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#### Abstract

This note is a supplement to the papers [KiKo] and [GMMR]. We show the role of group actions in classification of affine lines on $\mathbb{Q}$-homology planes.


## Introduction

This note is a supplement to the papers [KiKo] and [GMMR]. Our aim is to shed a light on the role of group actions in classification of affine lines on $\mathbb{Q}$-homology planes with logarithmic Kodaira dimension $-\infty$. This enables us to strengthen certain results in loc. sit. (see Section 1).

Let us fix terminology. It is usual $[\mathrm{Mi}, \mathrm{Ch} .3, \S 4]$ to call a smooth $\mathbb{Q}$-acyclic ( $\mathbb{Z}$-acyclic, respectively) surface over $\mathbb{C}$ a $\mathbb{Q}$-homology plane (a homology plane, respectively). By Fujita's Lemma [Fu, 2.5] such a surface is necessarily affine. Likewise we call a homology line an irreducible affine curve $\Gamma$ with Euler characteristic $e(\Gamma)=1$. So $\Gamma$ is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ and its normalization is isomorphic to $\mathbb{A}^{1}=\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{1}$. A smooth curve isomorphic to $\mathbb{A}^{1}$ will be called an affine line. Following [Mi] we let $\mathbb{A}_{*}^{1}=\mathbb{A}^{1} \backslash\{0\}$. As usual $\bar{k}$ stands for logarithmic Kodaira dimension.
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## 1. Main results

Theorem 1. Let $X$ be $a \mathbb{Q}$-homology plane and $\Gamma$ a homology line on $X$. Then the following hold.
(a) Suppose that $\bar{k}(X \backslash \Gamma)=-\infty$. Then $\Gamma$ is either an orbit of an effective $\mathbb{C}_{+}$-action on $X$ or a connected component of the fixed
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point set of such an action. Anyhow $\Gamma \simeq \mathbb{A}^{1}$ is a fiber component of the corresponding orbit map (an $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-ruling) $\pi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{1}$.
(b) Suppose that $\bar{k}(X \backslash \Gamma) \geq 0$. Suppose further that $\Gamma \simeq \mathbb{A}^{1}$ and $\bar{k}(X)=-\infty$. Then $\Gamma$ is an orbit closure of an effective hyperbolic $\mathbb{C}^{*}$-action on $X$. Moreover $X$ admits an effective action of a semidirect product $G=\mathbb{C}^{*} \ltimes \mathbb{C}_{+}$with an open orbit $U$. The orbit map $X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{1}$ of the induced $\mathbb{C}_{+}$-action defines an $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-ruling on $X$ with a unique multiple fiber say $\Gamma^{\prime} \simeq \mathbb{A}^{1}$ such that $\Gamma$ and $\Gamma^{\prime}$ meet at one point transversally and $U=X \backslash \Gamma^{\prime} \simeq \mathbb{A}^{1} \times \mathbb{A}_{*}^{1}$. Furthermore this $\mathbb{C}_{+}$-action moves $\Gamma$. Consequently there exists a continuous family of affine lines $\Gamma_{t}$ on $X$ with the same properties as $\Gamma$.
(c) Suppose that $\Gamma$ is singular. Then $X \simeq \mathbb{A}^{2}$ and $\bar{k}(X \backslash \Gamma)=1$. Moreover ${ }^{1}$ there is an isomorphism $X \simeq \mathbb{A}^{2}$ sending $\Gamma$ to a curve $V\left(x^{k}-y^{l}\right)$ with coprime $k, l \geq 2$. Consequently $\Gamma$ is an orbit closure of an elliptic $\mathbb{C}^{*}$-action on $X$.
We indicate below a proof of the theorem. The cases (a), (b) and (c) are proven in Sections 2, 3 and 4, respectively. Besides, in cases (a) and (b) we provide in Lemmas 3 and 7 , respectively, a description of the pairs $(X, \Gamma)$ satisfying their assumptions. The assertion of (b) follows from Theorem 1.1 in [KiKo], cf. also Theorem 3.10 in [GMMR]. In the case of a $\mathbb{Z}$-homology plane (c) was established in [Za]; the proof for a $\mathbb{Q}$-homology plane is similar. This gives a strengthening of Theorem 1.3 in [KiKo].

The cases (a)-(c) of Theorem 1 do not exhaust all the possibilities for the pair $(X, \Gamma)$ as above. To complete the picture let us summarize some known facts, see e.g. [Za, GuPa, Mi, Ch. 3, §4] and the references therein.
Theorem 2. We let as before $X$ be a $\mathbb{Q}$-homology plane and $\Gamma \subseteq X$ a homology line. If $\Gamma$ is singular then $(X, \Gamma)$ is as in Theorem 1(c). Suppose further that $\Gamma$ is smooth i.e. is an affine line. Then $\bar{k}(X) \leq$ $\bar{k}(X \backslash \Gamma) \leq 1^{2}$ and one of the following cases occurs.
(a) $(\bar{k}(X), \bar{k}(X \backslash \Gamma))=(-\infty,-\infty)$ and $(X, \Gamma)$ is as in Theorem 1(a) that is, $\Gamma$ is of fiber type and $X \backslash \Gamma$ carries a family of disjoint affine lines ${ }^{3}$.
(b) $(\bar{k}(X), \bar{k}(X \backslash \Gamma))=(-\infty, 0)$ or $(-\infty, 1)$ and $(X, \Gamma)$ is as in Theorem $1(b)^{4}$.

[^0](c) $(\bar{k}(X), \bar{k}(X \backslash \Gamma))=(0,0)$ and either $X$ is not $N C$ minimal or $X$ is one of the Fujita's surfaces $H[-k, k](k \geq 1)^{5}$. Anyhow $\Gamma$ is a unique affine line on $X$ unless $X=H[-1,1]$.
(d) $(\bar{k}(X), \bar{k}(X \backslash \Gamma))=(0,1), X=H[-1,1]$ and there are exactly two affine lines, say, $\Gamma_{0}$ and $\Gamma_{1}=\Gamma$ on $X$. These lines meet transversally in two distinct points, moreover $\bar{k}\left(X \backslash \Gamma_{0}\right)=0$ and $\bar{k}\left(X \backslash \Gamma_{1}\right)=1$.
(e) $(\bar{k}(X), \bar{k}(X \backslash \Gamma))=(1,1)$, there is a unique $\mathbb{A}_{*}^{1}$-fibration on $X$ and $\Gamma$ is a fiber component of its degenerate fiber ${ }^{6}$. There can be at most one further affine line on $X$, which is then another component of this same degenerate fiber, and these components meet transversally in one point.
Remark 1. Let $X$ be a $\mathbb{Z}$-homology plane. By $[\mathrm{Fu}]$ then $\bar{k}(X) \neq 0$. By [Za] (supplement) $\bar{k}(X)=1$ if and only if there exists a unique homology (in fact, affine) line on $X$.

## 2. $\mathbb{Q}$-homology planes with an $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-RULING

These occur to be smooth affine surfaces with $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-rulings $X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{1}$ which possess only irreducible degenerate fibers. They were studied in details e.g. in $[\mathrm{Fu}, 4.14]$, $[\mathrm{Be}]$, $[\mathrm{Fi}],\left[\mathrm{FlZa}_{1}, \S 4\right]$. See also $[\mathrm{Mi}, \mathrm{Ch} .3$, 4.3.1] for a brief summary ${ }^{7}$. In Lemma 3 below we show that every $\mathbb{A}^{1}$ ruling $\pi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{1}$ on a $\mathbb{Q}$-homology plane $X$ can be obtained starting from a standard linear $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-ruling $\mathbb{A}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{1}$ and replacing several fibers by multiple fibers via a procedure called in $\left[\mathrm{FlZa}_{1}\right]$ a comb attachment. More precisely, this replacement goes as follows.

Attaching combs. On the quadric $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$ with a $\mathbb{P}^{1}$-ruling $\pi_{0}=$ $\operatorname{pr}_{1}: \mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$ we fix a finite set of points $\left\{A_{j}\right\}, j=1, \ldots, n$ $(n \geq 0)$ in different fibers $F_{j}=\left\{t_{j}\right\} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$ of $\pi_{0}$. We fix further a sequence $\sigma: V \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$ of blowups with centers at the points $A_{j}$ and infinitesimally near points. Letting $\bar{\pi}: V \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$ be the induced $\mathbb{P}^{1}$-ruling, we suppose that $\bar{\pi}$ enjoys the following properties:

- the center of every blowup over $A_{j}$ except for the first one belongs to the exceptional $(-1)$-curve of the previous blowup;
- $D_{\infty} \cdot E_{j}=0 \forall j=1, \ldots, n$, where $D_{\infty}$ is the proper transform in $V$ of the section $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times\{\infty\}$ of $\mathrm{pr}_{1}$ and $E_{j}$ is the last $(-1)$-curve in the fiber $\bar{\pi}^{-1}\left(t_{j}\right)$.

[^1]- $E_{j}$ is a tip of the dual graph of the fiber $\bar{\pi}^{-1}\left(t_{j}\right)$.

Under these assumptions the dual graph as above is a comb, with all vertices of degree $\leq 3$. Let $F_{\infty}=\bar{\pi}^{-1}\left(t_{\infty}\right) \subset V$ be a fiber over an extra point $t_{\infty} \in \mathbb{P}^{1} \backslash\left\{t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right\}$ and $E \subseteq V$ be the reduced exceptional divisor of $\sigma: V \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$. We consider the open surface $X=V \backslash D$, where $D=F_{\infty}+D_{\infty}+E+\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(F_{j}^{\prime}-E_{j}\right)$ and $F_{j}^{\prime}$ is the proper transform of $F_{j}$ in $V$. Then $\bar{\pi}: V \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$ restricts to an $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-ruling $\pi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{1}$ with only irreducible fibers; all fibers of $\pi$ are reduced except possibly the fibers $\pi^{-1}\left(t_{j}\right)=E_{j} \cap X$.

The following lemma is well known, see e.g. [FlZa ${ }_{1}$, Proposition 4.9].
Lemma 3. Under the notation as above the surface $X$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-homology plane. Moreover, every $\mathbb{Q}$-homology plane $X$ with an $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-ruling $\pi$ : $X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{1}$ arises in this way.

Proof. Let $X$ be constructed as above. By the Suzuki formula [Suz, Za, $\mathrm{Gu}], e(X)=1$ and so the equality $b_{2}=b_{1}+b_{3}$ for the Betti numbers of $X$ holds. Thus $X$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-acyclic if and only if $b_{2}=0$ or equivalently, if $\operatorname{Pic}(D) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ generates $\operatorname{Pic}(V) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$, see $[\mathrm{Mi}, \mathrm{Ch} .3,4.2 .1]$. The latter is easily seen to be the case in our construction. The first assertion follows now by Fujita's Lemma [Fu, 2.5].

As for the second one, given an $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-ruling $\pi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{1}$ on a $\mathbb{Q}$ homology plane $X$ it extends to a pseudominimal $\mathbb{P}^{1}$-ruling $\pi: V \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$ on a smooth completion $V$ of $X$ with an SNC boundary divisor $D$. The pseudominimality means that none of the $(-1)$-curves in $D-D_{\infty}$, where $D_{\infty}$ is the horizontal component of $D$, can be contracted without loosing the SNC property, see $[\mathrm{Za}, 3.4]$. Since $e(X)=1$ all fibers of $\pi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{1}$ are irreducible. We let $\bar{\pi}^{-1}\left(t_{j}\right), j=1, \ldots, n(n \geq 0)$ be the degenerate fibers of $\bar{\pi}$ and $E_{j}$ be the component of the fiber $\bar{\pi}^{-1}\left(t_{j}\right)$ such that $E_{j} \cap X=\pi^{-1}\left(t_{j}\right) \simeq \mathbb{A}^{1}$. By the pseudominimality assumption, $E_{j}$ is the only (-1)-curve in the fiber $\bar{\pi}^{-1}\left(t_{j}\right)$. Therefore $V$ is obtained from a Hirzebruch surface $\Sigma_{m}$ by blowing up process which enjoys the properties of a comb attachment. Performing, if necessary, elementary transformations in the fiber $F_{\infty}$ we may assume that $\bar{D}_{\infty}^{2}=0$, where $\bar{D}_{\infty}$ is the image of $D_{\infty}$ in $\Sigma_{m}$ and so, $\Sigma_{m}=\Sigma_{0}=\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$.

Remark 2. Every surface $X$ as considered above can actually be obtained from affine plane $\mathbb{A}^{2}$ via a suitable affine modification that is [KaZa, §1], by blowing up with center in a zero dimensional subscheme $V(I)$ of $\mathbb{A}^{2}$ located on a principal divisor $D$ and deleting the proper transform of $D$. Indeed $X$ contains a cylinder $U \times \mathbb{A}^{1}$, where $U \subseteq \mathbb{A}^{1}$ is a Zariski open subset, see [MiSu] or [Mi, Ch. 3, 1.3.2]. The canonical projections of $U \times \mathbb{A}^{1}$ to the factors regarded as rational functions on
$X$, say, $f, h$, can be made regular by multiplying $h$ by an appropriate polynomial $q \in \mathbb{C}[t]$. Then $\varphi=(f, g): X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{2}$, where $g=q h$, yields a birational morphism. Since every birational morphism between affine varieties is an affine modification [KaZa, Prop. 1.1] the claim follows.

For instance the following example from $[\mathrm{Be}]$ can be treated in terms of affine modifications.

Example 1. ([Be, Ex. 2.6.1], [KaZa, 7.1]) The Bertin surfaces are surfaces in $\mathbb{A}^{3}$ with equations

$$
x^{e} z=x+y^{d} .
$$

Every such surface $X$ appears as affine modification of the plane $\mathbb{A}^{2}=$ Spec $\mathbb{C}[x, y]$ with center $\left(I,\left(x^{e}\right)\right)$, where $I=\left(x^{e}, x+y^{d}\right) \subseteq \mathbb{C}[x, y]$. Actually $X$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-homology plane with $\operatorname{Pic}(X) \cong H_{1}(X ; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z} / d \mathbb{Z}$, and $\pi=x \mid X: X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{1}$ gives an $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-ruling on $X$ with a unique multiple fiber of multiplicity $d$ over $x=0$. Whereas the $\mathbb{A}_{*}^{1}$-fibration $f=x^{e-1} z$ : $X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{1}$ appears as the orbit map of a $\mathbb{C}^{*}$-action on $X$ (cf. Remark 1.1 below).

Proposition 4. Any two disjoint homology lines $\Gamma_{0}$ and $\Gamma_{1}$ on a $\mathbb{Q}$ homology plane $X$ appear as two different fibers of an $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-ruling $\pi$ : $X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{1}$. In particular, $X$ arises as in the above construction. If, moreover, $X$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-homology plane then there exists an isomorphism $X \simeq \mathbb{A}^{2}$ sending $\Gamma_{0}, \Gamma_{1}$ to two parallel lines.

Proof. The second assertion is proven in $[\mathrm{Za}, \S 9]$. The first one can be deduced by a similar argument. Namely, $\operatorname{Pic}(X)$ being a torsion group, $m \Gamma_{0}$ is a principal divisor and so $m \Gamma_{0}=q^{*}(0)$ for some $m \in$ $\mathbb{N}, q \in \mathcal{O}[X]$. Applying Stein factorisation we may assume that the general fibers of $q$ are irreducible. Since $\Gamma_{0}$ and $\Gamma_{1}$ are disjoint, $\Gamma_{1}$ is a component of a fiber, say, $F_{1}=q^{-1}(1)$. Let the degenerate fibers of $q$ be among the fibers $F_{0}=\Gamma_{0}, F_{1}, \ldots, F_{n}$, and denote $F$ a general fiber of $q$. By the Suzuki formula loc. cit.

$$
\sum_{j=0}^{n}\left(e\left(F_{j}\right)-e(F)\right)=1-e(F),
$$

where all summands are non-negative by $[\mathrm{Za}, 3.2]$. Since $e\left(F_{0}\right)=$ $e\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)=1$ we have $e\left(F_{j}\right)=e(F) \forall j=1, \ldots, n$. It follows by [Za, 3.2] or [Gu] that either
(i) the fibers $F_{j}$ are general $\forall j=1, \ldots, n$, or
(ii) $F \simeq F_{j} \simeq \mathbb{A}_{*}^{1} \forall j=1, \ldots, n$, or
(iii) $F \simeq F_{j} \simeq \mathbb{A}^{1} \forall j=1, \ldots, n$.

The case (ii) must be excluded since $\Gamma_{1} \subseteq F_{1}$ and $e\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)=1$. If (i) holds then $F_{1} \simeq \pi^{*}(1)$ is a general fiber of $q$, hence $F \simeq F_{1} \simeq \mathbb{A}^{1}$. Thus in any case all fibers of $\pi$ are isomorphic to $\mathbb{A}^{1}$ and so, $\Gamma_{0}, \Gamma_{1}$ are fibers of the $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-ruling $\pi=q: X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{1}$.

Now one can easily deduce Theorem 1(a).
Proof of Theorem 1(a). $X \backslash \Gamma$ being a smooth affine surface with $\bar{k}(X \backslash \Gamma)=-\infty$, there exists an $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-ruling on $X \backslash \Gamma[\mathrm{Mi}, 2.1 .1]$. The curve $\Gamma_{0}=\Gamma$ and a general fiber, say, $\Gamma_{1}$ of this ruling provide two disjoint homology lines on $X$. By Proposition $4 \Gamma_{0}$ and $\Gamma_{1}$ are two different fibers of an $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-ruling $\pi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{1}$ on $X$ and so, $\Gamma=\Gamma_{0}$ is an affine line stable under an effective $\mathbb{C}_{+}$-action on $X$ along this ruling, see e.g. [FlZa, 1.6$]$. Now the assertion follows easily.

## 3. $\mathbb{Q}$-homology planes with a $\mathbb{C}^{*}$-ACtion

To deduce Theorem 1(b) we recall first Example 1 in [KiKo], cf. also Examples 3.8, 3.9 in [GMMR].
Construction. The construction begins with a divisor $D_{0}=M_{a}+$ $\bar{M}_{a}+F_{0}+F_{1}+F_{\infty}$ on a Hirzebruch surface $\Sigma_{a}$, where $F_{0}, F_{1}, F_{\infty}$ are 3 distinct fibers of the standard projection $\pi_{0}: \Sigma_{a} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$ and $M_{a}, \bar{M}_{a}$ are two disjoint sections with $M_{a}^{2}=-a$. We may suppose that $F_{j}=\pi_{0}^{-1}(j)$ $\left(j=0,1, \infty \in \mathbb{P}^{1}\right)$. Besides, the construction involves a sequence of inner blowups $\mu: V \rightarrow \Sigma_{a}$ over $D_{0}$ i.e., successive blowups with centers at double points on $D_{0}$ or on its total transforms. This results in a $\mathbb{Q}$ homology plane $X=V \backslash D$, where $D \subseteq \mu^{-1}\left(D_{0}\right)$ is a suitable SNC tree of rational curves on $V$ (see a description below). The induced $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ ruling $\bar{\pi}: V \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$ restricts to an untwisted $\mathbb{A}_{*}^{1}$-fibration $\pi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{1}$.

More precisely $\mu$ replaces the fiber $F_{j}(j=0,1)$ by a linear chain of smooth rational curves with a unique ( -1 )-curve $E_{j}$, which is a multiple component of the corresponding divisor $\bar{\pi}^{*}(j)$. The dual graph of the chain $\bar{\pi}^{-1}(0)$ has a sequence of weights $[[-n,-1, \underbrace{-2, \ldots,-2}_{n-1}]$,
where for the strict transform $F_{0}^{\prime}$ of $F_{0}$ on $V$ one has $F^{\prime 2}=-n \leq-2$. The boundary divisor $D$ appears as the total transform of $D_{0}$ in $V$ with the components $E_{0}, E_{1}$ and $F_{0}^{\prime}$ being deleted. In the affine part $X=V \backslash D$ these deleted components form the only degenerate fibers of $\pi$, namely $\pi^{*}(0)=\Gamma+n\left(E_{0} \cap X\right)$ and $\pi^{*}(1)=m\left(E_{1} \cap X\right)$, where $\Gamma=F_{0}^{\prime} \cap X$ and $m, n \geq 2$. Thus the only reducible affine fiber $\pi^{-1}(0)=$ $\left(F_{0}^{\prime}+E_{0}\right) \cap X$ is isomorphic to the cross $\mathbb{A}^{1} \wedge \mathbb{A}^{1}=\{x y=0\} \subset \mathbb{A}^{2}$. Furthermore $\pi^{-1}(1)=E_{1} \cap X \simeq \mathbb{A}_{*}^{1}$ is an irreducible multiple fiber.

Finally $\bar{\pi}^{-1}\left(F_{\infty}\right)=F_{\infty}^{\prime} \subseteq D$. A computation in [KiKo, Example 1] shows that $\bar{k}(X \backslash \Gamma)=0$ if $m=n=2$ and $\bar{k}(X \backslash \Gamma)=1$ otherwise.

Remark 3. One could consult e.g. [FlZa $]$ for a construction giving all $\mathbb{Q}$-homology planes $X$ with an $\mathbb{A}_{*}^{1}$-fibration $\pi: X \rightarrow B$. In the terminology of $\left[\mathrm{FlZa}_{1}\right]$, such a surface with a twisted (untwisted) $\mathbb{A}_{*}^{1}-$ fibration over $B=\mathbb{A}^{1}, B=\mathbb{P}^{1}$, respectively, is said to be of type $A 1$, $A 2(B 1, B 2)$, respectively. Thus the surface $X$ as in the Construction above is of type $B 1$, with a comb attachment applied at $F_{0}$ and with $F_{1}$ replaced by a fiber of a broken chain type in the terminology of [FlZa ${ }_{1}$ ].

In the following lemma we prove the first assertion of Theorem 1(b). We recall that a $\mathbb{C}^{*}$-action on $X$ is hyperbolic if its general orbits are closed, elliptic if it possesses an attractive or repelling fixed point in $X$, and parabolic if its fixed point set is one-dimensional.

Lemma 5. If $(X, \Gamma)$ satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 1(b) then $\Gamma$ is an orbit closure of an effective hyperbolic $\mathbb{C}^{*}$-action on $X$.

Proof. According to Theorem 1.1 in [KiKo] (cf. Theorem 3.10 in [GMMR]), under our assumptions $(X, \Gamma)$ is one of the pairs as in the above Construction. There exists an effective $\mathbb{C}^{*}$-action on $\Sigma_{a}$ along the fibers of $\pi_{0}$ with the fixed point set equal to $M_{a} \cup \bar{M}_{a}$. By induction on the number of blowups this $\mathbb{C}^{*}$-action lifts to $V$ stabilizing the total transform $\mu^{-1}\left(D_{0}\right)$. Indeed the centers of successive inner blowups in $\mu$ are fixed under the $\mathbb{C}^{*}$-action constructed on the previous step, and so Lemma $2.2(\mathrm{~b})$ in [FKZ] applies. It follows that the curve $D \subseteq \mu^{-1}\left(D_{0}\right)$ as in the Construction above is stable under the lifted $\mathbb{C}^{*}$-action, so this action restricts to a hyperbolic $\mathbb{C}^{*}$-action on $X=V \backslash D$. In turn, the affine line $\Gamma$ on $X$ as in the Construction is an orbit closure for this restricted action, as required.

The resulting surface $X$ with a hyperbolic $\mathbb{C}^{*}$-action admits the following description in terms of the DPD orbifold presentation ${ }^{8}$ as elaborated in $\left[\mathrm{FlZa}_{2}\right]$.
DPD presentation. Let $C=\operatorname{Spec} A_{0}$ be a smooth affine curve and $\left(D_{+}, D_{-}\right)$be a pair of $\mathbb{Q}$-divisors on $C$ with $D_{+}+D_{-} \leq 0$. Letting $A_{ \pm k}=H^{0}\left(C, \mathcal{O}\left(k D_{ \pm}\right)\right), k \geq 0$ we consider the graded $A_{0}$-algebra $A=A_{0}\left[D_{+}, D_{-}\right]=\bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} A_{k}$ and the associated normal affine surface $X=\operatorname{Spec} A$. The grading determines, in a usual way, a graded semisimple Euler derivation $\delta$ on $A$, where $\delta\left(a_{k}\right)=k a_{k} \forall a_{k} \in A_{k}$, and,

[^2]in turn, an effective hyperbolic $\mathbb{C}^{*}$-action on $X$. Vice versa, any effective hyperbolic $\mathbb{C}^{*}$-action on a normal affine surface with the orbit space $C$ arises in this way $\left[\mathrm{FlZa}_{2}, 4.3\right]$.

Let $\pi: X \rightarrow C$ be the orbit map. Given a point $p \in C$ we let $m_{ \pm}(p)$ denote the minimal positive integer such that $m_{ \pm}(p) D_{ \pm}(p) \in \mathbb{Z}$. In case where $\left(D_{+}+D_{-}\right)(p)=0$ we set $m(p)=m_{ \pm}(p)$. If $\left(D_{+}+D_{-}\right)(p)<0$ then the fiber $\pi^{-1}(p)$ is reducible, isomorphic to the cross $\mathbb{A}^{1} \wedge \mathbb{A}^{1}$ in $\mathbb{A}^{2}$ and consists of two orbit closures $\bar{O}_{p}^{ \pm}$. Its unique double point $p^{\prime}$ is a fixed point; $p^{\prime}$ is smooth on $X$ if and only if $\left(D_{+}+D_{-}\right)(p)=-1 / m_{+} m_{-}$ [FlZa $2,4.15]$. Actually $m_{ \pm}(p)$ are the multiplicities of the curves $\bar{O}_{p}^{ \pm}$, respectively, in the divisor $\pi^{*}(p)$.

In case where $\left(D_{+}+D_{-}\right)(p)=0$ the fiber $O_{p}=\pi^{-1}(p) \simeq \mathbb{A}_{*}^{1}$ is irreducible of multiplicity $m(p)$ in $\pi^{*}(p)$.

The inversion $\lambda \longmapsto \lambda^{-1}$ in $\mathbb{C}^{*}$ results in interchanging $D_{+}$and $D_{-}$, respectively, $\bar{O}_{p}^{+}$and $\bar{O}_{p}^{-}$. Passing from the pair ( $D_{+}, D_{-}$) to another one $\left(D_{+}^{\prime}, D_{-}^{\prime}\right)=\left(D_{+}+D_{0}, D_{-}-D_{0}\right)$ with a principal divisor $D_{0}$ on $C$ results in passing from $A$ to an isomorphic graded $A_{0}$-algebra $A^{\prime}$, so the corresponding $\mathbb{C}^{*}$-surfaces are equivariantly isomorphic over $C$.

Lemma 6. Given a normal affine surface $X=\operatorname{Spec} A$ with a hyperbolic $\mathbb{C}^{*}$-action determined by a pair $\left(D_{+}, D_{-}\right)$of $\mathbb{Q}$-divisors on the affine curve $C=\operatorname{Spec} A_{0}$ with $D_{+}+D_{-} \leq 0$, we denote by $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{l}, q_{1}, \ldots, q_{k}$ the points of $C$ with $\left(D_{+}+D_{-}\right)\left(p_{j}\right)<0,\left(D_{+}+D_{-}\right)\left(q_{i}\right)=0$ and $m\left(q_{j}\right) \geq 2$, respectively. Letting $\pi: X \rightarrow C$ be the orbit map we assume that $C \simeq \mathbb{A}^{1}$ and that $X$ is smooth that is, $\left(D_{+}+D_{-}\right)\left(p_{j}\right)=$ $-1 / m_{+}\left(p_{j}\right) m_{-}\left(p_{j}\right) \forall j=1, \ldots, l$. Then the following hold.
(a) $e(X)=l$.
(b) $\operatorname{Pic}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}=0$ if and only if $l \leq 1$ that is, $\pi$ has at most one reducible fiber.
(c) Moreover $X$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-acyclic if and only if $l=1$. In the latter case $\pi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{1}$ is an untwisted $\mathbb{A}_{*}^{1}$-fibration ${ }^{9}$.

Proof. (a) holds by the additivity of the Euler characteristic, and (b) follows from the description of the Picard $\operatorname{group} \operatorname{Pic}(X)$ in $\left[\mathrm{FlZa}_{2}, 4.24\right]$. For a smooth rational affine surface $X$ we have $b_{3}=b_{4}=0$ and $b_{1}=$ $\rho(X)$, where $\rho(X)$ is the Picard number of $X[\mathrm{Mi}, \mathrm{Ch} .3,4.2 .1]$. Thus $X$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-acyclic if and only if $e(X)=1$ and $\operatorname{Pic}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}=0$, whence (c) follows.

[^3]Lemma 7. Every $\mathbb{Q}$-homology plane $X$ as in the above Construction is isomorphic to a $\mathbb{C}^{*}$-surface Spec $A_{0}\left[D_{+}, D_{-}\right]$, where $A_{0}=\mathbb{C}[t]$ and
$D_{+}=\frac{e}{m}[1], \quad D_{-}=-\frac{1}{n}[0]-\frac{e}{m}[1]$ with $0<e<m, \operatorname{gcd}(e, m)=1, m, n \geq 2$.
Conversely, every $\mathbb{C}^{*}$-surface with such a DPD-presentation appears via the above Construction.

Proof. By our Construction, the degenerate fibers of the induced $\mathbb{A}_{*}^{1-}$ family $\pi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{1}$ are $\pi^{*}(0)=n\left(E_{0} \cap X\right)+\Gamma$ and $\pi^{*}(1)=m\left(E_{1} \cap X\right)$, where $\Gamma=F_{0}^{\prime} \cap X, E_{0} \cdot \Gamma=1$ and $E_{j}(\mathrm{j}=0,1)$ is the unique ( -1 )-curve in the fiber $\bar{\pi}^{-1}(j)$ of the induced $\mathbb{P}^{1}$-ruling $\bar{\pi}: V \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$. Clearly, all these curves are orbit closures for the $\mathbb{C}^{*}$-action on $X$ as in Lemma 5. We may suppose that, in the notation as above, $\Gamma=O_{0}^{+}, E_{0} \cap X=O_{0}^{-}$ and $E_{1} \cap X=O_{1}$ so that

$$
k=l=1, \quad p_{1}=0, \quad q_{1}=1, \quad m_{+}(0)=1, \quad m_{-}(0)=n \text { and } m(1)=m
$$

Since every integral divisor on $C=\mathbb{A}^{1}$ is principal, passing to an equivalent pair of $\mathbb{Q}$-divisors we may achieve that $\left(D_{+}, D_{-}\right)$is a pair as in the lemma. This proves the first assertion. The converse easily follows by virtue of Lemma 6.

Remarks 1. 1. According to $\left[\mathrm{FlZa}_{3}, 5.5\right]$, for $e=1$ and $m \mid n$ the above surfaces actually coincide with the Bertin surfaces from Example 1.
2. The formula for the canonical divisor in $\left[\mathrm{FlZa}_{2}, 4.25\right]$ gives in our case

$$
K_{X}=-(e(n-1)+1)\left[O_{1}\right], \quad \text { where } \quad m\left[O_{1}\right]=0 .
$$

Therefore $K_{X}=0$ if and only if $e(n-1) \equiv-1 \bmod m$. The question arises whether, among the $\mathbb{C}^{*}$-surfaces from Lemma 7 satisfying the latter condition, the Bertin surfaces are the only hypersurfaces.
3. For $n>1$ the fractional part $\left\{D_{-}\right\}$in Lemma 7 is supported on 2 points, hence by $\left[\mathrm{FlZa}_{3}, 4.5\right]$ the surface $X$ as in Lemma 7 admits a unique $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-ruling $X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{1}$ (i.e., $X$ is of class $\mathrm{ML}_{1}$ in the terminology of [GMMR]).

In contrast, for $n=1$ there is a second $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-ruling $X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{1}$, so $X$ has trivial Makar-Limanov invariant. In particular for $e / m=1 / 2$ and $n=1$ by virtue of $\left[\mathrm{FlZa}_{3}, 5.1\right], X \simeq \mathbb{P}^{2} \backslash \Delta$, where $\Delta$ is a smooth conic in $\mathbb{P}^{2}$.
4. Following $\left[\mathrm{FlZa}_{2}, 4.8\right]$ it is possible to define, by explicit equations, a family of surfaces in $\mathbb{A}^{4}$, not necessarily complete intersections, whose normalizations are the $\mathbb{Q}$-homology planes in the above Construction.

Now we are ready to complete the proof of Theorem 1(b).

Proof of Theorem 1(b). Since the fractional part $\left\{D_{+}\right\}$of the divisor $D_{+}$as in Lemma 7 is supported on one point, there exists a graded locally nilpotent derivation on $A$ of positive degree, see $\left[\mathrm{FlZa}_{3}, 2.2\right.$, 3.23]. This derivation generates an effective $\mathbb{C}_{+}$-action on $X$, and also an action of a semidirect product $G=\mathbb{C}^{*} \ltimes \mathbb{C}_{+}$with an open orbit $U \simeq \mathbb{A}^{1} \times \mathbb{A}_{*}^{1}$. Moreover by $\left[\mathrm{FlZa}_{3}, 3.25\right]$, the orbit map $X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{1}$ of the associate $\mathbb{C}_{+}$-action has a unique irreducible multiple fiber $\Gamma^{\prime}=\bar{O}_{0}^{-}$ $\left(=E_{0} \cap X\right)$ of multiplicity $m \geq 2$. General orbits of this $\mathbb{C}_{+}$-action on $X$ being transversal to $\Gamma$, the action moves $\Gamma$, as stated.

## 4. Isotrivial families of curves and $\mathbb{C}^{*}$-actions

To indicate a proof of Theorem 1(c) let us recall first a necessary result from [LiZa, Za]. For the sake of completeness we sketch the proof.

Lemma 8. ([LiZa, Lemma 5]) Let $X^{*}$ be a smooth affine surface and $\pi: X^{*} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{*}^{1}$ be a family of curves without degenerate fibers which is not a twisted $\mathbb{A}_{*}^{1}$-family. Then $\pi$ is equivariant with respect to a suitable effective $\mathbb{C}^{*}$-action on $X^{*}$ and a nontrivial $\mathbb{C}^{*}$-action on $\mathbb{A}_{*}^{1}$.

Proof. Let $F$ denote a general fiber of $\pi$. In the case where $F \simeq \mathbb{A}^{1}$ the surface $X^{*}$ admits a completion which is a Hirzebruch surface $\Sigma_{a}$ with the boundary divisor $D=\Sigma_{a} \backslash X^{*}$ consisting of a section and two fibers. It follows that $\pi$ is a trivial family, which implies the assertion. The same argument applies if $F \simeq \mathbb{A}_{*}^{1}$ since in this case by our assumption $\pi$ is untwisted.

Suppose further that $e(F)<0$ i.e. that $F$ is a hyperbolic curve. By Bers' Theorem the Teichmuller space corresponding to $F$, with its natural complex structure, is biholomorphic to a bounded domain in $\mathbb{C}^{M}$ for some $M>0$, hence is as well hyperbolic. Therefore the family $\pi$ over a non-hyperbolic base $\mathbb{A}_{*}^{1}$ is isotrivial i.e., its fibers are all pairwise isomorphic. Since $\operatorname{Aut}(F)$ is a finite group the monodromy $\mu \in \operatorname{Aut}(F)$ of the family $\pi$ has finite order, say, $N$. After a cyclic étale base change $z \longmapsto z^{N}$ we obtain a trivial family $F \times \mathbb{A}_{*}^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{*}^{1}$, which is a cyclic étale covering of the given family $\pi$. The standard $\mathbb{C}^{*}$-action on its base lifts to a free $\mathbb{C}^{*}$-action on $F \times \mathbb{A}_{*}^{1}$ commuting with the monodromy $\mathbb{Z} / N \mathbb{Z}$-action. Therefore the lifted $\mathbb{C}^{*}$-action descends to $X^{*}$ so that $\pi$ becomes equivariant with respect to the $\mathbb{C}^{*}$-action $\lambda . z=\lambda^{N} z$ on $\mathbb{A}_{*}^{1}$, as needed.

Remark 4. The $\mathbb{A}_{*}^{1}$-family of orbits of a hyperbolic $\mathbb{C}^{*}$-action on an affine surface is always untwisted [FKZ]. Hence the conclusion of Lemma 8 does not hold for twisted $\mathbb{A}_{*}^{1}$-families.

Proof of Theorem 1(c). Let $\Gamma$ be a non-smooth homology line on a $\mathbb{Q}$-homology plane $X$, and let $m \Gamma=f^{*}(0)$ for a suitable $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and a primitive regular function $f \in \mathcal{O}(X)$ with irreducible general fiber $F$ (cf. the proof of Proposition 4). Let $p^{\prime} \in \Gamma$ be a singular point of $\Gamma$ with Milnor number $\mu>0$. In a suitable small spherical neighborhood $B$ of $p^{\prime}$, the function $f^{1 / m}$ is holomorphic and its general fiber say $R$ (which is the Milnor fiber of $\left.\left(\Gamma, p^{\prime}\right)\right)$ is a Riemann surface with boundary of positive genus $g=\mu / 2$ [Mil, 10.2]. For a fixed general fiber $F$ of $f$ sufficiently close to $\Gamma$, the intersection $F \cap B$ is a disjoint union of $m$ copies of the Milnor fiber $R$, hence $F$ as well is of positive genus.

Therefore $e(F)<0$. By Lemma 3.2 in [Za], since $e(X \backslash \Gamma)=0$ the family $\pi=f \mid(X \backslash \Gamma): X \backslash \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{*}^{1}$ has no degenerate fiber, and so Lemma 8 applies.

As a matter of fact, the $\mathbb{C}^{*}$-action on $X \backslash \Gamma$ as in Lemma 8 extends to an elliptic $\mathbb{C}^{*}$-action on $X$ making $f$ equivariant and $p^{\prime}$ an attractive or repelling fixed point. For a $\mathbb{Z}$-homology plane $X$, the existence of such an extension was shown in [LiZa] and in [Za] in two different ways. The both proofs work mutatis mutandis in our more general setting. We choose below to follow the lines of the proof of Lemma 6 in [LiZa].

Let $\bar{F}$ be a smooth projective model of $F$. The cyclic étale covering $\rho: F \times \mathbb{A}_{*}^{1} \rightarrow X \backslash \Gamma$ as in the proof of Lemma 8 extends to an equivariant rational map which fits into the commutative diagram

where $V$ is a smooth equivariant SNC completion of $X \backslash \Gamma$. If the $\mathbb{C}^{*}$-action on $X \backslash \Gamma$ possesses an orbit $O$ which is not closed in $X$ then so are all orbits and the action extends to $X$. Indeed the closure $\bar{O}$ meets $\Gamma$ in one point say $q$, and $n \bar{O}=h^{*}(0)$ for some regular function $h$ on $X$ and for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $P$ be the connected component of the polyhedron $|f| \leq \varepsilon,|h| \leq \varepsilon$ which contains $q$. Since $\lambda . h=\lambda^{k} \cdot h$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^{*}$ with $|\lambda|=1$ the complement $P \backslash \Gamma$ is stable under the action of $\lambda$. The polyhedron $P$ being compact for a sufficiently small $\varepsilon>0$, such an action on $P \backslash \Gamma$ extends across $\Gamma$. Hence it also extends through $\Gamma$ to an action on the whole $X$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^{*}$ with $|\lambda|=1$, and then also for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^{*}$.

The indeterminacy set of $\rho$ being at most 0 -dimensional, $\rho$ restricts to the fiber over $0 \in \mathbb{P}^{1}$ yielding a morphism $\rho: \bar{F} \rightarrow \bar{\pi}^{-1}(0)$. The
following alternative holds: either $\rho(\bar{F})=p \in \Gamma$, or $\rho(\bar{F})=\bar{\Gamma}$, or finally $\rho(\bar{F}) \cap \Gamma=\emptyset$. Let us show that the last two possibilities cannot occur.

Indeed supposing that $\rho(\bar{F})=p \in \Gamma(\rho(\bar{F})=\bar{\Gamma}$, respectively) the general orbits of the $\mathbb{C}^{*}$-action on $X \backslash \Gamma$ are not closed in $X$, and the action extends to an elliptic (parabolic, respectively) $\mathbb{C}^{*}$-action on $X$. Since the fixed point set of a parabolic $\mathbb{C}^{*}$-action on a normal affine surface is smooth (see $\left[\mathrm{FlZa}_{2}, \S 3\right]$ ), the latter case must be excluded.

To exclude the last possibility, suppose on the contrary that $\rho(\bar{F}) \cap$ $\Gamma=\emptyset$. Letting $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{k} \in \bar{F} \times\{0\}$ be the indeterminacy points of $\rho$ on the central fiber, we observe that under our assumption, all $\mathbb{C}^{*}$-orbits $\rho\left(\{p\} \times \mathbb{A}_{*}^{1}\right)$ are closed in $X$, because general orbits are. The orbits $\rho\left(\left\{p_{i}\right\} \times \mathbb{A}_{*}^{1}\right), i=1, \ldots, k$, meet any fiber $F_{\xi}=f^{-1}(\xi), \xi \in \mathbb{A}^{1}$, in a finite set, say, $T$.

Fixing further a general fiber $F=F_{\xi}$ sufficiently close to $\Gamma$ and a sufficiently small neighborhood $\omega$ of the finite set $T \cup(\bar{F} \backslash F)$ in $\bar{F}$, we let $K=\bar{F} \backslash \omega \subseteq F$. Under our assumptions $K$ is a compact Riemann surface of positive genus with boundary, and $B \cap \lambda . K=\emptyset$ for all sufficiently small $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^{*}$. Hence $F \cap \lambda^{-1} . B \subseteq \omega$ is a disjoint union of Riemann surfaces of genus 0 . On the other hand

$$
F \cap \lambda^{-1} . B \cong B \cap \lambda . F=B \cap F_{\lambda^{N} \xi}
$$

is a disjoint union of $m$ copies of the Milnor fiber $R$ of the analytic plane curve singularity $\left(\Gamma, p^{\prime}\right)$. This is a contradiction because $R$ is of positive genus.

Thus $\Gamma$ is stable under the extended elliptic $\mathbb{C}^{*}$-action on $X$. So $\Gamma$ is an orbit closure of this action and the singular point $p^{\prime} \in \Gamma$ is a fixed point of the action. Consider an equivariant embedding $X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}^{N}$ which sends $p^{\prime}$ to the origin, where $\mathbb{A}^{N}$ is equipped with a linear $\mathbb{C}^{*}$ action, and fix an equivariant linear projection $\mathbb{A}^{N} \rightarrow T$, where $T \simeq \mathbb{A}^{2}$ is the tangent plane of $X$ at $p^{\prime}=\overline{0}$. This projection restricted to $X$ gives an equivariant isomorphism $X \simeq \mathbb{A}^{2}$, where the $\mathbb{C}^{*}$-action on $\mathbb{A}^{2}$ is linear (indeed, both actions have the origin as an attractive fixed point). In appropriate linear coordinates the latter linear action is diagonal: $\lambda .(x, y) \longmapsto\left(\lambda^{l} x, \lambda^{k} y\right)$ with $\operatorname{gcd}(k, l)=1$. So either the image of $\Gamma$ is one of the axes, which contradicts the assumption that $\Gamma$ is singular, or it is a curve $\alpha x^{k}-\beta y^{l}=0$ for some $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}^{*}$. This proves (c) of Theorem 1. Now the proof of Theorem 1 is completed.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ This is due to the Lin-Zaidenberg Theorem [LiZa, Mi, Ch. 3, §3].
    ${ }^{2}$ See [Mi, Ch.2, Theorem 6.7.1].
    ${ }^{3}$ See also Lemma 3 below.
    ${ }^{4}$ The both possibilities actually occur, see the Construction in Section 3 and also Lemma 7.

[^1]:    ${ }^{5}$ We refer e.g. to $[\mathrm{Fu}, \mathrm{GuPa}, \mathrm{Mi}, \mathrm{Ch} .3,4.4 .1-4.4 .2]$ for definitions.
    ${ }^{6}$ The same conclusions hold also in case (c) if $X$ is not NC-minimal [GuPa].
    ${ }^{7}$ We note [Be] that $\pi_{1}(X)$ is a free product of cyclic groups, namely, $\pi_{1}(X) \cong$ $*_{j} \mathbb{Z} / m_{j} \mathbb{Z}$, where $\left(m_{j}\right)_{j}$ is the sequence of multiplicities of degenerate fibers, and so $H_{1}(X ; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \bigoplus_{j} \mathbb{Z} / m_{j} \mathbb{Z}$.

[^2]:    $8_{\text {i.e. the Dolgachev-Pinkham-Demazure presentation. }}$

[^3]:    ${ }^{9}$ It is of type B1 in the classification of [ $\mathrm{FlZa}_{1}$ ].

