

# PROFINITE EQUIVARIANT HIGHER ALGEBRAIC K-THEORY FOR THE ACTIONS OF ALGEBRAIC GROUPS

ADEREMI KUKU

ABSTRACT. Let  $G$  be an algebraic group over a field  $F$ . In this paper, we study and compute equivariant higher  $K$ -groups as well as profinite equivariant higher  $K$ -groups for some  $G$ -schemes when  $F$  is a number field or  $p$ -adic field.

For example, let  ${}_{\gamma}\mathcal{F}$  be a twisted flag variety (see 1.2.3), and  $B$  a finite dimensional separable  $F$ -algebra. When  $F$  is a number field, we prove that  $K_{2n+1}({}_{\gamma}\mathcal{F}, B)$  is a finitely generated Abelian group;  $K_{2n}({}_{\gamma}\mathcal{F}, B)$  is torsion (see theorem 3.1.2);  $K_{2n}^{\text{Pf}}({}_{\gamma}\mathcal{F}, B, \hat{Z}_l)$  is  $l$ -complete and furthermore  $\text{div } K_{2n}^{\text{Pf}}({}_{\gamma}\mathcal{F}, B, \hat{Z}_l) = 0$  (see theorem 4.3.1). When  $F$  is a  $p$ -adic field, we prove that for all  $n \geq 2$   $K_n({}_{\gamma}\mathcal{F}, B)_l$  is a finite group,  $K_n^{\text{Pf}}({}_{\gamma}\mathcal{F}, B, \hat{Z}_l) = K_n({}_{\gamma}\mathcal{F}, B, \hat{Z}_l)$  is an  $l$ -complete profinite Abelian group and  $\text{div } K_n^{\text{Pf}}({}_{\gamma}\mathcal{F}, B, \hat{Z}_l) = 0$ .

We obtain similar results for some other smooth projective varieties (see 3.1.5, 3.2.3, 4.3.5).

## CONTENTS

Introduction	2
Acknowledgements	2
1. Equivariant higher $K$ -theory for schemes	3
1.1. Generalities	3
1.2. $K$ -theory of twisted flag varieties	4
2. Profinite Higher $K$ -Theory for Schemes — Definitions and Relevant Examples	6
2.1. Mod- $l^s$ $K$ -theory of $\mathcal{C}$	6
2.2. Profinite $K$ -theory	7
3. Some Finiteness Results in Higher $K$ -Theory of Twisted Smooth Projective Varieties	7
3.1. Finiteness results for twisted flag varieties	7
3.2. Finiteness results for some objects of the motivic category $\mathcal{C}(G)$ .	10
4. Profinite Equivariant $K$ -Theory for $G$ -Schemes	11
4.1. A general result	11
4.2. Remarks and examples	12
4.3. Some computations	13
References	16

## INTRODUCTION

Let  $G$  be an algebraic group over a field  $F$ . The aim of this paper is to study equivariant  $K$ -theory as well as profinite equivariant  $K$ -theory for  $G$ -schemes with the goal of computing these  $K$ -theoretic groups for twisted flag varieties, Brauer–Severi varieties and some other smooth projective varieties over number fields and  $p$ -adic fields.

We start in section 1 by reviewing the equivariant higher algebraic  $K$ -theory for schemes (à la Thomason, see [19]) with relevant examples including those that have appeared in the works of A. Merkujev [11] and I. Panin [13]. We note, however, that the equivariant categories involved are special cases of equivariant exact categories discussed in [10], even though we have focussed in this paper on the notations and terminologies of Thomason [19].

We prove at first some finiteness results in the  $K$ -theory of twisted flag varieties. More precisely, let  $\tilde{G}$  be a semi-simple connected and simply connected  $F$ -split algebraic group over a field  $F$ ,  $\tilde{P}$  a parabolic subgroup of  $\tilde{G}$ ,  $\mathcal{F} = \tilde{G}/\tilde{P}$ ,  ${}_{\gamma}\mathcal{F}$  the twisted form of  $\mathcal{F}$  with respect to the 1-cocycle  $\gamma : \text{Gal}(F_{\text{sep}}/F) \rightarrow G(F_{\text{sep}})$  (see 1.2 or [13]),  $B$  a finite-dimensional separable  $F$ -algebra and  $K_n({}_{\gamma}\mathcal{F}, B)$  the Quillen  $K$ -theory of the category  $\mathcal{VB}_{\tilde{G}}({}_{\gamma}\mathcal{F}, B)$  of vector bundles on  ${}_{\gamma}\mathcal{F}$  equipped with left  $B$ -module structure. We prove that when  $F$  is a number field,  $K_{2n+1}({}_{\gamma}\mathcal{F}, B)$  is a finitely generated abelian group and  $K_{2n}({}_{\gamma}\mathcal{F}, B)$  is torsion and has no non-trivial divisible elements for all  $n \geq 1$  (see theorem 3.1.2). When  $F$  is a  $p$ -adic field, we prove that  $K_n({}_{\gamma}\mathcal{F}, B)_l$  is a finite group for all  $n \geq 1$  (see theorem 3.1.5).

We obtain similar results for  $K$ -theory of Brauer-Severi varieties as well as for  $K$ -theory of twisted forms of some smooth projective varieties arising in the context of a motivic category constructed by I. Panin (see 3.2.3 or [13]).

In section 2 we introduce mod- $l^s$  and profinite higher algebraic  $K$ -theory with copious examples relevant to this paper. We then prove that if  $F$  is a number field, then for all  $n \geq 1$ ,  $K_{2n}^{\text{pr}}({}_{\gamma}\mathcal{F}, B, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$  is  $l$ -complete and  $\text{div } K_{2n}^{\text{pr}}({}_{\gamma}\mathcal{F}, B, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) = 0$  (see theorem 4.2.1).

When  $F$  is a  $p$ -adic field, we have that for all  $n \geq 1$ ,  $K_n^{\text{pr}}({}_{\gamma}\mathcal{F}, B, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) \simeq K_n({}_{\gamma}\mathcal{F}, B, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$  are  $l$ -complete profinite groups,  $\text{div } K_n^{\text{pr}}({}_{\gamma}\mathcal{F}, B, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) = 0$  and the kernel and cokernel of  $K_n({}_{\gamma}\mathcal{F}, B) \rightarrow K_n^{\text{pr}}({}_{\gamma}\mathcal{F}, B, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$  are uniquely  $l$ -divisible (see theorem 4.2.4). Similar results are obtained for Brauer-Severi varieties.

*Notes on Notation.* For an additive abelian group  $A$  and a positive integer  $m$ , we write  $A/m$  for  $A/mA$ , and  $A[m] = \{x \in A \mid mx = 0\}$ . If  $l$  is a rational prime we denote by  $A_l$  the  $l$ -primary subgroup of  $A$ , i.e.  $A_l = \bigcup A[l^s] = \varinjlim A[l^s]$ .

**Acknowledgements.** Part of the work reported in this article was done while I was visiting University of Bielefeld, Germany and IHES, Paris. It was concluded and written up while visiting Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik, Bonn, Germany. I like to thank the three institutions for hospitality and financial support.

1. EQUIVARIANT HIGHER  $K$ -THEORY FOR SCHEMES

In this section, we briefly review equivariant higher algebraic  $K$ -theory for schemes as defined by R.W. Thomason in [19], as well as review some relevant examples. As remarked in the Introduction, the equivariant categories involved are special cases of equivariant exact categories discussed by this author in [10], even though we shall in this paper stick to the notations and terminologies of Thomason.

## 1.1. Generalities.

1.1.1. Let  $G$  be an algebraic group over a field  $F$  and  $\text{Rep}_F(G)$  the category of representations of  $G$  in the category  $\mathcal{P}(F)$  of finite dimensional vector spaces over  $F$ . We denote  $K_0(\text{Rep}_F(G))$  by  $R_F(G)$  or  $R(G, F)$  (or just  $R(G)$  when the context is clear). Note that  $R(G)$  is the free abelian group generated by the classes of irreducible representations and that  $R(G)$  also has a ring structure induced by tensor product. Call  $R(G)$  the representation ring.

Since  $\text{Rep}_F(G)$  is an exact category (see [16] or [13]) we denote  $K_n(\text{Rep}_F(G))$  by  $K_n(G, F)$ , which is also equal to  $G_n(G, F)$  (see [10]). So,  $G_0(G, F) = R_F(G) = K_0(G, F)$  (see 1.1.3 below).

1.1.2. Let  $G$  be a group scheme over a scheme  $Y$  (we shall mostly be interested in  $Y = \text{Spec}(F)$ ,  $F$  a field). A scheme  $X$  over  $Y$  is called a  $G$ -scheme if there is an action morphism  $\theta : G \times_Y X \rightarrow X$  (see [19] or [11]).

A  $G$ -module  $M$  over  $X$  is a coherent  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module  $M$  together with an isomorphism of  $\mathcal{O}_{G \times_Y X}$ -modules  $\rho : \theta^*(M) \rightarrow p_2^*(M)$  where  $p_2 : G \times_Y X \rightarrow X$  is the projection satisfying the cocycle condition on  $G \times_Y G \times_Y X$ :

$$p_{23}^*(\rho) \circ (\text{id}_\rho \times \theta)^*(\rho) = (m \times \text{id}_X)^*(\rho),$$

where  $m : G \times_Y G \rightarrow G$  is the multiplication (see [11] or [19]).

1.1.3. Let  $\mathcal{M}(G, X)$  denote the abelian category of  $G$ -modules over a  $G$ -scheme  $X$ . We write  $G_n(G, X)$  for  $K_n(\mathcal{M}(G, X))$ . Note that when  $X = \text{Spec}(F)$  we recover  $G_n(G, F)$  in 1.1.1.

Let  $\mathcal{P}(G, X)$  be the full subcategory of  $\mathcal{M}(G, X)$  consisting of locally free  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules. We can write  $K_n(G, X)$  for  $K_n(\mathcal{P}(G, X))$ . Note that:

- (a) if  $G$  is a trivial scheme, then  $G_n(G, X) \simeq G_n(X)$ ;  $K_n(G, X) \simeq K_n(X)$ .
- (b)  $G_n(G, -)$  is contravariant with respect to flat  $G$ -maps.
- (c)  $G_n(G, -)$  is covariant with respect to projective  $G$ -maps.
- (d)  $K_n(G, -)$  is contravariant with respect to any  $G$ -map.
- (e)  $G_n(-, X)$  is contravariant with respect to group homomorphisms.
- (f)  $K_n(-, X)$  is covariant with respect to group homomorphisms (see [19] or [11]).

1.1.4. We have the following generalization of 1.1.3 (see [11], [13]):

Let  $A$  be a finite dimensional separable  $F$ -algebra,  $G$  an algebraic group over  $F$  and  $X$  a  $G$ -scheme. A  $G$ - $A$ -module over a  $G$ -scheme  $X$  is a  $G$ -module  $M$  which is also a left  $A \otimes_F O_X$ -module such that  $g(am) = ga \cdot gm$  for  $g \in G$ ,  $m \in M$ .

Let  $\mathcal{M}(G, X, A)$  be the Abelian category whose objects are  $G$ - $A$ -modules and whose morphisms are  $A \otimes_F O_X$ - and  $G$ -module morphisms. We write  $G_n(G, X, A)$  for  $K_n(\mathcal{M}(G, X, A))$ . Note that  $\mathcal{M}(G, X, F) \simeq \mathcal{M}(G, X)$ , and so,  $G_n(G, X, F) \simeq G_n(G, X)$ .

Let  $\mathcal{P}(G, X, A)$  be the full subcategory of  $\mathcal{M}(G, X, A)$  consisting of locally free  $O_{A \otimes_F O_X}$ -module. Write  $K_n(G, X, A)$  for  $K_n(\mathcal{P}(G, X, A))$ . Hence  $\mathcal{P}(G, X, F) \simeq \mathcal{P}(G, X)$ ,  $K_n(G, X, F) \simeq K_n(G, X)$ .

1.1.5. Let  $G$  be an affine algebraic group over  $F$ ,  $X$  a  $G$ -scheme,  $\mathcal{VB}_G(X)$  the category of  $G$ -equivariant vector bundles on  $X$ . If  $H$  is a closed subgroup of  $G$ , then we have an equivalence of categories

$$\mathrm{Rep}_F(H) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{ind}} \\ \xleftarrow{\mathrm{res}} \end{array} \mathcal{VB}_G(G/H),$$

where ‘ind’ and ‘res’ are defined as follows:

- ▷ res: For any vector bundle  $E \xrightarrow{p} G/H$ ,  $p^{-1}(\bar{e}) \in \mathrm{Rep}_F(H)$  (where  $\bar{e} = eH = H$ ) since the stabilizer of  $H$  in  $G/H = \bar{e}$ .
- ▷ ind: Let  $(V, \alpha : H \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(V)) \in \mathrm{Rep}_F(H)$ . Then, one has a vector bundle  $(G \times V)/H \rightarrow G/H$  where  $H$  acts on  $(G \times V)/H$  by  $(g, v)h = (g \cdot h, h^{-1}v)$ , see [13]. We denote  $(G \times V)/H$  by  $\tilde{V}$ . Here  $h^{-1}v := \alpha(h^{-1}v)$ . So we get  $K_n(\mathrm{Rep}_F(H)) \simeq K_n(\mathcal{VB}_G(G/H))$ . We denote  $K_n(\mathcal{VB}_G(G/H))$  by  $K_n(G/H)$ .

1.2.  **$K$ -theory of twisted flag varieties.** In this subsection we briefly introduce twisted flag varieties and their algebraic  $K$ -theory. Details can be found in [13]. We say enough here to develop notations for later use.

1.2.1. Let  $\tilde{G}$  be a semi-simple connected and simply connected,  $F$ -split algebraic group over a field  $F$ . Let  $\tilde{T} \subset \tilde{G}$  be a maximal  $F$ -split torus of  $\tilde{G}$ ,  $\tilde{P} \subset \tilde{G}$  a parabolic subgroup of  $\tilde{G}$  containing the torus  $\tilde{T}$ . The factor variety  $\mathcal{F} = \tilde{G}/\tilde{P}$  is smooth and projective (see [13], [2]). Call  $\mathcal{F} = \tilde{G}/\tilde{P}$  a flag variety.

Let  $N_{\tilde{G}}(\tilde{T})$  be the normalizer of  $\tilde{T}$  in  $\tilde{G}$ ,  $W := N_{\tilde{G}}(\tilde{T})/\tilde{T}$  the Weyl group of  $G$  — a finite group. Let  $W_{\tilde{P}} := \{w \in W \mid w\tilde{P}w^{-1} = \tilde{P}\}$ . Put  $n(\mathcal{F}) = [W : W_{\tilde{P}}]$ . Note that  $R(\tilde{P})$  is a free  $R(\tilde{G})$ -module of rank  $n(\mathcal{F})$  (see [13]).

1.2.2. Let  $\tilde{Z}$  be the center of  $\tilde{G}$  and  $\tilde{Z}^* = \mathrm{Hom}(\tilde{Z}, G_m)$  the group of characters of  $\tilde{Z}$ . Note that  $\tilde{Z}^*$  is a finite group.

Let  $x \in \tilde{Z}^*$  and  $\mathrm{Rep}_G^\chi(\tilde{P})$  be the full subcategory of  $\mathrm{Rep}_F(\tilde{P})$  consisting of those  $V \in \mathrm{Rep}_F(\tilde{P})$  such that  $\tilde{Z}$  acts on  $V$  by the character  $\chi$ . The  $F$ -group scheme  $\tilde{Z}$  acts on  $V$  by the character  $\chi$  and hence on every  $\tilde{V} = (\tilde{G} \times V)/\tilde{P} \in \mathcal{VB}_{\tilde{G}}(\mathcal{F})$  (see 1.1.5).

Let  $\mathcal{VB}_{\tilde{G}}(\mathcal{F}, \chi)$  be the full subcategory of  $\mathcal{VB}_{\tilde{G}}(\mathcal{F})$  consisting of those  $\tilde{V}$  such that  $\tilde{Z}$  acts on every fibre of  $\tilde{V}$  by the character  $\chi$ . Write  $K_n(\mathcal{F}, \chi)$  for  $K_n(\mathcal{VB}_{\tilde{G}}(\mathcal{F}, \chi))$  and  $R^\chi(\mathcal{P})$  for  $K_0(\text{Rep}_F^\chi(\mathcal{P}))$ .

1.2.3. Let  $\tilde{G}, \tilde{Z}, \tilde{T}, \tilde{P}$  be as in 1.2.1 and 1.2.2. Put  $\tilde{G} = \tilde{G}/\tilde{Z}$ ,  $P = \tilde{P}/\tilde{Z}$ ,  $T = \tilde{T}/\tilde{Z}$  and  $\mathcal{F} = \tilde{G}/\tilde{P} = G/P$ . Put  $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Gal}(F_{\text{sep}}/F)$  where  $F_{\text{sep}}$  is the separable closure of  $F$ . Let  $\gamma : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow G(F_{\text{sep}})$  be a 1-cocycle (see [13]) and  ${}_\gamma\mathcal{F}$  the twisted form of  $\mathcal{F}$  corresponding to  $\gamma$  (see [11] or [13]). We write  $K_n({}_\gamma\mathcal{F})$  for  $K_n(\mathcal{VB}_G({}_\gamma\mathcal{F}))$ .

Now, for  $\chi \in \tilde{Z}^* = \text{Hom}(\tilde{Z}, G_m)$ , choose a non-trivial representation  $V_\chi \in \text{Rep}^\chi(\tilde{G})$ . Put  $A_\chi = \text{End}_F(V_\chi)$ . Then  $A_\chi$  is an  $F$ -algebra equipped with a  $G$ -action by  $F$ -algebra automorphism (see [13]). Using the 1-cocycle  $\gamma$ , one gets a new  $\mathfrak{g}$ -action on  $A_\chi \otimes_F F_{\text{sep}}$  and hence a twisted form  $A_{\chi, \gamma}$  of the algebra  $A_\chi$  (see [13]).

1.2.4. As in 1.2.3, let  $\gamma : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow G(F_{\text{sep}})$  be a 1-cocycle and let  ${}_\gamma\mathcal{F}$  be the twisted form of  $\mathcal{F}$  corresponding to the cocycle  $\gamma$ . Assume that  $\text{char}(F) = 0$  or  $\text{char}(P)$  is prime to the order of  $\tilde{Z}^*$ . Now consider the exact sequence

$$\{1\} \longrightarrow \tilde{Z} \longrightarrow \tilde{G} \longrightarrow \tilde{G}/\tilde{Z} \longrightarrow \{1\}$$

and the boundary map  $\partial : H^1(F, G) \rightarrow H^2(F, \tilde{Z})$ . Then we have an element  $\partial\gamma \in H^2(F, \tilde{Z})$ . Now, any  $\chi \in \tilde{Z}^* = \text{Hom}(\tilde{Z}, G_m)$  induces a map  $\chi_* : H^2(F, \tilde{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(F, G_m) = \text{Br}(F)$ . Hence we now have a map

$$\begin{aligned} \beta : \tilde{Z}^* &\longrightarrow \text{Br}(F) \\ \chi &\longmapsto \chi_*(\partial\gamma) \end{aligned}$$

1.2.5. **Lemma** (Tits, [20]). *Assume that  $\text{char}(F) = 0$  or that  $\text{char}(F)$  is prime to the order of  $\tilde{Z}^*$ , then  $[A_{\chi, \gamma}] = \beta(\gamma) \in \text{Br}(F)$ .*

1.2.6. *Remarks.*

- (a) Note from 1.2.5, that  $A_{\chi, \gamma}$  is a central simple  $F$ -algebra.
- (b) We give one example of the structure above. Other examples can be found in [13]. Take  $\tilde{G} = \text{SL}_n$ ,  $G = \text{PGL}_n$ ,  $\tilde{Z} = \mu_n$ , the group scheme of  $n^{\text{th}}$  roots of unity,  $\tilde{Z}^* = Z/nZ$  whose generator is the embedding  $\mu_n \xrightarrow{\chi} G_m$ . Let  $V_n$  be the regular  $n$ -dimensional representation of  $\tilde{G}$ . Then  $V_n \in \text{Rep}^\chi(\tilde{G})$ . Take  $V_{\chi^i} := V_n^{\otimes i} \in \text{Rep}^{\chi^i}(\tilde{G})$ ,  $A_i := \text{End}_F(V_{\chi^i})$ . Then  $A_{\chi, \gamma}$  is a central simple  $F$ -algebra of degree  $n$  corresponding to  $\gamma$ , and  $A_{\chi^i, \gamma} \simeq A_{\chi, \gamma}^{\otimes i}$  (for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ ). Put  $P = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \underline{a} & \underline{b} \\ 0 & \underline{c} \end{pmatrix} \mid \det(\underline{a}) \det(\underline{b}) = 1 \right\}$ ,  $\underline{a} \in \text{GL}_k$ ,  $\underline{c} \in \text{GL}_{n-k}$ . Then  $\tilde{G}/\tilde{P} = \text{Gr}(k, n)$  is the Grassmannian variety of  $k$ -dimensional linear subspaces of a fixed  $n$ -dimensional space.

1.2.7. Let  $B$  be a finite dimensional separable  $F$ -algebra,  $X$  a smooth projective variety equipped with the action of an affine algebraic group  $G$  over  $F$ ,  ${}_\gamma X$  the twisted form of  $X$  via a 1-cocycle  $\gamma$ . Let  $\mathcal{VB}_G({}_\gamma X, B)$  be the category of vector bundles on  ${}_\gamma X$  equipped with left  $B$ -module structure. We write  $K_n({}_\gamma X, B)$  for  $K_n(\mathcal{VB}_G({}_\gamma X, B))$ .

## 2. PROFINITE HIGHER $K$ -THEORY FOR SCHEMES — DEFINITIONS AND RELEVANT EXAMPLES

In this section we briefly introduce mod- $l^s$  and profinite  $K$ -theory for exact categories with examples relevant to this paper. More details and examples can be found in [10, chapter 8] or [8].

### 2.1. Mod- $l^s$ $K$ -theory of $\mathcal{C}$ .

2.1.1. Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be an exact category,  $l$  a rational prime,  $s$  a positive integer,  $M_{l^s}^{n+1}$  the  $(n+1)$ -dimensional mod- $l^s$ -space i.e. the space obtained from  $S^n$  by attaching an  $(n+1)$ -cell via a map of degree  $l^s$  (see [3], [12]).

If  $X$  is any  $H$ -space, write  $\pi_{n+1}(X, \mathbb{Z}/l^s)$  for  $[M_{l^s}^{n+1}, X]$ , the set of homotopy classes of maps from  $M_{l^s}^{n+1}$  to  $X$ . If  $\mathcal{C}$  is an exact category and  $X = BQC$ , write  $K_n(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{Z}/l^s)$  for  $\pi_{n+1}(BQC, \mathbb{Z}/l^s)$  for  $n \geq 1$  and  $K_0(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{Z}/l^s)$  for  $K_0(\mathcal{C}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/l^s$ . Call  $K_n(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{Z}/l^s)$  mod- $l^s$   $K$ -theory of  $\mathcal{C}$ .

2.1.2. Note from [10, 8.1.12] or [8] that the exact sequence

$$\cdots \longrightarrow K_n(\mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{l^s} K_n(\mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{\rho} K_n(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{Z}/l^s) \xrightarrow{\beta} K_n(\mathcal{C}) \longrightarrow K_n(\mathcal{C}) \longrightarrow \cdots$$

induces a short exact sequence for all  $n \geq 2$

$$0 \longrightarrow K_n(\mathcal{C})/l^s \longrightarrow K_n(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{Z}/l^s) \longrightarrow K_n(\mathcal{C})[l^s] \longrightarrow 0.$$

### 2.1.3. Examples.

- (i) if  $A$  is a ring with identity, and  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{P}(A)$  the category of finitely generated projective  $A$ -modules, write  $K_n(A, \mathbb{Z}/l^s)$  for  $K_n(\mathcal{P}(A), \mathbb{Z}/l^s)$ . Note that  $K_n(A, \mathbb{Z}/l^s)$  is also  $\pi_n(BGL(A)^+, \mathbb{Z}/l^s)$ .
- (ii) If  $Y$  is a scheme and  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{P}(Y)$ , the category of locally free sheaves of  $O_Y$ -modules, write  $K_n(Y, \mathbb{Z}/l^s)$  for  $K_n(\mathcal{P}(Y), \mathbb{Z}/l^s)$ . Note that for  $Y = \text{Spec}(A)$ ,  $A$  commutative, we recover  $K_n(A, \mathbb{Z}/l^s)$ .
- (iii) Let  $A$  be a Noetherian ring and  $\mathcal{M}(A)$  the category of finitely generated  $A$ -modules. We write

$$G_n(A, \mathbb{Z}/l^s) \quad \text{for} \quad K_n(\mathcal{M}(A), \mathbb{Z}/l^s).$$

- (iv) If  $Y$  is a Noetherian scheme,  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{M}(Y)$  the category of coherent sheaves of  $O_Y$ -modules, write

$$G_n(Y, \mathbb{Z}/l^s) \quad \text{for} \quad G_n(\mathcal{M}(Y), \mathbb{Z}/l^s).$$

- (v) Let  $G$  be an algebraic group over a field  $F$ ,  $X$  a  $G$ -scheme and  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{M}(G, X)$  as defined in 1.1.3. Write

$$G_n((G, X), \mathbb{Z}/l^s) \quad \text{for} \quad K_n(\mathcal{M}(G, X), \mathbb{Z}/l^s).$$

- (vi) If  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{P}(G, X)$  as defined in 1.1.3, write

$$K_n((G, X), \mathbb{Z}/l^s) \quad \text{for} \quad K_n(\mathcal{P}(G, X), \mathbb{Z}/l^s).$$

- (vii) If  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{VB}_G(\gamma X, B)$  as in 1.2.7 we write

$$K_n((\gamma X, B), \mathbb{Z}/l^s) \quad \text{for} \quad K_n(\mathcal{VB}_G(\gamma X, B); \mathbb{Z}/l^s).$$

- (viii) If  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{M}(G, X, A)$  as defined in 1.1.4, write

$$G_n((G, X, A), \mathbb{Z}/l^s) \quad \text{for} \quad K_n(\mathcal{M}(G, X, A), \mathbb{Z}/l^s).$$

(ix) If  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{P}((G, X, A), \mathbb{Z}/l^s)$  as in 1.1.4, we write

$$K_n((G, X, A), \mathbb{Z}/l^s) \quad \text{for} \quad K_n(\mathcal{P}(G, X, A), \mathbb{Z}/l^s).$$

## 2.2. Profinite $K$ -theory.

2.2.1. Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be an exact category,  $l$  a rational prime,  $s$  a positive integer. Put  $M_l^{n+1} = \varinjlim M_l^{n+1}$ . We define the profinite  $K$ -theory of  $\mathcal{C}$  by  $K_n^{\text{pr}}(\mathcal{C}, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) := [M_l^{n+1}; BQC]$ . We also write  $K_n(\mathcal{C}, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$  for  $\varprojlim_s (\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{Z}/l^s)$ . Note that for all  $n \geq 1$ , we have an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \varprojlim_s^1 K_{2n+1}(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{Z}/l^s) \longrightarrow K_n^{\text{pr}}(\mathcal{C}, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) \longrightarrow K_n(\mathcal{C}, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) \longrightarrow 0.$$

For more information see [10] or [8].

### 2.2.2. Examples.

- (i) If  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{P}(A)$  as in 2.1.3(i), we write  $K_n^{\text{pr}}(A, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$  for  $K_n(\mathcal{P}(A), \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$  and  $K_n(A, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$  for  $K_n(\mathcal{P}(A), \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$ .
- (ii) If  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{P}(Y)$  as in 2.1.3(ii) we write  $K_n^{\text{pr}}(Y; \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$  for  $K_n^{\text{pr}}(\mathcal{P}(Y), \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$  and  $K_n(Y, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$  for  $K_n(\mathcal{P}(Y), \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$ .
- (iii) If  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{M}(A)$  as in 2.1.3(iii) we write  $G_n(A, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$  for  $G_n^{\text{pr}}(\mathcal{M}(A), \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$  and  $G_n(A, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$  for  $K_n(\mathcal{M}(A), \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$ .
- (iv) If  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{M}(Y)$  as in 2.1.3(iv) write

$$G_n^{\text{pr}}(Y, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) \quad \text{for} \quad K_n^{\text{pr}}(\mathcal{M}(Y), \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l).$$

- (v) If  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{M}(G, X)$  as in 2.1.3(v) write

$$G_n^{\text{pr}}((G, X), \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) \quad \text{for} \quad K_n^{\text{pr}}(\mathcal{M}(G, X), \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l).$$

- (vi) If  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{P}(G, X)$  as in 2.1.3(vi) write

$$K_n^{\text{pr}}((G, X), \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) \quad \text{for} \quad K_n^{\text{pr}}(\mathcal{P}(G, X), \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l).$$

- (vii) If  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{VB}_G(\gamma X, B)$  as in 2.1.3(vii), write

$$K_n^{\text{pr}}((\gamma X, B), \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) \quad \text{for} \quad K_n^{\text{pr}}(\mathcal{VB}_G(\gamma X, B), \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l).$$

- (viii) If  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{M}(G, X, A)$  as in 2.1.3(viii) write

$$G_n^{\text{pr}}((G, X, A), \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) \quad \text{for} \quad K_n^{\text{pr}}(\mathcal{M}(G, X, A), \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l).$$

- (ix) If  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{P}(G, X, A)$  as in 2.1.3(ix) write

$$K_n^{\text{pr}}((G, X, A), \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) \quad \text{for} \quad K_n^{\text{pr}}(\mathcal{P}(G, X, A), \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l).$$

## 3. SOME FINITENESS RESULTS IN HIGHER $K$ -THEORY OF TWISTED SMOOTH PROJECTIVE VARIETIES

In this section, we prove some finiteness results in the  $K$ -theory of twisted flag varieties as well as  $K$ -theory of twisted forms of some other smooth projective varieties over number fields and  $p$ -adic fields.

### 3.1. Finiteness results for twisted flag varieties.

3.1.1. Let  $\tilde{G}$  be a semi-simple, simply connected and connected  $F$ -split algebraic group over a field  $F$ ,  $\tilde{P}$  a parabolic subgroup of  $G$ ,  $\gamma$  the 1-cocycle  $\gamma : \text{Gal}(F_{\text{sep}}/F) \rightarrow \tilde{G}(F_{\text{sep}})$ ,  ${}_{\gamma}\mathcal{F}$  the twisted form of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Let  $B$  be a finite dimensional separable  $F$ -algebra. We write  $K_n({}_{\gamma}\mathcal{F}, B)$  for  $K_n$  of the category  $\mathcal{VB}_G({}_{\gamma}\mathcal{F}, B)$  of vector bundles on  ${}_{\gamma}\mathcal{F}$  equipped with left  $B$ -module structure. We prove the following result.

3.1.2. **Theorem.** *Let  $F$  be a number field. Then for all  $n \geq 1$ ,*

- (a)  $K_{2n+1}({}_{\gamma}\mathcal{F}, B)$  is a finitely generated Abelian group.
- (b)  $K_{2n}({}_{\gamma}\mathcal{F}, B)$  is a torsion group and has no non-trivial divisible elements.

In order to prove 3.1.2, we first prove the following

3.1.3. **Theorem.** *Let  $\Sigma$  be a semi-simple algebra over a number field  $F$ . Then for all  $n \geq 1$*

- (a)  $K_{2n+1}(\Sigma)$  is finitely generated Abelian group.
- (b)  $K_{2n}(\Sigma)$  is torsion and has no non-zero divisible elements.

*Proof.* (a) Let  $R$  be the ring of integers of  $F$ . It is well-known that any semi-simple  $F$ -algebra contains at least one maximal  $R$ -order (see [10], [16] or [4]). So let  $\Gamma$  be a maximal order in  $\Sigma$ . From the localization sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\underline{p}} G_{2n+1}(\Gamma/\underline{p}\Gamma) \rightarrow G_{2n+1}(\Gamma) \rightarrow G_{2n+1}(\Sigma) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\underline{p}} G_{2n}(\Gamma/\underline{p}\Gamma) \rightarrow \cdots \quad (\text{I})$$

(whose  $\underline{p}$  ranges over all prime ideals of  $R$ ) we have

$$G_{2n}(\Gamma/\underline{p}\Gamma) \simeq K_{2n}((\Gamma/\underline{p}\Gamma)/\text{rad}(\Gamma/\underline{p}\Gamma))$$

where  $(\Gamma/\underline{p}\Gamma)/\text{rad}(\Gamma/\underline{p}\Gamma)$  is a finite semi-simple ring which is a direct product of matrix algebras over finite fields. So,  $G_{2n}(\Gamma/\underline{p}\Gamma) = 0$ . Note that since  $\Gamma$  and  $\Sigma$  are regular,  $K_n(\Gamma) \simeq G_n(\Gamma)$  and  $K_n(\Sigma) \simeq G_n(\Sigma)$  for all  $n \geq 0$ . But  $K_{2n+1}(\Gamma)$  is finitely generated (see [10, theorem 7.1.13] or [7]). Hence  $K_{2n+1}(\Sigma)$  is finitely generated as a homomorphic image of  $G_{2m+1}(\Gamma)$ .  $\square$

(b) Recall from the proof of (a) that  $G_{2n}(\Gamma/\underline{p}\Gamma) = 0$ . Hence Quillen's localization sequence yields

$$0 \rightarrow G_{2n}(\Gamma) \rightarrow G_{2n}(\Sigma) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\underline{p}} G_{2n-1}(\Gamma/\underline{p}\Gamma) \rightarrow SK_{2n-1}(\Gamma) \rightarrow 0. \quad (\text{II})$$

Also recall that since  $\Gamma, \Sigma$  are regular,  $K_n(\Gamma) \simeq G_n(\Gamma)$  and  $K_n(\Sigma) \simeq G_n(\Sigma)$  for all  $n \geq 0$ . But  $G_{2n}(\Gamma) \simeq K_{2n}(\Gamma)$  is a finite group for all  $n \geq 1$  (see [10] theorem 7.1.12 or [6]). Also,  $\bigoplus G_{2n+1}(\Gamma/\underline{p}\Gamma)$  is a torsion group as a direct sum of finite groups, see [10, 7.1.12]. Hence it follows from the diagram (II) above that  $G_{2n}(\Sigma) \simeq K_{2n}(\Sigma)$  is a torsion group.

Also from one sequence (II),  $\bigoplus G_{2n-1}(\Gamma/\underline{p}\Gamma)$ , as a direct sum of finite groups has no non-trivial divisible elements. So any divisible element in  $K_{2n}(\Sigma)$  must come from  $G_{2n}(\Gamma) \simeq K_{2n}(\Gamma)$ . But  $K_{2n}(\Gamma)$  is a finite group and also has no non-trivial divisible elements. Hence  $G_{2n}(\Sigma)$  has no non-trivial divisible elements.



*Proof of 3.1.2.* (a) It was proved in [13] that for all  $n \geq 0$   $K_n(A_{\chi,\gamma} \otimes_F B) \simeq K_n(\gamma\mathcal{F}, B)$ . So, it suffices to prove that  $K_{2n+1}(A_{\chi,\gamma} \otimes_F B)$  is finitely generated. Now, as discussed in 1.2.4–1.2.6,  $A_{\chi,\gamma}$  is a central simple  $F$ -algebra. Also  $B$  being separable is also semi-simple. So,  $A_{\chi,\gamma} \otimes_F B$  is a semi-simple  $F$ -algebra (see [14, p. 136]). Hence by theorem 3.1.3(a)  $K_{2n+1}(A_{\chi,\gamma} \otimes_F B)$  is finitely generated. Hence  $K_{2n-1}(\gamma\mathcal{F}, B)$  is finitely generated.

(b) follows from theorem 3.1.3(b) by substituting  $A_{\chi,\gamma} \otimes_F B$  for  $\Sigma$ .  $\square$

### 3.1.4. Remarks.

(a) One can also see that  $K_{2n+1}(\gamma\mathcal{F})$  is finitely generated as a special case of 3.1.2(a). However one can also prove it directly as follows: Since  $\bigoplus_1^{n(\mathcal{F})} K_{2n+1}(F) = K_{2n+1}(\gamma\mathcal{F})$  (see [13]), we only have to see that  $K_{2n+1}(F)$  is finitely generated (since we have a finite direct sum of  $K_{2n+1}(F)$ ). Now by Quillen's result,  $K_{2n+1}(R)$  is finitely generated and by Soule's result  $K_{2n+1}(R) \simeq K_{2n+1}(F)$  is finitely generated.

(b) To see that  $K_{2n}(\gamma\mathcal{F})$  is torsion it suffices to show that  $K_{2n}(F)$  is torsion since  $\bigoplus_1^{n(\mathcal{F})} K_{2n}(F) \simeq K_{2n}(\gamma\mathcal{F})$ . The arguments are similar to the proof of 3.1.3(b) applied to the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow K_{2n}(R) \longrightarrow K_{2n}(F) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_p K_{2n-1}(R/\underline{p}) \longrightarrow 0$$

of Soule, realizing that  $K_{2n}(R)$  is finite and each  $K_{2n-1}(R/\underline{p})$  is also finite.

We now turn attention to the local structure.

**3.1.5. Theorem.** *Let  $F$  be a  $p$ -adic field,  $l$  a rational prime such that  $l \neq p$ . Then for all  $n \geq 1$  and any separable  $F$ -algebra  $B$ ,  $K_n(\gamma\mathcal{F}, B)_l$  is a finite group.*

*Proof.* As noted before,  $A_{\chi,\gamma} \otimes_F B$  is a semi-simple  $F$ -algebra and so, it suffices to prove that for any semi-simple  $F$ -algebra  $\Sigma$ ,  $K_n(\Sigma)_l$  is a finite group for any  $n \geq 1$ . To do this, it suffices to show that for any central division algebra  $D$  over some  $p$ -adic field  $F$ ,  $K_n(D)_l$  is a finite group.

Now,  $D$  has at least one maximal order  $\Gamma$ , say (see [4]). Let  $\underline{m}$  be the unique maximal ideal of  $\Gamma$ . Then, from the localization sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow K_n(\Gamma/\underline{m}, \mathbb{Z}/l^s) \rightarrow K_n(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}/l^s) \rightarrow K_n(D, \mathbb{Z}/l^s) \rightarrow K_{n-1}(\Gamma/\underline{m}, \mathbb{Z}/l^s) \rightarrow \cdots \quad (\text{III})$$

we know that  $K_n(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}/l^s) \simeq K_n(\Gamma/\underline{m}, \mathbb{Z}/l^s)$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . (See [18, corollary 2 to theorem 2]).

Now, the groups  $K_n(\Gamma/\underline{m}, \mathbb{Z}/l^s)$ ,  $n \geq 1$  are finite groups with uniformly bounded orders (see [18]). Hence, so are the groups  $K_n(D, \mathbb{Z}/l^s)$  and  $K_n(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}/l^s)$  (from the exact sequence (III)). Also from 2.1.2, we have an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow K_{n+1}(D)/l^s \longrightarrow K_n(D, \mathbb{Z}/l^s) \longrightarrow K_n(D)[l^s] \longrightarrow 0 \quad (\text{IV})$$

where  $K_{n+1}(D, \mathbb{Z}/l^s)$  is finite group having uniformly bounded orders (as shown above). So the groups  $K_n(D)[l^s]$  are equal for  $s \geq$  some  $s_0$ . But  $K_n(D)_l = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n(D)[l^s]$ . Hence  $K_n(D)_l$  is finite.  $\square$

3.1.6. *Remarks.* Let  $V$  be a Brauer-Severi variety over a field  $F$ , and  $A$  the finite dimensional central division  $F$ -algebra associated to  $V$ . D. Quillen shows in [15] that

$$K_n(V) = \bigoplus_{s=0}^{\dim V} K_n(A^{\otimes s}),$$

for all  $n \geq 1$ .

- (a) Suppose that  $F$  is a number field, then  $K_{2n+1}(V)$  is a finitely generated Abelian group. Again, this follows from theorem 3.1.3.
- (b) If  $F$  is a  $p$ -adic field then for all  $n \geq 1$   $K_n(V)_l$  is a finite group if  $l$  is a prime  $\neq p$ .

### 3.2. Finiteness results for some objects of the motivic category $\mathcal{C}(G)$ .

3.2.1. Let  $G$  be an algebraic group over a field  $F$ . By considering a smooth projective  $G$ -scheme as an object of a category  $\mathcal{C}(G)$  defined below, we have similar finiteness results to those of 3.1 for  $K_n(\gamma X, B)$  where  $\gamma$  is a 1-cocycle,  $\gamma X$  is the  $\gamma$ -twisted form of  $X$  and  $B$  is a separable  $F$ -algebra.

3.2.2. The category  $\mathcal{C}(G)$  is constructed as follows (the construction is due to I. Panin, see [13], or [11]):

The objects of  $\mathcal{C}(G)$  are pairs  $(X, A)$  whose  $X$  is a smooth projective  $G$ -scheme and  $A$  is a finite dimensional separable  $F$ -algebra on which  $G$  acts by  $F$ -algebra automorphisms. Define

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}(G)}((X, A), (Y, B)) := K_0(G, X \times Y, A^{\mathrm{op}} \otimes_F B).$$

Composition of morphisms is defined as follows: If  $u : (X, A) \rightarrow (Y, B)$ ,  $v : (Y, B) \rightarrow (Z, C)$  are two morphisms, then the composite is defined by

$$v \circ u := p_{13}^*(p_{23}^*(v) \otimes_B p_{12}^*(u)),$$

where  $p_{12} : X \otimes Y \otimes Z \rightarrow X \otimes Y$ ,  $p_{13} : X \otimes Y \otimes Z \rightarrow X \otimes Z$ , and  $p_{23} : X \otimes Y \otimes Z \rightarrow Y \otimes Z$ .

The identity endomorphism of  $(X, A)$  in  $\mathcal{C}(G)$  is the class  $[A \otimes_F O_\Delta]$  (where  $\Delta \subset X \times X$  is the diagonal) in  $K_0(G, X \times X, A^{(\gamma)} \otimes_F A) = \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{C}(G)}(X, A)$ .

We now have the following results.

3.2.3. **Theorem.** *Let  $\alpha : C \xrightarrow{\sim} X$  be an isomorphism in the category  $\mathcal{C}(G)$ , i.e.,  $\alpha : (\mathrm{Spec}(F), C) \xrightarrow{\sim} (X, F)$ . For every 1-cocycle  $\gamma : \mathrm{Gal}(F_{\mathrm{sep}}/F) \rightarrow G_{F_{\mathrm{sep}}}$  and any finite dimensional separable  $F$ -algebra  $B$ , let  $K_n(\gamma Y, B)$  be as defined in 1.2.3.*

- (a) *If  $F$  is a number field, then for  $n \geq 1$ ,*
  - (i)  *$K_{2n+1}(\gamma X, B)$  is a finitely generated Abelian group and has no non-trivial divisible elements.*
  - (ii)  *$K_{2n}(\gamma X, B)$  is a torsion group and has no non-trivial divisible elements.*
- (b) *If  $F$  is a  $p$ -adic field,  $l$  a rational prime such that  $l \neq p$ , then for all  $n \geq 1$  and any separable  $F$ -algebra  $B$ ,  $K_n(\gamma X, B)_l$  is a finite group.*

*Proof.* From [13], we have that for all  $n \geq 1$   $K_n(C_\gamma \otimes_F B) \simeq K_n(\gamma X, B)$  where  $F$  is any field and  $C_\gamma \otimes_F B$  is a semi-simple  $F$ -algebra  $\Sigma$ , say.

If  $F$  is a number field, (a)(i),(ii) follows from 3.1.3(a),(b). If  $F$  is a  $p$ -adic field it suffices to prove that for all  $n \geq 1$ ,  $K_n(\Sigma)_l$  is a finite group. But this is done already in the proof of 3.1.5.  $\square$

#### 4. PROFINITE EQUIVARIANT K-THEORY FOR G-SCHEMES

**4.1. A general result.** We first prove the following general result for later use

**4.1.1. Theorem.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}'$  be exact categories and  $f : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}'$  an exact functor which induces an Abelian group homomorphism  $f_* : K_n(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow K_n(\mathcal{C}')$ , for each  $n \geq 0$ . Let  $l$  be a rational prime,  $s$  a positive integer*

(a) *Suppose that  $f_*$  is injective (resp. surjective, resp. bijective), then so are the induced maps*

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{f}_* : K_n(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{Z}/l^s) &\longrightarrow K_n(\mathcal{C}', \mathbb{Z}/l^s) \quad \text{and} \\ f_*^{\text{pr}} : K_n^{\text{pr}}(\mathcal{C}, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) &\longrightarrow K_n^{\text{pr}}(\mathcal{C}', \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l). \end{aligned}$$

(b) *If  $f_*$  is split surjective (resp. split injective) then so is*

$$\hat{f}_* : K_n(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{Z}/l^s) \longrightarrow K_n(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{Z}/l^s).$$

*Proof.* Consider the following commutative diagram (I) where the rows are exact and the vertical arrows are induced from  $f_*$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & K_n(\mathcal{C})/l^s & \xrightarrow{\delta} & K_n(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{Z}/l^s) & \xrightarrow{\eta} & K_{n-1}(\mathcal{C})[l^s] \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \bar{f}_* & & \downarrow \hat{f}_* & & \downarrow f'_* \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & K_n(\mathcal{C}')/l^s & \xrightarrow{\delta'} & K_n(\mathcal{C}', \mathbb{Z}/l^s) & \xrightarrow{\eta'} & K_{n-1}(\mathcal{C}')[l^s] \longrightarrow 0 \end{array} \quad (\text{I})$$

$\square$

Now,  $f_*$  injective (resp. surjective, resp. bijective) implies that  $\bar{f}_*$ ,  $f'_*$  are injective (resp. surjective, resp. bijective). So by applying the five lemma to diagram (I), we have that  $\bar{f}_*$ ,  $f'_*$  injective (resp. surjective, resp. bijective) imply that  $\hat{f}_*$  is injective (resp. surjective, resp. bijective). Hence  $f_*$  injective (resp. surjective, resp. bijective) implies that  $\hat{f}_*$  is injective (resp. surjective, resp. bijective). This proves the first part of (a).

Now consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \varprojlim_s^1 K_{n+1}(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{Z}/l^s) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & K_n^{\text{pr}}(\mathcal{C}, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) & \xrightarrow{\eta} & K_n(\mathcal{C}, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \hat{f}_* & & \downarrow f_*^{\text{pr}} & & \downarrow \hat{f}_*'' \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \varprojlim_s K_{n+1}(\mathcal{C}', \mathbb{Z}/l^s) & \xrightarrow{\delta'} & K_n^{\text{pr}}(\mathcal{C}', \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) & \xrightarrow{\eta'} & K_n(\mathcal{C}', \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) \longrightarrow 0 \end{array} \quad (\text{II})$$

where  $\hat{f}_*'$  and  $\hat{f}_*''$  are induced by  $\hat{f}_*$  in diagram (I).

Note that,

$$K_n(\mathcal{C}, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) := \varprojlim_s K_n(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{Z}/l^s) \quad \text{and} \quad K_n(\mathcal{C}', \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) := \varprojlim_s K_n(\mathcal{C}', \mathbb{Z}/l^s).$$

Now if  $\hat{f}_*$  is injective (resp. surjective, resp. bijective) in diagram (I), then  $\hat{f}'_*$  and  $\hat{f}_*$  are both injective (resp. surjective, resp. bijective) in diagram (II).

Also by applying the five lemma to diagram (II) we find that if  $\hat{f}'_*$  and  $\hat{f}''_*$  are both injective (resp. surjective, resp. bijective), then  $f_*^{\text{Pr}}$  is injective (resp. surjective, resp. bijective). Hence if  $f_*$  is injective (resp. surjective, resp. bijective) then so is  $\hat{f}_*$  and this implies that  $f_*^{\text{Pr}}$  is injective (resp. surjective, resp. bijective) as required.

(b) We prove here only that  $f_*$  split surjective implies that  $\hat{f}_*$  is split surjective since proving that  $f_*$  split injective implies that  $\hat{f}_*$  is split injective is similar.

First observe that the horizontal sequences in diagram (I) are split exact (see [10] or [1]) since  $l$  is an odd prime. Hence there exist a map  $\hat{\delta} : K_n(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{Z}/l^s) \rightarrow K_n(\mathcal{C})/l^s$  such that  $\hat{\delta}\delta = 1_{K_n(\mathcal{C})/l^s}$ , as well as a map  $\hat{\delta}' : K_n(\mathcal{C}', \mathbb{Z}/l^s) \rightarrow K_n(\mathcal{C}')/l^s$  such that  $\hat{\delta}'\delta' = 1_{K_n(\mathcal{C}')/l^s}$ . Also,  $f_*$  split surjective implies that  $\hat{f}_*$  is split surjective. So, there exists  $\hat{f}'_*$  such that  $\hat{f}_*\hat{f}'_* = 1_{K_n(\mathcal{C})/l^s}$ . Put  $\hat{f}'_* = \delta\hat{f}'_*\hat{\delta}'$ . Then for any  $x \in K_n(\mathcal{C}', \mathbb{Z}/l^s)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{f}_*\hat{f}'_*(x) &= \hat{f}_*\delta\hat{f}'_*\hat{\delta}'(x) \\ &= \delta'\hat{f}_*\hat{f}'_*\hat{\delta}'(x), \quad \text{by the commutativity of the left-hand square,} \\ &= x. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\hat{f}_*\hat{f}'_* = \text{id}_{K_n(\mathcal{C}', \mathbb{Z}/l^s)}$  i.e.  $\hat{f}_*$  is split surjective.

4.1.2. *Remark.* This author is not able to use the procedure above to show that  $f_*$  split surjective (resp. split injective) implies that

$$f_*^{\text{Pr}} : K_n^{\text{Pr}}(\mathcal{C}, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) \longrightarrow K_n^{\text{Pr}}(\mathcal{C}, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$$

is split surjective (resp. split injective). This is because it is not known (to the author) that the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \varprojlim_s^1 K_{n+1}(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{Z}/l^s) \longrightarrow K_n^{\text{Pr}}(\mathcal{C}, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) \longrightarrow K_n(\mathcal{C}, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) \longrightarrow 0$$

is split.

4.2. **Remarks and examples.** Theorem 4.1.1 applies notably in the following situations

- (a) Let  $B$  be a split solvable group,  $T \subset B$  a split maximal torus,  $X$  a  $B$ -scheme. Then, by [11],  $G_n(B, X) \longrightarrow G_n(T, X)$  is an isomorphism. So, by 4.1.1,  $G_n^{\text{Pr}}((B, X), \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) \longrightarrow G_n^{\text{Pr}}((T, X), \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$  is an isomorphism.
- (b) Let  $G$  be an algebraic group over a field  $F$ ,  $H$  a closed subgroup of  $G$  such that  $G/H \simeq \mathbb{A}_F^1$  and  $X$  a  $G$ -scheme. It is known (see [11]) that  $G_n(G, X) \simeq G_n(H, X)$ . Hence  $G_n^{\text{Pr}}((G, X), \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) \simeq G_n^{\text{Pr}}((H, X), \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$ .
- (c) Let  $G$  be a split reductive group with  $\pi_1(G)$  torsion free and  $X$  a smooth projective  $G$ -scheme. Then the restriction homomorphism  $G_n(G, X) \longrightarrow G_n(X)$  is surjective (see [11]). Hence, by 4.1.1 follows that  $G_n^{\text{Pr}}((G, X), \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) \longrightarrow G_n^{\text{Pr}}(X, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$  is surjective.

- (d) Let  $G$  be a reductive group defined over a field  $F$  such that  $G$  is factorial (i.e. for any finite field extension  $E/F$ ,  $\text{Pic}(G_E)$  is trivial). Let  $X$  be a smooth projective  $G$ -scheme over  $F$ . Then the restriction homomorphism  $G_n(G, X) \rightarrow G_n(X)$  is split surjective (see [11]). Hence by 4.1.1  $G_n((G, X), \mathbb{Z}/l^s) \rightarrow G_n(X, \mathbb{Z}/l^s)$  is split surjective and so  $G_n((G, X), \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) \rightarrow G_n(X, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$  is split surjective. (Recall that  $G_n(\mathcal{C}, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) = \varprojlim_s G_n(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{Z}/l^s)$ .)
- (e) Let  $G$  be an algebraic group over  $F$  and  $X$  a quasi-projective smooth  $G$ -scheme. Then  $K_n(G, X, A) \simeq G_n(G, X, A)$  (see [11]). Hence by 4.1.1  $K_n^{\text{pr}}((G, X, A), \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) \simeq G_n^{\text{pr}}((G, X, A), \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$ .
- (f) Let  $U$  be a split unipotent group over  $F$ ,  $X$  a  $U$ -scheme. Then the restriction homomorphism  $G_n(U, X) \rightarrow G_n(X)$  is an isomorphism (see [11]). Hence by 4.1.1,  $K_n^{\text{pr}}((U, X), \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) \simeq K_n^{\text{pr}}(X, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$

**4.3. Some computations.** In this subsection, we obtain some  $l$ -completeness and other results for some twisted flag varieties as well as Brauer–Severi varieties over number fields and  $p$ -adic fields. Recall that if  $l$  is a rational prime, an Abelian group  $H$  is said to be  $l$ -complete if  $H = \varprojlim_s H/l^s H$ .

**4.3.1. Theorem.** *Let  $F$  be a number field,  $\tilde{G}$  a semi-simple, connected, simply connected split algebraic group over  $F$ ,  $\tilde{P}$  a parabolic subgroup of  $\tilde{G}$ ,  $\mathcal{F} = \tilde{G}/\tilde{P}$ ,  $\gamma$  a 1-cocycle  $\text{Gal}(F_{\text{sep}}/F) \rightarrow \tilde{G}(F_{\text{sep}})$ ,  $\gamma\mathcal{F}$  the  $\gamma$ -twisted form of  $\mathcal{F}$ ,  $B$  a finite dimensional separable  $F$ -algebra. Then for all  $n \geq 1$ ,*

- (1)  $K_{2n}^{\text{pr}}((\mathcal{F}, B), \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$  is an  $l$ -complete Abelian group.
- (2)  $\text{div } K_{2n}^{\text{pr}}((\mathcal{F}, B), \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) = 0$ .

*Proof.* From [13] we have an isomorphism  $K_n(A_{\chi, \gamma} \otimes_F B) \simeq K_n(\gamma\mathcal{F}, B)$  for all  $n \geq 0$ . Hence by 4.1.1 we also have  $K_n^{\text{pr}}((A_{\chi, \gamma} \otimes_F B), \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) \simeq K_n^{\text{pr}}((\mathcal{F}, B), \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$ . So, it suffices to show that  $K_{2n}^{\text{pr}}((A_{\chi, \gamma} \otimes_F B), \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$  is  $l$ -complete for all  $n \geq 1$ . As earlier explained in the proof of 3.1.2,  $A_{\chi, \gamma} \otimes_F B$  is a semi-simple  $F$ -algebra and so, by theorem 3.1.3,  $K_{2n+1}(\Sigma)$  is a finitely generated Abelian group. Now it is proved in [10, lemma 2.8] or [8], that for all  $m \geq 2$  and any exact category  $\mathcal{C}$ ,

$$\varprojlim_s (K_m^{\text{pr}}(\mathcal{C}, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)/l^s) \simeq K_m(\mathcal{C}, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$$

Hence for any  $m \geq 2$

$$\varprojlim_s K_m^{\text{pr}}(\Sigma, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)/l^s \simeq K_m(\Sigma, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l). \quad (\text{III})$$

Also, for any  $m \geq 2$  and any exact category  $\mathcal{C}$  we have from [10, lemma 8.2.1] or [8] an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \varprojlim_s^1 K_{m+1}(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{Z}/l^s) \rightarrow K_m^{\text{pr}}(\mathcal{C}, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) \rightarrow K_m(\mathcal{C}, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence we have an exact sequence (for  $m \geq 2$ )

$$0 \rightarrow \varprojlim_s^1 K_{n+1}(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z}/l^s) \rightarrow K_n^{\text{pr}}(\Sigma, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) \rightarrow K_n(\Sigma, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) \rightarrow 0. \quad (\text{IV})$$

Since  $K_{2n+1}(\Sigma)$  is finitely generated for  $n \geq 1$  then  $K_{2n+1}(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z}/l^s)$  is a finite group and so,  $\varprojlim_s^1 K_{2n+1}(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z}/l^s) = 0$ . Hence from (IV),

$$K_{2n}^{\text{pr}}(\Sigma, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) \simeq K_{2n}(\Sigma, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l). \quad (\text{V})$$

Also from (III),

$$\varprojlim_s K_{2n}^{\text{pr}}(\Sigma, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)/l^s \simeq K_{2n}(\Sigma, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l). \quad (\text{VI})$$

From (V) and (VI) we now have

$$\varprojlim_s K_{2n}^{\text{pr}}(\Sigma, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)/l^s \simeq K_{2n}^{\text{pr}}(\Sigma, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l).$$

So,  $K_{2n}^{\text{pr}}(\Sigma, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$  is  $l$ -complete. Hence  $K_{2n}^{\text{pr}}(r\mathcal{F}, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$  is  $l$ -complete.

(b) From [10, theorem 8.2.2(ii)] or [8], we have that for all  $m \geq 2$  and any exact category  $\mathcal{C}$ ,

$$\varprojlim_s^1 K_{m+1}(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{Z}/l^s) = \text{div } K_m^{\text{pr}}(\mathcal{C}, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$$

Hence for all  $m \geq 2$ ,

$$\varprojlim_s^1 K_{m+1}(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z}/l^s) = \text{div } K_m^{\text{pr}}(\Sigma, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l).$$

If  $m = 2n$ , then  $K_{2n+1}(\Sigma)$  is finitely generated and so,  $K_{2n+1}(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z}/l^s)$  is a finite group. Hence,  $\varprojlim_s^1 K_{2n+1}(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z}/l^s) = 0$ . Hence  $\text{div } K_{2n}^{\text{pr}}(\Sigma, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) = 0$  and so,  $\text{div } K_{2n}^{\text{pr}}((\gamma\mathcal{F}, B), \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) = 0$ .  $\square$

4.3.2. *Remarks.* The following results can be proved by procedures similar to those above.

- (a) If  $F$  is a number field,  $\gamma\mathcal{F}$  as in 4.2.1, then  $K_{2n}^{\text{pr}}(\mathcal{F}, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$  is an  $l$ -complete Abelian group and  $\text{div } K_{2n}^{\text{pr}}(\gamma\mathcal{F}, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) = 0$ . The proof in this case is easier.
- (b) If  $V$  is a Brauer-Severi variety over a number field  $F$ , then for all  $n \geq 2$ ,  $K_{2n}^{\text{pr}}(V, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$  is  $l$ -complete and  $\text{div } K_{2n}^{\text{pr}}(V, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) = 0$ .

4.3.3. Our next aim is to consider the situation when  $F$  is a  $p$ -adic field. Before doing this, we make some general observations. Note that for any exact category  $\mathcal{C}$ , the natural map  $M_\infty^{n+1} \rightarrow S^{n+1}$  induces a map

$$[S^{n+1}, BQC] \xrightarrow{\varphi} [M_\infty^{n+1}, BQC]$$

i.e.,

$$K_n(\mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{\varphi} K_n^{\text{pr}}(\mathcal{C}, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) \quad (\text{VII})$$

and hence maps

$$K_n(\mathcal{C})/l^s \longrightarrow K_n^{\text{pr}}(\mathcal{C}, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)/l^s \quad (\text{VIII})$$

and

$$K_n(\mathcal{C})[l^s] \longrightarrow K_n^{\text{pr}}(\mathcal{C}, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)[l^s]. \quad (\text{IX})$$

We shall denote the maps in (VIII) and (IX) also by  $\varphi$  by abuse of notation.

We now prove the following result.

**4.3.4. Theorem.** *Let  $p$  be a rational prime,  $F$  a  $p$ -adic field,  $\tilde{G}$  a semisimple connected and simply connected split algebraic group over  $F$ ,  $\tilde{P}$  a parabolic subgroup of  $\tilde{G}$ ,  $\gamma$  a 1-cocycle  $\text{Gal}(F_{\text{sep}}/F) \rightarrow \tilde{G}(F_{\text{sep}})$ ,  ${}_{\gamma}\mathcal{F}$  the  $\gamma$ -twisted form of  $\mathcal{F}$ ,  $B$  a finite dimensional separable  $F$ -algebra,  $l$  a rational prime such that  $l \neq p$ . Then for all  $n \geq 2$*

- (a)  $K_n^{\text{pr}}({}_{\gamma}\mathcal{F}, B, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$  is an  $l$ -complete profinite Abelian group.
- (b)  $K_n^{\text{pr}}({}_{\gamma}\mathcal{F}, B, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) \simeq K_n({}_{\gamma}\mathcal{F}, B, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$ .
- (c) The map  $\varphi : K_n({}_{\gamma}\mathcal{F}, B) \rightarrow K_n^{\text{pr}}({}_{\gamma}\mathcal{F}, B, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$  induces isomorphisms
  - (1)  $K_n({}_{\gamma}\mathcal{F}, B)[l^s] \simeq K_n^{\text{pr}}({}_{\gamma}\mathcal{F}, B, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)[l^s]$ ,
  - (2)  $K_n({}_{\gamma}\mathcal{F}, B)/l^s \simeq K_n^{\text{pr}}({}_{\gamma}\mathcal{F}, B, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)/l^s$ .
- (d) Kernel and cokernel of  $K_n({}_{\gamma}\mathcal{F}, B) \rightarrow K_n^{\text{pr}}({}_{\gamma}\mathcal{F}, B, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$  are uniquely  $l$ -divisible.
- (e)  $\text{div } K_n^{\text{pr}}({}_{\gamma}\mathcal{F}, B, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) = 0$  for  $n \geq 2$ .

*Proof.* (a), (b). Since  $K_n(A_{\chi, \gamma} \otimes_F B) \simeq K_n({}_{\gamma}\mathcal{F}, B)$  and  $A_{\chi, \gamma} \otimes_F B$  is a semi-simple  $F$ -algebra  $\Sigma$ , say, it suffices for the proof of (a) to show that  $K_n^{\text{pr}}(\Sigma, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$  is  $l$ -complete profinite Abelian group. To do this it suffices to prove that  $K_n^{\text{pr}}(D, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$  is an  $l$ -complete profinite Abelian group for a central division algebra over a  $p$ -adic field  $F$ . From the proof of 3.1.5, we saw already that  $K_n(D, \mathbb{Z}/l^s)$  is a finite group. Hence, in the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \varprojlim_s^1 K_{n+1}(D, \mathbb{Z}/l^s) \rightarrow K_n^{\text{pr}}(D, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) \rightarrow K_n(D, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) \rightarrow 0,$$

we have  $\varprojlim_s^1 K_{n+1}(D, \mathbb{Z}/l^s) = 0$ . Hence

$$K_n^{\text{pr}}(D, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) \simeq K_n(D, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) \tag{X}$$

proving (b).

Now, for any exact category  $\mathcal{C}$ , we have  $\varprojlim K_n(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{Z}/l^s) \simeq K_n(\mathcal{C}, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$  for all  $n \geq 2$  (see [10, lemma 8.2.2] or [7]). So, we have

$$\varprojlim_s K_n^{\text{pr}}(D, \mathbb{Z})/l^s \simeq K_n(D, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l). \tag{XI}$$

From (X) and (XI) we now have  $\varprojlim K_n^{\text{pr}}(D, \mathbb{Z})/l^s \simeq K_n^{\text{pr}}(D, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$  — proving (a). It is profinite because  $K_n^{\text{pr}}(D, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) = \varprojlim K_n(D, \mathbb{Z}/l^s)$ , where  $K_n(D, \mathbb{Z}/l^s)$  is a finite group.

(c),(d). Recall that  $K_n({}_{\gamma}\mathcal{F}, B)$  is by definition the  $K_n$  of the (exact) category of vector bundles on  ${}_{\gamma}\mathcal{F}$  equipped with left  $B$ -module structure. Recall also from theorem 3.1.5 that for all  $n \geq 1$ ,  $K_n({}_{\gamma}\mathcal{F}, B)_l$  is a finite group and hence has no non-zero divisible subgroups. Hence, (c) follows from [10, theorem 8.2.1] or [8] and (d) follows from [10, corollary 8.2.1] or [8].

(e). We saw in the proof of 3.1.5 that  $K_n(D, \mathbb{Z}/l^s)$  is a finite group for all  $n \geq 2$ . Hence  $\varprojlim_s^1 K_n(D, \mathbb{Z}/l^s) = 0$  for all  $n \geq 2$ . But by [10, theorem 8.2.2(ii)] or [8]

$$\varprojlim_s^1 K_{m+1}(D, \mathbb{Z}/l^s) \simeq \text{div } K_m^{\text{pr}}(D, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l).$$

Hence  $\text{div } K_n^{\text{pr}}(D, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) = 0$  as required for all  $n \geq 1$ , so  $\text{div } K_n^{\text{pr}}({}_{\gamma}\mathcal{F}, B, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) = 0$ .  $\square$

4.3.5. *Remarks.* (a) Let  $V$  be a Brauer-Severi variety over a  $p$ -adic field  $F$ . By a similar proof to that of 4.2.4, we have

- (i)  $K_n^{\text{pr}}(V, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) \simeq K_n(V, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$  is an  $l$ -complete profinite Abelian group.
- (ii)  $K_n(V)/l^s \simeq K_n^{\text{pr}}(V, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)/l^s$  and  $K_n(V)[l^s] \simeq K_n^{\text{pr}}(V, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)[l^s]$ .
- (iii) Kernel and cokernel of  $K_n(V) \rightarrow K_n^{\text{pr}}(V, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$  are uniquely  $l$ -divisible.
- (iv)  $\text{div } K_n^{\text{pr}}(V, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) = 0$ .

(b) Finally, if  ${}_{\gamma}X$  is as in 3.2.3, we have similar results to those of 4.2.4 for  $K_n^{\text{pr}}({}_{\gamma}X, B), \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l$ , etc.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] S. Araki and H. Toda. *Multiplicative structures on mod- $q$  cohomology*. Osaka Math. J. (1963), 71–115.
- [2] A. Borel. *Linear Algebraic Groups*. Second enlarged edition. Graduate Texts in Math. Vol. 126. Springer, Berlin (1991).
- [3] W. Browder. *Algebraic K-theory with coefficients  $\mathbb{Z}/p$* . Lecture Notes in Math. **657**. Springer, 40–84.
- [4] C. W. Curtis and I. Reiner. *Methods of Representation Theory*. J. Wiley (1981).
- [5] J. E. Humphreys. *Linear algebraic groups*. Springer N.Y. (1975).
- [6] A. O. Kuku. *K-theory of group rings of finite groups over maximal orders in division algebras*. J. Algebra **91** (1984), 18–31.
- [7] A. O. Kuku.  *$K_n, SK_n$  of integral group rings and orders*. Contemporary Math. AMS **55** (1986), 333–338.
- [8] A. O. Kuku. *Profinite and continuous higher K-theory of exact categories, orders and group rings*. K-theory **22** (2001), 367–392.
- [9] A. O. Kuku. *Finiteness of higher K-groups of orders and group rings*. K-Theory **36** (2005), 51–58.
- [10] A. O. Kuku. *Representation theory and higher algebraic K-theory*. Chapman and Hall (2007).
- [11] A. Merkurjev. *Comparison of equivariant and ordinary K-theory of algebraic varieties*. (Preprint).
- [12] J. Neisendorfer. *Primary homotopy theory*. Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **232** (1980).
- [13] I. Panin. *On the algebraic K-theory of twisted flag varieties*. K-theory **8** (1994), 541–585.
- [14] V. P. Platonov and V. I. Yanchevskii. *Finite dimensional division algebras*. Enciclopedia of Math. Sc. Algebra IX. Springer (1996), 125–224.
- [15] D. Quillen. *Higher Algebraic K-theory I*. Lecture Notes in Math. **341**. Springer-Verlag (1973), 85–149.
- [16] K. W. Roggenkamp and V. Huber-Dyson. *Lattices over orders I*. Lecture Notes in Math. **115**. Springer-Verlag (1970).
- [17] C. Soule. *Groupes de Chow et K-théorie de variétés sur un corps fini*. Math. Ann. **268** (1984), 317–345.
- [18] A. A. Suslin and A. V. Yufryakov. *K-theory of local division algebras*. Soviet Math. Doklady **33** (1986), 794–798.
- [19] R. Thomason. *Algebraic K-theory of group scheme actions*. In: Algebraic Topology and Algebraic K-theory. Proceedings. Princeton, NJ (1987), 539–563.
- [20] J. Tits. *Classification of semisimple algebraic groups*. Proc. Symp. Pure Math. **9** (1966), 33–62.

MAX-PLANCK-INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK, BONN, GERMANY  
*E-mail address:* kuku@mpim-bonn.mpg.de