ON THE COHOMOLOGY OF POLYCYCLIC-BY-FINITE GROUPS

Martin Lorenz

Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik Bibliothek

Inv. Nr.: 2076 Stand-Nr.:

Sonderforschungsbereich 40 Theoretische Mathematik Beringstr. 4 D-5300 Bonn 1 Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik Gottfried-Claren-Str. 26 D-5300 Bonn 3

Abstract.

Introduction.

A celebrated theorem due to Farkas, Snider [F-S], and Cliff [C] asserts that the group algebra k[G] of a torsion-free polycyclic-by-finite group G over a field k has no zero divisors. The proof heavily uses the fact that, for G torsion-free, k[G] has finite global dimension. In the presence of torsion in G, however, k[G] has infinite global dimension if chark divides the order of an element of G \{1\}. The goal of this note is to establish a cohomological finiteness result which holds for general polycyclic-by-finite G and arbitrary coefficient fields. We also briefly discuss the relations between the cohomology of a finitely generated k[G]-module and its so-called Goldie rank in the case when k[G] is prime.

and let V be a finitely generated (left) module over the group ring R[G], where G is polycyclic-by-finite. Then we will show in Section 1 that H*(G,V) = θ H^N(G,V) is a n≥o Noetherian module over the cohomology ring

H*(G,R) = θ H^N(G,R) under the action given by the cup pron≥o duct : H*(G,R) x H*(G,V) + H*(G,V). As a standard consequence, it follows that for any additive Z-valued function λ on the class of all finitely generated R-modules, the power series

Specifically, let R be a commutative Noetherian ring

$$P(V;t) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \lambda (H^{n}(G,V))t^{n} \in \mathbf{Z}[[t]]$$

is a rational function in t of the form $f(t)/\prod_{i=1}^{S} (1-t^{k_i})$ with $f(t) \in \mathbf{Z}[t]$. For finite groups, these results are due to Evens [E]. Now each polycyclic-by-finite group G contains a normal subgroup N of finite index which is poly-(infinite cyclic), and hence of finite cohomological dimension. Thus our strategy is to use Evens's theorem and extend it by means of the Lyndon-Hochschild-Serre (LHS) spectral sequence for 1 + N + G + G/N + 1. The arguments here are similar to the ones used by Evens.

In Section 2, we consider finitely generated modules V over the group algebra k[G] of G over a field k, under the assumption that k[G] is prime. (This holds if and only if G has no finite normal subgroups * <1> [P, Theorem 4.2.10].) We discuss the relations between the Poincaré series $P(V;t) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \dim_k H^n(G,V)t^n$ and the Goldie rank $\rho(V)$ of V. Here, by definition, $\rho(V)$ is the composition length of

 $Q(k[G]) \begin{tabular}{ll} $Q(k[G])$, the simple Artinian quotient $k[G]$ ring of $k[G]$. In the special case where $G=D_{\infty}$ is the infinite dihedral group and char $k=2$ it is shown that, for any finitely generated $k[G]$-mudule V, $P(V;-1)=-\frac{1}{2}$ $\rho(V)=-\rho(V)/\rho(k[G])$.} \end{tabular}$

The crucial facts about polycyclic-by-finite groups that will be used in this article are the following:

- (1) group rings of polycyclic-by-finite groups over Noetherian rings are Noetherian [P, 10.2.7], and
- (2) polycyclic-by-finite groups are virtual Poincaré duality groups [Br, Chap VIII Sec. 10 and 11],[B].

A good deal of the material in Section 1 holds for more general classes of groups, provided one assumes the coefficient modules V in $H^*(G,V)$ to be finitely generated over the ground ring R (see Remark 3). Since we are interested in applications to infinite-dimensional modules, we will concentrate on polycyclic-by-finite groups.

Notations and Conventions. Throughout, R will be a commutative Noetherian ring, k will denote a commutative field, and G will be a polycyclic-by-finite group. All modules over the group rings RfG] and k[G] will be left modules. In general, our notation follows [P] and [C-E].

1. The Finiteness Theorem

The proof of the first lemma has been shown to us by R.Bieri.

Lemma 1. Let V be a finitely generated R[G]-module. Then for all $n \ge 0$, $H^n(G,V)$ and $H_n(G,V)$ are Noetherian as R-modules.

<u>proof.</u> Since R[G] is Noetherian, we can choose a projective resolution $\underline{P} = (P_n)_{n \geq 0}$ of V over R[G] with each P_n finitely generated over R[G]. Now $H_n(G,V) \cong Tor_n^{R[G]}(R,V) \cong H_n(R\Omega_{R[G]}^{\underline{P}})$, and $R\Omega_{R[G]}^{\underline{P}}$ is a complex of finitely generated R-modules. Since R is Noetherian, each $H_n(R\Omega_{R[G]}^{\underline{P}})$ is Noetherian over R, which proves the assertion for $H_n(G,V)$.

Now let N be a torsion-free polycycle normal subgroup of G having finite index in G. Then, by [B, Satz 3.1.2 and Bemerkung 1], there exist R-isomorphisms

$$H^{n}(N,V) \cong H_{cdN-n} (N, \widetilde{R}_{R}^{0}V),$$

where cdN denotes the cohomological dimension of N an \widetilde{R} is an R[N]-module such that $\widetilde{R} \cong R$ as R-modules but each xEN acts as Id or -Id. Clearly, $\widetilde{R} \bowtie_R V$ is finitely generated over R[N], as V is, and so the foregoing implies that $H^{n}(N,V)$ is a Noetherian R-module. To prove the assertion for $H^{n}(G,V)$ consider the LHS-spectral sequence for $1 \to N \to G \to G/N \to 1$. Its $E_{\overline{2}}$ term $E_{\overline{2}}^{p,q}(V) = H^{p}(G/N,H^{q}(N,V))$ is finitely generated over R. Hence $E_{\infty}^{p,q}(V)$ is Noetherian over R, being a subquotient of $E_{\overline{2}}^{p,q}(V)$, and we conclude that $H^{p+q}(G,V)$ is Noetherian over R.

Now consider $H^*(G,R) = \mathfrak{Q} H^{n}(G,R)$, with the trivial $n \geq 0$ action of G on R, and $H^*(G,V) = \mathfrak{Q} H^{n}(G,V)$. The multiplication of R gives rise to a cup product $\smile: H^{p}(G,R) \times H^{q}(G,R) \longrightarrow H^{p+q}(G,R)$ which makes $H^*(G,R)$ an R-algebra and, similarly, the action of R on V yields an action of $H^*(G,R)$ on $H^*(G,V)$ via cup products. The following result is the promised extension of Evens's theorem [E, Theorem 6.1].

Theorem.2. Let V be a finitely generated R[G]-module. Then $H^*(G,V)$ is a Noetherian module over $H^*(G,R)$.

<u>Proof.</u> Let N be a torsion-free polycyclic normal subgroup of G having finite index in G. Then N has finite cohomogical dimension [G,§8.8, Lemma 8] and so Lemma 1 implies that $H^*(N,V) = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{cdN} H^n(N,V)$ is Noetherian over R. By Evens's theorem, we conclude that $H^*(G/N,H^*(N,V))$ is Noetherian over $H^*(G/N,R)$.

Now consider the LHS-spectral sequence for $1 \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow G/N$ $\longrightarrow 1$, with E_2 -term $E_2^{p,q}(.) \cong H^p(G/N,H^q(N,.))$. For each $r \ge 2$, there is a canonical pairing

.:
$$E_r^{p,q}$$
 (R) $\times E_r^{s,t}$ (V) $\longrightarrow E_r^{p+s,q+t}$ (V)

induced by the action of R on V. If d_r denotes the differential of $E_r(.)$, then one has the following product rule

$$d_r(a \cdot b) = (d_r a) \cdot b + (-1)^{p+q} a \cdot (d_r b) \quad (a \in E_r^{p,q}(R), b \in E_r^{s,t}(V)).$$

Moreover, since $E_r^{p,q}(.) = E_\infty^{p,q}(.)$ for $r > \max \{p,q+1\}$, we get an analogous pairing for the E_∞ -terms. For r=2, the above pairing coincides with the cup product pairing

$$: H^{p}(G/N, H^{q}(N,R)) \times H^{s}(G/N, H^{t}(N,V)) \longrightarrow H^{p+s}(G/N, H^{q+t}(N,V)),$$

except for a \pm sign [H-S, Section II.5]. Specifically , letting Φ_V denote the isomorphism $E_2(V) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^*(G/N, H^*(N, V))$ and similarly for R, we have

$$\Phi_{V}(a \cdot b) = (-1)^{pt} \Phi_{R}(a) \smile \Phi_{V}(b) \quad (a \in E_{2}^{p,q}(R), b \in E_{2}^{s,t}(V)).$$

In particular, $E_2^{*,O}(R)$ becomes a graded ring, isomorphic to the cohomology ring $H^*(G/N,R)$, and $E_2(V) = \frac{cdN}{t=0} E^{*,t}(V)$ is a direct sum of graded modules over $E_2^{*,O}(R)$.

Altering the action by a \pm sign as above doesn't affect the lattice of graded submodules and so we conclude from the first paragraph of the proof that $E_2(V)$ has the ascending chain condition for graded $E_2^{*,0}(R)$ -submodules. Hence, by [N-VO, Chap. A, Theorem II. 3.5], $E_2(V)$ is Noetherian over $E_2^{*,0}(R)$.

Let $h_r: \ker d_r \longrightarrow E_{r+1}$ (.) be the canonical epimorphism and set

$$E_{2,\infty}(V) = \{b \in E_2(V) \mid h_{r-1} \cdot ... \cdot h_2(b) \in \ker d_r \text{ for all } r \ge 2\},$$

and similarly for $E_{2,\infty}(R)$. Note that $E_2^{*,0}(R)\subseteq E_{2,\infty}(R)$, since the spectral sequence lies in the first quadrant. The product rule for the differentials d_r implies that $E_{2,\infty}(R)\cdot E_{2,\infty}(V)\subseteq E_{2,\infty}(V)$. In particular, $E_{2,\infty}(V)$ is an $E_2^{*,0}(R)$ -submodule of $E_2(V)$ and as such is Noetherian. Under the projection maps

$$E_{2,\infty}(V) \longrightarrow E_{\infty}(V)$$
 and $E_{2}^{*,O}(R) \longrightarrow E_{\infty}^{*,O}(R)$,

the action of $E_2^{*,O}(R)$ on $E_{2,\infty}(V)$ induces the pairing $\cdot : E_{\infty}^{*,O}(R) \times E_{\infty}(V) \longrightarrow E_{\infty}(V)$ mentioned above. Thus $E_{\infty}(V)$ is Noetherian as a module over $E_{\infty}^{*,O}(R)$, and, a fortiori, over $E_{\infty}(R)$

Finally, $E_{\infty}(.)$ is the associated graded module of $H^*(G,.)$ with respect to a suitable filtration of $H^*(G,.)$ which makes $H^*(G,R)$ a filtered ring and $H^*(G,V)$ a

filtered module over $H^*(G,R)$ [H-S, Section II.1]. Therefore, $H^*(G,V)$ is Noetherian over $H^*(G,R)$ [N-VO, Chap. D, Corollary IV.4], and the theorem is proved. \square

Remark 3. If V in the above theorem is assumed to be finitely generated over R, then the same conclusion holds for much wider classes of groups G. Namely, it certainly suffices to assume that G has a subgroup N of finite index such that the trivial R[N]-module R has a finite resolution by finitely generated projectives over R[N] (so, in the terminology of [Br, Chap. VIII], G is of type VFP over R). Indeed, the above proof can be copied literally, with Lemma 1 becoming superfluous, as H*(N,V) is clearly Noetherian over R. Therefore, part(1) of the following corollary holds more generally and so does part(ii), provided the coefficient module V is finitely generated over R.

Corollary 4. i. H*(G,R) is a Noetherian ring and a finitely generated R-algebra (Quillen [Q, Proposition14.5]).

ii. Let V be a finitely generated R[G]-module. Then for any additive $\mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$ -valued function on the class of all finitely generated R-modules, the power series (cf. Lemma 1)

$$P_{G}(V,t) = \sum_{n\geq 0}^{\infty} \lambda(H^{n}(G,V))t^{n} \in \mathbf{Z}[[t]]$$

is a rational function in t of the form $f(t)/\prod_{i=1}^{s} (1-t^{k_i})$ with $f(t) \in \mathbf{Z}[t]$.

Moreover, if $cr_G(V)$ denotes the order of the pole of $P_G(V;t)$ at t=1, then

 $\operatorname{cr}_{G}(V) = \inf \{ r \in \mathbb{R} | \lambda(H^{n}(G,V)) \le c \cdot n^{r-1} \text{ for some } c > 0$ and all $n >> 0 \}$.

Furthermore, $cr_G(V) \le cr_G(R)$ where R is the trivial R[G]-module.

Proof.(i). Theorem 2 implies that $H^*(G,R)$ is a Noetherian ring. In particular, the ideal $H^+(G,R) = \bigoplus_{n>0} H^n(G,R)$ is finitely generated as a left ideal, say by the elements $x_1 \in H^{k\frac{1}{2}}(G,R)$ (i=1,2,...,s). It is then easy to see that x_1,x_2,\ldots,x_s together with 1 generate $H^*(G,R)$ as an R-algebra (cf. [A-M, Proposition 10.7]).

(ii). In view of part (i) and Theorem 2, the assertion concerning the rationality of $P_G(V;t)$ follows from the Hilbert-Serre theorem [A-M, Theorem 11.1]. Indeed, s and the exponents k_i in $f(t)/\prod_{i=1}^{S} (1-t^{k_i})$ can be chosen as in part(i). (The proof given in [A-M] works for $H^*(G,R)$, since $H^*(G,R)$ satisfies $xy = (-1)^{nm}yx$ for $x \in H^n(G,R)$, $y \in H^m(G,R)$.)

As a consequence of the specific form of the rational function $P_G(V;t)$ it follows that, for large enough n, the n-th coefficient $d_n = \lambda(H^n(G,V))$ can be written as

$$d_{n} = \int_{j=1}^{r} P_{j}(n) \alpha_{j}^{n}.$$

Here, the α_j 's are roots of $\prod_{i=1}^{S} (1-t^{k_i})$ and each $P_j(n)$ is a polynomial in n with rational coefficients [H, Section 3.1]. Set $k=1.c.m.\{k_i | i=1,2,...,s\}$ and $p=\inf\{r\in \mathbb{R} \mid \lambda(H^n(G,V)) \leq c \cdot n^{r-1} \text{ for some } c>0 \text{ and all } n>>0\}$. Then $\alpha_j^k=1$ for all j and there are functions $c_k: \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ (i=0,1,...,h) with $c_k \neq 0$ and

$$d_{n} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{h} c_{\ell} (n+k\mathbf{Z}) n^{\ell}$$

for all n>0. Clearly, $\rho-1=h$. Now consider

$$Q(t) = P_{G}(V;t) / (1-t) = \sum_{n \ge 0}^{\infty} D_{n}t^{n} \quad \text{with}$$

$$D_{n} = \sum_{m \le n}^{\infty} d_{n} = \sum_{k=0}^{k+1} C_{k}(n+k\mathbf{Z}) \quad n^{k} \quad (n > 0)$$

for suitable functions $C_{\ell}\colon \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}$. Since $D_{n+1} \geq D_n \geq 0$ for all n, it follows that C_{h+1} is constant >0. Write $Q(t) = Q_1(t) + Q_2(t)$, where $Q_1(t)$ has coefficients of absolute value $< D \cdot n^h$ for a suitable constant D > 0 and $Q_2(t) = C_{h+1} \cdot \sum_{n \geq 0}^{\infty} n^{h+1} t^n$. Then, for 0 < x < 1, we have

$$|Q_{1}(x)| \cdot (1-x)^{h+1} \le D \cdot (\sum_{n \ge 0} n^{h}x^{n}) \cdot (1-x)^{h+1}$$

 $\le D \cdot (\frac{d^{h}}{dx^{h}} \cdot \frac{1}{1-x}) \cdot (1-x)^{h+1} = D \cdot h!$

Therefore, $Q_{\frac{1}{4}}(t)$ has a pole of order at most h+1 at t=1. Similarly, $Q_{2}(t)$ has a pole of order exactly h+2 at t=1 and so the same holds for Q(t). This shows that $P_{G}(V;t)$ has a pole of order h+1 at t=1, whence $cr_{G}(V) = h+1 = \rho$, as we have claimed.

Finally, if $\rho(R)$ is defined in analogy with $\rho = \rho(V)$ above, then Theorem 2 and the foregoing together imply that $\operatorname{cr}_G(V) = \rho(V) \le \rho(R) = \operatorname{cr}_G(R)$. This completes the proof. \square

Example 5 Suppose G does not contain any subgroup isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ and let the coefficient ring R be of characteristic p. Then, by [Br, Chap X. Sec. 6], there exists a positive integer d such that $H^{n}(G,V) = H^{n+d}(G,V)$ holds for any R[G]-module V and all n>h(G), the Hirsch number of G. In particular, if V is finitely generated over R[G] and $P_{G}(V;t)$ is as in the above corollary, then

$$P_G(V;t) = \frac{f(t)}{1-t^d}$$

for some polynomial $f(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ of degree $\leq h(G) + d$. For concrete computations in the case when $G=D_{\infty}$ is the infinite dihedral group and R is a field of characteristic 2 see Example 10.

We conclude this section with a brief discussion of the case where R=k is a commutative field. There are several notions of dimension for H*(G,k) at our disposal.

Namely, (i) the Gelfand-Kirillov dimension over k (see [B-K], (ii) the prime length or classical Krull dimension of H*(G,k), and (iii) the Gabriel-Rentschler Krull dimension [R-G]. However, using the fact that H*(G,k) is a finitely generated module over the central subalgebra

##(G,k) which in turn is a finitely generated

##(G,k) The following result of Quillen's (again valid for G of type VFP over k) determines this number

##(Q, Theorem 14.1).

<u>Proposition 6 (Quillen).</u> If char k=p then $cr_G(k)$ equals the p-rank of G , i.e. the largest integer r such that G contains an elementary abelian subgroup of order p^r .

2. Relations with Goldie Ranks

Throughout this section, <u>G will be a polycyclic-by-finite group without finite normal subgroups * <1>. Thus, for any field k, the group algebra k[G] is prime [P, Theorem 4.2.10]. If V is a finitely generated k[G]-module, then we let ρ (V) denote its <u>Goldie rank</u>, i.e.</u>

 $\rho\left(V\right) = \rho_{G}\left(V\right) = \text{composition length of } Q\left(k[G]\right) & V, \\ k[G]$ where $Q\left(k[G]\right)$ is the classical simple Artinian ring of quotients of k[G]. Rurthermore, we define the <u>normalized</u> Goldie rank $\chi\left(V\right)$ of V to be

$$\chi(V) = \chi_{G}(V) = \rho(V)/\rho(k[G])$$
.

Since Q(k[G]) is flat over k[G], ρ is additive on short exact sequences and hence χ is also additive. The following lemma describes some further properties of χ . Part (ii) is due to Rosset [R, Proposition 4] and will not be needed in the sequel.

Lemma 7.

i. Let V be a finitely generated k[G]-module and
 let H≤G be a subgroup of finite index. Then

$$\chi_{G}(V) = [G:H]^{-1}\chi_{H}(V)$$

ii. Let H≤G be a subgroup of G and let W be a
finitely generated k[H]-module. Then

$$\chi_{G}(k[G] \ \underline{\omega}_{k[H]} W) = \chi_{H}(W)$$
.

Proof of (i). Note that H has no finite normal subgroups. Choose a tersion-free normal subgroup N of G with
N≤H and [G:N] finite. We can clearly assume that H=N
so that H is normal in G and tersion-free.

Let C denote the set of nonzero elements of k[H]. Since k[H] is a Noetherian domain [F-S,C], C is an Ore set of regular elements in k[H], and in k[G] [P, proof of Lemma 13.3.5 (ii)]. Moreover, $Q(k[G]) = C^{-1}k[G] \ge C^{-1}k[H] = Q(k[H])$, and Q = Q(k[G]) is simple Artinian and has (right and left) demension [G:H] over the division subring D = Q(k[H]). If V is a finitely generated k[G]-module, then $Q \otimes_{k[G]} V$ is finitely generated over Q and hence of the form $Q \otimes_{k[G]} V \cong B^{(r)}$, where B is a simple left ideal of Q and $r = \rho(V)$. In particular, $Q \cong B^{(S)}$ with $S = \rho(k[G])$ and so we have

$$\dim_{\mathbb{D}} B = \frac{1}{s} \dim_{\mathbb{D}} Q = [G:H]/s.$$

Therefore, $\chi_G(V) = r/s = [G:H]^{-1} \dim_D(Q@_{k[G]}V)$. To complete the proof, note that $Q@_{k[G]}V \cong D@_{k[H]}V$ as D-modules, since $Q = C^{-1}k[G] \cong D@_{k[H]} \ k[G]$, and so $\dim_D(Q@_{k[G]}V) = \rho_H(V) = \chi_H(V)$.

We remark that if V is finitely generated projective over k[G] and char k=O, then $\chi_G(V)$ is the Euler characteristic of V as discussed in [P, Chap. 13, Sec. 4]. The following lemma gives a cohomological discription of $\chi_G(V)$.

Lemma 8. Let V be a finitely generated k[G]-module. Let $H \le G$ be a poly-(infinite cyclic) subgroup of finite index in G and let h = cdH denote the Hirsch number of H. Then

$$\chi_{G}(V) = [G:H]^{-1} \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{h} (-1)^{i} \dim_{k} H_{i}(H,V)$$

$$= (-1)^{h} \cdot [G:H]^{-1} \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{h} (-1)^{i} \dim_{k} H^{i}(H,V).$$

(Recall that, by Lemma 1, each H_i (H,V) and H^i (H,V) is finite-dimensional over k.)

<u>Proof.</u> We first consider homology. (This part is also contained in [R, Proposition 2].) Let $\underline{P} = (P_i)_{i=0}^h$ be a projective resolution of V over k[H] such that each P_i is finitely generated over k[H]. Then $H_i(H,V) = H_i(k\Omega_{k[H]}\underline{P})$, and hence we get

$$\sum_{i=0}^{h} (-1)^{i} \dim_{k} H_{i}(H,V) = \sum_{i=0}^{h} (-1)^{i} \dim_{k} k \Omega_{k[H]} P_{i}.$$

By [P, Theorem 13.4.9], each P_i is stably free. Thus, as in [P, Lemma 13.4.10], we have $\dim_k k \mathbb{Q}_k[H]^{P_i} = \rho_H(P_i)$ and the above equality becomes

$$\sum_{i=0}^{h} (-1)^{i} \dim_{k} H_{i}(H,V) = \rho_{H}(V),$$

using the additivity of ρ_H . Since $\rho_H(V) = \chi_H(V)$, the assertion for homology now follows from Lemma 7(i).

To deal with cohomology, we use Poincaré duality as in the proof of Lemma 1. By [B], there exists a one-dimensional k[H]-module \widetilde{k} such that each $x\in H$ ats as Id or -Id and such that there are k-isomorphisms

$$H^{i}(H,V) \cong H_{h-i}(H,\widetilde{k} \otimes_{k} V) \quad (0 \leq i \leq h)$$
.

By the foregoing, we conclude that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{h} (-1)^{i} \dim_{k} H^{i}(H,V) = (-1)^{h} \sum_{i=0}^{h} (-1)^{i} \dim_{k} H_{i}(H,\widetilde{K} \underline{\omega}_{k} V)$$

$$= (-1)^{h} \rho_{H}(\widetilde{K} \underline{\omega}_{k} V).$$

Finally, $\rho_H(\widetilde{k}\underline{\omega}_k V) = \rho_H(V)$. For, if N≤H denotes the kernel of the action of H on \widetilde{k} , then N has index at most 2 in H and the restrictions of V and $\widetilde{k}\underline{\omega}_k V$ to k[N] are isomorphic. Lemma 7(i) now implies that $\rho_H(\widetilde{k}\underline{\omega}_k V) = \rho_H(V)$ which completes the proof.

Presumably Lemma 8 is true for any torsion-free subgroup H≤G of finite index in G. This is certainly so for k=Q, because finitely generated projective Q[H]-modules are stably free for arbitrary torsion-free polycyclic-by-finite groups H [F-H]. For general coefficient fields, however, this seems to be unknown at present.

Using the notation $P_G(V;t) = \sum_{n \ge 0}^{\infty} \dim_k H^n(G,V)t^n$ introduced in Corollary 4, the second equality in Lemma 7 can be expressed as

$$\chi_{G}(V) = (-1)^{h} \cdot [G:H]^{-1} \cdot P_{H}(V;-1)$$
,

where $P_{H}(V;-1)$ denotes the evaluation of the polynomial $P_{H}(V;t)$ at t=-1.

Lemma 9. Let V be a finitely generated k[G]-module which has a finite resolution by finitely generated stably free projectives over k[G]. Then $P_G(V;t)$ is a polynomial in t of degree at most h=h(G), the Hirsch number of G, and

$$\chi_{G}(V) = (-1)^{h} \cdot P_{G}(V;-1)$$

<u>Proof.</u> Choose a poly-(infinite cyclic) normal subgroup $H \le G$ of finite index in G. By [B, Satz 3.1.2] and [Br, Chap. VIII Theorem 10.1], $H^n(H,k[H]) = 0$ for $n \ne h$ and so $H^n(G,k[G]) = 0$ for $n \ne h$, by the Shapiro lemma. Therefore, for any finitely generated k[G]-module W which is stably free, $n \ne h$ implies $H^n(G,W) = 0$. An application of the long cohomology sequence now implies that if V has a resolution $0 \rightarrow P_n \rightarrow P_{n-1} \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow P_o \rightarrow V \rightarrow 0$, where all P_i are finitely generated stably free projectives over k[G],

then, $P_G(V;t)$ is a polynomial of degree at most h in t. It also follows that $P_G(V;-1)=\sum\limits_{i=0}^h (-1)^i P_G(P_i;-1)$. Since χ_G is additive on short exact sequences, we further have $\chi_G(V)=\sum\limits_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \chi_G(P_i)$. Therefore, we can assume that V is stably free, and even free over k[G]. The Shapiro lemma now implies that, for all $n\ge 0$, $\dim_k H^n(H,V)=[G:H] \dim_k H^n(G,V)$. Thus $P_G(V;t)=[G:H]^{-1}\cdot P_H(V;t)$ and Lemma 8 yields $\chi_G(V)=(-1)^h\cdot P_G(V;-1)$.

We now consider the infinite dihedral group

$$G = D_{\infty} = \langle x, y | y^2 = (yx)^2 = 1 \rangle$$

and assume the ground field k to be of characteristic 2 Then k[G] has infinite global dimension. Nevertheless, it turns out that, in this case, the equality $\chi_{G}(V) = (-1)^{h(G)} \cdot P_{G}(V;-1) \text{ holds for arbitrary finitely generated } k[G]\text{-modules } V.$

Example 10. Let $G = D_{\infty}$ be the infinite dihedral group and let char k=2. Then, for any finitely generated k[G]-module V, $P_G(V;t)$ has the form $P_G(V;t) = f(t)/1-t$ with $f(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ of degree ≤ 2 , and $P_G(V;-1) = -\chi_G(V)$.

<u>Proof.</u> Since $G = C_1 * C_2$ with $C_1 = \langle y \rangle$ and $C_2 = \langle yx \rangle$ cyclic of order 2, we get $H^n(G,V) = H^n(C_1,V) \oplus H^n(C_2,V)$ for all $n \ge 2$ [G, § 8.6 Theorem 3]. Also, for all $n \ge 1$, $H^n(C_1,V) \cong H^1(C_1,V) \cong Fix_V(y)/(y-1)V$ and similarly for C_2 . Therefore,

 $H^{n}(G,V) \cong H^{2}(G,V) \cong Fix_{V}(y)/(y-1)V \oplus Fix_{V}(yx)/(yx-1)V$

for all $n \ge 2$, and setting $h_n = \dim_k H^n(G, V)$ it follows that

$$P_{G}(V;t) = h_{o} + h_{1}t + h_{2}t^{2}(1+t+t^{2}+...) = h_{o} + h_{1}t + h_{2}t^{2}/(1-t)$$
.

Thus $P_G(V;t)$ has the desired form, and $P_G(V;-1)=h_0-h_1+\frac{1}{2}h_2$.

Next, we show that $P_{G}(.,-1)$ is additive on short exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow U \rightarrow V \stackrel{\pi}{\rightarrow} W \rightarrow 0 ,$$

where U,V,W are finitely generated k[G]-modules. The connecting homomorphism $\omega_n\colon \operatorname{H}^n(G,W)\longrightarrow \operatorname{H}^{n+1}(G,U)$ for $n\geq 2$ sends $[(w_1,w_2)]\in\operatorname{Fix}_W(y)\oplus\operatorname{Fix}_W(yx)/(y-1)$ W \oplus (yx-1) W \oplus (yx-1) W \oplus (yx-1) U \cong H $^n(G,W)$ to $[((y-1)v_1)(yx-1)v_2)]\in\operatorname{Fix}_U(y)\oplus\operatorname{Fix}_U(yx)/(y-1)$ U \oplus (yx-1) U \cong H $^{n+1}(G,U)$, where v_1 and $v_2\in V$ are chosen so that $\pi(v_1)=w_1$. Thus we see that $\dim_k\operatorname{Im}\omega_n$ is constant for all $n\geq 2$.

In particular, $Q(t) = \sum_{n \ge 0} \dim_k (Im \omega_n) t^n \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ is rational and defined for t=-1. By the long cohomology sequence, we have

O=
$$\dim_k (\operatorname{Im}_{n-1}) - \dim_k H^n(G,U) + \dim_k H^n(G,V) - \dim_k H^n(G,W)$$

+ $\dim_k (\operatorname{Im}_n)$

for all $n \ge 0$. Thus $P_G(V;t) = P_G(U;t) + P_G(W;t) - Q(t) \cdot (t+1)$ and evaluating at t=-1, we obtain $P_G(V;-1) = P_G(U;-1) + P_G(W;-1)$.

To compute $\chi_G(V)$, let $H = \langle .x \rangle \leq G$ so that H is infinite cyclic and normal of index 2 in G. By Lemma G(I),

$$\chi_{G}(V) = \frac{1}{2} \rho_{H}(V) = \frac{1}{2} \dim_{F}(F \Omega_{k[H]} V),$$

where F = Q(k[H]) = k(x) is the field of rational functions in x. Set $V_O = \{v \in V | \alpha v = 0 \text{ for some } \alpha \neq 0\}$. Then V_O is a k[G]-submodule of V and is finitely generated over k[G], and over k[H]. Hence V_O is finite-dimensional over k and, moreover, $\chi_G(V_O) = 0$. Thus $\chi_G(V) = \chi_G(W)$ where $W = V/V_O$ is finitely generated free over k[H]. Therefore, $\dim_F (F \mathcal{D}_{k[H]} W) = \dim_k W/(x-1) W$. In view of the additivity of $P_G(.,-1)$ it remains to show that

 $P_{G}(V;-1) = -\frac{1}{2} \dim_{k} V/(x-1)V \text{ if } V \text{ is free over } k[H]$ and

 $P_{G}(V;-1) = 0$ for V finite-dimensional over k.

First assume that V is finite-dimensional over k. Then, using the specific form of $H^2(G,V)$, we have

$$h_2 = \dim_k \operatorname{Fix}_V(y) - \dim_k (y-1)V + \dim_k \operatorname{Fix}_V(yx) - \dim_k (yx-1)V.$$

Similarly, using the isomorphism $H^1(G,V) \cong Fix_V(y) \oplus Fix_V(yx)/U$ where $U = \{((y-1)v, (yx-1)v) | v \in V\} \cong V/H^0(G,V)$, we get

$$h_1 = h_0 + \dim_k \operatorname{Fix}_V(y) + \dim_k \operatorname{Fix}_V(yx) - \dim_k V.$$

Thus

$$P_G(V;-1) = dim_k V - \frac{1}{2} d(y) - \frac{1}{2} d(yx),$$

where we have set $d(y) = \dim_k \operatorname{Fix}_V(y) + \dim_k (y-1)V$ and similarly for d(yx). But $V|_{k < y >} = k < y >^r \oplus k^s$ for suitable r and s and so $d(y) = (r+s) + r = \dim_k V$. Similarly, $d(yx) = \dim_k V$, whence $P_G(V;-1) = 0$.

Finally, assume that V is free over k[H]. Then $H^{N}(H,V)=0$ for n+1 and $H^{1}(H,V)\cong V/(x-1)V=\overline{V}$. Therefore, $H^{O}(G,V)=0$ and $H^{N}(G,V)\cong H^{N-1}(G/H,\overline{V})$ $(n\geq 1)$. Since \overline{V} is finite-dimensional over k, we can write $\overline{V}=:k< y>^{r}\oplus k^{s}$, as above, and we see that $H^{1}(G,V)\cong H^{O}((xy),\overline{V})\cong Fix_{\overline{V}}(y)$ has dimension r+s, and $H^{2}(G,V)\cong H^{1}((xy),\overline{V})\cong Fix_{\overline{V}}(y)/(y-1)\overline{V}$ has dimension s. Therefore, $P_{G}(V;-1)=-(r+s)+\frac{1}{2}S=-\frac{1}{2}\dim_{K}\overline{V}$, as it was to be shown.

0

Acknowledgment

Research supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft/
Heisenberg Programm. The present version of this article
was written while the author was visiting the University
of Leeds. He thanks the members of the School of Mathematics
for their hospitality, and the United Kingdom S.E.R.C.
for its financial support.

References

- [A-M] M. Atiyah and I. Macdonald: Introduction to Commutative Algebra, Addison-Wesley, Reading (1969).
- [B] R. Bieri: Gruppen mit Poincaré-Dualität, Comment. Math. Helvetici 47 (1972), 373-396.
- [B-K] W. Borho and H.P. Kraft: Uber die Gelfand-Kirillov Dimension, Math. Annalen 220 (1976), 1-24.
- [Br] K.S. Brown: Cohomogy of Groups, Springer, Berlin (1982).
- [C] G.H. Cliff: Zero divisors and idempotents in group rings, Can. J. Math. 23 (1980), 596-602.
- [C-E] H. Cartan and S. Eilenberg: Homological Algebra, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton (1956).
- [E] L. Evens: The cohomology ring of a finite group, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc, 101 (1961), 224-239.
- [F-H] F.T. Farrell and W.C. Hsiang: The Whitehead group of poly-(finite or cyclic)groups, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 24 (1981), 308-324.
- [F-S] D. Farkas and R. Snider: K_O and Noetherian group rings, J. Algebra 42 (1976), 192-198.
- [G] K.W. Gruenberg: Cohomological Topics in Group Theory, Lecture Notes in Mathematics No. 143, Springer, Berlin (1970).
- [H] M. Hall: Combinatorial Theory, Wiley and Sons, New York (1967).

- [N-VO] C. Nastasescu and F. Van Oystaeyen: Graded Ring Theory, North-Holland Publ. Co., Amsterdam (1982).
- [P] D. S. Passman: The Algebraic Structure of Group Rings, Wiley and Sons, New York (1977).
- [Q] D. Quillen: The spectrum of an equivariant cohomology ring I,II, Annals of Math. 94 (1971), 549-602.
- [R] S. Rosset: The Goldie rank of virtually polycyclic groups, In: Groupe de Brauer, ed. by M. Kervaire and M. Ojanguren, Lect. Notes in Math. No. 844, Springer, Berlin (1981).
- [R-G] R. Rentschler and P. Gabriel: Sur la dimension des anneaux et ensembles ordonnés, C. R. Acad. Sci., Série A, 265 (1967), 712-715.