

On the twisted cobar construction

H.-J. Baues
A. P. Tonks

Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik
Gottfried-Claren-Straße 26
53225 Bonn

Germany

On the twisted cobar construction

H.-J. Baues and A.P. Tonks

Introduction

The classical cobar construction ΩC for a coalgebra C (first introduced by Adams [1]) is an important algebraic concept motivated by the singular chain complex of a loop space ΩX . If X is a 1-reduced simplicial set with realisation $|X|$ Adams proved that there is a natural isomorphism of homology groups

$$H_*(\Omega C(X), A) \cong H_*(\Omega|X|, A) \quad (*)$$

where $C(X)$ is the coalgebra given by the chain complex on X and the Alexander-Whitney diagonal. Here the homology has coefficients in an abelian group A . The purpose of this paper is the extension of this result to the case of twisted coefficients given by $\pi_1 \Omega|X|$ -modules A , with $\pi_1 \Omega|X| = H_2 X$.

We introduce the new algebraic concepts of a twisted coalgebra C and a twisted cobar construction ΩC which extend the classical notions. We are able to define for any 1-reduced simplicial set X a twisted coalgebra $\widehat{C}(X)$ together with a natural projection $\widehat{C}(X) \rightarrow C(X)$, such that there is a natural isomorphism

$$H_*(\Omega \widehat{C}(X), A) \cong H_*(\Omega|X|, A) \quad (**)$$

for all twisted coefficients A . For this we prove that there is a natural homology equivalence of differential algebras between $\Omega \widehat{C}(X)$ and $C \widehat{\Omega|X|}$ where $\widehat{\Omega|X|}$ is the universal cover of the loop space $\Omega|X|$. We show

$$\Omega \widehat{C}(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[H_2 X]} \mathbb{Z} \cong \Omega C(X)$$

and hence recover from (**) the result (*) of Adams.

Iterated loop spaces and the problem of iterating the cobar construction lead to the theory of operads in which there has been much recent interest [16, 17, 18, 19, 20]. The twisted cobar construction therefore yields a new problem of iteration corresponding to the sequence of *simply-connected* spaces

$$|X|, \widehat{\Omega|X|}, \widehat{\Omega \widehat{\Omega|X|}}, \dots$$

with $\widehat{\Omega}(Y) = \widehat{\Omega Y}$. For this an extension of the structure of the twisted coalgebra $\widehat{C}(X)$ is needed to allow iteration of the twisted cobar construction.

The proof of the main theorem relies on the geometric cobar construction introduced in [2] and the computation of its crossed chain complex. The theory of crossed chain complexes goes back to Whitehead [23] and has been developed in, for example, [5, 11, 13]. Here we also need the associated theory of crossed chain algebras [8, 22]; first examples of such algebras were studied in [5, 6, 7, 10, 21].

1 The twisted cobar construction

Algebras, coalgebras and twisted coalgebras

We begin by recalling some elementary definitions, and introduce the notion of a twisted differential coalgebra.

A (graded) module $M = (M, R)$ is a family of R -modules M_i , $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, for R a commutative ring with unit $1 = 1_R$. For $x \in M_i$ we write $|x| = i$, and we denote the action of $\alpha \in R$ on x by x^α or $x\alpha$. A module is termed *positive* if $M_i = 0$ for $i < 0$. For $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ a *map of degree n* of modules $(f, g) : (M, R) \rightarrow (M', R')$ is a family of group homomorphisms $f_i : M_i \rightarrow M'_{i+n}$ together with a ring homomorphism $g : R \rightarrow R'$ satisfying $f_i(x^\alpha) = (f_i x)^{g\alpha}$ for $\alpha \in R$, $x \in M_i$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. We have a suspension functor s on the category of modules, with $(sM)_{n+1} = M_n$, and natural isomorphisms $s^n : M \rightarrow s^n M$ of degree n for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

A *chain complex* is an R -module M together with a differential $d : M \rightarrow M$ of degree -1 satisfying $dd = 0$. A chain map is a map of degree 0 which commutes with the differentials. The homology of a chain complex M is the graded module HM with $(HM)_n = H_n(M) = \ker d_n / \text{Im } d_{n+1}$. The tensor product of R -chain complexes is given by the tensor product of modules, with $(M \otimes M')_n = \bigoplus_{i+j=n} M_i \otimes_R M'_j$, and the differential

$$d_\otimes(x \otimes y) = (d \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes d)(x \otimes y) = dx \otimes y + (-1)^{|x|} x \otimes dy$$

An R -*chain algebra* (or a *differential algebra* over R) consists of a positive chain complex A over R together with R -chain maps

$$R \xrightarrow{\eta} A, \quad A \otimes A \xrightarrow{\mu} A$$

with R concentrated in dimension zero, which yield an associative multiplication $x \cdot y = \mu(x \otimes y)$ for $x, y \in A$ with neutral element $*$ = $\eta(1)$. Morphisms of chain algebras are chain maps which respect the multiplications and the units. We write $\widehat{\mathbf{Alg}}$ for the category of chain algebras. An R -chain algebra A is *augmented* if a chain algebra morphism $\varepsilon : A \rightarrow R$ is given with $\varepsilon\eta = 1$; morphisms of augmented chain algebras must respect the augmentations.

An R -coalgebra consists of a positive R -module C together with maps of degree zero

$$C \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} R, \quad C \xrightarrow{\Delta} C \otimes C$$

where Δ is coassociative and ε is a counit for the comultiplication Δ . Morphisms of coalgebras are maps of degree 0 which respect the comultiplications and counits. A coalgebra C is *augmented* if a morphism of coalgebras $\eta : R \rightarrow C$ is given with $\varepsilon\eta = 1$.

For C an augmented coalgebra, let \tilde{C} be the quotient $C/\eta(R)$, so that we have $C \cong R \oplus \tilde{C}$ as modules. Let $\tilde{\Delta}$ be the map

$$\tilde{C} \xrightarrow{\tilde{\Delta}} \tilde{C} \otimes \tilde{C}$$

induced by Δ .

Definition 1.1 A *twisted coalgebra* over R is an augmented R -coalgebra C together with R -module maps

$$\begin{aligned} \partial : \tilde{C} &\longrightarrow \tilde{C} && \text{of degree } -1 \\ \delta : \tilde{C} &\longrightarrow R && \text{of degree } -2 \end{aligned}$$

such that $\delta\partial = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Delta}(\partial x) &= (1 \otimes \partial + \partial \otimes 1)\tilde{\Delta}x && (*) \\ \partial\delta x &= (1 \otimes \delta - \delta \otimes 1)\tilde{\Delta}x && (**) \end{aligned}$$

Note that in (1.1)(**) we use $\tilde{C} \otimes_R R \cong \tilde{C} \cong R \otimes_R \tilde{C}$. Let $\widehat{\mathbf{Coalg}}$ be the category of twisted coalgebras, with morphisms $(f, g) : (C, R) \rightarrow (C', R')$ given by morphisms of augmented coalgebras which commute with ∂ and with δ .

Remark 1.2 The map δ on C_2 is to be thought of as giving the twisted structure; if $\delta = 0$ definition 1.1 reduces to the usual definition of an *augmented differential coalgebra*.

Definition 1.3 Suppose R is augmented by a ring homomorphism $\varepsilon : R \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$. Then we say that C is an ε -*twisted coalgebra* if $\varepsilon\delta = 0$. In this case we get a projection

$$(C, R) \xrightarrow{(p, \varepsilon)} (C \otimes_R \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z})$$

where $C \otimes_R \mathbb{Z}$ is a differential coalgebra with augmentation $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow C \otimes_R \mathbb{Z}$, $n \mapsto 1 \otimes n$.

The twisted cobar construction

Let M be an R -module and let

$$M^{\otimes n} = M \otimes M \otimes \dots \otimes M$$

be the n -fold tensor product of M over R . Then the *tensor algebra*

$$T(M) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} M^{\otimes n}$$

is the sum of all the graded R -modules $M^{\otimes n}$. The algebra multiplication and unit are given by the canonical isomorphisms

$$M^{\otimes n} \otimes M^{\otimes m} \cong M^{\otimes (n+m)} \quad \text{and} \quad R \cong M^{\otimes 0}$$

respectively.

We say that a chain algebra A is *free* if forgetting the differentials there is an isomorphism $A \cong T(M)$ of algebras for some M . In this case we write i^n , $n \geq 0$, for the inclusion of $M^{\otimes n}$ in A . The differential on A is determined by its restriction to M

$$d_{i^1} : M \longrightarrow A$$

Definition 1.4 Given a twisted R -coalgebra C we define the *twisted cobar construction*

$$\Omega C = (T(s^{-1}\tilde{C}), d_{\Omega})$$

to be the free R -chain algebra generated by the desuspension $s^{-1}\tilde{C}$ with the differential given by

$$d_{\Omega} i^1 = i^0 \delta s - i^1 s^{-1} \partial s + i^2 (s^{-1} \otimes s^{-1}) \tilde{\Delta} s$$

This will give a functor

$$\widehat{\text{Coalg}} \xrightarrow{\Omega} \widehat{\text{Alg}}$$

which reduces to the classical cobar construction of Adams [1] in the case $\delta = 0$. Moreover the chain algebra ΩC is augmented by the projection $\Omega C \rightarrow R$ if and only if $\delta = 0$.

Lemma 1.5 ΩC is a well defined R -chain algebra.

Proof: Let

$$d = d_{\Omega} s^{-1} : \tilde{C} \longrightarrow T(s^{-1}\tilde{C}) \quad (1)$$

$$d = \delta - s^{-1} \partial + (s^{-1} \otimes s^{-1}) \tilde{\Delta} \quad (2)$$

We have to show $d_{\Omega}d = 0$. We have

$$d_{\Omega}d = d_{\Omega}\delta - d_{\Omega}s^{-1}\partial + d_{\Omega}(s^{-1}\otimes s^{-1})\tilde{\Delta} \quad (3)$$

where $d_{\Omega}\delta = 0$ since $d_{\Omega}1^0 = 0$. Hence we get

$$d_{\Omega}d = -d\partial + (d\otimes s^{-1})\tilde{\Delta} - (s^{-1}\otimes d)\tilde{\Delta} \quad (4)$$

with

$$-d\partial = -\delta\partial + s^{-1}\partial\partial - (s^{-1}\otimes s^{-1})\tilde{\Delta}\partial \quad (5)$$

where $\delta\partial = 0$. Moreover

$$(d\otimes s^{-1})\tilde{\Delta} = (\delta\otimes s^{-1})\tilde{\Delta} - (s^{-1}\partial\otimes s^{-1})\tilde{\Delta} \quad (6)$$

$$+ ((s^{-1}\otimes s^{-1})\tilde{\Delta}\otimes s^{-1})\tilde{\Delta} \quad (7)$$

$$-(s^{-1}\otimes d)\tilde{\Delta} = -(s^{-1}\otimes\delta)\tilde{\Delta} + (s^{-1}\otimes s^{-1}\partial)\tilde{\Delta} \quad (8)$$

$$- (s^{-1}\otimes(s^{-1}\otimes s^{-1})\tilde{\Delta})\tilde{\Delta} \quad (9)$$

Here we have (7) = $(s^{-1}\otimes s^{-1}\otimes s^{-1})(\tilde{\Delta}\otimes 1)\tilde{\Delta}$ and (9) = $-(s^{-1}\otimes s^{-1}\otimes s^{-1})(1\otimes\tilde{\Delta})\tilde{\Delta}$ so that (7) and (9) cancel by the coassociativity of Δ .

Moreover we have

$$\begin{aligned} & s^{-1}\partial\partial + (\delta\otimes s^{-1})\tilde{\Delta} - (s^{-1}\otimes\delta)\tilde{\Delta} \\ &= s^{-1}\left(\partial\partial + (\delta\otimes 1)\tilde{\Delta} - (1\otimes\delta)\tilde{\Delta}\right) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & -(s^{-1}\otimes s^{-1})\tilde{\Delta}\partial - (s^{-1}\partial\otimes s^{-1})\tilde{\Delta} + (s^{-1}\otimes s^{-1}\partial)\tilde{\Delta} \\ &= (s^{-1}\otimes s^{-1})\left(-\tilde{\Delta}\partial + (\partial\otimes 1)\tilde{\Delta} + (1\otimes\partial)\tilde{\Delta}\right) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 1.6 *If C is an ε -twisted coalgebra over R then there is a natural isomorphism of augmented chain algebras over \mathbb{Z}*

$$(\Omega C)\otimes_R \mathbb{Z} \cong \Omega(C\otimes_R \mathbb{Z})$$

where the right hand side is the classical cobar construction.

Proof: We have $(M\otimes M')\otimes_R \mathbb{Z} \cong (M\otimes_R \mathbb{Z})\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}(M'\otimes_R \mathbb{Z})$ for R -modules M , M' , and so

$$\Omega C\otimes_R \mathbb{Z} \cong \bigoplus_{n\geq 0} (s^{-1}\tilde{C})^{\otimes n} \otimes_R \mathbb{Z} \cong \bigoplus_{n\geq 0} (s^{-1}\tilde{C}\otimes_R \mathbb{Z})^{\otimes n}$$

Since $s^{-1}\widetilde{C} \otimes_R \mathbb{Z} \cong s^{-1}(\widetilde{C} \otimes_R \mathbb{Z})$ we have the result at the level of free algebras. Also $\delta \otimes_R \mathbb{Z} = 0$, so under these isomorphisms we have

$$d_{\Omega}^1 \otimes_R \mathbb{Z} \cong -s^{-1}(\delta \otimes_R \mathbb{Z})s + (s^{-1} \otimes s^{-1})(\widetilde{\Delta} \otimes_R \mathbb{Z})s$$

and the lemma is proved. \square

The twisted chain coalgebra

Let Δ be the simplicial category, with objects the ordered sets $\underline{n} = \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ and morphisms the monotonic increasing functions. A simplicial set X is a contravariant functor from Δ to the category of sets; equivalently it is a family of sets $(X_n)_{n \geq 0}$ with degeneracy and face maps

$$X_n \xrightarrow{s_i} X_{n+1} \qquad X_n \xrightarrow{d_i} X_{n-1}$$

for $0 \leq i \leq n$, satisfying the usual relations. Simplices in the image of some s_i are termed *degenerate*. For an n -simplex $\sigma \in X_n$ and a monotonic function $a : \underline{m} \rightarrow \underline{n}$ we also write $\sigma(a_0 \dots a_m)$ for $a^* \sigma \in X_m$ and $\sigma(0 \dots \widehat{i} \dots n)$ for $d_i \sigma$. If X is a simplicial set, then the \mathbb{Z} -chain complex $C(X)$ is defined as follows. Let F be the chain complex with F_n the free abelian group on X_n and differential $d\sigma = \sum_0^n (-1)^i d_i \sigma$. Let D be the subchain complex generated by the degenerate simplices. Then $C(X)$ is the quotient F/D . The homology $H(X)$ of X is given by the homology of the chain complex $C(X)$.

Let G be a group with unit 1_G , and IG its augmentation module given by the kernel of the ring homomorphism $\mathbb{Z}G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, $\sum n_i g_i \mapsto \sum n_i$. Then IG is a right $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module which is generated as an abelian group by $g - 1_G$, $1_G \neq g \in G$.

Suppose H is an abelian group and $\phi : G \rightarrow H$ is a group homomorphism. Then the *derived module* D_ϕ of ϕ is the $\mathbb{Z}H$ -module

$$D_\phi = IG \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}G} \mathbb{Z}H$$

where G acts on the left on $\mathbb{Z}H$ via ϕ . The function $h_\phi : G \rightarrow D_\phi$, $x \mapsto (x - 1_G) \otimes 1_H$, is the universal ϕ -derivation; it satisfies

$$h_\phi(xy) = h_\phi(x)^{\phi(y)} + h_\phi(y)$$

and any other function h from G to a $\mathbb{Z}H$ -module V with such a property factors as $h = fh_\phi$ for a unique $\mathbb{Z}H$ -homomorphism $f : D_\phi \rightarrow V$.

Definition 1.7 Suppose X is a 1-reduced simplicial set, that is, $X_0 = X_1 = \{*\}$, and let R be the commutative ring given by the group ring $\mathbb{Z}[H_2X]$. Let ϕ be the quotient map

$$\langle X_2 \rangle \longrightarrow C_2X \longrightarrow H_2X$$

from the free group $\langle X_2 \rangle$ on X_2 , with the universal ϕ -derivation

$$\langle X_2 \rangle \xrightarrow{h_\phi} D_\phi$$

Let $D_\phi' \subset D_\phi$ be the submodule generated by the image $h_\phi(s_0^*)$ of the degenerate 2-simplex. We define the *twisted chain R -coalgebra* $\widehat{C}(X)$ associated to X by

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{C}_0(X) &= R \\ \widehat{C}_1(X) &= 0 \\ \widehat{C}_2(X) &= D_\phi/D_\phi' \\ \widehat{C}_n(X) &= C_n(X) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} R \quad \text{for } n \geq 3 \end{aligned}$$

For each $i \geq 0$ we have functions

$$X_i \longrightarrow \widehat{C}_i(X)$$

which are defined for $\sigma_i \in X_i$ by $\sigma_0 \mapsto 1$, $\sigma_1 \mapsto 0$, $\sigma_2 \mapsto h_\phi \sigma_2$ and $\sigma_n \mapsto \sigma_n \otimes 1$ for $n \geq 3$. We will identify non-degenerate simplices of X with their images in $\widehat{C}(X)$ and degenerate simplices with 0. The coaugmentation and counit η, ε are given by $R \cong \widehat{C}_0(X)$ and the comultiplication

$$\widehat{C}(X) \xrightarrow{\Delta} \widehat{C}(X) \otimes \widehat{C}(X)$$

is the Alexander-Whitney diagonal

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(x) &= 1 \otimes x + x \otimes 1 \quad \text{for } |x| \leq 2 \\ \Delta(\sigma) &= \sum_{i=0}^n \sigma(0 \dots i) \otimes \sigma(i \dots n) \quad \text{for } \sigma \in X_n, n \geq 3 \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, let

$$\widehat{C}_2(X) \xrightarrow{\delta} R$$

be the $\mathbb{Z}[H_2 X]$ -homomorphism defined by $\delta h_\phi(x) = \phi x - 1_{H_2 X}$ for $x \in \langle X_2 \rangle$, and let

$$\widehat{C}_n(X) \xrightarrow{\partial} \widehat{C}_{n-1}(X)$$

be defined on generators $\sigma \in X_n$, $n \geq 3$, by

$$\partial \sigma = \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i (d_i \sigma)^{z_i(\sigma)}$$

where $z_i(\sigma) \in H_2 X$ is $\phi(\sigma(i-1, i, i+1))$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ and trivial for $i=0, n$.

This will give a functor

$$\mathbf{sSet}_1 \xrightarrow{\widehat{c}} \widehat{\mathbf{Coalg}}$$

where \mathbf{sSet}_1 is the category of 1-reduced simplicial sets. Note that $\widehat{C}(X)$ is an ε -twisted coalgebra for $\varepsilon : \mathbb{Z}[H_2X] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ the usual augmentation homomorphism, and that $\text{coker } \delta = \mathbb{Z}$.

Lemma 1.8 *For $\sigma \in X_3$ we have*

$$\partial\sigma = h_\phi(-d_3\sigma - d_1\sigma + d_2\sigma + d_0\sigma)$$

Proof: Let $w = -d_3\sigma - d_1\sigma + d_2\sigma + d_0\sigma \in \langle X_2 \rangle$. Then by the derivation property we may expand $h_\phi(w)$ as

$$-h_\phi(d_3\sigma)^{\phi(w)} - h_\phi(d_1\sigma)^{\phi(d_3\sigma+w)} + h_\phi(d_2\sigma)^{\phi(d_0\sigma)} + h_\phi(d_0\sigma)$$

But w is a boundary in C_2X and hence trivial in H_2X , so we have

$$h_\phi(w) = h_\phi(d_0\sigma) - h_\phi(d_1\sigma)^{z_1(\sigma)} + h_\phi(d_2\sigma)^{z_2(\sigma)} - h_\phi(d_3\sigma)$$

Since we identify simplices in X_2 with their images under h_ϕ , this agrees with the formula for $\partial\sigma$ in the definition. \square

Lemma 1.9 *$\widehat{C}(X)$ is a well defined twisted coalgebra over $\mathbb{Z}[H_2X]$.*

Proof: The Alexander-Whitney map defines a coassociative comultiplication. To show (1.1)(*) is straightforward in dimensions ≤ 4 since all terms vanish. For $\sigma \in X_n$, $n \geq 5$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (1 \otimes \partial) \widetilde{\Delta}\sigma &= (1 \otimes \partial) \sum_{j=0}^n \sigma(0 \dots j) \otimes \sigma(j \dots n) = \\ &\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (-1)^j \sigma(0 \dots j) \otimes \left(\sum_{i=j}^n (-1)^{i-j} \sigma(j \dots \widehat{i} \dots n) \tau_j^{n(i)} \right) \quad (10) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial \otimes 1) \widetilde{\Delta}\sigma &= (\partial \otimes 1) \sum_{j=0}^n \sigma(0 \dots j) \otimes \sigma(j \dots n) = \\ &\sum_{j=1}^n \left(\sum_{i=0}^j (-1)^i \sigma(0 \dots \widehat{i} \dots j) \tau_0^{j(i)} \right) \otimes \sigma(j \dots n) \quad (11) \end{aligned}$$

where $\tau_p^q(i) = \phi\sigma(i-1, i, i+1)$ for $i \notin \{p, q\}$, trivial otherwise. Since the terms for $i = j = k$ in (10) cancel with those for $i = j = k+1$ in (11), we can write (10) + (11) as

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \left(\sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \sigma(0 \dots j) \otimes \sigma(j \dots \hat{i} \dots n) + \sum_{j=i+1}^n \sigma(0 \dots \hat{i} \dots j) \otimes \sigma(j \dots n) \right)^{z_i \sigma} \\ = \tilde{\Delta} \left(\sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i (d_i \sigma)^{z_i(\sigma)} \right) = \tilde{\Delta} \partial \sigma \end{aligned}$$

as required. We get $\delta \partial = 0$ since for $\sigma \in X_3$ we have by lemma 1.8

$$\begin{aligned} \delta \partial \sigma &= \delta h_\phi(-d_3 \sigma - d_1 \sigma + d_2 \sigma + d_0 \sigma) \\ &= \phi(-d_3 \sigma - d_1 \sigma + d_2 \sigma + d_0 \sigma) - 1_{H_2 X} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

since $-d_3 \sigma - d_1 \sigma + d_2 \sigma + d_0 \sigma$ is a boundary in $C_2 X$ and so is mapped to the trivial element in homology. It remains to check (1.1)(**). This is trivial in dimensions ≤ 3 . For $\sigma \in X_n$, $n \geq 4$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial \partial \sigma &= \partial \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \sigma(0 \dots \hat{i} \dots n)^{z_i \sigma} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^n \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} (-1)^{i+j} \sigma(0 \dots \hat{j} \dots \hat{i} \dots n)^{z_j(d_i \sigma) + z_i \sigma} \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=0}^n \sum_{j=i+1}^n (-1)^{i+j-1} \sigma(0 \dots \hat{i} \dots \hat{j} \dots n)^{z_{j-1}(d_i \sigma) + z_i \sigma} \end{aligned}$$

Now for $i - j \geq 2$ we have $z_j(d_i \sigma) + z_i \sigma = z_{i-1}(d_j \sigma) + z_j \sigma$. This also holds for $i - j = 1$, $2 \leq i \leq n - 1$, since then their difference is the boundary of $\sigma(i-2, i-1, i, i+1)$ in $C_2(X)$ and so is zero in homology. Thus all the terms in $\partial \partial \sigma$ cancel except

$$\begin{aligned} -\sigma(2 \dots n)^{z_1 \sigma} - \sigma(0 \dots n - 2) + \sigma(2 \dots n) + \sigma(0 \dots n - 2)^{z_{n-1} \sigma} \\ = \sigma(0 \dots n - 2)^{\delta h_2 \sigma(n-2, n-1, n)} - \sigma(2 \dots n - 2)^{\delta h_2 \sigma(0, 1, 2)} \end{aligned}$$

But this is just $(1 \otimes \delta - \delta \otimes 1) \tilde{\Delta} \sigma$. \square

Lemma 1.10 *There is a natural isomorphism of augmented differential coalgebras*

$$\hat{C}(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[H_2 X]} \mathbb{Z} \cong C(X)$$

where the right hand side is the \mathbb{Z} -chain complex on X with the Alexander-Whitney diagonal.

Proof: Let F be the free group $\langle X_2 - s_0 \star \rangle$ and note that $\widehat{C}_2(X)$ may be regarded as the derived module of the map

$$F \xrightarrow{\phi'} H_2 X$$

Thus we have $\widehat{C}_2(X) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}[H_2 X]} \mathbb{Z} \cong IF \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}F} \mathbb{Z}$. But this is the derived module of the homomorphism $F \rightarrow 1$ and so is just the abelianisation $F^{\text{ab}} \cong C_2(X)$. We in fact have $\widehat{C}_i(X) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}[H_2 X]} \mathbb{Z} \cong C_i(X)$ for all i , and the composite

$$X_i \longrightarrow \widehat{C}_i(X) \longrightarrow \widehat{C}_i(X) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}[H_2 X]} \mathbb{Z} \cong C_i(X)$$

is the inclusion of simplices as generators of the chain complex, mapping degenerate simplices to zero. The formulæ for $\Delta \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}[H_2 X]} \mathbb{Z}$ and $\partial \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}[H_2 X]} \mathbb{Z}$ in $\widehat{C}_i(X) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}[H_2 X]} \mathbb{Z}$ are then precisely the classical formulæ for Δ and ∂ in $C(X)$. \square

Proposition 1.11 *For X a 1-reduced simplicial set, there is a natural isomorphism of augmented \mathbb{Z} -chain algebras*

$$\Omega \widehat{C}(X) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}[H_2 X]} \mathbb{Z} \cong \Omega C(X)$$

Proof: Lemmas 1.6 and 1.10. \square

The main theorem

In the above we introduced the twisted cobar construction, giving a chain algebra ΩC from a twisted coalgebra C , and we have examples of twisted coalgebras $\widehat{C}(X)$ arising from 1-reduced simplicial sets X . Let $|X|$ be the realisation of X . We now state the connection between the construction $\Omega \widehat{C}(X)$ and the singular chain complex $C\widehat{\Omega|X|}$ on the universal cover $\widehat{\Omega|X|}$ of the loop space of $|X|$. In fact these constructions yield functors:

$$\mathbf{sSet}_1 \xrightarrow{\Omega \widehat{C}, C\widehat{\Omega|X|}} \widehat{\mathbf{Alg}}$$

Theorem 1.12 *For 1-reduced simplicial sets X there is a natural homology equivalence in $\widehat{\mathbf{Alg}}$*

$$\Omega \widehat{C}(X) \sim C\widehat{\Omega|X|}$$

Here *natural homology equivalence* of functors $F, G : \mathbf{sSet}_1 \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbf{Alg}}$ is the equivalence relation generated by the relation that $F \sim G$ if there is a natural transformation $F \rightarrow G$ in $\widehat{\mathbf{Alg}}$ which induces homology isomorphisms.

The functor $C\widehat{\Omega}|$ above is obtained by composing the following functors

$$\mathbf{sSet}_1 \xrightarrow{\widehat{\Omega}|} \mathbf{Mon}_0 \xrightarrow{\widehat{u}} \widehat{\mathbf{Mon}} \xrightarrow{C} \widehat{\mathbf{Alg}}$$

Let \mathbf{Mon}_0 be the category of path-connected topological monoids M which admit a universal covering \widehat{M} . Then $\widehat{\Omega}|$ carries a 1-reduced simplicial set X to the space of Moore loops on $|X|$ with the monoid structure given by composition of loops.

A *twisted monoid* (M, G) is a path-connected topological monoid (M, \cdot) together with an abelian group G such that M is also a G -space with

$$x^\alpha \cdot y^\beta = (x \cdot y)^{\alpha\beta}$$

for $x, y \in M$, $\alpha, \beta \in G$, where x^α denotes the action of α on x . Morphisms $(f, \theta) : (M, G) \rightarrow (M', G')$ consist of group homomorphisms $\theta : G \rightarrow G'$ and θ -equivariant topological monoid maps $f : M \rightarrow M'$. We write $\widehat{\mathbf{Mon}}$ for the category of twisted monoids.

We define the functor \widehat{u} by $\widehat{u}(M) = (\widehat{M}, \pi_1 M)$. For this choose a basepoint $* \in \widehat{M}$ covering 1_M . Then \widehat{M} is a monoid with $1_{\widehat{M}} = *$ and multiplication

$$\widehat{M} \times \widehat{M} \cong \widehat{M \times M} \xrightarrow{\widehat{m}} \widehat{M}$$

where $m : M \times M \rightarrow M$ is the multiplication on M . Note that the map

$$\pi_1 M \times \pi_1 M \cong \pi_1(M \times M) \xrightarrow{\pi_1(m)} \pi_1 M$$

is the group law of the abelian group $\pi_1 M$ and therefore $(\widehat{M}, \pi_1 M)$ is a twisted monoid.

Given a twisted monoid (M, G) let $C(M)$ be the singular chain complex of M and let $R = \mathbb{Z}G$ be the group ring of the abelian group G . The action of G on M gives an action of R on $C(M)$. A unit $* \in C_0(M)$ is given by 1_M . The \mathbb{Z} -bilinear map

$$C(M) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} C(M) \longrightarrow C(M \times M) \xrightarrow{C(\mu)} C(M)$$

induces an R -bilinear multiplication

$$C(M) \otimes_R C(M) \longrightarrow C(M)$$

since $x^\alpha \cdot y = (x \cdot y)^\alpha = x \cdot y^\alpha$ in M . Hence we can define the functor C above by $C(M, G) = (C(M), R)$.

2 The crossed cobar construction

Simplicial strings and interval categories

We start by describing the category $\Omega\Delta$ of *simplicial strings*, and the associated monoidal functors $\Omega X, L$, first introduced in [2]. We introduce the notion of a category with an *interval object*; any such category serves as the target for L .

Let $\Delta_\bullet \subset \Delta$ be the subcategory of the simplicial category Δ containing only those morphisms $a : \underline{n} \rightarrow \underline{m}$ with $a(0) = 0$ and $a(n) = m$. Recall that Δ_\bullet is generated by the maps

$$s_i : \underline{n+1} \rightarrow \underline{n}, \quad (0 \leq i \leq n), \quad d_i : \underline{n} \rightarrow \underline{n+1}, \quad (1 \leq i \leq n)$$

which repeat and omit the value i respectively.

Next consider the category $\{0, 1\}/\mathbf{Set}$ of double-pointed sets (A, a_0, a_1) and functions preserving the basepoints. We can regard Δ_\bullet as a subcategory of $\{0, 1\}/\mathbf{Set}$ with objects $[n] = (\underline{n}, 0, n)$. Note that $\{0, 1\}/\mathbf{Set}$ has a monoidal structure given by

$$(A, a_0, a_1) \square (B, b_0, b_1) = \left(\frac{A \amalg B}{a_1 \sim b_0}, a_0, b_1 \right)$$

and unit element $* = [0]$.

Definition 2.1 The *category of simplicial strings* $\Omega\Delta$ is the monoidal subcategory of $\{0, 1\}/\mathbf{Set}$ generated by Δ_\bullet and the functions

$$[n] \square [m] \xrightarrow{v_{n,m}} [n+m]$$

defined by $i \mapsto i$ on $[n]$ and $i \mapsto n+i$ on $[m]$.

Let (\mathbf{C}, \otimes) be a monoidal category. Using the above presentation of $\Omega\Delta$, we see that to define a monoidal functor $C : \Omega\Delta \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ it is necessary and sufficient to give the following data in \mathbf{C} :

1. objects C_n for $n \geq 1$, with $C_0 = *$,
2. morphisms $s_i : C_{n+1} \rightarrow C_n$ for $0 \leq i \leq n$,
3. morphisms $d_i : C_n \rightarrow C_{n+1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$,
4. morphisms $v_{n,m} : C_n \otimes C_m \rightarrow C_{n+m}$ for $n, m \geq 0$, with $v_{0,n} = v_{n,0} = 1_{C_n}$,

such that the following relations hold

$$\begin{aligned}
s_j s_i &= s_i s_{j+1} && \text{for } i \leq j \\
d_j d_i &= d_i d_{j-1} && \text{for } i < j \\
s_j d_i &= \begin{cases} d_i s_{j-1} & \text{for } i < j \\ \text{id} & \text{for } i = j \text{ or } i = j + 1 \\ d_{i-1} s_j & \text{for } i > j \end{cases} \\
s_i v_{n,m} &= \begin{cases} v_{n-1,m}(s_i \otimes 1) & \text{for } i < n \\ v_{n,m-1}(1 \otimes s_{i-n}) & \text{for } i \geq n \end{cases} \\
d_i v_{n,m} &= \begin{cases} v_{n+1,m}(d_i \otimes 1) & \text{for } i \leq n \\ v_{n,m+1}(1 \otimes d_{i-n}) & \text{for } i > n \end{cases} \\
v_{n,m+l}(1 \otimes v_{m,l}) &= v_{n+m,l}(v_{n,m} \otimes 1)
\end{aligned}$$

To define a contravariant monoidal functor on $\Omega\Delta$ the data and relations needed are dual to these.

Definition 2.2 Let \mathbf{Set} be the category of sets with the cartesian monoidal structure. Then given a 0-reduced simplicial set X , $X_0 = \{*\}$, the monoidal functor

$$(\Omega\Delta)^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{\Omega X} \mathbf{Set}$$

is defined on the generating objects of $\Omega\Delta$ by $(\Omega X)_n = X_n$ and on the generating morphisms $s_i, d_i, v_{n,m}$ by

$$\begin{aligned}
s_i &: X_n \rightarrow X_{n+1}, \\
d_i &: X_{n+1} \rightarrow X_n, \\
v_{n,m} &= (d_{n+1}^m, d_0^n) : X_{n+m} \rightarrow X_n \times X_m
\end{aligned}$$

respectively; cf. I.2.12 of [2].

We may also write $v_{n,m}(\sigma)$ as $(\sigma(0, \dots, n), \sigma(n, \dots, n+m))$ for $\sigma \in X_{n+m}$.

A map $X \rightarrow X'$ of 0-reduced simplicial sets induces a natural transformation $\Omega X \rightarrow \Omega X'$ of monoidal functors in the obvious way.

Definition 2.3 An *interval object* in a monoidal category (\mathbf{C}, \otimes) is an object \mathcal{I} of \mathbf{C} together with morphisms $d^\pm : * \rightarrow \mathcal{I}$, $e : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow *$ and $m : \mathcal{I} \otimes \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}$ satisfying the following relations:

1. $m(1 \otimes d^-) = m(d^- \otimes 1) = 1_{\mathcal{I}}$
2. $m(1 \otimes d^+) = m(d^+ \otimes 1) = d^+ e$
3. $m(1 \otimes m) = m(m \otimes 1)$

An *interval category* is a monoidal category with a specified interval object. Two examples of interval categories are the following:

1. Let \mathbf{C} be the category \mathbf{FTop} of filtered spaces $X = (X_0 \subseteq X_1 \subseteq X_2 \subseteq \dots)$. The tensor product is the product with the compactly generated topology and the filtration $(X \otimes Y)_n = \bigcup_{i+j=n} X_i \times Y_j$. Then \mathbf{C} has an interval object \mathcal{I} with $\mathcal{I}_0 = \{0, 1\}$ and \mathcal{I}_n the unit interval $[0, 1]$ for $n \geq 1$. The maps d^- and d^+ take $*$ to 0 and 1 respectively, e is the identification to a single point, and m is the maximum function $(t_1, t_2) \mapsto \max(t_1, t_2)$. Then, for example, the relation $m(d^+ \otimes 1) = d^+ e$ becomes $\max(1, t) = 1$. Note that the n -cube $\mathcal{I}^{\otimes n}$ has a natural CW-complex structure, such that the filtration agrees with the skeletal filtration.
2. Let \mathbf{C} be the cartesian monoidal category \mathbf{sSet} of simplicial sets. This has an interval object given by the standard 1-simplex $\Delta[1]$. Regarding elements of $\Delta[1]_n$ as monotonic functions $a : \underline{n} \rightarrow \underline{1}$, the multiplication m is given by $m(a, b)(i) = \max(a(i), b(i))$. The maps d^-, d^+, e are defined from d_1, d_0, s_0 respectively.

On the n -cubes $\mathcal{I}^{\otimes n}$ in any interval category we have coface maps

$$\mathcal{I}^{\otimes n} \xrightarrow{d_i^\pm} \mathcal{I}^{\otimes(n+1)}$$

given by $1_{\mathcal{I}^{\otimes(i-1)}} \otimes d^\pm \otimes 1_{\mathcal{I}^{\otimes(n-i+1)}}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n+1$, and codegeneracy maps

$$\mathcal{I}^{\otimes n} \xrightarrow{m_i} \mathcal{I}^{\otimes(n-1)}$$

given by $1_{\mathcal{I}^{\otimes(i-1)}} \otimes m \otimes 1_{\mathcal{I}^{\otimes(n-i-1)}}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, or by $e \otimes 1_{\mathcal{I}^{\otimes(n-1)}}$, $1_{\mathcal{I}^{\otimes(n-1)}} \otimes e$ for $i = 0, n$.

Definition 2.4 The *standard simplicial string model functor* in an interval category \mathbf{C} is the monoidal functor $L : \Omega\Delta \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ given on the generating objects by $L_n = \mathcal{I}^{\otimes(n-1)}$ and on the generating morphisms $s_i, d_i, v_{n,m}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} m_i &: \mathcal{I}^{\otimes n} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}^{\otimes(n-1)} \\ d_i^- &: \mathcal{I}^{\otimes(n-1)} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}^{\otimes n} \\ d_n^+ &: \mathcal{I}^{\otimes(n-1)} \otimes \mathcal{I}^{\otimes(m-1)} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}^{\otimes(m+n-1)} \end{aligned}$$

respectively.¹

¹There is a misprint in the definition of L on p.9 of [2]; either a_1 needs to be changed to reverse the roles of d^+ and d^- , or δ should be 'min' rather than 'max'.

Coends and the geometric cobar construction

Suppose \mathbf{C} is an arbitrary cocomplete category, \mathbf{D} a small category, and F a functor $\mathbf{D}^{\text{op}} \times \mathbf{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$. Then the *coend* of F over \mathbf{D} , written $\int^{\mathbf{D}} F(d, d)$, is given by the equaliser in \mathbf{C} of the morphisms:

$$\coprod_{f \in \mathbf{D}(d_1, d_2)} F(d_2, d_1) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{a} \\ \xrightarrow{b} \end{array} \coprod_{d \in \text{Ob}(\mathbf{D})} F(d, d)$$

which are given componentwise on the coproduct by

$$ai_f = i_{d_2} F(d_2, f) \quad \text{and} \quad bi_f = i_{d_1} F(f, d_1)$$

In suitable categories \mathbf{C} we can define coends more explicitly in terms of elements and relations. Let A be the $\text{Ob}(\mathbf{D})$ -indexed coproduct of the objects $F(d, d)$ in \mathbf{C} . Then $\int^{\mathbf{D}} F(d, d)$ is the quotient object of A given by imposing the relations $F(d_1, f)(x) \sim F(f, d_2)(x)$ for each $f : d_2 \rightarrow d_1$ in \mathbf{D} and x in $F(d_1, d_2)$.

Suppose now that \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{D} are monoidal categories and F is a monoidal functor. Also we assume that \otimes preserves colimits in \mathbf{C} ; this is the case for example if \mathbf{C} is monoidal closed. Then the coend of F has the structure of a monoid object in \mathbf{C} , with identity $F(*, *) = *$ and multiplication induced by the maps

$$F(d_1, d_1) \otimes F(d_2, d_2) \cong F(d_1 \otimes d_2, d_1 \otimes d_2)$$

If \mathbf{C} is an interval category, and X is a 0-reduced simplicial set, then we have monoidal functors

$$(\Omega\Delta)^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{\Omega X} \mathbf{Set} \qquad \Omega\Delta \xrightarrow{L} \mathbf{C}$$

from the previous section. Using the ‘copower’ functor $\mathbf{Set} \times \mathbf{C} \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}$ given by taking set-indexed coproducts in \mathbf{C} , one obtains the monoidal functor

$$(\Omega\Delta)^{\text{op}} \times \Omega\Delta \xrightarrow{\Omega X \cdot L} \mathbf{C}$$

Definition 2.5 The *(geometric) cobar construction* on a 0-reduced simplicial set X is the \mathbf{C} -monoid $\underline{\Omega}_{\mathbf{C}}(X)$ given by the coend of $\Omega X \cdot L$ over $\Omega\Delta$.

$$\underline{\Omega}_{\mathbf{C}}(X) = \int^{\Omega\Delta} (\Omega X)(A) \cdot L(A)$$

This yields the functor

$$\mathbf{sSet}_0 \xrightarrow{\underline{\Omega}_{\mathbf{C}}} \mathbf{C}\text{-Monoids}$$

where \mathbf{sSet}_0 is the category of 0-reduced simplicial sets.

Since we have a nice presentation for $\Omega\Delta$ we can give a more explicit description of the cobar construction than the coend definition above.

Proposition 2.6 *The cobar construction $\underline{\Omega}_{\mathbf{C}}X$ on a simplicial set X , $X_0 = *$, is given by a coproduct in \mathbf{C} indexed by words in $X_{\geq 1}$*

$$\coprod_{r \geq 0} \coprod_{(x_1, \dots, x_r)} \mathcal{I}^{\otimes(n_1-1)} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{I}^{\otimes(n_r-1)}$$

which has 'generating' elements

$$(x_1, \dots, x_r; y)$$

for $y \in \mathcal{I}^{\otimes(n_1-1)} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{I}^{\otimes(n_r-1)}$, $x_k \in X_{n_k}$, $n_k \geq 1$, $k = 1, \dots, r$, quotiented by the relations

$$\begin{aligned} (x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, s_i x_k, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_r; y) &\sim ((x_j)_1^r; (1_{<k} \otimes m_i \otimes 1_{>k})(y)) \\ (x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, d_i x_k, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_r; y) &\sim ((x_j)_1^r; (1_{<k} \otimes d_i^- \otimes 1_{>k})(y)) \\ (x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, d_{i+1}^{n_k-i} x_k, d_0^i x_k, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_r; y) &\sim ((x_j)_1^r; (1_{<k} \otimes d_i^+ \otimes 1_{>k})(y)) \end{aligned}$$

where $1_{<k}$ is the identity map on $\mathcal{I}^{\otimes \sum_{j < k} (n_j - 1)}$, and $1_{>k}$ similarly. Note that $i \neq 0, n_k$ in the second relation.

The monoid structure on $\underline{\Omega}_{\mathbf{C}}X$ is given by the unit $(; *)$ and the multiplication

$$(w_1, \dots, w_s; y) \otimes (x_1, \dots, x_r; z) = (w_1, \dots, w_s, x_1, \dots, x_r; y \otimes z).$$

The importance of the geometric cobar construction is that it provides a model for the loop space on the realisation of a simplicial set. In fact from [2] we have the following result (compare also [9]):

Theorem 2.7 *For 1-reduced simplicial sets X there is a natural homotopy equivalence of path-connected topological monoids*

$$\underline{\Omega}_{\mathbf{FTop}}X \simeq \Omega|X|$$

Also $\underline{\Omega}_{\mathbf{FTop}}X$ has a natural CW-complex structure and its filtration in \mathbf{FTop} coincides with the skeletal filtration.

Here natural homotopy equivalence of functors $F, G : \mathbf{sSet}_1 \rightarrow \mathbf{Mon}_0$ is the equivalence relation generated by the relation that $F \simeq G$ if there is a natural transformation $F \rightarrow G$ in \mathbf{Mon}_0 which for each object is a homotopy equivalence in the category of pointed topological spaces.

The crossed cobar construction

Let \mathbf{C} be the monoidal closed category \mathbf{Crs} of crossed complexes (see for example [11, 13]). The tensor product $C \otimes D$ of crossed complexes is defined in terms of generators $c \otimes c' \in (C \otimes D)_{n+m}$ for $c \in C_n$, $c' \in D_m$ together with

certain relations which may be found in [13]. A monoid object C in \mathbf{Crs} is termed a *crossed algebra*, or a *crossed chain algebra* if $C_0 = \{*\}$.

An interval object \mathcal{I} in \mathbf{Crs} is given by the crossed complex on generators $0, 1 \in \mathcal{I}_0$, $\iota \in \mathcal{I}_1$, with $s\iota = 0$, $t\iota = 1$. The maps $d^-, d^+ : * \rightarrow \mathcal{I}$ are given by $* \mapsto 0$, $* \mapsto 1$ respectively, $e : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow *$ is the unique map to the terminal object and the map $m : \mathcal{I} \otimes \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}$ is given on the standard generators by

$$a \otimes b \mapsto \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } a = b = 0 \\ \iota & \text{if } \{a, b\} = \{0, \iota\} \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Alternatively this may be obtained by applying the fundamental crossed complex functor $\pi : \mathbf{FTop} \rightarrow \mathbf{Crs}$ to the interval object structure in \mathbf{FTop} defined above.

If $\iota^{\otimes n}$ is the n -dimensional generator of $\mathcal{I}^{\otimes n}$ then from the tensor product relations we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} s(\iota) &= 0 \\ t(\iota) &= 1 \\ \beta(\iota^{\otimes n}) &= 1^{\otimes n} \quad \text{for } n \geq 1 \\ \delta(\iota^{\otimes 2}) &= -1 \otimes \iota - \iota \otimes 0 + 0 \otimes \iota + \iota \otimes 1 \\ \delta(\iota^{\otimes 3}) &= -\iota \otimes \iota \otimes 1 - \iota \otimes 0 \otimes \iota^{1 \otimes \otimes 1} - 1 \otimes \iota \otimes \iota \\ &\quad + \iota \otimes \iota \otimes 0^{1 \otimes \otimes 1} + \iota \otimes 1 \otimes \iota + 0 \otimes \iota \otimes \iota^{1 \otimes \otimes 1} \\ \delta(\iota^{\otimes n}) &= \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i \left(d_i^+ \iota^{\otimes(n-1)} - \left(d_i^- \iota^{\otimes(n-1)} \right)^{z_i} \right) \quad \text{for } n \geq 4 \end{aligned}$$

where $z_i \in (\mathcal{I}^{\otimes(n-1)})_1$ is given by $(d_{i+1}^+)^{n-i-1} (d_1^+)^{i-1} (\iota)$.

For $\lambda = (\lambda_k)_1^r$ an ordered subset of $\{1 < 2 < \dots < n\}$ and $\alpha \in \{-, +\}^r$, let d_λ^α be the morphism

$$d_{\lambda_r}^{\alpha_r} \dots d_{\lambda_1}^{\alpha_1} : \mathcal{I}^{\otimes(n-r)} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}^{\otimes n}$$

Then the 3^n generators of $\mathcal{I}^{\otimes n}$ may be written as $d_\lambda^\alpha \iota^{\otimes(n-r)}$ for $0 \leq r \leq n$, and the relations on these generators are obtained by applying d_λ^α to the terms in the relations above.

By proposition 2.6, we can now give a presentation for the *crossed cobar construction* $\underline{\Omega}_{\mathbf{Crs}}(X)$ on a 1-reduced simplicial set X . For an $x \in X_n$ only the top-dimensional generator of $\mathcal{I}^{\otimes(n-1)}$ needs to be considered since the lower-dimensional ones can be obtained by applying d_i^\pm and so are identified with generators coming from (products of) faces of x . Since m maps top-dimensional generators to an identity we can also throw out degenerate simplices. The resulting monoid C in \mathbf{Crs} has $C_0 = \{*\}$ since we are treating the 1-reduced case only, and is in fact a *free* crossed chain algebra [22].

Theorem 2.8 Let X be a simplicial set with $X_0 = X_1 = \{*\}$. For $x_n \in X_n$, $n \geq 4$, set $z_i(x_n) = d_0^{i-1} d_{i+2}^{n-i-1} x_n = x_n(i-1, i, i+1) \in X_2$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. Then $C = \underline{\Omega}_{\mathbf{Crs}}(X)$ is the crossed chain algebra with generators $x_n \in C_{n-1}$ for $x_n \in X_n$, $n \geq 2$, subject to the relations

$$\begin{aligned} x_n &= * \text{ if } x_n \text{ is degenerate} \\ \delta_2(x_3) &= -d_0 x_3 - d_2 x_3 + d_1 x_3 + d_3 x_3 \\ \delta_3(x_4) &= -d_4 x_4 - d_2 x_4^{z_2(x_4)} - d_0 x_4 \\ &\quad + d_3 x_4^{z_3(x_4)} + d_3 d_4 x_4 \otimes d_0 d_1 x_4 + d_1 x_4^{z_1(x_4)} \\ \delta_{n-1}(x_n) &= -d_0 x_n + \sum_{i=2}^{n-2} (-1)^i d_{i+1}^{n-i} x_n \otimes d_0^i x_n - (-1)^n d_n x_n \\ &\quad - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (-1)^i d_i x_n^{z_i(x_n)} \quad \text{for } n \geq 5 \end{aligned}$$

together with the usual relations on tensor products of crossed complexes.

Recall from [4, 14, 23] that there is a functor \mathcal{D} from crossed complexes to R -chain complexes. Given a crossed complex of groups

$$\cdots \longrightarrow C_4 \xrightarrow{\delta_4} C_3 \xrightarrow{\delta_3} C_2 \xrightarrow{\delta_2} C_1$$

let $\pi_1 = \pi_1 C = \text{coker } \delta_2$ and let ϕ be the quotient map $C_1 \rightarrow \pi_1$, with $h_\phi : C_1 \rightarrow D_\phi$ the universal ϕ -derivation. Then $\mathcal{D}(C)$ is the $\mathbb{Z}\pi_1$ -chain complex

$$\cdots \longrightarrow C_4 \xrightarrow{\delta_4} C_3 \xrightarrow{\delta_3} C_2^{\text{nb}} \xrightarrow{d_2} D_\phi \xrightarrow{d_1} \mathbb{Z}\pi_1$$

where $d_2 x = h_\phi \delta_2 x$ and $d_1 h_\phi x = \phi x - 1_{\pi_1}$.

Lemma 2.9 \mathcal{D} induces a functor

$$\mathbf{CrsAlg} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \widehat{\mathbf{Alg}}$$

from crossed chain algebras to chain algebras

Proof: If A, B are crossed complexes, then $\pi_1(A \otimes B)$ is $\pi_1 A \times \pi_1 B$ and from [14] we know that $\mathcal{D}(A \otimes B)$ is the chain complex $\mathcal{D}A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{D}B$ with the action of $\pi_1 A \times \pi_1 B$ given by $(x \otimes y)^{(a,b)} = x^a \otimes y^b$. A morphism

$$A \otimes B \xrightarrow{m} C$$

of pointed crossed complexes induces a multiplication $\pi_1 A \times \pi_1 B \rightarrow \pi_1 C$ via $a \cdot b = m(a \otimes *) . m(* \otimes b)$. Moreover the \mathbb{Z} -chain map

$$\mathcal{D}A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{D}B \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}m} \mathcal{D}C$$

satisfies $(\mathcal{D}m)(x^a \otimes y^b) = (\mathcal{D}m)(x \otimes y)^{a \cdot b}$. In particular if $A = B = C$ and m is a monoid structure on C then $\mathcal{D}m$ induces a $\mathbb{Z}\pi_1$ -chain algebra structure

$$\mathcal{D}C \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}\pi_1} \mathcal{D}C \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}m} \mathcal{D}C$$

where π_1 acts on $\mathcal{D}C \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}\pi_1} \mathcal{D}C$ by $(x \otimes y)^a = x^a \otimes y = x \otimes y^a$. \square

We can now relate the crossed and twisted cobar constructions.

Proposition 2.10 *For 1-reduced simplicial sets X , there is a natural isomorphism of $\mathbb{Z}H_2X$ -chain algebras*

$$\mathcal{D}\Omega_{\text{Crs}}X \cong \Omega\hat{C}X$$

Proof: Let A, B be the chain algebras $\mathcal{D}\underline{\Omega}X, \Omega\hat{C}X$ respectively, and recall that $B_0 = R = \mathbb{Z}H_2X$ and

$$B_n = \bigoplus_{i_1 + \dots + i_r = n} C_{i_1} \otimes_R C_{i_2} \otimes_R \dots \otimes_R C_{i_r}$$

where C_1 is the derived module of $\phi' : \langle X_2 - s_0 * \rangle \rightarrow H_2X$ and $C_i = C_{i+1}(X; R)$ for $i \geq 2$. Now $(\underline{\Omega}X)_1$ is the free group on $X_2 - s_0 *$, and $(\underline{\Omega}X)_2$ is the free crossed $(\underline{\Omega}X)_1$ -module with generators σ_3 and $\sigma_2 \otimes \sigma'_2$ and boundary relations

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_2 \sigma_3 &= -d_0 \sigma_3 - d_2 \sigma_3 + d_1 \sigma_3 + d_3 \sigma_3 \\ \delta_2(\sigma_2 \otimes \sigma'_2) &= -\sigma'_2 - \sigma_2 + \sigma'_2 + \sigma_2 \end{aligned}$$

where as usual we quotient out degenerate simplices. Thus

$$(\underline{\Omega}X)_2 \xrightarrow{\delta_2} \langle X_2 - s_0 * \rangle \xrightarrow{\phi'} H_2X \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact and we have $A_0 = B_0 = R$ and $A_1 = B_1 = D_{\phi'}$, with $d_1 h_{\phi'} x = \phi' x - 1_{H_2X}$ in A and B . In general $\underline{\Omega}X$ is generated as a crossed complex by $\sigma_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \sigma_r$ in dimension $\sum(\dim \sigma_i - 1)$. Since tensor products of pointed crossed complexes satisfy the relations

$$\begin{aligned} (c_1 + c'_1) \otimes d_j &= c'_1 \otimes d_j + (c_1 \otimes d_j)^{c_1} \\ c_i \otimes (d_1 + d'_1) &= (c_i \otimes d_1)^{d_1} + c_i \otimes d'_1 \\ (c_i + c'_i) \otimes d_j &= c_i \otimes d_j + c'_i \otimes d_j \quad \text{for } i \geq 2 \\ c_i \otimes (d_j + d'_j) &= c_i \otimes d_j + c_i \otimes d'_j \quad \text{for } j \geq 2 \\ c_i^{c_1} \otimes d_j &= (c_i \otimes d_j)^{c_1} \quad \text{for } i \geq 2 \\ c_i \otimes d_j^{d_1} &= (c_i \otimes d_j)^{d_1} \quad \text{for } j \geq 2 \end{aligned}$$

we obtain $A_2 = (\underline{\Omega}X)_2^{\text{nb}} = C_3(X; R) \oplus D_{\phi'} \otimes_R D_{\phi'}$, and similarly for $n \geq 3$ we find that $A_n = (\underline{\Omega}X)_n$ agrees with B_n above. Note that for X 2-dimensional

the result $A_n = D_{\phi'}^{\otimes n}$ was proved in [7]. For $\sigma \in X_{\geq 4}$ the differentials in A, B agree by

$$\begin{aligned} d_A \sigma &= \sum_2^{n-2} (-1)^i \sigma(0 \dots i) \otimes \sigma(i \dots n) - \sum_0^n (-1)^i d_i \sigma^{\sigma(i-1, i, i+1)} \\ &= \tilde{\Delta} \sigma - \partial \sigma = d_B \sigma \end{aligned}$$

and for $\sigma \in X_3$ we have $d_A \sigma = h_{\phi'} \delta_2 \sigma$ which agrees with $d_B \sigma = -\partial \sigma$ by lemma 1.8. \square

Proof of the main theorem

We now complete the proof of theorem 1.12, that for X a simplicial set with $X_0 = X_1 = \{*\}$ there is a natural homology equivalence between the cobar construction $\widehat{\Omega C}(X)$ of the twisted chain coalgebra on X , and the singular chain algebra $C\widehat{\Omega|X|}$ of the universal cover of the loops on X . We have just seen in 2.10 that $\widehat{\Omega C}$ is given by applying \mathcal{D} to the crossed cobar construction $\underline{\Omega}_{\mathbf{CRS}}$. Also by 2.7 we know that the loop space on X is given up to homotopy by the geometric cobar construction, and so there is a natural homology equivalence of chain algebras $C\widehat{\Omega|X|} \sim C\widehat{\underline{\Omega}_{\mathbf{FTop}} X}$. The main theorem thus follows from the following:

Proposition 2.11 *For 1-reduced simplicial sets X , there is a natural homology equivalence of chain algebras*

$$\mathcal{D}\underline{\Omega}_{\mathbf{CRS}} X \sim C\widehat{\underline{\Omega}_{\mathbf{FTop}} X}$$

Proof: Let Y be the monoid in \mathbf{FTop} given by $\underline{\Omega}_{\mathbf{FTop}} X$. Since the fundamental crossed complex functor π preserves colimits and tensor products of the spaces involved we note that $\underline{\Omega}_{\mathbf{CRS}} X$ is just πY . It therefore remains to show that there is a natural homology equivalence $\mathcal{D}\pi Y \sim C\widehat{Y}$. Let \widehat{Y} have the filtration given by the the inverse image under the covering map of the (skeletal) filtration on Y . Then by [23], or proposition 5.2 of [14], we can identify $\mathcal{D}\pi Y$ with the cellular chain complex $\mathcal{H}\widehat{Y}$ given by the relative homology groups:

$$\dots \longrightarrow H_3(\widehat{Y}_3, \widehat{Y}_2) \xrightarrow{\delta_3} H_2(\widehat{Y}_2, \widehat{Y}_1) \xrightarrow{\delta_2} H_1(\widehat{Y}_1, \widehat{Y}_0) \xrightarrow{\delta_1} H_0(\widehat{Y}_0)$$

Finally we note that there is a natural equivalence $\mathcal{H}\widehat{Y} \sim C\widehat{Y}$ given via

$$\mathcal{H}\widehat{Y} \xleftarrow{\tau} C_{\text{cell}} \widehat{Y} \subseteq C\widehat{Y}$$

where $C_{\text{cell}}\widehat{Y}$ is the subchain complex of the singular chain complex $C\widehat{Y}$ generated by all singular simplices $\sigma : \Delta^n \rightarrow \widehat{Y}$ which are cellular maps. The map τ carries σ to $\sigma_*[\Delta^n]$ where $[\Delta^n] \in H_n(\Delta^n, \partial\Delta^n)$ is the fundamental class. \square

References

- [1] J. F. ADAMS. On the cobar construction. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.* **42** (1956), 409–412
- [2] H.-J. BAUES. *Geometry of loop spaces and the cobar construction*. Memoirs of the AMS **230** (1980)
- [3] H.-J. BAUES. The double bar and cobar constructions. *Compositio Mathematica* **43** (1981), 331–341
- [4] H.-J. BAUES. *Algebraic homotopy*. Cambridge studies in advanced mathematics **15** (1989)
- [5] H.-J. BAUES. *Combinatorial homotopy and 4-dimensional complexes*. de Gruyter expositions in mathematics **2** (1991)
- [6] H.-J. BAUES and R. BROWN. On relative homotopy groups of the product filtration, the James construction, and a formula of Hopf. *J. Pure and Appl. Algebra* **89** (1993), 49–61
- [7] H.-J. BAUES and D. CONDUCHÉ. On the tensor algebra of a non-abelian group and applications. *K-Theory* **5** (1992), 531–554
- [8] H.-J. BAUES and A. P. TONKS. Crossed chain algebras. *In preparation*
- [9] C. BERGER. Un groupoïde simplicial comme modèle de l'espace des chemins. *Bulletin de la Société Mathématique de France*. To appear.
- [10] R. BROWN and N. D. GILBERT. Algebraic models of 3-types and automorphism structures for crossed modules. *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **59** (1989), 51–73
- [11] R. BROWN and P. J. HIGGINS. The algebra of cubes. *J. Pure and Appl. Algebra* **21** (1981), 233–260
- [12] R. BROWN and P. J. HIGGINS. Colimit theorems for relative homotopy groups. *J. Pure and Appl. Algebra* **22** (1981), 11–41
- [13] R. BROWN and P. J. HIGGINS. Tensor products and homotopies for ω -groupoids and crossed complexes. *J. Pure and Appl. Algebra* **47** (1987), 1–33

- [14] R. BROWN and P. J. HIGGINS. Crossed complexes and chain complexes with operators. *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **107** (1990), 33–57
- [15] R. H. CROWELL. The derived module of a homomorphism. *Adv. in Math.* **6** (1971), 210–238
- [16] M. GERSTENHABER and A. A. VORONOV. Homotopy G -algebras and moduli space operads. *preprint* (1994)
- [17] E. GETZLER and J. D. S. JONES. Operads, homotopy algebra, and iterated integrals for double loop spaces. *Surv. Diff. Geom.* To appear.
- [18] E. GETZLER and M. M. KAPRANOV. Modular operads. *preprint* (1994)
- [19] V. GINZBURG and M. M. KAPRANOV. *Koszul duality for operads*. Duke Mathematical Journal **76** (1994)
- [20] J. R. SMITH. *Iterating the cobar construction*. Memoirs of the AMS **524** (1994)
- [21] A. P. TONKS. *Theory and applications of crossed complexes*. Ph.D. thesis, University of Wales (1993)
- [22] A. P. TONKS. An I -category structure for crossed chain algebras. *preprint* (1994)
- [23] J. H. C. WHITEHEAD. Combinatorial homotopy II. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **55** (1949), 453–496

Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik
 Gottfried-Claren-Straße 26
 53225 Bonn

October 14, 1994.