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\section*{A. 5 Zum Gradienten}

Wir zeigen: Sei \(U \subseteq \mathbf{R}^{\boldsymbol{n}}\) offen und 2 u je zwei Punkten \(P\) und \(Q\) gebe es einen Weg \(c:[a, b] \rightarrow U\) mit \(c(a)=P\) und \(c(b)=Q\). Weiter seien \(f_{1}, f_{2}: U \rightarrow \mathbf{R}\) zwei Funktionen mit grad \(f_{1}=\operatorname{grad} f_{2}\). Dann gibt es ein \(k \in \mathbf{R}\) mit \(f_{1}=f_{2}+k\).

Beweis. Wir definieren eine Funktion \(h: U \rightarrow \mathbf{R}\) durch \(h:=f_{1}-f_{2}\). Dann gilt wegen der Voraussetzung grad \(h=0\). Zu zeigen ist, das \(h \equiv k\) für ein \(k \in \mathbf{R}\) gilt. Dazu betrachten wir zwei beliebige Punkte \(P, Q \in U\) und einen Weg \(c:[a, b] \rightarrow U\) in \(U\) zwischen \(P\) und \(Q\). Die Kettenregel liefert wieder
\[
(h \circ c)^{\prime}(t)=\left\langle\operatorname{grad} h(c(t)), c^{\prime}(t)\right\rangle
\]

Wegen \(\operatorname{grad} h=0\) ist nun \(\left\langle\operatorname{grad} h(c(t)), c^{\prime}(t)\right\rangle=0\), also \(h \circ c\) konstant. Daraus folgt, daß \(h(P)=h(c(a))=h(c(b))=h(Q)\) gilt. Also ist für beliebige Punkte \(P, Q \in U\) gezeigt, daB \(h(P)=h(Q)\) gilt, also ist \(h\) konstant.

\section*{A. 6 Stammfunktionen zu Vektorfeldern}

Es sei \(U\) eine Teilmenge des \(\mathbf{R}^{n}\) und \(F: U \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n}\) ein gegebenes Vektorfeld. Eine Funktion \(\phi: U \rightarrow \mathrm{R}\) mit grad \(\phi=F\) heiBt Stammfunktion zu F.

Uns interessiert nun, wann es solch eine Stammfunktion gibt. Dazu betrachten wir zunächst folgenden Spezialfall:
- Es sei \(n=2\) und \(F\) gegeben durch die Funktionen \(f, g: U \rightarrow \mathbf{R}\). Nehmen wir an, es gebe eine Funktion \(\phi\) mit \(\operatorname{grad} \phi=F\), also \(F=\left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_{1}}, \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_{2}}\right)\). Dies bedeutet, daB gerade \(f=\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_{1}}\) und \(g=\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_{2}}\) ist. Dann ist aber \(\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial x_{2}}=\frac{\theta^{2} \phi}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{2}}\) und \(\frac{\partial g}{\partial x_{1}}=\frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial x_{2} \partial x_{1}}\). Wenn nun \(\phi\) von der Klasse \(C^{1}\) ist, dann ist \(\frac{\theta^{2} \phi}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{2}}=\frac{\theta^{2} \phi}{\partial x_{\partial} \partial x_{1}}\), also gilt dann \(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x_{2}}=\frac{\partial g}{\partial x_{1}}\).

Analog zeigt man allgemein: Wenn es ein \(\phi \in C^{1}\) mit \(F=\operatorname{grad} \phi \operatorname{gibt}\), dann gilt \(\frac{\partial f_{j}}{\partial x_{i}}=\frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial x_{j}}\) für alle \(i, j\).

Wir können uns nun fragen: Wenn umgekehrt \(\frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial x_{i}}=\frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial x_{j}}\) gilt, gibt es dann eine Stammfunktion?

Die Antwort liefert der folgende
Satz. Es sei \(U\) ein Rechteck im \(\mathbf{R}^{n}\), d.h ein kartesisches Produkt von offenen Intervallen in \(\mathbf{R}\). Weiter sei \(F=\left(\begin{array}{c}f_{1} \\ \vdots \\ f_{n}\end{array}\right): U \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n}\) mit \(f_{i}: U \rightarrow \mathbf{R}\) eine differenzierbare Funktion mit \(\frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial x_{i}}=\frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial x_{j}}\). Dann gibt es eine Stammfunktion \(\phi: U \rightarrow \mathbf{R}\) mit \(F=\operatorname{grad} \phi\).

Beweis. Wir führen den Beweis für den Fall \(n=2\) mit \(f_{1}=f\) und \(f_{2}=g\). Im allgemeinen Fall schließt man ganz analog.
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\section*{1. Introduction}

In a remarkable series of papers [14-17], V.A.Kolyvagin introduced a new descent method based on properties of "Euler systems", which enabled him to prove, among other things, the finiteness of the Tate-Kafarevič groups of certain elliptic curves.

In the present work we apply the method of Euler systems to modular forms of higher (even) weight, obtaining some information about algebraic cycles on the corresponding Kuga-Sato varieties

More precisely, one may associate to every newform \(f \in S_{2 r}^{\text {new }}\left(\Gamma_{0}(N)\right)\) with rational coefficients a motive \(M=M(f)\) of rank 2 over \(\mathbf{Q}\) (U.Jannsen, A.J.Scholl).Its \(l\)-adic realization \(M_{l}\) is a two dimensional representation of \(G(\overline{\mathbf{Q}} / \mathbf{Q})\) which appears as a factor of the cohomology group \(H_{\mathrm{et}}^{2 r-1}\left(Y \otimes \overline{\mathbf{Q}}, \mathbf{Q}_{l}\right)\), where \(Y\) is a suitable smooth compactification of the ( \(2 r-2\) )-fold fibre product of the universal elliptic curve (with the full level \(N\) structure) over the modular curve \(X(N)\). The \(l\)-adic Abel-Jacobi map (over any extension \(K\) of \(\mathbf{Q}\) )
\[
C H^{r}(Y / K)_{0} \longrightarrow H_{\mathrm{cont}}^{1}\left(K, H_{\mathrm{et}}^{2 r-1}\left(Y \otimes \overline{\mathbf{Q}}, \mathbf{Q}_{l}\right)(r)\right)
\]
induces a map
\[
\Phi: C H^{r}(Y / K)_{0} \longrightarrow H_{\text {cont }}^{1}\left(K, M_{l}(r)\right)
\]
(here \(C H^{r}(Y / K)_{0}\) denotes the group of homologically trivial cycles on \(Y\) defined over \(K\), - - : modulo rational equivalence).

If \(K\) is an imaginary quadratic field in which all primes dividing \(N\) split, we may define a Heegner cycle
\[
y \in C H^{r}(Y / K)_{0} \otimes \mathbf{Q}_{l}
\]

Its image \(y_{0}=\Phi(y)\) lies in the \((-\varepsilon)\)-eigenspace under the action of the non-trivial element of \(G(K / \mathbf{Q})\), where \(\varepsilon= \pm 1\) is the sign in the functional equation of the \(L\)-series \(L(f, s)\).

Theorem. Suppose that \(l\) does not divide \(2(2 r-2)!N \varphi(N)\). If \(y_{0}\) is non-zero, then
\[
(\operatorname{Im}(\Phi))^{\varepsilon} \otimes \mathbf{Q}_{l}=0, \quad(\operatorname{Im}(\Phi))^{-\varepsilon} \otimes \mathbf{Q}_{l}=\mathbf{Q}_{l} \cdot y_{0}
\]
and an analogue of the \(l\)-primary part of the Tate-Safarevic group is finite.
A similar statement is proved for newforms with not necessarily rational coefficients. This result gives a new piece of evidence in favour of Bloch-Beilinson's conjectures on the
properties of motivic \(L\)-series at the centre of the critical strip (see [12]): the conjectures predict that the dimension of \(\operatorname{Im}(\Phi)\) is equal to the order of vanishing of the \(L\)-function of the modular form \(f\) over the field \(K\) at the centre of the critical strip. The results of Gross-Zagier [10] and Brylinski [3] suggest that in our situation the order of vanishing is equal to one precisely when the " \(f\)-component" of the Heegner cycle \(y\) has non-trivial height. There are some grounds to the belief that the latter occurs if and only if \(y_{0}\) is non-zero (cf. the discussion at the end of sec.13).

The proof follows rather closely the presentation of B.Gross in [9]. Some modifications are necessary, however, as the whole construction is to be carried out in terms of the Galois representation \(M_{l}(r)\) alone. This is the reason why Kolyvagin's corestriction and its properties under localization are treated perhaps at greater length than necessary. The calculations made in sec. 9 confirm that the construction of "derived Euler systems" works solely in terms of the associated "Tate module", as suggested in [21].

This work has been done in the Max-Planck-Institute für Mathematik. I would like to express my gratitude for its support and hospitality. My thanks are also due to U.Jannsen, N.Schappacher and C.Schoen for helpful discussions.

\section*{2. Kuga-Sato varieties}

In [26], A.J.Scholl constructs motives attached to holomorphic cusp forms on congruence subgroups. In this section we briefly recall his results.

Fix integers \(N \geq 3, w \geq 1\). Let \(M_{N}\) be the affine modular curve over \(\mathbf{Q}\) parametrising elliptic curves with full level \(N\) structure and let \(j: M_{N} \hookrightarrow \bar{M}_{N}\) be its smooth compactification classifying generalized elliptic curves.
Denote by \(\pi: X_{N} \longrightarrow M_{N}\) the universal elliptic curve and by \(\bar{\pi}: \bar{X}_{N} \longrightarrow \bar{M}_{N}\) the universal generalized elliptic curve, which is smooth and proper.
Consider the \(w\)-fold fibre product \(\bar{\pi}_{w}: \bar{X}_{N}^{w} \longrightarrow \bar{M}_{N}\) of \(\bar{X}_{N}\) with itself over \(\bar{M}_{N}\) and put \(X_{N}^{w}=\bar{\pi}^{-1}\left(M_{N}\right)\).
The level \(N\) structure on \(\bar{X}_{N}\) gives a homomorphism of group schemes over \(\bar{M}_{N}\)
\[
(\mathrm{Z} / N)^{2} \times \bar{M}_{N} \hookrightarrow \bar{X}_{N}^{*}
\]
where \(\bar{X}_{N}^{*}\) is the Néron model of \(X_{N}\) over \(\bar{M}_{N}\), namely the open subscheme of \(\bar{X}_{N}\) on which \(\bar{\pi}\) is smooth. Therefore \((\mathbf{Z} / N)^{2}\) acts by translations on \(\bar{X}_{N}\). Multiplication by -1 in the fibers defines an action of the semidirect product \((\mathbb{Z} / N)^{2} \times \mu_{2}\) on \(\bar{X}_{N}\).
The symmetric group \(\Sigma_{w}\) on \(w\) letters acts on \(\bar{X}_{N}^{*}\) by permuting the factors. Hence the semidirect product
\[
\Gamma_{w}:=\left((\mathbf{Z} / N)^{2} \rtimes \mu_{2}\right)^{w} \rtimes \Sigma_{w}
\]
acts on \(\bar{X}_{N}^{*}\) by fibre-preserving automorphisms.
Let \(\varepsilon: \Gamma_{w} \longrightarrow\{ \pm 1\}\) be the homomorphism which is trivial on \((Z / N)^{2 w}\), is the product map on \(\mu_{2}^{w}\) and is the sign character on \(\Sigma_{w}\). Let \(\Pi_{\varepsilon} \in \mathbf{Z}[1 /(2 N . w!)]\left[\Gamma_{w}\right]\) be the projector associated to \(\varepsilon\).

Consider the canonical desingularization \(\overline{\bar{X}}_{N}^{w}\) described in [5] and [26]. By its canonical nature the action of \(\Gamma_{w}\) extends to \(\overline{\bar{X}}_{N}^{w}\). Fix a prime number \(p\) not dividing \(2 N . w!\). One of the main results of [26] is the description of the parabolic cohomology group
\[
H_{\mathrm{et}}^{1}\left(\bar{M}_{N} \otimes \overline{\mathbf{Q}}, j_{*} \operatorname{Sym}^{w}\left(R^{1} \pi_{*} \mathbf{Z} / p^{M}\right)\right)
\]
in terms of the compactification \(\overline{\bar{X}}_{N}^{w}\) :
Proposition 2.1. \([26,1.2 .1] H_{\mathrm{et}}^{1}\left(\bar{M}_{N} \otimes \overline{\mathbf{Q}}, j_{*} \operatorname{Sym}^{w}\left(R^{1} \pi_{*} \mathrm{Z} / p^{M}\right)\right)=\Pi_{\varepsilon} H_{\mathrm{et}}^{*}\left(\overline{\bar{X}}_{N}^{w} \otimes \overline{\mathbf{Q}}, \mathrm{Z} / p^{M}\right)\).
Strictly speaking, the theorem stated in [26] deals only with \(\mathbf{Q}_{p}\)-coefficients, but its proof is valid in our situation as well. In fact, it will be crucial to consider cohomology with finite coefficients.
Lemma 2.2. Put \(\mathcal{F}_{M}:=\operatorname{Sym}^{w}\left(R^{1} \pi_{*} \mathrm{Z} / p^{M}\right)\) and let \(\mathcal{F}:=\lim \mathcal{F}_{M}\) be the corresponding \(p-\) adic sheaf. Then the parabolic cohomology group \(H_{\mathrm{et}}^{1}\left(\bar{M}_{N} \otimes \overline{\mathbf{Q}}, j_{*} \mathcal{F}\right)\) is torsion free and
\[
H_{\mathrm{et}}^{1}\left(\bar{M}_{N} \otimes \overline{\mathbf{Q}}, j_{*} \mathcal{F}_{M}\right)=H_{\mathrm{et}}^{1}\left(\bar{M}_{N} \otimes \overline{\mathbf{Q}}, j_{*} \mathcal{F}\right) / p^{M}
\]
(always under the assumption \(p \nmid 2 N . w!\) ).
Proof. As \(M_{N}\) is not complete, \(H_{\mathrm{et}}^{2}\left(M_{N} \otimes \overline{\mathbf{Q}}, \mathcal{F}_{M}\right)=0\). The monodromy of \(\mathcal{F}\) at cusps is given by
\[
\operatorname{Sym}^{w}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & N \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
\]
and its \(S L(2, \mathbf{Z})\)-conjugates. The condition on \(p\) then implies that \(H_{\mathrm{et}}^{0}\left(\bar{M}_{N} \otimes \overline{\mathbf{Q}}, \mathcal{F}_{M}\right)=0\). The only nonvanishing cohomology group \(H_{\mathrm{et}}^{1}\left(\bar{M}_{N} \otimes \overline{\mathbf{Q}}, \mathcal{F}_{M}\right)\) must be, therefore, a free \(\mathbf{Z} / p^{M}\)-module of rank \(r\) independent of \(M\) (by SGA \(4 \frac{1}{2}\), Rapport sur la formule des traces, Th.4.9). Thus \(H_{\mathrm{et}}^{1}\left(\bar{M}_{N} \otimes \overline{\mathbf{Q}}, \mathcal{F}\right) \simeq \mathbf{Z}_{p}^{r} \quad\) and its subgroup \(H_{\mathrm{et}}^{1}\left(\bar{M}_{N} \otimes \overline{\mathbf{Q}}, j_{*} \mathcal{F}\right)\) is torsion free. By Poincaré duality,
\[
H_{\mathrm{et}}^{2}\left(\bar{M}_{N} \otimes \overline{\mathbf{Q}}, j_{*} \mathcal{F}\right)=H_{c}^{2}\left(M_{N} \otimes \overline{\mathbf{Q}}, \mathcal{F}\right)=H_{\mathrm{et}}^{0}\left(M_{N} \otimes \overline{\mathbf{Q}}, \mathcal{F}(1-w)\right)^{\vee}=0
\]
(as \(\left.\mathcal{F}^{\vee}=\mathcal{F}(-w)\right)\) and the second statement follows from [18,V.1.11].
We now recall the definition of Hecke operators (cf. [5],[26]). Fix a prime \(l\) not dividing \(N\). Let. \(M_{N, l}\) be the modular curve over \(\mathbf{Q}\) classifying elliptic curves \(E\) with a level \(N\) structure and a subgroup \(C \subset E\) of order \(l\). The fibre product \(X_{N, l}=X_{N} \times M_{N} M_{N, l}\) is the universal elliptic curve over \(M_{N, l}\) equipped with a level \(N\) structure and a subgroup scheme \(C\) of order \(l\). Write \(X_{N, l}^{w}\) for the fibre product \(X_{N}^{w} \times_{M_{N}} M_{N, l}\).
Let \(Q\) be the quotient of \(X_{N, l}\) by \(C\), with the level structure coming from that on \(X_{N, l}\) and let \(Q^{w}\) be its \(w\)-fold fiber product over \(M_{N, l}\). Consider the diagram :

in which the first and third squares are cartesian. The Hecke correspondence \(T_{l}\) on \(X_{N}^{w}\), defined by
\[
T_{l}=\phi_{1 *} \psi^{*} \phi_{2}^{*}
\]
induces endomorphisms \(T_{l}\) of \(H_{\mathrm{et}}^{*}\left(X_{N}^{w} \otimes \overline{\mathbf{Q}}, \mathbf{Z} / p^{M}\right)\). Define the Hecke correspondence - still to be denoted by \(T_{l}\) - on \(\overline{\bar{X}}_{N}^{w}\) as the closure of the graph of \(T_{l}\) on \(\overline{\bar{X}}_{N}^{w} \times \overline{\bar{X}}_{N}^{w}\).
In order to deal with forms of level \(N=1,2\) one replaces \(N\) by \(3 N\) and then takes invariants under the kernel of the reduction map \(G L(2, \mathbf{Z} / 3 N) \longrightarrow G L(2, \mathbf{Z} / N)\). This can be done as far as \(p\) does not divide \(6(2 r-2)\) !.

\section*{3. Modular forms and Galois representations}

The parabolic cohomology group \(H_{\mathrm{et}}^{1}\left(\bar{M}_{N} \otimes \overline{\mathbf{Q}}, j_{*} F\right)\) contains \(p\)-adic Galois representations associated to all cusp forms of weight \(w+2\) on the full congruence subgroup \(\Gamma(N)\). We shall be interested, however, only in forms on \(\Gamma_{0}(N)\) with the trivial character. Let \(w+2=2 r \geq 4\) be even and suppose that
\[
f=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n} q^{n} \quad \in \quad S_{2 r}^{\mathrm{new}}\left(\Gamma_{0}(N)\right)
\]
is a normalized ( \(a_{1}=1\) ) newform of weight \(2 r\) on \(\Gamma_{0}(N)\). Let \(B=\Gamma_{0}(N) / \Gamma(N)\) be the Borel subgroup of \(G L(2, \mathbf{Z} / N)\) and put \(\Pi_{B}:=(\sharp B)^{-1} \sum_{b \in B} b \in \mathbf{Z}_{p}[B]\) (assuming that \(p\) does not divide \(N \varphi(N)\) ).
Consider
\[
J:=\Pi_{B} H_{\mathrm{et}}^{1}\left(\bar{M}_{N} \otimes \overline{\mathbf{Q}}, j_{*} F\right)(r)=H_{\mathrm{et}}^{1}\left(\bar{M}_{N} \otimes \overline{\mathbf{Q}}, j_{*} F\right)(r)^{B}
\]

Let \(\mathbf{T} \subset \operatorname{End}(J)\) be the subalgebra generated by the endomorphisms induced by all Hecke operators \(T_{l}\) for primes \(l\) not dividing \(N\). The field \(F=\mathbf{Q}\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots\right)\) generated by the coefficients of \(f\) is a totally real field of finite degree over \(\mathbf{Q}\) and the coefficients themselves lie in its ring of integers \(\mathcal{O}_{F}\). Write \(I\) for the kernel of the morphism \(\mathbf{T} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{F}\) sending \(T_{l}\) to \(a_{l}\) for all primes \(l\) not dividing \(N\). Put \(A:=\{x \in J \mid I \cdot x=0\}, A_{\mathbf{Q}}:=A \otimes \mathbf{Q}\).
Since \(f\) is a newform, there exists a \(\mathbf{T}[G(\overline{\mathbf{Q}} / \mathbf{Q})]\)-equivariant map \(r: J \longrightarrow A\) such that \(\left.r\right|_{A}=p^{m}\) for some \(m \geq 0\). Fix such a map. One may take \(m=0\) if there is no congruence \(f \equiv f^{*}(\bmod \wp)\) between \(f\) and another Hecke eigenform \(f^{*}\) on \(\Gamma_{0}(N)\) modulo any prime \(\wp\) dividing \(p\).
Proposition 3.1.
(1) \(A\) is a free \(\mathcal{O}_{F} \otimes \mathbf{Z}_{p}\)-module of rank 2 equipped with a continuous \(\mathcal{O}_{F}\)-linear action of the Galois group \(G(\overline{\mathbf{Q}} / \mathbf{Q})\).
(2) There exists a \(G(\overline{\mathbf{Q}} / \mathbf{Q})\)-equivariant skew-symmetric pairing
\[
[,]: A \times A \longrightarrow \mathbf{Z}_{p}(1)
\]
satisfying
\[
[\lambda x, y]=[x, \lambda y], \quad x, y \in A, \quad \lambda \in \mathcal{O}_{F} \otimes \mathbf{Z}_{p}
\]
such that the induced pairings
\[
[,]_{M}: A / p^{M} A \times A / p^{M} A \longrightarrow \mu_{p^{M A}}
\]
are non-degenerate for all \(M \geq 0\).
(3) If \(l\) is a prime not dividing \(N p\), then the characteristic polynomial of the arithmetic Frobenius element \(\operatorname{Fr}(l)\) acting on \(A\) is equal to
\[
\operatorname{det}(1-x \operatorname{Fr}(l) \mid A)=1-a_{l} / l^{r-1} x+l x^{2}
\]
(4) If \(l \mid N\), then \(\operatorname{det}\left(1-x F r(l) \mid A_{I}\right)=1-a_{l} / l^{r-1} x\) and \(a_{l}=0\) or \(-\varepsilon_{f, l} l^{r-1}\), where \(\varepsilon_{f, l}= \pm 1\) is the eigenvalue of the Atkin-Lehner involution \(W_{l}\) acting on \(f: f \mid W_{l}=\varepsilon_{f, l} . f\).

Proof. (1) Since \(f\) is a newform, \(A_{\mathbf{Q}}\) is a free \(F \otimes \mathbf{Q}_{p}\)-module of rank 2 and we know that \(A\) is torsion free. As all \(T_{l}\) are defined over \(\mathbf{Q}\), the Galois action is \(\mathcal{O}_{F}\)-linear.
(2) Poincaré duality furnishes us with a skew-symmetric \(G(\overline{\mathbf{Q}} / \mathbf{Q})\)-equivariant pairing
\[
[,]^{(P)}: J \times J \longrightarrow \mathbf{Z}_{p}(1)
\]
satisfying \(\left[T_{l} x, y\right]^{(P)}=\left[x, T_{l} y\right]^{(P)}\). As \([,]_{\mathbf{Q}}^{(P)}\) is nondegenerate on \(J_{\mathbf{Q}}\) and the same is true for its restriction on \(A_{\mathbf{Q}}\), the dual of \(A\)
\[
A^{*}:=\left\{x \in A_{\mathbf{Q}} \mid[x, A]^{(P)} \subseteq \mathbf{Z}_{p}(1)\right\}
\]
has the form \(A^{*}=u^{-1} A\) for some \(u \in \mathcal{O}_{F} \otimes \mathbf{Z}_{p}\) and we put \([x, y]=\left[u^{-1} x, y\right]_{\boldsymbol{Q}}^{(P)}\).
(3) is the Eichler-Shimura relation (see \([5,4.9]\) ).
(4) is a combination of [ \(4, \mathrm{Th} . \mathrm{A}]\) and \([1, \mathrm{Th} .3]\).

The Galois module \(A\) is a higher weight analogue of the Tate module of a modular elliptic curve and the pairing [, ] replaces the usual Weil pairing.
According to [26], \(A_{\mathbf{Q}}\) is the \(p\)-adic realization of a certain motive \(M=M(f)\) over \(\mathbf{Q}\) with coefficients in \(F\). In this language, Prop. 3.1 simply says that \(M^{\vee}=M(-1)\) and
\[
L\left(M^{\vee}, s\right)=L(f, s+r-1)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n} n^{-s-r+1}
\]
(including the Euler factors at primes \(l \mid N\) ).
This \(L\)-series satisfies the functional equation (see \([28,3.66]\) )
\[
\Lambda(s):=N^{s / 2}(2 \pi)^{-s-r+1} \Gamma(s+r-1) L\left(M^{\vee}, s\right)=\varepsilon_{L} \Lambda(2-s)
\]
where \(\varepsilon_{L}=(-1)^{r-1} \varepsilon_{f}\).

\section*{4. Algebraic cycles and Abel-Jacobi map}

The value of the \(L\)-series \(L\left(M^{\vee}, s\right)\) at the centre of the critical strip \(s=1\) is conjecturally related to the group of codimension \(r\) cycles on the Kuga-Sato variety. Before we describe the conjectural relationship, which is a natural generalization of Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer's conjecture, we recall some definitions.

If \(V\) is a smooth variety over a field \(K, p\) a prime number different from char \((K)\), one may define an étale version of the Abel-Jacobi map
\[
\Phi: C H^{r}(V / K)_{0} \longrightarrow H_{\mathrm{cont}}^{1}\left(K, H_{\mathrm{et}}^{2 r-1}\left(V \otimes \bar{K}, \mathbf{Z}_{p}(r)\right)\right),
\]
where
\[
C H^{r}(V / K)_{0}=\operatorname{Ker}\left[C H^{r}(V / K) \longrightarrow H_{\mathrm{et}}^{2 r}\left(V \otimes \bar{K}, \mathrm{Z}_{p}(r)\right)\right]
\]
is the group of homologically trivial cycles of codimension \(r\) on \(V\) defined over \(K\), modulo rational equivalence. One definition of \(\Phi\) uses the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence
\[
E_{2}^{p, q}=H_{\mathrm{cont}}^{p}\left(K, H_{\mathrm{et}}^{q}\left(V \otimes \bar{K}, \mathbf{Z}_{p}(r)\right)\right) \Longrightarrow H_{\mathrm{cont}}^{p+q}\left(V, \mathbf{Z}_{p}(r)\right)
\]
and the fact that the cohomology class of \(Z \in C H^{r}(V / K)_{0}\) lies in \(F^{1} H_{\text {cont }}^{2 r}\left(V, \mathrm{Z}_{p}(r)\right): \Phi(Z)\) is by definition its image in \(E_{2}^{1,2 r-1}\). Alternatively, the diagram

defines an extension of continuous \(G(\bar{K} / K)\)-modules \(\mathbf{Z}_{p}\) and \(H_{\mathrm{et}}^{2 r-1}\left(V \otimes \overline{K_{K}}, \mathbf{Z}_{p}(r)\right)\) and the class of this extension is \(\Phi(Z)\). See \([11,12]\) for more details on continuous étale cohomology and the Abel-Jacobi map. We shall need later on some information on its behavior over local fields, part of which is provided by the following lemma:
Lemma 4.1. Let \(K\) be a finite extension of \(\mathbf{Q}_{l}, V\) a proper smooth variety over \(K\). Let
\[
A=H_{\mathrm{et}}^{2 r-1}\left(V \otimes \bar{K}, \mathbf{Z}_{p}(r)\right)=\underset{{ }_{n}}{\lim }\left(A_{n}=H_{\mathrm{et}}^{2 r-1}\left(V \otimes \bar{K}, \mathbf{Z} / p^{n}(r)\right)\right)
\]

If \(p\) is a prime different from \(l\), then
\[
H_{\text {cont }}^{1}(K, A)=H_{\text {cont }}^{1}\left(K^{u r} / K, A\right),
\]
i.e. consists of unramified cohomology classes.

Proof. As \(l \neq p\), one has
\[
H_{\mathrm{cont}}^{1}(K, A) \simeq \underset{\frac{\lim }{\stackrel{1}{n}}}{ } H^{1}\left(K, A_{n}\right)=\underset{\stackrel{\lim _{n}}{\leftrightarrows}}{ } H^{1}\left(K^{t} / K, A_{n}\right)
\]
where \(K^{t}\) is the maximal tamely ramified extension of \(K\). The Galois group \(G\left(K^{t} / K\right)\) is generated by two elements \(\varphi, \tau\) satisfying the relation \(\varphi \tau \varphi^{-1}=\tau^{\lambda}\), where \(\lambda=l^{d}\) is the cardinality of the residue field of \(K, \tau\) generates \(G\left(K^{t} / K^{u r}\right) \simeq \prod_{q \neq l} \mathrm{Z}_{p}(1)\) and \(\varphi\) is a lift of the arithmetic Frobenius \(\operatorname{Fr}(\lambda) \in G\left(K^{u r} / K\right)\). According to the proper and smooth base change theorems, the modules \(A_{n}\) are unramified, hence we get an exact sequence
\[
0 \longrightarrow H_{\mathrm{cont}}^{1}\left(K^{u r} / K, A\right) \longrightarrow H_{\mathrm{cont}}^{1}\left(K^{t} / K, A\right) \longrightarrow H_{\mathrm{cont}}^{1}\left(K^{t} / K^{u r}, A\right)^{G\left(K^{u r} / K\right)}
\]
with \(H_{\text {cont }}^{1}\left(K^{t} / K^{u r}, A\right) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{\text {cont }}\left(G\left(K^{t} / K^{u r}\right), A\right) \simeq A(\) evaluation at \(\tau)\) and
\[
H_{\mathrm{cont}}^{1}\left(K^{t} / K^{u r}, A\right)^{G\left(K^{\mathrm{ur}} / K\right)} \simeq\{a \in A \mid(\varphi-\lambda)(a)=0\}
\]
which is zero by Weil's conjectures.
Returning to the situation of previous sections, let \(V=\bar{X}_{N}^{2 r-2}\) be the Kuga-Sato variety and \(p\) a fixed prime not dividing \(2(2 r-2)!N \varphi(N)\). The Abel-Jacobi map composed with the projections \(\Pi_{\varepsilon}, \Pi_{B}\) introduced in sec. 2 and sec. 3 induces a map
\[
\Phi: C H^{r}\left(\overline{\bar{X}}_{N}^{2 r-2} / K\right)_{0} \longrightarrow H_{\mathrm{cont}}^{1}(K, J)
\]
(recall that \(J\) is the parabolic cohomology group for \(\Gamma_{0}(N)\) ).
Since the Abel-Jacobi map commutes with automorphisms of the underlying variety, \(\Phi\) factors through \(\Pi_{\varepsilon}\left(C H^{r}\left(\overline{\bar{X}}_{N}^{2 r-2} / K\right)_{0} \otimes \mathbf{Z}_{p}\right)\). According to Prop. 2.1,
\[
\Pi_{\varepsilon} H^{2 r}\left(\overline{\bar{X}}_{N}^{2 r-2} \otimes \overline{\mathbf{Q}}, \mathbf{Z}_{p}(r)\right)=0
\]
hence
\[
\Pi_{\varepsilon}\left(C H^{r}\left(\bar{X}_{N}^{2 r-2} / K\right)_{0} \otimes \mathbf{Z}_{p}\right)=\Pi_{\varepsilon}\left(C H^{r}\left(\overline{\bar{X}}_{N}^{2 r-2} / K\right) \otimes \mathbf{Z}_{p}\right)
\]
over any extension \(K\) of \(\mathbf{Q}\).
Finally, composing with the map \(r: J \longrightarrow A\), we obtain
\[
\Phi_{f, K}: \Pi_{e}\left(C H^{r}\left(\overline{\bar{X}}_{N}^{2 r-2} / K\right) \otimes \mathbf{Z}_{p}\right) \longrightarrow H_{\text {cont }}^{1}(K, A)
\]

Proposition 4.2. The map \(\Phi_{f, K}\) is \(\mathbf{T}\)-equivariant. If \(K / \mathbf{Q}\) is Galois, \(\Phi_{f, K}\) is also \(G(K / \mathbf{Q})\)-equivariant.
Proof. The Abel-Jacobi map commutes with correspondences and the Galois action.
Now we are ready to state the promised generalization of Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer's conjecture:

Conjecture 4.3. (A.A.Beilinson, S.Bloch, see [12]) For each number field \(K\),
\[
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}}\left(\operatorname{Im}\left(\Phi_{f, K}\right) \otimes \mathbf{Q}_{p}\right)=\operatorname{ord}_{s=1} L\left(M^{\vee} \otimes K, s\right)=\operatorname{ord}_{s=r} L(f \otimes K, s)
\]

In particular, if \(K=\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-D})\) is an imaginary quadratic field with discriminant \(-D\), then
\[
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}}\left(\operatorname{Im}\left(\Phi_{f, K}\right)^{+} \otimes \mathbf{Q}_{p}\right)=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}}\left(\operatorname{Im}\left(\Phi_{f, \mathbf{Q}}\right) \otimes \mathbf{Q}_{p}\right)=\operatorname{ord}_{s=r} L(f, s) \\
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}}\left(\operatorname{Im}\left(\Phi_{f, K}\right)^{-} \otimes \mathbf{Q}_{p}\right)=\operatorname{ord}_{s=r} L(f \otimes \chi, s),
\end{gathered}
\]
where the signs \(\pm\) refer to ( \(\pm 1\) )-eigenspaces with respect to the action of the non-trivial element in \(G(K / \mathbf{Q})\) and \(\chi\) is the Dirichlet character corresponding to \(K / \mathbf{Q}\).

\section*{5. CM cycles.}

In this section we define certain algebraic cycles of codimension \(r\) on \(\overline{\bar{X}}_{N}^{2 r-2}\) coming from elliptic curves with complex multiplication. Our construction is modelled on [23,25]. Let \(x \in M_{N}(\mathbf{C})\) correspond to an elliptic curve \(E=E_{x}\) with complex multiplication (equipped with a level \(N\) structure). Then \(R=\operatorname{End}(E)\) is an order of discriminant \(-D\) in the imaginary quadratic field \(K=\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-D})\). Fix one of the square roots \(\sqrt{-D} \in R\). Write \(\Delta \subset E \times E\) for the diagonal and \(\Gamma_{a} \subset E \times E\) for the graph of any \(a \in R\). The Néron-Severi group \(N S(E \times E)\) is a free abelian group of rank four. Define \(Z_{E}\) to be the image of the divisor \(\Gamma_{\sqrt{-D}}-(E \times\{0\})-D(\{0\} \times E)\) in \(N S(E \times E)\). It lies in the free rank one Z-module \(\langle E \times\{0\},\{0\} \times E, \Delta)^{\perp} \subset N S(E \times E)\) and changes sign when \(\sqrt{-D}\) is replaced by \(-\sqrt{-D}\).

The choice of \(\sqrt{-D}\) fixes not only \(Z_{E}\), but also all \(Z_{E^{\prime}}\) for \(E^{\prime}\) isogeneous to \(E\) : for an isogeny \(f: E \longrightarrow E^{\prime}\) we fix the sign of \(Z_{E^{\prime}}\) by requiring \((f \times f)_{*} Z_{E}=c Z_{E^{\prime}}\) with \(c>0\). To check that this is independent of \(f\), by composing with the dual isogeny to \(f\) one is reduced to prove that \((h \times h)_{*} Z_{E}=c Z_{E}\) with \(c>0\) for all \(h \in \operatorname{End}(E)\). And indeed, \((h \times h)_{*}\) acts on \(N S(E \times E)\) by \(\operatorname{deg}(h)\). In more down-to-earth terms, we simply insist that \(\sqrt{-D^{\prime}} / \sqrt{-D}\) should be positive under the canonical identification \(R \otimes \mathbf{Q} \simeq R^{\prime} \otimes \mathbf{Q}\).
Proposition 5.1. Let \(f: E \longrightarrow E^{\prime}\) be an isogeny between CM-elliptic curves with \(R=\operatorname{End}(E), R^{\prime}=\operatorname{End}\left(E^{\prime}\right),-D=\operatorname{disc}(R),-D^{\prime}=\operatorname{disc}\left(R^{\prime}\right)\). Then
(1) \((f \times f)_{*} Z_{E}=(\operatorname{deg}(f))\left(D / D^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2} Z_{E^{\prime}}\).
(2) \((f \times f)^{*} Z_{E^{\prime}}=(\operatorname{deg}(f))\left(D^{\prime} / D\right)^{1 / 2} Z_{E}\).

Proof. One has \((f \times f)_{*} Z_{E}=c Z_{E^{\prime}}\) for some \(c>0\) (in \(N S(E \times E) \otimes \mathbf{Q}\), possibly). The constant \(c\) can be computed from \((f \times f)_{*} Z_{E} \cdot(f \times f)_{*} Z_{E}=(\operatorname{deg}(f))^{2}, Z_{E} \cdot Z_{E}=-2 D\) and \(Z_{E^{\prime}} \cdot Z_{E^{\prime}}=-2 D^{\prime}\).
Similarly, \((f \times f)^{*} Z_{E^{\prime}}=c^{\prime} Z_{E}\) with \(c^{\prime}>0\), hence by the projection formula \(c^{\prime}(f \times f)_{*} Z_{E}=\) \((\operatorname{deg}(f))^{2} Z_{E^{\prime}}\) and we conclude by (1).
Corollary 5.2. Assume \(\operatorname{deg}(f)=l\) is a prime.
(1) If \(\left(\frac{-D}{l}\right)=-1\), then \(D^{\prime}=D l^{2}\) and \((f \times f)_{*} Z_{E}=Z_{E^{\prime}}\).
(2) If \(\left(\frac{-D^{\prime}}{l}\right)=-1\), then \(D=D^{\prime} l^{2}\) and \((f \times f)^{*} Z_{E^{\prime}}=Z_{E}^{\prime}\).

Proof. In the first case, \(\operatorname{Ker}(f)\) cannot be an \(R\)-module, hence \(D^{\prime}=D l^{2}\). In the second case we apply the same argument to the dual isogeny of \(f\).

We now apply the above construction to the elliptic curve \(E=E_{x}\), which is supposed to have a complex multiplication. Suppose that \(D_{1}, \ldots, D_{r-1}\) are divisors in \(E \times E\). Let \(K\) be a common rationality field of \(E\) and all \(D_{i}\). Let
\[
i: \pi_{2 r-2}^{-1}(x)=E^{2 r-2} \hookrightarrow \overline{\bar{X}}_{N}^{2 r-2}
\]
be the inclusion of the fibre over \(x\) into the (desingularization of) Kuga-Sato varicty. Then \(i_{*}\left(D_{1} \times \ldots \times D_{r-1}\right)\) is a cycle of codimension \(r\) on \(\bar{X}_{N}^{2 r-2}\).
Lemma 5.3. The Abel-Jacobi image of \(\Pi_{\varepsilon} i_{*}\left(D_{1} \times \ldots \times D_{r-1}\right)\) under
\[
\Phi: \Pi_{\varepsilon}\left(C H^{r}\left(\overline{\bar{X}}_{N}^{2 r-2} / K\right) \otimes \mathbf{Z}_{p}\right) \longrightarrow H_{\text {cont }}^{1}(K, A)
\]
depends only on the classes of \(D_{i}\) in \(N S(E \times E)\).
Proof. If \(D_{i}^{\prime}\) has the same class as \(D_{i}\) in \(N S(E \times E) \quad(1 \leq i \leq r-1)\), then the cycle \(z:=\left(D_{1} \times \ldots \times D_{r-1}\right)-\left(D_{1}^{\prime} \times \ldots \times D_{r-1}^{\prime}\right)\) is homologically trivial already in the fiber \(\pi_{2 r-2}^{-1}(x)\). The Abel-Jacobi image of \(\Pi_{e} i_{*} z\) lies, therefore, in the image of
\[
H_{\mathrm{cont}}^{1}\left(K, H_{\mathrm{et}}^{2 r-3}\left(\pi_{2 r-2}^{-1}(x) \otimes \overline{\mathbf{Q}}, \mathbf{Z}_{p}(r-1)\right) \longrightarrow H_{\mathrm{cont}}^{1}\left(K, \Pi_{\varepsilon} H_{\mathrm{et}}^{2 r-1}\left(\bar{X}_{N}^{2 r-2} \otimes \overline{\mathbf{Q}}, \mathbf{Z}_{p}(r)\right)\right)\right.
\]
which is trivial by Prop. 2.1.
Let \(K=\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-D}) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}\) be an imaginary quadratic field of discriminant \(-D\), in which all prime factors of \(N\) split. Write \(\mathcal{O}_{K}\) for the ring of integers of \(K\). Choose an ideal \(\mathcal{N}\) of \(\mathcal{O}_{K}\) with \(\mathcal{O}_{K} / \mathcal{N} \simeq \mathrm{Z} / N\). The inclusion \(\mathcal{O}_{K} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{N}^{-1}\) induces a cyclic \(N\)-isogeny \(\mathrm{C} / \mathcal{O}_{K} \longrightarrow \mathrm{C} / \mathcal{N}^{-1}\) between two complex tori, hence a point \(x_{1}\) of the modular curve \(X_{0}(N)\). By the theory of complex multiplication, \(x_{1}\) is rational over \(K_{1}\), the Hilbert class field of \(K\).
Let \(n \geq 1\) be an integer prime to \(N\) and \(\mathcal{O}_{n}:=\mathrm{Z}+n \mathcal{O}_{K}\). Again, one has a cyclic isogeny \(\mathbf{C} / \mathcal{O}_{n} \longrightarrow \mathrm{C} /\left(\mathcal{O}_{n} \cap \mathcal{N}\right)^{-1}\), which defines a point \(x_{n}\) on \(X_{0}(N)\). The point \(x_{n}\) is rational over \(K_{n}\), the ring class field of conductor \(n\) over \(K\). In the tower of extensions
\[
\mathbf{Q} \hookrightarrow K \hookrightarrow K_{1} \hookrightarrow K_{n}
\]
one has \(G(K / \mathbf{Q})=\{1, c\}, G\left(K_{1} / K\right)=\operatorname{Pic}\left(\mathcal{O}_{K}\right), G_{n}=G\left(K_{n} / K_{1}\right)=\left(\mathcal{O}_{K} / n\right)^{*} / \mathcal{O}_{K}^{*}(\mathbf{Z} / n)^{*}\) Here \(c\) is complex conjugation, which lifts to \(K_{n}\) and makes \(G\left(K_{n} / \mathbf{Q}\right)\) a semidirect product of \(G\left(K_{n} / K\right)\) and \(\{1, c\}\) with \(c-a c t i o n ~ o n ~ G\left(K_{n} / K\right)\) by \(c \sigma c^{-1}=\sigma^{-1}\). If \(l\) is a prime inert in \(K\), then \(K_{l} / K\) is ramified only at \(l\) and \(G_{l}=G\left(K_{l} / K_{1}\right)\) is cyclic of degree \((l+1) / u_{K}\), where \(u_{K}=\left(\sharp \mathcal{O}_{K}^{*}\right) / 2(=1\) for \(D \neq-3,-4)\).
Using our fixed embedding of \(K\) into \(\mathbf{C}\) we fix square roots of discriminants of all orders of \(\mathcal{O}_{K}\) by insisting that their imaginary part should be positive. Let \(n\) be squarefree and
prime to \(N \cdot D \cdot p\). Write \(\kappa\) for the canonical projection \(M_{N} \longrightarrow X_{0}(N)\). Choose any \(x \in \kappa^{-1}\left(x_{n}\right)\). The corresponding elliptic curve \(E_{x}\) has endomorphism ring \(\operatorname{End}\left(E_{x}\right)=\mathcal{O}_{n}\) with discriminant \(D n^{2}\). Let \(i_{x}\) be the inclusion of the fibre \(\pi_{2 r-2}^{-1}(x)\) into \(\overline{\bar{X}}_{N}^{2 r-2}\) and denote by \(y_{n}\) the Abel-Jacobi image of \(\Pi_{\varepsilon}\left(i_{x}\right)_{*}\left(Z_{E_{x}}^{r-1}\right)\) under
\[
\Phi: \Pi_{\varepsilon}\left(C H^{r}\left(\overline{\bar{X}}_{N}^{2 r-2} / K_{n}\right) \otimes \mathrm{Z}_{p}\right) \longrightarrow H_{\text {cont }}^{1}\left(K_{n}, J\right)
\]

Note that \(y_{n}\) is independent of the choice of \(x\), since the averaging over all \(x \in \kappa^{-1}\left(x_{n}\right)\) has been built into the definition of \(\Phi\).
Proposition 5.4. Assume that \(n=l \cdot m\), where \(l\) is inert in \(K\). Then
\[
T_{l} y_{m}=u_{K} \cdot \operatorname{cor}_{K_{n}, K_{m}}\left(y_{n}\right)
\]

Proof. We first compute the action of \(T_{l}\left(=T_{l}^{*}\right.\) in the notation of [25]) on \(\left(i_{x}\right)_{*}\left(Z_{E_{x}}^{r-1}\right)\) for \(x \in \kappa^{-1}\left(x_{m}\right)\) : according to Prop. 4.2, Cor. 5.2 and Lemma 5.3 it is equal to
\[
\sum_{y}\left(i_{y}\right)_{*}\left(Z_{E_{y}}^{r-1}\right)
\]
where \(l+1\) points \(y \in M_{N}\) correspond to \(l\)-isogenies \(E_{y} \longrightarrow E_{x}\) compatible with level \(N\) structures. By the theory of complex multiplication, the set \(\{\kappa(y)\}\) consists of \(u_{K}\) orbits of \(x_{n}\) under the action of \(G\left(K_{n} / K_{m}\right) \simeq G\left(K_{l} / K_{1}\right) \simeq \mathrm{Z} /\left((l+1) / u_{K}\right) \mathrm{Z}\). As the Galois action on \(Z_{E}\) 's comes from that on \(M_{N}\), the claim follows.

\section*{6. The Euler system}

In the last section we have constructed cohomology classes \(y_{n} \in H_{\text {cont }}^{1}\left(K_{n}, J\right)\). Using the map \(r: J \longrightarrow A\) from sec.3, we obtain new classes, still to be denoted \(y_{n}\), in \(H_{\text {cont }}^{1}\left(K_{n}, A\right)\). From now on, we shall consider only square-free \(n\) of the form \(n=l_{1} \ldots l_{k}\), where all \(l_{i}\) are primes inert in \(K\) not dividing \(N \cdot D \cdot p\). We also assume that \(D \neq-3,-4\), but the method applies for \(D=-3,-4\) as well: sometimes the value \(u_{K}\) appears in the formulas and occasionally a factor \(p\) has to be taken into account to compensate for this if \(u_{K}\) is divisible by \(p\), i.e. for \(p=D=3\). The main result, Theorem 13.1, remains unaffected, however.

Under these assumptions, we have \(G_{n}=G\left(K_{n} / K_{1}\right)=\prod_{l \mid n} G_{l}\) with \(G_{l}\) cyclic of order \(l+1\). Fix, once for all, a generator \(\sigma_{l}\) of \(G_{l}\). If \(n=m \cdot l\), then, by class field theory, \(\lambda\) splits completely in \(K_{m} / K\) and all its factors \(\lambda_{m}\) are totally ramified in \(K_{n} / K_{m}: \lambda_{m}=\left(\lambda_{n}\right)^{l+1}\). Write \(K_{\lambda_{n}}, K_{\lambda_{m}}\) for the corresponding completions of \(K_{n}\) at \(\lambda_{n}\) resp. \(K_{m}\) at \(\lambda_{m}\).
Proposition 6.1. If \(n=m \cdot l\), then
(1) \(\operatorname{cor}_{K_{n}, K_{m}}\left(y_{n}\right)=a_{l} \cdot y_{m}\).
(2) The local components of \(y_{n}\) resp. \(y_{m}\) satisfy
\[
y_{n, \lambda_{n}}=F r(l)\left(\operatorname{res}_{K_{\lambda_{m}}}, K_{\lambda_{n}}\left(y_{m, \lambda_{m}}\right)\right) \in H_{\mathrm{cont}}^{1}\left(K_{\lambda_{n}}, A\right)
\]
(the Frobenius \(F r(l) \in G\left(K_{\lambda} / \mathbf{Q}_{l}\right)\) acts on \(H_{\text {cont }}^{1}\left(K_{\lambda_{n}}, A\right)\), as the latter group is unramified by Lemma 4.1.).
Proof. (1) Follows from Prop. 5.4, as \(T_{l}\) acts on \(A\) by the scalar \(a_{l}\).
(2) Since \(l\) is inert in \(K\), the reductions of elliptic curves \(E, E^{\prime}\) corresponding to \(x_{m}, x_{n} \in\) \(M_{N}\) at \(\lambda_{m}\) resp. \(\lambda_{n}\) are both supersingular. This implies that the canonical \(l\)-isogeny \(E \longrightarrow E^{\prime}\) reduces to the Frobenius and we conclude by Cor. 5.2.

Proposition 6.2. The complex conjugation acts on \(y_{n}\) as
\[
c y_{n}=-\varepsilon_{L} \cdot \sigma y_{n}
\]
where \(\sigma \in G\left(K_{n} / K\right)\) and \(\varepsilon_{L}=(-1)^{r-1} \varepsilon_{f}\) is the sign in the functional equation of \(L(f, s)\). Proof. We recall that the Fricke involution acts on the modular form \(f\) by \(\left(f \mid W_{N}\right)(\tau)=\) \(N^{-r} \tau^{-2 r} f(-1 / N \tau)\). One associates to \(f\) the differential form \(\omega=f(\tau) d \tau d z\) on \(X_{N, 0}^{2 r-2}\), where \(d z=d z_{1} \ldots d z_{2 r-2}\) and \(X_{N, 0}^{2 r-2}\) is the Kuga-Sato variety over \(X_{0}(N)\). Define \(W\) : \(X_{N, 0}^{2 r-2} \longrightarrow X_{N, 0}^{2 r-2}\) by
\[
W:\left(\lambda: E \longrightarrow E^{\prime}, z\right) \longmapsto\left(\lambda^{\vee}: E^{\prime} \longrightarrow E, \lambda(z)\right)
\]

A simple calculation shows that \(W^{*}(f(\tau) d \tau d z)=N^{r-1}\left(f \mid W_{N}\right) d \tau d z\). From Prop. 5.1 and Lemma 5.3 we get \(W^{*}\left(Z_{W_{N}(r)}^{r-1}\right)=N^{r-1} Z_{\tau}^{r-1}\). Since \(f \mid W_{N}=\varepsilon_{f} \cdot f\), one has
\[
\Phi\left(Z_{W_{N}(\tau)}^{r-1}\right)=\varepsilon_{f} \Phi\left(Z_{r}^{r-1}\right)
\]
in \(H_{\text {cont }}^{1}(*, A)\). Suppose that \(\tau \in \mathcal{O}_{n}\). According to [9,5.3], one has \(c\left(E_{\tau}\right)=\sigma\left(E_{W_{N}(\tau)}\right)\) for some \(\sigma \in G\left(K_{n} / K\right)\). As \(c\) sends \(Z_{E}\) into \(-Z_{c(E)}\), we get
\[
c \Phi\left(Z_{\tau}^{r-1}\right)=(-1)^{r-1} \varepsilon_{f} \cdot \sigma \Phi\left(Z_{\tau}^{r-1}\right) .
\]

The statement follows if we take \(\tau=\dot{x}_{n}\).
The ring \(\mathcal{O}_{F} \otimes \mathbf{Z}_{p}\) has a canonical direct sum decomposition \(\mathcal{O}_{F} \otimes \mathbf{Z}_{p}=\bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p} \mid p} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}\), where \(\mathcal{O}_{p}\) is the completion of \(\mathcal{O}_{F}\) at a prime \(\wp\) dividing \(p\). We fix such a prime \(\wp\). The localization \(A_{\rho}=A \otimes \mathcal{O}_{F} \otimes Z_{p} \mathcal{O}_{p}\) of \(A\) at \(\wp\) is a free \(\mathcal{O}_{p}\)-module of rank 2. The \(\wp\)-component of \(y_{n} \in H_{\text {cont }}^{1}\left(K_{n}, A\right)\) will be denoted by \(y_{n, p} \in H_{\text {cont }}^{1}\left(K_{n}, A_{p}\right)\). Put \(Y=A_{p} \otimes \mathbf{Q}_{p} / \mathbf{Z}_{p}\). Then \(Y_{p^{M}}=A_{p} / p^{M} A_{p}\) for all \(M \geq 0\). Let \(L=K\left(Y_{p^{M}}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}})\right)\) be the extension of \(K\) trivializing \(Y_{p^{M}}\).
Proposition 6.3. For all \(n, Y_{p^{M}}\left(K_{n}\right)=Y_{p^{M}}\left(K_{1}\right)\) and this group is killed by a fixed power \(p^{M_{1}}\) independent of \(M\).
Proof. The extensions \(K_{n} / K\) and \(L_{M} / K\) are unramified outside primes dividing \(n\) and \(N p\) respectively, which implies that \(K_{n} \cap L\) is unramified over \(K\), hence is contained in \(K_{1}\) (note that for \(p \nmid D\) the same argument over \(\mathbf{Q}\) instead of \(K\) implies that \(K_{n} \cap L=\mathbf{Q}\) ). The existence of \(M_{1}\), i.e. the finiteness of \(Y\left(K_{1}\right)\), follows from Weil's conjectures.

Corollary 6.4. The kernel and cokernel of the restriction map
\[
\operatorname{res}_{K_{1}, K_{n}}: H^{1}\left(K_{1}, Y_{p^{M}}\right) \longrightarrow H^{1}\left(K_{n}, Y_{p^{M}}\right)^{G_{n}}
\]
are both killed by \(p^{M_{1}}\).
Proof. Follows from the inflation-restriction sequence.
We shall now construct \(G_{n}\)-invariant elements in \(H^{1}\left(K_{n}, Y_{p^{M}}\right)\). We assume from now on that each prime factor \(l\) of \(n\) satisfies
\[
F r_{L_{M} / \mathbf{Q}}(l)=F r_{L_{M} / \mathbf{Q}}(c)
\]
where the R.H.S. is the conjugacy class of the complex conjugation. By Prop. 3.1., this condition boils down to
\[
\left(\frac{-D}{l}\right)=-1, \quad a_{l} \equiv l+1 \equiv 0\left(\bmod p^{M}\right) .
\]

We define \(D_{l}, T r_{l} \in \mathbf{Z}\left[G_{l}\right]\) by
\[
D_{l}=\sum_{i=1}^{l} i \sigma_{l}^{i}, \quad \operatorname{Tr} r_{l}=\sum_{i=0}^{l} \sigma_{l}^{i}
\]

They are related by
\[
\left(\sigma_{l}-1\right) D_{l}=l+1-\operatorname{Tr}_{l} .
\]

For \(n=\Pi l\) we put \(D_{n}=\Pi D_{l} \in \mathbf{Z}\left[G_{n}\right]\).
For \(x \in H_{\text {cont }}^{1}\left(*, A_{\rho}\right)\) we denote by \(\operatorname{red}_{M}(x)\) the image of \(x\) in \(H^{1}\left(*, Y_{p^{M}}\right)\). Since \(\operatorname{res}_{K_{m}, K_{n}} \circ \operatorname{cor}_{K_{n}, K_{m}}=T r_{l}\), we get from Prop. 6.1.
\[
D_{n} \operatorname{red}_{M}\left(y_{n, p}\right) \in H^{1}\left(K_{n}, Y_{p^{M}}\right)^{G_{n}}
\]

This means that possibly after a multiplication by \(p^{M_{1}}\) this elements lifts to \(H^{1}\left(K_{1}, Y_{p^{M}}\right)\). We shall examine this lifting, called "Kolyvagin's corestriction" in [21], more closely in the following section.

\section*{7. Kolyvagin's corestriction.}

This is a purely group-theoretic construction and works in the following situation: \(H\) is a normal subgroup in \(G, G / H\) is a cyclic group of order \(N\) with a fixed generator \(\sigma\), \(A\) is a \(G\)-module killed by \(N,[x] \in H^{1}(H, A)\) a cohomology class with cor \({ }_{H, G}[x]=0 \in\) \(H^{1}(G, A)\).

As before, put
\[
D=\sum_{i=1}^{N-1} i \sigma^{i}, \quad \operatorname{Tr}=\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \sigma^{i}
\]

One has \((\sigma-1) D=N-T r\), hence \(D[x] \in H^{1}(H, A)^{G / H}\).
Choose a cocycle \(x \in Z^{1}(H, A)\) representing \([x]\). Then \(\operatorname{cor}[x]\) is represented by the cocycle
\[
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{cor}(x): h & \longmapsto \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \tilde{\sigma}^{i} x\left(\tilde{\sigma}^{-i} h \tilde{\sigma}^{i}\right) \quad(h \in H) \\
\tilde{\sigma} & \longmapsto x\left(\tilde{\sigma}^{N}\right),
\end{aligned}
\]
where \(\tilde{\sigma} \in G\) is a fixed lift of \(\sigma\) into \(G\). Since \(\operatorname{cor}[x]=0\), on the cocycle level
\[
\operatorname{cor}(x): g \longmapsto(g-1) a \quad(g \in G)
\]
for some \(a \in A\), which is determined modulo \(A^{G}\).
Define a cocycle \(D x \in Z^{1}(H, A)\) by
\[
D x: h \longmapsto \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} i \tilde{\sigma}^{i} x\left(\tilde{\sigma}^{-i} h \tilde{\sigma}^{i}\right) .
\]

A short calculation then shows :
(1) \(\tilde{\sigma}(D x)\left(\tilde{\sigma}^{-1} h \tilde{\sigma}\right)-(D x)(h)=-(h-1) \tilde{\sigma} a\)
(2) the function
\[
\begin{gathered}
f: h \longmapsto(D x)(h) \\
\tilde{\sigma} \longmapsto-\tilde{\sigma} a
\end{gathered}
\]
extends uniquelly to a 1 -cocycle \(f \in Z^{1}(G, A)\) (which satisfies, of course, \(\operatorname{res}_{G, H} f=\) \(D x)\).
(3) If \(x^{\prime}=x+\delta b\) for some \(b \in A\), then the corresponding extension
\[
\begin{gathered}
f^{\prime}: h \longmapsto(D x)(h) \\
\tilde{\sigma} \longmapsto-\tilde{\sigma} a^{\prime}
\end{gathered}
\]
(with \(\operatorname{cor}\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\delta a^{\prime}\) ) satisfies
\[
f^{\prime}-f-\delta\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N-1} i \tilde{\sigma}^{i}\right) b \in Z^{1}\left(G, A^{G}\right)
\]

In particular, if \(A^{G}\) is killed by an integer \(m\), then
\[
m[f]=m\left[f^{\prime}\right] \in H^{1}(G, m A)
\]
is a lift of \(m D[x]\) which depends only on \([x]\).
We apply this construction in our particular situation, with a slightly changed notation. Namely, we fix \(M>0\), put \(M^{\prime}=M+M_{1}\) (recall that \(p^{M_{1}}\) kills \(Y\left(K_{1}\right)\) ) and require
that \(a_{l} \equiv l+1 \equiv 0\left(\bmod p^{M^{\prime}}\right)\) for all primes \(l\) diving \(n\). Denote by \(j: Y_{p^{M^{\prime}}} \longrightarrow Y_{p^{M}}\) the multiplication by \(p^{M_{1}}\).

We are now ready to define cohomology classes \(P_{M}(n) \in H^{1}\left(K, Y_{p^{M}}\right)\), which will play a key role in the descent.
(1) For \(n=1\), put \(P_{M}(1):=\operatorname{cor}_{K_{1}, K}\left(\operatorname{red}_{M}\left(y_{1, \mathfrak{p}}\right)\right)\).
(2) For \(n=l\), we know that \(D_{l \operatorname{red}_{M^{\prime}}}\left(y_{l, p}\right)=\operatorname{res}_{K_{1}, K_{l}}\left(z_{l}\right)\) for some \(z_{l} \in H^{1}\left(K_{1}, Y_{p^{M^{\prime}}}\right)\) and we define
\[
P_{M}(l):=\operatorname{cor}_{K_{1}, K}\left(j_{*}\left(z_{l}\right)\right) \in H^{1}\left(K, Y_{p^{M}}\right)
\]

This depends only on \(y l\), as two choices of \(z_{l}\) differ by an element in
\[
\operatorname{Im}\left(H^{1}\left(K, Y_{p^{M_{1}}}\right) \longrightarrow H^{1}\left(K, Y_{p^{M^{\prime}}}\right)\right) \subseteq \operatorname{Ker}\left(j_{*}\right)
\]
(3) For \(n=l_{1} \ldots l_{k}\) with \(k \geq 2\) we have \(p^{M_{1}} D_{n} \operatorname{red}_{M^{\prime}}\left(y_{n, \rho}\right)=\operatorname{res}_{K_{1}, K_{n}}\left(z_{n}\right)\) for some \(z_{n} \in H^{1}\left(K_{1}, Y_{p^{M^{\prime}}}\right)\) and we put
\[
P_{M}(n):=\operatorname{cor}_{K_{1}, K}\left(j_{*}\left(z_{n}\right)\right) \in H^{1}\left(K, Y_{p^{M}}\right)
\]
(this is again independent on the choice of \(z_{n}\) ).
We shall need an information on the local behavior of the class \(P_{M}(n)\) at the place \(\lambda\) of \(K\) corresponding to a prime factor \(l\) of \(n\). For such a prime, fix a place \(\lambda_{n}\) of \(K_{n}\) over \(l\), which in turn determines places \(\lambda_{m}, \lambda_{l}, \lambda_{1}\) in \(K_{m}, K_{l}, K_{1}\) respectively with corresponding completions \(K_{\lambda_{n}}=K_{\lambda_{l}}, K_{\lambda_{m}}=K_{\lambda_{1}}=K_{\lambda}\) and isomorphisms
\[
G\left(K_{\lambda_{l}} / K_{\lambda}\right)=G\left(K_{\lambda_{n}} / K_{\lambda_{m}}\right) \simeq G_{l}=\left\langle\sigma_{l}\right\rangle
\]

Localizing the inflation-restriction sequence for \(K_{n} / K_{1}\) we obtain the commutative diagram with exact rows and columns :


All rows and columns come from various inf-res sequences; only the surjectivity of the inflation map in the upper right corner may require an explanation: as we shall see in Prop. 8.1, it corresponds to the map
\[
\operatorname{Hom}\left(\mu_{l+1}, Y_{p^{M^{\prime}}}\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}\left(\hat{\mathbf{Z}}^{\prime}(1), Y_{p^{M^{\prime}}}\right)
\]
with \(\hat{\mathbf{Z}}^{\prime}(1)=\prod_{q \neq l} \mathbf{Z}_{q}\).

\section*{8. Tame duality}

In order to compute the local cohomology groups in the above diagram, we shall recall some basic facts on tame duality (cf.[27,5.5]). Assume that \(K\) is a local field with the residue field \(\mathrm{F}_{q}\).
Proposition 8.1. Suppose that \(A\) is a finite group with a trivial action of \(G(\bar{K} / K)\), killed by an integer \(M\) dividing \(q-1\left(\Rightarrow \mu_{M} \subset K\right)\). Put \(A^{\prime}=\operatorname{Hom}\left(A, \mu_{M}\right)\). Then
(1) One has the commutative diagram with exact rows and canonical isomorphisms in the vertical direction

(2) The evaluation map \(A \times A^{\prime} \longrightarrow \mu_{M} \quad\) yields the cup product pairing
\[
H^{1}(K, A) \times H^{1}\left(K, A^{\prime}\right) \longrightarrow H^{2}\left(K, \mu_{M}\right) \simeq \mathbf{Z} / M
\]
which in turn induces a perfect pairing


Proof. All statements are well-known. The maps \(\alpha, \beta\) are evaluations at the generators \(\varphi\) resp. \(\tau\) of \(G\left(K^{u r} / K\right)\) resp. \(G\left(K^{t} / K^{u r}\right)\) (notation as in the proof of Lemma 4.1). Nondegeneracy of the pairing is [27,5.5.19]. The commutativity of the last diagram is proved in [14,Prop.8]. In fact, it is clear that it is commutative up to a constant in \(\mathbf{Z} / M\) and it is highly implausible that such an intrinsic constant could be different from \(\pm 1\). The truth is, however, that the value of this constant is irrelevant for the success of the descent, as long as we know that it is invertible in \(\mathbf{Z} / M\), which is equivalent to the fact that the pairing \(\langle,\rangle_{M}\) is non-degenerate.

As we have seen, the choice of \(\lambda_{n}\) identifies \(\sigma_{l}\) with an element of \(G\left(K_{\lambda_{l}} / K_{\lambda}\right)\), which can be lifted to a generator \(\tau_{l}\) of \(G\left(K_{\lambda}^{t} / K_{\lambda}^{u r}\right)\) (well-defined modulo \((l+1) \hat{\mathbf{Z}}^{\prime}(1)\) ). Under the canonical projection \(\hat{\mathbf{Z}}^{\prime}(1) \longrightarrow \mu_{p^{M^{\prime}}}, \tau_{l}\) gets mapped to certain primitive \(p^{M^{\prime}}\)-th root of unity \(\zeta_{\lambda, M^{\prime}} \in \mu_{p^{M^{\prime}}}\left(K_{\lambda}\right)\). Equivalently, \(\zeta_{\lambda, M^{\prime}}\) corresponds to \(\sigma_{l}^{(l+1) / p^{M^{\prime}}}\) via class field theory.

Using Prop. 8.1, we get canonical ( \(\mathcal{O}_{p}\)-linear) isomorphisms
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{\lambda, M^{\prime}}: H_{u r}^{1}\left(K_{\lambda}, Y_{p^{M^{\prime}}}\right) \simeq Y_{p^{M^{\prime}}}\left(K_{\lambda}\right) \\
& \beta_{\lambda, M^{\prime}}: H^{1}\left(K_{\lambda}^{u r}, Y_{p^{M^{\prime}}}\right) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}\left(\mu_{p^{M^{\prime}}}\left(K_{\lambda}\right), Y_{p^{M^{\prime}}}\left(K_{\lambda}\right)\right) \simeq Y_{p^{M^{\prime}}}\left(K_{\lambda}\right),
\end{aligned}
\]
the last map being the evaluation at \(\zeta_{\lambda, M^{\prime}}\), and
\[
\phi_{\lambda, M^{\prime}}=\beta_{\lambda, M^{\prime}}^{-1} \circ \alpha_{\lambda, M^{\prime}}: H_{u r}^{1}\left(K_{\lambda}, Y_{p^{M^{\prime}}}\right) \simeq H^{1}\left(K_{\lambda}^{u r}, Y_{p^{M^{\prime}}}\right)
\]

On the cocycle level, \(\phi_{\lambda, M^{\prime}}\) interchanges cocycles with the same values on \(\operatorname{Fr}(l)\) and \(\tau_{l}\left(\bmod p^{M^{\prime}}\right)\) respectively. The second statement of Prop. 8.1. can be written as Corollary 8.2.
\[
\zeta_{\lambda, M^{\prime}}^{\left\langle x, \phi_{\lambda, M^{\prime}}(y)\right\rangle_{\lambda, M^{\prime}}}=\left[\alpha_{\lambda, M^{\prime}}(x), \alpha_{\lambda, M^{\prime}}(y)\right]_{M^{\prime}}
\]
provided we identify \(Y_{p^{\prime}}\), with \(\left(Y_{p^{\prime}}\right)^{\prime}\) via \([,]_{M^{\prime}}\).
The diagram in sec. 7 defines a canonical splitting
\[
H^{1}\left(K_{\lambda}, Y_{p^{M^{\prime}}}\right)=H_{u r}^{1}\left(K_{\lambda}, Y_{p^{M^{\prime}}}\right) \oplus H^{1}\left(K_{\lambda}^{u r}, Y_{p^{M^{\prime}}}\right)
\]
with both pieces isomorphic to \(Y_{p^{M^{\prime}}}\left(K_{\lambda}\right)\) via \(\alpha_{\lambda, M^{\prime}}\) resp. \(\beta_{\lambda, M^{\prime}}\). We shall see bellow that the localization \(P_{M}(n)_{\lambda}\) lies in the ramified part \(H^{1}\left(K_{\lambda}^{u r}, Y_{p^{M}}\right)\) and our aim will be to identify the element of \(Y_{p^{M}}\) to which it corresponds.

\section*{9. Localization of Kolyvagin's corestriction}

In order to determine \(P_{M}(n)_{\lambda}\), we return to the general context of Kolyvagin's corestriction as in sec.7, with some additional structures listed bellow:
(1) One starts with a profinite group \(\tilde{G}\) and an odd prime number \(p\). There is a chain of normal subgroups \(H \triangleleft G \triangleleft \tilde{G}\) with \(\tilde{G} / H=\langle\sigma\rangle \rtimes\langle c\rangle\) dihedral, where \(\langle\sigma\rangle\) is a cyclic group of order \(N,\langle c\rangle\) is a group of order two acting on \(\langle\sigma\rangle\) by \(c \sigma c^{-1}=\sigma^{-1}, G / H=\langle\sigma\rangle\), \(\tilde{G} / G=\langle c\rangle\).
(2) One is given a closed subgroup \(\tilde{G}_{0} \subset \tilde{G}\) with \(G_{0} / H_{0}=\left\langle\sigma_{0}\right\rangle\) again cyclic of order \(N\) (where \(G_{0}=\tilde{G}_{0} \cap G, H_{0}=\tilde{G}_{0} \cap H\) ). This implies that \(\tilde{G}_{0} / H=\left\langle\sigma_{0}\right\rangle \rtimes \mathbf{Z} / f \mathbf{Z}\) with \(f=1,2\).
(3) The group \(\tilde{G}_{0}\) is equipped with a surjective homomorphism
\[
\pi: \tilde{G}_{0} \longrightarrow \hat{\mathbf{Z}}^{\prime}(1) \times f \hat{\mathbf{Z}},
\]
where \(\hat{\mathbf{Z}}^{\prime}(1)=\prod_{l \neq p} \mathbf{Z}_{l}(1)\) and \(\hat{\mathbf{Z}}\) have fixed generators \(\tau\) and \(\varphi\) respectively, satisfying the usual relation \(\varphi \tau \varphi^{-1}=\tau^{d}\) for some integer \(d\) prime to \(p\). One also requires \(\pi\) to induce surjections
\[
G_{0} \longrightarrow \hat{\mathbf{Z}}^{\prime}(1) \rtimes f \hat{\mathbf{Z}}, \quad H_{0} \longrightarrow N \hat{\mathbf{Z}}^{\prime}(1) \rtimes f \hat{\mathbf{Z}}
\]
under which the generator \(\sigma_{0}\) of \(G_{0} / H_{0}\) corresponds to \(\tau\) modulo \(N\).
(4) \(A=\lim A / p^{n} A\) is a torsion-free \(\mathbf{Z}_{p}\)-module of finite rank with a continuous action of \(\tilde{G}\).
(5) \(\tilde{G}_{0}\) acts on \(A\) through its quotient \(\hat{\mathbf{Z}}\).
(6) \(\operatorname{Ker}(\pi)\) has order prime to \(p\) (as a profinite group).
(7) \(\varphi\) acts on \(A \otimes \overline{\mathbf{Q}}\) in a semisimple way, all its eigenvalues are algebraic and their archimedean absolute values are equal to \(d^{1 / 2}\) under all embeddings \(\overline{\mathbf{Q}} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}\).
(8) Let \(M\) be a given power of \(p\) dividing \(N\). Then \(\left(\varphi^{f}-1\right)^{2 / f}\) kills \(A / M A\).
(9) One is given \(y \in H_{\text {cont }}^{1}(H, A), x \in H_{\text {cont }}^{1}(G, A)\) with \(\operatorname{cor}_{H, G}(y)=M_{1} x\) for some \(M_{1}\) divisible by \(M\).
(10) \((A / M A)^{G}\) is killed by an integer \(m\).

The situation the reader should keep in mind is the following: \(H=G\left(\overline{\mathbf{Q}} / K_{l}\right), G=\) \(G\left(\overline{\mathbf{Q}} / K_{1}\right), \tilde{G}=G\left(\overline{\mathbf{Q}} / K_{1}^{+}\right)\left(K_{1}^{+}\right.\)is the maximal real subfield of \(\left.K_{1}\right), \tilde{G}_{0}=G\left(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{l} / \mathbf{Q}_{l}\right)\), \(G_{0}=G\left(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{l} / K_{\lambda}\right), H_{0}=G\left(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{l} / K_{\lambda_{l}}\right), A=A_{\mathfrak{p}}, f=2, d=l, N=l+1, x=y_{1, \rho}, y=y_{l, p}\). We have included the case \(f=1\) for the sake of completeness; it corresponds to a related construction using primes split in \(K\) (cf. [16]).

As we have seen in the proof of Lemma 4.1, (5)-(7) imply that
\[
H_{\text {cont }}^{1}\left(G_{0}, A\right)=H_{\text {cont }}^{1}\left(H_{0}, A\right) \simeq H_{\text {cont }}^{1}(f \hat{\mathbf{Z}}, A) \simeq A /\left(\varphi^{f}-1\right) A
\]

On the cocycle level, this means that each 1-cocycle \(F \in Z^{1}\left(\hat{\mathbf{Z}}^{\prime}(1) \rtimes f \hat{\mathbf{Z}}, A\right)\) has a form
\[
F\left(\tau^{u} \varphi^{f v}\right)=\left(1+\varphi^{f}+\ldots+\varphi^{(v-1) f}\right) a+\left(\varphi^{f}-1\right) b
\]
and its cohomology class is
\[
[F]=a\left(\bmod \left(\varphi^{f}-1\right) A\right) \in A /\left(\varphi^{f}-1\right) A .
\]

Thank to the assumptions (9)-(10), we may define Kolyvagin's corestriction
\(z \in H^{1}(G, m A / M A)\) satisfying \(\operatorname{res}_{G, H}(z)=m D \operatorname{red}_{M}(y) \in H^{1}(H, m A / M A)\) (as before, \(\operatorname{red}_{M}(y)\) is the image of \(y\) in \(H^{1}(H, A / M A)\) ). By (3) and (5), D acts on \(A\) as the scalar \(N(N-1) / 2\), which is divisible by \(M\), hence \(\operatorname{res}_{G, H_{0}}(z)=0\) and \(\operatorname{res}_{G, G_{0}}(z)=\) \(\inf _{G_{0} / H_{0}, G_{0}}\left(z_{0}\right)\) for some \(z_{0} \in H^{1}\left(G_{0} / H_{0}, m A / M A\right)=\operatorname{Hom}\left(\left\langle\sigma_{0}\right\rangle, m A / M A\right)\).

Our task is to compute \(z_{0}\left(\sigma_{0}\right) \in m A / M A\). To achieve that, we must do calculations on the level of cocycles, to be denoted by the same letters : \(y \in Z^{1}(H, A), x \in Z^{1}(G, A)\). According to (9), there is an \(a \in A\) satisfying
\[
(\operatorname{cor}(y))(g)-M_{1} x(g)=(g-1) a \quad(g \in G) .
\]

We know from sec. 7 that \(z_{0}\left(\sigma_{0}\right)=-\operatorname{ma}(\bmod M A)\), which means that it is the value of \(a\) modulo \(M A\) we have to compute. Restricting ourselves to \(g=g_{0} \in G_{0}\), we get
\[
\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} y\left(\tilde{\sigma}_{0}^{-i} g_{0} \tilde{\sigma}_{0}^{i}\right)-M_{1} x\left(g_{0}\right)=\left(g_{0}-1\right) a
\]

At the same time, the calculation of \(H_{\text {cont }}^{1}\left(G_{0}, A\right)\) above implies that for \(\pi\left(g_{0}\right)=\sigma_{0}^{u} \varphi^{f v}\) one has
\[
\begin{aligned}
& x\left(g_{0}\right)=\left(1+\varphi^{f}+\ldots+\varphi^{(v-1) f}\right) a_{x}+\left(\varphi^{f}-1\right) b_{x} \\
& y\left(g_{0}\right)=\left(1+\varphi^{f}+\ldots+\varphi^{(v-1) f}\right) a_{y}+\left(\varphi^{f}-1\right) b_{y}
\end{aligned}
\]
for some \(a_{x}, a_{y}, b_{x}, b_{y} \in A\). Putting the last three equations together, we obtain
\[
\left(1+\varphi^{f}+\ldots+\varphi^{(v-1) f}\right)\left(N a_{y}-M_{1} a_{x}\right)=\left(\varphi^{f}-1\right)\left(a+M_{1} b_{x}-N b_{y}\right)
\]

For \(v=1\) this reads as
\[
\frac{N}{M} a_{y}-\frac{M_{1}}{N} a_{x}=\frac{\varphi^{f}-1}{M}(a+M \cdot s t h .)
\]
(as \(A\) is torsion-free).
In this formula,
\[
\begin{aligned}
& a_{x}\left(\bmod \left(\varphi^{f}-1\right) A\right)=\operatorname{res}_{G, G_{0}}(x) \in H_{\mathrm{cont}}^{1}(f \hat{\mathbf{Z}}, A) \\
& a_{y}\left(\bmod \left(\varphi^{f}-1\right) A\right)=\operatorname{res}_{H, H_{0}}(y) \in H_{\mathrm{cont}}^{1}(f \hat{\mathbf{Z}}, A)
\end{aligned}
\]
are "local components" of \(x\) and \(y\) respectively.
We now impose the last two assumptions
(11) \(\varphi^{2}-M_{2} \varphi+d=0\) on \(A\)
(12) \(a_{y}=\varphi\left(a_{x}\right) \quad \bmod \left(\varphi^{f}-1\right) A\).

Then we get from the previous discussion the

\section*{Key formula :}
\[
\frac{\varphi^{f}-1}{M}(a+M \cdot s t h .)=\left(\frac{N}{M} \varphi-\frac{M_{1}}{M}\right) a_{x}
\]

The question is, under which circumstances this allows us to compute the value of \(z_{0}\left(\sigma_{0}\right)=\) \(-m a(\bmod M A)\). We discuss several cases when this is possible.
(I) "Genuine" Euler systems (this is the most favourable case, which occurs for elliptic modular curves): \(\frac{\left(\varphi^{f}-1\right)^{2 / f}}{M}\) divides \(\frac{N}{M} \varphi-\frac{M_{1}}{M}\) in \(\operatorname{End}(A)\).
(Ia) \(f=1, M\left|(\varphi-1)^{2} \Rightarrow M\right|(d-1), M \mid\left(M_{2}-2\right)\). If \(N=d-1, M_{1}=M_{2}-2\) (the case of elliptic curves), then
\[
a=(\varphi-1) a_{x}(\bmod M A)
\]
(Ib) \(f=2, M\left|\left(\varphi^{2}-1\right) \Rightarrow M\right|(d+1), M \mid M_{2}\). If \(N=d+1, M_{1}=M_{2}\), then
\[
a=-\varphi a_{x}(\bmod M A)
\]
(II) \(\frac{\varphi^{2}-1}{M}=\frac{M_{2}}{M} \varphi-\frac{d+1}{M}\) is invertible in \(\operatorname{End}(A)\) (assuming \(f=2\) ): then
\[
a=\left(\frac{M_{2}}{M} \varphi-\frac{d+1}{M}\right)^{-1}\left(\frac{N}{M} \varphi-\frac{M_{1}}{M}\right) a_{x}(\bmod M A)
\]

In the situation we have in mind, when \(M_{2}=a_{l} / l^{r-1}, N=l+1, M_{1}=a_{l}, d=l\), we neither have a "genuine" Euler system, thank to the factor \(l^{r-1}\) coming from the Tate twist, nor can we rely on \(\left(\varphi^{2}-1\right) / M\) being invertible. As a result, we can not obtain in general the precise value of \(P_{M}(n)_{\lambda}\), but as we shall see in 12.2 .3 , the loss of information is relatively mild.

\section*{10. The Euler system revisited}

The complex conjugation \(c \in G(K / \mathbf{Q})=G\left(K_{\lambda}, \mathbf{Q}_{l}\right)\) acts on \(Y_{p^{M}}\left(K_{\lambda}\right), H^{1}\left(K_{\lambda}, Y_{p^{M}}\right)\), \(H^{1}\left(K, Y_{p^{A^{\prime}}}\right)\) and various other groups. Let \((\ldots)^{ \pm}\)be the corresponding \(\pm 1\)-eigenspaces. By our assumptions on \(l\), one has \(\mu_{p^{M^{\prime}}}\left(K_{\lambda}\right)=\mu_{p^{M^{\prime}}}\left(K_{\lambda}\right)^{-}\)and the eigenspaces \(Y_{p^{M^{\prime}}}\left(K_{\lambda}\right)^{ \pm}\) are free \(\mathcal{O}_{p} / p^{M^{\prime}}\)-modules of rank 1. As the pairing \(\langle,\rangle_{\lambda, M^{\prime}}\) is \(c\)-equivariant, we get non-degenerate pairings
\[
\langle,\rangle_{\lambda, M^{\prime}}^{ \pm}: H_{u r}^{1}\left(K_{\lambda}, Y_{p^{M^{\prime}}}\right)^{ \pm} \times H^{1}\left(K_{\lambda}^{u r}, Y_{p^{M^{\prime}}}\right)^{ \pm} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Z} / p^{M^{\prime}}
\]

Note that the map \(\phi_{\lambda, M^{\prime}}\) is \(c\)-antiequivariant:
\[
\phi_{\lambda, M^{\prime}}: H_{u r}^{1}\left(K_{\lambda}, Y_{p^{M^{\prime}}}\right)^{ \pm} \simeq H^{1}\left(K_{\lambda}^{u r}, Y_{p^{M^{\prime}}}\right)^{\mp}
\]

Before we establish the main properties of the cohomology classes \(P_{M}(n)\), we need a simple lemma.
Lemma 10.1. There exists a constant \(M_{2}\) such that \(p^{M_{2}}\) annihilates all cohomology groups \(H^{1}\left(K_{v}, A / p^{M} A\right)\) for primes \(v \mid N\) in \(K\) and \(M \geq 0\).
Proof. Let \(v\) be such a prime. Then \(K_{v}=\mathbf{Q}_{q}\) for some rational prime \(q \mid N\). The formula for the local Euler characteristic \(([27,5.7])\) gives \(\sharp H^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{q}, A / p^{M} A\right)=\left(\sharp H^{0}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{q}, A / p^{M} A\right)\right)^{2}\). We are thus reduced to find a bound for the latter group. Let \(I=G\left(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{q} / \mathbf{Q}_{q}^{u r}\right)\) be the inertia group. We distinguish two possibilities:
(a) \(A^{I}=0 \Longrightarrow H^{0}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{q}, A \otimes \mathbf{Q}_{p} / \mathbf{Z}_{p}\right)\) is finite .
(b) \(A^{I} \neq 0 \Longrightarrow\) according to Prop. 3.1, \(\operatorname{det}\left(1-\operatorname{Fr}(q) x \mid A^{I}\right)=1+q \varepsilon_{f, l} x\). The exact sequence
\[
0 \longrightarrow\left(A^{I} / p^{M} A^{I}\right)^{\langle F r(q)\rangle} \longrightarrow H^{0}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{q}, A / p^{M} A\right) \longrightarrow H^{1}(I, A)_{p^{M}}^{\langle F r(q)\rangle}
\]
then shows that \(H^{0}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{q}, A / p^{M} A\right)\) is killed by \(1+q \varepsilon_{f, l}\).
Proposition 10.2. Let \(v\) be a non-archimedean place of \(K\). Then
(1) \(P_{M}(n) \in H^{1}\left(K, Y_{p^{M}}\right)^{\epsilon_{n}}\) with \(\varepsilon_{n}=(-1)^{n-1} \varepsilon_{L}\).
(2) If \(v \nmid N \cdot n \cdot p\), then \(P_{M}(n)_{v} \in H_{u r}^{1}\left(K, Y_{p^{M}}\right)\).
(3) If \(v \mid N\), then \(p^{M_{2}} P_{M}(n)_{v}=0\).
(4) If \(n=m \cdot l\), then
\[
\left(\frac{(-1)^{r-1} \varepsilon_{n} a_{l}}{p^{M^{\prime}}}-\frac{l+1}{p^{M^{\prime}}}\right) P_{M}(n)_{\lambda}=\left(\frac{l+1}{p^{M^{\prime}}} \varepsilon_{n}-\frac{a_{l}}{p^{M^{\prime}}}\right) p^{M_{1} d} \phi_{\lambda, M}\left(P_{M}(m)_{\lambda}\right)
\]
where \(d=1\) if \(n\) is a product of two primes and \(d=0\) otherwise. In particular, if both \(\left(a_{l} \pm(l+1)\right) / p^{M^{\prime}}\) are \(\wp\)-adic units, then
\[
P_{M}(n)_{\lambda}=u_{l, e_{n}} p^{M_{1} d} \phi_{\lambda, M}\left(P_{M}(n)_{\lambda}\right)
\]
with
\[
u_{l, \varepsilon_{n}}=-\varepsilon \frac{a_{l} \varepsilon-(l+1)}{(-1)^{r-1} a_{l} \varepsilon-(l+1)} \in\left(\mathcal{O}_{b} / p^{M}\right)^{*}
\]
(note that \(u_{l, e}=-\varepsilon\) for \(r\) odd).
Proof. (1) follows from Prop. 6.2 and the fact that \(c D_{n}=(-1)^{n} D_{n} c\).
(2) Both \(K_{n} / K\) and \(y_{n}\) are unramified at \(v\).
(3) Follows from Lemma 10.1.
(4) We apply the discussion in sec. 9 first in the particular situation described there, i.e. \(x=y_{1, p}, y=y_{l, p}\). Then \(P_{M}(l)=\operatorname{cor}_{K_{1}, K}(z)\). The formula res \({ }_{G, H_{0}}=0\) implies that \(P_{M}(l)_{\lambda}\) indeed lies in the ramified subspace \(H^{1}\left(K_{\lambda}^{u r}, Y_{p^{M}}\right)\) and the statement of the proposition is equivalent to the key formula of sec.9. If \(m>1\), we apply the same formula to \(G=\) \(G\left(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}, K_{n}\right), H=G\left(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}, K_{n}\right), x=D_{m} y_{m, p} \in H_{\mathrm{cont}}^{1 .}\left(G, A_{\mathfrak{p}}\right), y=D_{m} y_{n, p} \in H_{c o n t}^{1}\left(H, A_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)\).

Corollary 10.3. Assume that both \(l+1 \pm a_{l}\) divide \(p^{M^{\prime}+k}\) in \(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}\). Then
\[
\zeta_{\lambda, M}^{\left(s_{\lambda}, p^{k} P_{M}(n)_{\lambda}\right\rangle_{\lambda, M}}=\left[\alpha_{\lambda, M}\left(s_{\lambda}\right), u_{l, \varepsilon_{n}} p^{M_{1} d+k} \alpha_{\lambda, M}\left(P_{M}(n / l)_{\lambda}\right]_{M}\right.
\]
for all \(s_{\lambda} \in H_{u r}^{1}\left(K_{\lambda}, Y_{p^{M}}\right)\left(\right.\) with \(\left.\zeta_{\lambda, M}=\left(\zeta_{\lambda, M^{\prime}}\right)^{p^{M_{1}}}\right)\).

\section*{11. Selmer group}

The reciprocity law tells us that for all \(x, y \in H^{1}\left(K, Y_{p^{M}}\right)\) one has
\[
\sum_{v}\left\langle x_{v}, y_{v}\right\rangle_{v, M}=0 \in \mathbf{Z} / p^{M}
\]
where the sum is finite, since the local product \(\left\langle x_{v}, y_{v}\right\rangle_{v, M}\) vanishes whenever both \(x\) and \(y\) are unramified at \(v\).

We have seen that \(p^{M_{2}} P_{M}(n)\) is unramified at places not dividing \(n \cdot p\). We shall now investigate its behaviour at a prime \(v\) of \(K\) dividing \(p\). Let \(V\) be a finite dimensional vector space over \(\mathbf{Q}_{p}\) equipped with a continuous action of \(G\left(\overline{K_{v}} / K_{v}\right)\). In [2], Bloch and Kato defined
\[
\begin{aligned}
H_{f}^{1}\left(K_{v}, V\right) & =\operatorname{Ker}\left(H_{\text {cont }}^{1}\left(K_{v}, V\right) \longrightarrow H_{\text {cont }}^{1}\left(K_{v}, V \otimes B_{\text {cris }}\right)\right) \\
H_{g}^{1}\left(K_{v}, V\right) & =\operatorname{Ker}\left(H_{\text {cont }}^{1}\left(K_{v}, V\right) \longrightarrow H_{\text {cont }}^{1}\left(K_{v}, V \otimes B_{D R}\right)\right),
\end{aligned}
\]
where \(B_{c r i s}\) and \(B_{D R}\) are rings originally defined by Fontaine (see also [2]). Put \(V=A \otimes \mathbf{Q}\) and define (for \(*=f, g) H_{*}^{1}\left(K_{v}, A\right)\) resp. \(\left.H_{f}^{1}\left(K_{v}, Y_{p}\right)\right)\) to be the preimage of \(H_{*}^{1}\left(K_{v}, V\right)\) in \(H_{\text {cont }}^{1}\left(K_{v}, A\right)\) resp. the image of \(H_{*}^{1}\left(K_{v}, A\right)\) in \(H^{1}\left(K_{v}, Y_{p^{M}}\right)\).

Lemma 11.1. Let \(v\) be a prime of \(K\) dividing \(p\). Then
(1) For any finite extension \(K^{\prime}\) of \(K_{v}\) one has \(H_{f}^{1}\left(K^{\prime}, A\right)=H_{g}^{1}\left(K^{\prime}, A\right)\) and the A bel-Jacobi map over \(K^{\prime}\) factors through \(H_{f}^{1}\left(K^{\prime}, A\right)\).
(2) For all \(n, P_{M}(n)_{v}\) lies in \(H_{f}^{1}\left(K_{v}, Y_{p^{M}}\right)\).

Proof. (1) It follows from the de Rham conjecture for open varieties proved in [6] that the Abel-Jacobi map factors through \(H_{g}^{1}\left(K^{\prime}, A\right)\). As \(V=A \otimes \mathbf{Q}\) is crystalline (again by [6]), we infere from [13] and Prop. 3.1.3 (where the roles of \(p\) and \(l\) are interchanged) that the characteristic polynomial of the crystalline Frobenius \(f\) on \(H^{0}\left(K_{v}, V \otimes B_{\text {cris }}\right)\) is equal to \(1-a_{p} / p^{r} x+x^{2} / p\), hence \(f-1\) acts invertibly and since \(V^{\vee}(1)=V\), we get \(H_{f}^{1}=H_{g}^{1}\) from \([2 ; 3.8,3.8 .4]\).
(2) \(H_{f}^{1}\) depends only on the action of the inertia subgroup of \(G\left(\overline{\Pi_{v}} / K_{v}\right)\). As \(K_{n} / K\) is unramified at \(v\), we conclude by (1).

Define the Selmer group \(S^{(M)} \subseteq H^{1}\left(K, Y_{p^{M}}\right)\) to consist of those cohomology classes whose localizations lie in \(H_{u r}^{1}\left(K_{v}, Y_{p^{M}}\right)\) for \(v \chi_{N} \cdot p\) and in \(H_{f}^{1}\left(K_{v}, Y_{p^{M}}\right)\) for \(v \mid p\). It is an \(\mathcal{O}_{\emptyset}\)-submodule of \(H^{1}\left(K, Y_{p^{M}}\right)\).
Proposition 11.2. (1) The global Abel-Jacobi map factors through
\[
\Phi: C H^{r}\left(\overline{\bar{X}}_{N}^{2 r-2} / K\right)_{0} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\varphi} / p^{M} \mathcal{O}_{\wp} \longrightarrow S^{(M)}
\]
(2) For all \(s \in S^{(M)}\) one has
\[
p^{M_{2}} \sum_{l \mid n}\left\langle s_{\lambda}, P_{M}(n)_{\lambda}\right\rangle_{\lambda, M}=0 \in \mathbf{Z} / p^{M}
\]

Proof. (1) Follows from Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 11.1.
(2) According to [2,3.8], \(H_{f}^{1}\left(K_{v}, Y_{p^{M}}\right)\) is isotropic in \(H^{1}\left(K_{v}, Y_{p^{M}}\right)\) for all \(v\) dividing \(p\). The statement follows from Prop. 10.2 and the reciprocity law alluded to at the beginning of this section.

Taking the inductive limit, one gets a map
\[
\Phi: C H^{r}\left(\overline{\bar{X}}_{N}^{2 r-2} / K\right)_{0} \otimes K_{\wp} / \mathcal{O}_{\emptyset} \longrightarrow S^{(\infty)}
\]

Denote its cokernel by \(L L_{p \infty}\) - the \(\wp\)-primary part of the Tate-Šafarevič group.
See also [2],[7] and [8] for a general cohomological treatment of Selmer and TateSafarevic groups. Note that our \(L I I_{\mathfrak{p}} \infty\), defined as the factor of the Selmer group by the image of the Abel-Jacobi map can in principle differ from that defined in [2], which is the quotient of the Selmer group by its maximal divisible subgroup.

\section*{12. Globalization}

We now consider the formula of Cor. 8.2 in the global context. Let \(L=K\left(Y_{p^{A^{\prime}}}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}})\right)\) and choose a primitive \(p^{M^{\prime}}\)-th root of unity \(\zeta_{M^{\prime}} \in \mu_{p^{M^{\prime}}}(L)\). For each \(l\) with \(F r_{L / Q}(l)=\)
\(\operatorname{Fr}(c)\) choose some place \(\lambda_{L}\) of \(L\) such that the corresponding embedding \(L \hookrightarrow L_{\lambda_{L}}=K_{\lambda}\) maps \(\zeta_{M^{\prime}}\) to \(\zeta_{\lambda, M^{\prime}}\) and put \(\zeta_{M}:=\left(\zeta_{M^{\prime}}\right)^{p^{M_{1}}}\). This may not always be possible in the case \(p \mid D\), when we might be forced to redefine \(\sigma_{l}\). The remedy would be to choose \(\lambda_{L}\) and \(\zeta_{M^{\prime}}\) first and then define \(\zeta_{\lambda, M}\), and \(\sigma_{l}\) reversing the above procedure. The choice of \(\lambda_{L}\) enables one to identify \(Y_{p^{M}}\left(K_{\lambda}\right) \simeq Y_{p^{M}}\left(L_{\lambda_{L}}\right)=Y_{p^{M}}(L)\). The maps \(\alpha_{\lambda, M}, \phi_{\lambda, M}\) have obvious analogues over \(L_{\lambda_{L}}\); call them \(\alpha_{\lambda_{L}, M}\) and \(\phi_{\lambda_{L}, M}\) respectively. Consider the restriction map
\[
r: H^{1}\left(K, Y_{p^{M}}\right) \longrightarrow H^{1}\left(L, Y_{p^{M}}\right)^{G(L / K)}=\operatorname{Hom}_{G(L / K)}\left(G(\overline{\mathbf{Q}} / L), Y_{p^{M}}(L)\right)
\]

Define a map, still to be denoted \(\alpha_{\lambda_{L}, M}\),
\[
\alpha_{\lambda_{L}, M}: H^{1}\left(L, Y_{p^{M}}\right) \longrightarrow Y_{p^{M}}(L)
\]
as the composition of the old \(\alpha_{\lambda_{L}, M}\), the canonical projection from \(H^{1}\left(L_{\lambda_{L}}, Y_{p^{A I}}\right)\) to its unramified part and the localization map at \(\lambda_{L}\). It is simply the evaluation map at \(\operatorname{Fr}\left(\lambda_{L}\right)\).

Then the global version of the formula in 8.2 reads as follows:
Lemma 12.1. Let \(x, y \in H^{1}\left(K, Y_{p^{A}}\right)\) with \(x_{\lambda}, y_{\lambda} \in H_{u r}^{1}\left(K_{\lambda}, Y_{p^{A}}\right)\). Then
\[
\zeta_{M}^{\left\langle x, \phi_{\lambda_{L}, M}(y)\right\rangle_{\lambda_{, M}}}=\left[\alpha_{\lambda_{L}, M}(r(x)), \alpha_{\lambda_{L}, M}(r(y))\right]_{M}
\]

Let \(T_{0}\) be a finite \(\mathcal{O}_{p}\)-submodule of \(H^{1}\left(K, Y_{p^{M}}\right)\). Denote by \(T\) its image in \(\operatorname{Hom}_{G(L / K)}\left(G(\overline{\mathbf{Q}} / L), Y_{p^{M}}(L)\right)\). The evaluation pairing
\[
T \times G\left(L^{a b} / L\right) \longrightarrow Y_{p^{M}}(L)
\]
is \(G(L / \mathbf{Q})\)-equivariant (the action on \(T\) factors through \(G(K / \mathbf{Q})\) ). Let \(L_{T}\) be the fixed field of the annihilator of \(T\). Then one has a \(G(L / \mathbf{Q})\)-equivariant map
\[
j: G_{T}=G\left(L_{T} / L\right) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}\left(T, Y_{p^{M}}(L)\right)
\]
and a \(c\)-equivariant map
\[
T \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{G(L / K)}\left(G_{T}, Y_{p^{M}}(L)\right)
\]
both being injective.
Proposition 12.2. There exist integers \(a, b \geq 0\) with the following property: for all \(M^{\prime} \geq M \geq a\) and all finite \(\mathcal{O}_{p^{-}}\)submodules \(T_{0} \subset H^{1}\left(K, Y_{p^{M}}\right)\) one has
(1) \(p^{a} H^{1}\left(K\left(Y_{p^{M^{\prime}}}\right) / K, Y_{p^{M}}\right)=0\)
(2) \(L_{T} \cap K\left(Y_{p \infty}\right) \subseteq K\left(Y_{p^{M^{\prime}+a}}\right)\)
(3) For each \(g \in G_{T}^{+}\)one can find infinitely many primes \(l\) inert in \(K\) with
\[
\operatorname{Fr}_{L_{T} / K}(\lambda)=g, \quad p^{M^{\prime}} \mid l+1 \pm a_{l}, \quad p^{M^{\prime}+a+1} \not l_{l}+1 \pm a_{l}
\]
(4) \(p^{b} \operatorname{Coker}\left[j: G_{T} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}\left(T, Y_{p^{M}}\right)\right]=0\)

Proof. (1) Fix an isomorphism \(A_{p} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{p}^{2}\) so that \(c\) acts as a diagonal matrix (with eigenvalues \(\pm 1\), of course). According to \([22,5.7],[19,4.1]\) and the theory of complex multiplication, the image of \(G(\overline{\mathbf{Q}} / K)\) in \(A u t_{\mathcal{O}}\left(A_{\mathfrak{p}}\right) \simeq G L_{2}\left(\mathcal{O}_{p}\right)\) contains the subgroup of scalar matrices
\[
D=\left\{\left.\left(\begin{array}{ll}
x & 0 \\
0 & x
\end{array}\right) \right\rvert\, x \in 1+p^{a} \mathbf{Z}_{p}\right\}
\]
for some \(a\). Then, by Sah's lemma, \(p^{a}\) kills all cohomology groups \(H^{q}\left(K\left(Y_{p^{M^{\prime}}}\right) / K, Y_{p^{M}}\right)\). (2) Put \(L_{n}:=K\left(Y_{p^{M^{\prime}+n}}\right)\). The group \(D\) acts trivially on all groups \(H_{n}:=G\left(L_{n} / L\right)\).As \(a \leq M^{\prime}, H_{n}\) is abelian for \(n \leq M^{\prime}\). Put \(E=L_{T} \cap L_{M^{\prime}}\). Then, again by Sah's lemma, \(p^{a}\) kills \(\operatorname{Hom}_{G(L / K)}\left(G_{T}, G(E / L)\right)\), which proves the claim, as \(H_{n}\) has exact exponent \(p^{n}\) for \(a \leq n \leq M^{\prime}\).
(3) Each element \(h \in H_{M^{\prime}}\) is of the form
\[
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)+p^{M^{\prime}}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
A & B \\
C & D
\end{array}\right) \quad\left(\bmod p^{2 M^{\prime}}\right)
\]

If \(c h=F r_{L_{M^{\prime}} / \mathbf{Q}}(l)\), then \(p^{M^{\prime}+\pi} \nmid l+1 \pm a_{l}\) iff \(p^{n} \nmid A, D\). We know that \(G\left(L_{M^{\prime}} / E\right)\) contains \(p^{a} H_{M^{\prime}}\), hence also
\[
\left\{\left.\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1+p^{M^{\prime}} x & 0 \\
0 & 1+p^{M^{\prime}} x
\end{array}\right) \right\rvert\, x \in p^{a} \mathbf{Z} / p^{M^{\prime}} \mathbf{Z}\right\}
\]

This means that by making a suitable choice of \(x\) we may extend every \(h \in G_{T}\) to an element \(h^{\prime} \in G\left(L_{T} L_{M^{\prime}} / L\right)\) with \(p^{a+1} \nmid A, D\). By the Cebotarev density theorem one can find infinitely many primes \(l\) with \(\operatorname{Fr}(l)=c h^{\prime}\). The statement follows, since each \(g \in G_{T}^{+}\) is of the form \(g=h^{c+1}=(c h)^{2}\) and \(g=F r_{L_{T} / K}(\lambda)\) if \(F r_{L_{T} / \mathbf{Q}}(l)=c h\).
(4) Let \(\chi: G(\overline{\mathbf{Q}} / \mathbf{Q}) \longrightarrow\{ \pm 1\}\) be the quadratic character corresponding to \(K / \mathbf{Q}\). Denote by \(\rho: G(\overline{\mathbf{Q}} / \mathbf{Q}) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Aut}_{\mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{p}}}\left(A_{\boldsymbol{p}}\right)\) the Galois action on \(A_{p}\). Then \(\rho \otimes \chi\) is the Galois representation associated to the modular form \(f \otimes \chi\). Let \(Z_{M}\) be the subalgebra of scalar matrices in \(M_{2}\left(\mathcal{O}_{p} / p^{M}\right)\).
Lemma 12.3. There exist integers \(m, n \geq 0\) with the following property: let \(V\) be one of \(A_{\mathfrak{p}} / p^{M}\) or \(\left(A_{\mathfrak{p}} / p^{M}\right) \otimes \chi\). If \(V_{1}\) resp. \(V_{2}\) is a \(G(\overline{\mathbf{Q}} / \mathbf{Q})\)-submodule resp. factormodule of \(V\) with \(V_{1} \nsubseteq \wp V\), then
\[
p^{m}\left(V / V_{1}\right)=0, \quad p^{n}\left(\operatorname{Hom}_{G(\overline{\mathbf{Q}} / \mathbf{Q})}\left(V_{1}, V_{2}\right) / Z_{M}\right)=0
\]

Proof of the lemma. The existence of \(m\) follows from the fact that \(\rho\) and \(\rho \otimes \chi\) are irreducible ( \([22,2.3]\) ): we may work with \(V_{0}=A_{p}\) or \(V_{0}=A_{p} \otimes \chi\) and the pull-backs of \(V_{1}, V_{2}\) to \(V_{0}\) at this point; if there was a sequence of \(V_{1} \subseteq V_{0}\) with \(m\) tending to infinity, we could choose a subsequence converging to an invariant subspace in \(V_{0}\), a contradiction.

To establish the existence of \(n\), we first note that \(p^{m+k+1} V \subseteq V_{2} \subseteq p^{k} V\) for some \(k\). Then the kernels and cokernels of both maps
\[
\operatorname{Hom}_{G}\left(V_{1}, V_{2}\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{G}\left(V_{1}, V / p^{k} V\right) \longleftarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{G}\left(V, V / p^{k} V\right)
\]
are killed by \(p^{m+1}\), where we have put \(G=G(\overline{\mathbf{Q}} / \mathbf{Q})\). But
\[
\operatorname{Hom}_{G}\left(V, V / p^{k} V\right)=\operatorname{Hom}_{G}\left(V_{0} / p^{M-k}, V_{0} / p^{M-k}\right)
\]
and the existence of \(n\) again follows from the irreducibility of \(V_{0}\).
We now continue the proof of 12.2 . As a \(G(K / \mathbf{Q})\)-module,
\[
T=\bigoplus_{i=1}^{k} T_{i} / \wp^{n_{i}}
\]
where each \(T_{i}\) is either \(\mathcal{O}_{p}\) or \(\mathcal{O}_{p} \otimes \chi\). Then
\[
V:=\operatorname{Hom}\left(T, Y_{p^{M}}\right)=\bigoplus_{i=1}^{k} V_{i}
\]
with \(V_{i}=A_{\rho} / \wp^{n_{i}}\) or \(\left(A_{\rho} / \wp^{n_{i}}\right) \otimes \chi\). We know that \(W=G_{T}\) is an \(\mathcal{O}_{\rho}[G(L / \mathbf{Q})]\)-submodule of \(V\) satisfying the following property:
\((\mathrm{P})\) The composed map \(T \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}\left(V, Y_{p^{M}}\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}\left(W, Y_{p^{M}}\right)\) is injective.
We shall prove by induction on \(k\) that \(V / W\) is killed by \(p^{c}\) with \(c=\max (m, n)\). For \(k=1\) this follows from the definition of \(m\). Let now \(k \geq 2\) and assume that we know that \(p^{c}\) kills \(V / W\) in all situations with \(k\) replaced by \(k-1\). Let
\[
\pi: V \longrightarrow V^{\prime}:=\bigoplus_{i=1}^{k-1} V_{i}
\]
be the projection on the first \(k-1\) factors. Then \(W \cap \operatorname{Ker}(\pi)\) is isomorphic to its projection on \(V_{k}\), to be called \(W_{k}\), and
\[
\pi(W)=: W^{\prime}=\oplus W_{i}
\]
with \(W_{i} \subseteq V_{i}\) (for \(1 \leq i \leq k-1\) ) satisfying \(W_{i} \nsubseteq \varphi V_{i}\) due to the property ( P ). "Inverting" the projection \(\left.\pi\right|_{W}\) we get a \(G\)-map
\[
f: W^{\prime} \longrightarrow V_{k} / W_{k}
\]
with \(\operatorname{Im}(f)\) not contained in \(\wp\left(V_{k} / W_{k}\right)\) again by (P). According to the lemma,
\[
f\left(w_{1}, \ldots, w_{k-1}\right)=a_{1} w_{1}+\ldots+a_{k-1} w_{k-1}
\]
modulo \(p^{n}\)-torsion. The condition (P) then implies that \(p^{n}\) must kill \(V_{k} / W_{k}\); otherwise a suitable multiple of \(\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k-1},-1\right) \in T\) would be trivial on \(W\) : a contradiction. As
\(W^{\prime} \oplus W_{k} \subseteq W\) and \(p^{c}\) kills \(V^{\prime} / W^{\prime}\) by induction hypothesis, we get \(p^{c}(V / W)=0\) as claimed.

Remark. It follows from the proof that one can take \(a=b=0\) in the following two cases: (1) If \(f\) is a CM-form (as \(p \nmid N\) and \(K\) is not the field of complex multiplication, the Galois group \(G(L / K)\) is equal to the normalizer of a Cartan subgroup).
(2) If in the non-CM case the Galois group \(G(L / \mathbf{Q})\) is as big as possible, i.e. equal to \(G L_{2}\left(\mathcal{O}_{p} / p^{M^{\prime}}\right)\), and \(p\) is unramified in \(F\).

\section*{13. Main theorem.}

We are now ready to prove our main result. Recall that \(f \in S_{2 r}^{\text {new }}\left(\Gamma_{0}(N)\right)\) is a newform of weight \(2 r \geq 4, p\) a prime not dividing \(N \varphi(N)(2 r-2)!(\times 3\) if \(N=1,2), F\) the extension of \(\mathbf{Q}\) generated by the Hecke eigenvalues of \(f, \mathcal{O}_{F}\) the ring of integers of \(F, \wp\) a prime of \(\mathcal{O}_{F}\) over \(p, K\) an imaginary quadratic field in which all primes dividing \(N\) split, \(A\) the free \(\mathcal{O}_{F} \otimes \mathbf{Z}_{p}\)-module of rank 2 carrying the \(p\)-adic realization of the motive \(M(f)\) satisfying \(L(M(f), s)=L(f, s+r), A_{p}\) the localization of \(A\) at \(\xi, Y=\overline{\bar{X}}_{N}^{2 r-2}\) the non-singular compactification of the ( \(2 r-1\) )-dimensional Kuga-Sato variety over the modular curve \(M_{N}, \varepsilon_{L}= \pm 1\) the sign in the functional equation of the \(L-\operatorname{series} L(f, s)\),
\[
\Phi: C H^{r}(Y / K)_{0} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\wp} \longrightarrow H_{\text {cont }}^{1}\left(K, A_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)
\]
the \(\wp\)-localization of the \(f\)-component of the Abel-Jacobi map. Let
\[
y_{0}:=\operatorname{cor}_{K_{1}, K}\left(y_{1, p}\right) \in H_{\text {cont }}^{1}\left(K, A_{p}\right)
\]

Theorem 13.1. Suppose that \(y_{0}\) is not torsion. Then \(L I_{p} \infty\) is finite and
\[
(\operatorname{Im}(\Phi))^{\varepsilon_{L}} \otimes F_{\wp}=0, \quad(\operatorname{lm}(\Phi))^{-\varepsilon_{L}} \otimes F_{\wp}=F_{\wp} \cdot y_{0} .
\]

Proof. As before, we pick a sufficiently large \(M \gg 0\) and put \(M^{\prime}=M+M_{1}\). We shall give bounds for the Selmer group introduced in sec.11. According to [29,2.1.Cor] and [27,6.2.Th.7], \(\underset{M}{\lim } S^{(M)}\) is a finitely generated \(\mathbf{Z}_{p}\)-module. Put, as before, \(L=K\left(Y_{p^{A^{\prime}}}\right)\) and for \(m \geq 0\) let \(\Lambda_{m}\) be the set of primes \(l\) inert in \(K\) satisfying
\[
p^{M^{\prime}} \mid l+1 \pm a_{l}, \quad p^{M^{\prime}+m+1} \nmid l+1 \pm a_{l} .
\]

Put \(T_{0}=S^{(M)}\) and let \(T\) be its image under the restriction map
\[
r: H^{1}\left(K, Y_{p^{M}}\right) \longrightarrow H^{1}\left(L, Y_{p^{M}}\right)
\]

If \(n\) is a product of distinct primes from \(\Lambda_{m}\) we define
\[
u(n):=r\left(P_{M}(n)\right) \in H^{1}\left(L, Y_{p^{M}}\right)
\]

By hypothesis, \(y_{0}\) is not torsion in \(H_{\text {cont }}^{1}\left(K, A_{p}\right)\). Then, according to [29,2.1], there exists \(M_{0} \geq 0\) such that \(y_{0}\) is not divisible by \(p^{M_{0}+1}\) in \(H_{\text {cont }}^{1}\left(K, A_{\emptyset}\right) /\) torsion (the torsion, of course, being killed by \(p^{M_{1}}\) ). Denote \(e(x)=\min \left\{m \mid p^{m} x=0\right\}\) whenever \(x\) is an element of an abelian group of the group itself. In this notation,
\[
\begin{aligned}
e\left(P_{M}(1)\right) & =M-M_{0} \\
e(u(n)) & \geq e\left(P_{M}(n)\right)-a .
\end{aligned}
\]

Consider first \(T^{\varepsilon_{L}}\). Choose \(f_{1}^{ \pm}: T^{ \pm} \longrightarrow Y_{p^{M}}^{ \pm}\)satisfying
\[
\begin{aligned}
e\left(f_{1}^{\varepsilon_{L}}\right) & =e\left(\operatorname{Hom}\left(T^{\varepsilon_{L}}, Y_{p^{M}}^{\varepsilon_{L}}\right)\right)=e\left(T^{\varepsilon_{L}}\right) \\
e\left(f_{1}^{-\varepsilon_{L}}(u(1))\right) & =e(u(1)) \quad\left(\geq M-M_{0}-a\right)
\end{aligned}
\]

According to Prop. 12.2, one can find \(l \in \Lambda_{a}\) with
\[
\alpha_{\lambda_{L}, M}^{ \pm}=p^{b} f_{1}^{ \pm}
\]

Let \(t \in T^{e_{L}}\). The reciprocity law (Prop. 11.2.2) yields
\[
\left\langle t_{\lambda}, p^{M_{2}} P_{M}(l)_{\lambda}\right\rangle_{\lambda, M}=0
\]

As \(l \in \Lambda_{a}\), Cor. 10.3 tells us that
\[
\left[\alpha_{\lambda_{L}, M}(t), p^{M_{2}+a+1} \alpha_{\lambda_{L}, M}\left(u(1)_{\lambda}\right)\right]_{M}=1
\]
hence
\[
\left[f_{1}^{\varepsilon_{L}}(t), p^{M_{2}+a+2 b+1} f_{1}^{-\varepsilon_{L}}(u(1))\right]_{M}=1,
\]
which implies that
\[
p^{M_{0}+M_{2}+2 a+2 b+1} T^{\varepsilon_{L}}=0 \Longrightarrow p^{M_{0}+M_{2}+3 a+2 b+1}\left(S^{(M)}\right)^{\epsilon_{L}}=0
\]

Let us now turn to \(T^{-\varepsilon_{L}}\). We can find \(f_{2}^{ \pm}: T^{ \pm} \longrightarrow Y_{p^{M}}^{ \pm}\)satisfying
\[
\begin{aligned}
e\left(f_{2}^{\varepsilon_{L}}(u(l))\right) & =e(u(l)) \\
e\left(f_{2}^{-\varepsilon_{L}} \bmod \mathcal{O}_{\wp} \cdot f_{1}^{-\varepsilon_{L}}\right) & =e\left(\operatorname{Hom}\left(T^{-\varepsilon_{L}}, Y_{p^{M}}^{-\varepsilon_{L}}\right) / \mathcal{O}_{\wp} \cdot f_{1}^{-\varepsilon_{L}}\right)=e\left(\operatorname{Ker}\left(f_{1}^{-\varepsilon_{L}}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
\]

According to our choice of \(l\) we have \(e(u(l)) \geq e\left(P_{M}(l)\right)-a \geq e\left(P_{M}(l)_{\lambda}\right)-a \geq e\left(P_{M}(1)_{\lambda}\right)-\) \(2 a=e\left(p^{b} f_{1}^{-\varepsilon_{L}}(u(1))\right)-2 a=e(u(1))-2 a-b \geq M-M_{0}-3 a-b\). We can again find \(l^{\prime} \in \Lambda_{a}-\{a\}\) with \(p^{b} f_{2}=\alpha_{\lambda_{L}^{\prime}, M}\)

Let \(t \in \operatorname{Ker}\left(f_{1}^{-\varepsilon_{L}}\right) \subseteq T^{-\varepsilon_{L}}\). Then the reciprocity law
\[
\sum_{v}\left\langle t_{v}, P_{M}\left(l^{\prime}\right)_{v}\right\rangle_{v, M}=0
\]
implies by Lemma 12.1
\[
\left[p^{b} f_{2}^{-\varepsilon_{L}}\left(t_{\lambda^{\prime}}\right), p^{b+M_{1}+M_{2}+a+1} f_{2}^{\varepsilon_{L}}(u(l))\right]_{\lambda^{\prime}, M}=1
\]
hence the kernel of
。
\[
f_{1}^{-\varepsilon_{L}}: T^{-\varepsilon_{L}} \longrightarrow Y_{p^{M}}^{-\varepsilon_{L}}
\]
is killed by \(p^{M_{0}+M_{1}+M_{2}+4 a+3 b+1}\).
We know that \(u(1)=p^{M_{0}} x+t\) with \(x, t \in \operatorname{Im}(\Phi)\) and \(t\) killed by \(p^{M_{1}}\). This implies that (for sufficiently big \(M\) )
\[
e\left(f_{1}^{-\ell_{L}}(x)\right)=e(x) \geq M-a .
\]

In the exact sequence
\[
0 \longrightarrow \frac{\operatorname{Ker}\left(f_{1}^{-\varepsilon_{L}}\right)}{s t h .} \longrightarrow \frac{T^{-\varepsilon_{L}}}{\mathcal{O}_{\wp} \cdot t+\mathcal{O}_{p} \cdot x} \xrightarrow{f_{1}^{-\varepsilon_{L}}} \frac{Y_{p^{M}}^{-\varepsilon_{L}}}{\mathcal{O}_{p} \cdot f_{1}^{-\varepsilon_{L}}(t)+\mathcal{O}_{\wp} \cdot f_{1}^{-\varepsilon_{L}}(x)}
\]
the first term is killed by \(p^{M_{0}+M_{1}+M_{2}+4 a+3 b+1}\) and the last one by \(p^{a}\). This shows that
\[
p^{M_{0}+M_{1}+M_{2}+6 a+3 b+1}\left(S^{(M)}\right)^{-\varepsilon_{L}} /\left(\mathcal{O}_{p} \cdot t+\mathcal{O}_{p} \cdot x\right)=0
\]

Letting \(M\) tend to infinity, we see that
\[
p^{c} S^{(\infty)} /\left(\left(F_{p} / \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}\right) \cdot y_{0}\right)=0
\]
for some \(c\). As the image of \(\Phi\) in \(S^{(\infty)}\) is divisible, this proves the statement about \(\operatorname{Im}(\Phi)\), shows that \(I L I_{p \infty}^{L}=\left(S^{(M)}\right)^{\varepsilon_{L}}\) and that \(\left(S^{(M)}\right)^{-\varepsilon_{L}} /\left(\mathcal{O}_{\varphi} \cdot x+\mathcal{O}_{\rho} \cdot t\right)\) surjects on \(I I_{\rho}^{\varepsilon_{\infty}}\) for sufficiently big \(M\). Theorem follows.

Remark. The bounds given in the course of the proof are by no means ideal. The power \(p^{1}\) is not necessary if \(p\) is unramified in \(F\) and we suspect that the factor \(p^{M_{1}}\) could be eliminated by a more detailed analysis of Kolyvagin's corestriction. If the same could be done also for the remaining parasitic factor \(p^{M_{2}}\), then in a situation with \(a=b=0\) (cf. remark at the end of sec.12) the methods of [17] would probably apply in a completely formal way and one could describe the structure of the Tate-Šafarevič group \(I I_{p^{\infty}}\) solely in terms of the classes \(P_{M}(n)\).

Remark. It would be desirable to find a criterion to check whether \(y_{0}\) is torsion. In the weight 2 case, such a criterion is provided by the theorem of Gross and Zagier [10], which asserts that the value of the first derivative of the corresponding \(L\)-series at 1 is a multiple of the height of \(y_{0}\). In conjunction with [3], the result of Gross and Zagier suggests that the same is true also in the higher weight case. Unfortunately, our understanding of the relationship between the Abel-Jacobi map and the real-valued height pairing is unsatisfactory. We hope that \(p\)-adic methods will have some bearing on this problem: one may indeed define a \(p\)-adic height pairing which factors through the Abel-Jacobi map
(this will be discussed in a future paper) and the hope is that a \(p\)-adic version of the GrossZagier theorem, relating the \(p\)-adic height of \(y_{0}\) to the derivative of a \(p\)-adic \(L\)-function, is valid in our situation as well (the weight two case is treated in [20]). Note that In [24] C.Schoen investigates the transcendental Abel-Jacobi map on a threefold associated to the unique form \(f \in S_{4}\left(\Gamma_{0}(9)\right)\), which provides the simplest situation when the hypothetic criterion could prove useful.
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