

# **Kan extension and stable homology of Eilenberg and Mac Lane spaces**

**Teimuraz Pirashvili**

Razmadze Math. Inst.  
Rukhadze str. 1.  
Tbilisi, 380093. Georgia

Russia

Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik  
Gottfried-Claren-Str. 26  
53225 Bonn

Germany

# KAN EXTENSION AND STABLE HOMOLOGY OF EILENBERG AND MAC LANE SPACES

Teimuraz PIRASHVILI

40 years ago Mac Lane defined the homology theory of rings [M]. Recently it was proved that this homology theory is isomorphic to the Bökstedt's topological Hochschild homology [PW] and to the stable K-theory of Waldhausen [DM]. The original definition of Mac Lane was based on the *cubical construction*, which assigns a chain complex  $Q_*(A)$  to each abelian group  $A$  (see [EM1],[JP],[M]). This complex has the following property:

**THEOREM.** The homology of  $Q_*(A)$  is isomorphic to the stable homology of the Eilenberg and Mac Lane spaces:

$$H_n(Q_*(A)) \cong H_{n+k}(K(A, k)), \quad n \leq k - 1.$$

The original proof of this theorem requires two papers of Eilenberg and Mac Lane: [EM1] and [EM2] and based on the theory of the *generic cycles*. It was mentioned in the introduction of the collected works of Eilenberg and Mac Lane [EM3] that this theory is somewhat mysterious. Here we give new simple proof of this fact.

The author would like to thank the Max-Plank-Institut für Mathematik in Bonn for hospitality, where this work was done.

**1. Notation.** Let  $sets_*$  be the category of finite pointed sets. For any set  $S$ , we denote by  $S_+$  the pointed set which is obtained from  $S$  by adding a distinguish point. Moreover we denote by  $|S|$  the cardinality of  $S$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the category of contravariant functors from  $sets_*$  to the category of abelian groups. This is an abelian category with enough projective (and injective) objects. For any set  $S$ , we define a functor

$$h_S : sets_*^{op} \rightarrow Ab$$

by  $h_S(X_+) = \mathbf{Z}[sets_*(X_+, S_+)]$ . So  $h_S(X_+)$  is a free abelian group generated by  $|S|$  disjoint subsets of  $X$ . Each functor  $h_S$  is a projective object in  $\mathcal{A}$ .

**2.  $t$  and  $t_!$  functors.** Let  $t : sets_*^{op} \rightarrow Ab$  be given as follows:

$$t(X_+) = \mathbf{Z}[X], \quad t(f)(y) = \sum_{f(x)=y} x.$$

Here  $x \in X$ ,  $y \in Y$ ,  $f \in sets_*(X, Y)$ . The same functor may be described by

$$t(X_+) = sets_*(X_+, \mathbf{Z}).$$

Let

$$t_! : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow Ab^{Ab}$$

be the left Kan extension of the functor  $t$ . Then  $t_!$  is right exact functor. Moreover it preserves the direct sums and

$$(2.1) \quad t_!(h_S)(A) = \mathbf{Z}[\mathbf{Z}[S] \otimes A].$$

**3. The main idea of the proof.** Let  $[n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$  and consider the pointed maps

$$[n]_+ \rightarrow [n-1]_+$$

given respectively by:

$$1 \mapsto +, i \mapsto i-1 \text{ for } i > 1 \text{ and } 1, 2 \mapsto 1, i \mapsto i-1 \text{ for } i > 2.$$

These maps yield two transformations from  $h_{[n]_+}$  to  $h_{[n-1]_+}$ . It follows from the relation 2.1 that the functor  $t_!$  carries these transformations to the natural homomorphisms

$$\mathbf{Z}[A^n] \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}[A^{n-1}]$$

which are given respectively by

$$(a_1, \dots, a_n) \mapsto (a_2, \dots, a_n) \text{ and } (a_1, \dots, a_n) \mapsto (a_1 + a_2, \dots, a_n).$$

Since the components of the chains of the Eilenberg and Mac Lane space  $K(A, k)$  and the components of  $Q_*(A)$  have the forms  $\mathbf{Z}[A^n]$ , for suitable  $n$  and the boundary maps are sums of maps which can be described as composites of the above homomorphisms, we may conclude that the complex  $Q_*(-)$  (resp. the chains of  $K(-, k)$ ) can be obtained as the image under the functor  $t_!$  of a complex from  $\mathcal{A}$ . It turns out that this complex is a (resp. partially) projective resolution of the  $t \in Ob \mathcal{A}$  and from this follows the theorem.

**4. Left derived functors of  $t_!$  and stable homology of  $K(A, n)$ .** Since  $t_! : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow Ab^{Ab}$  is an additive functor between abelian categories, one can take the left derived functors of  $t_!$  and get a family of functors  $L_*t_! : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow Ab^{Ab}$ . Since  $t \in Ob\mathcal{A}$ , one can consider the values of  $L_*t_!$  on  $t$ , they will be functors from  $Ab$  to  $Ab$ .

**4.1. Lemma.** One has a natural isomorphism:

$$(L_n t_!)(t)(A) \cong H_{n+k}(K(A, k)), \quad n < k.$$

**Proof.** Let  $S^k$  be a simplicial model of the pointed  $k$ -dimensional sphere, which has finitely many simplexes in each dimension. For any  $X_+ \in sets_*$ , we consider the reduced chains of the simplicial set  $sets_*(X_+, S^k)$ , which is nothing but the products of  $|X|$  copies of  $S^k$ . Vary  $X_+$  we obtain a chain complex in  $\mathcal{A}$ , whose components have the form  $h_S$ , for suitable  $S$ , and hence are projective objects in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Moreover, the homology of this complex in dimension  $< 2k$  is zero, except in dimension  $n$ , where it is isomorphic to  $t$ . Therefore for calculation of  $L_n t_!(t)$  one can use this complex, for  $k > n$ . By 2.1 the functor  $t_!$  sends this complex to the reduced chains of  $\mathbf{Z}[S^k] \otimes A$  and this proves the lemma, because  $\mathbf{Z}[S^k] \otimes A$  has a  $K(A, n)$ -type.

**5. Proof of the theorem.** First we describe explicitly a complex  $SQ_*$  from the category  $\mathcal{A}$ , with property  $t_!(SQ_*) \cong Q_*(-)$ . For each  $X_+ \in sets_*$  and  $n \geq 0$ , we denote by  $SQ'_n(X_+)$  the free abelian group generated by all families  $\mathcal{X}_{(a_1, \dots, a_n)}$  of disjoint subsets of  $X$  indexed by  $n$ -tuples  $(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ , where  $a_i = 0$  or  $a_i = 1$ . The boundary map  $\partial : SQ'_n(X_+) \rightarrow SQ'_{n-1}(X_+)$  is given as follows

$$\partial = \sum (-1)^i (P_i - R_i - S_i),$$

where  $P_i, R_i, S_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$  are defined by

$$(R_i(\mathcal{X}))_{(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})} = \mathcal{X}_{(a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, 0, a_i, \dots, a_{n-1})}, \quad (S_i(\mathcal{X}))_{(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})} = \mathcal{X}_{(a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, 1, a_i, \dots, a_{n-1})}$$

and  $P_i = R_i \cup S_i$  (compare with definitions of the complex  $Q'_*$  from [M] and [JP]). In this way we obtain the chain complex  $SQ'_*$  in the category  $\mathcal{A}$ . The chain complex  $SQ_*$  is obtained from this complex by following normalization. A generator  $\mathcal{X}$  of  $SQ'_n(X)$  is called a *slab* if  $\mathcal{X} = \emptyset$  in the case  $n = 0$ , or

$$\mathcal{X}_{(a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, 0, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n)} = \emptyset$$

or

$$\mathcal{X}_{(a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, 1, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n)} = \emptyset.$$

A generator  $\mathcal{X}$  is called *i-diagonal* if

$$\mathcal{X}_{(a_1, \dots, a_n)} = \emptyset$$

for all  $(a_1, \dots, a_n)$  with  $a_i \neq a_{i+1}$ . Here  $n \geq 2, 1 \leq i < n$ . Let  $D_n(X_+) \subset SQ'_*(X_+)$  denote the subgroup generated by all diagonals and all slabs. Define  $SQ_*(X_+) = SQ'_*(X_+)/D_*(X_+)$ .

Based on the definition of  $Q_*(A)$  from [M] and [JP] and the relation 2.1 we conclude that  $t_1(SQ_*) \cong Q_*$ . Moreover  $SQ_n$  is a projective object in  $\mathcal{A}$ , because it is a direct summand of the  $SQ'_n$  (compare with Proposition 2.6 in [JP]) and this last one has a form  $h_S$ , with  $|S| = 2^n$ . Thus it is enough to show that

$$H_i SQ(X_+) = 0, \text{ for } i \geq 1; \text{ and } H_0 SQ(X_+) = t(X_+),$$

because from this it follows that  $QS_*$  is a projective resolution of  $t$  and we can use Lemma 4.1. We remark that the above relation is obvious in the case when  $Card X = 1$ , and since  $t(X_+ \vee Y_+) \cong t(X_+) \oplus t(Y_+)$  it remains to show

$$H_*(SQ_*(X_+ \vee Y_+)) \cong H_*(SQ(X_+)) \oplus H_*(SQ(Y_+)).$$

The complex  $SQ_*(X_+) \oplus SQ_*(Y_+)$  is a direct summand of the complex  $SQ_*(X_+ \vee Y_+)$ , because  $SQ_*(*) = 0$ , and thus one needs to construct a homotopy between the identity morphism of  $SQ(X_+ \vee Y_+)$  and the corresponding retraction. The following is such homotopy:

$$(E\mathcal{X})_{(a_1, \dots, a_n, 0)} = \mathcal{X}_{(a_1, \dots, a_n)} \cap X,$$

$$(E\mathcal{X})_{(a_1, \dots, a_n, 1)} = \mathcal{X}_{(a_1, \dots, a_n)} \cap Y.$$

## References

[DM] B.I. Dundas and R. McCarthy. *Stable K-theory and topological Hochschild homology*, to appear in Ann. of Math.

[EM 1] S. Eilenberg and S. Mac Lane. *Homology theory for multiplicative systems*. Trans. AMS. 71 (1951), 294-330.

[EM 2] S. Eilenberg and S. Mac Lane. *On the homology theory of abelian groups*. Canad. J. Math. 7(1955), 43-53.

[EM 3] S. Eilenberg and S. Mac Lane. *Collected Works*. Academic Press. 1986

[JP] M. Jibladze and T. Pirashvili. *Cohomology of algebraic theories*. J. of algebra. 137 (1991) 253-296.

[M] S. Mac Lane. *Homologie des anneaux et des modules*, Coll. topologie algebrique, Louvan (1956), 55-80.

[PW] T. Pirashvili and F. Waldhausen. *MacLane homology and topological Hochschild homology*. J. Pure and Appl. Algebra. 82(1992), 81-99.

**Razmadze Math. Inst.**

**Rukhadze str. 1. Tbilisi, 380093. Georgia**