

**Vafa's formula and equivariant
 K – theory**

**Kaoru ONO and
Shi-shyr ROAN**

On leave from:

Kaoru Ono
Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Science
Ochanomizu University
Otsuka, Toyko 112
Japan

Shi-shyr Roan
Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik
Gottfried-Claren-Straße 26
D-5300 Bonn 3
Germany

Vafa's formula and equivariant K -theory

Kaoru ONO and Shi-shyr ROAN

1 Introduction.

For a finite group G acting on an n -dimensional complex manifold M , the corrected Euler characteristic for the quotient M by G in string theory is the following expression of orbifold Euler characteristic [2]

$$\chi(M, G) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{gh=hg} \chi(M^{(g,h)}),$$

here the summation runs over all commuting pairs (g, h) of elements g and h in G and $M^{(g,h)}$ denotes the common fixed point set of g and h . Atiyah and Segal [1] noticed that the above expression of $\chi(M, G)$ equals to the Euler characteristic of the equivariant K -theory $K_G^*(M)$. In the case when M/G has a resolution $\widehat{M/G}$ with trivial canonical bundle, $\chi(M, G)$ is expected to be the same as $\chi(\widehat{M/G})$. This statement holds for many interesting cases, e.g. $\dim M = 2$ [3], or $\dim M = 3$ with abelian group G [4],[5]. This paper deals another similar situation but on the equivariant K -theory for circle group action.

In the study of conformal field theory of Landau-Ginzburg model, Vafa has obtained the following expression of Witten's index [9]

$$\mathrm{Tr} (-1)^F = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{l,r=0}^{d-1} \prod_{lq_i, rq_i \in \mathbf{Z}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{q_i}\right)$$

here d = the degree of the superpotential $f(z_0, \dots, z_m)$ with weight $(z_i) = n_i$, q_i ($i = 0, \dots, m$) = the charge n_i/d of z_i with $\sum_{j=0}^m q_j = 1$.

Vafa's formula of Witten's index has the topological interpretation on the zero locus X of the polynomial $f(z_0, \dots, z_m)$ in weighted projective m -space

$WP_{(n_i)}^m$. For $m = 4$, the minimal toroidal resolution \hat{X} of X is a Calabi-Yau space. It is shown in [6] that the Euler number $\chi(\hat{X})$ is expressed by the above quantity in Vafa's formula. Atiyah suggests the connection of Vafa's formula and the equivariant K -theory exists as the case of finite group action. The main result of this paper is to show that this is indeed true.

Let $WP_{(n_0, \dots, n_m)}^m$ be the m -dimensional weighted projective space with weights (n_0, \dots, n_m) satisfying $\text{g.c.d.}\{n_i | i \neq j\} = 1$ for all j . Denote $D = \sum_{i=0}^m n_i$. Consider the natural projection $\mathbf{C}^{m+1} - 0 \rightarrow WP_{(n_i)}^m$, and restrict it to the unit sphere S^{2m+1} . We get a Seifert fibration $S^{2m+1} \rightarrow WP_{(n_i)}^m$. For a subset A of $WP_{(n_i)}^m$, we denote $S_A \rightarrow A$ the restriction of the Seifert fibration to A . Our main result is the following

Theorem. Let X be a quasi-smooth hypersurface in $WP_{(n_i)}^m$ defined by a quasi-homogeneous polynomial of degree d . Then $K_{S^1}^0(S_X)$ and $K_{S^1}^1(S_X)$ are of finite rank and the following equality holds.

$$\text{rank } K_{S^1}^0(S_X) - \text{rank } K_{S^1}^1(S_X) = (D - d) + \frac{1}{d} \sum_{l,r=0}^{d-1} \prod_{l n_i, r n_i \in \mathbf{Z}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{q_i}\right)$$

where $q_i = n_i/d$.

2 Preliminaries.

In this section, we review some facts on the equivariant K -theory. Let G be a compact Lie group and X a compact G -space. We shall denote the Euler characteristic of the equivariant K -theory of a G -space X as $\chi_G^K(X)$.

Fact 1. If G acts on X trivially, we have

$$K_G^*(X) \cong K^*(X) \otimes R(G)$$

where $R(G)$ is the representation ring of G .

Fact 2. If G acts on X freely, we have

$$K_G^*(X) \cong K^*(X/G).$$

It is well known that we can obtain the Mayer-Vietoris sequence by routine diagram chasing argument, once we have the exact sequence of pairs and the excision property, which are found in [8].

Fact 3.(Mayer-Vietoris sequence) Let X be a compact G -space and A and B are closed G -invariant subspaces such that $A \cup B = X$. Then we have the following 6-term exact sequence.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} K_G^0(X) & \rightarrow & K_G^0(A) \oplus K_G^0(B) & \rightarrow & K_G^0(A \cap B) \\ & & \uparrow & & \downarrow \\ K_G^1(A \cap B) & \leftarrow & K_G^1(A) \oplus K_G^1(B) & \leftarrow & K_G^1(X) \end{array}$$

Consequently, $\chi_G^K(X) + \chi_G^K(A \cap B) = \chi_G^K(A) + \chi_G^K(B)$.

We also need the following

Proposition (2.1). Let N be a finite subgroup of an abelian group G and X a G -space. Suppose the G -action on X is factored through the homomorphism $G \rightarrow G' = G/N$. Then we have

$$K_G^*(X) \cong K_{G'}^*(X) \otimes R(N).$$

Proof. Denote \hat{N} the set of all irreducible representations of N . Since G is abelian, every irreducible representation of N can be regarded as the restriction of a certain representation of G . For each irreducible representation ρ of N , we fix an extension $\tilde{\rho}$ of ρ to the representation of G .

For a G -equivariant vector bundle E on X , $\text{Hom}_N(\tilde{\rho}, E)$ denotes a G -equivariant vector bundle defined as follows:

$$\text{Hom}_N(\tilde{\rho}, E) = \bigcup_{x \in X} \text{Hom}_N(\tilde{\rho}, E_x).$$

$\text{Hom}_N(\tilde{\rho}, E_x)$ is nothing but $\text{Hom}_N(\rho, E_x)$ as N -space. However $\text{Hom}_N(\tilde{\rho}, E)$ carries a G -action in a natural way:

$$(g \cdot f)(v) = gf(g^{-1}v),$$

for $f \in \text{Hom}_N(\tilde{\rho}, E)$ and v an element in the $\tilde{\rho}$ -representation space. Since f commutes with N -action, N acts trivially on $\text{Hom}_N(\tilde{\rho}, E)$, i.e. $\text{Hom}_N(\tilde{\rho}, E)$ is a G' -vector bundle. We define a homomorphism $\phi : K_G^0(X) \rightarrow K_{G'}^0(X) \otimes R(N)$ as follows:

$$\phi(E) = \bigoplus_{\rho \in \hat{N}} \text{Hom}_N(\tilde{\rho}, E) \otimes \rho.$$

We also define a homomorphism $\psi : K_{G'}^0(X) \otimes R(N) \rightarrow K_G^0(X)$ by

$$\psi(F \otimes \rho) = F \otimes \tilde{\rho}.$$

It is clear that ϕ and ψ are inverse to each other. The case of odd degree equivariant K -group is reduced to the case of even degree. It completes the proof. \square

Remark. Note that ϕ and ψ are not ring homomorphisms.

In general, $K_G^*(X)$ is not necessarily of finite rank. However, under the condition that every isotropy group is finite, the above Fact 3 and Proposition (2.1) imply that it is of finite rank. Finally we recall the Chern character isomorphism for ordinary K -theory.

Fact 4. The Chern character induces an isomorphism after tensoring \mathbf{Q} .

$$ch : K^*(X) \otimes \mathbf{Q} \rightarrow H^*(X) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$$

3 Proof of Theorem.

Let X be a quasi-smooth hypersurface in $WP_{(n_0, \dots, n_m)}^m$ defined by zeros of a quasi-homogeneous polynomial f with degree d . We denote

$$Y = \{[x_i, w] \in WP_{(n_i, 1)}^{m+1} \mid w^d = f(x_0, \dots, x_m)\}.$$

X is identified with the intersection of Y and $WP_{(n_i)}^m$, which is defined by the equation $w = 0$. The complement of X in Y is the Milnor fibre $F = \{(x_0, \dots, x_m) \in \mathbb{C}^{m+1} | f(x_0, \dots, x_m) = 1\}$. We have the diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X & \subset & Y & \supset & F \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X & \subset & WP_{(n_i)}^m & \supset & U \end{array}$$

U is the quotient of F by the monodromy map h of F ,

$$h : [x_0, \dots, x_m, w] \mapsto [x_0, \dots, x_m, \omega^{-1}w] = [\omega^{n_0}x_0, \dots, \omega^{n_m}x_m, w]$$

here ω is the primitive d -th root of unity.

For a subgroup H of G , denote

$$\begin{aligned} M^H &= \cap \{M^g | g \in H\} \\ M(H) &= M^H - \cup \{M^K | H : \text{a proper subgroup of } K\}, \end{aligned}$$

hence $M(H)$ consists of all the points of M with H as the isotropy subgroup.

Lemma (3.1). Let G be a compact abelian Lie group and P a compact differentiable manifold with $G \times S^1$ -action. Suppose $G \times S^1$ -isotropy subgroups at points of P are all finite. Then P , as a G -space, has the vanishing G -equivariant K -theory Euler characteristic, i.e. $\chi_G^K(P) = 0$.

Proof. P , as G -space, is the union of all $P(H)$ for $H < G$. Stratify P as a finite sequence of $G \times S^1$ -invariant closed subspaces

$$\phi = P_{-1} \subset P_0 \subset P_1 \subset \dots \subset P_N = P$$

such that $P_j - P_{j-1}$ is $P(H)$ for some $H < G$. It is easy to see that there is a $G \times S^1$ -invariant regular neighborhood Q_j of P_{j-1} in P_j . ($Q_0 = \phi$.) Let $P'(H) = P_j - Q_j$. By the Mayer-Vietoris sequence argument (cf. Fact 3 in §2), we have

$$\chi_G^K(P_j) = \chi_G^K(P'(H)) + \chi_G^K(P_{j-1}) - \chi_G^K(\partial Q_j).$$

By Proposition (2.1) and Fact 2 in §2, $K_G^*(P'(H))$ and $K_G^*(\partial Q_j)$ are isomorphic to $K_G^*(P'(H)/G) \otimes R(H)$ and $K_G^*(\partial Q_j/G) \otimes R(H)$ respectively. Since

$P'(H)/G$ and $\partial Q_j/G$ are Seifert manifolds (i.e. manifolds with non-vanishing vector fields which generate S^1 -actions), they have zero Euler characteristic. By Fact 4 in §2, we have $\chi_G^K(P'(H)) = \chi_G^K(\partial Q_j) = 0$. By induction, we have $\chi_G^K(P) = 0$. \square

We are going to prove the following lemmas using the above result on Seifert fibration S_A over A with $G = S^1$ which acts on fibres.

Lemma (3.2). $\chi_{S^1}^K(S_{WP_{(n_i)}^m}) = D$.

Proof. The $G(= S^1)$ -action on S^{2m+1} is determined by weights $\{n_i\}$

$$(z_0, z_1, \dots, z_m) \mapsto (\lambda^{n_0} z_0, \lambda^{n_1} z_1, \dots, \lambda^{n_m} z_m).$$

The other S^1 -action is determined by

$$(z_0, z_1, \dots, z_m) \mapsto (t^{a_0} z_0, t^{a_1} z_1, \dots, t^{a_m} z_m),$$

hence an action on $WP_{(n_i)}^m$:

$$[z_0, z_1, \dots, z_m] \mapsto [t^{a_0} z_0, t^{a_1} z_1, \dots, t^{a_m} z_m].$$

For generic integers a_0, a_1, \dots, a_m , points of P with finite $G \times S^1$ -isotropy subgroup are exactly those outside fibres over $F(:= \{[1, 0, \dots, 0], [0, 1, \dots, 0], \dots, [0, 0, \dots, 1]\})$. Let $N(F)$ be an S^1 -invariant regular neighborhood of F in $WP_{(n_i)}^m$. The Mayer-Vietoris sequence implies $\chi_{S^1}^K(S_{WP_{(n_i)}^m}) = \chi_{S^1}^K(S_F) + \chi_{S^1}^K(S_{WP_{(n_i)}^m} - S_{N(F)}) - \chi(S_{\partial N(F)})$. By Proposition (2.1), the first term in the right hand side is D , and Lemma (3.1) assures zeros of the last two terms in the right hand side. Hence we obtain this lemma. \square

Lemma (3.3). Let V be a complement of a regular neighborhood of X in $WP_{(n_i)}^m$. Then we have

$$\chi_{S^1}^K(S_{WP_{(n_i)}^m}) = \chi_{S^1}^K(S_X) + \chi_{S^1}^K(S_V).$$

Proof. Since S_X is an S^1 -invariant submanifold of $S_{WP_{(n_i)}^m}$, there is an S^1 -invariant tubular neighborhood $N(S_X)$ of S_X . Since the real codimension of

X in $WP_{(n_i)}^m$ is 2, the boundary $\partial N(S_X)$ is an S^1 -equivariant circle bundle over S_X . Lemma (3.1) implies that $\chi_{S^1}^K(S_{\partial N(S_X)}) = 0$. Hence the conclusion follows from the Mayer-Vietoris sequence argument. \square

It is easy to see that the group generated by the monodromy transformation h on F is of order d , and every isotropy subgroup H of the S^1 -action on S_V is a subgroup of $\langle h \rangle$. Then S_V/S^1 is homotopically equivalent to the quotient space $F(H)/\langle h \rangle$. Using Proposition (2.1) and Lemma (3.1) we can show the following

Lemma (3.4).

$$\chi_{S^1}^K(S_V) = \sum_{H < \langle h \rangle} |H| \cdot \chi(F(H)/\langle h \rangle).$$

Proof. We stratify S_V as follows:

$$Y_0 \subset Y_1 \subset \cdots \subset Y_N = S_V \text{ such that}$$

- 1) Y_j is an S^1 -invariant closed subset.
- 2) $Y_j - Y_{j-1} = S_V(H_j)$ for some $H_j < \langle h \rangle$.
- 3) $\overline{Y_j - Y_{j-1}} \cap Y_{j-1}$ is a union of $S_V(H')$ for $H' \not\geq H_j$.

It is easy to see that there is an S^1 -invariant regular neighborhood N_j of Y_{j-1} in Y_j . Since $\partial N_j/S^1$ is a compact odd dimensional manifold, its Euler number is 0. Fact 3 in §2 yields

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_{S^1}^K(Y_j) &= \chi_{S^1}^K(N_j) + \chi_{S^1}^K(S_V(H_j)) \\ &= \chi_{S^1}^K(Y_{j-1}) + \chi_{S^1}^K(S_V(H_j)), \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_{S^1}^K(S_V) &= \sum_{H < \langle h \rangle} \chi_{S^1}^K(S_V(H)) \\ &= \sum_{H < \langle h \rangle} |H| \chi(S_V(H)/S^1) \\ &= \sum_{H < \langle h \rangle} |H| \chi(F(H)/\langle h \rangle). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Lemma (3.5). For $l = 0, 1, \dots, d-1$, let F^{h^l} be the fixed point manifold for h^l . Then

$$1 - \chi(F^{h^l}/\langle h \rangle) = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{r=0}^{d-1} \prod_{lq_i, rq_i \in \mathbf{Z}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{q_i}\right).$$

Proof. (The same as Lemma 3 of [6])

Proof of Theorem.

For a subset I of $\{0, 1, \dots, m\}$, we have

$f(z|z_i = 0, i \in I)$ is a non-trivial polynomial in z_j ($j \notin I$)
 $\iff F'_I := F \cap \{[x_0, \dots, x_m, 1] | x_i = 0 \text{ for } i \in I, x_j \neq 0 \text{ for } j \notin I\} \neq \phi$.
Denote $\mathcal{I} = \{I | F'_I \neq \phi\}$, $H(I)$ = the isotropy subgroup of $\langle h \rangle$ for points in F'_I , $I \in \mathcal{I}$. Then the order of $H(I)$ is equal to c_I ($:= \text{g.c.d.}\{n_j | j \notin I\}$). For a subgroup H of $\langle h \rangle$, we have

$$F(H) = \cup \{F'_I | H(I) = H, I \in \mathcal{I}\},$$

hence

$$F(H)/\langle h \rangle = \cup \{F'_I/\langle h \rangle | H(I) = H, I \in \mathcal{I}\}.$$

Let $U_I = \{[x_0, \dots, x_m] \in WP_{(n_i)}^m - X | x_i = 0 \text{ for } i \in I\}$, $U'_I = U_I - \cup_{I \subsetneq J} U_J$. Then $F'_I/\langle h \rangle = U'_I$, $F(H)/\langle h \rangle = \cup \{U'_I | H(I) = H, I \in \mathcal{I}\}$. By Lemma (3.2),(3.3),(3.4),and (3.5),

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_{S^1}^K(S_X) &= D - \sum_{H < \langle h \rangle} |H| \chi(F(H)/\langle h \rangle) \\ &= D - \sum_{I \in \mathcal{I}} \chi(U'_I) c_I \\ &= D - d + d - \sum_{l=0}^{d-1} (\sum \{\chi(U'_J) | F^{h^l}/\langle h \rangle \supseteq U_J\}) \\ &= D - d + d - \sum_{l=0}^{d-1} \chi(F^{h^l}/\langle h \rangle) \\ &= D - d + \sum_{l=0}^{d-1} (1 - \chi(F^{h^l}/\langle h \rangle)) \\ &= D - d + \frac{1}{d} \sum_{l,r=0}^{d-1} \prod_{lq_i, rq_i \in \mathbf{Z}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{q_i}\right) \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Remark 1. First we compare the approach of [1] and ours. The main tool in [1] is the following

Fact. Let G be a finite group and X a compact G -space. Then there is an isomorphism:

$$K_G^*(X) \otimes \mathbb{C} \cong \bigoplus_{[g]} [K(X^g) \otimes \mathbb{C}]^{Z_g}$$

where $[g]$ is the conjugate class in G and Z_g is the centralizer of g .

To interpret the right hand side, we introduce the following space.

$$\mathcal{X} := \{(x, h) \in X \times G \mid h \cdot x = x\}$$

G acts on \mathcal{X} naturally as follows:

$$g \cdot (x, h) := (g \cdot x, ghg^{-1}).$$

Then it is easy to see that

$$\mathcal{X}/G = \bigsqcup_{[g]} X^g/Z^g.$$

Therefore the above Fact implies that the equivariant Euler characteristic of X equals the Euler characteristic of \mathcal{X}/G . On the other hand, \mathcal{X} is also decomposed into subspaces according to the isotropy types. Our approach can be seen as the latter one.

Remark 2. The equivariant K -theory interpretation of Vafa's formula we have given in the main theorem based on the action of the abelian group generated by S^1 and monodromy group $\langle h \rangle$. The same argument works also for the cases of any finite abelian group commuting with S^1 -action, instead of $\langle h \rangle$. Hence we can also obtain a similar K -theory interpretation of the generalized Vafa's formula related to Calabi-Yau mirror manifolds treated in [7].

Acknowledgement. This work is done during both authors' stay at Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik. We would like to express our thanks for its hospitality and thank Professor Kreck for the conversation on the Mayer-Vietoris sequence of the equivariant K -theory.

References.

1. M. Atiyah and G. Segal, On the equivariant Euler characteristics, *J.Geometry and Physics* **6**(1989) 671-677.
2. L. Dixon, J. Harvey, C. Vafa and E. Witten, Strings on orbifolds, *Nucl.Phys.***B261**(1985) 678; Strings on orbifolds II, *Nucl.Phys.***B274**(1986) 285.
3. F. Hirzebruch and T. Höfer, On the Euler number of an orbifold , *Math. Ann.* **286**(1990) 255-260.
4. D. G. Markushevich, M. A. Olshanetsky and A. M. Perelomov, Description of a class of superstring compactifications related to semi-simple Lie algebras, *Comm.Math.Phys.***111**(1987) 247-274.
5. S. S. Roan, On the generalization of Kummer surfaces, *J.Differential Geom.***30**(1989) 523-537.
6. S. S. Roan, On Calabi-Yau orbifolds in weighted projective spaces, *Intern.J.Math.* **1**(1990) 211-232.
7. S. S. Roan, The mirror of Calabi-Yau orbifold, *Intern.J.Math.* **2**(1991) 439-455.
8. G. Segal, Equivariant K-theory, *Publ.Math.IHES* **34**(1968) 129-151.
9. C. Vafa, String vacua and orbifoldized LG models, *Mod.Phys.Lett.***A4**(1989) 1169.

Kaoru Ono

Shi-shyr Roan

Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik
Gottfried-Claren-Straße 26
5300 Bonn 3
Germany

Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik
Gottfried-Claren-Straße 26
5300 Bonn 3
Germany

and

Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Science
Ochanomizu University
Otsuka, Tokyo 112
Japan