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#### Abstract

We give the criterion for the irreducibility, the Schur irreducibility and the indecomposability of the set of two $n \times n$ matrices $\Lambda_{n}$ and $A_{n}$ in terms of the subalgebra associated with the "support" of the matrix $A_{n}$, where $\Lambda_{n}$ is a diagonal matrix with different non zeros eigenvalues and $A_{n}$ is an arbitrary one. The list of all maximal subalgebras of the algebra $\operatorname{Mat}(n, \mathbb{C})$ and the list of the corresponding invariant subspaces connected with these two matrices is also given. The properties of the corresponding subalgebras are expressed in terms of the graphs associated with the support of the second matrix. For arbitrary $n$ we describe all minimal subsets of the elementary matrices $E_{k m}$ that generate the algebra $\operatorname{Mat}(n, \mathbb{C})$.
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## 1 Introduction

In the representation theory of different objects (groups, rings, algebras etc.) the problem of the ireducibility of the concrete representations (modules) sometimes reduce to the irreducibility of the algebra, generated by two operators or by two matrices if the representation is finite dimensional.

In the case of the discrete group generated by two elements this is exactly the problem one need to solve. The most popular examples are the following: the free group $\mathbb{F}_{2}$ generated by two elements, the Artin braid group $B_{3}$ on three strands, the group $\operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})=\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}) / \pm 1$.

We give the criteria of the irreducibility and the Schur irreducibility (see below the definitions) of the set of two complex $n \times n$ matrices $\Lambda_{n}$ and $A_{n}$ in terms of the "support" of the matrix $A_{n}$, where $\Lambda_{n}$ is a diagonal matrix with different non zeros eigenvalues and $A_{n}$ is an arbitrary one (Theorem 5). The list of all invariant subspaces for this two matrices is also given (Theorem 6).

This criterion allows us to study completely in [2] the irreducibility of some family of representations depending on the parameters of the braid group $B_{3}$ in any dimensions.

There are three different notion connected with the irreducibility of the representations $T$ of the group $G$ in a complex vector space $V$

$$
G \ni g \mapsto T_{g} \in \mathrm{GL}(V)
$$

where $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ is the group of the linear invertible operators in the space $V$. They are as follows: 1) irreducible, 2) Schur irreducible, 3) indecomposable.

Definition 1 We say that the representation is irreducible (resp. Schur irreducible) when there are no nontrivial invariant closed subspaces for all operators of the representation (resp. there are no nontrivial bounded operators commuting with all operators of the representation). The representation is indecomposable if it can not be presented as the direct sum of the subrepresentations.

Remark 2 It is well known that the relations between the mentioned notions when the space $V$ is finite dimensional are as follows: 1$) \Rightarrow 2) \Rightarrow 3$ ).

Remark 3 The notions of irreducibility and Schur irrereducibility coincides for the unitary representation of an arbitrary group $G$ (hence, for an arbitrary representation of a compact group, using the "Wayl trick" [7]).

Counterexample 1.2) $\nRightarrow 1$ ). Let us consider the subalgebra of the algebra $\operatorname{Mat}(2, \mathbb{C})$ consisting of the matrices

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
0 & c
\end{array}\right), a, b, c \in \mathbb{C} .
$$

This subalgebra is subspace reducible (the subspace in $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ generated by the vector $(1,0)$ is invariant) but the algebra is operator irreducible.

Counterexample 2. 3) $\nRightarrow 2$ ). The classical example of the operator reducible but the indecomposable representation of the additive group of $\mathbb{C}$ is as follows:

$$
\mathbb{C} \ni z \mapsto\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & z  \tag{1}\\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \in \mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{C}) .
$$

### 1.1 Irreducibility criteria

Let $\operatorname{Mat}(n, \mathbb{C})$ be the algebra of all complex matrices over the field of complex numbers $\mathbb{C}$ and let $\Lambda_{n}$ (resp. $A_{n}$ ) be a diagonal (resp. an arbitrary) matrix in $\operatorname{Mat}(n, \mathbb{C})$ :

$$
\Lambda_{n}=\operatorname{diag}\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right), A_{n}=\left(a_{k m}\right)_{1 \leq k, m \leq n} \in \operatorname{Mat}(n, \mathbb{C})
$$

We shall call the support of the matrix $A$ the following subset

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Supp}\left(A_{n}\right)=\left\{(k, m) \in\{1,2, \ldots, n\}^{2} \mid a_{k m} \neq 0\right\} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 4 It is well known that the algebra $\operatorname{Mat}(n, \mathbb{C})$ acting in the space $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ is irreducible (and hence Schur) irreducible.

Notation. Let us denote by $E_{k m}$ the matrix units i.e. the matrix $E_{k m}=$ $\left(a_{i j}\right)$ such that $a_{i j}=\delta_{k i} \delta_{m j}$ where $\delta_{i j}$ are the Kronecker symbols. Obviously $E_{k m} E_{p q}=\delta_{m p} E_{k q}$.

Theorem 5 Let the eigevnalues $\lambda_{k}$ of $\Lambda_{n}$ are different and non zeros, then 1) the family of two operators $\left(\Lambda_{n}, A_{n}\right)$ is irreducible if and only if the set $\left(E_{k m} \mid(k, m) \in \operatorname{Supp}\left(A_{n}\right)\right)$ generates the algebra $\operatorname{Mat}(n, \mathbb{C}) ;$
2) the family $\left(\Lambda_{n}, A_{n}\right)$ is Schur irreducible if and only if the set $\left(E_{k m} \mid(k, m) \in\right.$ $\left.\operatorname{Supp}\left(A_{n}\right) \cup \operatorname{Supp}\left(A_{n}^{t}\right)\right)$ generates the algebra $\operatorname{Mat}(n, \mathbb{C})$;
3) the family $\left(\Lambda_{n}, A_{n}\right)$ is indecomposable if and only if the set $\left(E_{k m} \mid(k, m) \in\right.$ $\left.\operatorname{Supp}\left(A_{n}\right)\right)$ generate the indecomposable subalgebra in $\operatorname{Mat}(n, \mathbb{C})$.

If $A$ and $B$ be complex $n \times n$ matrices. When they have 1) a common eigenvectors; 2) a common invariant subspace of dimension $k,(2 \leq k<n)$ ?
In 1984 Dan Shemesh [5] shows that the criteria for 1) is: $\bigcap_{k, l=1}^{n-1} \operatorname{ker}\left[A^{k}, B^{l}\right] \neq 0$. In [4], under the additional assumption that at least one of the matrix $A$ and $B$ has distinct eigenvalues, were given some sufficient conditions for 2) in terms of $k$ th compound matrix $C_{k}(A)$ and $C_{k}(B)$ of the matrix $A$ and $B$ (for definition see e.f. [3], chap I, §4). Namely, 2) holds if the matrix $C_{k}(A)$ and $C_{k}(B)$ have a common invariant vector.

The advantage of our approach is that in the case where one of the matrices is diagonal, we give the criterion for 2 ) in terms of the support of the second matrix. The list of all invariant subspaces for this two matrices is also given (Theorem 6). In Section 3 we reformulate theorems 5 and 6 in terms of the graph associated with the support of the second matrix. It allows us to make use of graph theory (which is well developed).

### 1.2 Irreducibility

PROOF. 1) The sufficiency part $\Leftarrow$ is obvious using the Remark 4. Indeed let us denote by $\mathfrak{A}_{n}$ the algebra generated by operators $\Lambda_{n}$ and $A_{n}$. Since $\lambda_{k}$ are different and non zeros we conclude that $E_{k k} \in \mathfrak{A}_{n}, 0 \leq k \leq n$. Further, since

$$
E_{k k} A_{n} E_{m m}=a_{k m} E_{k m}
$$

we conclude that $E_{k m} \in \mathfrak{A}_{n}$ if $a_{k m} \neq 0$ i.e. if $(k, m) \in \operatorname{Supp}\left(A_{n}\right)$.
To prove the necessary part $\Rightarrow$ for any fixed $n=1,2, \ldots$, let us suppose that the set $\left(E_{k m} \mid(k, m) \in \operatorname{Supp}\left(A_{n}\right)\right)$ does not generate the whole algebra $\operatorname{Mat}(n, \mathbb{C})$, but only some proper subalgebra $s(n)$ of the following form

$$
\begin{equation*}
s(n)=\left(x \in \operatorname{Mat}(n, \mathbb{C}) \mid x=\sum_{(k, m) \in S(n)} x_{k m} E_{k m}\right), \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

corresponding to some subset of indices $S(n) \subset\{1, \ldots, n\}^{2}$. We can suppose that this subalgebra is maximal proper subalgebra of the form (3). Indeed, if we can find the invariant subspace $V$ for the maximal subalgebra hence this subspace would be also invariant one for any of its subalgebra. By Theorem 6
the list of the maximal proper subalgebras $s(n)$ in $\operatorname{Mat}(n, \mathbb{C})$ of the form (3) is as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{\mathbf{i}}(n)=\left(x=\left(x_{k m}\right)_{1 \leq k, m \leq n} \in \operatorname{Mat}(n, \mathbb{C}) \mid x_{k m}=0, k \in \hat{\mathbf{i}}, m \in \mathbf{i}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{i}=\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{k}\right\} \subseteq\{1,2, \ldots, n\}, k \leq n$ and $\hat{\mathbf{i}}=\{1,2, \ldots, n\} \backslash \mathbf{i}$.
Notation. For the general $n$ let $V_{i_{1} i_{2} \ldots i_{k}}(n)=\left\langle e_{i_{1}}, e_{i_{2}}, \ldots e_{i_{k}}\right\rangle$ be the linear subspace in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ generated by the vectors $e_{i_{1}}, e_{i_{2}}, \ldots e_{i_{k}}, 1 \leq i_{1}<i_{2}<\ldots<i_{k} \leq$ $n$, where $e_{k}=\left(\delta_{r k}\right)_{r=1}^{n} \in \mathbb{C}^{n}, 1 \leq k \leq n$.

The subspace $V_{\mathbf{i}}(n):=V_{i_{1} i_{2} \ldots i_{k}}(n)$ is invariant subspace for the algebra $s_{\mathbf{i}}(n)$.
2) To prove the Schur irreducibility we note that the commutant $\left(\Lambda_{n}\right)^{\prime}$ of the operator $\Lambda_{n}$ has the following form

$$
\left(\Lambda_{n}\right)^{\prime}=\left(B \in \operatorname{Mat}(n, \mathbb{C}) \mid B=\operatorname{diag}\left(b_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{n}\right)
$$

hence, the relation $\left[A_{n}, B\right]=0$ is equivalent with

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{k m} b_{m}=b_{k} a_{k m}, \quad 1 \leq k, m \leq n . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We say that we can connect $k$ and $m$ where $1 \leq k, m \leq n$ if $a_{k m} \neq 0$ or $a_{m k} \neq 0$ i.e. $(k, m) \in \operatorname{Supp}\left(A_{n}\right)$ or $(k, m) \in \operatorname{Supp}\left(A_{n}^{t}\right)$. In this case $b_{k}=b_{m}$. To show that all $b_{k}$ coincide (i.e. that $B=b I$ ) we should be able to connect step by step all $k$ and $m$ i.e. for any $(k, m) \in\{1,2, \ldots, n\}^{2}$ we should be able to find the sequence $\left(k_{r}, m_{r}\right)_{r=1}^{l} \in \operatorname{Supp}\left(A_{n}\right) \cup \operatorname{Supp}\left(A_{n}^{t}\right)$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{k m}=E_{k_{1}, m_{1}} E_{k_{r}, m_{r}} \ldots E_{k_{l}, m_{l}} . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

This proves the sufficiency part of the second part of the theorem. We say in this case that the set $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ is connected.

To prove the sufficiency part let us suppose that the set $J=\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ is not connected i.e. it consists of $l$ connected components $J_{r}$ i.e. $J=\bigcup_{r=1}^{l} J_{r}$. In this case $b_{k}=b_{m}$ for $k, m \in J_{r}$ and the operator $B=\oplus_{r=1}^{l} b_{r} I_{r}$ where $I_{r}=\sum_{k \in J_{r}} E_{k k}$, commute with $A_{n}$, i.e. $\left[A_{n}, B\right]=0$ hence, the representation is Schur reducible. Part 3) is evident.

## 2 Maximal proper subalgebras of $\operatorname{Mat}(n, \mathbb{C})$

We give the complete list of subsets of indices $S(n) \subset\{1,2, \ldots, n\}^{2}$ such that the subspace $s(n) \in \operatorname{Mat}(n, \mathbb{C})$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
s(n)=\left(x \in \operatorname{Mat}(n, \mathbb{C}) \mid x=\sum_{(k, m) \in S(n)} x_{k m} E_{k m}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a maximal proper subalgebra in $\operatorname{Mat}(n, \mathbb{C})$.
Theorem 6 The list of maximal proper subalgebras $s(n)$ in $\operatorname{Mat}(n, \mathbb{C})$ is as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{\mathbf{i}}(n)=\left(x=\left(x_{k m}\right)_{1 \leq k, m \leq n} \in \operatorname{Mat}(n, \mathbb{C}) \mid x_{k m}=0, k \in \hat{\mathbf{i}}, m \in \mathbf{i}\right), \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{i}=\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{k}\right\} \subseteq\{1,2, \ldots, n\}, k<n$ and $\hat{\mathbf{i}}=\{1,2, \ldots, n\} \backslash \mathbf{i}$. The corresponding invariant subspace is $V_{\mathbf{i}}(n):=V_{i_{1} i_{2} \ldots i_{k}}(n)$.

PROOF. For $n=2$ we have only one subset $G(2)=\{(1,2),(2,1)\}$ and two subsets $S(2)$, namely $\{(1,1),(1,2),(2,2)\}$ and $\{(1,1),(2,1),(2,2)\}$. We shall use the following notations for the set $G(2)$ and the algebra $s(2)$ :

$$
G(2):=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad s(2): \quad\left(\begin{array}{c}
* \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right),\binom{*}{*}
$$

Notation. In general we shall write the subset $G(n)$ as the matrix $G(n)=$ $\left(g_{k m}\right)$ with matrix elements $g_{k m}=1$ ( resp. $\left.g_{k m}=0\right)$ if the corresponding $(k, m) \in G(n)($ resp. $(k, m) \notin G(n))$.

The mentioned subalgebras $s(2)$ have respectively the invariant subspaces: $V_{1}(2)=\left\langle e_{1}=(1,0)\right\rangle$ and $V_{2}(2)=\left\langle e_{2}=(0,1)\right\rangle$. For $n=3$ the list of subsets $G(3)$ and the subalgebra $s(3)$ are as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& G(3): \quad G_{1}(3)=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right), G_{2}(3)=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), G_{3}(3)=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right),  \tag{9}\\
& G_{4}(3)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), G_{5}(3)=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right), \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

The mentioned subalgebras $s(3)$ have respectively the following invariant subspaces: $V_{1}(3), V_{2}(3), V_{3}(3) ; V_{23}(3), V_{13}(3)$ and $V_{12}(3)$.

To obtain the list of subalgebra $s(n+1)$ from the list of $s(n)$ we consider two projectors $P_{n, n+1}^{(0)}$ and $P_{n, n+1}^{(1)}$ defined as follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{n, n+1}^{(r)}: \operatorname{Mat}(n+1, \mathbb{C}) \mapsto \operatorname{Mat}(n, \mathbb{C}), \\
& \sum_{1 \leq k, m \leq n+1} x_{k m} E_{k m}=x \mapsto P_{n, n+1}^{(r)}(x)=\sum_{r+1 \leq k, m \leq n+r} x_{k m} E_{k m},
\end{aligned}
$$

Notation. For arbitrary subset of indices $\mathbf{i}=\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{k}\right\} \subseteq\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ let us denote by $s_{\mathbf{i}}^{(r)}(n)=\left(P_{n, n+1}^{(r)}\right)^{-1}\left(s_{\mathbf{i}}(n)\right)$ the corresponding subspace in the algebra $\operatorname{Mat}(n+1, \mathbb{C})$, where we denote by $A^{-1}\left(H_{0}\right)=\left\{x \in H_{1} \mid A x \in H_{0}\right\}$ the preimage of the subset $H_{0} \subset H_{2}$ for an operator $A: H_{1} \rightarrow H_{2}$.

We show how to obtain the list $s(3)$ from the list $s(2)$. Since the algebra $s(3)$ should be contained in the space $s^{(r)}(2)=\left(P_{2,3}^{(r)}\right)^{-1}(s(2))$ for $r=0,1$ we get

Since $E_{21}=E_{23} E_{31}$ and $E_{32}=E_{31} E_{12}$ we have only two subalgebras in $s_{1}^{(0)}(2)$ and two subalgebras in $s_{1}^{(1)}(2)$ :
and since $E_{12}=E_{13} E_{32}$ and $E_{23}=E_{21} E_{13}$ we have only two subalgebras in $s_{2}^{(0)}(2)$ and two subalgebras in $s_{2}^{(1)}(2)$ :

Finally we obtain the list (10) of subalgebras $s(3)$. We see that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
s_{1}^{(0)}(2) \rightarrow s_{1}(3), s_{13}(3), & s_{1}^{(1)}(2) \rightarrow s_{2}(3), s_{12}(3)  \tag{11}\\
s_{2}^{(0)}(2) \rightarrow s_{2}(3), s_{23}(3), & s_{2}^{(1)}(2) \rightarrow s_{3}(3), s_{13}(3)
\end{array}
$$

The list of subalgebra $s(4)$ is as follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{i_{1} i_{2}}(4):\left(\begin{array}{llll}
* & * * * \\
* & * & * \\
0 & 0 & * \\
0 & * & * \\
0 & * & *
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{cc}
* * & * * * \\
0 & *
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The corresponding invariant subspaces are $V_{i}(4), 1 \leq i \leq 4 ; V_{i_{1} i_{2} i_{3}}(4), 1 \leq$ $i_{1}<i_{2}<i_{3} \leq 4 ;$ and $V_{i_{1} i_{2}}(4), 1 \leq i_{1}<i_{2} \leq 4$.

To get $s(4)$ from $s(3)$ we show how this works only for two subalgebras $s_{1}(3)$ and $s_{13}(3)$

Since we have only one possibility to obtain $E_{21}$ and $E_{31}$, namely $E_{21}=E_{24} E_{41}$ and $E_{31}=E_{34} E_{41}$, we have only two subalgebras in $s_{1}^{(0)}(3)$ (case a)). Another cases are treated similarly. In the case b) $s_{1}^{(1)}(3)$ we have $E_{32}=E_{31} E_{12}$ and $E_{42}=E_{41} E_{12} ;$ in the case $\left.c\right) s_{13}^{(0)}(3)$ we have $E_{21}=E_{24} E_{41}$ and $E_{23}=E_{24} E_{43} ;$ in the case d) $s_{13}^{(1)}(3)$ we have $E_{32}=E_{31} E_{12}$ and $E_{34}=E_{31} E_{14}$. Finally we get
a) $\left(\begin{array}{llll}* & * & * & \times \\ 0 & * & * & \times \\ 0 & * & * & \times \\ \times & \times & \times & \times\end{array}\right) \rightarrow\left(\begin{array}{cccc}* & * * * \\ 0 & * & * \\ 0 & * & * \\ 0 & * & * & *\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{cccc}* & * * * \\ 0 & * & * \\ 0 & * & 0 \\ * & * & * & 0\end{array}\right)$;
b) $\left(\begin{array}{llll}\times & \times & \times & \times \\ \times & * & * & * \\ \times & 0 & * & * \\ \times & 0 & * & *\end{array}\right) \rightarrow\left(\begin{array}{cccc}* & 0 & * & * \\ * & * & * \\ * & 0 & * \\ * & 0 & * & *\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{cccc}* & * & * & * \\ * & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & * & *\end{array}\right)$



So we have the following relations using (11) and the latter considerations

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
s_{1}^{(0)}(2) \rightarrow s_{1}(3), s_{13}(3), & s_{1}^{(0)}(3) \rightarrow s_{1}(4), s_{14}(4), \\
s_{1}^{(1)}(2) \rightarrow s_{2}(3), s_{12}(3), & s_{1}^{(1)}(3) \rightarrow s_{2}(4), s_{12}(4), \\
s_{2}^{(0)}(2) \rightarrow s_{2}(3), s_{23}(3), & s_{13}^{(0)}(3) \rightarrow s_{13}(4), s_{134}(4), \\
s_{2}^{(1)}(2) \rightarrow s_{3}(3), s_{13}(3), & s_{13}^{(1)}(2) \rightarrow s_{24}(4), s_{124}(4) .
\end{array}
$$

To guess the general formula for arbitrary $n$ we note also that

$$
s_{14}^{(0)}(4) \rightarrow s_{14}(5), s_{145}(5) .
$$

The similar considerations explains us how to describe all the subalgebra $s(n+1)$ starting from the subalgebra $s(n)$. Namely we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
s_{\mathbf{i}}(n) \leftarrow s_{\mathbf{i}}^{(0)}(n), s_{\mathbf{i}}^{(1)}(n), \\
s_{\mathbf{i}}^{(0)}(n) \rightarrow s_{\mathbf{i}}(n+1), s_{\mathbf{i}_{0}}(n+1), \quad s_{\mathbf{i}}^{(1)}(n) \rightarrow s_{\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{1}}(n+1), s_{\mathbf{i}_{1}}(n+1),
\end{gathered}
$$

or

$$
s_{\mathbf{i}}(n+1){ }^{s_{\mathbf{i}}^{(0)}(n)} s_{\mathbf{i}(n)}{ }_{s_{\mathbf{i}_{0}}(n+1)}^{s_{\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{1}}(n+1)}{ }^{s_{\mathbf{i}}^{(1)}(n)} s_{\mathbf{i}_{1}}(n+1)
$$

where for $\mathbf{i}=\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{k}\right\}$ we denote $\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{1}=\left\{i_{1}+1, i_{2}+1, \ldots, i_{k}+1\right\}, \mathbf{i}_{0}=$ $\mathbf{i} \cup\{n+1\}$ and $\mathbf{i}_{1}=\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{1} \cup\{1\}$.

## 3 Generating sets, maximum subalgebra and the graph theory

Definition 7 We say that the subset $G \subset\{1,2, \ldots, n\}^{2}$ is generating subset if the set of matrices

$$
\left(E_{k m} \mid(k, m) \in G\right)
$$

generate the algebra $\operatorname{Mat}(n, \mathbb{C})$.
We would like to describe the minimal generating subsets $G$ in terms of the graphs. It would be nice also to find the complete list $G(n)$ of the minimal generating subsets in $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}^{2}$.

Definition 8 We associate with the any subset $G \subset\{1,2, \ldots, n\}^{2}$ an directed (oriented) graph $\Gamma$ on $n$ vertices in the following way: if $(k, m) \in G$ we draw the edge (arrow, arc) from the vertex $k$ to the vertex $m$ on the graph.

Definition 9 A directed graph $\Gamma$ is called orientationally connected if starting from any vertex $k$ on the graph we can arrive by arrows in any other vertex $m$ on the graph.

Definition 10 An orientationally connected graph is called minimal if one can not extract any vertex without losing the property of being orientationally connected.

Definition 11 A directed graph $\Gamma$ is called symmetric if, for every arc that belongs to $\Gamma$, the corresponding inverted arc also belongs to $\Gamma$. For a given directed graph $\Gamma$ we call its symmetric closure the minimal symmetric graph $\Gamma^{s}$ containing the initial graph.

Lemma 12 The subset $G$ is minimal generating if and only if the corresponding graph $\Gamma$ is minimal orientationally connected.

PROOF. Use (6).

Now we can reformulate the Theorem 5 in terms of the graph $\Gamma_{A}$ associated with the support of $G_{A}=\operatorname{Supp}\left(A_{n}\right)$ of the matrix $A_{n}$.

Theorem 13 1) The family $\left(\Lambda_{n}, A_{n}\right)$ is irreducible if and only if the graph $\Gamma_{A}$ is orientationally connected;
2) the family $\left(\Lambda_{n}, A_{n}\right)$ is Schur irreducible if and only if the symmetric closure $\Gamma_{A}^{s}$ of the graph $\Gamma_{A}$ is orientationally connected;
3) the family $\left(\Lambda_{n}, A_{n}\right)$ is indecomposable if and only if the graph $\Gamma_{A}$ is connected.

Definition 14 Adjacency matrix. This is the $n$ by $n$ matrix $A$, where $n$ is the number of vertices in the graph. If there is an edge from some vertex $x$ to some vertex $y$, then the element $a_{x, y}$ is 1 (or in general the number of $x y$ edges), otherwise it is 0 .

Let us use denote by $A_{\Gamma}=A_{G}$ the adjacency matrix of the graph $\Gamma$ associated with the set $G$. We have the following correspondence:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { set } G \leftrightarrow \operatorname{graph} \Gamma \leftrightarrow \text { adjacency matrix } A_{G}=A_{\Gamma} . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 15 For two subset $G_{1}, G_{2} \subseteq\{1,2, \ldots, n\}^{2}$ define the product $G_{3}=$ $G_{1} \circ G_{2}$ as the subset

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{1} \circ G_{2}=\left\{(k, m) \mid(k, p) \in G_{1},(p, m) \in G_{2} \text { for some } p\right\} . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us denote for any subset $G \subset\{1, \ldots, n\}^{2}$ by $g$ the corresponding subspace

$$
\begin{equation*}
g=\left(x \in \operatorname{Mat}(n, \mathbb{C}) \mid x=\sum_{(k, m) \in G} x_{k m} E_{k m}\right) . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $g_{1}$ and $g_{2}$ be the subspaces corresponding (via (15)) to two subsets $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$. We define the product $g_{1} g_{2}$ as follows $g_{1} g_{2}=\left(z=x y \mid x \in g_{1}, y \in g_{2}\right)$. Obviously, we have

$$
g_{1} g_{2}=\left(x \in \operatorname{Mat}(n, \mathbb{C}) \mid x=\sum_{(k, m) \in G_{3}} x_{k m} E_{k m}\right),
$$

where $G_{3}=G_{1} \circ G_{2}$.
To define correctly the product of two adjacency matrix matrices $A_{G_{1}}$ and $A_{G_{2}}$, corresponding to the subsets $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$, we assume that the matrix elements of the matrix $A_{G_{i}}$, which are equal to 0 and 1 , are in the semiring $R$.

Definition 16 Define the semiring $R$ consisting of two elements 0 and 1 with operations (see [1])

$$
\begin{equation*}
0+0=0, \quad 0+1=1, \quad 1+1=1, \quad 0 \times 0=0, \quad 0 \times 1=0, \quad 1 \times 1=1 . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { We have } A_{G_{1}} A_{G_{2}}=A_{G_{1} \circ G_{2}} \text {. } \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 17 The set $G$ generate the algebra $\operatorname{Mat}(n, \mathbb{C})$ if and only if the powers $G^{k}=G \circ \ldots \circ G, k=1,2, \ldots, n$ covers the set $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}^{2}$.

Using Lemmas 6 and 12 we get
Lemma 18 1) The number $\sharp(s(n))$ of the maximal proper subalgebra is equal to

$$
\sharp(s(n))=\sum_{r=1}^{n-1} C_{n}^{r}=2^{n}-2,
$$

the number of ordered subsets of the set $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ of the length between 1 and $n-1$;
2) the numbers $\sharp(G(n))$ of the generating subset $G(n)$ is equal to the numbers of the minimal orientationally connected graphs with $n$ vertices.

Problem 1. To find the number $\sharp(G(n))$.
We know that the first values of $\sharp(G(n))$ for $n=2,3,4$ are $1,5,54$.

## 4 Appendix, some examples

Notations. For the sake of shortness we shall use the same notations for the set $G(n)$ and for the corresponding adjacency matrix $A_{G(n)}$. We shall denote both by $G(n)$.
Example 1. We show, using Lemma 17, that the set $G(2)$ and sets $G(3)$ from the list (9) are generating. For $n=2$ the set $G(2)$ is obviously unique. We get

$$
G(2)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad G^{2}(2)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \Rightarrow G(2) \bigcup G(2)^{2}=\{1,2\}^{2} .
$$

For $n=3$ we have $G_{1}(3) \cup G_{1}^{2}(3) \cup G_{1}^{2}(3)=\{1,2,3\}^{2}$. Indeed

$$
\begin{gathered}
G_{1}(3)=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right), G_{1}^{2}(3)=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), G_{1}^{3}(3)=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right), \\
G_{2}(3)=G_{1}^{2}(3), G_{2}^{2}(3)=G_{1}^{4}(3)=G_{1}(3), G_{2}^{3}(3)=G_{1}^{6}(3)=G_{1}^{3}(3), \\
G_{3}(3)=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right), G_{3}^{2}(3)=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \Rightarrow G_{3}(3) \bigcup G_{3}^{2}(3)=\{1,2,3\}^{2}, \\
G_{4}(3)=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), G_{4}^{2}(3)=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right) \Rightarrow G_{4}(3) \bigcup G_{4}^{2}(3)=\{1,2,3\}^{2}, \\
G_{5}(3)=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right), G_{5}^{2}(3)=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \Rightarrow G_{5}(3) \bigcup G_{5}^{2}(3)=\{1,2,3\}^{2} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Example 2. The list of $G(n)$ and $s(n)$ for $n \leq 4$ (for $n=4$ only some $G(n)$ ).

$$
\begin{align*}
& G(2): \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right), s(2):\left(\begin{array}{c}
* \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{c}
* \\
* \\
*
\end{array}\right)  \tag{18}\\
& G(3):\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right) . \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right), \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$
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