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The generalized exponents of finite-dimensional irreducible representations of a compact Lie group are important invariants first constructed and studied by Kostant in the early 1960's. Their actual computation has remained quite enigmatic. What was known ( $[\underline{K}]$ and [. $\underline{H}, T h .1]$ ) suggested to us that their computation lies at the heart of a rich combinatorially flavored theory.

This note announces several results all tied together by Theorem 2.3 below which selects the natural generalizations of Hall-Littlewood symmetric functions, rather than irreducible characters, as the best basis of the character ring. Full details will appear elsewhere.

1. Statement of Probiem. Let $g$ be a complex semi-simple Lie algebra with adjoint group $G$. Via the adjoint action, the symmetric algebra $S(\underline{q})$ becomes a graded representation of G. Kostant studied this representation in his fundamental paper [K] ; his results are well-known. $S(\underline{g})=I \otimes H$ is a free module over the G-invariants I generated by the harmonics H. Moreover, I is a polynomial ring on homogeneous generators of known degrees, and $H=\underset{p \geq 0}{\oplus} H^{p}$ is a graded, locally-finite G-representation.

Hence, to study the isotypic decomposition of $S(\underline{g})$, one forms for each irreducible G-representation $V$ the polynomial in an indeterminate $q$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(V):=\sum_{p \geq 0}\left\langle V, H^{p}\right\rangle q^{p} . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here 〈, > is the usual form $\operatorname{dim}_{H_{g}}($, ) on the representation ring of g. Kostant's problem asks us to determine $F(V)$; he called the integers $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{s}$ with $F(V)=\sum_{i=1}^{S} q^{e_{i}}$ the generalized exponents of $V$. The polynomial $F(V)$ turns out to be a rather deep invariant of the representation $V$. For instance, the $F(V)$ are certain KazhdanLusztig polynomials for the affine Weyl group (combine [K, Th. 1] and [Ka ,Th. 1.8]), and they describe certain group cohomology ([EP, Th. 6.1]).
2. A Bilinear Form. Our idea is to interpret $F$ as a bilinear form on the character ring $\Lambda$ of $g$. Precisely, define a $\mathbb{Z}[q]$-valued symmetric bilinear form $\langle<$,$\rangle on \Lambda[q]$ by setting
(2.1) $\left\langle\left\langle\operatorname{ch}\left(V_{1}\right), \operatorname{ch}\left(V_{2}\right)\right\rangle\right\rangle:=F\left(V_{1} \otimes V_{2}^{*}\right)$,
for any two g-representations $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$, and extending q-linearly. (Here ch (V) and $V^{*}$ mean the character and dual of $V$. ) our (2.1) makes sense as (1.1) actually defines $F$ on any representation of $g$.

We will present a basis in which our new form $\langle\langle\rangle$,$\rangle diagonalizes.$ First fix a Carton subalgebra $\underline{h}$ of $g$ and some familiar associated objects. Let $\Phi$ be the root system with $\Phi^{+}$a choice of positive roots. Form the lattice $\underline{P}$ of integral weights and its subset $\underline{P}^{++}$of dominant ones. Let $W$ be the Weyl group with length function 1. Set $t_{\pi}(q):=\sum_{\substack{w \in W \\ w \cdot \pi=\pi}} q^{l(w)}$, for $\pi \in \underline{P}$. Use exponential notation for characters.

Define, for $\pi \in \underline{P}^{++}$, the Hall-Littlewood characters

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\pi}:=t_{\pi}(q)^{-1} \sum_{w \in W} w\left(e^{\pi} \prod_{\varphi \in \Phi^{+}} \frac{1-q e^{-\varphi}}{1-e^{-\varphi}}\right) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

These characters are classical objects when $\underline{g}=\underline{s l}_{n}$; they appear in this more general form in work of Keto ([Ka]).

Theorem 2.3. The $P_{\pi}, \pi \in \underline{p}^{++}$, form an orthogonal $\mathbf{Z}[q]$-basis of $\Lambda[q]$ with respect to the form $\langle\langle\rangle$,$\rangle , and$

$$
\left\langle\left\langle P_{\pi}, P_{\pi}\right\rangle\right\rangle=t_{0}(q) / t_{\pi}(q)
$$

To prove this, we compare $\langle\langle\rangle$,$\rangle to the usual form \langle$,$\rangle via the$ expansion $\sum_{p \geq 0} \operatorname{ch}\left(H^{p}\right) q^{p}=t_{0}(q) \prod_{\varphi \in \Phi}\left(1-q e^{\varphi}\right)^{-1}$. Then we extend $\langle$,$\rangle to a$ form on $\Lambda[[q]]$, where we know ([G, Th. 2.5]) the basis dual to $\left\{P_{\pi}\right\}_{\pi \in \underline{P}^{++}}$
3. Stability for $\mathrm{PGL}_{n}$. Let us concentrate on $\underline{q}=\underline{s l}_{n}$ to jillustrate ( $\$ 5$ ) the effective use of 2.3 in evaluating $F$ on irreducibles.

We have formulated a stability theory (1981) for the generalized exponents based on a "mixed tensor" parameterization $V_{\alpha, \beta}^{n}$ of the irreducible $\mathrm{PGL}_{\mathrm{n}}$-representations, for certain pairs $\alpha, \beta$ of partitions. (See $\S 4$, but for example, $\mathbb{C}=V_{(0)}^{n},(0)$ and $g=V_{(1),(1)}^{n}$.) Write $H_{n}^{p}$ for the degree p harmonics.

Theorem 3.1. Fix $p \geq 0$. Then the number of irreducible $\mathrm{PGL}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{-}$ components of $H_{n}^{p}$ is constant for $n \geq 2 p$. Moreover, the decomposition stabilizes: for some finite set $J^{p}$ of partition pairs and integers $c_{\alpha, \beta}^{p}$.

$$
H_{n}^{p} \simeq \bigoplus_{(\alpha, \beta) \in J^{p}}^{\prod_{\alpha, \beta}} \quad{ }_{\alpha}^{p} \quad V_{\alpha, \beta}^{n}, \quad \text { for } n \geq 2 p
$$

Our original proof worked by a combinatorial analysis of the pieces in $S\left(E n d \mathbb{C}^{\text {n }}\right.$ ) using the Cauchy and Littlewood-Richardson rules. We, R. Stanley, and $P$. Hanlon then studied the stable series $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} F\left(V_{\alpha, \beta}^{n}\right)$.

The main question raised by 3.1 , however, is the determination of the $F\left(V_{\alpha, \beta}^{n}\right)$ as functions of two variables $g$ and $\underline{n}$ (with the proviso $n \geq l(\alpha)+l(\beta)$ always implicit).
4. Combinatorics of $\mathrm{SL}_{n}$-Representations. As $\underline{g}=\underline{s l}_{n}$, the character ring $\Lambda$ now identifies with the ring of symmetric functions in variables $x_{1}, \ldots x_{n}$ modulo the relation $x_{1} \cdots x_{n}=i$. The set $\underline{P}^{++}$identifies with the set $Q_{n}$ of partitions of at most $n-1$ rows. The Schur function $s_{\pi}\left(x_{1} \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ is the character of the irreducible highest weight representation $V_{\pi}^{n}$, $\pi \in Q_{n}$. Also, $P_{\pi}=P_{\pi}\left(x_{1}, \ldots x_{n} ; q\right)$ is the classical Hall-Littlewood symmetric function.

Write partitions $\gamma$ as non-decreasing sequences $\gamma=\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \ldots\right)$, ignoring trailing zeros, with magnitude $|\gamma|=\gamma_{1}+\gamma_{2}+\ldots$ and length $1(\gamma)$.

Given partitions $\underline{\alpha}$ and $\beta$ with $1(\alpha)+1(\beta) \leq n$, we defined $V_{\alpha, \beta}^{n}$ as the Cartan piece (the "highest" irreducible component) in $V_{\alpha}^{n} \otimes V_{\beta}^{n *}$. So $v_{\alpha, \beta}^{n}=V_{\gamma}^{n}$ when $\gamma$ is the component-wise sum (put $s=l(\alpha), t=l(\beta)$ ):

$$
\gamma=\operatorname{prt}_{n}(\alpha, \beta):=(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{s}, \underbrace{0, \ldots, 0}_{n-s-t},-\beta_{t}, \ldots,-\beta_{1})+(\underbrace{\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{1}}_{n}) .
$$

Lemma 4.1. Fix $n \geq 1$. Then the $V_{\alpha, \beta}^{n}$, where $\alpha$ and $\beta$ satisfy $1(\alpha)+1(\beta) \leq n$ and $|\alpha|=|\beta|$, form an exhaustive, repetition free, list of the irreducible, finite-dimensional representations of PGL $_{n}$.

For each value of $n, F\left(V_{\alpha, \beta}^{n}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$ is controlled by the partitions $\lambda=\operatorname{prt}_{n}(\alpha, \beta)$ and $\mu=\left(\beta_{1}^{n}\right)$ of magnitude $\beta_{1} n$. In fact, we observed that $F\left(V_{\alpha, \beta}^{n}\right)$ equals the combinatorial Kostka-Foulkes polynomial $K_{\lambda, \mu}(q)$ attached to Young tableaux of shape $\lambda$ and weight $\mu$ (see [M,III, 6]).

However, in $\S 5$ we prove that $F\left(V_{\alpha, \beta}^{n}\right)$ as $\underline{\text { a function }}$ of $q$ and $\underline{n}$ is really "controlled" just by $\alpha$ and $\underline{\beta}$ (symmetrically, as $F\left(V_{\alpha, \beta}^{n}\right)=$ $F\left(V_{\alpha, \beta}^{n}\right)$. Let $h_{1}(\alpha), \ldots, h_{|\alpha|}(\alpha)$ be the hook numbers and $\tilde{\alpha}$ be the conjugate of $\alpha(\operatorname{see}[\underline{M}, I, 1]) . \quad$ Set $e(\alpha):=\sum_{i \geq 1} i \alpha_{i} . \quad$ Previously (1982), we knew only

Proposition 4.2. Assume $|\alpha|=r$.
(i) If $\beta=\left(1^{r}\right)$, then $F\left(V_{\alpha, \beta}^{n}\right)=q^{e(\tilde{\alpha})} \prod_{i=1}^{r}\left(1-q^{n-r-\tilde{\alpha}_{i}+i}\right) /\left(1-q^{h_{i}(\alpha)}\right)$.
(ii) If $\beta=(r)$, then $F\left(V_{\alpha, \beta}^{n}\right)=s_{\alpha}\left(q, \ldots, q^{n-1}\right)$.
5. A Formula for $E\left(V_{\alpha, \beta}^{n}\right)$. Let us extend our notation $K_{\lambda, \mu}(q)$ to skew-partitions (i.e., skew-diagrams) $\lambda=\alpha / \theta$. Cf. [M, I,5]. Set $b_{\pi}(q):=\prod_{i \geq 1}(1-q) \cdots\left(1-q^{\left.m_{i}^{(\pi)}\right)}\right.$, for $m_{i}(\pi)$ the multiplicity of in $\pi$.

Theorem 5.1. Fix $\alpha$ and $\beta$ with $|\alpha|=|\beta|=r$. Then

$$
\mathrm{F}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)=\sum(-1)^{|\theta|} K_{\alpha / \theta, \pi}(q) K_{\beta / \tilde{\theta}, \pi}(q) \frac{\left(1-q^{n}\right) \cdots\left(1-q^{n-1(\pi)+1}\right)}{b_{\pi^{(q)}}}
$$

summed over all partition pairs $\theta, \pi$ with $|\theta|+|\pi|=r$.

To prove this, we first compute $F\left(V_{\gamma}^{n} \otimes V_{\delta}^{n *}\right)$ using Th. 2.3 by writing $s_{\gamma}$ and $s_{\delta}$ in terms of the $P_{\pi}$. Then we express $V_{\alpha, \beta}{ }^{n}$ in terms of the $V_{\gamma}^{n} \otimes V_{\delta}^{n^{*}}$ using essentially a formula of Littlewood.

Th. 5.1 leads to new, unified proofs of several old results, among them 3.1. 4.2, and the stable theorem [ $\underline{5}, 8.1$ proven by Stanley. But mainly, 5.1 gives the first real means for computing the $F\left(V_{\alpha, \beta}^{n}\right)$.

Corollary 5.2. For some polynomial $g_{\alpha, \beta}(q, z)$ over $\mathbb{Z}$,

$$
F\left(V_{\alpha, \beta}^{n}\right)=\frac{g_{\alpha, \beta}\left(q, q^{n-r+1}\right)}{(1-q) \cdots\left(1-q^{r}\right)}
$$

Moreover, $g_{\alpha, \beta}(q, z)(1-q)^{-1} \ldots\left(1-q^{r}\right)^{-1}$ is a linear combination, over $\mathbb{Z}[q]$, of the functions $\left(1-q^{r-1} z\right) \cdots\left(1-q^{r-i} z\right)(1-q)^{-1} \cdots\left(1-q^{i}\right)^{-1}, i=1, \ldots, r$.

We have some conjectures on the form of the $g_{\alpha, \beta}(q, z)$. The examples below, done by hand, are new, though the first is an old conjecture. For integers $c_{i}, d_{i}$, we set

$$
\left[\begin{array}{lll}
c_{1} & \cdots & c_{r} \\
d_{1} & \cdots & d_{r}
\end{array}\right]_{q}:=\left(1-q^{c_{1}}\right) \cdots\left(1-q^{c_{r}}\right)\left(1-q^{d_{1}}\right)^{-1} \cdots\left(1-q^{d_{r}}\right)^{-1} .
$$

But we refrain from thinking about these unless they are polynomials in $\underline{q}$.

Example 5.3. If $\alpha=\beta=(2,1)$, then 5.1 yields

$$
F\left(V_{\alpha, \beta}^{n}\right)=q^{3}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
n+1 & n-1 & n-3 \\
1 & 1 & 3
\end{array}\right]_{q}+q^{5} \cdot\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
n-1 & n-2 & n-3 \\
1 & 1 & 3
\end{array}\right]_{q}
$$

Example 5.4. Let us find $F\left(V_{\pi}^{6}\right)$ when $\pi=(6,4,1,1)$. Then $\pi=$ prt ${ }_{6}(\alpha, \beta)$ for $\alpha=(4,2)$ and $\beta=(2,2,1,1)$. 5.1 gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F\left(V_{\alpha, \beta}^{n}\right)=q^{9}\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
n+2 & n+1 & n-1 & n-2 & n-4 & n-5 \\
1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 5
\end{array}\right] q+q^{12}\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
n+2 & n-1 & n-2 & n-3 & n-4 \\
1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 4
\end{array}\right) \\
& +q^{15}\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
n-1 & n-2 & n-2 & n-3 & n-4 & n-5 \\
1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 5
\end{array}\right] q+q^{9}\left(1+q+q^{2}\right)\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
n & n-1 & n-2 & n-3 & n-4 \\
1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\
5 & 5 & 2
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

So, at $n=6, \quad F\left(V_{\pi}^{6}\right)=2 q^{9}+3 q^{10}+7 q^{11}+9 q^{12}+13 q^{13}+13 q^{14}+15 q^{15}+12 q^{16}+11 q^{17}$ $+7 q^{18}+5 q^{19}+2 q^{20}+q^{21}$.
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