / 2,1 / 2-1 / 2 \beta)
$$

2. Let now $\beta=1$. Since

$$
\int_{0}^{1} q(x) y(x)^{2} d x \leq \max y(x)^{2}
$$

we have

$$
\lambda_{1} \geq m=\inf _{y \in H_{0}^{1}(0,1)} \frac{\int_{0}^{1} y^{\prime}(x)^{2} d x}{\max y(x)^{2}}
$$

The value of $m$ can be found according to the following Lemma.
Lemma A10 of S. 7 implies that $\lambda_{1} \geq 4$ if $\beta=1$.
3. Let $\beta<0$. Put

$$
q(x)= \begin{cases}(1-\varepsilon)^{1 / \beta} \varepsilon^{-1 / \beta}, & \text { if } 0<x<\varepsilon \\ (1-\varepsilon)^{-1 / \beta} \varepsilon^{1 / \beta}, & \text { if } \varepsilon<x<1\end{cases}
$$

where $\varepsilon>0$ is a small number. Let $y_{0}(x)=1 / 2-|x-1 / 2|$. Then

$$
\lambda_{1} \leq \frac{1}{\int_{0}^{1} q(x) y_{0}(x)^{2} d x} \leq C \varepsilon^{-1 / \beta}
$$

Therefore $\lambda_{1}$ can be arbitrary small.
4. Let $0<\beta<1$. Put

$$
q(x)= \begin{cases}(2 \varepsilon)^{-1 / \beta}, & \text { if }|x-1 / 2|<\varepsilon \\ 0, & \text { if }|x-1 / 2|<\varepsilon\end{cases}
$$

where $\varepsilon>0$ is a small number. Let $y_{0}$ be a smooth function, vanishing in the points $x=0$ and $x=1$, which is equal to 1 in $(1 / 3,2 / 3)$. Then

$$
\lambda_{1} \leq \frac{C}{\int_{1 / 2-\varepsilon}^{1 / 2+\varepsilon}(2 \varepsilon)^{-1 / \beta} d x}=C_{1} \varepsilon^{1 / \beta-1} \rightarrow 0
$$

as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Therefore $\lambda_{1}$ can be arbitrary small.
5. Let $\beta>1 / 2$. Put

$$
q(x)= \begin{cases}\varepsilon^{-1 / \beta}, & \text { if } 0<x<\varepsilon \\ 0, & \text { if } \varepsilon<x<1\end{cases}
$$

where $\varepsilon>0$ is a small number. Then

$$
\int_{0}^{1} q(x) y(x)^{2} d x=\varepsilon^{-1 / \beta} \int_{0}^{s} y(x)^{2} d x \leq \varepsilon^{2-1 / \beta} \int_{0}^{\delta} y^{\prime}(x)^{2} d x
$$

and thence

$$
\lambda_{1} \geq \varepsilon^{1 / \beta-2}
$$

Therefore in this case $M_{\beta}=\infty$.
6. If $\beta=1 / 2$, we can put

$$
q(x)=C x^{\varepsilon-2}
$$

where $C=\varepsilon^{2} / 4$, so that $\int_{0}^{1} q(x)^{1 / 2} d x=1$. Then

$$
\int_{0}^{1} q(x) y(x)^{2} d x=\frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{4} \int_{0}^{1} x^{\varepsilon-2} y(x)^{2} d x \leq C_{1} \varepsilon^{2} \int_{0}^{1} y^{\prime}(x)^{2} d x
$$

Therefore $\lambda_{1} \geq C_{1}^{-1} \varepsilon^{-2}$ and $M_{1 / 2}=\infty$.
7. Let $0<\beta<1 / 2$. Then by the Hölder inequality

$$
\int_{0}^{1} q(x)^{\beta} d x \leq\left(\int_{0}^{1} q(x) y(x)^{2} d x\right)^{\beta}\left(\int_{0}^{1} y(x)^{p} d x\right)^{1-\beta}
$$

where $p=2 \beta /(\beta-1)$ so that $0>p>-2$. Therefore,

$$
L[q, y] \leq G[y]
$$

Put $y_{0}(x)=x^{\gamma}$ for $0<x<1 / 2$ and $y_{0}(x)=(1-x)^{\gamma}$ for $1 / 2<x<1$, where $-1 / p>\gamma>1 / 2$ so that $y_{0} \in H_{0}^{1}(0,1)$. Then the integral $\int_{0}^{1} y_{0}(x)^{p} d x$ is converging and thus

$$
\lambda_{1} \leq C_{1}
$$

Let $m=\inf _{y \in H_{0}^{1}(0,1)} G[y]$. Consider a minimizing sequence $\left\{y_{k}\right\}$ such that

$$
\int_{0}^{1} y_{k}(x)^{p} d x=1, \int_{0}^{1} y_{k}^{\prime}(x)^{2} d x \rightarrow m
$$

There exists a subsequence $\left\{y_{n_{k}}\right\}$ uniformly converging to a function $u \in$ $H_{0}^{1}(0,1)$. By the Fubini theorem, we have $\int_{0}^{1} u(x)^{p} d x \leq 1$ and $\int_{0}^{1} u^{\prime}(x)^{2} d x \leq m$. Therefore, $G[u] \leq m$ and since $m$ is the minimal possible value of $G$, we have $. G[u]=m$. Since $G[|y|]=G[y]$ for all $y$, we can assume that $y_{k}(x) \geq 0$ and thus $u(x) \geq 0$. The function $u$ satisfies the same equation as in the S.1, i.e. the equation

$$
u^{\prime \prime}+m|u|^{p-2} u=0
$$

almost everywhere in $(0,1)$. Since $u$ is a continuous function, we see that the equation is true in the classical sense in each interval where $u \neq 0$. If $u\left(x_{0}\right)=$
$0, u\left(x_{1}\right)=0, u(x)>0$ for $x_{0}<x<x_{1}$ and $0 \leq x_{0}<x_{1} \leq 1, x_{1}-x_{0}=\kappa<1$, then we can consider the function $v(x)=u\left(x_{0}+\kappa x\right)$ and since

$$
v^{\prime \prime}(x)+m \kappa^{2} v=0, v(0)=0, v(1)=0
$$

we see that $G[v]=m \kappa^{2}<m$, what is impossible. So $u(x)>0$ in $(0,1)$. Moreover then the equation

$$
u^{\prime}(x)^{2}+\frac{2 m}{p} u(x)^{p}=C
$$

holds for $0<x<1$ with $C=m(1+2 / p)<0$.
Let $b$ be a point, at which the function $u$ has the maximal value $M$. Since $u^{\prime \prime}=-m u^{p-1}<0$, such a point exists and is unique. The function $u_{1}(x)=u(2 b-x)$ satisfies the same equation on $(b, 2 b)$ as $u$ and $u(b)=$ $u_{1}(b)=M, u^{\prime}(b)=u_{1}^{\prime}(b)=0$. Therefore, by the unicity theorem for the Cauchy problem these functions coincide and $u(2 b)=u(0)=0$, i.e. $b=1 / 2$. We have

$$
\int_{0}^{M} \frac{d y}{\sqrt{C-2 m y^{p} / p}}=\frac{1}{2}
$$

Since $u^{\prime}(1 / 2)=0$, we have

$$
M=u(1 / 2)=\left(\frac{p C}{2 m}\right)^{1 / p}=(1+p / 2)^{1 / p}
$$

Changing the variable of integration $y=M t$, we obtain the equality

$$
M^{1-p / 2} \sqrt{\frac{-p}{2 m}} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{d t}{\sqrt{t^{p}-1}}=\frac{1}{2}
$$

Remark that

$$
\int_{0}^{1} \frac{d t}{\sqrt{t^{p}-1}}=-\frac{1}{p} B\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}\right)
$$

so that

$$
m=C(\beta)=\frac{(1-\beta)^{1+i / \beta}}{\beta(1-2 \beta)^{1 / \beta}} B^{2}(1 / 2,1 / 2 \beta)
$$

Since

$$
\inf _{y} L[q, y] \leq \inf _{y} G[y]
$$

and $L\left[u^{p-2}, u\right]=C(\beta)$, we see that $M_{\beta}=C(\beta)$.
8. If $\beta<0$, then by the Hölder inequality

$$
\int_{0}^{1} y(x)^{2 \beta /(\beta-1)} d x \leq\left(\int_{0}^{1} q(x) y(x)^{2} d x\right)^{\beta /(\beta-1)}\left(\int_{0}^{1} q(x)^{\beta} d x\right)^{1 /(1-\beta)} .
$$

Therefore,

$$
L[q, y] \leq G[y] .
$$

Put $y_{0}(x)=|x-1 / 2|-1 / 2$. Then

$$
\lambda_{1} \leq \frac{1}{\left(\int_{0}^{1}(|x-1 / 2|-1 / 2)^{2 \beta /(\beta-1)} d x\right)^{(\beta-1) / \beta}}
$$

and so $M_{\beta}<\infty$. Consider a minimizing sequence $\left\{y_{k}\right\}$ such that

$$
\int_{0}^{1} y_{k}(x)^{p} d x=1, \int_{0}^{1} y_{k}^{\prime}(x)^{2} d x \rightarrow m
$$

There exists a subsequence $\left\{y_{n_{k}}\right\}$ uniformly converging to a function $z \in$ $H_{0}^{1}(0,1)$. Since $p>0$, the sequence $\left\{y_{n_{k}}^{p}\right\}$ converges uniformly to $u^{p}$. Therefore, $\int_{0}^{1} u(x)^{p} d x \leq m^{-p / 2}$, and $\int_{0}^{1} u^{\prime}(x)^{2} d x \leq 1$. Therefore, $G[u] \leq m$ and since $m$ is the minimal possible value of $G$, we have $G[u]=m$. The function $u$ satisfies the same equation as in the S.1, and $C(a)$ is defined by the same formula as for $\beta>1$. Since

$$
\inf _{y} L[q, y] \leq \inf _{y} G[y]=C(\beta),
$$

we see that $M_{\beta}=C(\beta)$.

## 2. On other estimates of the first eigen-value

Let us consider the dependence of the first eigen-value $\lambda_{1}$ of the SturmLiouville problem

$$
\left(p(x) y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+\lambda y(x)=0
$$

on the segment $0 \leq x \leq 1$, with the boundary conditions

$$
y(0)=0, y(1)=0
$$

on the function $p$. Let us denote $R_{\alpha}$ the set of real-valued positive measurable functions $p$ on $[0,1]$ such that

$$
\int_{0}^{1} p(x)^{\alpha} d x=1
$$

where $\alpha$ is a real number, $\alpha \neq 0$. Put

$$
L[p, y]=\frac{\int_{0}^{1} p(x) y^{\prime}(x)^{2} d x}{\int_{0}^{1} y(x)^{2} d x}, G[y]=\frac{\left(\int_{0}^{1} y^{\prime}(x)^{r} d x\right)^{2 / r}}{\int_{0}^{1} y(x)^{2} d x}, r=\frac{2 \alpha}{\alpha-1} .
$$

Let $K_{p}(a, b)$ for real $p \neq 0$ be the set of non-decreasing real functions $y$ defined on $[a, b]$, absolutely continuous on $[a, b-\varepsilon]$ for any $\varepsilon>0$ and such that $y(0) \geq 0$,

$$
\int_{a}^{b} y^{\prime}(x)^{p} d x<\infty, \int_{a}^{b} y(x)^{2} d x<\infty
$$

Let $K_{p}(a, b, c)$ be the set of real functions $y$ defined on $[a, c]$ and such that $y \in K_{p}(a, b), y(-x) \in K_{p}(-c,-b), \int_{a}^{b}\left|y^{\prime}(x)\right|^{p} d x<\infty$ and $\int_{b}^{c}\left|y^{\prime}(x)\right|^{p} d x<\infty$ The main result of this section is the following

Theorem 2. Let

$$
\begin{gathered}
M_{\alpha}=\sup _{p \in R_{\alpha}} \lambda_{1}, m_{\alpha}=\inf _{p \in R_{\alpha}} \lambda_{1}, \\
C(r)=\frac{3 r-2}{r}\left(\frac{2 r-2}{3 r-2}\right)^{2 / r} B^{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1-\frac{1}{r}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

If $\alpha>-1 / 2, \alpha \neq 0$, then $M_{\alpha}=C(r)$ and $m_{\alpha}=0$. There exist functions $p \in R_{\alpha}, z \in H_{0}^{1}(0,1)$ such that $z^{\prime}(x)^{2}=p(x)^{\alpha-1}$ and

$$
\operatorname{in} f_{y} L[p, y]=L[p, z]=C(r)
$$

If $\alpha \leq-1$, then $m_{\alpha}=C(r)$ and $M_{\alpha}=\infty$. There exist functions $p \in R_{\alpha}$, $z \in H_{0}^{1}(0,1)$ such that $z^{\prime}(x)^{2}=p(x)^{\alpha-1}$ and

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\inf f_{y} L[p, y]=L[p, z]=C(r) . \\
\text { If }-1<\alpha \leq-1 / 2, \text { then } M_{\alpha}=\infty \text { and } m_{\alpha}=0 .
\end{array}
$$

The proof of Theorem 2 is based on the variational principle, according to which $\lambda_{1}=\inf _{y \in H_{0}^{1}(0,1)} L[p, y]$.
Proof. 1. If $\alpha>0$, then we can take a function $y$ vanishing in $[0,1 / 2]$ and such that $\int_{0}^{1} y^{2} d x=1$. Since the function $p$ can have arbitrarily small values in $[1 / 2,1]$, the value of $\lambda_{1}$ cannot be bounded from below by a positive constant.
2. Let $0>\alpha>-1$. Let us show that in this case also $\lambda_{1}$ cannot be bounded from below by a positive constant.

Put for that

$$
\begin{gathered}
y(x)= \begin{cases}x / \varepsilon, & \text { if } 0<x<\varepsilon, \\
1, & \text { if } \varepsilon<x<1-\varepsilon, \\
(1-x) / \varepsilon, & \text { if } 1-\varepsilon<x<1,\end{cases} \\
p(x)= \begin{cases}\delta, & \text { if } 0<x<\varepsilon \text { or } 1-\varepsilon<x<1, \\
\varepsilon^{-1}, & \text { if } \varepsilon<x<1-\varepsilon,\end{cases}
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\delta$ is a number such that

$$
\int_{0}^{1} p(x)^{\alpha} d x=2 \varepsilon \delta^{\alpha}+(1-2 \varepsilon) \varepsilon^{-\alpha}=1
$$

i.e. $\delta \leq C_{1} \varepsilon^{-1 / \alpha}$. It is evident that

$$
\int_{0}^{1} y(x)^{2} d x=1-2 \varepsilon+2 \varepsilon / 3=1-4 \varepsilon / 3
$$

On the other hand,

$$
\int_{0}^{1} p(x) y^{\prime}(x)^{2} d x=2 \delta / \varepsilon \leq C_{2} \varepsilon^{-1-1 / \alpha}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\lambda_{1} \leq \frac{\int_{0}^{1} p(x) y^{\prime}(x)^{2} d x}{\int_{0}^{1} y(x)^{2} d x} \leq C \varepsilon^{-1-1 / \alpha}
$$

and since $-1-1 / \alpha>0$, the value of $\lambda_{1}$ can be arbitrarily small.
3. Let $\alpha \leq-1$. Then $1 \leq r \leq 2$ and by the Hölder inequality

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{1} y^{\prime}(x)^{r} d x=\int_{0}^{1} p(x)^{r / 2} y^{\prime}(x)^{r} \cdot p(x)^{-r / 2} d x \\
& \leq\left(\int_{0}^{1} p(x) y^{\prime}(x)^{2} d x\right)^{r / 2}\left(\int_{0}^{1} p(x)^{\alpha} d x\right)^{1 /(1-\alpha)}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $r=2 \alpha /(\alpha-1)$. Therefore, for any admissible $p$ we have $L[p, y] \geq G[y]$.
Let $m=\inf _{y} G[y]$. Since $y(x)=\int_{0}^{x} y^{\prime}(t) d t$, we have

$$
\int_{0}^{1} y(x)^{2} d x \leq \int_{0}^{1}\left(\int_{0}^{x}\left|y^{\prime}(t)\right| d t\right)^{2} d x \leq\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left|y^{\prime}(t)\right|^{r} d t\right)^{2 / r}
$$

and thus $m \geq 1$.
Consider a minimizing sequence $\left\{y_{k}\right\}$ such that

$$
\int_{0}^{1}\left|y_{k}^{\prime}(x)\right|^{r} d x=1, \int_{0}^{1}\left|y_{k}(x)\right|^{2} d x \rightarrow 1 / m
$$

There exists a subsequence $\left\{y_{n_{k}}\right\}$ converging uniformly to a function $z \in$ $H_{0}^{1}(0,1)$ such that $\int_{0}^{1}\left|z^{\prime}(x)\right|^{r} d x=1, \int_{0}^{1}|z(x)|^{2} d x=1 / m$. The function $z$ satisfies the Euler-Lagrange equation

$$
\left(\left|z^{\prime}(x)\right|^{r-2} z^{\prime}(x)\right)^{\prime}+m z(x)=0 .
$$

Multiplying it by $z^{\prime}$ and integrating we obtain

$$
\frac{r-1}{r}\left|z^{\prime}(x)\right|^{r}+\frac{m}{2} z^{2}=C .
$$

Integrating the last equality over $(0,1)$ we see that $C=3 / 2-1 / r>0$. The function $z$ is even with respect to $x=1 / 2$, increasing in $(0,1 / 2)$ from 0 to $M$ and decreasing in $(1 / 2,1)$. Since $z^{\prime}(1 / 2)=0$, we have

$$
m M^{2}=2 C=3-2 / r
$$

We have

$$
\int_{0}^{M} \frac{d z}{\left(C-m z^{2} / 2\right)^{1 / r}}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{r}{r-1}\right)^{1 / \tau} .
$$

Substituting $z=M y$, we see that

$$
\int_{0}^{1} \frac{d y}{\left(1-y^{2}\right)^{1 / \tau}}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{r}{r-1}\right)^{1 / r} \frac{C^{1 / \tau}}{M} .
$$

Remark that

$$
\int_{0}^{1} \frac{d y}{\left(1-y^{2}\right)^{1 / r}}=\frac{1}{2} B\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1-\frac{1}{r}\right)
$$

Therefore,

$$
M^{-1}=\left(\frac{r-1}{r}\right)^{1 / r} C^{-1 / r} B\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1-\frac{1}{r}\right)
$$

and $m=2 C M^{-2}=C(r)$. Since $L[p, y] \geq C(r)$ and $L\left[z^{r 2 /(\alpha-1)}, z\right]=C(r)$, it follows that $m_{\alpha}=C(r)$.
4. If $\alpha>1$, then by the Hölder inequality

$$
\int_{0}^{1} p(x) y^{\prime}(x)^{2} d x \leq\left(\int_{0}^{1} p(x)^{\alpha} d x\right)^{1 / \alpha}\left(\int_{0}^{1} y^{\prime}(x)^{r} d x\right)^{2 / r}
$$

where $r=2 \alpha /(\alpha-1)>2$. Put $y_{0}(x)=1 / 2-|x-1 / 2|$. Then

$$
\lambda_{1} \leq \frac{\left(\int_{0}^{1} y_{0}^{\prime}(x)^{r}\right)^{2 / r}}{\int_{0}^{1} y_{0}(x)^{2} d x}=C .
$$

We can repeat the same arguments as above in S .3 to find the optimal functions $p$ and $z$. Moreover then $M=C(r)$ and if $\alpha=1$, then

$$
\lambda_{1} \leq \frac{\max y_{0}^{\prime}(x)^{2}}{\int_{0}^{1} y_{0}(x)^{2} d x}=12=\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} C(r)
$$

5. Let $0<\alpha<1$ and $p$ be a function of the class $R_{\alpha}$. Put

$$
y_{0}^{\prime}(x)^{2}=p(x)^{\alpha-1}
$$

and construct the function $y_{0}$ in such a way that it vanishes in the end points, increases monotonically on ( $0, b$ ) and decreases monotonically on $(b, 1)$. Let $M=\max y_{0}(x)^{2}$. It is evident that

$$
\int_{0}^{1} y_{0}^{\prime}(x)^{r} d x=\int_{0}^{1} p(x)^{a} d x=1
$$

Let $b \geq 1 / 2$. The measure of points $x \in(0, b)$ such that $y_{0}^{\prime}(x)^{r} \geq 4$, is less than $1 / 4$. Therefore the supplementary set $E$ on $(0, b)$ has the measure $\geq 1 / 4$ and at the points $x$ of this set we have

$$
y_{0}^{\prime}(x) \geq 4^{1 / r}
$$

because $r<0$. Put now $z(0)=0, z^{\prime}(x)=4^{1 / r}$ at the points of $E$ and $z^{\prime}(x)=0$ at other points of $(0, b)$. Then

$$
\int_{0}^{1} y_{0}(x)^{2} d x \geq \int_{0}^{r} z(x)^{2} d x \geq \int_{0}^{1 / 4} 4^{2 / r} x^{2} d x=c_{0}
$$

and therefore,

$$
M_{a} \leq \frac{\int_{0}^{1} p(x) y_{0}^{\prime}(x)^{2} d x}{\int_{0}^{1} y_{0}(x)^{2} d x} \leq \frac{1}{c_{0}}
$$

To prove the existence of the optimal functions $p$ and $z$ we need the result of Lemma A14.

Let $p$ be an arbitrary positive function of the class $R_{a}$. Then there exists a function $y_{0}(x)$ such that $p(x)=\left|y_{0}^{\prime}\right|^{2 /(\alpha-1)}$ even with respect to $x=1 / 2$, increasing in ( $0,1 / 2$ ) and decreasing in ( $1 / 2,1$ ). Furthermore, $L\left[p, y_{0}\right]=G\left[y_{0}\right]$ and therefore, $M_{\alpha} \leq m$. On the other hand, we have the equality $L\left[p_{0}, y_{0}\right]=$ $G\left[y_{0}\right]$, if $p_{0}(x)=\left|y_{0}(x)\right|^{2 /(\alpha-1)}$. By Lemma A14 we have

$$
m=\inf _{y \in H_{0}^{2}} \frac{\int_{0}^{1} p_{0}(x) y^{\prime}(x)^{2} d x}{\int_{0}^{1} y(x)^{2} d x}=L\left[p_{0}, y_{0}\right]
$$

and the proof is complete.
6. Let now $0>\alpha>-1 / 2$. We will use the same function $y_{0}$ as above, in the beginning of s.5. Let $r=2 \alpha /(\alpha-1)$. Then $0<r<2 / 3$. By the Hölder inequality

$$
\int_{0}^{1} y_{0}^{\prime}(x)^{r} d x \leq\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left|y_{0}^{\prime}(x)\right| d x\right)^{r}\left(\int_{0}^{1} d x\right)^{1-r} \leq\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left|y_{0}^{\prime}(x)\right| d x\right)^{r} .
$$

Since

$$
\int_{0}^{1} y_{0}^{\prime}(x)^{r} d x=1
$$

it follows that

$$
\int_{0}^{1}\left|y_{0}^{\prime}(x)\right| d x \geq 1
$$

and therefore

$$
M=\left(\max y_{0}(x)\right)^{2}=y_{0}(b)^{2} \geq 1 / 4
$$

We can assume that

$$
\int_{0}^{r} y_{0}^{\prime}(x)^{r} d x \geq 1 / 2
$$

Let $x_{1}$ be such a point of $(0, b)$ that $y_{0}\left(x_{1}\right)=1 / 4^{r}$. Then as above we have

$$
\int_{0}^{x_{1}}\left|y_{0}^{\prime}(x)\right|^{r} d x \leq\left(\int_{0}^{x_{1}}\left|y_{0}^{\prime}(x)\right| d x\right)^{r}=1 / 4
$$

Therefore,

$$
\int_{x_{1}}^{b}\left|y_{0}^{\prime}(x)\right|^{r} d x \geq 1 / 4
$$

On the other hand, by the Hölder inequality

$$
1 / 4 \leq \int_{x_{1}}^{b}\left|y_{0}^{\prime}(x)\right|^{r} d x=\int_{x_{1}}^{b}\left|y_{0}^{\prime}(x)\right|^{r} y_{0}(x)^{2 r-2} y_{0}(x)^{2-2 r} d x
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\leq\left(\int_{x_{1}}^{b} y_{0}^{\prime}(x) y_{0}(x)^{2-1 / r} d x\right)^{r}\left(\int_{x_{1}}^{r} y_{0}(x)^{2} d x\right)^{1-r} \\
=\left[\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^{3-2 / r}-m^{3-2 / r}\right] /(3-2 / r)\left(\int_{x_{1}}^{b} y_{0}(x)^{2} d x\right)^{1-r} \\
\leq C_{1}(a)\left(\int_{0}^{1} y_{0}(x)^{2} d x\right)^{1-r}
\end{gathered}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\int_{0}^{1} y_{0}(x)^{2} d x \geq\left(4 C_{1}(\alpha)\right)^{1 /(r-1)}
$$

and

$$
\lambda_{1} \leq\left(4 C_{1}(\alpha)\right)^{1 /(1-r)}
$$

As above to prove the attainment of the optimal value we need some Lemmas.
7. Let $\alpha<-1 / 2$. Let

$$
p(x)= \begin{cases}k^{1 / \alpha}, & \text { if } 0<x<\varepsilon \\ k, & \text { if } \varepsilon<x<1\end{cases}
$$

where $k$ is such a number that

$$
k \varepsilon+k^{\alpha}(1-\varepsilon)=1
$$

so that

$$
\int_{0}^{1} p(x)^{\alpha} d x=1
$$

for any $\varepsilon>0$ we have

$$
\int_{0}^{\varepsilon} y(x)^{2} d x \leq \varepsilon^{2} \int_{0}^{1} y^{\prime}(x)^{2} d x
$$

On the other hand,

$$
\int_{\varepsilon}^{1} y(x)^{2} d x \leq(1-\varepsilon)^{2} \int_{\varepsilon}^{1} y^{\prime}(x)^{2} d x
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{0}^{1} y(x)^{2} d x \leq \varepsilon^{2} \int_{0}^{1} y^{\prime}(x)^{2} d x \\
+(1-\varepsilon)^{2} \int_{\varepsilon}^{1} y^{\prime}(x)^{2} d x \leq \delta \int_{0}^{1} p(x) y^{\prime}(x)^{2} d x
\end{gathered}
$$

where

$$
\delta=\max \left(\varepsilon^{2} k^{-1 / a},(1-\varepsilon)^{2} k^{-1}\right) \leq \max \left(\varepsilon^{2+1 / a},(1-\varepsilon)^{2} \varepsilon\right)
$$

so that $\delta \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Hence .

$$
\lambda_{1} \geq \frac{1}{\delta} \rightarrow \infty
$$

as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.
8. Consider at last the case when $\alpha=-1 / 2$. Put

$$
p(x)=\max \left(x^{2} / \varepsilon^{2}, \delta^{2} / \varepsilon^{2}\right)
$$

where $\delta=\exp (1-1 / \varepsilon)$. Remark that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{0}^{1} p(x)^{-1 / 2} d x=\delta \cdot \varepsilon / \delta+\int_{0}^{1} \varepsilon / x d x \\
=\varepsilon-\varepsilon \ln \delta=1
\end{gathered}
$$

On the other hand, from the well-known estimate

$$
\int_{0}^{1} y(x)^{2} d x \leq 4 \int_{0}^{1} x^{2} y^{\prime}(x)^{2} d x
$$

valid for all functions $y \in C^{1}$, vanishing in 0 , it follows that

$$
\int_{0}^{1} y(x)^{2} d x \leq 4 \delta^{2} \int_{0}^{\delta} y^{\prime}(x)^{2} d x+4 \int_{\delta}^{1} y(x)^{2} d x \leq 4 \varepsilon^{2} \int_{0}^{1} p(x) y^{\prime}(x)^{2} d x
$$

It means that for the choosen function $p$

$$
\lambda_{1}=\inf \frac{\int_{0}^{1} p(x) y^{\prime}(x)^{2} d x}{\int_{0}^{1} y(x)^{2} d x} \geq \frac{1}{4 \varepsilon^{2}},
$$

so that the estimate from above is impossible.
Corollary 3. If $\alpha>-1 / 2, \alpha \neq 0$, then

$$
\lambda_{1} \leq C(\alpha)\left(\int_{0}^{1} p(x)^{\alpha} d x\right)^{1 / \alpha}
$$

if $\alpha \leq-1$, then

$$
\lambda_{1} \geq C(\alpha)\left(\int_{0}^{1} p(x)^{\alpha} d x\right)^{1 / \alpha}
$$

where $C(\alpha)$ is a positive number depending on $\alpha$ only.

## 3. On a more general estimate of the first eigen-value of the Sturm-Liouville operator

In this section the Sturm-Lioville problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(P(x) y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+\lambda Q(x) y=0, \quad 0<x<1,  \tag{1}\\
y(0)=y(1)=0 . \tag{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

is considered. Our aim is to estimate the minimal eigen-value $\lambda_{1}$ of this problem under the condition that the non-negative measurable functions $P(x)$ and $Q(x)$ are such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1} P(x)^{a} d x=1, \int_{0}^{1} Q(x)^{\beta} d x=1 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are non-zero real numbers. The variational principle implies that

$$
\lambda_{1}=\inf _{y} \frac{\int_{0}^{1} P(x) y^{\prime}(x)^{2} d x}{\int_{0}^{1} Q(x) y(x)^{2} d x},
$$

where the greatest lower bound is taken in the class of all non-zero functions from $C_{0}^{1}[0,1]$.

Let us put

$$
M_{\alpha, \beta}=\sup _{P, Q} \lambda_{1}, m_{\alpha, \beta}=\inf _{P, Q} \lambda_{1} .
$$

The main result of this section is the following

Theorem 4. If $\alpha>-1 / 2, \beta-\alpha+2 \alpha \beta<0$, then $M_{\alpha, \beta} \leq C(\alpha, \beta)$ and $m_{\alpha, \beta}=0$.

If $\alpha \leq-1, \beta \geq 1$, then $m_{\alpha, \beta} \geq C(\alpha, \beta)>0$ and $M_{\alpha, \beta}=\infty$.
If $1 / \alpha-1 / \beta+2 \leq 0$ and either $\alpha>-1$ or $\beta<1$, then $m_{\alpha, \beta}=0$ and $M_{\alpha, \beta}=\infty$.

## Proof.

I. Estimate of $M_{\alpha, \beta}$.
a. Let at first $\alpha>0, \beta>0$ and $\beta-\alpha+2 \alpha \beta>0$. We show that $M_{\alpha, \beta}=\infty$. For this we put $P(x)=\varepsilon^{-1 / \alpha}$ for $0<x<\varepsilon$ and $P(x)=0$ for $\varepsilon<x<$ $1 ; Q(x)=\varepsilon^{-1 / \beta}$ for $0 \leq x \leq \varepsilon$ and $Q(x)=0$ for $\varepsilon \leq x \leq 1$, where $\varepsilon$ is a small positive number. Then for $y(x) \in C_{0}^{1}(0,1)$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int Q(x) y(x)^{2} d x & =\varepsilon^{-1 / \beta} \int y(x)^{2} d x \\
\int P(x) y^{\prime}(x)^{2} d x & =\varepsilon^{-1 / \alpha} \int y^{\prime}(x)^{2} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

$$
\int_{0}^{\varepsilon} y(x)^{2} d x \leq \varepsilon^{2} \int_{0}^{\varepsilon} y^{\prime}(x)^{2} d x ; y(x) \in C_{0}^{1}(0,1)
$$

we have that

$$
\int Q(x) y(x)^{2} d x \leq \varepsilon^{2-1 / \beta+1 / \alpha} \int P(x) y^{\prime}(x)^{2} d x
$$

i.e.

$$
\lambda_{1} \geq \varepsilon^{-2+1 / \beta-1 / \alpha}
$$

and $\lambda_{1} \rightarrow \infty$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Therefore in this case $M_{\alpha, \beta}=\infty$.
b. If $\alpha<0, \beta>0$, we put

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P(x)= \begin{cases}\varepsilon^{-1 / \alpha}(1-\varepsilon)^{1 / \alpha} & \text { for } 0<x<\varepsilon, \\
\varepsilon^{1 / \alpha}(1-\varepsilon)^{-1 / \alpha} & \text { for } \varepsilon<x<1 ;\end{cases} \\
& Q(x)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { for } 0<x<2 \varepsilon, \\
(1-2 \varepsilon)^{-1 / \beta} & \text { for } 2 \varepsilon<x<1\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $0<\varepsilon<1 / 8$. It is clear that

$$
\int P(x)^{\alpha} \dot{d} x=1, \int Q(x)^{\beta} d x=1
$$

and

$$
\begin{gathered}
(1-2 \varepsilon)^{1 / \beta} \int_{0}^{1} Q(x) y(x)^{2} d x=\int_{2 \varepsilon}^{1} y(x)^{2} d x \\
\quad \leq \varepsilon^{-1 / \alpha}(1-\varepsilon)^{1 / \alpha} \int_{2 \varepsilon}^{1} P(x) y^{\prime}(x)^{2} d x
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence it follows that $\lambda_{1} \geq \varepsilon^{1 / \alpha} / 2$ and so $M_{\alpha, \beta}=\infty$.
c. Let now $\alpha<0, \beta<0$ and $\beta-\alpha+2 \alpha \beta>0$.

We put

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P(x)= \begin{cases}\varepsilon^{-1 / \alpha}(1-\varepsilon)^{1 / \alpha} & \text { for } 0<x<\varepsilon, \\
\varepsilon^{1 / \alpha}(1-\varepsilon)^{-1 / \alpha} & \text { for } \varepsilon<x<1 ;\end{cases} \\
& Q(x)= \begin{cases}\varepsilon^{-1 / \beta}(1-\rho)^{1 / \beta} & \text { for } 0<x<\varepsilon, \\
\rho^{1 / \beta}(1-\varepsilon)^{-1 / \beta} & \text { for } \varepsilon<x<1 ;\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $0<\varepsilon<1 / 8,0<\rho<1 / 8$. It is clear that

$$
\int P(x)^{\alpha} d x=1, \int Q(x)^{\beta} d x=1
$$

and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{0}^{1} Q(x) y(x)^{2} d x=\varepsilon^{-1 / \beta}(1-\rho)^{1 / \beta} \int_{0}^{\varepsilon} y(x)^{2}+\rho^{1 / \beta}(1-\varepsilon)^{-1 / \beta} \int_{\varepsilon}^{1} y(x)^{2} d x \\
\leq \varepsilon^{2-1 / \beta+1 / \alpha}(1-\rho)^{1 / \beta}(1-\varepsilon)^{-1 / \alpha} \int_{0}^{\varepsilon} P(x) y^{\prime}(x)^{2} d x \\
+\rho^{1 / \beta} \varepsilon^{-1 / \alpha}(1-\varepsilon)^{1 / \alpha-1 / \beta+2} \int_{\varepsilon}^{1} P(x) y^{\prime}(x)^{2} d x \leq C_{1} \int_{0}^{1} P(x) y^{\prime}(x)^{2} d x
\end{gathered}
$$

where

$$
C_{1}=\max \left(\varepsilon^{2-1 / \beta+1 / \alpha}(1-\rho)^{1 / \beta}(1-\varepsilon)^{-1 / \alpha}, \rho^{1 / \beta} \varepsilon^{-1 / \alpha}(1-\varepsilon)^{1 / \alpha-1 / \beta+2}\right)
$$

If we put $\rho=\varepsilon^{\beta / 2 \alpha}$, then for small $\varepsilon$ we have $C_{1} \leq 2 \varepsilon^{\gamma}$, where

$$
\gamma=\min (2-1 / \beta+1 / \alpha, \beta / 2 \alpha)>0
$$

Since $\lambda_{1} \geq C_{1}^{-1}$, we see that in this case $M_{\alpha, \beta}=\infty$.
d. Now we show that $M_{\alpha, \beta}=\infty$, if

$$
\beta-\alpha+2 \alpha \beta=0, \alpha>-1 / 2
$$

Remark that for $\alpha \neq 0, \beta \neq 0, \beta-\alpha+2 \alpha \beta=0, \alpha>-1 / 2, \quad \alpha$ and $\beta$ have the same signs. Let

$$
P(x)=C_{1} x^{\varepsilon-1 / \alpha}, \quad Q(x)=C_{2} x^{\varepsilon-1 / \beta} ; \varepsilon>0 .
$$

The constants $C_{1}, C_{2}$ are chosen so that

$$
\int P(x)^{\alpha} d x=1, \int Q(x)^{\beta} d x=1
$$

i.e. $C_{1}=(\alpha \varepsilon)^{1 / \alpha}, C_{2}=(\beta \varepsilon)^{1 / \beta}$. From the Hardy inequality

$$
\int x^{\epsilon-1 / \beta} y(x)^{2} d x \leq C_{0} \int x^{\varepsilon-1 / \beta+2} y^{\prime}(x)^{2} d x ; y(x) \in C^{1}(0,1)
$$

where $C_{0}=4 /\left[1+(\varepsilon-1 / \beta)^{2}\right]$, it follows that

$$
\int Q(x) y(x)^{2} d x \leq C_{0} C_{2} / C_{1} \int P(x) y^{\prime}(x)^{2} d x
$$

It remains to note that

$$
C_{2} / C_{1}=(\alpha \varepsilon)^{-1 / \alpha}(\beta \varepsilon)^{1 / \beta}=\alpha^{-1 / \alpha} \beta^{1 / \beta} \varepsilon^{2} \rightarrow 0
$$

as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. It means that

$$
\lambda_{1} \geq \alpha^{1 / \alpha} \beta^{-1 / \beta} \varepsilon^{-2}
$$

i.e. $M_{\alpha, \beta}=\infty$.
e. Now we show that $M_{\alpha, \beta}<\infty$ if

$$
-1 / 2<\alpha<0, \beta-\alpha+2 \alpha \beta<0
$$

Put $y_{0}^{\prime}(x)^{2}=P(x)^{\alpha-1}$ and define the function $y_{0}(x)$ so that it increases on the segment $\left[0, x_{0}\right]$ from 0 to some $m>0$ and decreases on the segment $\left[x_{0}, 1\right]$ from $m$ to 0 . By the Hölder inequality

$$
\int y_{0}^{\prime}(x)^{2 \alpha /(\alpha-1)} d x \leq\left(\int y_{0}^{\prime} d x\right)^{2 \alpha /(\alpha-1)}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\int_{0}^{1} y_{0}^{\prime}(x) d x \geq 1
$$

and so $m \geq 1 / 2$. Let for definiteness

$$
\int_{0}^{x_{0}} y_{0}^{\prime}(x)^{2 \alpha /(\alpha-1)} d x \geq 1 / 2
$$

Let $x_{1} \in\left(0, x_{0}\right)$ be a point such that $y_{0}\left(x_{1}\right)^{1-\alpha}=4^{\alpha}$. Then

$$
\int_{0}^{x_{1}} y_{0}^{\prime}(x)^{2 \alpha /(\alpha-1)} d x \leq\left(\int_{0}^{x_{1}} y_{0}^{\prime}(x) d x\right)^{2 \alpha /(\alpha-1)}=1 / 4
$$

Therefore,

$$
\int_{x_{1}}^{x_{0}} y_{0}^{\prime}(x)^{2 \alpha /(\alpha-1)} d x \geq 1 / 4
$$

On the other hand, by the Hölder inequality

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{x_{1}}^{x_{0}} y_{0}^{\prime}(x)^{2 \alpha /(\alpha-1)} d x \\
\leq\left(\int_{x_{1}}^{x_{0}} y_{0}^{\prime}(x)^{2 \beta /(\beta-1)} d x\right)^{(1+\alpha) /(1-\alpha)}\left(\int_{x_{1}}^{x_{0}} y_{0}^{\prime} y^{\beta(1+\alpha) / \alpha(\beta-1)} d x\right)^{2 \alpha /(\alpha-1)}
\end{gathered}
$$

and so

$$
\begin{gathered}
1 / 4 \leq \int_{x_{1}}^{x_{0}} y_{0}^{\prime}(x)^{2 \alpha /(\alpha-1)} d x \\
\leq\left(\int_{x_{1}}^{x_{0}} y_{0}^{2 \beta /(\beta-1)} d x\right)^{(1+\alpha) /(1-\alpha)} \cdot\left[\left(m^{s+1}-4^{-s-1}\right) / s\right]^{2 \alpha /(\alpha-1)}
\end{gathered}
$$

where

$$
1+s=\frac{\beta(1+\alpha)}{\alpha(\beta-1)}+1=\frac{2 \alpha \beta-\alpha+\beta}{\alpha(\beta-1)}<0
$$

If $\beta<0$, then

$$
\int y(x)^{2 \beta /(\beta-1)} d x \leq\left(\int Q(x) y^{2}(x) d x\right)^{\beta /(\beta-1)}\left(\int Q(x)^{\beta} d x\right)^{1 /(1-\beta)}
$$

and therefore

$$
\int Q(x) y(x)^{2} d x \geq\left(\int y(x)^{2 \beta /(\beta-1)} d x\right)^{(\beta-1) / \beta} .
$$

Therefore, the obtained estimate

$$
\int_{0}^{x_{1}} y_{0}^{2 \beta /(\beta-1)} d x \geq C_{1}>0
$$

implies that

$$
\lambda_{0} \leq C_{1}^{(1-\beta) / \beta}
$$

f. Let now $0<\alpha<1, \beta-\alpha+2 \alpha \beta<0$. We obtain the estimate for $\lambda_{0}$ from above.

The function $P(x)$ is non-negative and $\int P(x)^{\alpha} d x=1$. It is sufficient to get the uniform estimate from above for the functions $P(x)$, taking positive values only. Put $y_{0}^{\prime}(x)^{2}=P(x)^{\alpha-1}$ and define the function $y_{0}(x)$ so that it increases on the segment $\left[0, x_{0}\right]$ from 0 to some $m>0$ and decreases on the segment $\left[x_{0}, 1\right]$ from $m$ to 0 . We have

$$
\int_{0}^{1} P(x) y_{0}^{\prime}(x)^{2} d x=\int_{0}^{1}\left(y_{0}^{\prime}(x)\right)^{2 \alpha /(\alpha-1)} d x=\int_{0}^{1} P(x)^{\alpha} d x=1
$$

By the Hölder inequality we have for $0<\beta<1$

$$
\int Q(x)^{\beta} d x \leq\left(\int Q(x) y^{2}(x) d x\right)^{\beta}\left(\int y(x)^{2 \beta /(\beta-1)} d x\right)^{1-\beta}
$$

so that

$$
\int Q(x) y(x)^{2} d x \geq\left(\int y(x)^{2 \beta /(\beta-1)} d x\right)^{(\beta-1) / \beta} .
$$

Thus the estimate from above follows from the inequality

$$
\int y(x)^{2 \beta /(\beta-1)} d x \leq C_{1}
$$

that will be proved.
We note that for $0<x<x_{0}$ we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
x=\int_{0}^{x} d t=\int y_{0}^{\prime}(x)^{s} y_{0}^{\prime}(x)^{-s} d t \\
\leq\left(\int y_{0}^{\prime}(x)^{s p_{1}} d t\right)^{1 / p_{1}} \cdot\left(\int y_{0}^{\prime}(x)^{-s p_{2}} d t\right)^{1 / p_{2}} \cdot x^{1 / p_{3}}
\end{gathered}
$$

where

$$
1>s>0, p_{1}=\frac{1}{s}, p_{2}=\frac{2 \alpha}{s(1-\alpha)}, p_{3}=\frac{1}{(1-s / 2-s / 2 \alpha)}
$$

Since $\int y_{0}^{\prime}(x)^{-s p a} d t=1$, it follows that

$$
x^{s / 2+s / 2 \alpha} \leq y_{0}(x)^{1 / p_{1}}
$$

i.e. $y_{0}(x) \geq x^{1 / 2+1 / 2 \alpha}$. Therefore

$$
\int_{0}^{x_{0}} y_{0}(x)^{2 \beta /(\beta-1)} d x \leq \int_{0}^{x_{0}} x^{(\alpha+1) \beta / \alpha(\beta-1)} d x=C_{1}
$$

since

$$
\frac{(\alpha+1) \beta}{\alpha(\beta-1)}>-1
$$

in virtue of our conditions. Analogously one can show that $y_{0}(x) \geq(1-$ $x)^{1 / 2+1 / 2 \alpha}$ for $x_{0}<x<1$, and thus $y_{0}(x)^{2 \beta /(\beta-1)} d x \leq 2 C_{1}$.

If $\beta<0$, then

$$
\int y(x)^{2 \beta /(\beta-1)} d x \leq\left(\int Q(x) y^{2}(x) d x\right)^{\beta /(\beta-1)}\left(\int Q(x)^{\beta} d x\right)^{1 /(1-\beta)}
$$

and therefore

$$
\int Q(x) y(x)^{2} d x \geq\left(\int y(x)^{2 \beta /(\beta-1)} d x\right)^{(\beta-1) / \beta}
$$

Thus in this case it is sufficient to prove that

$$
\int y(x)^{2 \beta /(\beta-1)} d x \geq C>0 .
$$

As in above we have the estimates

$$
\begin{gathered}
y_{0}(x) \geq x^{1 / 2+1 / 2 \alpha} \text { for } 0<x<x_{0} \\
y_{0}(x) \geq(1-x)^{1 / 2+1 / 2 \alpha} \text { for } x_{0}<x<1
\end{gathered}
$$

and thus

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{0}^{1} y_{0}(x)^{2 \beta /(\beta-1)} d x \\
\geq \int_{0}^{x_{0}} x^{(\alpha+1) \beta / \alpha(\beta-1)} d x+\int_{x_{0}}^{1}(1-x)^{(\alpha+1) \beta / \alpha(\beta-1)} d x=C>0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

g. Let $\alpha>1, \beta-\alpha+2 \alpha \beta<0$. We show that $\lambda_{0} \leq C(\alpha, \beta)$. We have

$$
\int P(x) y^{\prime}(x)^{2} d x \leq\left(\int y^{\prime}(x)^{2 \alpha /(\alpha-1)} d x\right)^{(\alpha-1) / \alpha}\left(\int P(x)^{\alpha} d x\right)^{1 / \alpha}
$$

and

$$
\int Q(x) y(x)^{2} d x \geq\left(\int y(x)^{2 \beta /(\beta-1)} d x\right)^{(\beta-1) / \beta}
$$

Therefore

$$
\lambda_{1} \leq \inf _{y} \frac{\int\left(y^{\prime}(x)^{2 \alpha /(\alpha-1)} d x\right)^{\alpha-1) / \alpha}}{\left(\int y(x)^{2 \beta /(\beta-1)} d x\right)^{(\beta-1) / \beta}} \leq C
$$

Let $y_{0}(x)=x^{\rho}$ for $0 \leq x \leq 1 / 2$ and $y_{0}(x)=(1-x)^{\rho}$ for $1 / 2 \leq x \leq 1$. The number $\rho$ must be such that $2 \beta /(\beta-1) \rho>-1$ and $2 \alpha(\rho-1) /(\alpha-1)>-1$.

If $\alpha>1,0<\beta<1$, then such $\rho$ exists if $(1+\alpha) / \alpha<(1-\beta) / \beta$, i.e. for $\beta-\alpha+2 \alpha \beta<0$. And if $\alpha>1$, but $\beta<0$, then as $\rho$ one can take any number, greater than $(1+\alpha) / 2 \alpha$, since the first condition is satisfied for any $\rho>0$.

## II . Estimates of $m_{\alpha, \beta}$.

a. We prove that $m_{\alpha, \beta}=0$ for $\beta<1$. For that we put $P(x)=1$. If $\beta<0$, we put $Q(x)=\varepsilon^{-1 / \beta}(1-\varepsilon)$ for $x-1 / 2<\varepsilon / 2$ and $Q(x)=N$ for
$0<x<1 / 2-\varepsilon / 2$ and for $1 / 2+\varepsilon / 2<x<1$, assuming that $0<\varepsilon<1 / 4$ and $N$ is such a constant that

$$
N^{\beta}(1-\varepsilon)+\varepsilon^{-1}(1-\varepsilon)^{\beta} \varepsilon=1
$$

so that $N \rightarrow \infty$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. We note that $b_{\varepsilon}=\int Q(x)^{1 / 4} d x \rightarrow \infty$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Let $q_{\varepsilon}(x)=Q_{\varepsilon}(x) b_{\varepsilon}^{-4}$. Then from the equation

$$
y^{\prime \prime}+\lambda_{0} Q_{\varepsilon}(x) y=0
$$

it follows that

$$
y^{\prime \prime}+m q_{\varepsilon}(x) y=0, \text { where } m=\lambda_{0} b_{\epsilon}^{4},
$$

and $\int q_{\varepsilon}^{1 / 4} d x=1$. In virtue of the first part of our theorem, if $\alpha=2, \beta=1 / 4$, the first eigen-value is bounded from above, i.e. $m \leq C$ and $C$ is independent of $\varepsilon$. But then $\lambda_{0} \leq C b_{\varepsilon}^{-4}$, and so $\lambda_{1}$ can take arbitrarily small values.

If $0<\beta<1$, then we put $P(x)=1$ and $Q(x)=\varepsilon^{-1 / \beta}(1-\varepsilon)$ for $x-1 / 2<$ $\varepsilon / 2$ and $Q(x)=0$ for $0<x<1 / 2-\varepsilon / 2$ and for $x>1 / 2+\varepsilon / 2$, assuming that $0<\varepsilon<1 / 4$. Let $y_{0} \in C_{0}^{\infty}(0,1)$ and $y_{0}(x)=1$ for $1 / 2-x<\varepsilon$. Then

$$
\lambda_{0}=\inf _{y} \frac{\int_{0}^{1} P(x) y^{\prime}(x)^{2} d x}{\int_{0}^{1} Q(x) y(x)^{2} d x} \leq \frac{\int_{0}^{1} y_{0}^{\prime}(x)^{2} d x}{\int_{0}^{1} Q(x) y_{0}(x)^{2} d x}=C \varepsilon^{1 / \beta-1} .
$$

Therefore, $\lambda_{0} \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.
b. Show that $m_{\alpha, \beta}=0$ for $\alpha>0$. For this we put $Q(x) \equiv 1$. Since $\alpha>0$, the function $P(x)$ can vanish on the segment $[0,1 / 2]$, when $y(x)=0$ on the segment $[1 / 2,1]$, so that $\lambda_{1}=0$.
c. Let $0>\alpha>-1$. Let $Q(x) \equiv 1$. Let us put

$$
P(x)= \begin{cases}\delta & \text { for } 0<x<\varepsilon \\ \varepsilon^{-1} & \text { for } \varepsilon<x<1-\varepsilon \\ \delta & \text { for } 1-\varepsilon<x<1\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
y(x)= \begin{cases}x / \varepsilon & \text { for } 0<x<\varepsilon \\ 1 & \text { for } \varepsilon<x<1-\varepsilon \\ (1-x) / \varepsilon & \text { for } 1-\varepsilon<x<1\end{cases}
$$

where $\delta$ is such a number that

$$
\int P(x)^{\alpha} d x=2 \varepsilon \delta^{\alpha}+(1-2 \varepsilon) \varepsilon^{-\alpha}=1
$$

i.e. $\delta \approx \varepsilon^{-1 / \alpha}$. It is obvious that

$$
\int y^{2}(x) d x=1-2 \varepsilon+2 \varepsilon / 3=1-4 \varepsilon / 3
$$

On the other hand

$$
\int P(x) y_{0}^{\prime}(x)^{2} d x=2 \delta / \varepsilon \approx 2^{1-1 / \alpha} \varepsilon^{-1-1 / \alpha}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\lambda_{0} \leq C \varepsilon^{-1-1 / \alpha}
$$

and since $-1-1 / \alpha>0$ for $0>\alpha>-1$, the value $\lambda_{1}$ can be arbitrarily small.
d. Let now $\alpha \leq-1, \beta \geq 1$. Using the Hölder inequality we get that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int Q(x) y(x)^{2} d x \leq\left(\int y(x)^{2 \beta /(\beta-1)} d x\right)^{(\beta-1) / \beta} \\
& \int y^{\prime}(x)^{2 \alpha /(\alpha-1)} d x \leq \int\left(P(x) y^{\prime}(x)^{2} d x\right)^{\alpha /(\alpha-1)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
\lambda_{1} \geq \inf _{y} \frac{\left(\int y^{\prime}(x)^{2 \alpha /(\alpha-1)} d x\right)^{\alpha-1) / \alpha}}{\left(\int y(x)^{2 \beta /(\beta-1)} d x\right)^{(\beta-1) / \beta}} \geq 1
$$

The last inequality follows from the estimate

$$
\left(\int y^{q}(x) d x\right)^{1 / q} \leq\left(\int y^{\prime}(x)^{p} d x\right)^{1 / p}
$$

where

$$
2<q=2 \beta /(\beta-1)<\infty, 1 \leq p=2 \alpha /(\alpha-1)<2
$$

In its turn it is implied by the inequality

$$
\max |y(x)| \leq \int\left|y^{\prime}(x)\right| d x
$$

following from the formula $y(x)=\int_{0}^{x} y^{\prime}(t) d t$. If $\alpha \leq-1, \beta=1$, we can use instead of the Hölder inequality the estimate

$$
\int Q(x) y(x)^{2} d x \leq \max y(x)^{2}
$$

and since

$$
\max y(x)^{2} \leq\left(\int y^{\prime}(x)^{p} d x\right)^{2 / p} \text { for } 1 \leq p<2,
$$

we can see that $\lambda_{0} \geq 1$.
The proof is complete.
The proved theorem can be stated in the following way:
Theorem 5. Let $\lambda_{1}$ be the first eigen-value of the problem (1)-(2). If $\alpha>$ $-1 / 2, \beta-\alpha+2 \alpha \beta<0$, then

$$
\lambda_{0} \leq C(\alpha, \beta) \frac{\left(\int P(x)^{\alpha} d x\right)^{1 / \alpha}}{\left(\int Q(x)^{\beta} d x\right)^{1 / \beta}}
$$

if $\alpha \leq-1, \beta \geq 1$, then

$$
\lambda_{0} \geq C(\alpha, \beta) \frac{\left(\int P(x)^{\alpha} d x\right)^{1 / \alpha}}{\left(\int Q(x)^{\beta} d x\right)^{1 / \beta}}
$$

where $C(\alpha, \beta)$ is a positive constant, depending on $\alpha$ and $\beta$ only.

## 4. On estimates of all eigen-values

Once more consider the Sturm-Liouville problem:

$$
y^{\prime \prime}+\lambda Q(x) y=0, y(0)=0, y(1)=0
$$

under the condition that

$$
\int_{0}^{1} Q(x)^{\beta} d x=1
$$

and estimate the $k$-th eigen-value $\lambda_{k}$. Our main result is following.
Theorem 6. If $\beta \geq 1$, then $\lambda_{k} \geq C_{0}(\beta) k^{2}$. If $\beta<\frac{1}{2}, \beta \neq 0$, then $\lambda_{k} \leq$ $C_{0}(\beta) k^{2}$. The constant $C_{0}(\beta)$ here is independent of $k$.
Proof. Let $\beta \geq 1$ and $y_{k}$ be an eigen-function of the Sturm-Liouville problem having the number $k$. This function has $k-1$ zeroes in the interval ( 0,1 ): $\nu_{1}, \ldots, \nu_{k-1}$. Let $\nu_{0}=0<\nu_{1}<\ldots<\nu_{k}=1$ and $I$ be one of the intervals $\left(\nu_{j}, \nu_{j+1}\right)$, where $j=0,1, \ldots, k-1$. Consider the function $y_{k}(x)$ on the interval $I$. If $\max _{I} y_{k}^{\prime}(x)=y_{k}^{\prime}\left(\xi_{1}\right)=1$ and $y_{k}^{\prime}(\xi)=0, \xi \in I$, then

$$
l=\int_{\xi}^{\xi_{1}} y_{k}^{\prime \prime}(x) d x=\lambda_{k} \int_{\xi}^{\xi_{1}} Q(x) y_{k}(x) d x .
$$

Remark that $\left|y_{k}(x)\right| \leq C\left(\nu_{j+1}-\nu_{j}\right)$ and we can assume that $y_{k}(x) \geq 0$ in $I$. Therefore

$$
\int_{I} Q(x) d x \geq\left(\nu_{j+1}-\nu_{j}\right)^{-1} \lambda_{k}^{-1}
$$

It follows that

$$
\int_{0}^{1} Q(x) d x=\sum \int_{I_{j}^{\prime}} Q d x \geq \lambda_{k}^{-1} \sum_{1}^{N}\left(\nu_{j+1}-\nu_{j}\right)^{-1} \geq \lambda_{k}^{-1} k^{2}
$$

and thus

$$
\lambda_{k} \geq k^{2}\left(\int_{0}^{1} Q d x\right)^{-1} \geq k^{2}\left(\int_{0}^{1} Q^{\beta} d x\right)^{-1 / \beta} \text { for } \beta \geq 1
$$

Therefore

$$
\int_{\nu_{j}}^{\nu_{j+1}} Q(x)^{\beta} d x \geq C_{0}(\beta)^{\beta}\left(\nu_{j+1}-\nu_{j}\right)^{1-2 \beta} \lambda_{k}^{-\beta}
$$

Summing these inequalities over $j$, we obtain that

$$
1 \geq C_{0}(\beta)^{\beta} \lambda_{k}^{-\beta} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1}\left(\nu_{j+1}-\nu_{j}\right)^{1-2 \beta}
$$

Remark that $1-2 \beta \leq-1$ and by Lemma A11

$$
\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}\left(\nu_{j+1}-\nu_{j}\right)^{1-2 \beta} \geq k \cdot\left(\frac{1}{k}\right)^{1-2 \beta}=k^{2 \beta}
$$

Therefore,

$$
1 \geq C_{0}(\beta)^{\beta} \lambda_{k}^{-\beta} k^{2 \beta}
$$

and

$$
\lambda_{k} \geq C_{0}(\beta) k^{2} .
$$

Analogously, if $0<\beta<1 / 2$, then we get the inequality

$$
1 \leq C_{0}(\beta)^{\beta} \lambda_{k}^{-\beta} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1}\left(\nu_{j+1}-\nu_{j}\right)^{1-2 \beta}
$$

Since $1-2 \beta<1$, we have by Lemma A11, that

$$
\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}\left(\nu_{j+1}-\nu_{j}\right)^{1-2 \beta} \leq k \cdot\left(\frac{1}{k}\right)^{1-2 \beta}=k^{2 \beta}
$$

Thus

$$
1 \leq C_{0}(\beta)^{\beta} \lambda_{k}^{-\beta} k^{2 \beta}
$$

i.e.

$$
\lambda_{k} \leq C_{0}(\beta) k^{2}
$$

If $\beta<0$, then the inequality takes the form

$$
1 \geq C_{0}(\beta)^{\beta} \lambda_{k}^{-\beta} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1}\left(\nu_{j+1}-\nu_{j}\right)^{1-2 \beta}
$$

and since $1-2 \beta>1$, we get

$$
1 \geq C_{0}(\beta)^{\beta} \lambda_{k}^{-\beta} k^{2 \beta}
$$

that gives the inequality

$$
\lambda_{k} \leq C_{0}(\beta) k^{2} .
$$

Now consider an other Sturm-Liouville problem:

$$
\left(P(x) y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+\lambda y=0 y(0)=0, y(1)=0
$$

under the condition

$$
\int_{0}^{1} P(x)^{\alpha} d x=1
$$

Theorem 7. If $\alpha>-1 / 2, \alpha \neq 0$, then $\lambda_{k} \geq C_{0}(\alpha) k^{2}$. If $\alpha \leq-1$, then $\lambda_{k} \leq C_{0}(\alpha) k^{2}$. Here $C_{0}(\alpha)$ is a positive constant independent of $k$.
Proof. Let at first $\alpha>-1 / 2, \alpha \neq 0$. As above, consider the $k$-th eigenfunction $y_{k}(x)$, corresponding to the eigen-value $\lambda_{k}$. Let $\nu_{0}, \ldots, \nu_{k}$ be the zeroes of $y_{k}(x)$ and $\nu_{0}=0<\nu_{1}<\ldots<\nu_{k}=1$. Let $I$ be one of the intervals $\left(\nu_{j}, \nu_{j+1}\right)$ with $j=0,1, \ldots, k-1$, let

$$
l=\nu_{j+1}-\nu_{j}, \rho=\int_{\nu_{j}}^{\nu_{j+1}} P(x)^{\alpha} d x
$$

Substituting $x$ by $\nu_{j}+t l, P(x)$ by $p(t)(\rho / l)^{1 / \alpha}$ and $\lambda_{k}$ by $\rho^{1 / \alpha} l^{-2-1 / \alpha}$, we obtain that

$$
\left(p(t) y_{t t}^{\prime \prime}\right)_{t}^{\prime}+m y=0,0 \leq t \leq 1 ; y(0)=0, y(1)=0 ;
$$

$$
\int_{0}^{1} p(t)^{\alpha} d t=1
$$

Theorem 2 implies that $m \leq C_{0}(\alpha)$, so that

$$
\lambda_{k} \leq C_{0}(\alpha) l^{-2-1 / \alpha} \rho^{1 / \alpha}
$$

If $\alpha>0$, then it follows that

$$
\lambda_{k}^{\alpha} \leq C_{0}(\alpha)^{\alpha} l^{-2 \alpha-1} \rho
$$

or

$$
\lambda_{k}^{\alpha}\left(\nu_{j+1}-\nu_{j}\right)^{2 \alpha+1} \leq C_{0}(\alpha)^{\alpha} \int_{\nu_{j}}^{\nu_{j+1}} P(x)^{\alpha} d x
$$

Summing over $j$ from 0 to $k-1$ we obtain that

$$
\lambda_{k}^{\alpha} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1}\left(\nu_{j+1}-\nu_{j}\right)^{2 \alpha+1} \leq C_{0}(\alpha)^{\alpha} .
$$

Since $1+2 \alpha>1$, we have by lemma A11 that

$$
\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}\left(\nu_{j+1}-\nu_{j}\right)^{2 \alpha+1} \geq k\left(1 / k^{2 \alpha+1}\right)=k^{-2 \alpha}
$$

so that

$$
\lambda_{k} \leq C_{0} k^{2}
$$

If $-1 / 2<\alpha<0$, then as above

$$
\lambda_{k}^{\alpha} \geq C_{0}(\alpha)^{\alpha} l^{-2 \alpha-1} \rho^{2}
$$

or

$$
\lambda_{k}^{\alpha}\left(\nu_{j+1}-\nu_{j}\right)^{2 \alpha+1} \geq C_{0}(\alpha)^{\alpha} \int_{\nu_{j}}^{\nu_{j+1}} P(x)^{\alpha} d x
$$

Summing over $j$ between 0 and $k-1$ we see that

$$
\lambda_{k}^{\alpha} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1}\left(\nu_{j+1}-\nu_{j}\right)^{1+2 \alpha} \geq C_{0}(\alpha)^{\alpha}
$$

since $1+2 \alpha>0$, Lemma A11 implies that

$$
\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}\left(\nu_{j+1}-\nu_{j}\right)^{1+2 \alpha} \leq k\left(1 / k^{1+2 \alpha}\right)=k^{-2 \alpha} .
$$

Therefore

$$
\lambda_{k}^{\alpha} k^{-2 \alpha} \geq C_{0}(\alpha)^{\alpha}
$$

and since $\alpha<0$, we see that

$$
\lambda_{k} \leq C_{0}(\alpha) k^{2}
$$

For $\alpha \leq-1$ the same arguments lead to the estimate

$$
\lambda_{k} \geq C_{0}(\alpha) l^{-2-l / \alpha} \rho^{1 / \alpha}
$$

i.e.

$$
\left(\nu_{j+1}-\nu_{j}\right)^{1+2 \alpha} \lambda_{k}^{\alpha} \leq C_{0}(\alpha)^{\alpha} \int_{\nu_{j}}^{\nu_{j+1}} P(x)^{\alpha} d x
$$

Summing over $j$ from 0 to $k-1$ we get the estimate

$$
\lambda_{k}^{\alpha} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1}\left(\nu_{j+1}-\nu_{j}\right)^{1+2 \alpha} \leq C_{0}(\alpha)^{\alpha} .
$$

Since $2 \alpha+1 \leq-1$, Lemma A11 implies that

$$
\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}\left(\nu_{j+1}-\nu_{j}\right)^{1+2 \alpha} \leq k\left(1 / k^{1+2 \alpha}\right)=k^{-2 \alpha}
$$

and hence

$$
\lambda_{k}^{\alpha} k^{-2 \alpha} \leq C_{0}(\alpha)^{\alpha}
$$

so that

$$
\lambda_{k} \geq C_{0}(\alpha) k^{2}
$$

The proved Theorems can be reformulated in the following form.
Theorem 8. Let $\lambda_{k}$ be the $k$-th eigen-value of the Sturm-Liouville problem considered in Theorem 6. If $\beta \geq 1$ then

$$
\lambda_{k} \geq C_{0}(\beta) k^{2}\left(\int_{0}^{1} Q(x)^{\beta} d x\right)^{-1 / \beta}
$$

If $\beta<\frac{1}{2}, \beta \neq 0$, then

$$
\lambda_{k} \leq C_{0}(\beta) k^{2}\left(\int_{0}^{1} Q(x)^{\beta} d x\right)^{-1 / \beta}
$$

Theorem 9. Let $\lambda_{k}$ be the $k$-th eigen-value of the Sturm-Liouville problem considered in Theorem 7. If $\alpha>-1 / 2, \alpha \neq 0$, then

$$
\lambda_{k} \geq C_{0}(\alpha) k^{2}\left(\int_{0}^{1} P(x)^{\alpha} d x\right)^{1 / \alpha}
$$

If $\alpha \leq-1$, then

$$
\lambda_{k} \leq C_{0}(\alpha) k^{2}\left(\int_{0}^{1} P(x)^{\alpha} d x\right)^{1 / \alpha} .
$$

## 5. On estimates of first eigen-value of a Sturm-Liouville problem for operators of higher order

Let us consider the dependence of the first eigen-value $\lambda_{1}$ of the SturmLiouville problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
(-1)^{n+1} y^{(2 n)}(x)+\lambda q(x) y(x)=0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

on the segment $0 \leq x \leq 1$, with the boundary conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(0)=y^{\prime}(0)=\ldots=y^{(n-1)}(0)=y(1)=y^{\prime}(1)=\ldots=y^{(n-1)}(1)=0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

on the potential $q$. Denote $R_{\beta}$ the set of real-valued measurable on $(0,1)$ functions $q$ with positive values such that

$$
\int_{0}^{1} q(x)^{\beta} d x=1
$$

where $\beta$ is a real number, $\beta \neq 0$. The problem (4),(5) has a discrete spectrum. The variational principle implies that the first eigen-value $\lambda_{0}$ can be found as

$$
\lambda_{1}=\inf _{y \in C_{0}^{\infty}(0,1)} \frac{\int_{0}^{1} y^{(n)}(x)^{2} d x}{\int_{0}^{1} q(x) y(x)^{2} d x}
$$

It is easy to see that all eigen-functions of the problem (4),(5) are real and positive. We will estimate the values

$$
m_{\beta}=\inf _{q \in R_{\beta}} \lambda_{1}, M_{\beta}=\sup _{q \in R_{\beta}} \lambda_{1} .
$$

Put

$$
L[q, y]=\frac{\int_{0}^{1} y^{(n)}(x)^{2} d x}{\int_{0}^{1} q(x) y(x)^{2} d x}
$$

Theorem 10. If $\beta \geq 1$, then $m_{\beta} \geq 1$.
Proof. If $y(x)$ is an eigen-function, corresponding to $\lambda_{1}$, then by the Rolle theorem each function $y^{\prime}(x), \ldots, y^{(n-1)}(x)$ has at least one zero on $(0,1)$. Therefore,

$$
y^{(n-1)}(x)=\int_{\xi}^{x} y^{(n)}(t) d t
$$

where $\xi$ is a zero of $y^{(n-1)}(x)$. So $\left|y^{(n-1)}(x)\right| \leq \int_{0}^{1}\left|y^{(n)}(t)\right| d t$. Analogously, $\left|y^{(n-i)}(x)\right| \leq \int_{0}^{1}\left|y^{(n-i+1)}(t)\right| d t$. By induction we get the inequality $|y(x)|^{2} \leq$ $\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left|y^{(n)}(t)\right| d t\right)^{2} \leq \int_{0}^{1}\left|y^{(n)}(t)\right|^{2} d t$. Hence

$$
\frac{\int_{0}^{1}\left|y^{(n)}(t)\right|^{2} d t}{\int_{0}^{1} q(t) y(t)^{2} d t} \geq \frac{1}{\int_{0}^{1} q(t) d t} \geq \frac{1}{\left(\int_{0}^{1} q(t)^{\alpha} d t\right)^{1 / \alpha}} \geq 1
$$

Theorem 11. If $\beta \geq 1 / n$, then $M_{\beta}=\infty$.
Proof. Let $q_{\varepsilon}(x)=C_{\varepsilon}(x+\varepsilon)^{-n}$, where $C_{\varepsilon}$ is such that $\int_{0}^{1} q_{\varepsilon}(x)^{\beta} d x=1$. It is easy to see that $C_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Let $y \in W_{2}^{n}(0,1)$ and $y$ satisfy the conditions (5). Put $y(x)=0$ outside of $(0,1)$. The Hardy inequality

$$
\int_{0}^{1} y(x)^{2}(x+\varepsilon)^{-n} d x \leq C_{1} \int_{0}^{1}\left|y^{(n)}(t)\right|^{2} d t
$$

implies the inequality

$$
\int_{0}^{1} q_{\varepsilon}(t) y(t)^{2} d t \leq C_{\epsilon} C_{1} \int_{0}^{1}\left|y^{(n)}(t)\right|^{2} d t
$$

Therefore

$$
L\left[q_{\epsilon}, y\right]=\frac{\int_{0}^{1} y^{(n)}(t)^{2} d t}{\int_{0}^{1} q_{\varepsilon}(t) y(t)^{2} d t} \geq \frac{1}{C_{\varepsilon} C_{1}} \rightarrow \infty \text { as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0
$$

Thus $M_{\beta}=\infty$.

Theorem 12. If $\beta<1 / n$, then $M_{\beta}=C(\beta)<\infty$.
Proof. Let at first $0<\beta<1 / n$. Using the Hölder inequality we obtain

$$
1=\int_{0}^{1} q(x)^{\beta} d x \leq\left(\int_{0}^{1} q(x) y(x)^{2} d x\right)^{\beta}\left(\int_{0}^{1}|y(x)|^{p} d x\right)^{1-\beta}
$$

where $p=2 \beta /(\beta-1)$ so that $0>p>-2(n-1)$. Therefore

$$
L[q, y] \leq \frac{\int_{0}^{1} y^{(n)}(x)^{2} d x}{\left(\int_{0}^{1}|y(x)|^{p} d x\right)^{2 / p}}
$$

Put $y_{0}(x)=x^{n+\delta-1}(1-x)^{n+\delta-1}$. Then $\int_{0}^{1} y^{(n)}(x)^{2} d x=c_{1}$ if $\delta>1 / 2$ and $\int_{0}^{1}|y(x)|^{p} d x=c_{2}<\infty$, if $p(n+\delta-1)+1>0$, i.e. if

$$
\delta<(1+\beta-2 \beta n) / 2 \beta
$$

Since $(1+\beta-2 \beta n) / 2 \beta>1 / 2$, there exists $\delta$ satisfying all the conditions. Thus $\lambda_{1} \leq L\left[q, y_{0}\right]<c_{3}$.

Now let $\beta<0$. Using the Hölder inequality we obtain

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{0}^{1}|y(x)|^{p} d x=\int_{0}^{1} q(x)^{p / 2}|y(x)|^{p} q(x)^{-p / 2} d x \\
\leq\left(\int_{0}^{1} q(x) y(x)^{2} d x\right)^{p / 2}\left(\int_{0}^{1} q(x)^{\beta} d x\right)^{1 /(1-\beta)}=\left(\int_{0}^{1} q(x) y(x)^{2} d x\right)^{p / 2}
\end{gathered}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\int_{0}^{1} q(x) y(x)^{2} d x \geq\left(\int_{0}^{1}|y(x)|^{p} d x\right)^{2 / p}
$$

Hence

$$
L[q, y] \leq \frac{\int_{0}^{1} y^{(n)}(x)^{2} d x}{\left(\int_{0}^{1}|y(x)|^{p} d x\right)^{2 / p}}
$$

Putting $y_{0}(x)=x^{n-1}(1-x)^{n-1}$, we see that $L[q, y] \leq c$.

Theorem 13. If $\beta<1$, then $m_{\beta}=0$.
Proof. Let at first $\beta<0$. Put

$$
q(x)= \begin{cases}(1-\varepsilon)^{1 / \beta} \varepsilon^{-1 / \beta}, & \text { if } 0<x<\varepsilon, \\ (1-\varepsilon)^{-1 / \beta} \varepsilon^{1 / \beta}, & \text { if } \varepsilon<x<1,\end{cases}
$$

where $\varepsilon>0$ is a small number. Let $y_{0}(x)=x^{n-1}(1-x)^{n-1}$. Then

$$
\lambda_{1} \leq \frac{\int_{0}^{1} y_{0}^{(n)}(x)^{2} d x}{\int_{0}^{1} q(x) y_{0}(x)^{2} d x} \leq C \varepsilon^{-1 / \beta}
$$

Therefore $\lambda_{1}$ can be arbitrary small.
Let $0<\beta<1$. Put

$$
q(x)= \begin{cases}(2 \varepsilon)^{-1 / \beta}, & \text { if }|x-1 / 2|>\varepsilon \\ 0, & \text { if }|x-1 / 2|<\varepsilon\end{cases}
$$

where $\varepsilon>0$ is a small number. Let $y_{0}$ be a smooth function, vanishing in the points $x=0$ and $x=1$, which is equal to 1 in ( $1 / 3,2 / 3$ ). Then

$$
\lambda_{1} \leq \frac{C}{\int_{1 / 2-\varepsilon}^{1 / 2+\varepsilon}(2 \varepsilon)^{-1 / \beta} d x}=C_{1} \varepsilon^{1 / \beta-1} \rightarrow 0
$$

as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Therefore $\lambda_{1}$ can be arbitrary small.

In the study of the Sturm-Liouville problem for an equation of second order we have obtained the sharp values of the first eigern-value for the operator $y^{\prime \prime}(x)+\lambda q(x) y=0$ under the condition $\int_{0}^{1} q(x)^{\beta}=1$. We have found also the potentials $q$, when these sharp estimates are true. In the case of an operator of a higher order one can write down the differential equations for the function $q$. However, it is an equation of order $n$ and we cannot find the explicit solution.

Consider more in details the following problem

$$
\begin{gathered}
-y^{(4)}+\lambda q(x) y=0 \\
y(0)=y^{\prime}(0)=0, y(1)=y^{\prime}(1)=0, q(x) \geq 0, \int_{0}^{1} q(x)^{\beta}=1, \beta>1
\end{gathered}
$$

$q$ is a bounded measurable function. As we have shown above the least eigenvalue of this problem is bigger than a positive constant, independent of $q$. Namely

$$
\lambda_{1}=\inf _{y \in C_{0}^{\infty}(0,1)} \frac{\int_{0}^{1} y^{\prime}(x)^{2} d x}{\int_{0}^{1} q(x) y(x)^{2} d x} \geq \inf _{y \in C_{0}^{\infty}(0,1)} \frac{\int_{0}^{1} y^{\prime \prime}(x)^{2} d x}{\left(\int_{0}^{1}|y(x)|^{p} d x\right)^{2 / p}} .
$$

Let

$$
G[y]=\frac{\int_{0}^{1} y^{\prime \prime}(x)^{2} d x}{\left(\int_{0}^{1}|y(x)|^{p} d x\right)^{2 / p}}
$$

and $m=\inf _{y \in C_{0}^{\infty}(0,1)} G[y]$. Let $\left\{y_{k}\right\}$ be a minimizing sequence. By the homogeneity we can assume that

$$
\int_{0}^{1} y^{\prime \prime}(x)^{2} d x=1 .
$$

The sequence $\left\{y_{k}\right\}$ contains a subsequence converging to $y_{0}$ uniformly and weakly in $W_{2,0}^{2}(0,1)$. The Euler-Lagrange equation for the functional $L$ has the form

$$
\begin{gathered}
y^{(4)}-m|y|^{(\beta+1) /(\beta-1)} \operatorname{sgn} y=0 \\
y(0)=y^{\prime}(0)=0, y(1)=y^{\prime}(1)=0, \int_{0}^{1} y^{\prime \prime}(x)^{2} d x=1
\end{gathered}
$$

Put

$$
q(x)=\lambda\left|y_{0}\right|^{2 /(\beta-1)},
$$

where $\lambda$ is such that $\int_{0}^{1} q(x)^{\beta} d x=1$. Then the problem

$$
\begin{gathered}
-y^{(4)}+\lambda q(x) y=0 \\
y(0)=y^{\prime}(0)=0, y(1)=y^{\prime}(1)=0
\end{gathered}
$$

has an eigen-value $m$, to which the eigen-function $y$ corresponds. This eigenvalue is minimal for the considered class of the functions $q$. So the finding of the extremal $q$ and $\lambda_{1}$ is reduced to the boundary value problem for an equation of fourth order. The same is true for other values of $\beta$ and for equations of order $n>4$.

Let us consider the boundary value problem

$$
(-1)^{k_{s}} y^{(2 n)}(x)+\lambda q(x) y(x)=0
$$

on the segment $0 \leq x \leq 1$, with the boundary conditions

$$
y^{(i)}\left(x_{j}\right)=0, i=0, \ldots, k_{j}, 0=x_{1}<x_{2}<\ldots<x_{s}=1,
$$

where

$$
s \leq 2 n-1, \quad k_{1}+\ldots+k_{s}=2 n-s
$$

We assume that

$$
q(x) \geq 0, \int_{0}^{1} q(x)^{\beta}=1
$$

We have shown that this problem has positive eigen-values. Let $\lambda_{1}$ be the minimal of them. Let us show that $\lambda_{1} \geq 1$. Indeed, the corresponding eigenfunction $y_{1}$ has at least $2 n$ zeroes (taking into account their multiplicity.) The function $y^{(2 n-1)}$ has at least one zero $\xi$. Hence

$$
y^{(2 n-1)}(x)=\int_{\xi}^{1} y^{(2 n)}(x) d x
$$

and therefore

$$
\left|y^{(2 n-1)}(x)\right| \leq \lambda_{1} \int_{0}^{1} q(x) d x \cdot \max |y(x)| \leq \lambda_{1} \max |y(x)|
$$

Since each function $y^{\prime}(x), \ldots, y^{(n-1)}(x)$ has at least one zero on $(0,1)$, we have

$$
y^{(2 n-i)}(x)=\int_{\xi_{i}}^{x} y^{(2 n-i+1)}(t) d t
$$

where $\xi_{i}$ is a zero of $y^{(2 n-i)}(x)$. Hence

$$
\left|y^{(2 n-i)}(x)\right| \leq \max _{t}\left|y^{(2 n-i+1)}(t)\right| .
$$

In particular,

$$
|y(x)| \leq \max _{t}\left|y^{(2 n-1)}(t)\right| \leq \lambda_{1} \max |y(x)|
$$

and thus $\lambda_{1} \geq 1$.

## 6. On a Lagrange problem

### 6.1. Introduction

The considered Lagrange problem consists in the finding of extremal values of the following functional:

$$
L[Q, y]=\frac{\int_{0}^{1} Q(x) y^{\prime \prime}(x)^{2} d x}{\int_{0}^{1} y^{\prime}(x)^{2} d x}
$$

under the conditions $y \in H^{2}(0,1), Q(x)$ is a bounded measurable function,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{0}^{1} Q(x)^{\alpha} d x=1, Q(x) \geq 0  \tag{6}\\
y(0)=0, y^{\prime}(0)=0, y(1)=0, y^{\prime}(1)=0 \tag{7}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\alpha \in \mathbf{R} \backslash\{0\}$. It is easy to see that this problem is equivalent to the variational problem on the extremum of the functional

$$
F[Q, y]=\frac{\int_{0}^{1} Q(x) y^{\prime}(x)^{2} d x}{\int_{0}^{1} y(x)^{2} d x}
$$

under the conditions (6) and

$$
y(0)=0, y(1)=0, \int_{0}^{1} y(x) d x=0
$$

The Euler-Lagrange equation for the functional $L$ has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(Q(x) y^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}+\lambda y^{\prime \prime}=0, y(0)=0, y^{\prime}(0)=0, y(1)=0, y^{\prime}(1)=0 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

This problem is very important for applications. For example, it is essential for the finding of the strongest column of a given volume (the most important values are then $\alpha=1 / 2$ or $1 / 3$ ) and was considered by many authors (see, for example, [1]-[9]). The authors of the articles [4]-[9] used methods of the functional analysis and of the variational calculus, sometimes very complicated. However, the problem has not been solved until now.

Let us reproduce some Keller's arguments. Let us suppose that there exists a function $Q_{0}(x)$ which maximizes the lowest eigenvalue. Let $Q(x, \varepsilon)$ be a family of functions which depend smoothly on $\varepsilon$ and such that $Q(x, 0)=Q_{0}(x)$. Assume that $\lambda$ and $y$, the lowest eigen-value and corresponding eigenfunction with $Q=Q(x, \varepsilon)$, also depend smoothly on $\varepsilon$. Then we may differentiate the equation

$$
\left(Q(x, \varepsilon) y^{\prime \prime}(x, \varepsilon)\right)^{\prime \prime}+\lambda(\varepsilon) y^{\prime \prime}(x, \varepsilon)=0
$$

with respect to $\varepsilon$ to obtain the equation

$$
\left(Q_{0}(x) z^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}+\lambda z^{\prime \prime}+\left(Q_{1}(x) y^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}+\mu y^{\prime \prime}=0
$$

where $Q_{1}(x)=\partial Q(x, 0) / \partial \varepsilon, z(x)=\partial y(x, 0) / \partial \varepsilon$ and $\mu=\partial \lambda(0) / \partial \varepsilon$. Multiply the first equation by $z$, the second by $y$, subtract one from the other and
integrate the result over $[0,1]$. In virtue of the boundary conditions we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{0}^{1}\left(\left(Q_{0}(x) y^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime \prime} z-\left(Q_{0}(x) z^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime \prime} y\right) d x=0 \\
\int_{0}^{1}\left(y^{\prime \prime} z-z^{\prime \prime} y\right) d x=0
\end{gathered}
$$

Therefore

$$
\int_{0}^{1} Q_{1}(x) y^{\prime \prime}(x)^{2} d x-\int_{0}^{1} \mu y^{\prime}(x)^{2} d x=0
$$

Since $\lambda$ is the maximal value of $\lambda(\varepsilon)$, we see that $\mu=0$ and so

$$
\int_{0}^{1} Q_{1}(x) y^{\prime \prime}(x)^{2} d x=0
$$

and this is true for any function $Q_{1}(x)$ such that

$$
\int_{0}^{1} Q_{0}(x)^{\alpha-1} Q_{1}(x) d x=0
$$

Thus we have as a necessary condition for a maximum the relation $y^{\prime \prime}(x)^{2}=$ $C Q_{0}(x)^{\alpha-1}$. It leads to a non-linear equation for $y$

$$
\left(\left|y^{\prime \prime}\right|^{2 /(\alpha-1)} y^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}+\lambda y^{\prime \prime}=0
$$

which is integrable. Indeed, if we put $y_{0}^{\prime \prime}=z$, then

$$
\left(|z|^{2 /(\alpha-1)} z\right)^{\prime \prime}+\lambda z=0
$$

and if we put now $z^{\prime}=P(z)$ we obtain a linear equation of first order for $P^{2}$. The weak point of this proof is that the function $\lambda(\varepsilon)$ can be nonregular, because the lowest eigen-value $\lambda$ can be double. Besides, the existence of the optimal solution was never proved.

The authors of [9] claimed to prove that the result of Keller-Tadjbakhsh [3] is not correct. However, their calculations are erroneous and the value $16 \pi^{2} / 3$ found in [3] is optimal.

We propose here another approach, allowing to say that the indicated solution is really optimal and gives the globally extremal value to the functional $L$. Let us remark that we had used the Sobolev's type spaces $W_{p}^{l}(0,1)$ with $l=1,2$ and any real values of $p \neq 0$, what is interesting also outside of the frames of the Lagrange problem. Furthermore, we prove the existence of the optimal
solution. The obtained results can be extended to the multi-dimensional case also. Close results for functionals depending on $y, y^{\prime}$ only have been obtained in our works [10]-[12].

The important role in that follows belongs to the functional

$$
G[y]=\frac{\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left|y^{\prime}(x)\right|^{p} d x\right)^{2 / p}}{\int_{0}^{1} y(x)^{2} d x}, \text { where } p=\frac{2 \alpha}{\alpha-1} .
$$

Let $R_{\alpha}$ be the set of bounded measurable functions $Q$ defined on $[0,1]$ satisfying the conditions (1).

Let $K_{p}(a, b)$ for real $p \neq 0$ be the set of non-decreasing real functions $y$ defined on $[a, b]$, absolutely continuous on $[a, b-\varepsilon]$ for any $\varepsilon>0$ and such that $y(0) \geq 0$,

$$
\int_{a}^{b} y^{\prime}(x)^{p} d x<\infty, \int_{a}^{b} y(x)^{2} d x<\infty
$$

Let $K_{p}(a, b, c)$ be the set of real functions $y$ defined on $[a, c]$ and such that $y \in K_{p}(a, b), y(-x) \in K_{p}(-c,-b), \int_{a}^{b}\left|y^{\prime}(x)\right|^{p} d x<\infty$ and $\int_{b}^{c}\left|y^{\prime}(x)\right|^{p} d x<\infty$.

Let $H$ be the set of functions $y$ belonging to $H^{2}(0,1)$ and satisfying the conditions (7).

Put at last

$$
m_{\alpha}=\inf _{Q \in R_{0}} \inf _{y \in H} L[Q, y], M_{\alpha}=\sup _{Q \in R_{\alpha}} \inf _{y \in H} L[Q, y] .
$$

Our aim is to find the values of $m_{\alpha}$ and $M_{\alpha}$ and the functions $Q, y$ realizing these extremal values.

### 6.2. Preliminary estimates

Theorem 14. Let $\alpha \in \mathrm{R} \backslash 0$. Then

1. $M_{\alpha}$ is finite for $\alpha>-1 / 2, \alpha \neq 0$ and $M_{\alpha}=\infty$ for $\alpha \leq-1 / 2$;
2. $m_{\alpha}>0$ for $\alpha \leq-1$ and $m_{\alpha}=0$ for $\alpha>-1$.

Proof.

1. If $\alpha>1$, then by the Hölder inequality

$$
\int_{0}^{1} Q(x) y^{\prime \prime}(x)^{2} d x \leq\left(\int_{0}^{1} Q(x)^{\alpha} d x\right)^{1 / \alpha}\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left|y^{\prime \prime}(x)\right|^{p} d x\right)^{2 / p}
$$

where $p=2 \alpha /(\alpha-1)$. Put $y_{0}(x)=x^{2}(1-x)^{2}$. Then

$$
\inf _{y \in H} L[Q, y] \leq \frac{\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left|y_{0}^{\prime \prime}(x)\right|^{p} d x\right)^{2 / p}}{\int_{0}^{1} y_{0}^{(x)^{2} d x}}=C .
$$

Therefore, $M_{\alpha} \leq C$.
Similarly, for $\alpha=1$ we have

$$
\inf _{y \in H} L[Q, y] \leq \frac{\max y_{0}^{\prime \prime}(x)^{2}}{\int_{0}^{1} y_{0}^{\prime}(x)^{2} d x}=C .
$$

2. Let $0<\alpha<1$ and $Q$ be a function from the class $R_{\alpha}$. According to Lemma A12, we can construct a function $y(x)$ such that

$$
y^{\prime \prime}(x)^{2}=[Q(x)+1]^{\alpha-1}, y(0)=y^{\prime}(0)=y(1)=y^{\prime}(1)=0 .
$$

By our construction

$$
\int_{0}^{1}\left|y^{\prime \prime}(x)\right|^{p} d x=\int_{0}^{1}[Q(x)+1]^{\alpha} d x,
$$

where $p=2 \alpha /(\alpha-1)$. Let $r$ be the maximum point of the function $y(x)$. The function $y^{\prime}$ satisfies the conditions of Lemma A6 on the intervals $[0, r]$ and $[r, 1]$. Therefore, $L[Q, y] \leq L[Q+1, y]=G\left[y^{\prime}\right] \leq C$ and thus $M_{\alpha} \leq C$.
3. Let now $0>\alpha>-1 / 2$. We will use the same function $y(x)$ as above, in s.2. Let $p=2 \alpha /(\alpha-1)$. Then $0<p<2 / 3$. Using Lemma A6, as above, we obtain that

$$
\int_{0}^{1} y^{\prime}(x)^{2} d x \geq C>0
$$

and therefore, $M_{\alpha} \leq C^{-1}$.
4. Let $\alpha<-1 / 2$ and $\varepsilon \in(0,1 / 10)$. Let

$$
Q(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\varepsilon^{-1 / \alpha}(1-\varepsilon)^{1 / \alpha}, \\
(1-\varepsilon)^{-1 / \alpha} \varepsilon^{1 / \alpha}, \\
\text { if } \varepsilon<x<\varepsilon,
\end{array}\right.
$$

so that

$$
\int_{0}^{1} Q(x)^{\alpha} d x=1
$$

Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{1} y^{\prime}(x)^{2} d x & \leq \varepsilon^{2} \int_{0}^{\varepsilon} y^{\prime \prime}(x)^{2} d x+(1-\varepsilon)^{2} \int_{\varepsilon}^{1} y^{\prime \prime}(x)^{2} d x \\
= & (1-\varepsilon)^{-1 / \alpha} \varepsilon^{2+1 / \alpha} \int_{0}^{\varepsilon} Q(x) y^{\prime \prime}(x)^{2} d x \\
+ & (1-\varepsilon)^{2+1 / \alpha} \varepsilon^{-1 / \alpha} \int_{\varepsilon}^{1} Q(x) y^{\prime \prime}(x)^{2} d x \\
& \leq 2 \varepsilon^{\tau} \int_{0}^{1} Q(x) y^{\prime \prime}(x)^{2} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\gamma=\min (2+1 / \alpha,-1 / \alpha)>0$, we obtain that

$$
M_{\alpha} \geq \varepsilon^{-\gamma} / 2
$$

and therefore $M_{\alpha}=\infty$.
5. Consider now the case when $\alpha=-1 / 2$. Put

$$
Q(x)=\max \left(x^{2} / \varepsilon^{2}, \delta^{2} / \varepsilon^{2}\right)
$$

where $\delta=\exp (1-1 / \varepsilon)$. Remark that

$$
\int_{0}^{1} Q(x)^{-1 / 2} d x=\delta \cdot \varepsilon / \delta+\int_{\delta}^{1} \varepsilon / x d x=\varepsilon-\varepsilon \ln \delta=1
$$

On the other hand, the well-known estimate

$$
\int_{0}^{1} y^{\prime}(x)^{2} d x \leq 4 \int_{0}^{1} x^{2} y^{\prime \prime}(x)^{2} d x
$$

valid for all functions $y^{\prime} \in W_{2}^{1}(0,1)$, vanishing at 0 , implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{1} y^{\prime}(x)^{2} d x & \leq 4 \delta^{2} \int_{0}^{\delta} y^{\prime \prime}(x)^{2} d x+4 \int_{\delta}^{1} x^{2} y^{\prime \prime}(x)^{2} d x \\
& \leq 4 \varepsilon^{2} \int_{0}^{1} Q(x) y^{\prime \prime}(x)^{2} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

It means that

$$
\inf _{y \in H} \frac{\int_{0}^{1} Q(x) y^{\prime \prime}(x)^{2} d x}{\int_{0}^{1} y^{\prime}(x)^{2} d x} \geq \frac{1}{4 \varepsilon^{2}}
$$

so that $M_{\alpha}=\infty$.
6. Let $\alpha \leq-1$. By the Hölder inequality

$$
\int_{0}^{1}\left|y^{\prime \prime}(x)\right|^{p} d x \leq\left(\int_{0}^{1} Q(x) y^{\prime \prime}(x)^{2} d x\right)^{p / 2}\left(\int_{0}^{1} Q(x)^{\alpha} d x\right)^{1 /(1-\alpha)}
$$

where $p=2 \alpha /(\alpha-1)$. Since $p \geq 1$, the inequality

$$
\int_{0}^{1} y^{\prime}(x)^{2} d x \leq \int_{0}^{1}\left(\int_{0}^{x}\left|y^{\prime \prime}(t)\right| d t\right)^{2} d x \leq\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left|y^{\prime \prime}(t)\right| d t\right)^{2} \leq\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left|y^{\prime \prime}(t)\right|^{p} d t\right)^{2 / p}
$$

holds for all functions $y \in H$, and thus $m_{\alpha} \geq 1$.
7. If $\alpha>0$, then we can take a function $y$ vanishing in $[0,1 / 2]$ and such that $\int_{0}^{1} y^{\prime 2} d x=1$. Since the function $Q$ can have arbitrarily small values in $[1 / 2,1]$, the value of $m_{\alpha}$ is equal to 0 .
8. Let $0>\alpha>-1$. Let us show that in this case $m_{\alpha}=0$. Put for that

$$
\begin{gathered}
y^{\prime}(x)= \begin{cases}2 x, & \text { if } 0<x<\varepsilon, \\
2 \varepsilon, & \text { if } \varepsilon<x<1 / 2-\varepsilon, \\
(1-2 x), & \text { if } 1 / 2-\varepsilon<x<1 / 2,\end{cases} \\
Q(x)= \begin{cases}\varepsilon^{-1 / \alpha}(1-\varepsilon)^{1 / \alpha}, & \text { if }|x-1 / 2|<\varepsilon / 4 \\
(1-\varepsilon)^{-1 / \alpha} \varepsilon^{1 / \alpha} & \text { or }|x-1 / 2|>1 / 2-\varepsilon / 4, \\
\text { for other } x .\end{cases}
\end{gathered}
$$

If $y(0)=0$, the function $y$ is defined for $0 \leq x \leq 1 / 2$. Let us put now $y(x)=-y(1-x)$ for $x \in(1 / 2,1)$. It is easy to see that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{0}^{1} Q(x)^{\alpha} d x=1 ; \int_{0}^{1} Q(x) y^{\prime \prime}(x)^{2} d x=4 \varepsilon^{1-1 / \alpha}(1-\varepsilon)^{1 / \alpha} \\
\int_{0}^{1} y^{\prime}(x) d x=0, \int_{0}^{1} y^{\prime}(x)^{2} d x=16 \varepsilon^{3} / 3+4 \varepsilon^{2}(1-\varepsilon)
\end{gathered}
$$

Therefore,

$$
m_{\alpha} \leq \frac{\int_{0}^{1} Q(x) y^{\prime \prime}(x)^{2} d x}{\int_{0}^{1} y^{\prime}(x)^{2} d x} \leq \varepsilon^{-1-1 / \alpha}
$$

and since $-1-1 / \alpha>0$, the value of $m_{\alpha}$ is equal to zero.

### 6.3. Precise results

Now we consider the question on the attainability of the extremal values of the functional $L$.

Theorem 15. If $\alpha<-1$, then there exist a function $y \in H$ and a function $Q$ satisfying (1), such that

$$
L[Q, y]=m_{\alpha}=\frac{4(2 \alpha+1)}{\alpha}\left(\frac{\alpha+1}{2 \alpha+1}\right)^{1-1 / \alpha} B\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2 \alpha}\right)^{2}
$$

where $B$ is the Euler function.
If $1>\alpha>-1 / 2, \alpha \neq 0$, then there exist a function $y_{0} \in H$ and a function $Q$ satisfying (1), such that

$$
\inf _{y} L[Q, y]=L\left[Q, y_{0}\right]=M_{\alpha} .
$$

Furthermore, if $\alpha \geq 1$, then

$$
M_{\alpha} \leq \frac{4(2 \alpha+1)}{\alpha}\left(\frac{1+\alpha}{1+2 \alpha}\right)^{1-1 / \alpha} B\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2 \alpha}\right)^{2} .
$$

If $0<\alpha<1$, then

$$
M_{\alpha}=4 \frac{(2 \alpha+1)}{\alpha}\left(\frac{1+\alpha}{1+2 \alpha}\right)^{1-1 / \alpha} B\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2 \alpha}\right)^{2} .
$$

If $-1 / 2<\alpha<0$, then

$$
M_{\alpha}=-4 \frac{2 \alpha+1}{\alpha}\left(\frac{1+\alpha}{1+2 \alpha}\right)^{1-1 / \alpha}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d t}{\left(1+t^{2}\right)^{1 / 2-1 / 2 \alpha}}\right)^{2}
$$

Remark 16. In particular, in the classical Lagrange problem with $\alpha=1 / 2$ the optimal function $Q(x)$ is defined on $(0,1)$ in the parametric form as follows

$$
x=(2 t+\sin 2 t) / 4 \pi, Q(x)=16 \cos ^{4} t / 9 ; 0 \leq t \leq 2 \pi
$$

The optimal value $M=16 \pi^{2} / 3$ has been indicated by Keller-Tadjbaksh in [3]. The optimal column has two points at which $Q(x)$ vanishes.

Remark also that we don't know the sharp value of $M_{\alpha}$ if $\alpha>1$ and cannot prove that the optimal functions $Q, y_{0}$ do exist in this case.

Corollary 17. If $p=1$, then $m=16$.
Proof. For all $y \in K$, and $p>1$ we have

$$
m \int_{0}^{1} y(x)^{2} d x \leq\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left|y^{\prime}(x)\right|^{p} d x\right)^{2 / p}
$$

and $\lim _{p \rightarrow 1+0} m=16$. Therefore, $m \geq 16$. On the other hand, putting $y(x)=1$ for $\varepsilon<x<1 / 2-\varepsilon, y(x)=-1$ for $1 / 2+\varepsilon<x<1-\varepsilon, y(x)=x / \varepsilon$ for $0<x<\varepsilon, y(x)=(1 / 2-x) / \varepsilon$ for $1 / 2-\varepsilon<x<1 / 2+\varepsilon$ and $y(x)=(x-1) / \varepsilon$ for $1-\varepsilon<x<1$, we can see that

$$
\int_{0}^{1} y(x)^{2} d x=1-8 \varepsilon^{2} / 3, \int_{0}^{1}\left|y^{\prime}(x)\right| d x=4
$$

and so $m=16$.
In the same way one can prove the following
Corollary 18. If $p=\infty$, then $m=\lim _{p \rightarrow \infty} m(p)=48$. The estimate is realized by the function $y_{1}$ equal to $1 / 4-|x-1 / 4|$ for $0<x<1 / 2$ and to $|x-3 / 4|-1 / 4$ for $1 / 2<x<1$.
Corollary 19. Let $-1 / 2<\alpha<1, \alpha \neq 0$ and $z(x)=y_{0}(x)$ for $0<x<1 / 2$, $z(x)=-y_{0}(x-1 / 2)$ for $1 / 2<x<1$, where $y_{0}$ is the function found in Lemmas A5 and $A 6$ for $r=1 / 2$ and $p=2 \alpha /(\alpha-1)$. Put $Q(x)=\left|z^{\prime}(x)\right|^{2 /(\alpha-1)}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q(x)=Q(1-x) \text { for } 0<x<1, Q(x)=Q(1 / 2-x) \text { for } 0<x<1 / 2, \\
& \qquad \begin{array}{c}
Q(x)=c|x-1 / 4|^{\gamma}[1+o(1)] \text { as } x \rightarrow 1 / 4, \\
Q(x)=c|x-3 / 4|^{\gamma}[1+o(1)] \text { as } x \rightarrow 3 / 4,
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\gamma=2 /(\alpha+1) \in] 1,2[$ if $\alpha>0$ and $\gamma=2$ if $\alpha<0$.
Lemma 20. Let $0<\alpha<1$ and $Q(x)$ be the function found in Corollary 19.
Let

$$
m_{1}=\inf _{y \in H_{0}^{2}(0,1)} \frac{\int_{0}^{1} Q(x) y^{\prime \prime}(x)^{2} d x}{\int_{0}^{1} y^{\prime}(x)^{2} d x}
$$

Then $m_{1}=m$, where $m$ was indicated in Theorem 15. The minimal value is attained on the function $y_{1}$ that is equal to $\int_{0}^{x} z(t) d t$.
Proof. Consider the minimizing sequence $y_{k}(x)$ such that $\int_{0}^{1} y_{k}^{\prime}(x)^{2} d x=1$, then the integrals $\int_{0}^{1 / 4-\varepsilon} y_{k}^{\prime \prime}(x)^{2} d x, \int_{1 / 4+\varepsilon}^{3 / 4-\varepsilon} y_{k}^{\prime \prime}(x)^{2} d x$ and $\int_{3 / 4+\varepsilon}^{1} y_{k}^{\prime \prime}(x)^{2} d x$ are
bounded and one can choose a subsequence converging almost everywhere in $(0,1 / 4-\varepsilon),(1 / 4+\varepsilon, 3 / 4-\varepsilon)$ and $(3 / 4+\varepsilon, 1)$ in $H^{1}$ and weakly in $H^{2}(0,1 / 4-\varepsilon)$, $H^{2}(1 / 4+\varepsilon, 3 / 4-\varepsilon)$ and $H^{2}(3 / 4+\varepsilon, 1)$. Using the diagonalization, one can find a subsequence converging almost everywhere in $(0,1)$ to $y_{1}(x)$.

Let us show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{1 / 4-\varepsilon}^{1 / 4-\varepsilon} y_{k}^{\prime}(x)^{2} d x+\int_{3 / 4-\varepsilon}^{3 / 4-\varepsilon} y_{k}^{\prime}(x)^{2} d x \leq \varepsilon^{2-\gamma} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

with a constant $C$ independent of $\varepsilon$ and $k$. Indeed, using the equality

$$
y_{k}^{\prime}(1 / 4-\varepsilon)=\int_{0}^{1 / 4-\varepsilon} y_{k}^{\prime \prime}(x) d x
$$

we see that

$$
y_{k}^{\prime}(1 / 4-\varepsilon)^{2} \leq \int_{0}^{1 / 4-\varepsilon} Q(x) y_{k}^{\prime \prime}(x)^{2} d x \int_{0}^{1 / 4-\varepsilon} Q(x)^{-1} d x \leq C_{1} \varepsilon^{1-\gamma}
$$

Since $\int_{1 / 4+\varepsilon}^{3 / 4-\varepsilon} y_{k}^{\prime}(x)^{2} d x<1$, there exists a $\left.\theta_{k} \in\right] 1 / 4+\varepsilon, 3 / 4-\varepsilon[$ such that $y_{k}^{\prime}\left(\theta_{k}\right)^{2} \leq 2$ and

$$
y_{k}^{\prime}(1 / 4+\varepsilon)^{2} \leq 4+\int_{\theta_{k}}^{1 / 4+\epsilon} Q(x) y_{k}^{\prime \prime}(x)^{2} d x \int_{\theta_{k}}^{1 / 4+\epsilon} Q(x)^{-1} d x \leq C_{2} \varepsilon^{1-\gamma}
$$

Analogously, we have

$$
y_{k}^{\prime}(3 / 4-\varepsilon)^{2} \leq C_{1} \varepsilon^{1-\gamma}, y_{k}^{\prime}(3 / 4+\varepsilon)^{2} \leq C_{2} \varepsilon^{1-\gamma}
$$

If $1 / 4-\varepsilon<x<1 / 4$, then

$$
\begin{gathered}
y_{k}^{\prime}(x)^{2} \leq 2 y_{k}^{\prime}(1 / 4-\varepsilon)^{2} \\
+\int_{1 / 4-\varepsilon}^{x} Q(x) y_{k}^{\prime \prime}(x)^{2} d x \int_{1 / 4-\varepsilon}^{x} Q(x)^{-1} d x \leq C_{3} \varepsilon^{1-\gamma}
\end{gathered}
$$

and therefore,

$$
\int_{1 / 4-\varepsilon}^{1 / 4} y_{k}^{\prime}(x)^{2} d x \leq C_{3} \varepsilon^{1-\gamma}
$$

So (9) is valid. Since we can assume that $y_{k}^{\prime}(x)$ converge uniformly to $y_{1}^{\prime}(x)$ outside of $\varepsilon$-neighbourhood of the points $1 / 4$ and $3 / 4$ we see that

Then

$$
\int_{0}^{1} y_{1}^{\prime}(x)^{2} d x=1, \int_{0}^{1} Q(x) y_{1}^{\prime \prime}(x)^{2} d x \leq m_{1}
$$

However, $m_{1}$ is the minimal possible value of the latter integral. Therefore, $\int_{0}^{1} Q(x) y_{1}^{\prime \prime}(x)^{2} d x=m_{1}$. The function $y_{1}$ satisfies the equation

$$
\left(Q(x) y_{1}^{\prime \prime}(x)\right)^{\prime \prime}+m_{1} y_{1}^{\prime \prime}(x)=0
$$

$y_{1}(0)=0, y_{1}^{\prime}(0)=0, y_{1}(1)=0, y_{1}^{\prime}(1)=0$. The function $z(x)=y_{1}(x)+$ $y_{1}(1-x)$ is also minimizing, if it does not vanish identically.

If $z(x) \not \equiv 0$, then it is even and

$$
Q(x) z^{\prime \prime}(x)+m_{1} z=C
$$

Using Lemma A9 we see that $C=m_{1} z(1 / 4)=m_{1} z(3 / 4)$. Put $u=z-z(1 / 4)$. Then

$$
Q(x) u^{\prime \prime}+m_{1} u=0, u(1 / 4)=u(3 / 4)=0 .
$$

On the other hand, if $v=y_{0}(x)-y_{0}(1 / 4)$, then

$$
Q(x) v^{\prime \prime}+m v=0, v(1 / 4)=v(3 / 4)=0
$$

and $v>0$ in ( $1 / 4,3 / 4$ ). If $u$ vanishes in ( $1 / 4,3 / 4$ ), then by the Sturm's theorem, $m_{1}>m$, what is impossible. If $u$ does not vanish in ( $1 / 4,3 / 4$ ), then we obtain using Lemma A9 that

$$
\left(m_{1}-m\right) \int_{1 / 4}^{3 / 4} \frac{u v}{Q} d x=\left.\left(u^{\prime} v-u v^{\prime}\right)\right|_{x=1 / 4} ^{x=3 / 4}=0
$$

and $m_{1}=m$.
If $z(x) \equiv 0$, then $y_{1}$ is odd and

$$
Q(x) y_{1}^{\prime \prime}(x)+m_{1} y_{1}=A(x-1 / 2)
$$

where $A$ is a constant. Applying Lemma A9, we see that $A=-4 m_{1} y_{1}(1 / 4)$. Putting $u(x)=y_{1}(x)-A(x-1 / 2) / m_{1}$, we obtain that

$$
Q u^{\prime \prime}+m_{1} u=0 .
$$

Moreover, $u(1 / 4)=u(1 / 2)=u(3 / 4)=0$. Applying once again the Sturm's theorem, we see that $m_{1}>m$, what is impossible.

Lemma 21. Let $-1 / 2<\alpha<0$ and $Q(x)$ be the function found in Corollary 19. Let

$$
m_{1}=\inf _{y \in H_{0}^{2}(0,1)} \frac{\int_{0}^{1} Q(x) y^{\prime \prime}(x)^{2} d x}{\int_{0}^{1} y^{\prime}(x)^{2} d x}
$$

Then $m_{1}=m$, where $m$ was indicated in Theorem 15. The minimal value is attained on the function $y_{0}$ that is equal to $\int_{0}^{x} z(t) d t$.
Proof. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be the space of the functions $y$ which are absolutely continuous in $[0,1 / 4-\varepsilon[] 1 / 4+,\varepsilon, 3 / 4-\varepsilon[] 3 / 4+,\varepsilon, 1]$ for any $\varepsilon>0$ and such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
y \in L_{2}(0,1), \int_{0}^{1 / 4} Q(x) y^{\prime}(x)^{2} d x+\int_{1 / 4}^{3 / 4} Q(x) y^{\prime}(x)^{2} d x \\
+\int_{3 / 4}^{1} Q(x) y^{\prime}(x)^{2} d x<\infty, \int_{0}^{1} y(x) d x=0, y(0)=y(1)=0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

It is easy to see that $\mathcal{H}$ is a Hilbert space.
Let us show that for $y \in \mathcal{H}$ there exists a sequence $x_{k} \rightarrow 1 / 4$ such that $Q y y^{\prime}\left(x_{k}\right) \rightarrow 0$. If it is not so, then there exists a constant $c>0$ such that $Q y y^{\prime}(x) \geq c$ for $1 / 4-\varepsilon<x<1 / 4$. Then $\left|y y^{\prime}(x)\right| \geq c_{1}(1 / 4-x)^{-2}$ and therefore, $|y(x)| \geq c_{2}(1 / 4-x)^{-1}, c_{2}>0$. However, it contradicts to the condition that $y \in L_{2}(0,1)$. One can also find similar sequences converging to $1 / 4+0,3 / 4-0,3 / 4+0$.

The norm in $\mathcal{H}$ can be defined as

$$
\|y\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}=\int_{0}^{1 / 4} Q(x) y^{\prime}(x)^{2} d x+\int_{1 / 4}^{3 / 4} Q(x) y^{\prime}(x)^{2} d x+\int_{3 / 4}^{1} Q(x) y^{\prime}(x)^{2} d x
$$

Indeed, if $\|y\|_{\mathcal{H}}=0$, then $y^{\prime}(x)=0$ and $y=C$ on each of the intervals $(0,1 / 4)$, $(1 / 4,3 / 4)$ and $(3 / 4,1)$. Since $y(0)=y(1)=0$ we see that $y(x)=0$ in $(0,1 / 4)$ and $(3 / 4,1)$. Since $\int_{0}^{1} y(x) d x=0$, there exists a $\theta \in(1 / 4,3 / 4)$ such that $y(\theta)=0$ so that $y(x)=0$ in $(0,1)$.

Let us verify that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|y\|_{L_{2}} \leq C\|y\|_{\mathcal{H}} . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, by the Hardy inequality we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{0}^{1 / 4} y(x)^{2} d x \leq 4 \int_{0}^{1 / 4}(x-1 / 4)^{2} y^{\prime}(x)^{2} d x \leq \int_{0}^{1 / 4} Q(x) y^{\prime}(x)^{2} d x \\
\int_{3 / 4}^{1} y(x)^{2} d x \leq 4 \int_{3 / 4}^{1}(x-3 / 4)^{2} y^{\prime}(x)^{2} d x \leq \int_{3 / 4^{1}} Q(x) y^{\prime}(x)^{2} d x
\end{gathered}
$$

If $y$ vanishes at a point $\theta \in(1 / 4,3 / 4)$, then the same inequalities are valid in the intervals $(1 / 4, \theta)$ and $(\theta, 3 / 4)$. Otherwise,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{1 / 4}^{3 / 4}|y(x)| d x \leq \int_{0}^{1 / 4}|y(x)| d x+\int_{3 / 4}^{1}|y(x)| d x \\
\left.\leq 1 / 2\left[\left(\int_{0}^{1 / 4}|y(x)|^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2}+\int_{3 / 4}^{1}|y(x)|^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2}\right] \\
\left.\leq \sqrt{C} / 2\left[\left(\int_{0}^{1 / 4} Q(x)\left|y^{\prime}(x)\right|^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2}+\int_{3 / 4}^{1} Q(x)\left|y^{\prime}(x)\right|^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

and there is a point $\theta \in(1 / 4,3 / 4)$ such that

$$
|y(\theta)|^{2} \leq 2 C\left(\int_{0}^{1 / 4} Q(x)\left|y^{\prime}(x)\right|^{2} d x+\int_{3 / 4}^{1} Q(x)\left|y^{\prime}(x)\right|^{2} d x\right)
$$

Since

$$
\int_{1 / 4}^{3 / 4}|y(x)-y(\theta)|^{2} d x \leq C \int_{1 / 4}^{3 / 4} Q(x) y^{\prime}(x)^{2} d x
$$

we obtain that

$$
\int_{1 / 4}^{3 / 4}|y(x)|^{2} d x \leq C_{1} \int_{0}^{1 / 4} Q(x) y^{\prime}(x)^{2} d x
$$

and (10) is proved.
Let us verify that the operator $A$ defined in $\mathcal{H} \cap H^{2}(0,1)$ as $A y=-\left(Q(x) y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}$ is closed in $L_{2}(0,1)$. Let $y_{k} \rightarrow y, A y_{k} \rightarrow v$ in $L_{2}(0,1)$. The equation $A u=v$ has a solution $u \in \mathcal{H}$ since $v \in L_{2}(0,1)$. It follows from the Riesz theorem and (10).

Applying (10) we see that

$$
\left\|y_{k}-u\right\|_{L_{2}(0,1)} \leq C\left\|y_{k}-u\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}=C\left\|A\left(y_{k}-u\right)\right\|_{L_{2}(0,1)}=C\left\|A y_{k}-v\right\|_{L_{2}(0,1)}
$$

and therefore, $\left\|y_{k}-u\right\|_{L_{2}(0,1)} \in 0$ so that $u=y$.
On the other hand, if $A u=0, u \in \mathcal{H}$, then (10) implies that $u=0$. Therefore, the operator $A$ is self-adjoint.

If $m_{1}<m$, then there exists a function $v \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $(A v, v)<m(v, v)$. However, then there exists an eigen-function $u$ such that

$$
A u=\lambda u, u \in \mathcal{H}, \lambda<m
$$

So we have

$$
\left(Q(x) u^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+\lambda u=0,\left(Q(x) z^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+m z=0
$$

Since the mean value of $u$ vanishes, there exists $\left.x_{0} \in\right] 0,1\left[\right.$ such that $u\left(x_{0}\right)=0$. We may assume that $0<x_{0} \leq 1 / 2$ and $u(x)>0$ on $] 0, x_{0}[$. Multiplying the first equation by $z$, the second by $y$ and integrating the difference over $] 0, x_{0}[$, we see that

$$
\left.\left(Q(x) u^{\prime}(x) z(x)-Q(x) u(x) z^{\prime}(x)\right)\right|_{x=0} ^{\mid x x_{0}}+(\lambda-m) \int_{0}^{x_{0}} u(x) z(x) d x=0
$$

If $x_{0}>1 / 4$, we have used here the vanishing of the functions $Q u^{\prime} z$ and $Q u z^{\prime}$ at $x=1 / 4$. On the other hand,

$$
z(0)=u(0)=u\left(x_{0}\right)=0, u^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)<0, z\left(x_{0}\right) \geq 0,
$$

so that

$$
(\lambda-m) \int_{0}^{x_{0}} u(x) z(x) d x \geq 0 .
$$

Since $u(x) z(x)>0$ on $] 0, x_{0}$, we obtain that $\lambda \geq m$ in contradiction to our assumption. Therefore, $m_{1}=m$ and the proof is complete.

Proof of Theorem 15. Let $Q$ be an arbitrary positive function, satisfying (6).

Let at first $\alpha \leq-1$. Then by the Hölder inequality

$$
\int_{0}^{1} Q(x)\left|y^{\prime \prime}(x)\right|^{2} d x \geq\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left|y^{\prime \prime}(x)\right|^{p} d x\right)^{2 / p}\left(\int_{0}^{1} Q(x)^{\alpha} d x\right)^{1 / \alpha}
$$

where $p=2 \alpha /(\alpha-1), 2>p \geq 1$. Therefore, $L[Q, y] \geq G\left[y^{\prime}\right]$. Lemma A13 implies the existence of the function $y_{0} \in W_{p, 0}^{2}(0,1)$ satisfying the conditions

$$
\int_{0}^{1}\left|y_{0}^{\prime \prime}(x)\right|^{p} d x=1, G\left[y_{0}^{\prime}\right] \leq G\left[y^{\prime}\right]
$$

for all $y \in W_{2,0}^{2}(0,1)$ such that $\int_{0}^{1}\left|y^{\prime \prime}(x)\right|^{p} d x=1$ and the value of $m$ is also indicated in Lemma A13. Therefore, we have $m=m_{\alpha}=L\left[Q, y_{0}\right]$, if $Q(x)=$ $\left|y_{\mathrm{o}}^{\prime \prime}\right|^{2 /(\alpha-1)}$. If $p=1$, the optimal functions $Q$ and $y$ do not exist, but the value of $m$ was indicated in Corollary 17.

Now let $\alpha>-1 / 2, \alpha \neq 0$. Then by Lemma A12 there exists a function $y_{Q}(x)$ such that $Q(x)=\left|y_{0}^{\prime \prime}\right|^{2 /(\alpha-1)}$, so that $L\left[Q, y_{Q}\right]=G\left[y_{Q}^{\prime}\right]$ and therefore,
$M_{\alpha} \leq m$. On the other hand, we have the equality $L\left[Q_{0}, y_{0}\right]=G\left[y_{0}^{\prime}\right]$, if $Q_{0}(x)=\left|y_{0}^{\prime \prime}(x)\right|^{2 /(\alpha-1)}$ and $y_{0}$ is equal to $\int_{0}^{x} z(t) d t$, where $z$ is the function indicated in Corollary 19. If $-1 / 2<\alpha<0$ or $0<\alpha<1$, then by Lemmas 20 and 21 we have

$$
m=\inf _{y \in H_{0}^{2}} \frac{\int_{0}^{1} Q_{0}(x) y^{\prime \prime}(x)^{2} d x}{\int_{0}^{1} y^{\prime}(x)^{2} d x}=L\left[Q_{0}, y_{0}\right] .
$$

The proof is complete.

## 7. Appendix. Technical Lemmas

Lemma A1. Let $p$ be a real number, $p<2 / 3, p \neq 0$. Then the function

$$
F(x, y)=x^{2 / p} y^{3-2 / p}+(1-x)^{2 / p}(1-y)^{3-2 / p}, 0 \leq x \leq 1,0 \leq y \leq 1
$$

has the minimal value $F_{\text {min }}=1 / 4$ at $x=y=1 / 2$.
Proof. Remark that among two exponents $2 / p$ and $3-2 / p$ one is always positive and another is negative. Let for definiteviness $p<0$. Then for $y \neq 0,1$

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow+0} F(x, y)=+\infty, \lim _{x \rightarrow 1-0} F(x, y)=+\infty
$$

If $y=0$, then $F(x, 0)=(1-x)^{2 / p} \geq 1$ and for $y=1$ we have $F(x, 1)=x^{2 / p} \geq 1$. Therefore, the values of $F$ on the boundary of the square are $\geq 1$.

If $x<\delta, y<\delta$, then

$$
\begin{gathered}
F(x, y) \geq(1-x)^{2 / p}(1-y)^{3-2 / p} \geq(1-x)^{2 / p} \geq(1-\delta)^{2 / p} \text { for } p>0 \\
F(x, y) \geq(1-x)^{2 / p}(1-y)^{3-2 / p} \geq(1-y)^{3-2 / p} \geq(1-\delta)^{3-2 / p} \text { for } p<0
\end{gathered}
$$

and therefore $F(x, y)>3 / 4$, if $\delta$ is small enough. On the other hand, we have $F(1 / 2,1 / 2)=1 / 4$.

The same is true for a small neighbourhood of the points $(0,1),(1,0)$ and (1, 1).

Therefore, the function $F$ has an inner minimum point $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)$. We have at this point

$$
\partial F\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right) / \partial x=2 / p\left[x_{0}^{2 / p-1} y_{0}^{3-2 / p}-\left(1-x_{0}\right)^{2 / p-1}\left(1-y_{0}\right)^{3-2 / p}\right]=0
$$

$$
\partial F\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right) / \partial y=(3-2 / p)\left[x_{0}^{2 / p} y_{0}^{2-2 / p}-\left(1-x_{0}\right)^{2 / p}\left(1-y_{0}\right)^{2-2 / p}\right]=0 .
$$

Then

$$
\begin{align*}
x_{0}^{2 / p-1} y_{0}^{3-2 / p} & =\left(1-x_{0}\right)^{2 / p-1}\left(1-y_{0}\right)^{3-2 / p}, \\
x_{0}^{2 / p} y_{0}^{2-2 / p} & =\left(1-x_{0}\right)^{2 / p}\left(1-y_{0}\right)^{2-2 / p} . \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

Dividing term by term these equalities we obtain that

$$
y_{0} / x_{0}=\left(1-x_{0}\right) /\left(1-y_{0}\right),
$$

i.e. $x_{0}=y_{0}$. Then (1) implies that $x_{0}^{2}=\left(1-x_{0}\right)^{2}$ and thus $x_{0}=1 / 2$.

Lemma A2. Let $p$ be a negative number. Then for all functions $y \in K_{p}(0, h)$ the following estimate is valid:

$$
\left(\int_{0}^{h} y^{\prime}(x)^{p} d x\right)^{1 / p} \leq\left(\frac{h}{4}\right)^{1 / p-3 / 2}\left(\int_{0}^{h} y(x)^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2} .
$$

Proof. Let at first $h=1, \int_{0}^{1} y^{\prime}(x)^{p} d x=1$. Then $\int_{0}^{1 / 2} y^{\prime}(x)^{p} d x<1$. Let $E$ be the subset of points $x$ in $[0,1 / 2]$ such that $y^{\prime}(x)>4^{1 / p}$ and $\mu$ its measure. Then obviously

$$
1>4(1 / 2-\mu)
$$

i.e. $\mu>1 / 4$. Therefore,

$$
y(1 / 2) \geq \int_{0}^{1 / 2} y^{\prime}(x) d x>\int_{E} y^{\prime}(x) d x>4^{1 / p-1}
$$

Since $y$ is increasing, we have $y(x)>4^{1 / p-1}$ for $x>1 / 2$. Then

$$
\int_{0}^{1} y(x) d x>\int_{1 / 2}^{1} y(x) d x>4^{1 / p-3 / 2} .
$$

Therefore,

$$
\left(\int_{0}^{1} y^{\prime}(x)^{p} d x\right)^{1 / p} \leq 4^{3 / 2-1 / p} \int_{0}^{1} y(x) d x \leq 4^{3 / 2-1 / p}\left(\int_{0}^{1} y^{2}(x) d x\right)^{1 / 2} .
$$

Let $h \neq 1$ and $y \in K_{p}(0, h)$. Then the function $z(x)=y(h x) \in K_{p}(0, h)$ and we can apply the proved estimate to the function $z$,so that

$$
\left(\int_{0}^{1} z^{\prime}(x)^{p} d x\right)^{1 / p} \leq 4^{3 / 2-1 / p}\left(\int_{0}^{1} z^{2}(x) d x\right)^{1 / 2} .
$$

Thus

$$
\left(\int_{0}^{h} y^{\prime}(x)^{p} d x\right)^{1 / p} \leq(4 / h)^{3 / 2-1 / p}\left(\int_{0}^{h} y^{2}(x) d x\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

and the proof is complete.
Lemma A3. Let $p$ be a real number, $0<p<2 / 3$. Then there exists a constant $C=C(p)$ independent of $y$ and $h$ such that

$$
\left(\int_{0}^{h} y^{\prime}(x)^{p} d x\right)^{1 / p} \leq C(p) h^{1 / p-3 / 2}\left(\int_{0}^{h} y(x)^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2}, y \in K_{p}(0, h) .
$$

Proof. Let at first $h=1, \int_{0}^{1} y^{\prime}(x)^{p} d x=1$. Then there is a point $t_{1} \in(0,1)$ such that

$$
\int_{0}^{t_{1}} y^{\prime}(x)^{p} d x=\int_{t_{1}}^{1} y^{\prime}(x)^{p} d x=1 / 2
$$

By the Hölder inequality we have

$$
1 / 2 \leq\left(\int_{0}^{t_{1}} y^{\prime}(x) d x\right)^{p} \cdot t_{1}^{1-p}
$$

Therefore,

$$
1 / 2 \leq y\left(t_{1}\right)^{p} \cdot t_{1}^{1-p} \leq y\left(t_{1}\right)^{p}
$$

and $y(x) \geq 2^{-1 / p}$ for $x \geq t_{1}$. By the Hölder inequality

$$
\begin{gathered}
1 / 2=\int_{t_{1}}^{1} y^{\prime}(x)^{p} y^{2 p-2} y^{2-2 p} d x \\
\leq\left(\int_{t_{1}}^{1} y^{\prime}(x) y(x)^{2-2 / p} d x\right)^{p}\left(\int_{t_{1}}^{1} y(x)^{2} d x\right)^{1-p} \leq C_{p}\left(\int_{0}^{1} y(x)^{2} d x\right)^{1-p}
\end{gathered}
$$

where $C_{p}=p 2^{(2-3 p) / p} /(2-3 p)$. Thus for $p<2 / 3$ we have

$$
\int_{0}^{1} y(x)^{2} d x \geq\left(2 C_{p}\right)^{1 /(p-1)}
$$

that gives the result with $C=\left(2 C_{p}\right)^{1 /(1-p)}$.
If $\int_{0}^{1} y^{\prime}(x)^{p} d x=I \neq 1$, then one can take instead of the function $y(x)$ the function $y(x) I^{-1 / p}$. If $h \neq 1$, one can apply the obtained inequality to the function $y(x h)$.

Remark that the constants in the estimates in Lemmas A2 and A3 are not the best possible. The exact constants are indicated in Lemmas A5 and A6 below.

Lemma A4. Let $p<2 / 3, p \neq 0,0<r \leq 1$. Let

$$
m_{1}=\sup _{h \in(0, r)} \sup _{y \in K_{P}(0, h, r)} G[y],
$$

where

$$
G[y]=\frac{\left(\int_{0}^{r}\left|y^{\prime}(x)\right|^{p} d x\right)^{2 / p}}{\int_{0}^{r} y(x)^{2} d x}
$$

Then there exists a constant $C_{1}$ independent of $r$ such that $m_{1} \leq C_{1} r^{2 / p-3}$.
Proof. Let at first $r=1$. By Lemmas A2 and A3 we have for $y \in K_{p}(0, h, r)$ the inequalities

$$
\begin{gathered}
h^{3-2 / p}\left(\int_{0}^{h}\left|y^{\prime}(x)\right|^{p} d x\right)^{2 / p} \leq C \int_{0}^{h} y(x)^{2} d x \\
(1-h)^{3-2 / p}\left(\int_{h}^{1}\left|y^{\prime}(x)\right|^{p} d x\right)^{2 / p} \leq C \int_{h}^{1} y(x)^{2} d x
\end{gathered}
$$

where the value of $C$, corresponding to $h=1$, was found in Lemmas 1 and 2. Let $\int_{0}^{1} y^{\prime}(x)^{p} d x=1$ and $\int_{0}^{h} y^{\prime}(x)^{p} d x=a$. Then

$$
a^{2 / p} h^{3-2 / p}+(1-a)^{2 / p}(1-h)^{3-2 / p} \leq C \int_{0}^{1} y(x)^{2} d x
$$

By Lemma A1 the function $F(a, h)=a^{2 / p} h^{3-2 / p}+(1-a)^{2 / p}(1-h)^{3-2 / p}$ defined in the square $0<a<1,0<h<1$ has the minimal value $1 / 4$ at the point $a=h=1 / 2$. Therefore,

$$
C \int_{0}^{1} y(x)^{2} d x \geq 1 / 4
$$

i.e. $G[y] \leq 4 C$ for all admissible $y$. In order to obtain the result for an arbitrary $r$ it suffices to substitute the function $y(x)$ by $y(x r)$.
Lemma A5. Let $0<p<2 / 3$ and $m=\sup _{y \in K_{p}(0, h)} G[y]$. Then

$$
m=\left(\frac{2-2 p}{2-3 p}\right)^{2 / p}\left(\frac{2}{p}-3\right) h^{2 / p-3}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d t}{\left(1+t^{2}\right)^{1 / p}}\right)^{2}
$$

and there exists a function $y_{0}(x) \in K_{p}(0, h)$ such that $G\left[y_{0}\right]=m$. Besides, as $x \rightarrow h$ we have

$$
y_{0}(x)=c_{1}(h-x)^{p /(p-2)}[1+o(1)], y_{0}^{\prime}(x)=c_{2}(h-x)^{2 /(p-2)}[1+o(1)] .
$$

Proof. Let at first $h=1$ and $\left\{y_{k}\right\}$ be such a sequence of functions of $K_{p}(0,1)$ that $\int_{0}^{1} y_{k}^{\prime}(x)^{p} d x=1$ and $G\left[y_{k}\right] \rightarrow m$.

Let us show that we can assume all functions $y_{k}$ be smooth. Let $y \in$ $K_{p}(0,1)$. Let us define $y$ on the whole line putting $y_{1}(x)=0$ for $x<0$, $y_{1}(x)=y(x)$ for $0<x<1-\varepsilon$ and $y_{1}(x)=y(1-\varepsilon)$ for $x>1-\varepsilon$. Obviously $\int_{0}^{1}\left|y_{1}(x)-y(x)\right|^{2} d x \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ and $\int_{1-\varepsilon}^{1}\left|y^{\prime}(x)\right|^{p} d x \rightarrow 0$, as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. It allows to assume that $y_{k}$ are bounded functions.

Let now $y \in K_{p}(0,1)$ and $0 \leq y(x) \leq C$. Then $\int_{0}^{1}\left|y^{\prime}(x)\right| d x=y(h) \leq C$. Put $z_{k}(x)=y_{k}(x)-y_{k}(0)$, where $y_{k}$ is the averaging of $y$ with a positive kernel such that

$$
y_{k}(x)=k \int K(k(x-t)) y(t) d t, \int K(t) d t=1, K(t) \geq 0, K \in C_{0}^{\infty}(-1,1)
$$

Remark that $\left|y_{k}(0)\right| \leq \delta_{k} \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, so that $z_{k}$ converge to $y(x)$ uniformly, $z_{k}^{\prime}(x) \geq 0$ and

$$
\int_{0}^{1}\left|z_{k}(x)-y(x)\right|^{2} d x \rightarrow 0, \quad \int_{0}^{1}\left|z_{k}^{\prime}(x)-y^{\prime}(x)\right| d x \rightarrow 0
$$

We have

$$
\int_{0}^{1}\left|z_{k}^{\prime}(x)-y^{\prime}(x)\right|^{p} d x \leq\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left|z_{k}^{\prime}(x)-y^{\prime}(x)\right| d x\right)^{p} .
$$

The elementary inequality

$$
\left|a^{p}-b^{p}\right| \leq|a-b|^{p},
$$

valid for all $a, b$ such that $a \geq 0, b \geq 0$, implies that $\int_{0}^{1} z_{k}^{\prime}(x)^{p} d x \rightarrow \int_{0}^{1} y^{\prime}(x)^{p} d x$. This allows us to assume that all $y_{k}$ are smooth functions.

We will call a function $y$ convex, if its derivative is decreasing, and concave, if its derivative is increasing.

Let us show that if $y_{k}$ is convex in an interval $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$, where $0 \leq x_{1} \leq$ $x_{2} \leq 1$, then it is possible to substitute it by the linear function

$$
z(x)=y_{k}\left(x_{1}\right)+\gamma\left(x-x_{1}\right), \text { where } \gamma=\left[y_{k}\left(x_{2}\right)-y_{k}\left(x_{1}\right)\right] /\left(x_{2}-x_{1}\right)
$$

and the value of $G[z]$ is bigger than $G\left[y_{k}\right]$.
Indeed we have $y_{k}\left(x_{1}\right)=z\left(x_{1}\right), y_{k}\left(x_{2}\right)=z\left(x_{2}\right)$ and

$$
\int_{x_{1}}^{x_{2}} y_{k}(x)^{2} d x \geq \int_{x_{1}}^{x_{2}} z(x)^{2} d x
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{x_{1}}^{x_{2}} y_{k}^{\prime}(x)^{p} d x \leq\left(\int_{x_{1}}^{x_{2}} y_{k}^{\prime}(x) d x\right)^{p}\left(\int_{x_{1}}^{x_{2}} d x\right)^{1-p} \\
=\gamma^{p}\left(x_{2}-x_{1}\right)=\int_{x_{1}}^{x_{2}} z^{\prime}(x)^{p} d x
\end{gathered}
$$

If $z$ coincides with $y_{k}$ outside the interval $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$, then $G[z] \geq G\left[y_{k}\right]$.
We can do the same for all other intervals where $y_{k}$ is convex. As the result we shall obtain the function $z_{k}$ that can be described in the following way. Consider the set of points $(x, y)$ such that $0<x<1$ and $y>y_{k}(x)$, and take the convex hull of this set. The lower boundary of the hull serves as the graph of the function $z_{k}$. Let us show that $z_{k} \in C^{1}(0,1)$. Indeed, if a point $x$ belongs to an interval of the straight line, then it is obvious that $z_{k}$ is smooth at this point. The same is true in the case when the point $\left(x, z_{k}(x)\right)$ belongs to a part of the graph of the function $y_{k}$. If $z_{k}$ is linear on one side of $x$ and coincides with $y_{k}$ on the other side, then $z_{k}$ is regular at $x$ since its graph is lying on one side of the straight line, obtaining by the continuation of the linear function. At last, if the point $\left(x, z_{k}(x)\right)$ is a limit point for a sequence of such points, then it is also a limit point for a sequence of points belonging to the graph of $y_{k}$ and the derivative $z_{k}^{\prime}(x)$ exists.

Therefore, if one changes every function $y_{k}$ by a concave function $z_{k}$ in the indicated way, then the sequence of new functions will be maximizing. It allows us to consider as maximizing the sequences of increasing concave functions, i.e. to suppose that the functions $y_{k}(x)$ and their derivatives $y_{k}^{\prime}(x)$ are increasing.

For large $k$ we have

$$
\int_{0}^{1} y_{k}(x)^{2} d x \leq m^{-1}+1
$$

Let $\varepsilon>0$ be small enough. There is a point $\theta_{k} \in(1-\varepsilon, 1)$ such that $\left|y_{k}\left(\theta_{k}\right)\right|^{2} \leq$ $\left(m^{-1}+1\right) \varepsilon^{-1}$. Since the functions $y_{k}$ are monotone, they are uniformly bounded for $0 \leq x \leq 1-\varepsilon$.

Analogously, we can deduce from the equality $\int_{0}^{1} y_{k}^{\prime}(x)^{p} d x=1$ that the sequence $\left\{y_{k}^{\prime}(x)\right\}$ is uniformly bounded in $[0,1-\varepsilon]$. By the Arzela theorem one can choose the uniformly converging subsequence $\left\{y_{n_{k}}(x)\right\}$, and by Helly theorem one can suppose that the subsequence $\left\{y_{n_{k}}^{\prime}(x)\right\}$ converges everywhere in $[0,1-\varepsilon]$. Using the diagonalization, one can find a subsequence converging to a function $y_{0} \in K_{p}(0, h)$ such that the sequence of the first derivatives converges almost everywhere in $[0,1)$ and $y_{0}$ satisfies the Lipschitz condition on the interval $[0,1-\varepsilon]$ for any $\varepsilon>0$. Using Lemma A3 one can conclude that

$$
\left(\int_{1-\varepsilon}^{1} y_{k}^{\prime}(x)^{p} d x\right)^{1 / p} \leq C \varepsilon^{1 / p-3 / 2}
$$

where $C$ is independent of $k$ and since $1 / p-3 / 2>0$, we obtain that

$$
\int_{0}^{1} y_{0}^{\prime}(x)^{p} d x=1
$$

Besides, we have

$$
\int_{0}^{1} y_{0}(x)^{2} d x \leq m^{-1}
$$

Since this integral cannot be less than $m^{-1}$, we see that $\int_{0}^{1} y_{0}(x)^{2} d x=m^{-1}$.
If $y_{0}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)>0$, then $y_{0}^{\prime}(x)>0, y_{0}(x)>0$ for all $x>x_{0}$. Let us assume at first that $y_{0}^{\prime}(x)>0$ for $x>0$. Then one can consider the values of $G\left[y_{0}+t z\right]$ for any $z \in H_{0}^{1}(0,1)$. These values are minimal for $t=0$ and hence

$$
\frac{d}{d t} G\left[y_{0}+t z\right]=0, \text { if } t=0
$$

It gives the Euler-Lagrange equation of the form

$$
\left(y_{0}^{\prime p-1}\right)^{\prime}+m y_{0}=0
$$

so that

$$
(p-1) y_{0}^{\prime p-1} y_{0}^{\prime \prime}+m y_{0} y_{0}^{\prime}=0
$$

or

$$
y_{0}^{\prime p}-m_{1} y_{0}^{2}=C
$$

where $m_{1}=-m p /[2(p-1)]>0$. Integrating this equality over $(0,1)$, we obtain that

$$
C=1-m_{1} m^{-1}=1+p / 2(p-1)>0 .
$$

Therefore,

$$
\int_{0}^{y} \frac{d z}{\left(C+m_{1} z^{2}\right)^{1 / p}}=x
$$

We have for any $\varphi \in K_{p}(0,1)$ the equality

$$
\int_{0}^{1}\left(\left(y_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{p-1}(x) \varphi^{\prime}(x)-m y_{0}(x) \varphi(x)\right) d x=0 .
$$

If $\varphi(x)=1$ for $1-\varepsilon<x<1$, then the integrating by parts gives the equality

$$
\left(y_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{p-1}(1-\varepsilon) \varphi(1-\varepsilon)-m \int_{0}^{1} y_{0}(x) \varphi(x) d x=0
$$

Tending $\varepsilon$ to zero, we obtain that $y_{0}(1)=\infty$. The equality $y_{0}^{\prime p}-m_{1} y_{0}^{2}=C$ yields that $y_{0}^{\prime}(1)=\infty$, too.

Therefore

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d z}{\left(C+m_{1} z^{2}\right)^{1 / p}}=1
$$

Let $z=\left(C / m_{1}\right)^{1 / 2} t$ so that

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d t}{\left(1+t^{2}\right)^{1 / p}}=C^{1 / p-1 / 2} m_{1}^{1 / 2}=\frac{(2-3 p)^{1 / p-1 / 2} p^{1 / 2} m^{1 / 2}}{(2-2 p)^{1 / p}}
$$

and therefore

$$
m^{1 / 2}=\frac{(2-2 p)^{1 / p}}{p^{1 / 2}(2-3 p)^{1 / p-1 / 2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d t}{\left(1+t^{2}\right)^{1 / p}}
$$

If $y_{0}(x) \equiv 0$ for $0<x<x_{0}$ and $y_{0}^{\prime}(x)>0$ for $x>x_{0}$, then $m=C_{1}(1-$ $\left.x_{0}\right)^{1 / p-3 / 2}$, where $C_{1}$ does not depend on $x_{0}$ and therefore the optimal value of $x_{0}$ is equal to 0 . Remark that

$$
\int_{y_{0}}^{\infty} \frac{d z}{\left(C+m_{1} z^{2}\right)^{1 / p}}=1-x
$$

Therefore for big values of $y_{0}$ we have

$$
y_{0}^{1-2 / p}(1+o(1))=C_{1}(1-x),
$$

that implies that $y_{0}(x)=A(1-x)^{\gamma}[1+o(1)]$ with $\gamma=p /(p-2)<0$.
In order to find the value $m$ for an arbitrary $h>0$ it suffices to substutute in the obtained estimate the function $y_{0}(x h)$.

Lemma A6. Let $p<0$ and $m=\sup _{y \in K_{p}(0, h)} G[y]$. Then

$$
m=\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{2-2 p}{2-3 p}\right)^{2 / p}\left(3-\frac{2}{p}\right) h^{2 / p-3} B(1 / 2,1-1 / p)^{2}
$$

and there exists a function $y_{0} \in K_{p}(0, h)$ such that $G\left[y_{0}\right]=m$. Moreover, as $x \rightarrow h$ we have

$$
y_{0}(x)=y_{0}(h)+c_{1}(h-x)^{p /(p-1)}[1+o(1)], y_{0}^{\prime}(x)=c_{2}(h-x)^{1 /(p-1)}[1+o(1)]
$$

Proof. Let at first $h=1$ and $\left\{y_{k}\right\}$ be such a sequence of functions in $K$ that $\int_{0}^{1} y_{k}^{\prime}(x)^{p} d x=1$ and $G\left[y_{k}\right] \rightarrow m$. In virtue of Lemma A2 the value of $m$ is finite and positive.

Let us show that we can assume all functions $y_{k}$ be smooth. Let $y \in$ $K_{p}(0,1)$. Let us define $y$ on the whole line putting $y_{1}(x)=0$ for $x<0$, $y_{1}(x)=y(x)$ for $0<x<1-\varepsilon$ and $y_{1}(x)=y(1-\varepsilon)+\varepsilon^{-1 / 2 p}(x-1+\varepsilon)$ for $x>1-\varepsilon$, and put $u_{k}(x)=y_{1}(x)+\varepsilon_{k} x$, where $\varepsilon_{k} \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Obviously $\int_{0}^{1}\left|u_{k}(x)-y(x)\right|^{2} d x \rightarrow 0$ and by Lebesgue theorem, $\int_{0}^{1}\left|u_{k}^{\prime}(x)\right|^{p} d x \rightarrow$ $\int_{0}^{1}\left|y^{\prime}(x)\right|^{p} d x$. So we can assume that $y_{k}^{\prime}(x)>\varepsilon_{k}>0$.

Let now $y \in K_{p}(0,1)$ and $y^{\prime}(x)>\varepsilon>0$. Put $z_{k}(x)=y_{k}(x)-y_{h}(0)$, where $y_{k}$ is the averaging of $y$ with a positive kernel so that

$$
y_{k}(x)=k \int K(k(x-t)) y(t) d t, \int K(t) d t=1, K(t) \geq 0, K \in C_{0}^{\infty}(-1,1)
$$

Remark that $\left|y_{k}(0)\right| \leq \delta_{k} \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ so that $z_{k}$ converge to $y(x)$ uniformly and

$$
\int_{0}^{1}\left|z_{k}(x)-y(x)\right|^{2} d x \rightarrow 0, \quad \int_{0}^{1}\left|z_{k}^{\prime}(x)-y^{\prime}(x)\right| d x \rightarrow 0
$$

Therefore, a subsequence $z_{n_{k}}^{\prime}$ converges to $y^{\prime}(x)$ almost everywhere and $z_{n_{k}}^{\prime}(x)^{p}$ converges to $y^{\prime}(x)^{p}$ almost everywhere. Since $0 \leq y(x) \leq C$ for $0 \leq x \leq 1$ and $y^{\prime}(x)>0$, we see that $\int_{0}^{1}\left|y^{\prime}(x)\right| d x \leq C$. Since $\left|z_{n_{k}}^{\prime}\right|^{p} \leq \varepsilon^{p}$, we have by the Lebesgue theorem $\int_{0}^{1} z_{n_{k}}^{\prime}(x)^{p} d x \rightarrow \int_{0}^{1} y^{\prime}(x)^{p} d x$. This allows us to assume that all $y_{k}$ are smooth functions.

If $y_{k}$ is convex in an interval ( $x_{1}, x_{2}$ ), where $0 \leq x_{1} \leq x_{2} \leq 1$, then it is possible to substitute it by the linear function

$$
z(x)=y_{k}\left(x_{1}\right)+\gamma\left(x-x_{1}\right), \text { where } \gamma=\left[y_{k}\left(x_{2}\right)-y_{k}\left(x_{1}\right)\right] /\left(x_{2}-x_{1}\right)
$$

so that the value of the functional $G$ will increase.

Then $y_{k}\left(x_{1}\right)=z\left(x_{1}\right), y_{k}\left(x_{2}\right)=z\left(x_{2}\right)$ and

$$
\int_{x_{1}}^{x_{2}} y_{k}(x)^{2} d x \geq \int_{x_{1}}^{x_{2}} z(x)^{2} d x
$$

On the other hand, by the Hölder inequality

$$
\begin{gathered}
x_{2}-x_{1}=\int_{x_{1}}^{x_{2}} y_{k}^{\prime}(x)^{p /(p-1)} y_{k}^{\prime}(x)^{p /(1-p)} d x \\
\leq\left(\int_{x_{1}}^{x_{2}} y_{k}^{\prime}(x) d x\right)^{p /(p-1)}\left(\int_{x_{1}}^{x_{2}} y_{k}^{\prime}(x)^{p} d x\right)^{1 /(1-p)}
\end{gathered}
$$

and therefore,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{x_{1}}^{x_{2}} y_{k}^{\prime}(x)^{p} d x \geq\left(\int_{x_{1}}^{x_{2}} y_{k}^{\prime}(x) d x\right)^{p}\left(x_{2}-x_{1}\right)^{1-p} \\
=\left[y_{k}\left(x_{2}\right)-y_{k}\left(x_{1}\right)\right]^{p}\left(x_{2}-x_{1}\right)^{1-p}
\end{gathered}
$$

Since

$$
\int_{x_{1}}^{x_{2}} z^{\prime}(x)^{p} d x=\gamma^{p}\left(x_{2}-x_{1}\right)=\left[y_{k}\left(x_{2}\right)-y_{k}\left(x_{1}\right)\right]^{p}\left(x_{2}-x_{1}\right)^{1-p}
$$

we see that $G[z] \geq G\left[y_{k}\right]$, if $z$ coincides with $y_{k}$ outside the interval ( $x_{1}, x_{2}$ ).
We can do the same for all other intervals where $y_{k}$ is convex. As the result we shall obtain the function $z_{k}$ that can be described in the following way. Consider the set of points $(x, y)$ such that $0<x<1$ and $y>y_{k}(x)$, and take the convex hull of this set. The lower boundary of the hull serves as the graph of the function $z_{k}$. Let us show that $z_{k} \in C^{1}(0,1)$. Indeed, if a point $x$ belongs to an interval of the straight line, then it is obvious that $z_{k}$ is smooth at this point. The same is true in the case when the point ( $x, z_{k}(x)$ ) belongs to a part of the graph of the function $y_{k}$. If $z_{k}$ is linear on one side of $x$ and coincides with $y_{k}$ on the other side, then $z_{k}$ is regular at $x$ since its graph is lying on one side of the straight line, obtaining by the continuation of the linear function. At last, if the point $\left(x, z_{k}(x)\right)$ is a limit point for a sequence of such points, then it is also a limit point for a sequence of points belonging to the graph of $y_{k}$ and the derivative $z_{k}^{\prime}(x)$ exists.

Therefore, if one changes every function $y_{k}$ by a concave function $z_{k}$ in the indicated way, then the sequence of these new functions $z_{k}$ will be maximizing, too. It allows us to consider the maximizing sequence as a sequence of monotone concave functions, i.e. to suppose that the functions $y_{k}(x)$ and their derivatives $y_{k}^{\prime}(x)$ are increasing.

For large $k$ we have

$$
\int_{0}^{1} y_{k}(x)^{2} d x \leq m^{-1}+1
$$

Let $\varepsilon>0$ be small enough. There is a point $\theta_{k} \in(1-\varepsilon, 1)$ such that $\left|y_{k}\left(\theta_{k}\right)\right|^{2} \leq$ $\left(m^{-1}+1\right) \varepsilon^{-1}$. Since the functions $y_{k}$ are monotone, they are uniformly bounded for $0 \leq x \leq 1-\varepsilon$. It yields

$$
\int_{1-2 \varepsilon}^{1-\epsilon} y_{k}^{\prime}(x) d x \leq C
$$

with a constant $C$ independent of $k$. Therefore, there exists a $\tilde{\theta}_{k}$ such that $1-2 \varepsilon<\bar{\theta}_{k}<1-\varepsilon$ and $y_{k}^{\prime}\left(\theta_{k}\right) \leq C / \varepsilon$. Therefore the sequence $\left\{y_{k}^{\prime}(x)\right\}$ is uniformly bounded in $[0,1-2 \varepsilon]$. Since $\int_{0}^{1} y_{k}^{\prime}(x)^{p} d x=1$ there exists a $\theta_{k}^{\prime} \in(0, \varepsilon)$ such that $\left|y_{k}^{\prime}(x)^{p}\left(\theta_{k}^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq 1 / \varepsilon$ and therefore $\left|y_{k}^{\prime}(x)^{p}\right| \leq 1 / \varepsilon$ for $\varepsilon \leq x \leq 1-2 \varepsilon$.

By the Arzela theorem one can choose the uniformly in $[\varepsilon, 1-2 \varepsilon]$ converging subsequence $\left\{y_{n_{k}}(x)\right\}$, and by Helly theorem one can suppose that the sequence $\left\{y_{n_{k}}^{\prime}(x)\right\}$ converges almost everywhere in $[\varepsilon, 1-2 \varepsilon]$. Using the diagonalization, one can find a subsequence converging in $(0,1)$ to a function $y_{0} \in K_{p}(0,1)$ such that

$$
y_{n_{k}} \rightarrow y_{0}, y_{n_{k}}^{p} \rightarrow y_{0}^{p}
$$

everywhere in $(0,1)$. By the Fatou theorem we have

$$
\int_{0}^{1} y_{0}(x)^{2} d x \leq m^{-1}, \int_{0}^{1} y_{0}^{\prime}(x)^{p} d x \leq 1
$$

Therefore,

$$
\left(\int_{0}^{1} y_{0}^{\prime}(x)^{p} d x\right)^{2 / p} \geq 1
$$

so that $G\left[y_{0}\right] \geq m$. However, $m$ is the maximal possible value of $G$, so that $\int_{0}^{1} y_{0}(x)^{2} d x=m^{-1}$ and $\int_{0}^{1} y_{0}^{\prime}(x)^{p} d x=1$.

The Euler-Lagrange equation has the form

$$
\left(y_{0}^{p-1}\right)^{\prime}+m y_{0}=0, y_{0}(0)=0
$$

so that

$$
(p-1) y_{0}^{p-1} y_{0}^{\prime \prime}+m y_{0} y_{0}^{\prime}=0
$$

or

$$
y_{0}^{p}+m_{1} y_{0}^{2}=C,
$$

where $m_{1}=m p /[2(p-1)]>0$. Integrating this equality over $(0,1)$, we obtain that

$$
C=1+m_{1} m^{-1}=1+p / 2(p-1)>0
$$

Therefore,

$$
\int_{0}^{y_{0}} \frac{d z}{\left(C-m_{1} z^{2}\right)^{1 / p}}=x .
$$

Repeating the same arguments as in the proof of the preceding Lemma, we obtain that $y_{0}^{\prime}(1)=\infty$. Let $M=\max y_{0}(x)=y_{0}(1)$. Then $M^{2} m_{1}=C$, i.e.

$$
M=\left(\frac{C}{m_{1}}\right)^{1 / 2}=\left(\frac{3 p-2}{m p}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

and

$$
\int_{0}^{M} \frac{d z}{\left(C-m_{1} z^{2}\right)^{1 / p}}=1
$$

Let $z=\left(C / m_{1}\right)^{1 / 2} t$ so that

$$
\int_{0}^{1} \frac{d t}{\left(1-t^{2}\right)^{1 / p}}=C^{1 / p-1 / 2} m_{1}^{1 / 2}=\frac{(2-3 p)^{1 / p-1 / 2}(-p m)^{1 / 2}}{(2-2 p)^{1 / p}}
$$

and therefore

$$
m^{1 / 2}=\frac{(2-2 p)^{1 / p}}{2(2-3 p)^{1 / p-1 / 2}(-p)^{1 / 2}} B\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1-\frac{1}{p}\right)
$$

Since

$$
\int_{y_{0}}^{M} \frac{d z}{\left(C-m_{1} z^{2}\right)^{1 / p}}=1-x
$$

we can see that as $x \rightarrow 1$ that $y_{0}(x)=M+c_{1}(x-1)^{\gamma}[1+o(1)]$, where $\gamma=p /(p-1)$.

In order to find the value $m$ for any $h>0$ it suffices to substutute in the obtained estimate the function $y_{0}(x h)$.
Lemma A7. Let $p<2 / 3, p \neq 0,0<r \leq 1$ and $m_{1}=\sup _{y \in K_{p}(0, h, r)} G[y]$. Then there is a function $y_{0} \in K_{p}(0, h, r)$ such that $y_{0}(x)=y_{0}(r-x), G\left[y_{0}\right]=$
$m_{1}$ and $m_{1}=4 m r^{2 / p-3}$, where the value of $m$ was indicated in Lemmas $A_{4}$ and $A 6$ for $h=1$.
Proof. Let at first $r=1$. The existence of the extremal function for any fixed $h \in[0,1]$ follows from Lemmas A4 and A6. Furthermore, we can suppose that $y(x)$ is monotone and concave in $[0, h]$ and in $[h, 1]$.

By Lemmas A4 and A6 we have for $y \in K_{p}(0, h, 1)$ the inequalities

$$
\begin{gathered}
h^{3-2 / p}\left(\int_{0}^{h}\left|y^{\prime}(x)\right|^{p} d x\right)^{2 / p} \leq m \int_{0}^{h} y(x)^{2} d x \\
(1-h)^{3-2 / p}\left(\int_{h}^{1}\left|y^{\prime}(x)\right|^{p} d x\right)^{2 / p} \leq m \int_{h}^{1} y(x)^{2} d x
\end{gathered}
$$

where the value of $m$, corresponding to $h=1$, was found in Lemmas A4 and A6. Let $\int_{0}^{1}\left|y^{\prime}(x)\right|^{p} d x=1$ and $\int_{0}^{h}\left|y^{\prime}(x)\right|^{p} d x=a$. Then

$$
a^{2 / p} h^{3-2 / p}+(1-a)^{2 / p}(1-h)^{3-2 / p} \leq m \int_{0}^{1} y(x)^{2} d x
$$

By Lemma A1 the function $F(a, h)=a^{2 / p} h^{3-2 / p}+(1-a)^{2 / p}(1-h)^{3-2 / p}$ defined in the square $0<a<1,0<h<1$ has the minimal value $1 / 4$ at the point $a=h=1 / 2$. Therefore,

$$
\int_{0}^{1} y(x)^{2} d x \geq 1 / 4 m
$$

i.e. $G[y] \leq 4 m$ for all admissible $y$. On the other hand, if $a=h=1 / 2$ and if the function $y$ coincides on ( $0,1 / 2$ ) with the function $y_{0}$, found in Lemmas 31 and A6 for $h=1 / 2$, and is odd with respect to the point $x=1 / 2$, then $G[y]=4 m$.

In order to obtain the result for an arbitrary $r$ it suffices to substitute the function $y(x)$ by $y(x r)$.
Lemma A8. Let $p(x)$ be a smooth positive function on $[0, d[$, such that

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow d} p(x)(x-d)^{-\gamma}=a, p^{\prime}(x)=O\left((x-d)^{\gamma-1}\right)
$$

where $1<\gamma \leq 2$. Let $y(x)$ be a solution of the equation

$$
\left(p(x) y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+m y(x)=0,0<x<d
$$

such that

$$
\int_{0}^{d} p(x) y^{\prime}(x)^{2} d x<\infty .
$$

Then

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow d} p(x) y(x) y^{\prime}(x)=0
$$

Moreover, we have as $x \rightarrow d-$

$$
\begin{gathered}
y(x)=1+o(1)), \\
y(x)=(d-x)^{1-\gamma}(C+o(1)),
\end{gathered}
$$

if $1<\gamma<2$ and

$$
\begin{gathered}
y(x)=(d-x)^{\rho}(C+o(1)) \\
y^{\prime}(x)=(d-x)^{\rho-1}(\rho C+o(1))
\end{gathered}
$$

if $\gamma=2$ with $\rho>-1 / 2$.
Proof. Put $I[y]=\int_{0}^{d} p(x) y^{\prime}(x)^{2} d x$.
If $1<\gamma<2$, then by solving the Cauchy problem we can find two linearly independent solutions $y(x)$ and $z(x)$ such that as $x \rightarrow d-$

$$
\begin{gathered}
y(x)=1+c_{1}(d-x)^{2-\gamma}+\ldots, c_{1}=\frac{m}{a(2-\gamma)}, \\
z(x)=(d-x)^{1-\gamma}+c_{2}(d-x)^{3-2 \gamma}+\ldots, c_{2}=\frac{m}{a(3-2 \gamma)(2-\gamma)} .
\end{gathered}
$$

However $z^{\prime}(x)=(d-x)^{1-\gamma}(m / a+o(1))$ and the integral $I[z]=\int_{0}^{d} Q(x) z^{\prime}(x)^{2} d x$ is divergent. So the solution with a finite value of $I$ is proportional to $y$ and $p y y^{\prime}$ vanishes at $x=d$.

If $\gamma=2$, the corresponding solutions have the form

$$
y(x)=(d-x)^{\kappa_{1}}(1+o(1)), z(x)=(d-x)^{\kappa_{2}}(1+o(1))
$$

where $\kappa_{j}$ are the different roots of the characteristic equation

$$
a \kappa(\kappa+1)+m=0
$$

so that

$$
\kappa_{1,2}=-\frac{1}{2} \pm \sqrt{\frac{1}{4}-\frac{m}{a}} .
$$

If $4 m>a$, then the both roots are complex and $R e \kappa_{1,2}=-\frac{1}{2}$. The integrals $I[y]$ and $I[z]$ are divergent. If $4 m<a$, then the both roots are real, the integral $I[y]$ is finite but $I[z]$ is divergent. We have $p y y^{\prime}(d)=0$.

At last, if $\kappa_{1}=\kappa_{2}$, i.e. $a=4 m$, the solutions have the form

$$
y(x)=(d-x)^{-1 / 2}[1+o(1)], z(x)=(d-x)^{-1 / 2} \ln (d-x)[1+o(1)]
$$

so that the both integrals $I[y]$ and $I[z]$ are divergent.
Therefore, if $\gamma=2$ and $I[y]$ is finite then $4 m<a$ and the function $p y y^{\prime}$ vanishes at $x=d$.
Lemma A9. Let $Q(x)$ be a smooth positive function on $[0, d[$, such that

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow d} Q(x)(d-x)^{-\gamma}=a \neq 0
$$

where $1<\gamma \leq 2$. Let $y(x)$ be a solution of the equation

$$
Q(x) y^{\prime \prime}(x)+m y(x)=0,0<x<d
$$

such that

$$
\int_{0}^{d} Q(x) y^{\prime \prime}(x)^{2} d x<\infty
$$

Then

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow d} y(x)=0, \lim _{x \rightarrow d} y(x) y^{\prime}(x)=0 .
$$

Moreover, we have as $x \rightarrow d-$

$$
\begin{gathered}
y(x)=C\left[d-x+c_{1}(d-x)^{3-\gamma}(1+o(1))\right] \\
y^{\prime}(x)=C\left[-1+c_{1}(3-\gamma)(d-x)^{2-\gamma}(1+o(1))\right] \\
y^{\prime \prime}(x)=C c_{1}(3-\gamma)(2-\gamma)(d-x)^{1-\gamma}(1+o(1))
\end{gathered}
$$

if $1<\gamma<2$ and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left.y(x)=(d-x)^{\rho}(C+o(1))\right] \\
y^{\prime}(x)=(d-x)^{\rho-1}(\rho C+o(1)) \\
y^{\prime \prime}(x)=(d-x)^{\rho-2}(\rho(\rho-1) C+o(1))
\end{gathered}
$$

if $\gamma=2$ with $\rho>1 / 2$.
Proof. Put $I[y]=\int_{0}^{d} Q(x) y^{\prime \prime}(x)^{2} d x$.

If $1<\gamma<2$, then by solving the Cauchy problem we can find two linearly independent solutions $y(x)$ and $z(x)$ such that as $x \rightarrow d-$

$$
\begin{gathered}
y(x)=d-x+c_{1}(d-x)^{3-\gamma}+\ldots, c_{1}=\frac{m}{a(3-\gamma)(2-\gamma)} \\
z(x)=1+c_{2}(d-x)^{2-\gamma}+\ldots, c_{2}=\frac{m}{a(2-\gamma)(1-\gamma)}
\end{gathered}
$$

However $z^{\prime \prime}(x)=(d-x)^{-\gamma}(m / a+o(1))$ and the integral $I[z]=\int_{0}^{d} Q(x) z^{\prime \prime}(x)^{2} d x$ is divergent. So the solution with a finite value of $I$ is proportional to $y$ and vanishes at $x=d$.

If $\gamma=2$, the corresponding solutions have the form

$$
y(x)=(d-x)^{\kappa_{1}}(1+o(1)), z(x)=(d-x)^{\kappa_{2}}(1+o(1))
$$

where $\kappa_{j}$ are the different roots of the characteristic equation

$$
a \kappa(\kappa-1)+m=0
$$

so that

$$
\kappa_{1,2}=\frac{1}{2} \pm \sqrt{\frac{1}{4}-\frac{m}{a}} .
$$

If $4 m>a$, then the both roots are complex and $\operatorname{Re} \kappa_{1,2}=\frac{1}{2}$. The integrals $I[y]$ and $I[z]$ are divergent. If $4 m<a$, then the both roots are real, the integral $I[y]$ is finite but $I[z]$ is divergent. We have $y(d)=0$.

At last, if $\kappa_{1}=\kappa_{2}$, i.e. $a=4 m$, the solutions have the form

$$
y(x)=(d-x)^{1 / 2}[1+o(1)], z(x)=(d-x)^{1 / 2} \ln (d-x)[1+o(1)]
$$

so that the both integrals $I[y]$ and $I[z]$ are divergent.
Therefore, if $\gamma=2$ and $I[y]$ is finite then $4 m<a$ and the solution vanishes at $x=d$.

Lemma A10. If $y \in H_{0}^{1}(0,1)$, then

$$
\max _{x \in(0,1)} y(x)^{2} \leq \frac{1}{4} \int_{0}^{1} y^{\prime}(x)^{2} d x .
$$

The equality is attained on the function $y_{0}(x)=1 / 2-|x-1 / 2|$.

Proof. Without the loss of generality we can assume that $y(x) \geq 0$ for $0<$ $x<1$. Let $M \equiv \max y(x)^{2}=y(b)^{2}$. Then by the Hölder inequality

$$
y(b)^{2} \leq b \int_{0}^{b} y^{\prime}(x)^{2} d x, y(b)^{2} \leq(1-b) \int_{b}^{1} y^{\prime}(x)^{2} d x
$$

hence

$$
\left(\frac{1}{b}+\frac{1}{1-b}\right) y(b)^{2} \leq(1-b) \int_{0}^{1} y^{\prime}(x)^{2} d x
$$

Since $1 / b+1 /(1-b) \geq 4$ for $0<b<1$, the proof is complete.
Lemma A11. Let $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}$ be positive numbers and $x_{1}+\ldots+x_{k}=1$. Then if $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$ the inequality

$$
x_{1}^{\gamma}+\ldots+x_{k}^{\gamma} \leq k^{1-\gamma}
$$

holds.
If $\gamma \geq 1$ or $\gamma \leq 0$, then

$$
x_{1}^{\gamma}+\ldots+x_{k}^{\gamma} \geq k^{1-\gamma}
$$

i.e. the extremum of the function $x_{1}^{\gamma}+\ldots+x_{k}^{\gamma}$ is attained at the point $x_{1}=$ $\ldots=x_{k}=1 / k$.
Proof. The proof is rather elementary and we leave it to the reader.
Lemma A12. Let a function $f$ be summable on $(0,1), f(x) \geq 0$. Then there are two points $a$ and $b$ such that $0<a<b<1$ and a function $y \in C^{1}[0,1]$ such that $y^{\prime}(x)$ is absolutely continuous,

$$
y(0)=y(1)=y^{\prime}(0)=y^{\prime}(1)=0
$$

$y^{\prime \prime}(x)=f(x)$ if $0<x<a$ or $b<x<1$ and $y^{\prime \prime}(x)=-f(x)$ if $a<x<b$.
Proof. Let at first $f(x)>0$. Put

$$
F(x)=\int_{0}^{x} f(t) d t, G(x)=\int_{0}^{x} F(t) d t
$$

and

$$
y^{\prime}(x)= \begin{cases}F(x), & \text { if } 0<x<a, \\ 2 F(a)-F(x), & \text { if } a<x<b, \\ F(x)-F(1), & \text { if } b<x<1,\end{cases}
$$

the values of $a$ and $b$ will be indicated in what follows. This function is continuous at the point $x=a$ and at the point $x=b$, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 F(a)+F(1)=2 F(b) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
y(x)= \begin{cases}G(x), & \text { if } 0<x<a \\ 2 F(a)(x-a)-G(x)+2 G(a), & \text { if } a<x<b \\ G(x)-G(1)-(x-1) F(1), & \text { if } b<x<1\end{cases}
$$

This function is continuous at the points $x=a$ and $x=b$, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 F(a)(b-a)-G(b)+2 G(a)=G(b)-G(1)-(b-1) F(1) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
H(x)=x F(x)-G(x)
$$

Then

$$
H^{\prime}(x)=x f(x) \geq 0, H(0)=0
$$

and the conditions (11), (12) imply that

$$
2 H(a)+H(1)=2 H(b)
$$

The points $a$ and $b$ can be found in the following way. Put

$$
K(x)=F(x)-F(1) H(x) / H(1)=H(x)\left[\frac{F(x)}{H(x)}-\frac{F(1)}{H(1)}\right] .
$$

Since

$$
(F(x) / H(x))^{\prime}=-F^{\prime}(x) G(x) / H(x)^{2},
$$

we see that $K(x) \geq 0, K(0)=0, K(1)=0$ and

$$
K(a)-K(b)=-1 / 2 K(1)=0 .
$$

Let the function $\rho(t)$ be defined by the equality

$$
F(\rho(t))-1 / 2 F(1)=F(t) .
$$

Then $\rho(0)=\xi$, where $\xi$ is such a point that $F(\xi)=F(1) / 2,0<\xi<1$ and $\rho(\xi)=1$. The function

$$
S(t)=K(t)-K(\rho(t))
$$

is such that $S(0)=K(0)-K(\xi) \leq 0$ and $S(\xi)=K(\xi)-K(1) \geq 0$. Therefore, there is a point $a \in(0, \xi)$ such that $S(a)=0$. If we put $b=\rho(a)$, then we obtain (4) and the equality $K(a)=K(b)$ will be satisfied also.

If $f(x) \geq 0$, then we can construct the function $Y_{\varepsilon}$ corresponding to the function $f(x)+\varepsilon$ and pass to limit, what is easy.
Lemma A13. Let $p>1$ and $K$ be the class of functions $y$ of the space $W_{p, 0}^{1}(0,1)$ such that $\int_{0}^{1} y(x) d x=0$. Let

$$
m=\inf _{y \in K} G[y],
$$

where

$$
G[y]=\frac{\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left|y^{\prime}(x)\right|^{p} d x\right)^{2 / p}}{\int_{0}^{1} y(x)^{2} d x}
$$

Then

$$
m=4\left(\frac{2 p-2}{3 p-2}\right)^{2 / p}\left(3-\frac{2}{p}\right) B\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1-\frac{1}{p}\right)^{2},
$$

where $B$ is the Euler function, and there exists a function $y_{0} \in K$ such that $G\left[y_{0}\right]=m$.
Proof. It is evident that the number $m$ is finite and is not greater than, for example, $G\left[y_{1}\right]$, where $y_{1}(x)=1 / 4-|x-1 / 4|$ for $x \in(0,1 / 2)$ and $y_{1}(x)=$ $|x-3 / 4|-1 / 4$ for $x \in(1 / 2,1)$.

Let $\left\{y_{k}\right\}$ be a minimizing sequence such that

$$
\int_{0}^{1} y_{k}(x)^{2} d x=1, \int_{0}^{1} y_{k}(x) d x=0 \text { and } \int_{0}^{1}\left|y_{k}^{\prime}(x)\right|^{p} d x \rightarrow m^{p / 2} .
$$

This sequence is compact in $L_{2}(0,1)$ and weakly compact in $W_{p, 0}^{1}(0,1)$ so that there is a subsequence $\left\{y_{n_{k}}\right\}$ converging in $L_{2}(0,1)$ to $y_{0}(x)$ and

$$
\int_{0}^{1} y_{0}(x)^{2} d x=1, \int_{0}^{1}\left|y_{0}^{\prime}(x)\right|^{p} d x \leq m^{p / 2}, \int_{0}^{1} y_{0}(x) d x=0 .
$$

Since $m^{p / 2}$ is the minimal value of integrals $\int_{0}^{1}\left|y^{\prime}(x)\right|^{p} d x$, we have in fact the equality: $\int_{0}^{1}\left|y_{0}^{\prime}(x)\right|^{p} d x=m^{p / 2}$, and the function $y_{0}$ is extremal. Since the integral of $y_{0}$ vanishes, this function has at least one zero in $(0,1)$. We can reconstruct the function $y_{0}$ without changing the values of the integrals $\int_{0}^{1} y_{0}(x)^{2} d x, \int_{0}^{1} y_{0}^{\prime}(x)^{2} d x$ and $\int_{0}^{1} y_{0}(x) d x$ in such a way that it will be positive
on ( $0, x_{0}$ ) and negative on $\left(x_{0}, 1\right)$, where $0<x_{0}<1$. To do it we are shifting all intervals on which $y(x)>0$ to left not changing the values of $y$ on them.

The functional $G[y]$ is differentiable since $p>1$ and therefore the function $y_{0}$ satisfies the Euler-Lagrange equation

$$
\left(\left|y_{0}^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} y_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+m^{p / 2} y_{0}+m_{1}=0, y_{0}(0)=y_{0}(1)=0
$$

which implies that

$$
(p-1)\left|y_{0}^{\prime}\right|^{p-1} y_{0}^{\prime \prime}+m^{p / 2} y_{0} y_{0}^{\prime}+m_{1} y_{0}^{\prime}=0
$$

Therefore,

$$
(p-1)\left|y_{0}^{\prime}\right|^{p} / p+m^{p / 2} y_{0}^{2} / 2+m_{1} y_{0}=C .
$$

Integrating this equality over $(0,1)$, we obtain that $C=m^{p / 2}[(p-1) / p+1 / 2]$.
Moreover,

$$
y_{0}^{\prime}(0)=-y_{0}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=y_{0}^{\prime}(1)=(C p /(p-1))^{1 / p}
$$

and $y_{0}(0)=y_{0}\left(x_{0}\right)=y_{0}(1)=0$. Therefore, $y_{0}(x)=y_{0}\left(x_{0}-x\right)$ for $0 \leq x \leq x_{0}$ and $y_{0}(x)=y_{0}\left(1+x_{0}-x\right)$. Now put

$$
z(x)=m^{p / 2} y_{0}+m_{1}
$$

Then

$$
\left(\left|z^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} z^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+m^{p(p-1) / 2} z=0
$$

All solutions of this equation are oscillating periodic functions, with the distance between zeroes, equal to the half of the period, and odd with respect to each its zero. Therefore, its mean value in the period is equal to zero. Since

$$
z(0)=z(1), z^{\prime}(0)=z^{\prime}(1)
$$

the mean value of $z$ on $(0,1)$ is equal to zero. However, then we have

$$
m_{1}=\int_{0}^{1} z(x) d x-m^{p / 2} \int_{0}^{1} y_{0}(x) d x=0
$$

Therefore, $x_{0}=1 / 2$ and $y_{0}(x)=-y_{0}(1-x)$. The maximal value $M$ of $y_{0}$ is defined from the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
(p-1)\left|y_{0}^{\prime}\right|^{p} / p+m^{p / 2} y_{0}^{2} / 2=m^{p / 2}[(p-1) / p+1 / 2], \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that

$$
M^{2}=3-2 / p
$$

Integrating we obtain that for $0 \leq x \leq 1 / 4$

$$
\int_{0}^{y_{0}} \frac{d z}{\left[3 p-2-p z^{2}\right]^{1 / p}}=\frac{x m^{1 / 2}}{[2(p-1)]^{1 / p}} .
$$

In particular, $y_{0}(1 / 4)=M$ and

$$
\int_{0}^{M} \frac{d z}{\left[3 p-2-p z^{2}\right]^{1 / p}}=\frac{m^{1 / 2}}{4[2(p-1)]^{1 / p}}
$$

Changing variable $z$ to $t(3-2 / p)^{1 / 2}$, we see that

$$
\int_{0}^{1} \frac{d t}{\left(1-t^{2}\right)^{1 / p}}=\frac{m^{1 / 2}}{4}\left(\frac{3 p-2}{2 p-2}\right)^{1 / p}\left(\frac{p}{3 p-2}\right)^{1 / 2},
$$

or

$$
m^{1 / 2}=4\left(\frac{2 p-2}{3 p-2}\right)^{1 / p}\left(3-\frac{2}{p}\right)^{1 / 2} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{d t}{\left(1-t^{2}\right)^{1 / p}} .
$$

Remark that

$$
\int_{0}^{1} \frac{d t}{\left(1-t^{2}\right)^{1 / p}}=\frac{1}{2} B\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1-\frac{1}{p}\right),
$$

where $B$ is the Euler function. Thus

$$
m^{1 / 2}=2\left(\frac{2 p-2}{3 p-2}\right)^{1 / p}\left(3-\frac{2}{p}\right)^{1 / 2} B\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1-\frac{1}{p}\right) .
$$

On the opther hand

$$
\int_{y_{0}}^{M} \frac{d z}{\left[3 p-2-p z^{2}\right]^{1 / p}}=(x-1 / 4) \frac{m^{1 / 2}}{[2(p-1)]^{1 / p}},
$$

so that $y_{0}(x)=M+A(1 / 4-x)^{\gamma}[1+o(1)]$ as $x \rightarrow 1 / 4-0$ with $\gamma=p /(p-1)$.

Lemma A14. Let $-1 / 2<\alpha<1, \alpha \neq 0$ and $y_{0}(x)$ be the function found in Lemma A7. Let $p_{0}(x)=\left|y_{0}^{\prime}(x)\right|^{2 /(\alpha-1)}$. Let

$$
m_{1}=\inf _{y \in H_{0}^{1}(0,1)} \frac{\int_{0}^{1} p(x) y^{\prime}(x)^{2} d x}{\int_{0}^{1} y(x)^{2} d x}
$$

Then

$$
m_{1}=\left(\frac{2-2 p}{2-3 p}\right)^{2 / p}\left(3-\frac{2}{p}\right) h^{2 / p-3} B(1 / 2,1-1 / p)^{2}
$$

The minimal value is attained on the function $y_{0}$.
Proof. Consider a minimizing sequence $y_{k}(x)$ such that $\int_{0}^{1} y_{k}(x)^{2} d x=1$. The integrals $\int_{0}^{1 / 2-\varepsilon} y_{k}^{\prime}(x)^{2} d x$ and $\int_{1 / 2+\varepsilon}^{1} y_{k}^{\prime}(x)^{2} d x$ are bounded and one can choose a subsequence converging almost everywhere in $(0,1 / 2-\varepsilon)$ and $(1 / 2+$ $\varepsilon, 1)$, in $L_{2}(0,1)$ and weakly in $H^{1}(0,1 / 2-\varepsilon)$ and $H^{1}(1 / 2+\varepsilon, 1)$. Using the diagonalization, one can find a subsequence converging almost everywhere in $(0,1)$ to $y_{1}(x)$. Then

$$
\int_{0}^{1} y_{1}(x)^{2} d x=1, \int_{0}^{1} p(x) y_{1}^{\prime}(x)^{2} d x \leq m_{1}
$$

However, $m_{1}$ is the minimal possible value of the latter integral. Therefore, $\int_{0}^{1} p(x) y_{1}^{\prime}(x)^{2} d x=m_{1}$. The function $y_{1}$ satisfies the equation

$$
\left(p(x) y_{1}(x)^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+m_{1} y_{1}(x)=0
$$

$y_{1}(0)=0, y_{1}(1)=0$. The function $z(x)=y_{1}(x)+y_{1}(1-x)$ is also minimizing, if it does not vanish identically.

If $z(x) \not \equiv 0$, then it is even and

$$
\left(p(x) z^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}(x)+m_{1} z=0
$$

On the other hand,

$$
\left(p(x) y_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+m y_{0}=0, y_{0}(0)=y_{0}(1)=0
$$

and $y_{0}>0$ in $(0,1)$. We have by Lemma A8

$$
\left(m-m_{1}\right) \int_{0}^{1} z y_{0} d x=\left.p(x)\left(z^{\prime}(x) y_{0}(x)-z(x) y_{0}^{\prime}(x)\right)\right|_{x=0} ^{x=1}=0
$$

and $m_{1}=m$.

If $z(x) \equiv 0$, then $y_{1}$ is odd and

$$
\left(p(x) y_{1}^{\prime}(x)\right)^{\prime}+m_{1} y_{1}=0
$$

We have $y_{1}(0)=y_{1}(1 / 2)=0$. Let $x_{0}$ be the first zero of $y_{1}$, so that $y_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)=$ $0, y_{1}(x)>0$ for $0<x<x_{0}$. We have by Lemma A8

$$
\left(m-m_{1}\right) \int_{0}^{x_{0}} y_{1} y_{0} d x=\left.p(x)\left(y_{1}^{\prime}(x) y_{0}(x)-y_{1}(x) y_{0}^{\prime}(x)\right)\right|_{\substack{x=x_{0} \\ x=0}}=0 .
$$

Therefore, $m_{1}=m$ and $y_{1}=y_{0}$.
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