

Group rings and division rings

by

LORENZ, Martin

SFB/MPI 83-14

GROUP RINGS AND DIVISION RINGS

Martin Lorenz

Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik
Gottfried-Claren-Str. 26, D-5300 Bonn 3, FRG

To the memory of Oberstudienrat Heinz-Joachim Dietrich

Abstract. Continuing the work in [11],[12] we study division algebras $D = k(G)$ over a field k which are generated by some polycyclic-by-finite subgroup G of the multiplicative group D^* of D . We discuss a specific class of examples of such division algebras that can be thought of as multiplicative analogs of the Weyl field. Furthermore, we show that the division algebras $D = k(G)$ always contain free subalgebras of rank ≥ 2 , provided G is not abelian-by-finite. Finally, we discuss some open questions concerning commutative subfields and Lie commutators in $D = k(G)$.

INTRODUCTION

During the past decade, a considerable amount of work has been invested in the study of prime ideals in group algebras kG of polycyclic-by-finite groups G over a field k . After the pioneering work of Zalesskii [30], Roseblade's break-through in [22], and the finishing touches by Passman and the author [14], the subject has now reached a certain state of maturity: one has a detailed recipe for constructing all primes P of kG starting from prime ideals of group algebras kH , where H runs through special finite-by-abelian subquotients of G (see [13] or [14] for the precise formulation). The resulting class of algebras kG/P is a rich source of interesting examples of prime Noetherian rings whose fine structure is far from being well understood. For example, if $Q = Q(kG/P)$ denotes the classical ring of fractions of kG/P then, by Goldie's theorem, $Q = M_n(D)$ for some integer n and a suitable division k -algebra D both of which are in general quite mysterious to us. In the present note, continuing the work in [11], [12], we study the Goldie field D associated with a completely

prime ideal P of kG . In other words, we study division k -algebras D generated by some polycyclic-by-finite group $G \leq D^*$, the multiplicative group of D . The restriction to completely prime ideals is partly justified by the following result, due to Zalesskii [30, Theorem 4] for primitive ideals and to Brown [2] in general:

Let P be a prime ideal of kG . Then there exists a characteristic subgroup G_0 of G with G/G_0 finite and such that $P \cap kG_0$ is a finite intersection of completely prime ideals of kG_0 which are all conjugate under the action of G on kG_0 .

In Section 1, we study a specific class of algebras B_λ ($\lambda \in k^*$) and their classical division rings of fractions E_λ . Each B_λ , and E_λ , is generated by a 2-generated nilpotent group of class 2 and can be viewed as a multiplicative analog of the Weyl algebra A_1 , resp. the Weyl field $D_1 = Q(A_1)$ (see also [10]). Although the B_λ 's are not isomorphic to A_1 , and to each other, they share many of the well-known properties of A_1 . The main result of Section 2 states that if $D = k(G)$ is a division algebra generated by some polycyclic-by-finite group $G \leq D^*$ and if G is not abelian-by-finite, then D contains a free k -subalgebra of rank at least 2. This result depends on recent work of L. Makar-Limanov [15]. Finally, in the last section, we briefly discuss commutative subfields and Lie commutators in division algebras $D = k(G)$ of the above type and mention a number of open questions.

Notations and Conventions. In this paper, k always denotes a commutative field. If D is a division k -algebra, then we use the notation $D = k(E)$ to indicate that D is generated, as division k -algebra, by the subset E of D . Furthermore, for any ring R (always with 1), R^* denotes the set of nonzero elements, $U(R)$ the group of units, $Z(R)$ the center, and $Q(R)$ the classical ring of fractions of R (if it exists). We use square brackets to denote group theoretical and Lie commutators. Thus $[x, y] = x^{-1}y^{-1}xy$ or $[x, y] = xy - yx$, depending on the context. Otherwise the notation is standard, and follows [18].

1. A CLASS OF EXAMPLES

Let $\lambda \in k^*$ be given and define $B_\lambda = B_\lambda(k)$ to be the k -algebra generated by two elements x and y together with their inverses x^{-1}, y^{-1} subject to the relation $xy = \lambda yx$. In short,

$$B_\lambda = k\langle x^{\pm 1}, y^{\pm 1} \rangle / (xy - \lambda yx) \quad (\lambda \in k^*).$$

Some results concerning these algebras and their tensor products have been announced in [10], even for k not necessarily a field. As far as I know, the B_λ 's, or rather their power series analog, have made their first appearance in [7], with k being the field of

rational numbers and $\lambda = 2$.

The algebra B_λ can be realized as the factor of the group algebra kG , with $G = \langle x, y \mid z = [x, y] \text{ central} \rangle$ the free nilpotent group of class 2 on 2 generators, modulo the ideal $(z - \lambda)kG$. B_λ can also be viewed as a twisted group algebra of the free abelian group of rank 2,

$$B_\lambda \cong k^t[Z \circledast Z],$$

or as an iterated Ore extension,

$$B_\lambda = k[X^{\pm 1}][Y^{\pm 1}; \alpha] \text{ with } X^\alpha = \lambda X.$$

In particular, B_λ is a Noetherian domain. We denote its classical division ring of fractions by $E_\lambda = E_\lambda(k)$. Note that $E_\lambda = k\langle x, y \rangle$, where $\langle x, y \rangle$ is nilpotent of class 2 (if $\lambda \neq 1$).

The following lemma describes some basic properties of the B_λ 's. Some of them have also been noted in [10]. We are mostly interested in the case where λ is not a root of unity. In this case the properties of B_λ closely mirror those of the Weyl algebra A_1 in characteristic 0, whereas the case where λ is a root of unity corresponds to the Weyl algebra in positive characteristics [21].

Lemma 1.1. (a) Let $\lambda \in k^*$ be of finite (multiplicative) order n . Then B_λ is free of rank n^2 as a module over its center $Z(B_\lambda) = k[x^{\pm n}, y^{\pm n}]$. Moreover, for any ideal I of B_λ , one has $I = (I \cap Z(B_\lambda))B_\lambda$.

(b) If $\lambda \in k^*$ has infinite order, then B_λ is a central-simple k -algebra of global and Krull dimension 1 and of Gelfand-Kirillov dimension 2.

Proof. First suppose that λ has finite order n and set $C = k[x^{\pm n}, y^{\pm n}] \subset B_\lambda$. Then $C \subset Z(B_\lambda)$ and B_λ is free as a module over C , with basis $\{x^i y^j \mid 0 \leq i, j \leq n-1\}$. If $b = \sum_{i,j=0}^{n-1} c_{ij} x^i y^j \in Z(B_\lambda)$, with $c_{ij} \in C$, then

$$b = y^{-1} b y = \sum_{i,j=0}^{n-1} c_{ij} \lambda^i x^i y^j$$

and so $c_{ij} = c_{ij} \lambda^i$ for all i, j . Therefore, $c_{ij} = 0$ for $i \neq 0$. Similarly, for $i, j \neq 0$ one has $c_{ij} = 0$ which shows that $C = Z(B_\lambda)$. Now let I be an ideal of B_λ and set $I_1 = (I \cap C)B_\lambda \subset I$. It follows from the foregoing that B_λ/I_1 is free of rank n^2 over $K = C/I \cap C$, and a calculation as above shows that $K = Z(B_\lambda/I_1)$. B_λ , being an image of the group algebra of a finitely generated nilpotent group, is a polycentral ring [18, 11.3.12]. Thus, if I strictly contains I_1 , then we must have $(I/I_1) \cap K \neq 0$ which is impossible. There-

fore, $I = I_1$ and part (a) is proved.

As to part (b), the equality $Z(B_\lambda) = k$, for λ of infinite order, follows by a straightforward calculation as above. Simplicity of B_λ now is a consequence of polycentrality, or can be checked directly by the usual shortening trick. Finally, $\text{GK-dim}_k(B_\lambda) = 2$ follows from the fact that each monomial in the elements x, y and their inverses is a scalar multiple of an "ordered" monomial $x^i y^j$ ($i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$), and $K\text{-dim}(B_\lambda) = \text{gl.dim}(B_\lambda) = 1$ follows from [19, Theorem 4.5], e.g. (see also [10]). ■

Corollary 1.2. (a) Let $\lambda \in k^*$ be of finite order n . Then $E_\lambda = Q(B_\lambda)$ is obtained by localizing B_λ at the nonzero elements of $Z(B_\lambda)$. Thus $Z(E_\lambda) = k(x^n, y^n)$ and $E_\lambda \cong Z(E_\lambda)^t[Z/n\mathbb{Z} \oplus Z/n\mathbb{Z}]$ is a twisted group algebra of $Z/n\mathbb{Z} \oplus Z/n\mathbb{Z}$ over $Z(E_\lambda)$.

(b) If λ is not a root of unity, then $Z(E_\lambda) = k$.

Proof. The assertions in (a) are immediate from Lemma 1.1(a). For (b) just note that for any simple or, more generally, polycentral ring R for which $Q(R)$ exists one has $Z(Q(R)) = Q(Z(R))$. ■

It follows from [12, Corollary 2.2] that the Gelfand-Kirillov transcendence degree of E_λ over k equals 2. If λ has infinite order, then E_λ contains a free k -subalgebra (see Section 2). Hence E_λ has infinite Gelfand-Kirillov dimension in this case. On the other hand, for λ a root of unity, E_λ clearly has Gelfand-Kirillov dimension 2. Also, the commutative transcendence degree of E_λ in the sense of Resco [19] is clearly 2 if λ has finite order. For λ of infinite order, it equals 1, by [19, Theorem 4.3] (or see Section 3.A).

Before we turn to the isomorphism question for the division algebras E_λ , let us briefly recall a few general facts concerning twisted group algebras $k^t[H]$ of ordered groups H . By definition, $k^t[H]$ has a k -basis $\{\dot{x} \mid x \in H\}$, and multiplication in $k^t[H]$ is determined by the rule

$$\dot{x} \cdot \dot{y} = t(x, y) \dot{xy} \quad (x, y \in H),$$

where $t: H \times H \rightarrow k^*$ is a 2-cocycle. The basis $\{\dot{x} \mid x \in H\}$ can always be normalized so that $\dot{e} = 1$ is the identity element of $k^t[H]$. Each \dot{x} is a unit of $k^t[H]$ and, using the fact that H is ordered, it is easy to see that the group of units $U(k^t[H])$ of $k^t[H]$ consists precisely of the elements of the form $\alpha \dot{x}$ with $\alpha \in k^*$ and $x \in H$. Furthermore, we have a multiplicative map, the so-called lowest term map,

$$\varrho: k^t[H] \rightarrow \{0\} \cup U(k^t[H])$$

defined by $\ell(0) = 0$ and $\ell(a) = \alpha_{x_0} x_0$ for $0 \neq a = \sum_{x \in H} \alpha_x x$ with $x_0 = \min \{x \in H \mid \alpha_x \neq 0\}$. If $S \subset k^t[H]$ is a (right) Ore set of regular elements, then ℓ extends to the localization $k^t[H]S^{-1}$ by setting $\ell(ab^{-1}) = \ell(a)\ell(b)^{-1}$. It is trivial to verify that ℓ is well-defined and remains multiplicative on $k^t[H]S^{-1}$.

The foregoing applies conveniently to B_λ . Indeed, if $x^{\pm 1}, y^{\pm 1}$ are the canonical generators of B_λ with $xy = \lambda yx$, then

$$B_\lambda = \bigoplus_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}} kx^i y^j \cong k^t[Z \oplus Z],$$

and $Z \oplus Z$ is of course an orderable group. Thus the corresponding lowest term map provides us with a multiplicative map

$$\ell: E_\mu^* \rightarrow U_\mu = \{\alpha x^i y^j \mid i, j \in \mathbb{Z}, \alpha \in k^*\} = U(B_\mu)$$

which is the identity on U_μ , hence on $k^* \subset U_\mu$.

Proposition 1.3. Let $\lambda, \mu \in k^*$ be given. Then E_λ and E_μ are isomorphic as k -algebras if and only if $\lambda = \mu$ or $\lambda = \mu^{-1}$.

Proof. Since $B_\lambda = k\{x^{\pm 1}, y^{\pm 1}\}/(xy - \lambda yx) = k\{y^{\pm 1}, x^{\pm 1}\}/(yx - \lambda^{-1} xy) = B_{\lambda^{-1}}$, the condition is certainly sufficient. To prove necessity, let $\phi: E_\lambda \rightarrow E_\mu$ be a fixed k -algebra isomorphism, and let $x^{\pm 1}, y^{\pm 1} \in B_\lambda$ and $u^{\pm 1}, v^{\pm 1} \in B_\mu$ be the canonical generators with $xy = \lambda yx$, resp. $uv = \mu vu$. Let $\ell: E_\mu^* \rightarrow U_\mu = \{\alpha u^i v^j \mid i, j \in \mathbb{Z}, \alpha \in k^*\}$ be the lowest term map with respect to a fixed ordering of $U_\mu/k^* \cong Z \oplus Z$. Then

$$f = \ell \circ \phi: E_\mu^* \rightarrow U_\mu$$

is a group homomorphism which is the identity on k^* . In particular, we obtain

$$\lambda = f(\lambda) = [f(x), f(y)],$$

and this belongs to the commutator subgroup $[U_\mu, U_\mu]$ of U_μ . But $[U_\mu, U_\mu] = \langle \mu \rangle$ and so we have $\lambda \in \langle \mu \rangle$. By symmetry, we conclude that $\langle \lambda \rangle = \langle \mu \rangle$ in k^* . Therefore, if λ has infinite order, then $\lambda = \mu$ or $\lambda = \mu^{-1}$ and we are done. Thus, in the following, we concentrate on the case where λ and μ have finite order n .

We show that f maps U_λ isomorphically onto U_μ . First note that f induces a map $\bar{f}: U_\lambda/k^* \rightarrow U_\mu/k^*$. Both groups are free abelian of rank 2 generated by \bar{x} and \bar{y} , resp. \bar{u} and \bar{v} , where we use overbars to denote images mod k^* . Suppose that $\bar{f}(x^i y^j) = 1$ with $0 \neq |i| + |j|$ minimal. Then

$$1 = [f(x^i y^j), f(y)] = \lambda^i$$

and so $i = ni_1$ for a suitable i_1 . Similarly, $j = nj_1$ and hence

$$1 = \bar{f}(\bar{x}^{i_1}\bar{y}^{j_1}) = \bar{f}(x^{i_1}y^{j_1})^n.$$

Since U_μ/k^* is torsion-free, we conclude that $\bar{f}(\bar{x}^{i_1}\bar{y}^{j_1}) = 1$ which contradicts our minimality assumption. Therefore, \bar{f} is injective, and hence the same is true for f on U_λ . To prove surjectivity note that, clearly, $\ell(E_\mu^*) = U_\mu$ and $\ell(E_\lambda^*) = f(B_\lambda^*)f(B_\lambda^*)$. Thus it suffices to show that $f(B_\lambda^*) \stackrel{\mu}{=} f(U_\lambda)$. But every $a \in B_\lambda^*$ can be written as a finite sum $a = \sum_i u_i$ with $u_i \in U_\lambda$ pairwise distinct mod k^* . Hence, by the above, the images $\bar{f}(u_i) \in U_\mu/k^*$ are distinct, say $\bar{f}(u_1)$ is the smallest with respect to the ordering of U_μ/k^* . Then we obtain that

$$f(a) = \ell(\sum_i \phi(u_i)) = \ell(\phi(u_1)) = f(u_1),$$

as required. Therefore, f on U_λ and \bar{f} are isomorphisms.

We conclude that $\bar{f}(x) = \bar{u}^{i_1}\bar{v}^{j_1}$, $\bar{f}(y) = \bar{u}^{r_1}\bar{v}^{s_1}$ with $is - jr = \pm 1$. Consequently,

$$\lambda = [f(x), f(y)] = [u^{i_1}v^{j_1}, u^{r_1}v^{s_1}] = \mu^{is - jr} = \mu^{\pm 1},$$

and the proposition is proved. ■

Proposition 1.3 extends [10, Theorem 1] which states that (for k a domain) B_λ and B_μ are isomorphic as k -algebras iff $\lambda = \mu^{\pm 1}$. The above argument essentially follows the lines of the proof of the isomorphism theorem [11, Theorem 4.1]. In fact, for λ of infinite order, Proposition 1.3 could have been deduced from that result.

It can be shown that in E_λ the identity element cannot be written as a sum of Lie commutators. (Section 3.B). In particular, the E_λ 's are all distinct from the Weyl field $D_1 = Q(A_1)$.

We close this section with a few facts concerning projective and injective modules for B_λ , $\lambda \in k^*$ of infinite order. The corresponding assertions for the Weyl algebra A_1 in characteristic 0 are well-known. We therefore restrict ourselves to a few indications and refer to the literature whenever possible.

Proposition 1.4. Let $\lambda \in k^*$ be of infinite order.

- (a) For any nonzero right ideal I of B_λ , $B_\lambda \circ I \cong B_\lambda \circ B_\lambda$. In particular, every right ideal is generated by at most 2 elements.
- (b) A finitely generated projective right B_λ -module is either free or isomorphic to a right ideal of B_λ .
- (c) For $n > 1$, the matrix ring $M_n(B_\lambda)$ is a principal right (and left) ideal ring, whereas B_λ is not a principal ideal ring.
- (d) B_λ has no nonzero finitely generated injective modules.

Proof. (a) We follow Webber [29]. Write B_λ as an Ore extension, $B_\lambda = R[Y^{\pm 1}; \alpha]$ with $R = k[X^{\pm 1}]$ and $X^\alpha = \lambda X$. Then $S = R^*$ is an Ore set in B_λ and $B_\lambda S^{-1} \cong k(X)[Y^{\pm 1}; \alpha]$ is a principal ideal domain. Therefore, for any nonzero right ideal I of B_λ there exists $0 \neq d_0 \in I$ such that $\bar{I} = I/d_0 B_\lambda$ is R -torsion. If $\bar{I} \neq 0$ choose $0 \neq \bar{d}_1 \in \bar{I}$ such that $M_1 = \text{ann}_R(\bar{d}_1)$ is maximal among the annihilators of nonzero elements of \bar{I} . Then M_1 is a maximal ideal of R , and this easily implies that $M_1 B_\lambda$ is a maximal right ideal of B_λ . Therefore, $\bar{d}_1 B_\lambda \cong B_\lambda/p_1 B_\lambda$ where $M_1 = (p_1)$. Continuing this way and using the fact that B_λ is Noetherian we can write $I = \sum_{i=0}^m d_i B_\lambda$ with

$$\sum_{i=0}^{r+1} d_i B_\lambda / \sum_{i=0}^r d_i B_\lambda \cong B_\lambda / p_{r+1} B_\lambda \quad \text{for } r = 0, 1, \dots, m-1.$$

Applying Schanuel's Lemma we obtain

$$B_\lambda \otimes \sum_{i=0}^r d_i B_\lambda \cong p_{r+1} B_\lambda \otimes \sum_{i=0}^{r+1} d_i B_\lambda \cong B_\lambda \otimes \sum_{i=0}^{r+1} d_i B_\lambda$$

and so, inductively, $B_\lambda \otimes I \cong B_\lambda \otimes d_0 B_\lambda \cong B_\lambda \otimes B_\lambda$.

(b) In view of part (a), this follows from [29, Theorem 1].

(c) The first assertion follows from (b) and [3, Theorem 7] and the second is immediate from [27, Corollary 1.8].

(d) This can be shown as in the case of the Weyl algebra A_1 [16, Theorem 5.5]. We omit the details. ■

2. FREE SUBALGEBRAS

In this section, we consider division k -algebras D generated by an arbitrary polycyclic-by-finite group $G \leq D^*$. The proof of our main result (Theorem 2.3) depends upon two major ingredients which we now describe.

Let A be a finitely generated free abelian group and let H be a group acting on A . The action of H on A is said to be rationally irreducible if $A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ is an irreducible module for the rational group algebra $\mathbb{Q}H$ or, equivalently, if H normalizes no proper pure subgroup of A . The following result is due to G. Bergman [1a] (cf. also [18, 9.3.9] and [5]).

Theorem 2.1 (Bergman). Let A be a finitely generated free abelian group and let H be a group acting on A . Suppose that H and all its subgroups of finite index act rationally irreducibly on A . If I is a proper H -invariant ideal of the group algebra kA , then either $I = 0$ or kA/I is finite dimensional over k .

The second result that we will need is due to L. Makar-Limanov [15]. Strictly speaking, he considers the group algebra kH of the discrete Heisenberg group $H = \langle x, y \mid z = [x, y] \text{ central} \rangle$, and its

8

division ring of fractions. His methods, however, can easily be adapted to deal with the algebras $B_\lambda(k)$ and $E_\lambda(k)$, where $\lambda \in k^*$ has infinite order (Section 1). Note that $Q(kH)$ can in fact be written as $Q(kH) = E_z(k(z))$.

Theorem 2.2 (Makar-Limanov). Let $\lambda \in k^*$ be of infinite order. Then $E_\lambda(k)$ contains a free k -subalgebra of rank 2.

The following result extends this to division algebras generated by arbitrary polycyclic-by-finite groups.

Theorem 2.3. Let $D = k(G)$ be a division k -algebra generated by some polycyclic-by-finite group $G \leq D^*$. Then D contains a free k -subalgebra of rank ≥ 2 if and only if G is not abelian-by-finite.

Proof. If G is abelian-by-finite, then D is finite dimensional over its center, and hence D does not contain free subalgebras. If G is nilpotent-by-finite but not abelian-by-finite, then after dropping to a subgroup of finite index, we may assume that G is non-abelian torsion-free nilpotent. Then G contains elements x and y whose commutator $z = [x, y]$ is $\neq 1$ and commutes with x and y . Set $K = k(z) \subset D$ and consider the K -algebra $B \subset D$ generated by x and y and their inverses. Clearly, B is an image of $B_z(K)$. Since $z \in K^*$ has infinite order, $B_z(K)$ is simple and we do in fact have isomorphisms $B \cong B_z(K)$ and $Q(B) \cong E_z(K)$. The existence of a free subalgebra in D now follows from Theorem 2.2, because the embedding $B \subset D$ extends to an embedding $Q(B) \subset D$.

Thus, in the following, assume that G is not nilpotent-by-finite and that all its nilpotent subgroups are abelian-by-finite. We will proceed in three steps.

Step 1. G contains a subgroup H which is a semidirect product $H = A \rtimes \langle z \rangle$ with A free abelian of rank at least 2 and with z and all its powers acting rationally irreducibly on A .

Proof. After dropping to a subgroup of finite index, we may assume that the Fitting radical $B = \text{Fitt}(G)$ and G/B are both free abelian $\neq \langle 1 \rangle$ (use [18, 12.1.5]). Fix $z \in G$, $z \notin B$ and set $V = B \otimes_z Q$. Replacing z by a suitable power if necessary, we may assume that all irreducible $Q\langle z \rangle$ -submodules of V remain irreducible for $Q\langle z^n \rangle$ for all $n \geq 1$ (choose n so that the composition length of the $Q\langle z^n \rangle$ -socle of V is maximal) and, moreover, that z acts trivially on the 1-dimensional $Q\langle z \rangle$ -submodules of V (their intersections with B are infinite cyclic groups normalized by z so z^2 acts trivially). Then V must contain an irreducible $Q\langle z \rangle$ -submodule U of dimension at least 2, for otherwise the minimal polynomial of z on V would be of the form $(z-1)^r$ for some r

and $\langle B, z \rangle$ would be nilpotent and normal in G , contradicting the fact that $B = \text{Fitt}(G)$. Thus we can take $A = \cup B$ and $H = \langle A, z \rangle = A * \langle z \rangle$.

Step 2. H contains a free semigroup on two generators.

Proof. This is a consequence of more general work of Rosenblatt [23]. The present special case however can quickly be dealt with using an idea of H. Bass [1]. Let ζ be the automorphism induced by z^{-1} on A and let $K = \mathbb{Q}[\zeta] \subset \text{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q})$. Then K is a finite field extension of \mathbb{Q} and ζ is not a root of unity. By a theorem of Kronecker, there exists a \mathbb{Q} -embedding, σ of K into the complex numbers, \mathbb{C} , with $|\zeta^{\sigma}| > 1$ [9, p. 215]. After replacing z by a suitable power, we may assume that $|\zeta^{\sigma}| > 2$. We show that for any $a \in A$, $a \neq 1$, the semigroup generated by z and az is free. Indeed, suppose that

$$z^{i_0} a z^{i_1} \dots a z^{i_r} = z^{j_0} a z^{j_1} \dots a z^{j_s}$$

is a nontrivial relation, with $r, s \geq 0$, $i_1, \dots, i_r, j_1, \dots, j_s \geq 1$, and $i_0, j_0 \geq 0$. Rewriting this relation as

$$\begin{aligned} & a \zeta^{i_0} + \zeta^{i_0+i_1} + \dots + \zeta^{i_0+\dots+i_r-1} \sum_{z=1}^r i_1 \\ & = a \zeta^{j_0} + \zeta^{j_0+j_1} + \dots + \zeta^{j_0+\dots+j_s-1} \sum_{z=1}^s j_1 \end{aligned}$$

we see that $\sum_{l=0}^r i_l = \sum_{l=0}^s j_l$. Using the fact that a generates $A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ as a K -vector space, we further deduce that

$$\zeta^{i_0} + \zeta^{i_0+i_1} + \dots + \zeta^{i_0+\dots+i_r-1} = \zeta^{j_0} + \zeta^{j_0+j_1} + \dots + \zeta^{j_0+\dots+j_s-1}.$$

Since $(i_0, i_1, \dots, i_r) \neq (j_0, j_1, \dots, j_s)$, it follows from the above that ζ satisfies a nontrivial polynomial $f(X) = \sum_{l=0}^n r_l X^l$ with coefficients $r_l \in \{0, \pm 1\}$, $r_n \neq 0$. Therefore, in \mathbb{C} we have $0 = \sum_{l=0}^n r_l (\zeta^{\sigma})^l$ and so

$$|\zeta^{\sigma}|^n \leq \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |\zeta^{\sigma}|^i = \frac{|\zeta^{\sigma}|^n - 1}{|\zeta^{\sigma}| - 1}$$

which contradicts $|\zeta^{\sigma}| > 2$.

Step 3. The canonical k -algebra map $kH \rightarrow D$ given by the inclusion $H \subset D^*$ is an embedding.

Proof. Let I denote the kernel of this map and suppose that I is nonzero. Then I is completely prime and $I \cap kA$ is also nonzero. For, every $\alpha \in kH$ can be uniquely expressed as $\alpha = \sum_{i=p}^q \alpha_i z^i$ with $\alpha_i \in kA$. Choose $0 \neq \alpha \in I$ of minimal length $q-p$ and with $p=0$. If $q \neq 0$, then $\beta z^{-q} \neq \beta$ for some $\beta \in kA$, and

$$\beta \alpha - \alpha \beta = \sum_{i=1}^q \alpha_i (\beta - \beta z^{-1}) z^i \in I$$

is nonzero and shorter than α . Therefore, $q = 0$ and hence $\alpha \in I \cap kA$. Since $I \cap kA$ is z -stable, Theorem 2.1 implies that $F = kA/I \cap kA$ is a finite dimensional field extension of k , isomorphic to the k -subalgebra of D generated by A . Now z acts on F by k -automorphisms and so some power of z must act trivially on F , hence on A . However, this contradicts our construction of B so that I must be zero.

The theorem now follows, because Step 2 shows that kH contains a free k -algebra and hence so does D , by Step 3. ■

Corollary 2.4. Let $D = k(G)$ be a division k -algebra with $G \leq D^*$ polycyclic-by-finite. Then D has finite Gelfand-Kirillov dimension over k if and only if G is abelian-by-finite.

3. MISCELLANY

A) COMMUTATIVE SUBFIELDS

The following lemma is extracted from [20]. Here, $Kdim$ denotes (Rentschler-Gabriel-) Krull dimension.

Lemma 3.1 (Resco, Small, Wadsworth). Let A be an absolutely Noetherian k -algebra (i.e., $A \otimes_k K$ is Noetherian for all field extensions K/k) and let $R = AS^{-1}$ be the localization of A with respect to a right Ore set $S \subset A$. Then every commutative subfield L of R with $k \subset L$ is finitely generated over k . Moreover, if $Kdim(A \otimes_k K) \leq n$ for all field extensions K/k , then $tdeg_k L \leq n$.

Proof. $R \otimes_k L$ is obtained by localizing $A \otimes_k L$ with respect to $S \otimes_k 1$, and hence $R \otimes_k L$ is Noetherian as $A \otimes_k L$ is. Moreover, $R \otimes_k L$ is free as a module over $L \otimes_k L$ and so $L \otimes_k L$ must also be Noetherian. By 28, L is finitely generated over k . Finally, $tdeg_k L = Kdim(L \otimes_k L) \leq Kdim(R \otimes_k L) \leq Kdim(A \otimes_k L)$, where the first inequality follows from the freeness of $R \otimes_k L$ over $L \otimes_k L$ and the second holds, since $R \otimes_k L$ is a localization of $A \otimes_k L$. ■

The lemma applies to the case where $A = kG/I$ is an image of a group algebra kG with G polycyclic-by-finite. In this case, by [25], an upper bound for the Krull dimensions of $A \otimes_k K$ is given by the Hirsch number $h(G)$ of G . In particular, we have the following

Corollary 3.2. If $D = k(G)$ is a division k -algebra generated by some polycyclic-by-finite group G , then all commutative subfields

$L \supset k$ of D are finitely generated over k , and $\text{tdeg}_k L \leq h(G)$.

In general, $h(G)$ is a very crude bound. For example, if $\lambda \in k^*$ has infinite order, then $B_\lambda(k) \otimes_k K = B_\lambda(K)$ has Krull dimension 1, by Lemma 1.1. Therefore, Lemma 3.1 shows that commutative subfields of $E_\lambda(k)$ have transcendence degree at most 1 over k , whereas any division algebra $D = k(G)$ with $h(G) = 1$ is finite dimensional over its center. R. Resco has conjectured that if G is torsion-free polycyclic-by-finite and $D = Q(kG)$ is the division ring of fractions of kG , which is a domain by [4],[6], then all commutative subfields $L \supset k$ of D have transcendence degree bounded by

$$c(G) = \max \{h(A) \mid A \text{ an abelian subgroup of } G\}.$$

We cannot prove this, but the following discussion should shed some light on this problem. For the rest of this subsection, we keep the following notation:

G is torsion-free polycyclic-by-finite, and
 D is the division ring of fractions of the group algebra kG .
 For any subgroup $H \leq G$ we set $D_G(H) = \{g \in G \mid g \text{ has only finitely many } H\text{-conjugates}\}$, and $C_R(H)$ denotes the centralizer of H in R (for given R).

The main content of the following lemma is due to M. Smith [24].

Lemma 3.3. For any subgroup H of G , $C_D(H) = Q(C_{kG}(H)) \subset Q(kD_G(H))$ and $Q(kD_G(H))$ is finite dimensional over $C_D(H)$.

Proof. Set $R = kD_G(H)$ and note that $C_{kG}(H) \subset R$. More precisely, the action of H on R by conjugation factors through some finite image \bar{H} of H , and $C_{kG}(H)$ is the fixed subring of R under this action. Therefore, since R is a Noetherian domain, $C_{kG}(H)$ is a Goldie domain and $Q(C_{kG}(H))$ is the fixed subring of $Q(R)$ under the action of \bar{H} ([17, Theorem 5.5], e.g.). Also, $Q(R)$ is finite dimensional over $Q(C_{kG}(H))$ ([17, Lemma 2.18]). Finally, The proof of [24, Theorem 6] shows that $C_D(H) \subset Q(R)$. Hence, clearly, $C_D(H) = C_{Q(R)}(H) = Q(C_{kG}(H))$ and the lemma is proved. ■

We will call a commutative subfield $L \supset k$ of D almost maximal if L is not contained in a commutative subfield of D having larger transcendence degree over k than L or, equivalently, if $C_D(L)/L$ is algebraic. The following is immediate from Lemma 3.3.

Corollary 3.4. Let A be an abelian subgroup of G . If $A = D_G(A)$ (A has finite index in $D_G(A)$), then $k(A) = Q(kA)$ is a maximal (resp., almost maximal) commutative subfield of D .

We conclude this subsection by mentioning a few instances where the corollary applies.

Examples 3.5. (a) Suppose that A is a maximal abelian subgroup of G and A is subnormal in $D_G(A)$. Then we do in fact have equality, $A = D_G(A)$, and so $k(A)$ is maximal. To see this, choose a subnormal series $A = D_n \triangleleft D_{n-1} \triangleleft \dots \triangleleft D_0 = D_G(A)$. Then any n -fold commutator $[a_n, [a_{n-1}, \dots, [a_1, d]] \dots]$ with $a_i \in A$, $d \in D_0$ belongs to A . Consider an $(n-1)$ -fold commutator $c = [a_{n-1}, \dots, [a_1, d]] \dots$. Let $a \in A$ be arbitrary and choose m so that a^m is central in D_0 . Then, since A is abelian and $[a, c] \in A$, we have

$$1 = [a^m, c] = [a, c]^m,$$

and hence $[a, c] = 1$. Therefore, $c \in C_G(A) = A$. By induction, we obtain $D_0 = A$, as we have claimed.

Since subnormality is automatic if G is nilpotent, we recover M. Smith's result [24, Corollary 8]. In general, however, maximal abelian subgroups A of G need not satisfy $A = D_G(A)$ (e.g., take $G = \langle x, y \mid y^{-1}xy = x^{-1} \rangle$ and $A = \langle y \rangle$) and so $k(A)$ need not be maximal.

(b) If $A \leq G$ is abelian and satisfies $h(A) = c(G)$, then it is trivial to verify that A has finite index in $D_G(A)$. Thus $k(A)$ is at least almost maximal in this case.

B) LIE COMMUTATORS

Let $D = k(G)$ be a division k -algebra generated by some polycyclic-by-finite group G and assume that $\text{char } k = 0$. It would be interesting to know whether the identity element $1 \in D$ can be written as a sum of Lie commutators in D . If not, then this fact would distinguish division algebras of the above type from division algebras E generated by finite dimensional Lie subalgebras of $E[\]$ (i.e., E with Lie bracket $[a, b] = ab - ba$), at least if k is algebraically closed. This follows from the following simple observation.

Lemma 3.6. Let E be a division algebra over k . If $E[\]$ contains a non-abelian nilpotent Lie algebra, or a non-abelian finite dimensional Lie algebra over k and k is algebraically closed, then there exist elements $a, b \in E$ with $ab - ba = 1$.

Proof. Suppose $\mathfrak{g} \subset E[\]$ is a nilpotent Lie algebra which is not commutative. Then there exists an element $c \in \mathfrak{g}$ such that $[c, \mathfrak{g}]$ is nonzero and is contained in the center of \mathfrak{g} . Choose $b \in \mathfrak{g}$ with $[c, b] = cb - bc \neq 0$ and set $a = c[c, b]^{-1} \in E$. Then

$[a,b] = 1$ in E .

If, on the other hand, $\mathfrak{g} \subset E[\dots]$ is finite dimensional non-nilpotent, then Engel's theorem [8, Sec. 3.2] implies that there exists $a \in \mathfrak{g}$ such that $\text{ad}(a) \in \text{End}(\mathfrak{g})$ is not nilpotent. Let $0 \neq c \in \mathfrak{g}$ be an eigenvector for $\text{ad}(a)$ with nonzero eigenvalue $\gamma \in k$ and set $b = \gamma^{-1}c \in E$. Then $[ac^{-1}, b] = 1$ in E . ■

Algebraic closure of k is definitely required in the above. For example, the standard basis $\{1, i, j, k\}$ of the real quaternions H spans a Lie subalgebra of H , but $1 \in H$ is not even a sum of Lie commutators (use the embedding $H \subset M_2(\mathbb{C})$, or the following proposition).

We now return to division algebras generated by polycyclic-by-finite groups. The following result extends, and uses, [11, Lemma 2.3].

Proposition 3.7. Let G be a finitely generated nilpotent-by-finite group and let $\text{char } k = 0$. Let P be a prime ideal of kG and set $R = Q(kG/P)$. Then $1 \notin [R, R]$, the space of Lie commutators in R .

Proof. For G finitely generated nilpotent, this follows from [11, Lemma 2.3]. In general, choose G_0 to be a nilpotent normal subgroup of finite index in G . Then $P \cap kG_0$ is a finite intersection of pairwise incomparable prime ideals P_i , $i=1, 2, \dots, l$, of kG_0 . Moreover, it is routine to check that the Ore set S of regular elements of $kG_0/P \cap kG_0$ remains Ore and regular in kG/P . Therefore,

$$R = (kG/P)S^{-1} = \bigoplus_x xR_0,$$

where $R_0 = (kG_0/P \cap kG_0)S^{-1}$ and x runs through a transversal for G_0 in G . Now R_0 is the direct product of the rings $R_i = Q(kG_0/P_i)$, $i=1, 2, \dots, l$, and so

$$R \subset \text{End}(R_{R_0}) \cong M_n(R_0) \cong \prod_{i=1}^l M_n(R_i),$$

where n is the order of G/G_0 . Thus it suffices to establish the assertion for the matrix rings $M_n(R_i)$. But we know it is true for each R_i . Hence the canonical map of k -spaces $T: R_i \rightarrow R_i/[R_i, R_i]$ does not vanish on 1. T can be lifted to a map $T': M_n(R_i) \rightarrow R_i/[R_i, R_i]$ by setting $T'([r_{st}]) = \sum_{s=1}^n T(r_{ss})$. Since T' inherits k -linearity and the trace property $T'(AB) = T'(BA)$ from T and maps the identity $1 \in M_n(R_i)$ to the nonzero element $n \cdot T(1)$, we conclude that $1 \notin [M_n(R_i), M_n(R_i)]$ as required. ■

The proposition applies in particular to division algebras $D = k(G)$ generated by nilpotent-by-finite groups G . Sometimes the char 0 assumption is superfluous here. For example, if $D = k(G)$ with G torsion-free nilpotent, then $1 \notin [D, D]$ holds in any character-

istic. This follows from [11, Sec. 2], where explicit traces are constructed for so-called Hilbert-Neumann algebras. The same construction also applies to the division rings $E_\lambda(k)$ and, more generally, to the division rings of fractions of twisted group algebras $k^t[G]$ with G an ordered group. For general polycyclic-by-finite groups, however, $\text{char } 0$ is definitely needed. For example, consider the Weyl algebra $A_1 = k\langle x, y \rangle$, $xy - yx = 1$, with $\text{char } k = p > 0$. Then $D_1 = Q(A_1)$ is generated, as division algebra, by the elements $a = xy$ and x which satisfy $x^{-1}ax = a - 1$. Therefore, the generating group $G = \langle a, x \rangle$ is polycyclic and is in fact isomorphic to a semidirect product of the form $Z^{(p)} * Z$. On the other hand, R. Snider [26] has shown that if $\text{char } k = 0$ and $G \cong Z^{(r)} * Z$ for some r , then $D = Q(kG)$ satisfies $1 \notin [D, D]$.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft, Grant No. Lo 261/2-1.

REFERENCES

1. H. Bass, "The degree of polynomial growth of finitely generated nilpotent groups", Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 25(1972)603-614.
- 1a. G. M. Bergman, "The logarithmic limit set of an algebraic variety", Trans. AMS 157(1971)459-469.
2. K. A. Brown, "Remarks on polycyclic group algebras", preprint, Univ. of Glasgow, 1982.
3. C. Chevalley, "L'arithmétique dans les algèbres de matrices", Actualités Sci. Indust. No. 323, Paris, 1936.
4. G. H. Cliff, "Zero divisors and idempotents in group rings", Can. J. Math. 23(1980)596-602.
5. D. R. Farkas, "Noetherian group rings: an exercise in creating folklore and intuition", preprint, Virginia Polytechnic Inst. and State Univ., 1983.
6. D. R. Farkas and R. L. Snider, " K_0 and Noetherian group rings", J. Algebra 42(1976)192-198.
7. D. Hilbert, "Grundlagen der Geometrie", Teubner, Leipzig, 1899.
8. J. E. Humphreys, "Introduction to Lie Algebras and Representation Theory", Springer, New York, 1972.
9. K. Ireland and M. Rosen, "A Classical Introduction to Modern Number Theory", Springer, New York, 1982.

10. V. A. Jategaonkar, "Multiplicative analog of Weyl algebras", Notices AMS 23(1976)p.A-566.
11. M. Lorenz, "Division algebras generated by finitely generated nilpotent groups", to appear in J. Algebra.
12. M. Lorenz, "On the transcendence degree of group algebras of nilpotent groups", to appear in Glasgow Math. J.
13. M. Lorenz, "Prime ideals in group algebras of polycyclic-by-finite groups: vertices and sources", in: Lect. Notes in Math. No. 867, Springer, New York, 1981.
14. M. Lorenz and D. S. Passman, "Prime ideals in group algebras of polycyclic-by-finite groups", Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)43 (1981)520-543.
15. L. Makar-Limanov, "On group rings of nilpotent groups", preprint, Wayne State Univ., 1983.
16. J. C. McConnell and J. C. Robson, "Homomorphisms and extensions of modules over certain differential operator rings", J. Algebra 26(1973)319-342.
17. M. S. Montgomery, "Fixed Rings of Finite Automorphism Groups of Associative Rings", Lect. Notes in Math. No. 818, Springer, New York, 1980.
18. D. S. Passman, "The Algebraic Structure of Group Rings", Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1977.
19. R. Resco, "Transcendental division algebras and simple Noetherian rings", Israel J. Math. 32(1979)236-256.
20. R. Resco, L. W. Small, and A. Wadsworth, "Tensor products of division rings and finite generation of subfields", Proc. AMS 77(1979)7-10.
21. P. Revoy, "Algèbres de Weyl en caractéristique p", C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris (Série A) 276(1973)225-228.
22. J. E. Roseblade, "Prime ideals in group rings of polycyclic groups", Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)36(1978)385-447.
23. J. M. Rosenblatt, "Invariant measures and growth conditions", Trans AMS 193(1974)33-53.
24. M. K. Smith, "Centralizers in rings of quotients of group rings", J. Algebra 25(1973)158-164.
25. P. F. Smith, "On the dimension of group rings", Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)25(1972)288-302.
26. R. L. Snider, "The division ring of fractions of a group ring", to appear in Proc. Sém. Malliavin, Lect. Notes in Math., Springer.

- 16
27. J. T. Stafford, "Stably free, projective right ideals", preprint, Univ. of Leeds, 1982.
 28. P. Vamos, "On the minimal primes of a tensor product of fields", Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 84(1978)25-35.
 29. D. B. Webber, "Ideals and modules of simple Noetherian hereditary rings", J. Algebra 16(1970)239-242.
 30. A. E. Zalesskii, "Irreducible representations of finitely generated nilpotent torsion-free groups", Math. Notes 9 (1971)117-123.