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#### Abstract

We develop a theory of generalized presentations of groups. We give generalized presentations of the symmetric group $\Sigma(X)$ and of the automorphism group of the free group of countable rank, $\operatorname{Aut}\left(F_{\omega}\right)$.


## 1 Introduction

According to the classical group theory, a group $G$ is generated by a subset $\Lambda$, if every element of $G$ is a finite product of elements of $\Lambda \cup \Lambda^{-1}$. In particular, if $G$ is uncountable, it cannot be generated by a countable set. However, if we allow appropriate infinite products this becomes possible for at least the two types of groups mentioned in the abstract. We show that $\Sigma(X)$ and $\operatorname{Aut}\left(F_{\omega}\right)$ can be generated in this generalized sense by transpositions and by elementary Nielsen automorphisms, respectively. Moreover, we describe generalized presentations of these groups on these sets of generalized generators.
In the classical group theory, the free groups serve as universal objects, in our theory the universal objects are the so called generalized free groups, which include the big free groups. On the basis of these topological groups, we develop in Section 2 a theory of generalized presentations of groups.
In Section 3 we describe two generalized presentations of the group $\Sigma(X)$, see Theorems 3.4.2 and 3.5.2. In Section 4 we describe a generalized presentation of the group $\operatorname{Aut}\left(F_{\omega}\right)$, see Theorem 4.8.11. A more algebraic description is given in Theorems 4.8.6 and 4.8.13.
Whereas in the case of the symmetric groups $\Sigma(X)$, we admit arbitrary sets $X$, for the free groups $F(X)$ we have to assume that $X$ is countable. For bigger sets $X$, the corresponding questions on $F(X)$ remain open.

## 2 Generalized free groups and generalized presentations

### 2.1 Big free groups

We begin with a few recollections concerning big free groups [9]. Let $\Lambda$ be a set. By $\mathcal{T}(\Lambda)$ we denote the set of all maps

$$
f: S \rightarrow \Lambda
$$

with the following properties:

- $S$ is a totally ordered set.
- For each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, the set $f^{-1}(\lambda)$ is finite.

We identify two elements $f: S \rightarrow \Lambda$ and $f^{\prime}: S^{\prime} \rightarrow \Lambda$ of $\mathcal{T}(\Lambda)$ if there is an order preserving bijection $\varphi: S \rightarrow S^{\prime}$ with $f=f^{\prime} \circ \varphi$.
For the remainder of Section 2, we assume that we are given a free involution $\lambda \mapsto \lambda^{-1}$ on $\Lambda$.
Definition. A subset $I$ of a linearly ordered set $S$ is called an interval of $S$ if the following holds: for $s, s^{\prime}, s^{\prime \prime} \in S$ with $s<s^{\prime \prime}<s^{\prime}$ and $s, s^{\prime} \in I$, we have $s^{\prime \prime} \in I$.
We define

$$
\left[s, s^{\prime}\right]:=\left[s, s^{\prime}\right]_{S}:=\left\{x \in S \mid s \leq x \leq s^{\prime}\right\} .
$$

Other types of intervals such as $] s, s^{\prime}[$ or $] s, \infty[$ are defined accordingly.
Given $f, g \in \mathcal{T}(\Lambda)$, we say that $g$ is obtained from $f$ by cancellation and write $f \searrow g$, if the following holds:

- If $f$ is of the form $f: S \rightarrow \Lambda$, there is $T \subseteq S$ such that $g=f_{\mid S \backslash T}$.
- There is an involution $*$ on $T$ such that for all $t \in T$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
f\left(t^{*}\right) & =f(t)^{-1}  \tag{1}\\
{\left[t, t^{*}\right] } & \subseteq T  \tag{2}\\
\left(\left[t, t^{*}\right]\right)^{*} & =\left[t, t^{*}\right] . \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $\approx$ be the equivalence relation on $\mathcal{T}(\Lambda)$ generated by $f \searrow g$ and let

$$
\mathrm{BF}(\Lambda):=\mathcal{T}(\Lambda) / \approx
$$

$\mathrm{By}[f] \in \mathrm{BF}(\Lambda)$ we denote the class of $f \in \mathcal{T}(\Lambda)$. Then $\mathrm{BF}(\Lambda)$ becomes a group by $[f]\left[f^{\prime}\right]:=\left[f f^{\prime}\right]$ where $f f^{\prime}$ is the concatenation of $f$ and $f^{\prime}$. We call $\operatorname{BF}(\Lambda)$ the big free group generated by $\Lambda$.
We consider $\Lambda$ as a subset of $\operatorname{BF}(\Lambda)$; the subgroup of $\mathrm{BF}(\Lambda)$ generated by $\Lambda$ will be denoted by $\mathcal{F}(\Lambda)$. If $\Lambda^{+}$is a subset of $\Lambda$ containing, for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$, exactly one of the two elements $\lambda, \lambda^{-1}$, then $\mathcal{F}(\Lambda)$ is the free group $F\left(\Lambda^{+}\right)$with basis $\Lambda^{+}$. Following common usage, one should rather call $\operatorname{BF}(\Lambda)$ the big free group generated by $\Lambda^{+}$.

### 2.2 Admissible sets and generalized free groups

For certain questions concerning infinite groups, big free groups are a more appropriate tool than free groups. They present, however, new problems: Whereas subgroups of free groups are again free groups, subgroups of big free groups need not be big free groups.
Definition. Any group $G$ with $\mathcal{F}(\Lambda) \leqslant G \leqslant \mathrm{BF}(\Lambda)$ is called a generalized free group generated by $\Lambda$.
The subgroups of $\operatorname{BF}(\Lambda)$ can be described by specifying certain subsets of $\mathcal{T}(\Lambda)$ which we define next:
Definition. A subset $\mathcal{S}$ of $\mathcal{T}(\Lambda)$ is called admissible if it has the following properties:

- $f, f^{\prime} \in \mathcal{S} \Rightarrow f f^{\prime} \in \mathcal{S}$.
- $f \in \mathcal{S} \Rightarrow \bar{f} \in \mathcal{S}$.
(For a totally ordered set $S$, let $\bar{S}$ be the set $S$ with the reverse ordering. Given $f: S \rightarrow \Lambda$, we define $\bar{f}: \bar{S} \rightarrow \Lambda$ by $\bar{f}(s):=f(s)^{-1}$.)
- For $f \in \mathcal{S}$ and $g \in \mathcal{T}(\Lambda)$ with $f \searrow g$, we have $g \in \mathcal{S}$.

Given an admissible subset $\mathcal{S}$ of $\mathcal{T}(\Lambda)$, we obtain a $\operatorname{group} \operatorname{BF}(\Lambda ; \mathcal{S}):=\mathcal{S} / \approx$ where $\approx$ is the equivalence relation on $\mathcal{S}$ generated by $f \searrow g$. To see that $\operatorname{BF}(\Lambda ; \mathcal{S})$ is a subgroup of $\operatorname{BF}(\Lambda)$, recall the following definition from [9]:

Definition. An element $f \in \mathcal{T}(\Lambda)$ is called reduced if no element of $\mathcal{T}(\Lambda)$ except $f$ itself can be obtained from $f$ by cancellation.

As shown in [9, Theorem $\left.3.9^{\prime}\right]$, every element of $\operatorname{BF}(\Lambda)$ admits a unique reduced representing element in $\mathcal{T}(\Lambda)$. From this fact, it follows easily that $\operatorname{BF}(\Lambda ; \mathcal{S})$ is a subgroup of $\operatorname{BF}(\Lambda)$ if $\mathcal{S}$ is admissible. Conversely, any subgroup of $\operatorname{BF}(\Lambda)$ is of the form $\operatorname{BF}(\Lambda ; \mathcal{S})$ with an admissible set $\mathcal{S}$.
With this notation, we have $\operatorname{BF}(\Lambda)=\operatorname{BF}(\Lambda ; \mathcal{T}(\Lambda))$. For an admissible subset $\mathcal{S}$ of $\mathcal{T}(\Lambda)$, the group $\operatorname{BF}(\Lambda ; \mathcal{S})$ is a generalized free group generated by $\Lambda$ if and only if $\Lambda \subseteq \mathcal{S}$.

### 2.3 Big free groups as topological groups

The groups $\operatorname{BF}(\Lambda)$, and hence also their subgroups $\operatorname{BF}(\Lambda ; \mathcal{S})$, carry the structure of topological groups. This is what we must explain next.
For a subset $A$ of $\Lambda$ with $A^{-1}=A$ there is a map

$$
\Phi_{A}: \mathcal{T}(\Lambda) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(A)
$$

which sends $f: S \rightarrow \Lambda$ to its restriction to $f^{-1}(A)$. The map $\Phi_{A}$ induces a homomorphism

$$
\varphi_{A}: \mathrm{BF}(\Lambda) \rightarrow \mathrm{BF}(A) .
$$

Since $\operatorname{BF}(A)=\mathcal{F}(A)$ for finite sets $A$, we obtain a homomorphism

$$
\varphi: \mathrm{BF}(\Lambda) \longrightarrow \underset{A \text { finite }}{\lim } \mathcal{F}(A)
$$

which is injective by [9, Theorem 3.10]. We get a topology on $\operatorname{BF}(\Lambda)$ which has the subgroups $\operatorname{ker} \varphi_{A}$ for finite subsets $A$ of $\Lambda$ as a basis of the neighborhoods of the neutral element.
Let us call this topology on $\operatorname{BF}(\Lambda)$ and on its subgroups $\operatorname{BF}(\Lambda ; \mathcal{S})$ the natural topology. In the natural topology, the free group $\mathcal{F}(\Lambda)$ is dense in any generalized free group generated by $\Lambda$.
Unfortunately, the natural topology is too coarse for many purposes. Depending on the situation, we have to consider topologies which belong to the following class:

Definition. Given an admissible subset $\mathcal{S}$ of $\mathcal{T}(\Lambda)$ with $\Lambda \subseteq \mathcal{S}$, a topology $\mathfrak{T}$ on $\mathrm{BF}(\Lambda ; \mathcal{S})$ is called admissible if it has the following three properties:

- With $\mathfrak{T}$, the group $\operatorname{BF}(\Lambda ; \mathcal{S})$ becomes a topological group.
- The topology $\mathfrak{T}$ is finer than (i.e. contains at least as many open sets as) the natural topology.
- The free group $\mathcal{F}(\Lambda)$ is dense in $\operatorname{BF}(\Lambda ; \mathcal{S})$ with respect to $\mathfrak{T}$.


### 2.4 Infinite products in big free groups

In the groups $\operatorname{BF}(\Lambda)$ and, more generally, $\operatorname{BF}(\Lambda ; \mathcal{S})$, one can form certain infinite products: Suppose that $T$ is a totally ordered set and that for every $t \in T$ there is given an element $x_{t} \in \operatorname{BF}(\Lambda)$. Let $f_{t}$ be the reduced representative of $x_{t}$, and suppose that, for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, the sets $f_{t}^{-1}(\lambda)$ are empty for all but finitely many values of $t$. Then we can form, in an obvious manner, the element

$$
\prod_{t \in T} f_{t} \in \mathcal{T}(\Lambda)
$$

and can define the infinite product

$$
\prod_{t \in T} x_{t}:=\left[\prod_{t \in T} f_{t}\right] \in \mathrm{BF}(\Lambda) .
$$

For each finite subset $A$ of $T$, we can of course form the finite product

$$
x_{A}:=\prod_{t \in A} x_{t} .
$$

These elements $x_{A}$ form a net in $\operatorname{BF}(\Lambda)$ which converges to $\prod_{t \in T} x_{t}$ in the standard topology (see [24, Chapter 2]).
All this can be appropriately generalized to the subgroups $\operatorname{BF}(\Lambda ; \mathcal{S})$.

### 2.5 Generalized presentations

Now we come to the main definitions of the present paper.
Definition. Let $G$ be a group and $A$ a subset of $G$. We say that $G$ is generated by $A$ in the generalized sense if there exist a set $\Lambda$ with a free involution, an admissible subset $\mathcal{S}$ of of $\mathcal{T}(\Lambda)$ with $\Lambda \subseteq \mathcal{S}$, and an epimorphism $p: \operatorname{BF}(\Lambda ; \mathcal{S}) \rightarrow G$ with $p(\Lambda) \subseteq A$.
Definition. Let $G$ be a group. A generalized presentation of $G$ is a tuple $(\Lambda, \mathcal{S}, \mathfrak{T}, R)$ with the following properties:

- $\Lambda$ is a set with a free involution.
- $\mathcal{S}$ is an admissible subset of $\mathcal{T}(\Lambda)$ with $\Lambda \subseteq \mathcal{S}$.
- $\mathfrak{T}$ is an admissible topology on $\operatorname{BF}(\Lambda ; \mathcal{S})$.
- $R$ is a subset of the generalized free group $\operatorname{BF}(\Lambda ; \mathcal{S})$.
- There is an epimorphism $p: \operatorname{BF}(\Lambda ; \mathcal{S}) \rightarrow G$ such that ker $p$ is the smallest normal subgroup which contains the set $R$ and is closed with respect to $\mathfrak{T}$.

Definition. Given a group $G$ and cardinal number $c$, we say that $G$ admits a generalized presentation of type $c$ if there is a generalized presentation $(\Lambda, \mathcal{S}, \mathfrak{T}, R)$ of $G$ with $|\Lambda| \leq c$ and $|R| \leq c$.

## 3 Generalized presentations for infinite symmetric groups

For a set $X$, let $\Sigma(X)$ be the symmetric group consisting of all bijections $\sigma$ : $X \rightarrow X$. The element obtained from $x$ by applying $\sigma$ will be denoted by $x \cdot \sigma$. In
the present section, we will find two different generalized presentations for $\Sigma(X)$. Both of them are of type $|X|$ if $X$ is an infinite set.

For $x, y \in X$ with $x \neq y$, let $\tau_{x, y} \in \Sigma(X)$ be the transposition interchanging $x$ and $y$ and leaving all other elements of $X$ fixed. We will show that $\Sigma(X)$ is, in the generalized sense introduced in Section 2, generated by these transpositions and that the usual relations between them are actually defining generalized relations.

### 3.1 An example

In $\Sigma(\mathbb{Z})$, consider the shift $\sigma: n \mapsto n+1$. In a self-explaining way, we can write, for instance,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma=\ldots \tau_{2,3} \tau_{1,2} \tau_{0,1} \tau_{-1,0} \ldots \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma=\tau_{0,1} \tau_{0,-1} \tau_{-1,2} \tau_{-1,-2} \tau_{-2,3} \tau_{-2,-3} \ldots \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

There is an important difference between these two ways of writing $\sigma$ as an infinite product: Consider e.g. the "subword"

$$
\tau_{1,2} \tau_{0,1} \tau_{-1,0} \ldots
$$

of (4). This does not represent an element of $\Sigma(\mathbb{Z})$ : Indeed, if it would represent $\rho \in \Sigma(\mathbb{Z})$, what would $\rho(2)$ be?
On the other hand, each subword (i.e. each finite or infinite string of consecutive letters) of (5) defines an element of $\Sigma(\mathbb{Z})$.
In the first of our two generalized presentations of $\Sigma(\mathbb{Z})$, both (4) and (5) will be legal ways of writing $\sigma$. In the second presentation, (4) will be illegal, but (5) will remain legal.

### 3.2 The admissible set $\mathcal{S}$

We return to the general case and will now describe the set $\Lambda$ and the admissible set $\mathcal{S}$ of a generalized presentation $(\Lambda, \mathcal{S}, \mathfrak{T}, R)$ of $\Sigma(X)$. Let

$$
\Lambda:=\Lambda(X):=\left\{T_{x, y} \mid x, y \in X, x \neq y\right\} ;
$$

the free involution on $\Lambda$ sends $T_{x, y}$ to $T_{y, x}$.
The definition of $\mathcal{S}$ requires a certain amount of notation.
With every map $f: S \rightarrow \Lambda$, where $S$ is a totally ordered set, we associate two maps $f_{1}: S \rightarrow X$ and $f_{2}: S \rightarrow X$ by the following rule: if $s \in S$ and $f(s)=T_{x, y}$, we set $f_{1}(s)=x$ and $f_{2}(s)=y$. For $z \in X$ we set

$$
U(z, f):=\left\{s \in S \mid z \in\left\{f_{1}(s), f_{2}(s)\right\}\right\}
$$

Let us write

$$
\mathcal{S}_{0}:=\{(f: S \rightarrow \Lambda)| | U(x, f) \mid<\infty \forall x \in X\} \subseteq \mathcal{T}(\Lambda) .
$$

We will describe a subset $\mathcal{S}$ of $\mathcal{S}_{0}$.
Let $f: S \rightarrow \Lambda$ be an element of $\mathcal{S}_{0}$ which is fixed for the moment; we have to define what it means that $f$ belongs to $\mathcal{S}$. For each $x \in X$, we will define inductively four sequences

$$
\begin{array}{cccc}
x_{0}^{+}, & x_{1}^{+}, & x_{2}^{+}, & \ldots \\
& s_{1}^{+}(x), & s_{2}^{+}(x), & \cdots \\
x_{0}^{-}, & x_{1}^{-}, & x_{2}^{-}, & \ldots \\
& s_{1}^{-}(x), & s_{2}^{-}(x), & \ldots \tag{9}
\end{array}
$$

The sequences (6) and (8) will consist of elements of $X$, the sequences (7) and (9) of elements of $S$.

These sequences may be finite or infinite. The sequence (6) will be finite iff (7) is finite, and if this is the case, both will end with the term with the same index, say $n^{+}(x)$. Here, we allow that $n^{+}(x)=0$; this is to mean that (6) is the 1 -term sequence $x_{0}^{+}$and (7) is the empty sequence. If (6) and (7) are infinite sequences, let us put $n^{+}(x):=\infty$. So, for any $x \in X$, we will have

$$
n^{+}(x) \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0, \infty\}
$$

Similarly, for the sequences (8) and (9); so we will obtain also

$$
n^{-}(x) \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0, \infty\}
$$

Now we come to the actual definition of the four sequences:

$$
x_{0}^{+}:=x_{0}^{-}:=x .
$$

If $U(x, f)=\emptyset$, let $n^{+}(x):=n^{-}(x)=0$.
If $U(x, f) \neq \emptyset$, let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{1}^{+}(x):=\min U(x, f), \\
& s_{1}^{-}(x):=\max U(x, f) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now suppose inductively that for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have already defined

$$
x_{0}^{+}, \ldots, x_{n-1}^{+}, s_{1}^{+}(x), \ldots, s_{n}^{+}(x)
$$

and that $x_{k-1}^{+} \in\left\{f_{1}\left(s_{k}^{+}(x)\right), f_{2}\left(s_{k}^{+}(x)\right)\right\}$ for all $k=1, \ldots, n$. Then we define $x_{n}^{+}$ by requiring

$$
\left\{x_{n-1}^{+}, x_{n}^{+}\right\}=\left\{f_{1}\left(s_{n}^{+}(x)\right), f_{2}\left(s_{n}^{+}(x)\right)\right\} .
$$

Let $s_{n+1}^{+}(x)$ be the smallest element of $S$ which is contained in $U\left(x_{n}^{+}, f\right)$ and is bigger than $s_{n}^{+}(x)$, assuming that such an element exists. If there is no such element, let $n^{+}(x):=n$.

This completes the definition of the sequences (6) and (7), and it should be obvious how, by symmetry, the sequences (8) and (9) are defined. Observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{1}^{+}(x)<s_{2}^{+}(x)<\ldots, \\
& s_{1}^{-}(x)>s_{2}^{-}(x)>\ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we define the subset $\mathcal{S}$ of $\mathcal{S}_{0}$ by declaring that $f$ belongs to $\mathcal{S}$ if and only if, for all $x \in X$, we have

$$
n^{+}(x)<\infty \quad, \quad n^{-}(x)<\infty
$$

Lemma 3.2.1. The subset $\mathcal{S}$ of $\mathcal{T}(\Lambda)$ is admissible.
Proof. We have to show that an element of $\mathcal{T}(\Lambda)$ is contained in $\mathcal{S}$ if it is obtained from an element of $\mathcal{S}$ by cancellation. This amounts to the following: Suppose we are given an element $(f: S \rightarrow \Lambda) \in \mathcal{S}$ and a subset $T$ of $S$ with an involution * such that, for all $t \in T$, we have, in addition to the conditions (2) and (3) of Section 2, that

$$
f\left(t^{*}\right)=T_{x, y} \Leftrightarrow f(t)=T_{y, x} .
$$

Then we have to show that $g:=f \mid S \backslash T$ belongs to $\mathcal{S}$. Let $x \in X$. As in the definition of $\mathcal{S}$, we have the four finite sequences $\left(x_{n}^{ \pm}\right)$and $\left(s_{n}^{ \pm}(x)\right)$ associated with $f$. We have to consider the corresponding sequences associated with $g$. We denote them by $\left(\xi_{n}^{ \pm}\right)$and $\left(\sigma_{n}^{ \pm}(x)\right)$ with $\xi_{n}^{ \pm} \in X$ and $\sigma_{n}^{ \pm}(x) \in S \backslash T$. We have to show that they are finite sequences. We will show that $\left(\xi_{n}^{+}\right)$is a subsequence of $\left(x_{n}^{+}\right)$and $\left(\sigma_{n}^{+}(x)\right)$ is a subsequence of $\left(s_{n}^{+}(x)\right)$. To abbreviate, let us write $s_{n}:=s_{n}^{+}(x)$ and $\sigma_{n}:=\sigma_{n}^{+}(x)$. We have $\xi_{0}^{+}=x=x_{0}^{+}$. We will show that $\sigma_{1}$ is a term in the sequence $\left(s_{n}\right)$ and that $\xi_{1}^{+}$is the corresponding term in the sequence $\left(x_{n}^{+}\right)$. We have

$$
\begin{gather*}
s_{1}=\min \left\{s \in S \mid x \in\left\{f_{1}(s), f_{2}(s)\right\}\right\}  \tag{10}\\
\sigma_{1}=\min \left\{s \in S \backslash T \mid x \in\left\{f_{1}(s), f_{2}(s)\right\}\right\} . \tag{11}
\end{gather*}
$$

If $s_{1} \in S \backslash T$, then obviously $\sigma_{1}=s_{1}$ and $\xi_{1}=x_{1}$. Therefore we can assume that $s_{1} \in T$. Then we conclude from (1') and (2) that

$$
s_{1}<s_{1}^{*}<\sigma_{1} .
$$

By (3) and the definition of $s_{2}$, there are two possibilities:
Either $s_{2}=s_{1}^{*}$ or

$$
s_{1}<s_{2}<s_{2}^{*}<s_{1}^{*} .
$$

Iterating this argument, we see that there is a number $m$ such that the finite sequence $\left(s_{n}\right)$ begins with the terms

$$
s_{1}<s_{2}<\ldots<s_{m}<s_{m}^{*}<\ldots<s_{2}^{*}<s_{1}^{*}
$$

which all lie in $T$. From ( $1^{\prime}$ ), we conclude that the sequence $\left(x_{n}^{+}\right)$begins with the terms

$$
x_{0}^{+}, x_{1}^{+}, \ldots, x_{m-1}^{+}, x_{m}^{+}, x_{m-1}^{+}, \ldots, x_{1}^{+}, x_{0}^{+} .
$$

Since $s_{2 m}=s_{1}^{*}<\sigma_{1}$, we see that $n^{+}(x)>2 m$. If $s_{2 m+1} \in S \backslash T$, we have $\sigma_{1}=s_{2 m+1}$ and $\xi_{1}^{+}=x_{2 m+1}^{+}$. If $s_{2 m+1} \in T$, we can repete the above argument; we find that the sequence $\left(s_{n}\right)$ begins with terms of the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& s_{11}, \ldots, s_{1, m_{1}}, s_{1, m_{1}}^{*}, \ldots, s_{11}^{*} \\
& s_{21}, \ldots, s_{2, m_{2}}, s_{2, m_{2}}^{*}, \ldots, s_{21}^{*}, \ldots, \sigma_{1} \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

and that the sequence $\left(x_{n}^{+}\right)$begins with terms of the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& x, x_{11}, \ldots, x_{1, m_{1}-1}, x_{1, m_{1}}, x_{1, m_{1}-1}, \ldots, x_{11}, x \\
& \quad x_{21}, \ldots, x_{2, m_{2}-1}, x_{2, m_{2}}, x_{2, m_{2}-1}, \ldots, x_{21}, x, \ldots, \xi_{1}^{+} . \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

Furthermore, the part of the sequence (12) lying between $\sigma_{1}$ and $\sigma_{2}$ has the same form as the part preceding $\sigma_{1}$, and so on.
For later use, we state a fact which is clear from the proof of Lemma 3.2.1.
Lemma 3.2.2. Given $f \in \mathcal{S}$ and $x \in X$, denote by $x_{\infty}(f)$ the last element in the finite sequence $x_{0}^{+}, x_{1}^{+}, \ldots$. If $f \searrow g$, then $x_{\infty}(f)=x_{\infty}(g)$.

### 3.3 The admissible topology $\mathfrak{T}$ and the homomorphism $p$

Since $\mathcal{S}$ is an admissible subset of $\mathcal{T}(\Lambda)$ containing $\Lambda$, the group $\operatorname{BF}(\Lambda ; \mathcal{S})$ contains the free group $\mathcal{F}(\Lambda)$. In order to continue with the description of a generalized presentation of $\Sigma(X)$, we will now define an admissible topology $\mathfrak{T}$ on $\operatorname{BF}(\Lambda ; \mathcal{S})$ and a homomorphism $p: \operatorname{BF}(\Lambda ; \mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \Sigma(X)$.

On $\Sigma(X)$, there is a natural topology making $\Sigma(X)$ into a topological group. A basis for the neighborhoods of 1 consists of the subgroups

$$
U_{C}:=\{\sigma \in \Sigma(X) \mid c \cdot \sigma=c \forall c \in C\},
$$

where $C$ goes through the set of finite subsets of $X$.
Using the notation explained in Section 2.3, we have for each finite subset $C$ of $X$ the homomorphism

$$
\psi_{C}:=\left.\varphi_{\Lambda(C)}\right|_{\operatorname{BF}(\Lambda ; \mathcal{S})}: \mathrm{BF}(\Lambda ; \mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \mathrm{BF}(\Lambda(C))=\mathcal{F}(\Lambda(C)) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}(\Lambda)
$$

The kernels of these homomorphisms form a basis of neighborhoods of 1 for the natural topology on $\operatorname{BF}(\Lambda ; \mathcal{S})$. We define a finer topology $\mathfrak{T}$ by requiring that a basis of neighborhoods of 1 is given by the subgroups

$$
W_{C}:=\operatorname{ker} \psi_{C} \cap V_{C}
$$

where

$$
V_{C}:=\left\{[\gamma] \in \operatorname{BF}(\Lambda ; \mathcal{S}) \mid x_{\infty}(\gamma)=x \quad \forall x \in C\right\}
$$

Here $x_{\infty}(\gamma)$ is the element introduced in Lemma 3.2.1. It is easy to verify that $\mathfrak{T}$ is an admissible topology.

Lemma 3.3.1. If we endow $\operatorname{BF}(\Lambda, \mathcal{S})$ with the topology $\mathfrak{T}$, there is a unique continuous homomorphism $p: \operatorname{BF}(\Lambda, \mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \Sigma(X)$ with $p\left(T_{x, y}\right)=\tau_{x, y}$ for all $x, y \in X, x \neq y$.
For $F=[f] \in \operatorname{BF}(\Lambda, \mathcal{S})$ with $f \in \mathcal{S}$ and $x \in X$, the element $x \cdot p(F) \in X$ is given by

$$
x \cdot p(F)=x_{\infty}(f)
$$

Equivalently, we can describe $p$ as follows: There is a unique homomorphism $p: \mathcal{F}(\Lambda) \rightarrow \Sigma(X)$ sending $T_{x, y}$ to $\tau_{x, y}$. We have to extend $p$ to all of $\operatorname{BF}(\Lambda, \mathcal{S})$. Given $F, f$ and $x$ as above,consider the finite sequence $\left(x_{n}^{+}\right)$assigned to $x$ and $f$. Let $C$ be a finite subset of $X$ containing the elements $x_{n}^{+}$. Then $\psi_{C}(F) \in \mathcal{F}(\Lambda)$ is a finite word in $T_{y, z}$ with $y, z \in C$, and we have

$$
x \cdot p(F)=x \cdot p\left(\psi_{C}(F)\right) .
$$

Lemma 3.3.2. The homomorphism $p=p_{X}: \operatorname{BF}(\Lambda, \mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \Sigma(X)$ is surjective.
Proof. Let $\sigma \in \Sigma(X)$. Suppose that $X$ is the disjoint union of subsets $X_{\beta}$ such that $\sigma\left(X_{\beta}\right)=X_{\beta}$ for all $\beta$, and denote by $\sigma_{\beta} \in \Sigma\left(X_{\beta}\right)$ the restriction of $\sigma$ to $X_{\beta}$. If $\sigma_{\beta} \in \operatorname{im} p_{X_{\beta}}$ for all $\beta$, then, obviously, $\sigma \in \operatorname{im} p_{X}$.
Therefore, to show that $\sigma \in \operatorname{im} p_{X}$, it suffices to assume that the group generated by $\sigma$ acts transitively on $X$. Then we are either in the trivial situation that $X$ is finite, or we are in the situation of the Example in Section 3.1.

### 3.4 A generalized presentation of $\Sigma(X)$

Now we complete the description of the generalized presentation $(\Lambda, \mathcal{S}, \mathfrak{T}, R)$ of $\Sigma(X)$.
Let $R$ be the subset of $\operatorname{BF}(\Lambda ; \mathcal{S})$ consisting of the elements

- $T_{x, y}^{2}$,
- $\left[T_{x, y}, T_{z, w}\right]$ for $|\{x, y, z, w\}|=4$,
- $T_{x, y} T_{x, z} T_{x, y}^{-1} T_{y, z}^{-1}$ for $|\{x, y, z\}|=3$.

For finite $X,\langle\Lambda \mid R\rangle$ is a presentation of $\Sigma(X)$. Indeed, this presentation can be easily obtained from the classical one (see [5, Theorem 7.1 in Chapter 2]) with the help of Tietze transformations.
Let $N=N_{X}$ be the smallest closed (with respect to $\mathfrak{T}$ ) normal subgroup of $\operatorname{BF}(\Lambda ; \mathcal{S})$ containing $R$.

Lemma 3.4.1. $\operatorname{ker} p=N$.
Proof. Given $g \in \operatorname{ker} p$, we have to show that every neighborhood $g W_{B}$ of $g$, where $W_{B}=\operatorname{ker} \psi_{B} \cap V_{B}$, contains an element of the normal closure $\langle\langle R\rangle\rangle$ of $R$. It suffices to show that for each finite subset $B$ of $X$ there is an element $h \in\langle\langle R\rangle\rangle$ with $g^{-1} h \in \operatorname{ker} \psi_{B}$. Indeed, the inclusion $g^{-1} h \in V_{B}$ will follow trivially from $g \in \operatorname{ker} p$ and $h \in\langle\langle R\rangle\rangle \subseteq \operatorname{ker} p \subseteq V_{B}$.
Let $g=[\gamma]$ with $\gamma \in \mathcal{S}$. There is a finite subset $C$ of $X$ which contains, for every $x \in B$, all elements $x_{n}^{+}$, formed with respect to $\gamma$. Then $p \circ \psi_{C}(g)$ is a permutation of $X$ which is the identity on $X \backslash C$ and on $B$ since $g \in \operatorname{ker} p$. Hence there exists an element $a \in \mathcal{F}(\Lambda(C \backslash B))$ such that $p \circ \psi_{C}(g)=p(a)$, that is, $p \circ \psi_{C}\left(a^{-1} g\right)=1$. Since $C$ is finite, we conclude that

$$
h:=\psi_{C}\left(a^{-1} g\right) \in N_{C} \subseteq\langle\langle R\rangle,
$$

hence $\psi_{C}\left(h^{-1} a^{-1} g\right)=1$, and therefore

$$
\psi_{B}\left(h^{-1} g\right)=\psi_{B}\left(h^{-1} a^{-1} g\right)=1 .
$$

The last two lemmas show
Theorem 3.4.2. For any set $X$, the triple $(\Lambda, \mathcal{S}, \mathfrak{T}, R)$ is a generalized presentation of $\Sigma(X)$. In particular, if $X$ is infinite, the group $\Sigma(X)$ admits a generalized presentation of type $|X|$.

### 3.5 Another generalized presentation of $\Sigma(X)$

As already indicated in Section 3.1, there is a second generalized presentation of $\Sigma(X)$ with an admissible set $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}$ which is smaller than $\mathcal{S}$.
We continue to consider the admissible subset $\mathcal{S}$ of $\mathcal{T}(\Lambda)$ introduced in Section 3.2. Let $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}$ be the subset of $\mathcal{T}(\Lambda)$ consisting of all maps $f: S \rightarrow \Lambda$ which satisfy the following condition:
For each interval I of $S$, we have $f \mid I \in \mathcal{S}$.
Note that the second representation of the shift $\sigma$ on $\mathbb{Z}$ in Example 3.1 belongs to $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}$. Fortunately we have the following lemma and theorem.

Lemma 3.5.1. $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}$ is an admissible subset of $\mathcal{T}(\Lambda)$ and the relative topology $\mathfrak{T}^{\prime}$ on $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}$ defined by $\mathfrak{T}$ is an admissible topology.

Theorem 3.5.2. $\left(\Lambda, \mathcal{S}^{\prime}, \mathfrak{T}^{\prime}, R\right)$ is a generalized presentation of $\Sigma(X)$.

## 4 A generalized presentation of $\operatorname{Aut}\left(F_{\omega}\right)$

We consider a set $X$ and the free group $F(X)$ with basis $X$. We introduce the set $X^{ \pm}=X \cup X^{-1}$ with the free involution $x \mapsto x^{-1}$. With the notation introduced in Section 2.1, we have $F(X)=\mathcal{F}\left(X^{ \pm}\right)$. We will study the automorphism group Aut $F(X)$.
For $w \in F(X)$ and $\varphi \in \operatorname{End} F(X)$, we write $w \varphi$ for the element obtained from $w$ by applying $\varphi$. For $x, y \in X^{ \pm}$with $x \neq y^{ \pm 1}$, let $E_{x y} \in \operatorname{Aut}(F(X))$ be the automorphism which sends $x$ to $x y$ and keeps all elements of $X^{ \pm} \backslash\left\{x, x^{-1}\right\}$ fixed. We will show that, for a countably infinite set $X$, the group $\operatorname{Aut}(F(X))$ is, in our generalized sense, generated by the $E_{x y}$ and that the usual relations are defining generalized relations for $\operatorname{Aut}(F(X))$. In particular, we will find a generalized presentation $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{S}, \mathfrak{T}, R)$ for $\operatorname{Aut}(F(X))$ of type $\aleph_{0}$ if $X$ is a countably infinite set.
For the first steps of our argument, $X$ is allowed to be an arbitrary set.

### 4.1 The admissible set $\mathcal{S}$

Let $\mathcal{E}$ be the set of all elementary Nielsen automorphisms $E_{x y}$ of $X$, where $x, y \in$ $X^{ \pm}$and $y \neq x^{ \pm 1}$. This set will play the role of the set called $\Lambda$ in the previous two sections. In the spirit of these sections, it would be more consequent to consider instead of the automorphism $E_{x y}$ the abstract pair $(x, y)$; we think that it is more suggestive to use $E_{x y}$. Of course, we have to consider certain infinite products of the $E_{x y}$.

Example 4.1.1. Let $X=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots\right\}$ be a countably infinite set.

1) The infinite product $E_{x_{1} x_{2}} E_{x_{3} x_{4}} E_{x_{5} x_{6}} \ldots$ can be interpreted as the automorphism of $F(X)$ which fixes $x_{i}$ for even $i$ and sends $x_{i}$ to $x_{i} x_{i+1}$ for odd $i$.
2) The infinite product $\ldots E_{x_{4} x_{3}} E_{x_{3} x_{2}} E_{x_{2} x_{1}}$ determines an automorphism of $F(X)$ which sends $x_{i}$ to $x_{i} \ldots x_{2} x_{1}$.
3) The infinite word $E_{x_{1} x_{2}} E_{x_{1} x_{3}} E_{x_{1} x_{4}} \ldots$ does not determine an endomorphism of $F(X)$ since it would send $x_{1}$ to the infinite word $x_{1}\left(\ldots x_{4} x_{3} x_{2}\right)$.

Given a totally ordered set $S$ and a map $f: S \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$, we obtain two maps $f_{1}, f_{2}: S \rightarrow X^{ \pm}$by

$$
f(s)=E_{f_{1}(s) f_{2}(s)} .
$$

The last example suggests that for a map $(f: S \rightarrow \mathcal{E}) \in \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{E})$ to be admissible we should at least require that $f$ belongs to

$$
\mathcal{S}_{0}:=\left\{f \in \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{E}) \mid f_{1}^{-1}(x) \text { is finite for each } x \in X^{ \pm}\right\} .
$$

Example 4.1.2. The infinite word $E_{x_{1} x_{2}} E_{x_{2} x_{3}} E_{x_{3} x_{4}} \ldots$ is defined by an element of $\mathcal{S}_{0}$ but still does not determine an endomorphism of $F(X)$ since $x_{1}$ would be sent to the infinite word $x_{1} x_{2} x_{3} \ldots$..

This example suggests that we should also require that $f$ belongs to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{S}_{1}:=\{(f: S \rightarrow \mathcal{E}) \in \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{E}) \mid \text { there is no injective and order preserving map } \\
&\left.\varphi: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow S \text { with } f_{1}(\varphi(n+1))=\left(f_{2}(\varphi(n))\right)^{ \pm 1}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Example 4.1.3. The infinite word $\ldots E_{x_{3} x_{4}} E_{x_{2} x_{3}} E_{x_{1} x_{2}}$ is defined by an element of $\mathcal{S}_{0} \cap \mathcal{S}_{1}$ and it determines the endomorphism $\alpha$ of $F(X)$ which sends $x_{i}$ to $x_{i} x_{i+1}$ for all $i$. However, $\alpha$ is not invertible since its image consists of words of even length.

So we are finally led to the definition

$$
\mathcal{S}:=\left\{f \in \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{E}) \mid f \in \mathcal{S}_{0} \text { and } f, \bar{f} \in \mathcal{S}_{1}\right\} .
$$

Recall how $\bar{f}$ was defined in Section 2.2: The condition $\bar{f} \in \mathcal{S}_{1}$ means that there is no injective and order preserving map $\psi:(-\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow S$ with $f_{1}(\psi(n-1))=$ $\left(f_{2}(\psi(n))\right)^{ \pm 1}$ for all $n \in(-\mathbb{N})$.
The set $\mathcal{S}$ will be the (obviously admissible) set used in our generalized presentation of Aut $F(X)$.

### 4.2 A bigger admissible set

As a technical device, we have to introduce another admissible subset $\tilde{\mathcal{S}} \subseteq \mathcal{S}_{0}$ which is very similar to the one used for $\Sigma(X)$.
Let us fix an element $f \in \mathcal{S}_{0}$. We want to define what it means that $f$ belongs to $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$. Let us also fix for the moment an element $x \in X^{ \pm}$. We define inductively two sequences

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{0}, & A_{1}, A_{2}, \tag{14}
\end{align*} \quad \ldots
$$

The sequence (14) consists of subsets of $X^{ \pm}$, the sequence (15) consists of elements of $S$. These sequences may be finite or infinite. The sequence (14) will be finite iff (15) is finite, and if this is the case, both will end with the term with the same index, say $n^{+}=n^{+}(f, x)$. We allow $n^{+}=0$; this is to mean that (14) is the 1-term sequence $A_{0}$ and (15) is the empty sequence. If (14) and (15) are infinite sequences, let us put $n^{+}(f, x):=\infty$.
Now we come to the actual definition of the two sequences:

$$
A_{0}:=\left\{x, x^{-1}\right\} .
$$

If $f_{1}^{-1}\left(A_{0}\right)=\emptyset$, let $n^{+}(f, x)=0$. Otherwise, let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{1}:=\min f_{1}^{-1}\left(A_{0}\right), \\
& A_{1}:=A_{0} \cup\left\{f_{2}\left(s_{1}\right), f_{2}\left(s_{1}\right)^{-1}\right\}, \\
& s_{2}:=\min \left\{s \in S \mid s>s_{1} \text { and } f_{1}(s) \in A_{1}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

if the latter set is non-empty; otherwise put $n^{+}=1$. Observe that the minimum exists since $f \in \mathcal{S}_{0}$. Put

$$
A_{2}:=A_{1} \cup\left\{f_{2}\left(s_{2}\right), f_{2}\left(s_{2}\right)^{-1}\right\}
$$

and so on. Obviously,

$$
\tilde{\mathcal{S}}:=\left\{f \in \mathcal{S}_{0} \mid n^{+}(f, x)<\infty \text { and } n^{+}(\bar{f}, x)<\infty \text { for all } x \in X^{ \pm}\right\}
$$

is an admissible subset of $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{E})$.
Lemma 4.2.1. $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{S}}$.
Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{S}$ and $x \in X^{ \pm}$. It suffices to show that $n^{+}(f, x)<\infty$. Consider the corresponding sequence $\left(s_{k}\right)$ in $S$ and the sequence $\left(A_{k}\right)$ of finite subsets of $X^{ \pm}$. Let $V_{k}:=A_{k} / \sim$ where the equivalence relation $\sim$ is given by $a \sim a^{ \pm 1}$. Then we have $V_{0} \subseteq V_{1} \subseteq V_{2} \subseteq \ldots$, where the set $V_{0}$ consists of one element, say $v_{0}$. Let $V:=V_{0} \cup V_{1} \cup \ldots$ It suffices to show that $V$ is a finite set.

Let $K$ be the set of all natural numbers $k$ for which $V_{k}$ is bigger than $V_{k-1}$. For $k \in K$, denote by $v_{k}$ the element of $V_{k} \backslash V_{k-1}$. Then $V=\left\{v_{k} \mid k \in K \cup\{0\}\right\}$.
We define a graph $\Gamma$ as follows: Let $V$ be the set of vertices of $\Gamma$. For each $k \in K$, we introduce an edge $e_{k}$ beginning in the class of $f_{1}\left(s_{k}\right)$ and ending in the class of $f_{2}\left(s_{k}\right)$. Therefore $e_{k}$ begins in $V_{k-1}$ and ends in $v_{k}$. Since $f \in \mathcal{S}_{0}$, there can start only finitely many edges in each vertex. Therefore $\Gamma$ is a tree to which we can apply König's lemma if $\Gamma$ is infinite. This leads to an immediate contradiction to the condition $f \in \mathcal{S}_{1}$.

### 4.3 The homomorphism $\Psi: \operatorname{BF}(\mathcal{E} ; \mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(F(X))$

Suppose we are given a map $f: S \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ belonging to the admissible set $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$. Let us write

$$
f(s)=E_{x_{s} y_{s}} .
$$

It should be intuitively clear that we obtain an endomorphism $\alpha_{f}$ of $F(X)$ by

$$
\alpha_{f}:=\prod_{s \in S} E_{x_{s} y_{s}}
$$

The objective of the present subsection is to make this definition precise, to show that $\alpha_{f}$ is an automorphism and that by assigning $\alpha_{f}$ to $f$ we obtain a homomorphism $\Psi$ from $\operatorname{BF}(\mathcal{E} ; \tilde{\mathcal{S}})$ and hence from $\operatorname{BF}(\mathcal{E} ; \mathcal{S})$ to $\operatorname{Aut}(F(X))$.
Fix $f \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}$ as above. For any finite subset $C:=\left\{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}\right\}$ of $S$ with $s_{1}<\ldots<$ $s_{n}$, we obtain the automorphism

$$
E_{C}:=E_{x_{s_{1}} y_{s_{1}}} \ldots E_{x_{s_{n}} y_{s_{n}}} .
$$

For $x \in X^{ \pm}$, we have defined in Section 4.2 the finite subset

$$
\left\{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n^{+}}\right\}=: C(f, x)
$$

with $n^{+}=n^{+}(f, x)$. Observe that $C(f, x)=C\left(f, x^{-1}\right)$. Hence we obtain an endomorphism $\alpha_{f}$ of $F(X)$ by

$$
x \alpha_{f}:=x E_{C(f, x)} \text { for } x \in X^{ \pm}
$$

Lemma 4.3.1. Let $f, g \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}$ with $f \searrow g$. Then $\alpha_{f}=\alpha_{g}$.
Proof. There is a subset $T$ of $S$ with $g=f \mid S \backslash T$ and there is an involution * on $T$ satisfying (1), (2), (3). We can write the ordered set $C(f, x)$ in the form

$$
C(f, x)=T_{1} S_{1} \ldots T_{r} S_{r}
$$

with subsets $T_{i}$ of $T$ and subsets $S_{i}$ of $S \backslash T$; of these subsets, only $T_{1}$ and $S_{r}$ are allowed to be possibly empty. Then we have

$$
C(g, x)=S_{1}^{\prime} \ldots S_{r}^{\prime}
$$

where $S_{i}^{\prime}$ is a possibly empty subset of $S_{i}$. As in the proof of Lemma 3.2.1, we see that each $T_{i}$ is a concatenation of subsets of the form

$$
\sigma_{1}<\sigma_{2}<\ldots<\sigma_{m}<\sigma_{m}^{*}<\ldots<\sigma_{2}^{*}<\sigma_{1}^{*}
$$

Therefore $E_{T_{i}}=\mathrm{id}$, and it is clear that the elements of $S_{i}$ which are not in $S_{i}^{\prime}$ do not affect $x$. Hence we have

$$
x E_{C(f, x)}=x E_{C(g, x)} .
$$

Observe that for any finite subset $C^{\prime}$ of $S$ containing $C(f, x)$, we have

$$
x \alpha_{f}=x E_{C^{\prime}} .
$$

Hence, for each $w \in F(X)$ and each finite subset $C^{\prime}$ of $S$ containing the sets $C(f, x)$ for all the letters $x$ of the word $w$, we have

$$
w \alpha_{f}=w E_{C^{\prime}} .
$$

It is not difficult to conclude:
Lemma 4.3.2. For $f, f^{\prime} \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}$, we have $\alpha_{f f^{\prime}}=\alpha_{f} \alpha_{f^{\prime}}$.
As an immediate consequence of Lemmas 4.3.1 and 4.3.2, we get:
Proposition 4.3.3. For each $f \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}$, the endomorphism $\alpha_{f}$ is an automorphism. By $\Psi[f]:=\alpha_{f}$ we obtain a homomorphism $\Psi$ from $\operatorname{BF}(\mathcal{E} ; \tilde{\mathcal{S}})$ to $\operatorname{Aut}(F(X))$.

### 4.4 Refined Nielsen's method

Here we introduce a complexity of a word and prove Theorem 4.4.2 which is a refinement of the classical Nielsen method for simplifying tuples of elements in free groups (see [25, Chapter 1, Proposition 2.2]). Condition (1) of this theorem is contained (in a similar form) in Nielsen's method, while Condition (2) is new. Both conditions are present in Corollary 4.4.3, which will be used later in the proof of Theorem 4.5.3.
First we give some definitions. Let $F$ be a free group with a basis $X$. We identify the elements of $F$ with reduced words in the alphabet $X^{ \pm}$. For any element $w \in F$, we denote by $|w|$ the length of $w$ with respect to $X$.
We define four types of transformations on an arbitrary countable tuple of elements $U=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots\right)$ of $F$.
( $\mathrm{I}_{i}$ ) replace $u_{i}$ by $u_{i}^{-1}$ (inversion);
$\left(\mathrm{R}_{i j}\right)$ replace $u_{i}$ by $u_{i} u_{j}$ where $i \neq j$ (right multiplication);
( $\mathrm{L}_{i j}$ ) replace $u_{i}$ by $u_{j} u_{i}$ where $i \neq j$ (left multiplication);
( $\mathrm{D}_{i}$ ) delete $u_{i}$ if $u_{i}=1$ (deletion).
In all cases it is understood that the $u_{k}$ for $k \neq i$ remain unchanged. These transformations are called elementary Nielsen transformations.
A tuple $U=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots\right)$ of elements of $F$ is called Nielsen reduced if for any three elements $v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}$ of the form $u_{i}^{ \pm 1}$, where $u_{i} \in U$, the following conditions hold:
(N1) $v_{1} \neq 1$;
(N2) if $v_{1} v_{2} \neq 1$, then $\left|v_{1} v_{2}\right| \geqslant\left|v_{1}\right|,\left|v_{2}\right|$;
(N3) if $v_{1} v_{2} \neq 1$ and $v_{2} v_{3} \neq 1$, then $\left|v_{1} v_{2} v_{3}\right|>\left|v_{1}\right|-\left|v_{2}\right|+\left|v_{3}\right|$.
Condition (N2) means that in the product $v_{1} v_{2}$ not more than half of each factor cancels. Condition (N3) means that in the product $v_{1} v_{2} v_{3}$ at least one letter of $v_{2}$ remains uncanceled.
Now we will introduce some notations. Suppose that the set $X \cup X^{-1}$ is totally ordered. This order induces the graded lexicographical order $\preccurlyeq$ on the set of all reduced words in the alphabet $X^{ \pm}$by the following rule.
Let $u$ and $v$ be two reduced words in the alphabet $X^{ \pm}$. Denote by $w$ their maximal common initial segment. We write $u \preccurlyeq v$ if either $|u|<|v|$ or $|u|=|v|$ and the letter of $u$ following $w$ (if exists) occurs earlier in the ordering than the letter of $v$ following $w$.
We write $u \prec v$ if $u \preccurlyeq v$ and $u \neq v$. Note that $u \prec v$ implies that $u w \prec v w$ for any $w \in F$, provided that the words $u w$ and $v w$ are reduced. For any $w \in F$, let $\phi(w)$ denote the cardinality of the set $\{z \mid z \preccurlyeq w\}$.
From now on and to the end of this subsection let $X$ be a finite set. Then $u \prec v \Longleftrightarrow \phi(u)<\phi(v)$ and $\phi(u)<\phi(v) \Longleftrightarrow \phi(u w)<\phi(v w)$ provided the words $u w$ and $v w$ are reduced.
Let $v \in F$ be a reduced word. By $L(v)$ we denote the initial segment of $v$ of length $\lfloor(|v|+1) / 2\rfloor$. The weight $W(v)$ of the word $v$ is defined to be $W(v)=$ $\phi(L(v))+\phi\left(L\left(v^{-1}\right)\right)$. Obviously, $W(v)=W\left(v^{-1}\right)$ and there exists only a finite number of words with weight not exceeding a given natural number. Note also, that $W(u)=W(v)$ do not imply $u=v$.
The complexity of $v$ is defined to be the pair of nonnegative integer numbers $(|v|, W(v))$ denoted $\operatorname{Compl}(v)$. We will write $\operatorname{Compl}(v)<_{\text {lex }} \operatorname{Compl}(u)$ if either $|v|<|u|$ or $|v|=|u|$ and $W(v)<W(u)$. Obviously, $\operatorname{Compl}(u)=\operatorname{Compl}\left(u^{-1}\right)$. Note that $\operatorname{Compl}(v)=\operatorname{Compl}(u)$ does not imply $v=u^{ \pm 1}$ in general.

Lemma 4.4.1. Let $u, v$ be two reduced words in $F$, such that $u v \neq 1$ and $|u v|<$ $|u|=|v|$. Then $W(u) \neq W(v)$.

Proof. The condition $|u v|<|u|=|v|$ implies, that $L\left(u^{-1}\right)=L(v)$. Suppose $W(u)=W(v)$. Then $\phi(L(u))+\phi\left(L\left(u^{-1}\right)\right)=\phi(L(v))+\phi\left(L\left(v^{-1}\right)\right)$ and hence $L(u)=L\left(v^{-1}\right)$. The conditions $L\left(u^{-1}\right)=L(v)$ and $L(u)=L\left(v^{-1}\right)$ imply $u v=1$, a contradiction.

Theorem 4.4.2. Let $F$ be a free group of finite rank. Let $U=\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{m}\right)$ be a finite tuple of elements of $F$ with $\operatorname{rank}\langle U\rangle=m$, which is not Nielsen reduced. Then there exists a Nielsen transformation $\mathcal{N}$ of the form $\left(\mathrm{R}_{i j}\right)^{ \pm 1}$ or $\left(\mathrm{L}_{i j}\right)^{ \pm 1}$, which carries $U$ to another tuple $U^{\prime}=\left(u_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, u_{m}^{\prime}\right)$, such that the following holds:
(1) $\operatorname{Compl}\left(u_{i}^{\prime}\right)<_{\text {lex }} \operatorname{Compl}\left(u_{i}\right)$ and $u_{k}^{\prime}=u_{k}$ for all $k \in\{1, \ldots, m\} \backslash\{i\}$,
(2) $\operatorname{Compl}\left(u_{j}\right)<_{l e x} \operatorname{Compl}\left(u_{i}\right)$.

Proof. Because of the assumption on the rank, the condition (N1) is satisfied. We will use the following easy observation: it is sufficient to prove the theorem for a tuple $\left(u_{1}^{\epsilon_{1}}, \ldots, u_{m}^{\epsilon_{m}}\right)$ with some choice of $\epsilon_{1}, \ldots, \epsilon_{m} \in\{1,-1\}$.
a) Suppose that the condition (N2) is not satisfied. Then there are $v_{i}, v_{j}$ of the form $u_{i}^{ \pm 1}$, where $u_{i} \in U$, and such that $v_{1} v_{2} \neq 1$ and additionally $\left|v_{1} v_{2}\right|<\left|v_{1}\right|$ or $\left|v_{1} v_{2}\right|<\left|v_{2}\right|$.
Using $(*)$, we may assume that $v_{1}, v_{2} \in U$, say $v_{1}=u_{i}$ and $v_{2}=u_{j}$. Without loss of generality, we may also assume that $\left|u_{i} u_{j}\right|<\left|u_{i}\right|$. Indeed, if $\left|u_{i} u_{j}\right|<\left|u_{j}\right|$, then $\left|u_{j}^{-1} u_{i}^{-1}\right|<\left|u_{j}^{-1}\right|$ and using $(*)$ again, we can reduce to the previous situation.
Consider what happens if we apply $\left(\mathrm{R}_{i j}\right)$ or $\left(\mathrm{L}_{j i}\right)$ to the relevant part of $U$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(u_{i}, u_{j}\right) \xrightarrow{\left(\mathrm{R}_{i j}\right)}\left(u_{i} u_{j}, u_{j}\right) \\
& \left(u_{i}, u_{j}\right) \xrightarrow{\left(\mathrm{L}_{j i}\right)}\left(u_{i}, u_{i} u_{j}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\left|u_{j}\right|<\left|u_{i}\right|$, we choose $\mathcal{N}=\left(\mathrm{R}_{i j}\right)$. Then Condition (2) will be satisfied automatically and Condition (1) will be satisfied by our assumption $\left|u_{i} u_{j}\right|<\left|u_{i}\right|$.
If $\left|u_{i}\right|<\left|u_{j}\right|$, we choose $\mathcal{N}=\left(\mathrm{L}_{j i}\right)$. Then Condition (2) will be satisfied automatically and Condition (1) will be satisfied, since $\left|u_{i} u_{j}\right|<\left|u_{i}\right|<\left|u_{j}\right|$.
If $\left|u_{j}\right|=\left|u_{i}\right|$, then both $\left(\mathrm{R}_{i j}\right)$ and ( $\left.\mathrm{L}_{j i}\right)$ satisfy Condition (1). By Lemma 4.4.1, we can choose one of them as $\mathcal{N}$ so that Condition (2) will be satisfied.
b) Suppose that Condition (N2) is satisfied, but Condition (N3) is not. Then there are $v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}$ of the form $u_{i}^{ \pm 1}$, where $u_{i} \in U$, such that $v_{1} v_{2} \neq 1, v_{2} v_{3} \neq 1$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|v_{1} v_{2} v_{3}\right| \leqslant\left|v_{1}\right|-\left|v_{2}\right|+\left|v_{3}\right| . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $v_{1}=a p^{-1}$ and $v_{2}=p b$, where $p$ is the maximal initial segment of $v_{2}$ canceling in the product $v_{1} v_{2}$. Similarly, we write $v_{2}=c q^{-1}$ and $v_{3}=q d$, where $q^{-1}$ is the maximal terminal segment of $v_{2}$ cancelling in the product $v_{2} v_{3}$. By Condition (N2), we have $|p|,|q| \leqslant\left|v_{2}\right| / 2$. Then $v_{2}=p r q^{-1}$ for some $r$. Assuming that $r \neq 1$ we would have

$$
\left|v_{1} v_{2} v_{3}\right|=\left|v_{1}\right|-\left|v_{2}\right|+\left|v_{3}\right|+2|r|,
$$

a contradiction to (16). Therefore, $r=1, v_{2}=p q^{-1}$ and $|p|=|q|=\left|v_{2}\right| / 2$. Since $v_{2} \neq 1$, we obtain $p \neq q$.

Case 1. Suppose that $\phi(p)<\phi(q)$. Using $(*)$, we may assume that $v_{2}, v_{3} \in U$, say $v_{2}=u_{i}, v_{3}=u_{j}$. As above, we will look, what happens if we apply $\left(\mathrm{R}_{i j}\right)$ or $\left(\mathrm{L}_{j i}\right)$ to the relevant part of $U$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(u_{i}, u_{j}\right)=\left(p q^{-1}, q d\right) \xrightarrow{\left(\mathrm{R}_{i j}\right)}(p d, q d)=\left(u_{i} u_{j}, u_{j}\right) \\
& \left(u_{i}, u_{j}\right)=\left(p q^{-1}, q d\right) \xrightarrow{\left(\mathrm{L}_{j i}\right)}\left(p q^{-1}, p d\right)=\left(u_{i}, u_{i} u_{j}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $\left|u_{j}\right| \geqslant\left|u_{i}\right|$, since $\left|u_{j}\right|=\left|u_{i} u_{j}\right| \geqslant\left|u_{i}\right|$, where the last inequality follows from Condition (N2). Thus, we consider two subcases.

Case 1.1. Suppose $\left|u_{i}\right|<\left|u_{j}\right|$. In this case we choose $\mathcal{N}=\left(\mathrm{L}_{j i}\right)$. Then Condition (2) is trivially satisfied. Condition (1) is also satisfied, since $\left|u_{i} u_{j}\right|=\left|u_{j}\right|$ and $W\left(u_{i} u_{j}\right)<W\left(u_{j}\right)$ because of $\phi(p)<\phi(q)$.
Case 1.2. Suppose $\left|u_{j}\right|=\left|u_{i}\right|$. Then $|d|=|p|=|q|$ and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|u_{i} u_{j}\right|=\left|u_{i}\right|=\left|u_{j}\right| . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

First we show that $W\left(u_{i}\right) \neq W\left(u_{j}\right)$. Assume the contrary. Then from

$$
\begin{aligned}
W\left(u_{i}\right) & =\phi(p)+\phi(q) \\
W\left(u_{j}\right) & =\phi(q)+\phi\left(d^{-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

follows that $\phi(p)=\phi\left(d^{-1}\right)$. This implies that $p=d^{-1}$, and hence $v_{2} v_{3}=1$, a contradiction. Thus, it remains to consider two subcases.

Case 1.2.1. Suppose that $W\left(u_{j}\right)<W\left(u_{i}\right)$. In this case we choose $\mathcal{N}=\left(\mathrm{R}_{i j}\right)$. Then Condition (2) is trivially satisfied. Now we show, that Condition (1) is satisfied. In view of (17), we shall prove that $W\left(u_{i} u_{j}\right)<W\left(u_{i}\right)$.
Since $W\left(u_{j}\right)<W\left(u_{i}\right)$, we have $\phi(q)+\phi\left(d^{-1}\right)<\phi(p)+\phi(q)$ and hence $\phi\left(d^{-1}\right)<$ $\phi(p)$. Recall, that we consider Case 1 where $\phi(p)<\phi(q)$. Then

$$
W\left(u_{i} u_{j}\right)=W(p d)=\phi(p)+\phi\left(d^{-1}\right)<\phi(p)+\phi(q)=W\left(u_{i}\right)
$$

and we are done.
Case 1.2.2. Suppose that $W\left(u_{i}\right)<W\left(u_{j}\right)$. In this case we choose $\mathcal{N}=\left(\mathrm{L}_{j i}\right)$. Then Condition (2) is trivially satisfied. Now we show, that Condition (1) is satisfied. In view of (17), we shall prove, that $W\left(u_{i} u_{j}\right)<W\left(u_{j}\right)$. The later is valid:

$$
W\left(u_{i} u_{j}\right)=W(p d)=\phi(p)+\phi\left(d^{-1}\right)<\phi(q)+\phi\left(d^{-1}\right)=W\left(u_{j}\right) .
$$

Case 2. Suppose that $\phi(p)>\phi(q)$. Then we consider the pair $\left(v_{2}^{-1}, v_{1}^{-1}\right)$ instead of the pair $v_{2}, v_{3}$ and reduce to Case 1.

Corollary 4.4.3. Let $F$ be a free group of finite rank with a basis $X$. Every finite tuple $U=\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{m}\right)$ of elements of $F$ with $\operatorname{rank}\langle U\rangle=m$ can be carried to a Nielsen reduced tuple $V=\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{m}\right)$ by a finite number of Nielsen's right and left multiplications, so that at each step Conditions (1) and (2) of Theorem 4.4.2 are satisfied.
If $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}, x_{r+1}$ is a part of the basis $X$, such that $U$ contains $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}$, up to inversions, on some places $i_{1}, \ldots, i_{r}$ and $x_{r+1} \in\langle U\rangle$, then the Nielsen transformations do not change the elements on places $i_{1}, \ldots, i_{r}$ of the involved tuples and the last tuple $V$ will additionally contain $x_{r+1}$ up to inversion.

Proof. For every tuple $W=\left(w_{1}, \ldots, w_{m}\right)$ we define its complexity by the rule $\operatorname{Compl}(U)=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \operatorname{Compl}\left(w_{i}\right)$, where we summate the complexities as 2-dimensional vectors. By Theorem 4.4.2, if the tuple $W$ is not Nielsen reduced, we can decrease its complexity by applying an appropriate Nielsen transformation. Since the complexity cannot infinitely decrease, if we start from $U$, after a finite number of steps described in Theorem 4.4.2 we get a Nielsen reduced tuple. The elements on places $i_{1}, \ldots, i_{r}$ will not changed because of Conditions (1), (2). The last claim follows from the fact, that $V$ is Nielsen reduced and from $x_{r+1} \in$ $\langle U\rangle=\langle V\rangle$ (see [25, Corollary 2.4 in Chapter I] with $w=x_{r+1}$ ).

Lemma 4.4.4. Let $F$ be a free group of finite rank, and let $u, v \in F$. Suppose that for some $\epsilon \in\{-1,1\}$ the following holds:
(1) $|u|=|v|$,
(2) $\operatorname{Compl}(u v)<_{l e x} \operatorname{Compl}(u)$,
(3) $\operatorname{Compl}(v)<_{l e x} \operatorname{Compl}(u)$.

Then $L(v)=L\left(u^{-1}\right)$ and $L\left(v^{-1}\right) \prec L(u)$.
Proof. From Condition (2) we have $|u v| \leqslant|u|$. Together with $|u|=|v|$ this implies that $L(v)=L\left(u^{-1}\right)$. From Conditions (3) and $|u|=|v|$ we have $W(v)<W(u)$, i.e. $\varphi(L(v))+\varphi\left(L\left(v^{-1}\right)\right)<\varphi(L(u))+\varphi\left(L\left(u^{-1}\right)\right)$. Hence $\varphi\left(L\left(v^{-1}\right)\right)<\varphi(L(u))$ and so $L\left(v^{-1}\right) \prec L(u)$.
Using the fact that $\operatorname{Compl}(w)=\operatorname{Compl}\left(w^{-1}\right)$ one can easily deduce the following lemma from the previous one.

Lemma 4.4.5. Let $F$ be a free group of finite rank, and let $u, v \in F$. Suppose that for some $\epsilon, \tau \in\{-1,1\}$ the following holds:
(1) $|u|=|v|$,
(2) $\operatorname{Compl}\left(u^{\epsilon} v^{\tau}\right)<_{\text {lex }} \operatorname{Compl}(u)$,
(3) $\operatorname{Compl}(v)<_{l e x} \operatorname{Compl}(u)$.

Then $L\left(v^{\tau}\right)=L\left(u^{-\epsilon}\right)$ and $L\left(v^{-\tau}\right) \prec L\left(u^{\epsilon}\right)$.

Notation 4.4.6. It is convenient to unify four different notations into one: For $\epsilon, \tau \in\{-1,1\}$ and $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ with $i \neq j$ we define

$$
T_{i \epsilon j \tau}= \begin{cases}R_{i j}, & \text { if } \epsilon=\tau=1 \\ R_{i j}^{-1}, & \text { if } \epsilon=1, \tau=-1 \\ L_{i j}, & \text { if } \epsilon=-1, \tau=-1 \\ L_{i j}^{-1}, & \text { if } \epsilon=-1, \tau=1\end{cases}
$$

### 4.5 The first step towards the surjectivity of $\Psi: \operatorname{BF}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}\left(F_{\omega}\right)$

An automorphism of $F(X)$ is called monomial, if it maps $X^{ \pm}$onto itself. Let $\mathcal{M}(X)$ be the group of monomial automorphisms of $F(X)$. For short, we denote by $F_{n}$ the free group with the finite basis $X_{n}=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$ and by $F_{\omega}$ the free group with the infinite countable basis $X_{\omega}=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots\right\}$.
In this section we prove Theorem 4.5 .3 which states that, up to a monomial automorphism, every automorphism of $F_{\omega}$ lies in $\operatorname{im} \Psi$. In the next section we show that every monomial automorphism of $F_{\omega}$ lies in $\operatorname{im} \Psi$. With that the surjectivity of $\Psi: \mathrm{BF}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}\left(F_{\omega}\right)$ will be proven.
Orders. We will use the following order on $X_{n}^{ \pm}: x_{1}^{-1} \prec x_{1} \prec \cdots \prec x_{n}^{-1} \prec x_{n}$. The union of these orders for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ gives the order on $X_{\omega}^{ \pm}$. The corresponding graded lexicographical orders on $F_{n}$ and $F_{\omega}$ are denoted by $\preccurlyeq_{n}$ and $\preccurlyeq_{\omega}$. The complexity of a word $v \in F_{n}$ in the group $F_{m}$ with $m \geqslant n$ is denoted by $\operatorname{Compl}_{m}(v)$. We stress that the complexity of the same word in different groups may be different.

Remark 4.5.1. 1) If $u \prec_{n} v$ for some $u, v \in F_{n}$, then $u \prec_{m} v$ for every $m>n$ and, moreover, $u \prec_{\omega} v$.
2) For any $w \in F_{n}$, the set $\left\{z \in F_{n} \mid z \preccurlyeq_{n} w\right\}$ is finite. If $|w| \geqslant 2$ and the first letter of $w$ differs from $x^{-1}$, then the set $\left\{z \in F_{\omega} \mid z \preccurlyeq \omega w\right\}$ is infinite.
3) Every decreasing chain $\cdots \prec_{\omega} z_{3} \prec_{\omega} z_{2} \prec_{\omega} z_{1}$ in $F_{\omega}$, where all $z_{i}$ have the same length, is finite.

In the proof of Theorem 4.5.3 we will indirectly use the following density lemma (see [25, Proposition 4.1 in Chapter I]).

Lemma 4.5.2. For every $\alpha \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(F_{\omega}\right)$ and for every $n$, there exists $m \geqslant n$ and an automorphism $\beta \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(F_{m}\right)$, such that $\left.\alpha\right|_{X_{n}}=\left.\beta\right|_{X_{n}}$.

Consider the set $\mathcal{E}=\left\{E_{x y} \mid x, y \in X^{ \pm}, y \neq x, x^{-1}\right\}$ of elementary automorphisms of $F_{\omega}$. Recall that with every map $f: S \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ we associate two maps $f_{1}: S \rightarrow X^{ \pm}$ and $f_{2}: S \rightarrow X^{ \pm}$by the following rule: if $s \in S$ and $f(s)=E_{x y}$, we set $f_{1}(s)=x$ and $f_{2}(s)=y$.

Theorem 4.5.3. For every automorphism $\alpha$ of $F_{\omega}$ there exists a monomial automorphism $\sigma \in \mathcal{M}\left(X_{\omega}\right)$, such that $\alpha$ can be written in the form $\sigma \alpha_{f}$ for some $f:(-\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ with the following properties.
(i) For every $x \in X^{ \pm}$the set $\left\{n \in(-\mathbb{N}) \mid f(n)=E_{x y}\right.$ for some $\left.y \in X^{ \pm}\right\}$is finite.
(ii) There is no injective and order preserving map $\psi:(-\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow(-\mathbb{N})$ with conditions $f_{1}(\psi(n-1))=\left(f_{2}(\psi(n))\right)^{ \pm 1}$ for all $n \in(-\mathbb{N})$.

Proof. Below in part a) we define some auxiliary elementary Nielsen transformations, which will be used in part b) for construction the function $f$ which satisfy this theorem. Denote $w_{i}^{(0)}=x_{i} \alpha^{-1}$ and consider the infinite tuple $W^{(0)}=$ $\left(w_{1}^{(0)}, w_{2}^{(0)}, \ldots\right)$.
a) We will inductively define Nielsen transformations $\mathcal{N}_{t}$ and tuples $W^{(t)}=$ $\left(w_{1}^{(t)}, w_{2}^{(t)}, \ldots\right), t \geqslant 1$, such that they satisfy the following conditions:

1) $\mathcal{N}_{t}$ is a right or a left multiplication,
2) $W^{(t)}=\left(\mathcal{N}_{t} \circ \cdots \circ \mathcal{N}_{1}\right) W^{(0)}$,
3) if $\mathcal{N}_{t+1}$, where $t \geqslant 0$, equals to $R_{i j}^{ \pm 1}$ or $L_{i j}^{ \pm 1}$, then
a) $w_{k}^{(t+1)}=w_{k}^{(t)}$ for every $k \neq i$,
b) There exists a natural number $n(t)$, such that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\operatorname{Compl}_{n(t)}\left(w_{i}^{(t+1)}\right) & <_{\text {lex }} \operatorname{Compl}_{n(t)}\left(w_{i}^{(t)}\right) \\
\operatorname{Compl}_{n(t)}\left(w_{j}^{(t)}\right) & <_{\text {lex }} \operatorname{Compl}_{n(t)}\left(w_{i}^{(t)}\right)
\end{array}
$$

4) $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} W^{(t)}=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots\right) \sigma^{-1}$ for some $\sigma \in \mathcal{M}\left(X_{\omega}\right)$.

Now we show how to define the transformations $\mathcal{N}_{t}$. We set $\mathcal{N}_{0}=i d$. Suppose that $\mathcal{N}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{N}_{p}$ are already defined and $W^{(p)}$ contains $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}$, up to inversions, but not $x_{r+1}$.
Since $\alpha$ is an automorphism of $F_{\omega}$, there exists $m$ such that $x_{r+1} \in\left\langle w_{1}^{(p)}, \ldots, w_{m}^{(p)}\right\rangle$ and $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}$ are contained in $\left(w_{1}^{(p)}, \ldots, w_{m}^{(p)}\right)$ up to inversions. By Corollary 4.4.3, there exist elementary Nielsen transformations $\mathcal{N}_{p+1}, \ldots, \mathcal{N}_{q}$, such that they satisfy Conditions 1)-3) and the tuple $W^{(q)}=\left(\mathcal{N}_{q} \circ \cdots \circ \mathcal{N}_{p+1}\right) W^{(p)}$ contains $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r+1}$ up to inversions. Moreover, these transformations do not change the places of the involved tuples with $x_{1}^{ \pm 1}, \ldots, x_{r}^{ \pm 1}$. This recursive definition of $\mathcal{N}_{t}$ will give us $W^{(t)}, t \geqslant 0$, which obviously satisfy 4 ).

Remark. Fix a natural number $n$. By 4), there exists $p$, such that $W^{(p)}$ contains a letter of $X^{ \pm}$on the place $n$. Then the elementary Nielsen transformations $\mathcal{N}_{t}$, $t>p$, do not have the form $R_{n j}^{ \pm 1}$ or $L_{n j}^{ \pm 1}$.
b) Now we show that $\alpha$ can be written in the form $\sigma \alpha_{f}$ for some $f:(-\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ satisfying Conditions (i) and (ii). We will use $\sigma$ and the sequence of Nielsen
transformations $\mathcal{N}_{t}, t \in \mathbb{N}$, which was defined in a). Condition 4) can be written as $\left(\ldots \mathcal{N}_{2} \circ \mathcal{N}_{1}\right) W^{(0)}=X \sigma^{-1}$. Since $W^{(0)}=X \alpha^{-1}$, we have

$$
X \sigma^{-1} \alpha=\left(\ldots \mathcal{N}_{2} \circ \mathcal{N}_{1}\right) X=X\left(\ldots \mathcal{A}_{-2} \mathcal{A}_{-1}\right)
$$

where $\mathcal{A}_{-t}$ are elementary automorphisms of $F_{\omega}$, defined by the rule: $\mathcal{A}_{-t}=E_{x_{i}^{\epsilon} x_{j}^{\tau}}$, if $\mathcal{N}_{t}=T_{i^{\epsilon} j^{\tau}}$ for $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\epsilon, \tau \in\{-1,1\}$. Then

$$
\sigma^{-1} \alpha=\ldots \mathcal{A}_{-2} \mathcal{A}_{-1}
$$

and we will show that the theorem is satisfied for the function $f:(-\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$, defined by the rule $f(-t)=\mathcal{A}_{-t}, t \in \mathbb{N}$.
Condition (i) follows from Remark before $\mathbf{b}$ ).
Now we verify Condition (ii). If it is not satisfied, then there exists an infinite sequence of increasing natural numbers $t_{1}<t_{2}<t_{3}<\ldots$, such that $\ldots \mathcal{A}_{-t_{3}}, \mathcal{A}_{-t_{2}}, \mathcal{A}_{-t_{1}}$ have the following form:

$$
\ldots \mathcal{A}_{-t_{3}}=E_{x_{k}^{p} x_{l}^{\theta}}, \quad \mathcal{A}_{-t_{2}}=E_{x_{j}^{\delta} x_{k}^{\mu}}, \quad \mathcal{A}_{-t_{1}}=E_{x_{i}^{\epsilon} x_{j}^{\tau}} .
$$

Then

$$
\ldots \mathcal{N}_{t_{3}}=T_{k^{\rho l^{\theta}}}, \quad \mathcal{N}_{t_{2}}=T_{j^{\delta} k^{\mu}}, \quad \mathcal{N}_{t_{1}}=T_{i^{\epsilon} j^{\tau}} .
$$

By Condition 3) we have

$$
\cdots \leqslant\left|w_{k}^{\left(t_{2}-1\right)}\right| \leqslant\left|w_{j}^{\left(t_{2}-1\right)}\right| \leqslant\left|w_{j}^{\left(t_{1}-1\right)}\right| \leqslant\left|w_{i}^{\left(t_{1}-1\right)}\right| .
$$

Since the length cannot infinitely decrease, we may assume, that all these lengths coincide. Then we can apply Lemma 4.4.5 to the following pairs of words and exponents:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\left(w_{i}^{\left(t_{1}-1\right)}, w_{j}^{\left(t_{1}-1\right)}\right), & (\epsilon, \tau), \\
\left(w_{j}^{\left(t_{2}-1\right)}, w_{k}^{\left(t_{2}-1\right)}\right), & (\delta, \mu), \\
\left(w_{k}^{\left(t_{3}-1\right)}, w_{l}^{\left(t_{3}-1\right)}\right), & (\rho, \theta),
\end{array}
$$

Note, that the second word in every pair of words coincides with the first word in the next pair. So, we simplify and unify notations:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right), & \left(\sigma_{1}, \tau_{1}\right), \\
\left(u_{2}, u_{3}\right), & \left(\sigma_{2}, \tau_{2}\right), \\
\left(u_{3}, u_{4}\right), & \left(\sigma_{3}, \tau_{3}\right),
\end{array}
$$

By applying Lemma 4.4 .5 and Remark 4.5.1.1) to pairs $\left(u_{i}, u_{i+1}\right),\left(\sigma_{i}, \tau_{i}\right)$ we obtain

$$
L\left(u_{i+1}^{\tau_{i}}\right)=L\left(u_{i}^{-\sigma_{i}}\right) \text { and } L\left(u_{i+1}^{-\tau_{i}}\right) \prec_{\omega} L\left(u_{i}^{\sigma_{i}}\right)
$$

for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$.
Claim. The set $\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\{L\left(u_{i}^{1}\right), L\left(u_{i}^{-1}\right)\right\}$ contains an infinite subset $\left\{z_{j} \mid j \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$, such that

$$
\cdots \prec_{\omega} z_{3} \prec_{\omega} z_{2} \prec_{\omega} z_{1} .
$$

Proof. We construct a graph $\mathcal{G}$ with oriented edges colored in red and blue. The vertex set of $\mathcal{G}$ is $\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\{U_{i}^{1}, U_{i}^{-1}\right\}$, where $U_{i}^{1}, U_{i}^{-1}$ are formal symbols. The vertices $U_{i}^{-\sigma_{i}}$ and $U_{i+1}^{\tau_{i}}$ are connected by an oriented red edge and the vertices $U_{i}^{\sigma_{i}}$ and $U_{i+1}^{-\tau_{i}}$ are connected by an oriented blue edge.
There are two infinite paths in this graph starting at $U_{1}^{1}$ and at $U_{1}^{-1}$ respectively, say $p$ and $q$. We consider these paths as subgraphs of $\mathcal{G}$. Since $\mathcal{G}=p \cup q$ and $\mathcal{G}$ contains infinitely many blue edges, we may assume that $p$ contains infinitely many blue edges. Let $\left(U_{i}^{\epsilon_{i}}\right)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be the sequence of vertices of $p$, where $\epsilon_{i} \in\{-1,1\}$. Then the corresponding sequence $\left(L\left(u_{i}^{\epsilon_{i}}\right)\right)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of elements of $F(X)$ contains an infinite subsequence $\left(z_{j}\right)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$, such that $\cdots \prec_{\omega} z_{3} \prec_{\omega} z_{2} \prec_{\omega} z_{1}$.
Since the words $u_{i}$ have the same length, the words $z_{j}$ have the same length too and we have a contradiction to Remark 4.5.1.3).

### 4.6 The second step towards the surjectivity of $\Psi: \operatorname{BF}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}\left(F_{\omega}\right)$

We fix a basis $X_{\omega}=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2} \ldots\right\}$ of $F_{\omega}$. Recall that $\mathcal{M}\left(X_{\omega}\right)$ is the group of monomial automorphisms of $F_{\omega}$. Let $\mathcal{I}\left(X_{\omega}\right)$ be the subgroup of $\mathcal{M}\left(X_{\omega}\right)$ consisting of the automorphisms, which invert a part of $X_{\omega}$ and fix the complementary part of $X_{\omega}$.

Theorem 4.6.1. Every monomial automorphism of $F_{\omega}$ lies in the image of the homomorphism $\Psi: \operatorname{BF}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}\left(F_{\omega}\right)$.

Proof. Every monomial automorphism $\alpha \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(F_{\omega}\right)$ can be expressed in the form $\alpha=\beta \gamma$ for some $\beta \in \mathcal{I}\left(X_{\omega}\right), \gamma \in \Sigma\left(X_{\omega}\right)$.
We can express $\gamma$ as a product of independent countable cycles: $\gamma=\prod_{j \in J} \gamma_{j}$. By Nielsen, every finite cycle $\gamma_{j}$ can be expressed in the form $\gamma_{j}=\nu_{j} \gamma_{j}^{\prime}$, where $\nu_{j}$ is the identity or the inversion of an element of $X_{\omega}$, and $\gamma_{j}^{\prime}$ is a finite product of $\mathcal{E}$-automorphisms. If $\gamma_{j}$ is an infinite countable cycle, it is similar to $\sigma$ in (5). Recall that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma=\prod_{i=0}^{\infty}\left(\tau_{-i, i+1} \tau_{-i,-(i+1)}\right) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

One can check, that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\tau_{-i, i+1} \tau_{-i,-(i+1)}= \\
=E_{x_{-(i+1)}, x_{-i}} E_{x_{-(i+1)}^{-1}, x_{-i}} E_{x_{-(i+1)}^{-1}, x_{i+1}} E_{x_{i+1}^{-1}, x_{-(i+1)}^{-1}} \\
E_{x_{-(i+1)}, x_{i+1}^{-1}} E_{x_{i+1}, x_{-i}^{-1}} E_{x_{-i}^{-1}, x_{i+1}^{-1}} E_{x_{i+1}, x_{-i}^{-1}}
\end{gathered}
$$

So, $\sigma$ lies in the $\Psi$-image of an infinite product of $\mathcal{E}$-letters. It is not hard to check, that this product is admissible, i.e. lies in $\mathcal{S}$.
Thus, we have to consider an element $\rho \in \mathcal{I}\left(X_{\omega}\right)$. If the support of $\rho$ is infinite, then $\rho$ is an infinite product of some $\rho_{j} \in \mathcal{I}\left(X_{\omega}\right)$ with disjoint supports of cardinality 2 . Each $\rho_{j}$ is a finite product of $\mathcal{E}$-automorphisms. So, $\rho$ lies in the $\Psi$-image of an admissible infinite product of $\mathcal{E}$-letters. If the support of $\rho$ is finite, then we can write $\rho=\rho^{\prime} \rho^{\prime \prime}$ for some $\rho^{\prime} \rho^{\prime \prime} \in \mathcal{I}\left(X_{\omega}\right)$ with infinite supports and so we have reduced to the previous case.

Theorem 4.6.2. The homomorphism $\Psi: \operatorname{BF}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}\left(F_{\omega}\right)$ is surjective.
Proof. The proof follows immediately from Theorems 4.5.3 and 4.6.1.

### 4.7 Three topologies on $\operatorname{BF}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{S})$

We consider $\operatorname{Aut}(F(X))$ as a topological group with the basis for the neighborhoods of 1 consisting of the subgroups

$$
\operatorname{St}(Y):=\{\alpha \in \operatorname{Aut}(F(X)) \mid y \alpha=y \forall y \in Y\},
$$

where $Y$ goes over the set of finite subsets of $X$. The preimage of this topology with respect to the homomorphism $\Psi: \operatorname{BF}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(F(X))$ gives us a topology on $\operatorname{BF}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{S})$; we denote it by $\mathfrak{T}_{\text {stab }}$ and call the stabilizer topology.
Recall, that the group $\operatorname{BF}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{S})$ also possesses the natural topology (see Section 2.3); we denote it by $\mathfrak{T}_{\text {nat }}$.
Let $\mathfrak{T}$ be the topology on $\operatorname{BF}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{S})$ generated by $\mathfrak{T}_{\text {nat }}$ and $\mathfrak{T}_{\text {stab }}$. To be more precise, a basis for the neighborhoods of 1 in $\mathfrak{T}$ consists of the sets

$$
\mathcal{U}_{Y, A}=\Psi^{-1}(\operatorname{St}(Y)) \cap \operatorname{ker} \varphi_{A},
$$

where $Y$ runs through the finite subsets of $X$ and $A$ runs through the finite subsets of $\mathcal{E}$. Recall that the map $\varphi_{A}: \operatorname{BF}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \operatorname{BF}(A)$ sends every map $f: S \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ from $\mathrm{BF}(\mathcal{E})$ to its restriction to $f^{-1}(A)$.
Note that $\mathcal{U}_{Y, A}$ are subgroups of $\operatorname{BF}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{S})$ and that $\operatorname{BF}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{S})$ is a topological group with respect to $\mathfrak{T}$.

### 4.8 A generalized presentation of $\operatorname{Aut}\left(F_{\omega}\right)$

In this section we formulate our main Theorems 4.8 .6 and 4.8.11. The first theorem describes the kernel of the epimorphism $\Psi: \operatorname{BF}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}\left(F_{\omega}\right)$ algebraically, while the second one topologically. We deduce Theorem 4.8.11 from Theorem 4.8.6. The proof of Theorem 4.8.6 is based on technical Sections 4.9 and 4.10, and it will be given in Section 4.11. We conclude this section with Theorem 4.8.13 which is a compact reformulation of Theorem 4.8.6.
We fix a basis $X=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots\right\}$ of $F_{\omega}$. Given a group $G$ and a subset $\mathcal{R} \subseteq G$ we denote by $\langle\langle\mathcal{R}\rangle\rangle_{G}$ the normal closure of $\mathcal{R}$ in $G$.
Now we will work with the group $G=\operatorname{BF}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{S})$, where $\mathcal{E}=\left\{E_{x y} \mid x, y \in X^{ \pm}, y \neq\right.$ $\left.x, x^{-1}\right\}$ and $\mathcal{S}$ is the set of classes of admissible maps defined in Section 4.1. Let $G_{n}$ be the subset of $G$, consisting of all classes of maps $f: S \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ from $G$, such that $f_{1}(s) \in\left\{x_{n}, x_{n}^{-1}, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}^{-1}, \ldots\right\}$ for every $s \in S$. Note that $G_{n}$ is a subgroup of $G$ and we have $G=G_{1} \supset G_{2} \supset \ldots$, and $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} G_{n}=1$. The sequence of subgroups $\left(G_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is called the filtration on $G$.

Remark 4.8.1. Every neighborhood $\mathcal{U}_{Y, A}$ contains some $G_{n}$. Indeed, we can take

$$
n=\max \left\{k \mid x_{k} \in Y\right\}+\max \left\{k \mid E_{x_{k}^{ \pm 1}} \in A\right\}+1
$$

Definition 4.8.2. A product $\prod_{i \in I} g_{i}$, where $I$ is a linearly ordered set and $g_{i} \in G$, $i \in I$, is called admissible with respect to the filtration on $G$, if for every natural $n$, the reduced forms of all but finitely many $g_{i}$ lie in $G_{n}$. In particular, this product is a well defined element of $\operatorname{BF}(\mathcal{E})$. To stress that the product is admissible, we will write

$$
\prod_{\substack{\left(G_{n}\right)-\mathrm{adm} . \\ i \in I}} g_{i}
$$

Note, that this product may lie outside of $G$.
By Theorem 4.6.2, there is an epimorphism

$$
\Psi: \operatorname{BF}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}\left(F_{\omega}\right)
$$

Our aim is to describe a subset $\mathcal{R} \subset \operatorname{ker} \Psi$, which in some sense generates $\operatorname{ker} \Psi$ (see Theorem 4.8.11). For that it is convenient to consider the elements of $\operatorname{BF}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{S})$ as (infinite) words in the alphabet $\mathcal{E}$.
Before we describe some infinite words of $\mathcal{R}$, we present here their finite analogon. Let $x, y, z \in X^{ \pm}$, such that $y \notin\left\{x, x^{-1}\right\}$ and $z \notin\left\{x, x^{-1}, y, y^{-1}\right\}$. Then the following relations hold in $\operatorname{Aut}\left(F_{\omega}\right)$ :

$$
E_{x y} E_{z x}=\left(E_{z y^{-1}} E_{z x}\right) E_{x y},
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
E_{x y} E_{z x^{-1}}=\left(E_{z x^{-1}} E_{z y}\right) E_{x y} \\
E_{x y} E_{z t}=E_{z t} E_{x y}, t \notin\left\{x, x^{-1}\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Now we describe an "infinite" relation.
Definition 4.8.3. Let $\alpha=E_{x y}$ be a word consisting of one $\mathcal{E}$-letter (clearly $\alpha \in \mathcal{S}$ ). A word $\beta \in \mathcal{S}$ is called $\alpha$-admissible, if for each of its letters $E_{z t}$ we have $z \notin\left\{x, x^{-1}, y, y^{-1}\right\}$.

Let $\beta$ be an $\alpha$-admissible word, where $\alpha=E_{x y}$. We denote by $\beta_{\alpha}$ the word, obtained from $\beta$ by the following replacements of each occurrence of $E_{z x}$ and $E_{z x^{-1}}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{z x} \rightsquigarrow E_{z y^{-1}} E_{z x}, \\
& E_{z x^{-1}} \rightsquigarrow E_{z x^{-1}} E_{z y} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is easy to check, that $\beta_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{S}$ and that $\Psi(\alpha \beta)=\Psi\left(\beta_{\alpha} \alpha\right)$ holds in $\operatorname{Aut}\left(F_{\omega}\right)$. Thus the following words lie in $\operatorname{ker} \Psi$ :
$\left(\mathcal{R}_{\alpha \beta}\right) \quad \alpha \beta \alpha^{-1} \beta_{\alpha}^{-1}$, where $\alpha \in \mathcal{E}$ and $\beta$ is an $\alpha$-admissible (infinite) word from $G$.
Now, let $F_{n}$ be the free group of finite rank $n$ with basis $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$. Consider the canonical epimorphism $\operatorname{Aut}\left(F_{n}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$. The full preimage of $\mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$ with respect to this epimorphism is a subgroup of index 2 in $\operatorname{Aut}\left(F_{n}\right)$, which is denoted by $\operatorname{SAut}\left(F_{n}\right)$. Gersten obtained the following presentation for this subgroup.

Theorem 4.8.4. [20]. A presentation for $\operatorname{SAut}\left(F_{n}\right)$ is given by generators $\left\{E_{a b} \mid a, b \in X_{n}^{ \pm}, a \neq b, b^{-1}\right\}$ subject to relations:
$(\mathcal{R} 1) E_{a b}^{-1}=E_{a b^{-1}}$,
(R2) $\left[E_{a b}, E_{c d}\right]=1$ for $a \neq c, d, d^{-1}$ and $b \neq c, c^{-1}$,
$(\mathcal{R} 3)\left[E_{a b}, E_{b c}\right]=E_{a c}$ for $a \neq c, c^{-1}$,
$(\mathcal{R} 4) \mathrm{w}_{a b}^{-1} E_{c d} \mathrm{~W}_{a b}=E_{\sigma(a) \sigma(b),}$,
where $\mathrm{w}_{a b}$ is defined to be $E_{b a} E_{a^{-1} b} E_{b^{-1} a}^{-1}$, and $\sigma$ is the monomial map, determined by $\mathrm{w}_{a b}$, i.e. $a \mapsto b^{-1}, b \mapsto a$.
$(\mathcal{R} 5) \mathrm{w}_{a b}^{4}=1$.
Definition 4.8.5. We say that a word $W \in \operatorname{BF}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{S})$ is of type ( $\mathcal{R} i)$, if it can be written in the form $U V^{-1}$, where $U=V$ is the relation $(\mathcal{R} i)$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We say that $W$ is of type $\left(\mathcal{R}_{\alpha \beta}\right)$ if it can be written as $\alpha \beta \alpha^{-1} \beta_{\alpha}^{-1}$, where $\beta$ is $\alpha$-admissible. Let $\mathcal{R}_{\text {fin }}$ be the set of all words of types $(\mathcal{R} 1)-(\mathcal{R} 5)$.

Theorem 4.8.6. For $G=\operatorname{BF}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{E})$ the kernel of the epimorphism

$$
\Psi: G \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}\left(F_{\omega}\right)
$$

coincides with the set of all products $U V$, where $U, V \in G$ have the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
U=\prod_{\substack{\left(G_{n}\right)-\text { adm. } \\ i \in \mathbb{N}}} f_{i}^{-1} r_{i}^{\epsilon_{i}} f_{i}, \quad V=\prod_{\substack{\left(G_{n}\right)-\text { adm. } \\ i \in(-\mathbb{N})}} f_{i}^{-1} r_{i}^{\epsilon_{i}} f_{i}, \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f_{i} \in G, r_{i} \in \mathcal{R}, \epsilon_{i} \in\{-1,1\}$, and $\mathcal{R}$ is the set of all words of $G$ of types $(\mathcal{R} 1)-(\mathcal{R} 5)$ and $\left(\mathcal{R}_{\alpha \beta}\right)$.

Corollary 4.8.7. Let $g \in \operatorname{ker} \Psi$. Then for every natural $m$ there exist elements $h_{m} \in\langle\langle\mathcal{R}\rangle\rangle_{G}$ and $g_{m} \in G_{m}$, such that $g=h_{m} g_{m}$.

Proof. We write $g=U V$ for $U, V$ as in (19). For every natural $k$ we write $U=U_{k} U_{k}^{\prime}$ and $V=V_{k}^{\prime} V_{k}$, where

$$
U_{k}=\prod_{i=1}^{k} f_{i}^{-1} r_{i}^{\epsilon_{i}} f_{i}, \quad V_{k}=\prod_{i=-k}^{-1} f_{i}^{-1} r_{i}^{\epsilon_{i}} f_{i}
$$

Since the products in (19) are $\left(G_{n}\right)$-admissible, there exists $k$ such that $U_{k}^{\prime}, V_{k}^{\prime} \in$ $G_{m}$. We set $h_{m}=U_{k} \cdot\left(U_{k}^{\prime} V_{k}^{\prime}\right) V_{k}\left(U_{k}^{\prime} V_{k}^{\prime}\right)^{-1}$ and $g_{m}=U_{k}^{\prime} V_{k}^{\prime}$.

Lemma 4.8.8. Every word $g \in G$ of type $\left(\mathcal{R}_{\alpha \beta}\right)$ lies in the closure of $\left\langle\left\langle\mathcal{R}_{\text {fin }}\right\rangle_{G}\right.$ in the topology $\mathfrak{T}$.

Proof. Let $g=\alpha \beta \alpha^{-1} \beta_{\alpha}^{-1}$, where $\alpha=E_{x y}$ and $\beta \in G$ is an $\alpha$-admissible (infinite) word. We show that an arbitrary neighborhood $g \mathcal{U}$ of $g$ in the topology $\mathfrak{T}$ contains an element $g u \in\left\langle\left\langle\mathcal{R}_{\text {fin }}\right\rangle\right\rangle_{G}$. We may assume that $\mathcal{U}=\mathcal{U}_{Y, A}$ for some finite subsets $Y \subset X$ and $A \subset \mathcal{E}$. Let $A^{\prime}$ be the minimal subset of $\mathcal{E}$, such that

1) $A \cup\left\{E_{x y}\right\} \subseteq A^{\prime}$,
2) if $E_{z x^{\epsilon}} \in A^{\prime}$ for some $z \in X^{ \pm 1}$ and $\epsilon \in\{-1,1\}$, then $E_{z y^{-\epsilon}} \in A^{\prime}$.

Clearly, $A^{\prime}$ is finite. By the choice of $A^{\prime}$, we have $\varphi_{A^{\prime}}(g)=\alpha \beta^{\prime} \alpha^{-1}\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)_{\alpha}^{-1}$ for $\beta^{\prime}=\varphi_{A^{\prime}}(\beta)$. We set $u=g^{-1} \varphi_{A^{\prime}}(g)$. Obviously, $g u \in\left\langle\left\langle\mathcal{R}_{\text {fin }}\right\rangle\right\rangle_{G}$. Since $g \in \operatorname{ker} \Psi$, this implies $u \in \operatorname{ker} \Psi$, and since $\varphi_{A} \circ \varphi_{A^{\prime}}=\varphi_{A}$, we have $u \in \operatorname{ker} \varphi_{A}$. Hence $u \in \mathcal{U}$.

Lemma 4.8.9. $\langle\langle\mathcal{R}\rangle\rangle_{G}$ lies in the closure of $\left\langle\left\langle\mathcal{R}_{\text {fin }}\right\rangle\right\rangle_{G}$ in the topology $\mathfrak{T}$.
Proof. Let $g \in\langle\langle\mathcal{R}\rangle\rangle_{G}$ and $\mathcal{U}=\mathcal{U}_{Y, A}$ be a neighborhood of 1 in the group $G$. Then $g=\prod_{i=1}^{k} f_{i}^{-1} r_{i} f_{i}$ for some natural $k$, some $r_{i} \in \mathcal{R}$ and $f_{i} \in G$. By Lemma 4.8.8,
$r_{i}=r_{i}^{\prime} u_{i}$ for some $r_{i}^{\prime} \in\left\langle\left\langle\mathcal{R}_{\text {fin }}\right\rangle\right\rangle_{G}$ and $u_{i} \in \mathcal{U}$. Note that $u_{i}=\left(r_{i}^{\prime}\right)^{-1} r_{i} \in \mathcal{U} \cap \operatorname{ker} \Psi$. Since $\mathcal{U} \cap \operatorname{ker} \Psi=\operatorname{ker} \varphi_{A} \cap \operatorname{ker} \Psi$ is normal in $G$, we have

$$
g \in g^{\prime}(\mathcal{U} \cap \operatorname{ker} \Psi) \subseteq\left\langle\left\langle\mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{fin}}\right\rangle\right\rangle_{G} \mathcal{U}
$$

for $g^{\prime}=\prod_{i=1}^{k} f_{i}^{-1} r_{i}^{\prime} f_{i}$. Since this holds for every $\mathcal{U}$, the proof is completed.
Lemma 4.8.10. $\operatorname{ker} \Psi$ lies in the closure of $\left\langle\left\langle\mathcal{R}_{\text {fin }}\right\rangle\right\rangle_{G}$ in the topology $\mathfrak{T}$.
Proof. Let $g \in \operatorname{ker} \Psi$ and $\mathcal{U}=\mathcal{U}_{Y, A}$ be a neighborhood of 1 in $G$. By Remark 4.8.1, there exists $m$, such that $G_{m} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$. By Corollary 4.8.7 and Lemma 4.8.9 we have

$$
g \in\langle\langle\mathcal{R}\rangle\rangle_{G} G_{m} \subseteq\left\langle\left\langle\mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{fin}}\right\rangle\right\rangle_{G} \mathcal{U} G_{m}=\left\langle\left\langle\mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{fin}}\right\rangle\right\rangle_{G} \mathcal{U}
$$

Theorem 4.8.11. For $G=\operatorname{BF}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{S})$ the kernel of the epimorphism

$$
\Psi: G \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}\left(F_{\omega}\right)
$$

coincides with the closure of $\left\langle\left\langle\mathcal{R}_{\text {fin }}\right\rangle_{G}\right.$ in the topology $\mathfrak{T}$ on $G$ :

$$
\operatorname{ker} \Psi=\overline{\left\langle\left\langle\mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{fin}}\right\rangle\right\rangle_{G}^{\mathfrak{T}}}
$$

Here $\mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{fin}}$ is the set of all words of $G$ of types $(\mathcal{R} 1)-(\mathcal{R} 5)$.
In particular, $\left(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{S}, \mathfrak{T}, \mathcal{R}_{\text {fin }}\right)$ is a generalized presentation of $\operatorname{Aut}\left(F_{\omega}\right)$ of type $\aleph_{0}$.
Proof. In view of Lemma 4.8.10, it is sufficient to prove that every $g \in \overline{\langle\mathcal{R}\rangle\rangle_{G}^{\mathfrak{T}}}$ lies in $\operatorname{ker} \Psi$. Fix a natural $n$. Since $g \mathcal{U}_{\left\{x_{n}\right\}, \emptyset}$ is a neighborhood of $g$, there exists $u \in \mathcal{U}_{\left\{x_{n}\right\}, \emptyset}$, such that $g u \in\langle\langle\mathcal{R}\rangle\rangle_{G}$. Then $\Psi(g)=\Psi\left(u^{-1}\right)$ stabilizes $x_{n}$, and since this holds for every $n$, we have $g \in \operatorname{ker} \Psi$.

Remark 4.8.12. $\operatorname{ker} \Psi$ is properly contained in the topological closure of $\mathcal{R}$ in $\operatorname{BF}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{E})$, with respect to the topology $\mathfrak{T}_{\text {nat }}$ defined in Section 4.7. Indeed, the sequence of elements of $\operatorname{ker} \Psi$

$$
E_{x_{1} x_{2}} E_{x_{1} x_{t}} E_{x_{t} x_{2}^{-1}} E_{x_{1} x_{t}^{-1}} E_{x_{t} x_{2}}(t \in \mathbb{N})
$$

converges to $E_{x_{1} x_{2}} \notin \operatorname{ker} \Psi$, when $t \rightarrow \infty$.
Finally we reformulate Theorem 4.8.6 in a compact form.
Theorem 4.8.13. For $G=\operatorname{BF}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{S})$ the kernel of the epimorphism

$$
\Psi: G \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}\left(F_{\omega}\right)
$$

consists of conjugate to elements of the set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\prod_{\substack{\left(G_{n}\right)-\mathrm{adm} . \\ i \in \mathbb{Z}}} f_{i}^{-1} r_{i}^{ \pm 1} f_{i} \mid f_{i} \in G, r_{i} \in \mathcal{R}\right\} \cap G \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{R}$ is the set of words of $G$ of types $(\mathcal{R} 1)-(\mathcal{R} 5)$ and $\left(\mathcal{R}_{\alpha \beta}\right)$.

Proof. Let $g \in \operatorname{ker} \Psi$. Then $g=U V$ for $U, V$ as in Theorem 4.8.6, and we can write $g=U(V U) U^{-1}$, where $V U$ lies in the set (20). The inverse inclusion follows from the next lemma.

Lemma 4.8.14. Let I be a linearly ordered set and $g_{i} \in \operatorname{ker} \Psi$ for any $i \in I$. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
g=\prod_{\substack{\left(G_{n}\right)-\mathrm{adm} \\ i \in I}} g_{i} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $g \in G$, then $g \in \operatorname{ker} \Psi$.
Proof. Fix $x_{r} \in X$. Since the product (21) is $\left(G_{n}\right)$-admissible, the set $\{i \in I \mid$ $\left.g_{i} \notin G_{r+1}\right\}$ is finite. Let $i_{1}<i_{2}<\cdots<i_{k}$ be its elements. Denote

$$
h_{0}=\prod_{\substack{\left(G_{n}\right)-\text { adm. } \\\left\{i \in I \mid i<i_{1}\right\}}} g_{i}, \quad h_{k}=\prod_{\substack{\left(G_{n}\right) \text {-adm. } \\\left\{i \in I \mid i_{k}<i\right\}}} g_{i}, \quad h_{l}=\prod_{\substack{\left(G_{n}\right)-a \mathrm{dm} . \\\left\{i \in I \mid i_{i}<i<i_{l+1}\right\}}} g_{i},
$$

where $l=1, \ldots, k-1$. Then $g=h_{0} g_{i_{1}} h_{1} g_{i_{2}} \ldots g_{i_{k}} h_{k}$. Since $g \in G$ and every (infinite) subword of a word from $G$ also lies in $G$, we have $h_{j} \in G$ for $j=0, \ldots, k$. Then all $h_{i}$ belong to $G_{r+1}$, and hence $\Psi\left(h_{i}\right)$ stabilize $x_{r}$. Moreover, $\Psi\left(g_{i}\right)$ is the identity for any $i \in I$ by assumption. Therefore $\Psi(g)$ stabilizes $x_{r}$. Since this holds for every $r$, we have $g \in \operatorname{ker} \Psi$.

Remark 4.8.15. The following example shows that the assumption $g \in G$ in Lemma 4.8.14 cannot be omitted:

$$
g=\prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left[E_{x_{4 i-1}, x_{4 i}}, E_{x_{4 i+1}, x_{4 i+2}}\right] .
$$

Indeed, $\left[E_{x_{4 i-1}, x_{4 i}}, E_{x_{4 i+1}, x_{4 i+2}}\right] \in \operatorname{ker} \Psi$ for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$, but $g \notin G$, and so $g \notin$ $\operatorname{ker} \Psi$.

### 4.9 An inequality for the length of chains

Definition 4.9.1. Let $f: S \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ be an element of $\operatorname{BF}(\mathcal{E})$ and let $x \in X$. A sequence of elements of $S,\left(\ldots, s_{2}, s_{1}\right)$, is called a backward $x$-chain for $f$, if the following conditions hold:

1) $\cdots \prec s_{2} \prec s_{1}$,
2) $f_{1}\left(s_{1}\right) \in\left\{x, x^{-1}\right\}$,
3) $f_{1}\left(s_{i+1}\right) \in\left\{f_{2}\left(s_{i}\right), f_{2}\left(s_{i}\right)^{-1}\right\}$ for $i \geqslant 1$.

We denote by $M(f, x)$ the supremum of lengths of backward $x$-chains for $f$. Note that $M(f, x) \leqslant n^{+}(f, x)$; hence $M(f, x)$ is finite for every $f$ in $\mathcal{S}$ with the notation from Section 4.2.

Proposition 4.9.2. Let $f=\delta \alpha \beta \gamma$ be a word from $\mathcal{S}$, such that $\beta$ is $\alpha$-admissible; let $f^{\prime}=\delta \beta_{\alpha} \alpha \gamma$. Then $M\left(f^{\prime}, x\right) \leqslant M(f, x)$ for every $x \in X^{ \pm}$.

To facilitate the understanding of the proof look at the following example.

## Example 4.9.3.

We write down 3 backward $x_{4}$-chains in $f$ :

$$
C: \quad\left(a, b_{2}, c_{2}\right),\left(d, a, b_{1}, c_{2}\right),\left(d, a, c_{1}\right),
$$

and 3 backward $x_{4}$-chains in $f^{\prime}$ :

$$
C^{\prime}: \quad\left(b_{2}, c_{2}\right),\left(d, b_{1}^{\prime}, c_{2}\right),\left(d, a^{\prime}, c_{1}\right)
$$

Proof of Proposition 4.9.2. Let $f:(D \sqcup\{a\} \sqcup B \sqcup C) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ be the map corresponding to the product $f=\delta \alpha \beta \gamma$ and let $f^{\prime}:\left(D \sqcup B^{\prime} \sqcup\left\{a^{\prime}\right\} \sqcup C\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ be the map corresponding to the product $f^{\prime}=\delta \beta_{\alpha} \alpha \gamma$. As in the example above, we assume, that $B^{\prime}$ is obtained from $B$ by inserting some $b^{\prime}$-letters.
Let $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ be a backward $x$-chain for $f^{\prime}$. It is sufficient to indicate a backward $x$-chain $\mathcal{C}$ for $f$, which is not shorter than $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}$. Note that if $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ contains a $b_{i}^{\prime}$-letter, then all smaller letters of this chain lie in $D$.
Case 1. Suppose that $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ does not contain $a^{\prime}$ and does not contain any $b_{i}^{\prime}$-letter. Then we set $\mathcal{C}=\mathcal{C}^{\prime}$.
Case 2. Suppose that $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ does not contain $a^{\prime}$, but contains some $b_{i}^{\prime}$-letter.
Then we define $\mathcal{C}$ to be the chain obtained from $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ by replacing $b_{i}^{\prime}$ by two letters $a, b_{i}$.
Case 3. Suppose that $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ contains $a^{\prime}$.
In this case $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ does not contain elements of $B^{\prime}$. Then we define $\mathcal{C}$ to be the chain obtained from $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ by replacing $a^{\prime}$ by $a$.

### 4.10 Transformation of elements of $\operatorname{BF}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{S})$ to elements of $\mathrm{BF}_{-\mathbb{N}}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{S})$ modulo $\operatorname{ker} \Psi$

We denote by $\mathrm{BF}_{-\mathbb{N}}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{S})$ the subset of $G=\mathrm{BF}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{S})$ consisting of classes of all maps $g:-\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$. The main aim of this section is to prove that any element
$f \in \operatorname{BF}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{S})$ can be represented in the form $f=R A$ for some $R \in \operatorname{ker} \Psi$ and $A \in \mathrm{BF}_{-\mathbb{N}}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{S})$ (see Proposition 4.10.4).
In Section 4.8 we introduced the chain of subgroups $G=G_{1} \geqslant G_{2} \geqslant \ldots$, such that $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} G_{n}=1$. Now we decompose each difference $G_{n} \backslash G_{n+1}$ into smaller subsets. By Lemma 4.2.1, the number $n^{+}\left(f, x_{n}\right)$ is finite. Now, for every $m \geqslant 1$ we set

$$
G_{n, m}=\left\{f \in G_{n} \backslash G_{n+1} \mid n^{+}\left(f, x_{n}\right)=m\right\} .
$$

Clearly $G_{n} \backslash G_{n+1}=\bigsqcup_{m \geqslant 1} G_{n, m}$.
Definition 4.10.1. (split and derived forms of $f$ ) Suppose that $f \in G_{n}$ for some $n$. Denote $n^{+}=n^{+}\left(f, x_{n}\right)$ and set

$$
S_{1}=\left\{s \in S \mid s \prec n^{+}\right\}, \quad S_{2}=\left\{s \in S \mid n^{+} \prec s\right\} .
$$

Then we can write $f$ in the form $f=\delta \alpha \beta$, where $\delta=f_{\mid S_{1}}, \alpha=f_{\mid n^{+}}$and $\beta=f_{\mid S_{2}}$. This form will be called the split form of $f$.
Note that $\beta$ is $\alpha$-admissible (see Definition 4.8.3). Using the definition of $\beta_{\alpha}$ given after this definition, we rewrite $f$ in the form $f=r \bar{f} \alpha$, where $r=$ $\delta\left(\alpha \beta \alpha^{-1} \beta_{\alpha}^{-1}\right) \delta^{-1}$ and $\bar{f}=\delta \beta_{\alpha}$. The expression $f=r \bar{f} \alpha$ will be called the derived form of $f$.

For a subgroup $B$ of a group $C$ we denote by $B^{C}$ the normal closure of $B$ in $C$.
Lemma 4.10.2. Let $f \in G_{n, m}$ and let $f=r \bar{f} \alpha$ be the derived form of $f$. Then the following properties are satisfied.
P1. $r \in\left(G_{n} \cap \mathcal{R}\right)^{G_{n}}$.
P2. $\bar{f} \in G_{n, m-1}$ if $m>1$ and $\bar{f} \in G_{n+1}$ if $m=1$.
P3. $\alpha \in G_{n}$.
Proof. The proof is straightforward.
We can continue and rewrite the element $\bar{f}$ in the derived form. We want to do that countably many times. So, we put $f^{(1)}=f$ and define three sequences of elements of $G$ : $\left(f^{(i)}\right)_{i \geqslant 1},\left(r^{(i)}\right)_{i \geqslant 1}$ and $\left(\alpha^{(i)}\right)_{i \geqslant 1}$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{(i)}=r^{(i)} \overline{f^{(i)}} \alpha^{(i)} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the derived form of $f^{(i)}$ and

$$
f^{(i+1)}=\overline{f^{(i)}} .
$$

We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=\left(r^{(1)} r^{(2)} \ldots r^{(i)}\right) f^{(i+1)}\left(\alpha^{(i)} \ldots \alpha^{(2)} \alpha^{(1)}\right) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 4.10.3. Let $f=f^{(1)} \in G_{n, m}$. Then the following properties are satisfied for every $1 \leqslant i \leqslant m$.

P4. $f^{(i)} \in G_{n, m-i+1}$; moreover $f^{(m+1)} \in G_{n+1}$.
P5. $r^{(i)} \in\left(G_{n} \cap \mathcal{R}\right)^{G_{n}}$; moreover $r^{(m+1)} \in\left(G_{n+1} \cap \mathcal{R}\right)^{G_{n+1}}$.
P6. $\alpha^{(i)} \in G_{n}$; moreover $\alpha^{(m+1)} \in G_{n+1}$.
Proof. Property P4 follows by induction from P2. Properties P5 and P6 follow from P4 and (22).

Proposition 4.10.4. For every element $f \in \operatorname{BF}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{S})$ the following claims hold.

1) The sequence $\left(f^{(i)}\right)_{i \geqslant 1}$ converges to 1 in the topology $\mathfrak{T}_{\text {nat }}$ on $\operatorname{BF}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{S})$, when $i \rightarrow \infty$.
2) The product $R=\prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} r^{(i)}$ is well defined, i.e. it belongs to $\mathrm{BF}(\mathcal{E})$.
3) The product $A=\prod_{i \in-\mathbb{N}} \alpha^{(-i)}$ belongs to $\mathrm{BF}_{-\mathbb{N}}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{S})$.
4) $f=R A$.
5) The product $R=\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} r^{(i)}$ is admissible with respect to the filtration $\left(G_{n}\right)_{n \geqslant 1}$ on $\operatorname{BF}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{S})$ and it belongs to $\operatorname{BF}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{S})$.
6) $R \in \operatorname{ker} \Psi$.

Proof. Claims 1) and 2) follow from P4 and P5. Now we prove the most difficult Claim 3). Clearly $A$ is a map from $(-\mathbb{N})$ to $\mathcal{E}$. By Property P6 we have $A \in$ $\operatorname{BF}(\mathcal{E})$. We have to verify, that for every $x \in X$, the cardinal number $M(A, x)$ is finite.
Let $f^{(k)}=\delta^{(k)} \alpha^{(k)} \beta^{(k)}$ be the split form of $f^{(k)}$. By definition, we have

$$
f^{(k+1)}=\overline{f^{(k)}}=\delta^{(k)}\left(\beta^{(k)}\right)_{\alpha^{(k)}} .
$$

Denote $\gamma^{(k)}=\alpha^{(k-1)} \ldots \alpha^{(1)}$. Then we have

$$
f^{(k)} \gamma^{(k)}=\delta^{(k)} \alpha^{(k)} \beta^{(k)} \gamma^{(k)}
$$

and

$$
f^{(k+1)} \gamma^{(k+1)}=\delta^{(k)}\left(\beta^{(k)}\right)_{\alpha^{(k)}} \alpha^{(k)} \gamma^{(k)} .
$$

Applying Proposition 4.9.2 to these two words we get

$$
M\left(f^{(k+1)} \gamma^{(k+1)}, x\right) \leqslant M\left(f^{(k)} \gamma^{(k)}, x\right) .
$$

for every $x \in X^{ \pm 1}$. Since $f=f^{(1)} \gamma^{(1)}$, we have by induction

$$
M\left(f^{(k)} \gamma^{(k)}, x\right) \leqslant M(f, x)
$$

and so $M\left(\gamma^{(k)}, x\right) \leqslant M(f, x)$ for every $k \geqslant 1$. This implies $M(A, x) \leqslant M(f, x)$.

Claim 4) follows from Equation (23) by taking the limit with respect to the natural topology on $\operatorname{BF}(\mathcal{E})$ and using Claims 1)-3).
5) It is sufficient to prove that $R \in \operatorname{BF}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{S})$. But this follows from $R=f A^{-1}$ by the assumption on $f$ and by Claim 3).
6) This follows from 5) and Lemma 4.8.14.

### 4.11 Proof of Theorem 4.8.6 about $\operatorname{ker} \Psi$

The following technical lemma is used in Lemma 4.11.2.
Lemma 4.11.1. Let $W:(-\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ be an infinite word from $G_{k} \cap \operatorname{ker} \Psi$. Then there exists an infinite word $W_{2}:(-\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ from $G_{k+1} \cap \operatorname{ker} \Psi$, and a finite word $W_{1} \in G_{k} \cap \operatorname{ker} \Psi$, such that $W=W_{2} W_{1}$.

Proof. We write $W=B C$, where $C$ is a finite subword of $W$ of minimal length which contains all letters $E_{x y}$ with $x \in\left\{x_{k}, x_{k}^{-1}\right\}$. Clearly $B \in G_{k+1}$, in particular $\Psi(B)$ stabilizes $F_{k}$. Let

$$
n=\max \left\{i, j \mid E_{x_{i} x_{j}} \text { is a letter of } C\right\}+k .
$$

Obviously, $n \geqslant k$. Then $\Psi(C)$ is an automorphism of $F_{n}$, which stabilizes $F_{k}$ (this follows from $W=B C, \Psi(W)=1$, and the fact that $\Psi(B)$ stabilizes $F_{k}$ ). By Proposition 4.12.6, there exists a finite word $C^{\prime}$ in letters $E_{x y}$ with $x \in$ $\left\{x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}^{ \pm 1}$ and $y \in\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}^{ \pm 1}$, such that $\Psi(C)=\Psi\left(C^{\prime}\right)$ in $\operatorname{Aut}\left(F_{n}\right)$. We set $W_{2}=B C^{\prime}$ and $W_{1}=\left(C^{\prime}\right)^{-1} C$. Then $W_{1} \in G_{k} \cap \operatorname{ker} \Psi$. Since $W=$ $W_{2} W_{1} \in \operatorname{ker} \Psi$, we conclude that $W_{2} \in G_{k+1} \cap \operatorname{ker} \Psi$.

Lemma 4.11.2. Let $A:(-\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ be an infinite word from $\operatorname{ker} \Psi$. Then it can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=\prod_{\substack{\left(G_{n}\right)-\mathrm{adm} . \\ i \in(-\mathbb{N})}} f_{i}^{-1} r_{i}^{ \pm 1} f_{i} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some finite words $f_{i}$ and $r_{i}$ in the alphabet $\mathcal{E}$, where $r_{i}$ 's are words of types $(\mathcal{R} 1)-(\mathcal{R} 5)$.

Proof. By Lemma 4.11.1, we can write $A$ as $A=\left(\ldots A_{3} A_{2} A_{1}\right]$ for some finite words $A_{k} \in G_{k} \cap \operatorname{ker} \Psi$. By Proposition 4.12.6, $A_{k}$ can be written in the form

$$
A_{k}=\prod_{j \in J(k)} f_{j}^{-1} r_{j}^{ \pm 1} f_{j}
$$

where $J(k)$ is a finite set, $f_{j}$ and $r_{j}$ are finite words over $\mathcal{E}$, which belong to $G_{k}$, and $r_{j}$ 's are words of types $(\mathcal{R} 1)-(\mathcal{R} 5)$. This completes the proof.

Proof of Theorem 4.8.6. Let $f \in \operatorname{ker} \Psi$. We show that $f$ can be written in the form $f=U V$ with $U, V$ satisfying the conclusion of Theorem 4.8.6. First, we write $f=R A$, where $R$ and $A$ as in Proposition 4.10.4. In particular, $A:(-\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ is an infinite word from $G$ and

$$
R \in\left\{\prod_{\substack{\left(G_{n}\right)-\text { adm. } \\ i \in \mathbb{N}}} f_{i}^{-1} r_{i}^{ \pm 1} f_{i} \mid f_{i} \in G, r_{i} \in \mathcal{R}\right\} \cap G
$$

Since $R \in \operatorname{ker} \Psi$ by Proposition 4.10.4, we have $A \in \operatorname{ker} \Psi$. Then we can apply Lemma 4.11.2 and write $A$ in the form (24). So, we can set $U=R, V=A$.
Conversely, if $f=U V$ with $U, V$ satisfying the conclusion of Theorem 4.8.6, then $f \in \operatorname{ker} \Psi$ by Lemma 4.8.14.

### 4.12 Appendix: Finite presentations for some stabilizers in $\operatorname{SAut}\left(F_{n}\right)$

Let $F_{n}$ be the free group with the basis $X_{n}=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$, where $n$ is finite. If $a, b \in X_{n}^{ \pm}$and $a \neq b, b^{-1}$, the Nielsen map $E_{b a}$ is defined by the rule:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& b \mapsto b a, \\
& x \mapsto x \quad \text { if } x \in X_{n}^{ \pm} \backslash\left\{b, b^{-1}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

An automorphism of $F_{n}$ is called monomial, if it permutes the set $X_{n} \cup X_{n}^{-1}$. Let $\mathcal{M}_{n}$ be the group of monomial automorphisms. If $a, b \in X_{n}^{ \pm}$and $a \neq b, b^{-1}$, the monomial automorphism $w_{a b}$ is defined by the rules:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a \mapsto b^{-1} \\
& b \mapsto a \\
& x \mapsto x \quad \text { if } x \in X_{n}^{ \pm} \backslash\left\{a, b, a^{-1}, b^{-1}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

If $A \subseteq X_{n}^{ \pm}$, and $a \in A, a^{-1} \notin A$, the Whitehead automorphism $(A, a)$ is defined by the rules

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
a \mapsto a, & \\
x \mapsto x a & \text { if } x \neq a, x \in A, x^{-1} \notin A, \\
x \mapsto a^{-1} x & \text { if } x \neq a, x^{-1} \in A, x \notin A, \\
x \mapsto a^{-1} x a & \text { if both } x \text { and } x^{-1} \text { are in } A, \\
x \mapsto x & \text { if neither } x \text { nor } x^{-1} \text { is in } A .
\end{array}
$$

Let $\mathcal{W}_{n}$ denote the set of all Whitehead automorphisms $(A, a)$.
Observe that $E_{b a}$ coincides with the Whitehead map $(\{b, a\}, a)$ and that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(A, a)=\prod_{\substack{b \in A \\ b \neq a}} E_{b a} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now recall McCool's presentation for $\operatorname{Aut}\left(F_{n}\right)$.

Theorem 4.12.1. [28] A finite presentation for $\operatorname{Aut}\left(F_{n}\right)$ is given by generators $\mathcal{M}_{n} \cup \mathcal{W}_{n}$ and relations:
(M0) A defining set of relations for $\mathcal{M}_{n}$.
$(\mathrm{M} 1)(A, a)^{-1}=\left(A-a+a^{-1}, a^{-1}\right)$.
(M2) $(A, a)(B, a)=(A \cup B, a)$ where $A \cap B=\{a\}$.
(M3) $(A, a)(B, b)=(B, b)(A, a)$ where $A \cap B=\emptyset, a^{-1} \notin B, b^{-1} \notin A$.
(M4) $(A, a)(B, b)=(B, b)(A+B-b, a)$ where $A \cap B=\emptyset, a^{-1} \notin B, b^{-1} \in A$.
(M5) $(A, a)\left(A-a+a^{-1}, b\right)=w_{a b}\left(A-b+b^{-1}, a\right)$ with $w_{a b} \in \mathcal{M}_{n}$ as above, $b \in A$, $b^{-1} \notin A, a \neq b$.
(M6) $\sigma^{-1}(A, a) \sigma=(A \sigma, a \sigma)$ for $\sigma \in \mathcal{M}_{n}$.
Using Expression (25) and rewriting McCool's relations in terms of Nielsen automorphisms $E_{b a}$, Gersten deduced in [20, Theorem 1.2] the following finite presentations of $\operatorname{Aut}\left(F_{n}\right)$.

Theorem 4.12.2. [20]. A presentation for $\operatorname{Aut}\left(F_{n}\right)$ is given by generators $\left\{E_{a b} \mid a, b \in X_{n}^{ \pm}, a \neq b, b^{-1}\right\} \cup \mathcal{M}_{n}$ subject to relations:
$(\mathcal{S} 0)$ A defining set of relations for $\mathcal{M}_{n}$,
$(\mathcal{S} 1) E_{a b}^{-1}=E_{a b^{-1}}$,
$(\mathcal{S} 2)\left[E_{a b}, E_{c d}\right]=1$ for $a \neq c, d, d^{-1}$ and $b \neq c, c^{-1}$,
$(\mathcal{S} 3)\left[E_{a b}, E_{b c}\right]=E_{a c}$ for $a \neq c, c^{-1}$,
$(\mathcal{S} 4) w_{a b}=E_{b a} E_{a^{-1} b} E_{b^{-1} a^{-1}}$
$(\mathcal{S} 5) \sigma^{-1} E_{a b} \sigma=E_{\sigma(a) \sigma(b)}, \sigma \in \mathcal{M}_{n}$.
By applying the Reidemeister-Schreier method, Gersten obtained in [20, Theorem 1.4] the following presentation for $\operatorname{SAut}\left(F_{n}\right)$.

Theorem 4.12.3. [20]. A presentation for $\operatorname{SAut}\left(F_{n}\right)$ is given by generators $\left\{E_{a b} \mid a, b \in X_{n}^{ \pm 1}, a \neq b, b^{-1}\right\}$ subject to relations:
$(\mathcal{R} 1) E_{a b}^{-1}=E_{a b^{-1}}$,
$(\mathcal{R} 2)\left[E_{a b}, E_{c d}\right]=1$ for $a \neq c, d, d^{-1}$ and $b \neq c, c^{-1}$,
$(\mathcal{R} 3)\left[E_{a b}, E_{b c}\right]=E_{a c}$ for $a \neq c, c^{-1}$,
$(\mathcal{R} 4) \mathrm{w}_{a b}^{-1} E_{c d} \mathrm{~W}_{a b}=E_{\sigma(a) \sigma(b)}$,
where $\mathrm{w}_{a b}$ is defined to be $E_{b a} E_{a^{-1} b} E_{b^{-1} a}^{-1}$, and $\sigma$ is the monomial map, determined by $\mathrm{w}_{a b}$, i.e. $a \mapsto b^{-1}, b \mapsto a$.
$(\mathcal{R} 5) \mathrm{w}_{a b}^{4}=1$.
Notation 4.12.4. Let $F_{n}$ be the free group with basis $X_{n}=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$. For a subset $Y \subseteq F_{n}$ we denote by $\operatorname{St}_{\text {Aut }\left(F_{n}\right)}(Y)$ the pointwise stabilizer of $Y$ in $\operatorname{Aut}\left(F_{n}\right)$ and by $\operatorname{St}_{\text {SAut }\left(F_{n}\right)}(Y)$ the pointwise stabilizer of $Y$ in $\operatorname{SAut}\left(F_{n}\right)$.

In [29], McCool proved that the group $\mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{Aut}\left(F_{n}\right)}(Y)$ is finitely presented for every finite $Y \subseteq F_{n}$ and gave an algorithm for finding such a presentation (see also Proposition 5.7 in Chapter 1 of [25] and a remark after it).
We are specially interested in finding presentations of $\operatorname{St}_{\text {Aut }\left(F_{n}\right)}\left(X_{k}\right)$ and $\operatorname{St}_{\text {SAut }\left(F_{n}\right)}\left(X_{k}\right)$ for $X_{k}=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{k}\right\}$, where $1 \leqslant k \leqslant n$. Denote

$$
\mathcal{M}_{n, k}=\mathcal{M}_{n} \cap \operatorname{St}_{\operatorname{Aut}\left(F_{n}\right)}\left(X_{k}\right)
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{W}_{n, k}=\mathcal{W}_{n} \cap \operatorname{St}_{\mathrm{Aut}\left(F_{n}\right)}\left(X_{k}\right)
$$

So, $\mathcal{W}_{n, k}$ consists of those $(A, a) \in \mathcal{W}_{n}$, for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
A \backslash\{a\} \subseteq\left\{x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}^{ \pm} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 4.12.5. Let $X_{n}=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$. For every $1 \leqslant k \leqslant n$, a finite presentation for $\mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{Aut}\left(F_{n}\right)}\left(X_{k}\right)$ is given by generators $\mathcal{M}_{n, k} \cup \mathcal{W}_{n, k}$ subject to the relations of (M0) - (M6) which contain only these generators.

Proof. By the result of $\mathrm{McCool}, \operatorname{St}_{\operatorname{Aut}\left(F_{n}\right)}\left(X_{k}\right)$ is isomorphic to the fundamental group of the following 2 -dimensional simplicial complex $\mathcal{K}$.
The vertices of $\mathcal{K}$ are $k$-element ordered subsets of $X_{n}^{ \pm}$, i.e. they have the form $\left(x_{i_{1}}^{\epsilon_{1}}, \ldots x_{i_{k}}^{\epsilon_{k}}\right)$, where $x_{i_{j}} \in X_{n}$ are different and $\epsilon_{i_{j}} \in\{1,-1\}$. We distinguish the vertex $\mathbf{x}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right)$. Two vertices $\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{k}\right)$ and $\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}\right)$ are joint by an edge with label $\alpha$ if $\alpha$ is a Whitehead automorphism of type $(A, a)$ or a monomial automorphism from $\mathcal{M}_{n}$, such that $v_{j}=u_{j} \alpha$ for $j=1, \ldots, k$. The inverse edge is labeled by $\alpha^{-1}$. The initial vertex of an edge $e$ is denoted by $i(e)$ and the terminal one by $t(e)$.
Let $\phi(e)$ denote the label of an edge $e$. This labeling can be obviously extended to paths in $\mathcal{K}$ : if $p=e_{1} e_{2} \ldots e_{m}$ is a path in $\mathcal{K}$, then $\phi(p)=\phi\left(e_{1}\right) \phi\left(e_{2}\right) \ldots \phi\left(e_{m}\right)$. By a loop we understand a cyclically ordered sequence of edges $\left(e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{m}\right)$, such that $i\left(e_{j+1}\right)=t\left(e_{j}\right), j=1, \ldots, m$, where the indexes are added modulo $m$. We glue a 2 -cell along a loop $p=\left(e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{m}\right)$ in $\mathcal{K}$ if there exists a relation $r=s$ from (M0) - (M6), such that the cyclic sequence $\left(\phi\left(e_{1}\right), \phi\left(e_{2}\right), \ldots, \phi\left(e_{m}\right)\right)$ coincides with the cyclic word $r s^{-1}$.

Clearly, if $p$ and $p^{\prime}$ are homotopic paths in $\mathcal{K}$, then $\phi(p)=\phi\left(p^{\prime}\right)$. Moreover, if $p$ is a closed path at $\mathbf{x}$ in $\mathcal{K}$, then $\phi(p)$ is an element of $\operatorname{St}_{\operatorname{Aut}\left(F_{n}\right)}\left(X_{k}\right)$. Thus, $\phi$ induces a homomorphism $\Phi: \pi_{1}(\mathcal{K}, \mathbf{x}) \rightarrow \operatorname{St}_{\operatorname{Aut}\left(F_{n}\right)}\left(X_{k}\right)$. By the cited general result of $\mathrm{McCool}, \Phi$ is an isomorphism.
To describe $\pi_{1}(\mathcal{K}, x)$, we choose a maximal subtree $T$ in $\mathcal{K}$ in the following way. For every vertex $y \neq \mathbf{x}$ of $\mathcal{K}$, we choose an edge $e_{y}$ from $\mathbf{x}$ to $y$, such that $\phi\left(e_{y}\right) \in \mathcal{M}_{n}$. Let $T$ be the maximal subtree in the 1 -skeleton of $\mathcal{K}$, consisting of all vertices and all these edges. For convenience we introduce the formal symbol $e_{\mathrm{x}}$, which we identify with $\emptyset$.
The generators of $\pi_{1}(\mathcal{K}, \mathbf{x})$ are the homotopy classes $\gamma(f)$ of paths $e_{i(f)} f e_{t(f)}^{-1}$, where $f$ runs through the edges of $\mathcal{K}$.
For every 2 -cell in $\mathcal{K}$ with the boundary $f_{1} f_{2} \ldots f_{m}$ we write the corresponding relation $\gamma\left(f_{1}\right) \gamma\left(f_{2}\right) \ldots \gamma\left(f_{m}\right)$. These relations together with the trivial relations $\gamma(f)^{-1}=\gamma\left(f^{-1}\right)$ form the complete set of defining relations for the chosen set of generators of $\pi_{1}(\mathcal{K}, \mathbf{x})$.
By applying $\Phi$, we get generators and defining relations for $\operatorname{St}_{\text {Aut }\left(F_{n}\right)}\left(X_{k}\right)$. Now we describe precisely these generators and relations.
Generators. Let $f$ be an edge. Denote $\sigma=\phi\left(e_{i(f)}\right)$ and $\tau=\phi\left(e_{t(f)}\right)$. By definition of $T$ we have $\sigma, \tau \in \mathcal{M}_{n}$.
a) Suppose that $\phi(f)=(A, a) \in \mathcal{W}_{n}$. Then the initial and the terminal vertices of $f$ coincide. It follows that $\phi(\gamma(f))=\sigma \cdot(A, a) \cdot \sigma^{-1}=\left(A \sigma^{-1}, a \sigma^{-1}\right)$ is an automorphism from $\mathcal{W}_{n, k}$.
b) Suppose that $\phi(f)=\nu \in \mathcal{M}_{n}$. Then $\phi(\gamma(f))=\sigma \nu \tau^{-1} \in \mathcal{M}_{n, k}$.

Thus, $\mathcal{M}_{n, k} \cup \mathcal{W}_{n, k}$ is the generator set for $\operatorname{St}_{\operatorname{Aut}\left(F_{n}\right)}\left(X_{k}\right)$.
Relations. Let $\Delta$ be a 2 -cell in $\mathcal{K}$ with the boundary $f_{1} f_{2} \ldots f_{m}$. By construction, $\phi\left(f_{1}\right) \phi\left(f_{2}\right) \ldots \phi\left(f_{m}\right)$ is one of the relations (M0) - (M6) (up to a rewriting of kind $r=s \rightsquigarrow r s^{-1}$ ), say ( $\mathbf{M i}$ ). The corresponding relation for $\operatorname{St}_{\text {Aut }\left(F_{n}\right)}\left(X_{k}\right)$ is $\phi\left(\gamma\left(f_{1}\right)\right) \phi\left(\gamma\left(f_{2}\right)\right) \ldots \phi\left(\gamma\left(f_{m}\right)\right)$, which is obviously a word of length $m$ in elements of $\mathcal{M}_{n, k} \cup \mathcal{W}_{n, k}$. We claim, that it also has the form ( $\mathbf{M i}$ ).
For instance, consider a 2 -cell with boundary $f_{1} f_{2} f_{3} f_{4}^{-1}$, such that the equation

$$
\phi\left(f_{1}\right) \phi\left(f_{2}\right) \phi\left(f_{3}\right)=\phi\left(f_{4}\right)
$$

has the form (M6): $\sigma^{-1} \cdot(A, a) \cdot \sigma=(A \sigma, a \sigma)$.
As explained above, $f_{2}$ and $f_{4}$ are loops. Denoting $\tau=\phi\left(e_{i\left(f_{1}\right)}\right), \delta=\phi\left(e_{i\left(f_{2}\right)}\right)$, we can write the corresponding relation

$$
\phi\left(\gamma\left(f_{1}\right)\right) \cdot \phi\left(\gamma\left(f_{2}\right)\right) \cdot \phi\left(\gamma\left(f_{3}\right)\right)=\phi\left(\gamma\left(f_{4}\right)\right)
$$

in $\operatorname{St}_{\operatorname{Aut}\left(F_{n}\right)}\left(X_{k}\right)$ :

$$
\tau \sigma^{-1} \delta^{-1} \cdot\left(\delta(A, a) \delta^{-1}\right) \cdot \delta \sigma \tau^{-1}=\tau(A \sigma, a \sigma) \tau^{-1}
$$

This is exactly

$$
\tau \sigma^{-1} \delta^{-1} \cdot\left(A \delta^{-1}, a \delta^{-1}\right) \cdot \delta \sigma \tau^{-1}=\left(A \sigma \tau^{-1}, a \sigma \tau^{-1}\right)
$$

and it has the form (M6). The other cases can be considered similarly.
Proposition 4.12.6. Let $X_{n}=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$. For every $1 \leqslant k \leqslant n$, a finite presentation for $\operatorname{St}_{\operatorname{SAut}\left(F_{n}\right)}\left(X_{k}\right)$ is given by generators

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{E_{a b} \mid a \in\left\{x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}^{ \pm}, b \in X_{n}^{ \pm}, a \neq b, b^{-1}\right\} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

subject to those relations $(\mathcal{R} 1)-(\mathcal{R} 5)$ which contain only these generators.
Proof. We deduce this proposition from Proposition 4.12.5. Using (25) we conclude, that $\mathrm{St}_{\operatorname{Aut}\left(F_{n}\right)}\left(X_{k}\right)$ is generated by $\mathcal{M}_{n, k}$ and all $E_{b a}$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
b \in\left\{x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}^{ \pm} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we can rewrite the relations in Proposition 4.12.5 in terms of these generators. We should do that exactly as Gersten in his proof of [20, Theorem 1.2]. As a result, we deduce that $\operatorname{St}_{\mathrm{Aut}\left(F_{n}\right)}\left(X_{k}\right)$ has the presentation as in Theorem 4.12.2 with the only restriction, that all generators of type $E_{b a}$ satisfy Condition (28). Finally, we apply Reidemeister-Schreier method to obtain a presentation for the subgroup $\operatorname{St}_{\mathrm{SAut}\left(F_{n}\right)}\left(X_{k}\right)$. Arguing as in the proof of [20, Theorem 1.2], we complete our proof.

## 5 Acknowledgements

We thank M. Bridson, A. Klyachko, D. Segal and P. Zalesski for helpful discussions. The first named author thanks the MPIM at Bonn for its support and excellent working conditions during the fall 2010, while this research was finished.

## References

[1] W. A. Bogley and A. J. Sieradski, Weighted combinatorial group theory and wild metric complexes, Groups-Korea 98, edited by Y.G. Baik, D.L. Johnson, and A.C. Kim (de Gruyter, Berlin, New York, 2000), 53-80.
[2] W. A. Bogley and A. J. Sieradski, Omega-groups II: Weighted presentations for omega-groups, preprint.
[3] W. A. Bogley and A. J. Sieradski, Omega groups III: Inverse limits and profinite groups, preprint.
[4] W. A. Bogley and A. J. Sieradski, Universal path spaces, preprint. Available at: http://people.oregonstate.edu/ bogleyw/research/ups.pdf
[5] O. Bogopolski, Introduction to group theory, EMS: Zürich, 2008.
[6] O. Bogopolski, A. Zastrow, An infinite commutator-product is not automatically trivial in the homology, Max-Planck-Institute of Mathematics Preprint Series, no. 125 (2008), 24 pages.
[7] R.M. Bryant, D.M. Evans, The small index property for free groups and relatively free groups, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 55 (1997), 363-369.
[8] R.G. Burns, L. Pi, Generators for the bounded automorphisms of infiniterank free nilpotent groups, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc., 40 (1989), 175-187.
[9] J.W. Cannon and G.R. Conner, The combinatorial structure of the Hawaiian earring group, Topology Appl., 106 (3) (2000), 225-271.
[10] J.W. Cannon and G.R. Conner, On the fundamental groups of onedimensional spaces, Topology Appl., 153 (2006), 2648-2672.
[11] J.W. Cannon and G.R. Conner, The big fundamental group, big Hawaiian earrings, and the big free product, Topology Appl., 106 (3) (2000), 273-291.
[12] J.M. Cohen, Aspherical 2-complexes, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 12 (1978), 101110.
[13] R. Cohen, Classes of automorphisms of free groups of infinite rank, Trans. Am. Math. Soc., 177, (1973), 99-120.
[14] R. Diestel and P. Sprüssel, The fundamental group of a locally finite graph with ends, Preprint 2008. Available at: http://www.math.unihamburg.de/home/diestel/
[15] K. Eda, Free $\sigma$-products and noncommutatively slender groups, J. Algebra, 148 (1992), 243-263.
[16] K. Eda, Free $\sigma$-products and fundamental groups of subspaces of the plane, Topology Appl. 84 (1998), 283-306.
[17] K. Eda, The fundamental groups of one-dimensional spaces and spatial homomorphisms, Topology Appl. 123 (3) (2002), 479-505.
[18] K. Eda and K. Kawamura, The fundamental group of one-dimensional spaces, Topology Appl., 87 (3) (1998), 163-172.
[19] D.M. Evans, Subgroups of small index in infinite general linear groups, Bull. London Math. Soc. 18 (1986), 587-590.
[20] S.M. Gersten, A presentation for the special automorphism group of a free group, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 33, (3) (1984), 269-279.
[21] C.K. Gupta, W. Holubovski, Automorphisms of a free group of infinite rank, Algebra and Analysis, 19 (2) (2007), 74-85.
[22] G. Higman, Unrestricted free products and varieties of topological groups, J. London Math. Soc., (2) 27 (1952), 73-81.
[23] W. Hodges, I. Hodkinson, D. Laskar, S. Shelah The small index property for $\omega$-stable $\omega$-categorial structures and for the random graph, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 48 (1993), 204-218.
[24] J.L. Kelley, General Topology, Van Nostrand, 1955; reprinted by Springer as Vol. 27 in Graduate Texts in Mathematics.
[25] R.C. Lyndon, P.E. Schupp, Combinatorial group theory, Springer, 1977.
[26] O. Macedonska-Nosalska, Note on automorphisms of a free abelian group, Canad. Math. Bull., 23 (1980), 111-113.
[27] G. Maxwell, Infinite general linear groups over rings, TAMS, 151 (1970), 371-375.
[28] J. McCool, A presentation for the automorphism group of a free group of finite rank, J. London Math. Soc., 8 (1974), 259-266.
[29] J. McCool, Some finitely presented subgroups of the automorphism group of a free group. J. Algebra, 35 (1975), 205-213.
[30] A. Rosenberg, The structure of the infinite general linear groups, Ann. of Math., II. Ser. 68 (1958), 278-294.
[31] A. J. Sieradski, Omega-groups, preprint. Available at http://people.oregonstate.edu/ bogleyw/research/og.pdf
[32] V. Tolstykh, On Bergmann's property for the automorphism groups of relatively free groups, J. of the London Math. Soc. 73 (3) (2006), 669-680.

