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We define natural operators of Laplace type for a Weyl manifold which transform conformally. We use the asymptotics of the heat equation for these operators to construct global invariants in Weyl geometry.

## 0 . Introduction

Relations between conformal and projective structures are of particular interest in both mathematics and in mathematical physics. Weyl (1922) attempted a unification of gravitation and electromagnetism in a model of space-time geometry combining both structures. His particular approach failed for physical reasons but his model is still studied in mathematics (see for example Folland (1970), Higa (1993), Pedersen \& Swann (1991)) and in mathematical physics (see for example Hitchin (1982)).

In this paper, we shall investigate the close relations between a Weyl geometry and the so called Codazzi structure which is constructed from a conformal and a projective structure using the Codazzi equations. We shall also study a class of operators of Laplace type on Weyl manifolds. We shall investigate their properties under gauge transformations and the asymptotic expansion of the associated heat equation trace.

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a conformal class of semi Riemannian metrics on a smooth manifold $M$ of dimension $m \geq 2$. A second order partial differential operator $D$ is said to be of Laplace type if the leading symbol of $D$ is given by $h \in \mathcal{C}$. Let $C_{+}^{\infty}(M)$ be the space of smooth positive functions on $M$; this is a group under pointwise multiplication and will be our gauge group. This group acts on $\mathcal{C}$; if $\beta \in C_{+}^{\infty}(M)$ and if $h \in \mathcal{C}$, then $h \mapsto{ }_{\beta} h=h \beta$. We suppose given some auxiliary geometric structure $\mathcal{S}$ on which $C_{+}^{\infty}(M)$ also acts. For $h \in \mathcal{C}$ and $s \in \mathcal{S}$, we assume given a natural operator $D=D\{h, s\}$ on $M$ which is of Laplace type. Let $D \mapsto_{\beta} D:=D\left\{{ }_{\beta} h,_{\beta} s\right\}$ and let $\mathcal{M}(\beta)$ be function multiplication. An operator $D$ is said to transform conformally if

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{\beta} D=\mathcal{M}\left(\beta^{a}\right) \circ D \circ \mathcal{M}\left(\beta^{b}\right) \text { for } a+b=-1 \tag{0.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The conformal Laplacian is an example of such an operator; there is no auxiliary geometric structure which is required. Let $d$ be the extcrior derivative and let $\delta_{h}$ be the co-derivative; this is the adjoint of $d$ relative to the metric $h$. Let, ${ }^{h} \Delta:=\delta_{h} d$ on $C^{\infty}(M)$ be the ordinary Laplacian. Let $\tau(h)$ be the scalar curvature of $h$ and let ${ }^{h} \square:={ }^{h} \Delta+(m-2) \tau(h) / 4(m-1)$ be the conformal Laplacian. Then ${ }^{h} \square$ transforms conformally, see for example Branson \& Orsted (1986):

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{\beta}^{h} \square=\mathcal{M}\left(\beta^{-1-a}\right) \circ{ }^{h} \square \circ \mathcal{M}\left(\beta^{a}\right) \text { for } a=(m-2) / 4 . \tag{0.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $D$ be an operator of Laplace type. Suppose that $h$ is positive definite. If $t>0$, the operator $e^{-t D}$ is of trace class in $L^{2}$ and as $t \downarrow \infty$, there is an asymptotic expansion of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Tr}_{L^{2}} e^{-t D} \approx \Sigma_{n} a_{n}(D) t^{(n-m) / 2} \tag{0.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

see for example Gilkey (1994). The invariants $a_{n}(D)$ are locally computable. If $D$ transforms conformally, the conformal index theorem of Branson \& Orsted (1986) and of Parker \& Rosenberg (1987) shows that $a_{m}(D)=a_{m}\left({ }_{\beta} D\right)$ so this is a gauge invariant of the geometric structures involved if $h$ is positive definite. It is possible to do an analytic continuation and extend these invariants to the semi Riemannian category as well.

This paper deals with Codazzi and Weyl structures; these are defined in §1. In §2, we will define several natural operators of Laplace type for these structures which transform conformally. In $\S 3$, we will compute the invariants of the heat equation for $m=2$ and for $m=4$ to illustrate the global invariants which arise.

It is a pleasant task to thank M. Kriele and V. Perlik for helpful discussions on Weyl geometries.

## 1. Weyl and Codazzi Structures

We begin our discussion by introducing some notational conventions.
1.a Weyl manifolds: Fix a torsion free connection ${ }^{\mathcal{W}} \nabla$, called the Weyl connection, on the tangent bundle of $M$. Let $h$ be a semi-Riemannian metric on $M$. We assume there exists a 1 -form $\hat{\theta}=\hat{\theta}_{h}$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{\mathcal{w}} \nabla h=2 \hat{\theta}_{h} \otimes h \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\mathcal{C}=\mathcal{C}\{\mathcal{W}\}$ be the conformal class defined by $h$ and let $\mathcal{T}=\mathcal{T}\{\mathcal{W}\}$ be the corresponding collection of 1 -forms $\hat{\theta}_{h}$. We shall identify metrics which differ by a constant positive factor so there is a bijective correspondence between elements of $\mathcal{C}$ and of $\mathcal{T}$. We will call the triple $\mathcal{W}:=\left({ }^{\mathcal{W}} \nabla, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{T}\right)$ a Weyl structure on $M$ and we will call $(M, \mathcal{W})$ a Weyl manifold. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
h \mapsto_{\beta} h:=\beta h \text { and } \hat{\theta} \mapsto_{\beta} \hat{\theta}:=\hat{\theta}+d \ln \beta / 2 \text { for } \beta \in C_{+}^{\infty}(M) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

define an action of the gauge group. We note that equation (1.1) is preserved by gauge equivalence and that $C_{+}^{\infty}(M)$ acts transitively on $\mathcal{C}$ and on $\mathcal{T}$.

Let $u, v, \ldots$ be vector fields on $M$ and let ${ }^{h} \nabla$ be the Levi-Civita connection of $h$. Let $\theta$ be the vector field dual to the 1 -form $\hat{\theta}$, i.e. $h(w, \theta)=\hat{\theta}(w)$. Let

$$
\alpha(u, v, w):=h\left(\left({ }^{\mathcal{W}} \nabla_{u}-{ }^{h} \nabla_{u}\right) v, w\right) .
$$
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Since ${ }^{\mathcal{W}} \nabla$ and ${ }^{h} \nabla$ are torsion free, $\alpha(u, v, w)=\alpha(v, u, w)$. Since ${ }^{h} \nabla h=0$ and since ${ }^{W} \nabla$ satisfies equation (1.1), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha(u, v, w)+\alpha(u, w, v)+2 \hat{\theta}(u) h(v, w)=0 \\
& \alpha(u, v, w)=-\hat{\theta}(u) h(v, w)-\hat{\theta}(v) h(u, w)+\hat{\theta}(w) h(u, v)  \tag{1.3}\\
& { }^{\mathcal{W}} \nabla_{u} v={ }^{h} \nabla_{u} v-\hat{\theta}(u) v-\hat{\theta}(v) u+h(u, v) \theta .
\end{align*}
$$

Conversely, if equation (1.3) is satisfied, then ${ }^{\mathcal{W}} \nabla={ }^{\mathcal{W}} \nabla\{h, \hat{\theta}\}$ is a torsion free connection and equation (1.1) is satisfied. We generate a Weyl structure from an arbitrary semi-Riemannian metric $h$ and from an arbitrary 1 -form $\hat{\theta}$ by using equation (1.3) to define ${ }^{\mathcal{W}} \nabla$ and using the action of $C_{+}^{\infty}(M)$ defined in equation (1.2) to generate the classes $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{T}$; see Weyl (1922) or Folland (1970) for further details.
1.b Curvature: We use the sign convention of Kobayashi \& Nomizu (1963). Let

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }^{\mathcal{W}} F:=d \hat{\theta}_{h}, \\
& { }^{\mathcal{W}} R(u, v):={ }^{\mathcal{W}} \nabla_{u}{ }^{\mathcal{W}} \nabla_{v}-{ }^{W^{W}} \nabla_{v}{ }^{\mathcal{W}} \nabla_{u}-{ }^{W^{W}} \nabla_{[u, v]},  \tag{1.4}\\
& { }^{\mathcal{W}} K(u, v) w:={ }^{\mathcal{W}} R(u, v) w-{ }^{\mathcal{W}} F(u, v) w .
\end{align*}
$$

By equation (1.2), ${ }^{\mathcal{W}} F$ is a gauge invariant. The curvature ${ }^{\mathcal{W}} R$ of ${ }^{\mathcal{W}} \nabla$ and the Weyl directional curvature ${ }^{\mathcal{W}} K$ are also gauge invariants. Let $h \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W})$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h\left(z,{ }^{\mathcal{W}} R(u, v) z\right)={ }^{\mathcal{W}} F(u, v) h(z, z), \\
& h\left({ }^{\mathcal{W}} K(u, v) w, w\right)=0, \\
& h\left({ }^{\mathcal{W}} F(u, v) w, z\right)=h\left({ }^{\mathcal{W}} F(u, v) z, w\right), \\
& h\left({ }^{\mathcal{W}} K(u, v) w, z\right)=-h\left({ }^{\mathcal{W}} K(u, v) z, w\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $H^{p}(M)$ denote the de Rham cohomology groups of $M$. If ${ }^{\mathcal{W}_{F}}=0$, then $\left[\hat{\theta}_{h}\right] \in H^{1}(M)$ is a gauge invariant.

Theorem 1.1. (Higa (1993)). The following assertions are equivalent:
(i) We have ${ }^{\mathcal{W}} F(\mathcal{W})=0$ and $\left[\hat{\theta}_{h}\right]=0$ in $H^{1}(M)$.
(ii) There exists $h \in \mathcal{C}\{\mathcal{W}\}$ such that ${ }^{\mathcal{W}} \nabla h=0$; i.e. ${ }^{\mathcal{W}} \nabla$ is the Levi-Civita connection of $h$.
1.c Projective equivalence: Two torsion free connections $\nabla$ and $\dot{\nabla}$ are said to be projectively equivalent if their unparametrizod geodesics coincide or equivalently (sce Eisenhart (1964)) if there exists a 1 -form $\hat{\Theta}$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\nabla}_{v} u-\nabla_{v} u=\hat{\Theta}(u) v+\hat{\Theta}(v) u . \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\operatorname{Ric}(u, v)=\operatorname{Tr}(w \mapsto R(w, u) v)$ be the Ricci curvature of $\nabla$. Let

$$
\rho(u, v):=(\operatorname{Ric}(u, v)+\operatorname{Ric}(v, u)) / 2
$$

be the symmetrized Ricci curvature. A connection $\nabla$ is said to be Ricci symmetric if, and only if, Ric $=\rho$. Note that $\nabla$ is Ricci symmetric if, and only if, $\nabla$ locally admits a parallel volume form; see Pinkall et al (1994).
1.d Codazzi manifolds: A torsion free connection ${ }^{*} \nabla$ and a semi-Riemannian metric $h$ are said to satisfy the Codazzi equation or to be Codazzi compatible if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left({ }^{*} \nabla_{u} h\right)(v, w)=\left({ }^{*} \nabla_{v} h\right)(u, w) . \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

A projective class $\mathcal{P}$ of torsion free connections and a conformal class $\mathcal{C}$ of semiRiemannian metrics are said to be Codazzi compatible if there exists * $\nabla \in \mathcal{P}$ and $h \in \mathcal{C}$ which are Codazzi compatible. We extend the action of the gauge group to define ${ }^{*} \nabla \mapsto{ }_{\beta}^{*} \nabla$ where ${ }_{\beta}^{*} \nabla$ is defined by taking $\hat{\Theta}=d \ln \beta$ in equation (1.5);

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{\beta}^{*} \nabla_{u} v={ }^{*} \nabla_{u} v+d \ln \beta(u) v+d \ln \beta(v) u . \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Codazzi equations are preserved by gauge equivalence. A Codazzi structure $\mathcal{K}$ on $M$ is a pair $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{P})$ where the conformal class of semi-Riemannian metrics $\mathcal{C}$ and the projective class $\mathcal{P}$ are Codazzi compatible; we shall call $(M, \mathcal{K})$ a Codazzi manifold.

Suppose that $\left(h,{ }^{*} \nabla\right)$ are Codazzi compatible. Let $C:={ }^{*} \nabla-{ }^{h} \nabla$ be a (1.2) tensor and let $\hat{C}$ be the associated cubic form. Since ${ }^{*} \nabla$ and ${ }^{h} \nabla$ are torsion free, $C$ is a symmetric (1.2) tensor and $\hat{C}(u, v, w)=\hat{C}(v, u, w)$. The Codazzi equation (1.6) and this symmetry then shows $\hat{C}(u, v, w)=\hat{C}(w, v, u)$. Thus $\hat{C}$ is totally symmetric.

Conversely, let $h$ be a semi-Riemannian metric and let $\hat{C}$ be a totally symmetric cubic form. Let ${ }^{*} \nabla:={ }^{h} \nabla+C$ where $C$ is the associated symmetric (1.2) tensor field. Since $\hat{C}$ is symmetric, ${ }^{*} \nabla$ is torsion free and the Codazzi equations (1.6) are satisfied. Let $\nabla:={ }^{h} \nabla-C$. Note that ( ${ }^{*} \nabla, h, \nabla$ ) form a conjugate triple, i.e.

$$
u h(v, w)=h\left(\nabla_{u} v, w\right)+h\left(v,{ }^{*} \nabla_{u} w\right) .
$$

1.e Relating Codazzi and Weyl structures: Let $\mathcal{W}$ be a Weyl structure. We use the gauge group $C_{+}^{\infty}(M)$ to generate a Codazzi structure as follows. Let $C=C\{h, \hat{\theta}\}$ and $\hat{C}=\hat{C}\{h, \hat{\theta}\}:=h(C(u, v), w)$ be the symmetric (1.2) tensor field and associated totally symmetric cubic form:

$$
\begin{align*}
& C(u, v):=\hat{\theta}(u) v+\hat{\theta}(v) u+h(u, v) \theta \\
& \hat{C}(u, v, w):=\hat{\theta}(u) h(v, w)+\hat{\theta}(v) h(w, u)+\hat{\theta}(w) h(u, v) . \tag{1.8}
\end{align*}
$$

We note that we can recover $\hat{\theta}$ from equation (1.8);

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\theta}(u)=(m+2)^{-1} \operatorname{Tr}(v \mapsto C(u, v)) . \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\beta \in C_{+}^{\infty}(M)$, let ${ }_{\beta} C=C\left\{{ }_{\beta} h,{ }_{\beta} \hat{\theta}\right\}$ and ${ }_{\beta} \hat{C}=\hat{C}\left\{{ }_{\beta} h,{ }_{\beta} \hat{\theta}\right\}$.
Lemma 1.2. Let $h$ be a semi-Riemannian metric on $M$ and let $\hat{\theta}$ be a 1 form. Let ${ }^{\mathcal{W}} \nabla={ }^{\mathcal{W}} \nabla\{h, \hat{\theta}\}$ and $C=C\{h, \hat{\theta}\}$ be the associated Weyl connection and symmetric (1.2) tensor field. We use $h$ and $\hat{\theta}$ to generate connections $\nabla=\nabla\{h, \hat{\theta}\}$ and ${ }^{*} \nabla={ }^{*} \nabla\{h, \hat{\theta}\}$ with the following properties:
(i) The connections ${ }^{*} \nabla:={ }^{h} \nabla+C$ and $\nabla:=\hat{\nabla}-C$ are torsion free, and $\left(\nabla, h,{ }^{*} \nabla\right)$ forms a conjugate triple.
(ii) We have ${ }^{\mathcal{W}} \nabla$ and ${ }^{*} \nabla$ are projectively equivalent.
(iii) We have $h$ and ${ }^{*} \nabla$ are Codazzi compatible.
(iv) We have ${ }^{*} \nabla\left\{{ }_{\beta} h,{ }_{\beta} \hat{\theta}\right\}={ }_{\beta}\left({ }^{*} \nabla\{h, \hat{\theta}\}\right)$.

Proof. The first assertion follows from the total symmetry of the tensor $\hat{C}$. Equations (1.3) and (1.8) imply

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{\mathcal{W}} \nabla_{u} v-{ }^{*} \nabla_{u} v=-2 \hat{\theta}(u) v-2 \hat{\theta}(v) u \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

so ${ }^{W} \nabla_{u}$ and ${ }^{*} \nabla$ are projectively equivalent. Since $\left({ }^{*} \nabla_{u} h\right)(v, w)=-2 \hat{C}(u, v, w)$, the Codazzi equation (1.6) now follows from the total symmetry of $C$. We use equations (1.2) and (1.10) to complete the proof by checking equation (1.7) holds:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& * \nabla\left\{{ }_{\beta} h,{ }_{\beta} \hat{\theta}\right\}_{u} v-* \nabla\{h, \hat{\theta}\}_{u} v=2\left({ }_{\beta} \hat{\theta}-\hat{\theta}\right)(u) v+2\left({ }_{\beta} \hat{\theta}-\hat{\theta}\right)(v) u \\
& \quad=d \ln \beta(u) v+d \ln \beta(v) u .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\mathcal{W}$ is a Weyl structure, we can use Lemma 1.2 to define an associated Codazzi structure $\mathcal{K}\{\mathcal{W}\}$. Conversely let $\mathcal{K}$ be a Codazzi structure. Let

$$
C:={ }^{*} \nabla-{ }^{h} \nabla \text { and } \hat{\theta}(u):=(m+2)^{-1} \operatorname{Tr}(v \mapsto C(u, v)) .
$$

Let $\mathcal{W}=\mathcal{W}\{h, \hat{\theta}\}$ be the associated Weyl structure defined by equation (1.3). If $\beta \in C_{+}^{\infty}(M)$, let ${ }_{\beta} h=\beta h$ and let ${ }_{\beta}\left({ }^{*} \nabla\right)$ be defined by equation (1.7). Let $v_{i}$ be a local orthogonal frame; $h\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right)=0$ for $i \neq j$. Let $\hat{\Theta}=d \ln \beta$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{\theta}(u)=\Sigma_{i} h\left(\left({ }^{*} \nabla_{u}-{ }^{h} \nabla_{u}\right) v_{i}, v_{i}\right) / h\left(v_{i}, v_{i}\right) \\
& \quad=\Sigma_{i} h\left({ }^{*} \nabla v_{i}, v_{i}\right) / h\left(v_{i}, v_{i}\right)-\Sigma_{i} u\left(h\left(v_{i}, v_{i}\right)\right) / 2 h\left(v_{i}, v_{i}\right) \\
& \left.{ }_{\beta} \hat{\theta}(u)-\theta(u)=\Sigma_{i} h\left({ }_{\beta}^{*} \nabla_{u}-{ }^{*} \nabla_{u}\right) v_{i}, v_{i}\right) / 2 h\left(v_{i}, v_{i}\right) \\
& \quad-\Sigma_{i}\left(u\left(\beta h\left(v_{i}, v_{i}\right)\right) / \beta h\left(v_{i}, v_{i}\right)-u\left(h\left(v_{i}, v_{i}\right)\right) / 2 h\left(v_{i}, v_{i}\right)\right) \\
& =\Sigma_{i} \hat{\Theta}(u) h\left(v_{i}, v_{i}\right) / 2 h\left(v_{i}, v_{i}\right) \\
& \quad+\hat{\Theta}\left(v_{i}\right) h\left(u, v_{i}\right) / 2 h\left(v_{i}, v_{i}\right)-m \hat{\Theta}(u) / 2=\hat{\Theta}(u) / 2 .
\end{aligned}
$$

This is the transformation law given in equation (1.2) and thus the Weyl structure is invariantly defined. Since $\hat{\theta}\{h, C\{h, \hat{\theta}\}\}=\hat{\theta}, \mathcal{W}\{\mathcal{K}\{\mathcal{W}\}\}=\mathcal{W}$ so we may recover the Weyl structure from the associated Codazzi structure. However, if we start with a Codazzi structure $\mathcal{K}$, then $C\{h, \hat{\theta}\{h, C\}\} \neq C$ in general so $\mathcal{K}\{\mathcal{W}\{\mathcal{K}\}\} \neq \mathcal{K}$. For a given Codazzi structure $\mathcal{K}$, let

$$
\hat{\theta}(h)(u):=(m+2)^{-1} \operatorname{Tr}(v \mapsto C(u, v)) \text { where } C:={ }^{*} \nabla-{ }^{h} \nabla .
$$

Use equation (1.3) to generate a Weyl structure $\mathcal{W}$ from $\{h, \hat{\theta}\}$, let $C_{1}:=C_{1}(h, \hat{\theta})$ be the symmetric (1.2) tensor defined by equation (1.8), and let $\hat{\gamma}:=\hat{C}_{1}-\hat{C}$. Then $\operatorname{Tr}(v \mapsto \gamma(u, v)) \equiv 0$ i.e. $\gamma$ is apolar. The set of all Codazzi structures $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{P})$ giving rise to a given Weyl structure is parametrized by the apolar (1.2) tensors.

## 2. Second order differential operators on Weyl manifolds

2.a Relating curvatures: Let $\nabla$ be a torsion free connection and let $h$ be a semi-Riemannian metric on $M$. Let $\tau(h, \nabla):=\operatorname{Tr}_{h}{ }^{\nabla} \rho$ be the contraction of the Ricci tensor of $\nabla$. We let $\tau(h)=\tau\left(h,{ }^{h} \nabla\right)$. Let $\delta_{h}$ be the co-derivative defined by $h ; \delta_{h} \hat{\theta}=-\left({ }^{h} \nabla_{i} \hat{\theta}\right)^{i}$. Let $\|\hat{\theta}\|_{h}^{2}$ be the norm${ }^{2}$ of $\hat{\theta}$. We omit the proof of the following Lemma as it is a straightforward application of formulas from Eisenhart (1964).

Lemma 2.1.
(i) Let $h$ be a semi-Riemannian metric on $M$ and let $\hat{\theta}$ be a 1 form. Let ${ }^{\mathcal{W}} \nabla={ }^{\mathcal{W}} \nabla\{h, \hat{\theta}\}$ be the associated Weyl connection, let $C=C\{h, \hat{\theta}\}$ be the associated symmetric (1.2) tensor field, and let ${ }^{*} \nabla={ }^{h} \nabla+C$ be the associated connection which is projectively equivalent to ${ }^{\mathcal{W}} \nabla$. Then
(a) $\tau\left(h,{ }^{\mathcal{W}} \nabla\right)=\tau(h)-2(m-1) \delta_{h} \hat{\theta}-\left.(m-1)(m-2)| | \hat{\theta}\right|_{h} ^{2}$
(b) $\tau\left(h,{ }^{*} \nabla\right)=\tau(h)+(m-1)(m+2)\|\hat{\theta}\|_{h}^{2}$.
(ii) Let $\bar{\nabla}$ and $\nabla$ be projectively equivalent. Let $\hat{\Theta}_{; v u}=u(\hat{\Theta}(v))-\hat{\Theta}\left(\nabla_{u} v\right)$ denote the components of the covariant derivative $\nabla \hat{\Theta}$. Then
(a) $\check{R}(u, v) w=R(u, v) w+\hat{\Theta}_{; w u} v-\hat{\Theta}_{; w v} u+d \hat{\Theta}(u, v) w+\hat{\Theta}(v) \hat{\Theta}(w) u$

$$
-\hat{\Theta}(u) \hat{\Theta}(w) v
$$

(b) $\check{\rho}(u, w)=\rho(u, w)-(m-1)\left(\hat{\Theta}_{; u w}+\hat{\Theta}_{i w u}\right) / 2+(m-1) \hat{\Theta}(u) \hat{\Theta}(w)$.
2.b The normalized Hessian: Let $\nabla$ be a torsion free connection on the tangent bundle of $M$. Let $S^{2}\left(T^{*} M\right)$ denote the space of symmetric ( 0.2 ) tensors. We define the Hessian $H=H\{\nabla\}$, the normalized Hessian $\mathcal{H}=\mathcal{H}(\nabla)$, and the trace of the normalized Hessian $\mathcal{D}=\mathcal{D}\{h, \nabla\}$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
& H(f)(u, v):=u(v(f))-d f\left(\nabla_{u} v\right): C^{\infty}(M) \rightarrow S^{2}\left(T^{*} M\right), \\
& \mathcal{H}(f):=H(f)+(m-1)^{-1} f \rho: C^{\infty}(M) \rightarrow S^{2}\left(T^{*} M\right),  \tag{2.1}\\
& \mathcal{D} f:=-\operatorname{Tr}_{h}(\mathcal{H}): C^{\infty}(M) \rightarrow C^{\infty}(M)
\end{align*}
$$

There is another way to think of the operator $\mathcal{D}$ which is useful. If $\psi$ is a 1 form, then $\delta_{h} \psi=-h^{i j h} \nabla_{i} \psi_{j}=-\operatorname{Tr}_{h}{ }^{h} \nabla \psi$. We generalize this operator to define $\delta_{h, \nabla}=-\operatorname{Tr}_{h}^{h} \nabla$ and the associated Laplacian $\Delta_{h, \nabla}^{0}=\delta_{h, \nabla} d$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \nabla d f(u, v)=u(d f(v))-d f\left(\nabla_{u} v\right)=H(f)(u, v), \\
& \mathcal{D}=\Delta_{h, \nabla}^{0} \dot{-} \tau(h, \nabla) /(m-1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $\mathcal{D}$ generalizes the conformal Laplacian to Weyl and Codazzi geometry.
Lemma 2.2. Let $(R, \rho, H, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D})$ and $\left({ }_{\beta} R,{ }_{\beta} \rho,{ }_{\beta} H,{ }_{\beta} \mathcal{H},{ }_{\beta} \mathcal{D}\right)$ be defined by $(h, \nabla)$ and ( ${ }_{\beta} h,{ }_{\beta} \nabla$ ), where $\nabla$ is torsion free.
(i) ${ }_{\beta} R(u, v) w=R(u, v) w+\beta H\left(\beta^{-1}\right)(v, w) u-\beta H\left(\beta^{-1}\right)(u, w) v$.
(ii) $\beta \rho=\rho+(m-1) \beta H\left(\beta^{-1}\right)$.
(iii) $\beta^{-1}{ }_{\beta} H(\beta f)=H(f)-f \beta H\left(\beta^{-1}\right)$.
(iv) $\beta^{-1}{ }_{\beta} \mathcal{H}(\beta f)=\mathcal{H}(f)$.
(v) ${ }_{\beta} \mathcal{D}(f)=\mathcal{D}\left(\beta^{-1} f\right)$.

Proof. Let $\phi=\ln \beta$, let $\phi_{u}=u(\phi)$, let $\phi_{u v}=(u v)(\phi)$, and let $\hat{\Theta}=d \ln \beta$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\hat{\Theta}_{; w u}-\hat{\Theta}(u) \hat{\Theta}(w)\right) v=\left(\phi_{u w}-\phi_{\nabla_{u} w}-\hat{\Theta}(u) \hat{\Theta}(w)\right) v \\
& \quad=-\beta H\left(\beta^{-1}\right)(u, w) v
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $d \hat{\Theta}=0$ and $\hat{\Theta}_{; u w}=\hat{\Theta}_{; w u}$, (i) and (ii) follow from Lemma 2.1. We compute:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \beta^{-1}{ }_{\beta} H(\beta f)(u, v)=\beta^{-1}(\beta f)_{(u v)}-\beta^{-1}\left({ }_{\beta} \nabla_{u} v\right)(\beta f) \\
& =\quad f_{u v}+\phi_{u} f_{v}+\phi_{v} f_{u}+\phi_{u v} f+\phi_{u} \phi_{v} f-\phi_{\left(\nabla_{u} v\right)} f-f_{\left(\nabla_{u} v\right)} \\
& \quad-2 \phi_{u} \phi_{v} f-\phi_{v} f_{u}-\phi_{u} f_{v}
\end{aligned}
$$
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$$
\begin{aligned}
& =H(f)(u, v)-f \beta\left(\beta^{-1}\right)_{(u v)}+f \beta\left(\nabla_{u} v\right) \beta^{-1} \\
& =H(f)(u, v)-f \beta H\left(\beta^{-1}\right)(u, v)
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves (iii); (iv) follows from (iii). We complete the proof by computing ${ }_{\beta} \mathcal{D}(\beta f)=-\operatorname{Tr}_{\beta} h\left({ }_{\beta} \mathcal{H}(\beta f)\right)=-\beta^{-1} \operatorname{Tr}_{h}\left({ }_{\beta} \mathcal{H}(\beta f)\right)=-\operatorname{Tr}_{h}(\mathcal{H}(f))=\mathcal{D}(f)$.
2.c Natural operators: Let $\mathcal{K}$ be a Codazzi structure, let $\left(h,{ }^{*} \nabla\right) \in \mathcal{K}$, and let ${ }^{\mathcal{W}} \nabla$ be the Weyl connection defined by $\mathcal{K}$. We recall the definition of the normalized Hessian from $\S 2 . b$ and define:
(i) Let ${ }^{*} \mathcal{D}:=\mathcal{D}\left\{h,{ }^{*} \nabla\right\}$ be the trace of the normalized Hessian of ${ }^{*} \nabla$.
(ii) Let ${ }^{\mathcal{W}} \mathcal{D}:=\mathcal{D}\left\{h,{ }^{\mathcal{W}} \nabla\right\}$ be the trace of the normalized Hessian of ${ }^{\mathcal{W}} \nabla$.
(iii) Let ${ }^{\mathcal{W}} \Delta:=-\operatorname{Tr}_{h}{ }^{\mathcal{W}} \nabla d$ be the scalar Laplacian of ${ }^{\mathcal{W}} \nabla$.
(iv) Let ${ }^{h} \square:=-\operatorname{Tr}_{h} \delta_{h} d+(m-2) \tau(h) / 4(m-1)$ be the conformal Laplacian. The following Lemma is now immediate:

Lemma 2.3. The operators ${ }^{*} \mathcal{D},{ }^{\mathcal{W}} \mathcal{D},{ }^{\mathcal{W}} \Delta$, and ${ }^{h} \square$ transform conformally:
(i) ${ }_{\beta}^{\mathcal{W}} \mathcal{D}=\mathcal{M}\left(\beta^{-1}\right)^{\mathcal{W}} \mathcal{D}$.
(ii) ${ }_{\beta}{ }^{*} \mathcal{D}={ }^{*} \mathcal{D} \mathcal{M}\left(\beta^{-1}\right)$.
(iii) ${ }_{\beta}^{\mathcal{W}} \Delta=\mathcal{M}\left(\beta^{-1}\right)^{\mathcal{W}} \Delta$.
(iv) ${ }_{\beta}^{h} \square=\mathcal{M}\left(\beta^{-1-a}\right)^{h} \square \mathcal{M}\left(\beta^{a}\right)$ for $a=(m-2) / 4$.
2.d Heat equation asymptotics: Suppose that $h$ is positive definite. We let $x=\left(x^{1}, \ldots, x^{m}\right)$ be local coordinates on a closed manifold $M$ of dimension $m$. Let $\partial_{i}=\partial / \partial x^{i}$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
D:=-\left(h^{i j} \partial_{i} \partial_{j}+A^{k} \partial_{k}+B\right) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

be an operator of Laplace type on $C^{\infty}(M)$ where $A^{k}$ and $B$ are smooth functions on $M$. The invariants $a_{n}(D)$ defined in equation ( 0.3 ) are locally computable; they vanish for $n$ odd, see Gilkey (1994) for details. Let $d \nu_{h}$ be the measure determined by $h$. For $n$ even, there exist smooth local invariants $a_{n}(x, D)$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{n}(D)=\int_{M} a_{n}(x, D) d \nu_{h}(x) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We refer to Gilkey $(1975,1994)$ for the proof of the following assertion giving combinatorial formulas for these invariants. Let $\tau(h),\left\|^{h} \rho\right\|_{h}^{2}$, and $\left\|^{h} R\right\|_{h}^{2}$ be the scalar curvature, the norm ${ }^{2}$ of the Ricci curvature, and norm ${ }^{2}$ of the full curvature tensor for the Levi-Civita connection defined by $h$.

Lemma 2.4. Let $h$ be a Riemannian metric. Let $D$ be an operator of Laplace type as given in equation (2.2). Let ${ }^{h} \Gamma_{i j}{ }^{k}$ be the Christofel symbols of the LeviCivita connection ${ }^{h} \nabla$. There exists a unique connection $\nabla=\nabla\{D\}$ on $C^{\infty}(M)$ and a unique function $E=E\{D\} \in C^{\infty}(M)$ so that $D=-\left(\operatorname{Tr}\left(\nabla^{2}\right)+E\right)$. Let $\omega=\omega\{D\}$ and $\Omega=\Omega\{D\}$ be the connection 1 -form and curvature of $\nabla$. Then
(i) $\omega_{i}=h_{i j}\left(A^{j}+{ }^{h} \Gamma_{k l}{ }^{j} h^{k l}\right) / 2$.
(ii) $E=B-h^{i j}\left(\partial_{i} \omega_{j}+\omega_{i} \omega_{j}+{ }^{h} \Gamma_{i j}{ }^{k} \omega_{k}\right)$.
(iii) $a_{0}(x, D)=(4 \pi)^{-m / 2}$.
(iv) $a_{2}(x, D)=6^{-1}(4 \pi)^{-m / 2}(\tau(h)+6 E)$.
(v) $a_{4}(x, D)=360^{-1}(4 \pi)^{-m / 2}\left\{60 \tau(h)_{; k k}+60 \tau(h) E+180 E^{2}\right.$
$\left.+30 g \Omega_{i j} \Omega_{i j}+12 \tau(h)_{; k k}+5 \tau(h)^{2}-2\left\|^{h} \rho\right\|_{h}^{2}+2\left\|^{h} R\right\|_{h}^{2}\right\}$.
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We compute the endomorphism $E$ and the curvature $\Omega$ for the four natural operators discussed in Lemma 2.3.

Lemma 2.5. We have
(i) $E\left\{{ }^{*} \mathcal{D}\right\}=\left\{(m+2) r\left(h,{ }^{\mathcal{W}} \nabla\right)-(m-2) \tau(h)\right\} / 4(m-1)$.
(ii) $\Omega\left\{{ }^{*} \mathcal{D}\right\}=-(m+2)^{\mathcal{W}_{F}} / 2$.
(iii) $E\left\{{ }^{\mathcal{W}} \mathcal{D}\right\}=-(m-2) \delta_{h} \hat{\theta} / 2-(m-2)^{2}\|\hat{\theta}\|_{h}^{2} / 4+(m-1)^{-1} \tau\left(h,{ }^{\mathcal{W}} \nabla\right)$.
(iv) $\Omega\left\{{ }^{\mathcal{W}} \mathcal{D}\right\}=-(m-2)^{\mathcal{W}} F / 2$.
(v) $E\left\{{ }^{\mathcal{W}} \Delta\right\}=-(m-2) \delta_{h} \hat{\theta} / 2-(m-2)^{2}\|\hat{\theta}\|_{h}^{2} / 4$, and $\Omega\left\{{ }^{\mathcal{W}} \Delta\right\}=-(m-2)^{\mathcal{W}} F / 2$.
(vi) $E\left\{{ }^{h} \square\right\}=-(m-2) \tau(h) / 4(m-1)$, and $\Omega\left\{{ }^{h} \square\right\}=0$.

Proof. Let $\partial_{i}$ be a local coordinate frame for the tangent bundle. We compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }^{*} H(f)\left(\partial_{i}, \partial_{j}\right)=\partial_{i} \partial_{j} f-{ }^{*} \Gamma_{i j}{ }^{k} \partial_{k} f \\
& \operatorname{Tr}_{h}\left({ }^{*} H+(m-1)^{-1 *} \rho\right)=-h^{i j}\left(\partial_{i} \partial_{j}-{ }^{*} \Gamma_{i j}{ }^{k} \partial_{k}+(m-1)^{-1 *} \rho_{i j}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently $A^{k}\left\{{ }^{*} \mathcal{D}\right\}=-h^{i j *} \Gamma_{i j}{ }^{k}$ and $B\left\{{ }^{*} \mathcal{D}\right\}=(m-1)^{-1} \tau\left(h,{ }^{*} \nabla\right)$. Therefore

$$
\omega\left\{{ }^{*} \mathcal{D}\right\}_{i}=h_{i j} h^{k l}\left({ }^{h} \Gamma_{k l}{ }^{j}-{ }^{*} \Gamma_{k l}{ }^{j}\right) / 2=-h_{i j} h^{k l} C_{k l}^{j} / 2=-(m+2) \hat{\theta}_{i} / 2 .
$$

We compute $\Omega\left\{{ }^{*} \mathcal{D}\right\}$ using equation (1.4). We compute $E\left\{{ }^{*} \mathcal{D}\right\}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
E & \left\{{ }^{*} \mathcal{D}\right\}=B-h^{i j}\left(\omega_{j ; i}+\omega_{i} \omega_{j}\right) \\
& =\tau(h, * \nabla) /(m-1)-(m+2) \delta_{h} \hat{\theta} / 2-(m+2)^{2} \mid\|\hat{\theta}\|_{h}^{2} / 4 \\
& =\tau(h) /(m-1)^{-}+(m+2)\|\hat{\theta}\|_{h}^{2}-(m+2) \delta_{h} \hat{\theta} / 2-(m+2)^{2}\|\hat{\theta}\|_{h}^{2} / 4 \\
& =\left\{4 \tau(h)+(m+2)(m-1)\left(-2 \delta_{h} \hat{\theta}-(m-2)\|\hat{\theta}\|_{h}^{2}\right)\right\} / 4(m-1) \\
& =\left\{4 \tau(h)+(m+2)\left(\tau\left(h,{ }^{W} \nabla\right)-\tau(h)\right)\right\} / 4(m-1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We use equation (1.3) and argue as above to see

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \omega\left\{{ }^{\mathcal{W}} \mathcal{D}\right\}_{i}=\omega\left\{{ }^{W} \Delta\right\}_{i}=h_{i j} h^{k l}\left({ }^{h} \Gamma_{k l}{ }^{j}-{ }^{\mathcal{W}} \Gamma_{k l}{ }^{j}\right) / 2 \\
& \quad=-h_{i j} h^{k l} \alpha_{k l}{ }^{j} / 2=-(m-2) \hat{\theta}_{i} / 2 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The computation of $E$ and $\Omega$ for ${ }^{\mathcal{W}} \mathcal{D}$ and ${ }^{\mathcal{W}} \Delta$ is now immediate. The connection defined by $\delta_{h} d$ is flat and the endomorphism defined by $\delta_{h} d$ is zero. Thus we have that $\Omega\left\{^{h} \square\right\}=0$ and $E\left\{{ }^{h} \square\right\}=-(m-2) \tau(h) / 4(m-1)$.

## 3. Invariants of Codazzi and Weyl structures

3.a The conformal index theorem: We refer to Branson \& Orsted (1986) and to Parker \& Rosenberg (1987) for the proof of the first assertion in the following Lemma. The second assertion follows from the first assertion and from Lemma 2.3.

## Lemma 3.1.

(i) Let $D$ be an operator of Laplace type. Let ${ }_{\beta} D=\mathcal{M}\left(\beta^{a}\right) \circ D \circ \mathcal{M}\left(\beta^{-1-a}\right)$. Then $a_{m}\left({ }_{\beta} D\right)=a_{m}(D)$ and $a_{m-2}\left(x,{ }_{\beta} D\right)=\beta^{(2-m) / 2} a_{m-2}(x, D)$.
(ii) We have that $a_{m}\left({ }^{*} \mathcal{D}\right), a_{m}\left({ }^{\mathcal{W}} \mathcal{D}\right), a_{m}\left({ }^{\mathcal{W}} \Delta\right)$, and $a_{m}\left({ }^{( } \square\right)$ are gauge invariants of a Codazzi structure $\mathcal{K}$.
3.b Heat invariants: We apply Lemma $2: 4$ in dimensions $m=2$ and $m=4$; we refer the reader to Gilkey (1975) for the formulas which would permit a similar calculation in dimension $m=6$. Let $\chi(M)$ be the Euler-Poincare characteristic of $M$. The Chern Gauss Bonnet theorem yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \chi\left(M^{2}\right)=(4 \pi)^{-1} \int_{M} \tau(h)(x) d \nu_{h}(x) \\
& \left.\chi\left(M^{4}\right)=\left(32 \pi^{2}\right)^{-1} \int_{M}\left\{\left\|^{h} R\right\|_{h}^{2}-4\left\|^{h} \rho\right\|_{h}^{2}+\tau(h)^{2}\right)\right\}(x) d \nu_{h}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 3.2. Let $\operatorname{dim}(M)=2$. Then
(i) $\left.a_{2}{ }^{*} \mathcal{D}\right)=\chi(M) / 6+(4 \pi)^{-m / 2} \int_{M} \tau\left(h,{ }^{\mathcal{W}} \nabla\right)(x) d \nu_{h}(x)$.
(ii) $a_{2}\left({ }^{( } \mathcal{D} \mathcal{D}\right)=\chi(M) / 6+(4 \pi)^{-m / 2} \int_{M} \tau\left(h,{ }^{\mathcal{W}} \nabla\right)(x) d \nu_{h}(x)$.
(iii) $a_{2}\left({ }^{( } \Delta\right)=\chi(M) / 6$.
(iv) $a_{2}\left({ }^{h} \mathrm{D}\right)=\chi(M) / 6$.

Proof. If $m=2$, then $E\left\{{ }^{*} \mathcal{D}\right\}=\tau\left(h,{ }^{\mathcal{W}} \nabla\right)$. Thus

$$
a_{2}\left({ }^{*} \mathcal{D}\right)=(4 \pi)^{-1} / 6 \int_{M}\left\{\tau(h)+6 \tau\left(h,{ }^{W} \nabla\right)\right\}(x) d \nu(x) .
$$

This proves the first assertion, the others follow similarly.
Theorem 3.3. Let $\operatorname{dim}(M)=4$. Let ${ }^{h} W$ be the Weyl conformal curvature. Then
(i) $a_{4}\left({ }^{*} \mathcal{D}\right)=-\chi(M) / 180+(4 \pi)^{-2}(360)^{-1} \int_{M}\left\{3\left\|^{h} W\right\|_{h}^{2}+270\left\|^{W} F\right\|_{h}^{2}\right.$

$$
\left.+45 \tau\left(h,{ }^{\mathcal{W}} \nabla\right)^{2}\right\} d \nu_{h}(x) .
$$

(ii) $a_{4}\left({ }^{\mathcal{W}} \mathcal{D}\right)=-\chi(M) / 180+(4 \pi)^{-2}(360)^{-1} \int_{M}\left\{3\| \|^{h} W\left\|_{h}^{2}+30\right\|\left\|^{W} F\right\|_{h}^{2}\right.$

$$
\left.+45 \tau\left(h,{ }^{W} \nabla\right)^{2}\right\} d \nu_{h}(x) .
$$

(iii) $a_{4}\left({ }^{W} \Delta\right)=-\chi(M) / 180+(4 \pi)^{-2}(360)^{-1} \int_{M}\left\{3\left\|^{h} W\right\|_{h}^{2}+30\left\|^{W} F\right\|_{h}^{2}\right.$
$\left.+5 \tau\left(h,{ }^{\mathcal{W}} \nabla\right)^{2}\right\} d \nu_{h}(x)$.
(iv) $\left.a_{4}{ }^{( }{ }^{h} \square\right)=-\chi(M) / 180+(4 \pi)^{-2}(360)^{-1} \int_{M}\left\{3\left\|^{h} W\right\|_{h}^{2}\right\} d \nu_{h}(x)$.

Proof. We use Lemmas 2.4 and 2.5. Let $\mathcal{E}:=\| \|^{h} R\left\|_{h}^{2}-4\right\|^{h} \rho \|_{h}^{2}+\tau(h)^{2}$ be the normalized integrand of the Chern Gauss Bonnet theorem in dimension 4. We complete the proof by computing:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\left\|^{h} W\right\|_{h}^{2}:=\right\|^{h} R\left\|_{h}^{2}-2\right\|^{h} \rho\left\|_{h}^{2}+\tau(h)^{2} / 3,2\right\|^{h} R\left\|_{h}^{2}-2\right\|^{h} \rho \|_{h}^{2}=3^{h} W-\mathcal{E}, \\
& E\left\{{ }^{*} \mathcal{D}\right\}=\left(3 \tau\left(h,{ }^{\mathcal{W}} \nabla\right)-\tau(h)\right) / 6, E\left\{^{\mathcal{W}} \mathcal{D}\right\}=\left(3 \tau\left(h,{ }^{\mathcal{W}} \nabla\right)-\tau(h)\right) / 6, \\
& E\left\{{ }^{\mathcal{W}} \Delta\right\}=\left(\tau\left(h,{ }^{W} \nabla\right)-\tau(h)\right) / 6, E\left\{^{h} \square\right\}=-\tau(h) / 6, \\
& 5 \tau(h)^{2}+60 \tau(h) E\left\{^{*} \mathcal{D}\right\}+180 E\left\{\left\{^{*} \mathcal{D}\right\}^{2}=45 \tau\left(h,{ }^{\mathcal{W}} \nabla\right)^{2},\right. \\
& 5 \tau(h)^{2}+60 \tau(h) E\left\{^{\mathcal{W}} \mathcal{D}\right\}+180 E\left\{{ }^{\mathcal{W}} \mathcal{D}\right\}^{2}=45 \tau\left(h,{ }^{W} \nabla\right)^{2}, \\
& 5 \tau(h)^{2}+60 \tau(h) E\left\{^{\mathcal{W}} \Delta\right\}+180 E\left\{^{\mathcal{W}} \Delta\right\}^{2}=5 \tau\left(h,{ }^{W} \nabla\right)^{2}, \\
& 5 \tau(h)^{2}+60 \tau(h) E\left\{{ }^{h} \square\right\}+180 E\left\{^{h} \square\right\}^{2}=0 \tau\left(h,{ }^{W} \nabla\right)^{2}, \\
& 30\left\|\Omega\left\{^{*} \mathcal{D}\right\}\right\|_{h}^{2}=270\left\|^{\mathcal{W}} F\right\|_{h}^{2}, 30\left\|\Omega\left\{^{\mathcal{W}} \mathcal{D}\right\}\right\|_{h}^{2}=30\| \|^{\mathcal{W}} F \|_{h}^{2}, \\
& 30\left\|\Omega\left\{^{\mathcal{W}} \Delta\right\}\right\|_{h}^{2}=30\left\|^{\mathcal{W}} F\right\|_{h}^{2}, 30\left\|\Omega\left\{^{h} \square\right\}\right\|_{h}^{2}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 3.4. We can illustrate Lemma 3.1 by computing

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{2}\left(x,{ }^{*} \mathcal{D}\left\{{ }_{\beta} h,{ }_{\beta} \theta\right\}\right)=\beta^{-1} a_{2}\left(x,{ }^{*} \mathcal{D}\right), a_{2}\left(x,{ }^{\mathcal{W}} \mathcal{D}\left\{{ }_{\beta} h,{ }_{\beta} \theta\right\}\right)=\beta^{-1} a_{2}\left(x,{ }^{\mathcal{W}} \mathcal{D}\right), \\
& a_{2}\left(x,{ }^{\mathcal{W}} \Delta\right)=0 \text {, and } a_{2}\left(x,{ }^{h} \square\right)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$
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3.c Global invariants: If $f$ is a scalar invariant, let $f[M]:=\int_{M} f(x) d \nu_{h}(x)$. The Euler characteristic is a topological invariant of $M$ which does not depend on the Codazzi structure. The following Corollary is now immediate:

Corollary 3.5.
(i) The invariants $\tau\left(h,{ }^{\mathcal{W}} \nabla\right)^{2}[M],\left\|{ }^{\mathcal{W}} F\right\|_{h}^{2}[M]$, and $\left\|^{h} W\right\|_{h}^{2}[M]$ of a Weyl structure on $M$ are determined by $\chi(M)$ and by the spectrum of the operators ${ }^{*} \mathcal{D}$, ${ }^{w_{\mathcal{D}}}$, and ${ }^{w^{\prime}}{ }^{\Delta}$.
(ii) We have $32 \pi^{2} \chi\left(M^{4}\right) \geq 45 \tau\left(h,{ }^{\mathcal{W}} \nabla\right)^{2}[M]+270\left\|^{\mathcal{W}} F\right\|_{h}^{2}[M]-(4 \pi)^{2} 360 a_{4}\left({ }^{*} \mathcal{D}\right)$ with equality if, and only if, the class $\mathcal{C}$ is conformally flat.
(iii) We have $32 \pi^{2} \chi\left(M^{4}\right) \geq 45 \tau\left(h,{ }^{\mathcal{W}} \nabla\right)^{2}[M]+3\left\|^{h} W\right\|_{h}^{2}[M]-(4 \pi)^{2} 360 a_{4}\left({ }^{*} \mathcal{D}\right)$ with equality if, and only if, the length curvature ${ }^{\mathcal{W}} F \equiv 0$.

Remark 3.6. We can use the formulas of Theorems 3.2 and 3.3 to extend the invariants $a_{m}$ from the Riemannian to the semi-Riemannian category; this can be done for any $m$.
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