


Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik Bonn

Character sums for primitive root densities

by

Hendrik W. Lenstra, Jr.
Pieter Moree
Peter Stevenhagen



Character sums for primitive root densities

Hendrik W. Lenstra, Jr.
Pieter Moree
Peter Stevenhagen

Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik
Vivatsgasse 7
53111 Bonn
Germany

Mathematisch Instituut
Universiteit Leiden
Postbus 9512
2300 RA Leiden
The Netherlands

CHARACTER SUMS FOR PRIMITIVE ROOT DENSITIES

H. W. LENSTRA, JR., P. MOREE AND P. STEVENHAGEN

ABSTRACT. It follows from the work of Artin and Hooley that, under assumption of the generalized Riemann hypothesis, the density of the set of primes q for which a given non-zero rational number r is a primitive root modulo q can be written as an infinite product $\prod_p \delta_p$ of local factors δ_p reflecting the degree of the splitting field of $X^p - r$ at the primes p , multiplied by a somewhat complicated factor that corrects for the ‘entanglement’ of these splitting fields.

We show how the correction factors arising in Artin’s original primitive root problem and several of its generalizations can be interpreted as character sums describing the nature of the entanglement. The resulting description in terms of local contributions is so transparent that it greatly facilitates explicit computations, and naturally leads to non-vanishing criteria for the correction factors.

1. INTRODUCTION

Artin’s conjecture on primitive roots, which dates back to 1927, states that for a non-zero rational number $r \neq \pm 1$, the set of primes q with the property that r is a primitive root modulo q has natural density

$$\delta(r) = c_r \cdot \prod_{p \text{ prime}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p(p-1)}\right)$$

inside the set of all primes. Here p ranges over the rational primes, and c_r is a rational number that depends on r . The universal constant $\prod_p \left(1 - \frac{1}{p(p-1)}\right) \doteq .3739558$ is known as *Artin’s constant*. The constant c_r vanishes if and only if r is a square. For values of r that are not perfect powers, it was discovered after computer calculations in 1957 that c_r can be different from 1, leading to a correction of the original conjecture by Artin himself [15]. In 1967, this corrected conjecture was proved under assumption of the generalized Riemann hypothesis (GRH) by Hooley [2].

The heuristic argument underlying Artin’s conjecture is simple: for a prime number q that does not divide the numerator or denominator of r , the number r is a primitive root modulo q if and only if there is no prime number p dividing $q - 1$ such that r is a p -th power modulo q . In terms of number fields, this condition amounts to saying that for no prime $p < q$, the prime q splits completely in the splitting field

$$(1.1) \quad F_p = \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_p, \sqrt[p]{r}) \subset \overline{\mathbf{Q}}$$

of the polynomial $X^p - r$ over \mathbf{Q} . Here $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$ denotes an algebraic closure of \mathbf{Q} , and ζ_p a primitive p -th root of unity in $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 11R45; Secondary 11L03, 11N13.

Key words and phrases. Artin’s conjecture, primitive roots.

For fixed p , the set of primes q that do not split completely in F_p has density $\delta_p = 1 - [F_p : \mathbf{Q}]^{-1}$. As we have $r \neq \pm 1$, there is a largest integer $h \in \mathbf{Z}$ for which r is an h -th power in \mathbf{Q}^* . We have $[F_p : \mathbf{Q}] = p - 1$ for p dividing h , and $[F_p : \mathbf{Q}] = p(p - 1)$ otherwise. If we assume that the splitting conditions at the various primes p that we impose on q are ‘independent’, it seems reasonable to conjecture, as Artin did, that $\delta(r)$ equals

$$(1.2) \quad A(r) = \prod_p \delta_p = \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{1}{[F_p : \mathbf{Q}]}\right) = \prod_{p|h} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p-1}\right) \cdot \prod_{p \nmid h} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p(p-1)}\right).$$

Note that $A(r)$ is a rational multiple of Artin’s constant, and equal to it for $h = 1$. We have $A(r) = 0$ if and only if r is a square; in this case we have $\delta_2 = 0$, and r is not a primitive root modulo any odd prime q .

The assumption on the independence of the splitting conditions in the various fields F_p is not always correct. If $F_2 = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{r})$ is a quadratic field of discriminant D , then it is contained in the $|D|$ -th cyclotomic field $\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{|D|})$. Thus, if D is *odd*, then F_2 is contained in the compositum of the fields F_p with $p|D$. This is however the only ‘entanglement’ between the fields F_p that occurs. In order to take it into account, one writes $F_n = \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_n, \sqrt[n]{r})$ for the splitting field of $X^n - r$ and applies a standard inclusion-exclusion argument to obtain a conjectural value

$$(1.3) \quad \delta(r) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{[F_n : \mathbf{Q}]},$$

where μ denotes the Möbius function. If $F_2 = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{r})$ is not quadratic of odd discriminant, then $[F_n : \mathbf{Q}]$ is a multiplicative function on squarefree values of n , and (1.3) reduces to (1.2). If F_2 is quadratic of odd discriminant D , then $[F_n : \mathbf{Q}]$ is no longer multiplicative, as it equals $\frac{1}{2} \prod_{p|n} [F_p : \mathbf{Q}]$ for all squarefree n that are divisible by D . In this case, it is not so clear whether the right hand side of (1.3) is non-zero, or even non-negative. However, a ‘rather harder’ calculation [2, p. 219] shows that it can be written as $\delta(r) = E(r) \cdot A(r)$, with $A(r)$ as in (1.2) and

$$(1.4) \quad E(r) = 1 - \prod_{\substack{p|D \\ p|h}} \frac{-1}{p-2} \cdot \prod_{\substack{p|D \\ p \nmid h}} \frac{-1}{p^2 - p - 1}$$

an ‘entanglement correction factor’. Note that $E(r)$ is well-defined as D is odd. The multiplicative structure of the second term of $E(r)$ immediately shows that $E(r)$ is non-zero.

The explicit form of Artin’s conjecture as we have just stated it, is the version that was proved by Hooley under the assumption of the generalized Riemann hypothesis. The hypothesis is used to obtain sufficient control of the error terms occurring in density statements for sets of primes that split completely in the fields F_n in order to prove (1.3). So far, unconditional results have remained insufficient to handle conditions at infinitely many primes p .

Artin’s conjecture can be generalized in various ways. For example, one may impose the additional condition on the primes q that they lie in a given arithmetic progression. Alternatively, one can replace the condition that r be a primitive root modulo q by the requirement that r generate a subgroup of given index in \mathbf{F}_q^* , or even combine the two conditions. Just as in the

original conjecture, these conditions amount to imposing restrictions on the splitting behavior of q in number fields contained in the infinite extension

$$F_\infty = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_n, \sqrt[n]{r}) \subset \overline{\mathbf{Q}}$$

of \mathbf{Q} . They may be formulated as conditions on the Frobenius element of q in these number fields, for which density statements hold by the Chebotarev density theorem. As was shown by the first author [4], the prime densities for such generalizations can in principle (under assumption of GRH) be obtained along the lines of Hooley's proof, and equal the 'fraction' of good Frobenius elements in G . However, the explicit evaluation of the entanglement correction factor from a formula analogous to (1.3) rapidly becomes very unpleasant.

The present paper, which was already announced in [15], introduces a simple but effective method to compute entanglement correction factors for primitive root problems over \mathbf{Q} . It starts with the observation that the Galois automorphisms of the field F_∞ act as group automorphisms on the subgroup

$$R_\infty = \{x \in \overline{\mathbf{Q}}^* : x^k \in \langle r \rangle \subset \mathbf{Q}^* \text{ for some } k \in \mathbf{Z}_{>0}\}$$

of $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}^*$ consisting of the *radicals* that generate the infinite field extension F_∞ of \mathbf{Q} .

In Section 2, we show that for *all* $r \in \mathbf{Q}^* \setminus \{\pm 1\}$, this action gives rise to an injective 'Galois representation'

$$(1.5) \quad G = \text{Gal}(F_\infty/\mathbf{Q}) \longrightarrow A = \text{Aut}_{R_\infty \cap \mathbf{Q}^*}(R_\infty)$$

that embeds G as an open subgroup of index 2 in the group A of group automorphisms of R_∞ that restrict to the identity on $R_\infty \cap \mathbf{Q}^*$. Unlike the Galois group G , the automorphism group A is *always* a product of local factors A_p at the primes p . In Theorem 2.7, we explicitly describe the quadratic character $\chi : A \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$ that has G as its kernel: it is a finite product $\chi = \prod_p \chi_p$ of quadratic characters χ_p , with each χ_p factoring via the projection $A \rightarrow A_p$.

The profinite group $A = \prod_p A_p$ carries a Haar measure ν , which we can take equal to the product $\prod_p \nu_p$ of the normalized Haar measures ν_p on A_p . For *any* subset $S \subset A$ of the form $\prod_p S_p$ with $S_p \subset A_p$ measurable, one can compute the fraction $\delta(S) = \nu(G \cap S)/\nu(G)$ of elements in G that lie in S as a character sum in terms of the quadratic character χ . In our applications, $S \cap G$ will be a set of 'good' Frobenius elements inside G . By Hooley's method, the fraction $\delta(S)$ is then, under GRH, the density of the set of primes q meeting the Frobenius conditions imposed by the choice of S .

In Theorem 3.3, we show that for the sets $S = \prod_p S_p$ as above, the fraction $\delta(S)$ is the natural product of a naive 'Artin constant'

$$\nu(S) = \frac{\nu(S)}{\nu(A)} = \prod_p \frac{\nu_p(S_p)}{\nu_p(A_p)} = \prod_p \nu_p(S_p)$$

as we gave in (1.2) and an entanglement correction factor of the form

$$(1.6) \quad E = 1 + \prod_p E_p.$$

Just as in (1.4), where we have $E_2 = -1$, the local factors E_p are different from 1 only for finitely many ‘critical’ primes p occurring in the finite product $\chi = \prod_p \chi_p$. The factor

$$E_p = \frac{1}{\nu_p(S_p)} \int_{S_p} \chi_p d\nu_p$$

equals the average value of χ_p on S_p . It is easily evaluated in cases where S_p is a set-theoretic difference of subgroups of A_p , and can usually be computed explicitly as the average value of a quadratic character on a finite set.

The transparent structure of the formula obtained makes it easy to decide when the fraction $\delta(S)$ of good Frobenius elements in G vanishes. Vanishing of the Artin constant $\nu(S)/\nu(A)$ means that $S = \prod_p S_p$ is a set of measure 0. In concrete examples, this implies that S is empty, and that there is a prime p for which S_p is empty because the conditions imposed by S cannot be met ‘at p ’. In the original Artin conjecture, this only happens for $p = 2$ in the case that r is a square.

Vanishing of the entanglement correction factor E is a more subtle phenomenon that does not occur in the original conjecture. In accordance with Theorem 4.1 in [4], it means that there is an incompatibility ‘at a finite level’ between the conditions at the critical primes. Since all E_p , being average values of characters, are bounded in absolute value by 1, it is easy (cf. Corollary 3.4) to spot the occurrences of $E = 0$ in (1.6). We illustrate this by computing the value $\delta(S)$ and its vanishing criteria in the case of Artin’s conjecture (Section 4) and its generalizations to primes in arithmetic progressions (Section 5) and near-primitive roots (Section 6) mentioned above. Our final Section 7 discusses some of the many possible extensions of our method to Artin-like problems of various kinds. It shows that the underlying idea of our method has a wide range of application.

2. THE RADICAL EXTENSION F_∞

Let $r \in \mathbf{Q}^*$ be a non-zero rational number different from ± 1 . Then for $n \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 1}$, the number field $F_n = \mathbf{Q}(R_n) = \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_n, \sqrt[n]{r})$ is obtained by adjoining to \mathbf{Q} a group of *radicals*

$$R_n = \{x \in \overline{\mathbf{Q}}^* : x^n \in \langle r \rangle \subset \mathbf{Q}^*\} \subset \overline{\mathbf{Q}}^*.$$

As R_n is stable under the action of Galois, the action of a *field* automorphism on F_n is completely determined by its action as a *group* automorphism on the group of radicals R_n . This gives rise to a natural injection of finite groups

$$\text{Gal}(F_n/\mathbf{Q}) \longrightarrow A(n) = \text{Aut}_{R_n \cap \mathbf{Q}^*}(R_n).$$

The union $R_\infty = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} R_n$ of all radical groups generates an infinite algebraic extension $F_\infty = \mathbf{Q}(R_\infty)$ of \mathbf{Q} , and we may take projective limits on both sides of the map above to obtain the injective group homomorphism

$$(1.5) \quad G = \text{Gal}(F_\infty/\mathbf{Q}) \longrightarrow A = \text{Aut}_{R_\infty \cap \mathbf{Q}^*}(R_\infty)$$

from the Introduction. Note that the profinite groups G and A each come equipped with their Krull topology, and that the injection (1.5) is an injection of *topological* groups.

As $R_1 = \langle r \rangle$ is free of rank 1, we have

$$(2.1) \quad R_\infty \cap \mathbf{Q}^* = \langle r_0 \rangle \times \langle -1 \rangle$$

for a rational number $r_0 \neq 0, \pm 1$ that is uniquely determined up to sign, and up to inversion. We fix the exponent by writing $r = \pm r_0^e$, with $e > 0$ the index of the subgroup $\langle r \rangle \times \langle -1 \rangle$ inside $\langle r_0 \rangle \times \langle -1 \rangle$. If e is odd, or r is positive, it is possible to choose the sign of r_0 in such a way that we have $r = r_0^e$. In the *twisted case* however, where $-r$ is a square and $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{r}) = \mathbf{Q}(i)$ the Gaussian number field, r itself is not an e -th power, and we have $r = -r_0^e$. As we will see in the Sections 4–6, this twisted case often needs special attention in explicit computations.

The group R_∞ contains the group $\mu_\infty = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} \mu_n(\overline{\mathbf{Q}})$ of roots of unity as a subgroup. As $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}^*$ is a divisible group, we can extend the group embedding $\mathbf{Z} \xrightarrow{\sim} \langle r_0 \rangle \subset \overline{\mathbf{Q}}^*$ defined by $1 \mapsto r_0$ to an embedding $\mathbf{Q} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{Q}}^*$. Note that this amounts to giving a section for the natural map $R_\infty \rightarrow R_\infty/\mu_\infty \cong \mathbf{Q}$. Given r_0 , we fix such an embedding $q \mapsto r_0^q$. We can then write $r_0^{\mathbf{Q}}$ for its image in $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}^*$, and $r_0^{1/n}$ for the n -th root of r_0 in this image. With this notation, we have

$$(2.2) \quad R_\infty = r_0^{\mathbf{Q}} \times \mu_\infty.$$

The automorphism group $A = \text{Aut}_{R_\infty \cap \mathbf{Q}^*}(R_\infty)$ comes with a natural restriction map $A \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mu_\infty)$ that is continuous and surjective, and that admits a continuous left inverse: extend the action to be the identity on $r_0^{\mathbf{Q}}$. As an automorphism σ of R_∞ that is the identity on μ_∞ is determined by the values $\sigma(r_0^{1/n})/r_0^{1/n} \in \mu_n(\overline{\mathbf{Q}})$ for $n \geq 1$, we deduce from (2.1) that the lower row in the commutative diagram of topological groups below is a split exact sequence describing A :

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & \text{Gal}(F_\infty/\mathbf{Q}_{\text{ab}}) & \longrightarrow & G & \longrightarrow & \text{Gal}(\mathbf{Q}_{\text{ab}}/\mathbf{Q}) \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow (1.5) & & \downarrow \wr \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}(r_0^{\mathbf{Q}}/r_0^{\mathbf{Z}}, \mu_\infty) & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & \text{Aut}(\mu_\infty) \longrightarrow 1. \end{array}$$

The upper row is exact by Galois theory, and the right vertical isomorphism reflects the fact that the maximal cyclotomic extension $\mathbf{Q}(\mu_\infty)$ of \mathbf{Q} is the maximal abelian extension \mathbf{Q}_{ab} of \mathbf{Q} , which has Galois group $\text{Gal}(\mathbf{Q}_{\text{ab}}/\mathbf{Q}) = \text{Aut}(\mu_\infty)$. As all automorphisms of μ_∞ are exponentiations, $\text{Aut}(\mu_\infty)$ is isomorphic to the unit group $\widehat{\mathbf{Z}}^*$ of the profinite completion $\widehat{\mathbf{Z}} = \varprojlim_n (\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z})$ of \mathbf{Z} .

We see that, in order to understand G as a subgroup of A , we need to identify the image of the Kummer map

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Gal}(F_\infty/\mathbf{Q}_{\text{ab}}) &\longrightarrow \text{Hom}(r_0^{\mathbf{Q}}/r_0^{\mathbf{Z}}, \mu_\infty) \\ \sigma &\longmapsto [r_0^x \mapsto (r_0^x)^{\sigma-1}]. \end{aligned}$$

By Kummer theory, this image is the abelian group dual to $r_0^{\mathbf{Q}}/(r_0^{\mathbf{Q}} \cap \mathbf{Q}_{\text{ab}}^*)$.

2.3. Lemma. *We have $r_0^{\mathbf{Q}} \cap \mathbf{Q}_{\text{ab}}^* = r_0^{\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{Z}}$, and G is an open subgroup of A of index 2.*

Proof. The equality for $r_0^{\mathbf{Q}} \cap \mathbf{Q}_{\text{ab}}^*$ amounts to saying that the largest integer k for which the splitting field of $X^k - r_0$ is abelian over \mathbf{Q} equals 2. As neither r_0 nor $-r_0$ is a perfect power in \mathbf{Q}^* , this is an immediate corollary of Schinzel's theorem on abelian binomials [12, Theorem 2];

13], which implies that this splitting field is abelian if and only if $r_0^{\#\mu_k(\mathbf{Q})} = r_0^2$ is a k -th power in \mathbf{Q}^* .

The group $r_0^{\mathbf{Q}}/r_0^{\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{Z}}$ is the quotient of $r_0^{\mathbf{Q}}/r_0^{\mathbf{Z}}$ obtained by dividing out its unique subgroup of order 2, which is generated by $r_0^{1/2} \bmod r_0^{\mathbf{Z}}$. Its μ_∞ -dual is the open subgroup $\text{Hom}(r_0^{\mathbf{Q}}/r_0^{(1/2)\mathbf{Z}}, \mu_\infty)$ of index 2 in $\text{Hom}(r_0^{\mathbf{Q}}/r_0^{\mathbf{Z}}, \mu_\infty)$, and we conclude that G is open and of index 2 in A . \square

Lemma 2.3 actually yields an explicit description of the subgroup $G \subset A$ that arises as the Galois group of F_∞ over \mathbf{Q} . The group $\text{Hom}(r_0^{\mathbf{Q}}/r_0^{\mathbf{Z}}, \mu_\infty) \cong \text{Hom}(\mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}, \mu_\infty)$ can be viewed as the Tate module

$$(2.4) \quad \widehat{\mu} = \lim_{\leftarrow n} \mu_n = \text{Hom}(\lim_{\rightarrow n} (\frac{1}{n}\mathbf{Z}/\mathbf{Z}), \mu_\infty) = \text{Hom}(\mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}, \mu_\infty)$$

of the multiplicative group. It is a free module of rank 1 over $\widehat{\mathbf{Z}}$, and the natural action of $\text{Aut}(\mu_\infty) = \widehat{\mathbf{Z}}^*$ on $\text{Hom}(\mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}, \mu_\infty)$ via the second argument is simply the $\widehat{\mathbf{Z}}^*$ -multiplication we have on the $\widehat{\mathbf{Z}}$ -module $\widehat{\mu}$.

From the split exact sequence for A , we see that A is the semidirect product

$$(2.5) \quad A = \text{Hom}(r_0^{\mathbf{Q}}/r_0^{\mathbf{Z}}, \mu_\infty) \rtimes \text{Aut}(\mu_\infty) = \widehat{\mu} \rtimes \widehat{\mathbf{Z}}^*,$$

which is isomorphic to the affine group $\widehat{\mathbf{Z}} \rtimes \widehat{\mathbf{Z}}^*$ over $\widehat{\mathbf{Z}}$.

The subgroup $G \subset A$ is an extension of $\widehat{\mathbf{Z}}^*$ by $\widehat{\mu}^2 \cong \widehat{\mu}$, but this extension is non-split: if it were, the closure of the commutator subgroup of $G = \text{Gal}(F_\infty/\mathbf{Q})$ would be of index 2 in $\text{Gal}(F_\infty/\mathbf{Q}_{\text{ab}})$, contradicting the fact that \mathbf{Q}_{ab} is the maximal abelian extension of \mathbf{Q} .

By (2.2), the field $F_\infty = \mathbf{Q}(R_\infty)$ is the compositum of $\mathbf{Q}(r_0^{\mathbf{Q}})$ and $\mathbf{Q}(\mu_\infty) = \mathbf{Q}_{\text{ab}}$, and the embedding $G \subset A$, with A in the explicit form (2.5), amounts to a description of the field automorphisms of F_∞ in terms of their action on these constituents. The index 2 of G in A reflects the fact that by Lemma 2.3, the intersection of $\mathbf{Q}(r_0^{\mathbf{Q}})$ and \mathbf{Q}_{ab} is not $\mathbf{Q}(r_0) = \mathbf{Q}$, but the quadratic field $K = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{r_0})$. This implies that an element $(\phi, \sigma) \in A$ is in G if and only if $\phi \in \text{Hom}(r_0^{\mathbf{Q}}/r_0^{\mathbf{Z}}, \mu_\infty)$ and $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\mu_\infty) = \text{Gal}(\mathbf{Q}_{\text{ab}}/\mathbf{Q})$ act in a compatible way on $\sqrt{r_0} = r_0^{1/2} \in \mathbf{Q}_{\text{ab}}$:

$$\phi(r_0^{1/2}) = (r_0^{1/2})^{\sigma-1} \in \mu_2.$$

In words: an automorphism of the multiplicative group of radicals R_∞ induces an automorphism of the field $F_\infty = \mathbf{Q}(R_\infty)$ if and only if it respects the *additive* identity expressing $\sqrt{r_0} = r_0^{1/2}$ as a \mathbf{Q} -linear combination of roots of unity with rational coefficients. We can phrase this slightly more formally by saying that $G \subset A$ is the difference kernel of two distinct quadratic characters $\psi_K, \chi_K : A \rightarrow \mu_2$ related to the *entanglement field* $K = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{r_0})$.

The first quadratic character $\psi_K : A \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$ describes the action on the generator $r_0^{1/2}$ of K in terms of the ϕ -component of $a = (\phi, \sigma) \in A$:

$$(2.6) \quad \psi_K(a) = \phi(r_0^{1/2}) \in \mu_2.$$

Note that ψ_K is indeed a character on A , as $\text{Aut}(\mu_\infty)$ acts trivially on $\mu_2 = \{\pm 1\}$. It is a *non-cyclotomic* character on A , i.e., it does not factor via the natural map $A \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mu_\infty)$. The second character

$$\chi_K = \left(\frac{r_0}{\cdot} \right) : A \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mu_\infty) = \widehat{\mathbf{Z}}^* \rightarrow \mu_2$$

is a cyclotomic character on A , of conductor $d = \text{disc}(K)$, associated to the entanglement field $K = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{r_0})$. It factors via the quotient $(\mathbf{Z}/d\mathbf{Z})^*$ of the cyclotomic component $\text{Aut}(\mu_\infty) = \widehat{\mathbf{Z}}^*$ of A , on which it can be viewed as a Dirichlet character. Its value in $a \in (\mathbf{Z}/d\mathbf{Z})^*$ is given by the Kronecker symbol $\left(\frac{r_0}{a}\right)$ corresponding to K .

2.7. Theorem. *Let $K = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{r_0})$ and $\psi_K, \chi_K : A \rightarrow \mu_2$ be defined as above. Then the natural map (1.5) identifies $G = \text{Gal}(F_\infty/\mathbf{Q})$ with the subgroup of A of index 2 that arises as the kernel of the quadratic character*

$$\psi_K \cdot \chi_K : A \rightarrow \mu_2. \quad \square$$

From the description in (2.5) or, more canonically, from the fact that automorphisms of R_∞ over $R_\infty \cap \mathbf{Q}^*$ can be given in terms of their action on prime power radicals, it is clear that A admits a natural decomposition

$$(2.8) \quad A = \prod_{p \text{ prime}} A_p,$$

with A_p the group of automorphisms of the group $R_{p^\infty} = \bigcup_{k \geq 1} R_{p^k}$ of p -power radicals that restrict to the identity on $R_{p^\infty} \cap \mathbf{Q}^*$.

The character ψ_K in Theorem 2.7 factors via the component A_2 of A . The other character χ_K can be decomposed in the standard way for Dirichlet characters as a product

$$\chi_K = \prod_p \chi_{K,p}$$

of quadratic characters

$$(2.9) \quad \chi_{K,p} : A \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mu_\infty) = \widehat{\mathbf{Z}}^* \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_p^* \rightarrow \mu_2$$

of p -power conductor that are non-trivial exactly for primes p dividing $d = \text{disc}(K)$. For odd primes $p|d$, the character $\chi_{K,p}$ is a lift to A of the Legendre symbol at p . For d even, the character $\chi_{K,2}$ is a lift to A of one of the three non-trivial Dirichlet characters of conductor dividing 8. Note that $\chi_{K,p}$ factors via A_p for all p .

2.10. Remarks. 1. As the rational number r_0 in (2.1) is only determined up to sign, the entanglement field $K = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{r_0})$ in Theorem 2.7 is only unique up to twisting by the cyclotomic character $\chi_{-4} : A \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mu_\infty) = \widehat{\mathbf{Z}}^* \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$ giving the action on $i = \sqrt{-1}$. In other words, G is *also* the subgroup of A on which the quadratic characters $\psi_{K'} = \psi_K \cdot \chi_{-4}$ and $\chi_{K'} = \chi_K \cdot \chi_{-4}$ related to $K' = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-r_0})$ coincide. Indeed, as χ_{-4} is quadratic, the two products $\psi_{K'} \cdot \chi_{K'}$ and $\psi_K \cdot \chi_K$ defining G are equal.

2. For odd p , one may identify the p -component A_p of A with the Galois group of $F_{p^\infty} = \mathbf{Q}(R_{p^\infty})$ over \mathbf{Q} . For $p = 2$, this is only true if we are not in the special case where the entanglement field $K = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{r_0})$ equals $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{\pm 2})$, and $\psi_K \cdot \chi_K$ factors via A_2 . In non-special cases, there is a true ‘entanglement’ of the extensions F_{p^∞} in the sense that the character $\psi_K \cdot \chi_K$ in Theorem 2.7 that determines G as a subgroup of A is non-trivial on more than one prime component A_p . In the special case $K = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{\pm 2})$, we have $d = \pm 8$ and there is no entanglement at the level of Galois groups; we do however have $G = G_2 \times \prod_{p > 2} A_p$ for a subgroup $G_2 \subset A_2$ of index 2.

3. As we saw in (2.5), the semidirect product $A = \widehat{\mu} \rtimes \widehat{\mathbf{Z}}^*$ is a split extension of $\widehat{\mathbf{Z}}^*$ by the multiplicative Tate module $\widehat{\mu}$ from (2.4). The subgroup $G \subset A$ ‘cut out’ by r_0 in the sense of Theorem 2.7 is not. It is a *non-split* extension of $\widehat{\mathbf{Z}}^*$ by the subgroup $\widehat{\mu}^2 \subset \widehat{\mu}$ of index 2, which is again isomorphic to $\widehat{\mu}$. Even though this is not directly relevant for us, one may wonder which non-split extensions

$$\varepsilon_{r_0} : \quad 1 \rightarrow \widehat{\mu} \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathbf{Z}}^* \rightarrow 1$$

of profinite groups are provided by the Galois groups $G = \text{Gal}(F_\infty/\mathbf{Q})$ for various choices of $r_0 \in \mathbf{Q}^*/\{\pm 1\}$. The answer is that *every* non-split extension of $\widehat{\mathbf{Z}}^*$ by $\widehat{\mu}$ arises in this way, for a quadratic field $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{r_0})$ that is unique up to twisting by χ_{-4} in the sense of Remark 2.10.1. A more formal way to phrase this consists in the construction of an isomorphism

$$\mathbf{Q}^*/(\{\pm 1\} \cdot (\mathbf{Q}^*)^2) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^2(\widehat{\mathbf{Z}}^*, \widehat{\mu})$$

under which $\pm r_0 \mathbf{Q}^{*2}$ corresponds to the class of the extension ε_{r_0} in a continuous cochain cohomology group $H^2(\widehat{\mathbf{Z}}^*, \widehat{\mu})$ that describes profinite group extensions in the spirit of [11, Theorem 6.8.4]. Such a construction can be given by standard arguments considering the $\widehat{\mathbf{Z}}^*$ -cohomology of the sequence $1 \rightarrow \widehat{\mu} \xrightarrow{\square} \widehat{\mu} \rightarrow \mu_2 \rightarrow 1$ describing multiplication by 2 on $\widehat{\mu}$, but one first has to establish the necessary formal properties of continuous cochain cohomology groups $H^q(G, A)$ for profinite rather than simply discrete G -modules A . This is achieved in the Leiden master thesis [3] of Abtjen Javanpeykar.

3. ENTANGLEMENT CORRECTION USING CHARACTER SUMS

The automorphism group A and each of its components A_p in (2.8) are infinite profinite groups that naturally come with a topology and a Haar measure. The quadratic character $\psi_K \cdot \chi_K$ in Theorem 2.7 is continuous on A with respect to this topology, and G is an open subgroup of A of index 2. We normalize the Haar measure ν_p on the compact groups A_p by putting $\nu_p(A_p) = 1$; this makes the product measure $\nu = \prod_p \nu_p$ into a normalized Haar measure on A .

Densities for Artin-like primitive root problems (in one generator over \mathbf{Q}) arise as fractions $\delta(S) = \nu(G \cap S)/\nu(G)$ of ‘good’ Frobenius elements inside the Galois group $G = \text{Gal}(F_\infty/\mathbf{Q})$ of Theorem 2.7. Here

$$S = \prod_p S_p \subset \prod_p A_p = A$$

is some measurable subset of A that is defined componentwise at each prime p . Usually S_p is the inverse image of some finite set $\overline{S}_p \subset \overline{A}_p$ under a continuous map $A_p \rightarrow \overline{A}_p$ to a finite discrete group \overline{A}_p . A frequently encountered example is, for P a power of p , the restriction map

$$(3.1) \quad \varphi_P : A_p \longrightarrow A(P) = \text{Aut}_{R_P \cap \mathbf{Q}^*}(R_P).$$

Note that unlike R_∞ , the group R_P of all P -th roots of $\langle r \rangle$ depends on r , not just on r_0 . For Artin’s original conjecture, the condition at p on the Frobenius element is that it is non-trivial on the field $F_p = \mathbf{Q}(R_p) = \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_p, \sqrt[p]{r})$ from (1.1), so we take

$$\varphi_p : A_p \longrightarrow A(p) = \text{Aut}_{R_p \cap \mathbf{Q}^*}(R_p)$$

with $\overline{S}_p = A(p) \setminus \{1\}$, and put

$$(3.2) \quad S_p = A_p \setminus \ker \varphi_p = \varphi_p^{-1}[\overline{S}_p].$$

As φ_p is surjective and $A(p) \cong \text{Gal}(F_p/\mathbf{Q})$ has order $[F_p : \mathbf{Q}]$, the subset $S_p \subset A_p$ has measure $\nu_p(S_p) = 1 - [F_p : \mathbf{Q}]^{-1}$. Thus, in this case $S = \prod_p S_p$ has measure

$$\nu(S) = \prod_p \nu_p(S_p) = \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{1}{[F_p : \mathbf{Q}]}\right)$$

equal to the constant $A(r)$ occurring in (1.2). The entanglement correction factor $E(r)$ in (1.4) is the factor by which $\delta(S) = \nu(G \cap S)/\nu(G)$ is different from $\nu(S) = \nu(S)/\nu(A)$ for the subgroup $G \subset A$ of index 2 described by Theorem 2.7. Such entanglement correction factors can be computed in great generality from the following theorem.

3.3. Theorem. *Let $A = \prod_p A_p$ be as in (2.8), with Haar measure $\nu = \prod_p \nu_p$, and $\chi = \prod_p \chi_p : A \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$ a non-trivial character obtained from a family of continuous quadratic characters $\chi_p : A_p \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$, with χ_p trivial for almost all primes p . Then for $G = \ker \chi$ and $S = \prod_p S_p$ a product of ν_p -measurable subsets $S_p \subset A_p$ with $\nu_p(S_p) > 0$, we have*

$$\delta(S) = \frac{\nu(G \cap S)}{\nu(G)} = \left(1 + \prod_p E_p\right) \cdot \frac{\nu(S)}{\nu(A)},$$

with $E_p = E_p(S) = \frac{1}{\nu_p(S_p)} \int_{S_p} \chi_p d\nu_p$ the average value of χ_p on S_p .

Proof. We assume that $\nu(S) = \prod_p \nu_p(S_p)$ is positive, as the theorem trivially holds for $\nu(S) = 0$. We compute $\nu(G \cap S)$ by integrating the characteristic function $(1 + \chi)/2$ of G over the subset $S \subset A$ with respect to ν . As we have $\nu(G) = \frac{1}{2}\nu(A)$ by the non-triviality of χ , we obtain

$$\frac{\nu(G \cap S)}{\nu(G)} = \frac{1}{\nu(A)} \int_S (1 + \chi) d\nu = \frac{\nu(S)}{\nu(A)} \cdot \left(1 + \frac{1}{\nu(S)} \int_S \chi d\nu\right).$$

Now $\nu(S)$ equals $\prod_p \nu_p(S_p)$, and the integral of $\chi = \prod_p \chi_p$ over $S = \prod_p S_p$ is the product of the values $\int_{S_p} \chi_p d\nu_p$ for all p . \square

3.4. Corollary. *For a set S of positive measure, the density $\delta(S)$ in 3.3 vanishes if and only if there exists a sequence $\{\varepsilon_p\}_p$ of signs $\varepsilon_p \in \{\pm 1\}$, almost all equal to 1, such that we have $\prod_p \varepsilon_p = -1$, and $\chi_p = \varepsilon_p$ almost everywhere on S_p .*

Proof. Suppose we have $\delta(S) = 0$ and $\nu(S) > 0$. This amounts to saying that the product $\prod_p E_p$, which is finite as we have $E_p = 1$ for all p at which χ_p is trivial, equals -1 . As every E_p is the average value of a quadratic character on S_p , it is a real number in $[-1, 1]$. It equals 1 (or -1) if and only if χ_p is ν_p -almost everywhere equal to 1 (or -1) on S_p . Thus, $\prod_p E_p = -1$ occurs if and only if the conditions listed are satisfied. \square

For the Galois group $G = \text{Gal}(F_\infty/\mathbf{Q}) \subset A$ from Theorem 2.7, we are in the situation of Theorem 3.3 in view of (2.9): take $\chi = \prod_p \chi_p = \psi_K \cdot \chi_K$ with

$$(3.5) \quad \chi_p = \begin{cases} \psi_K \cdot \chi_{K,2} & \text{for } p = 2; \\ \chi_{K,p} & \text{for } p > 2. \end{cases}$$

The characters $\chi_{K,2}$ and ψ_K cannot coincide on A , as $\chi_{K,2}$ factors via the cyclotomic component $\widehat{\mathbf{Z}}^*$ of A in (2.5), whereas ψ_K does not. It follows that χ_2 is always non-trivial. Note also

that, just as in Remark 2.10.1, the character χ_2 is unchanged if we replace $K = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{r_0})$ by $K' = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-r_0})$.

3.6. Remark. As we noticed in Remark 2.10.2, it can happen in the situation of Theorem 3.3 that all χ_p 's but one character χ_q are trivial. In this case we have $G = G_q \times \prod_{p \neq q} A_p$ for some subgroup $G_q \subset A_q$ of index 2, and $G \cap S$ will be the same for all subsets $S_q \subset A_q$ having the same intersection $S'_q = S_q \cap G_q$. The correction factor $1 + \prod_p E_p = 1 + E_q$ does however depend on S_q , not only on S'_q . This is not a contradiction, since we can write $S_q = S'_q \cup S''_q$ as a disjoint union with $S''_q = S_q \cap (A_q \setminus G_q)$, and observe that the right hand side in Theorem 3.3 equals

$$\begin{aligned} \left(1 + \prod_p E_p\right) \frac{\nu(S)}{\nu(A)} &= \frac{1}{\nu(A)} \left(\nu_q(S_q) + \int_{S_q} \chi_q d\nu_q \right) \prod_{p \neq q} \nu_p(S_p) \\ &= \frac{1}{\nu(A)} \left(\nu_q(S_q) + \nu_q(S'_q) - \nu_q(S''_q) \right) \prod_{p \neq q} \nu_p(S_p) \\ &= \frac{1}{\nu(G)} \nu_q(S'_q) \prod_{p \neq q} \nu_p(S_p), \end{aligned}$$

in accordance with the fact that we have $G \cap S = S'_q \times \prod_{p \neq q} S_p$.

4. ARTIN'S CONJECTURE

Theorems 2.7 and 3.3 reduce the computation of the correction factors occurring in many Artin-like problems to fairly mechanical computations. For Artin's original problem, which only takes a rational number $r \in \mathbf{Q}^* \setminus \{\pm 1\}$ as its input, we already noticed in (3.2) that each subset $S_p \subset A_p$ of 'good' Frobenius elements at p equals $S_p = A_p \setminus \ker \varphi_p$ for the natural map

$$\varphi_p : A_p \rightarrow A(p) = \text{Aut}_{R_p \cap \mathbf{Q}^*}(R_p) \cong \text{Gal}(F_p/\mathbf{Q}).$$

This gives rise to the *Artin set* $S = S(r) = \prod_p S_p$, which has (normalized) measure $\nu(S) = A(r)$ inside A given by (1.2). We have $\nu(S) = 0$ if and only if r is a square in \mathbf{Q}^* ; in this case, S is empty as we have $S_2 = \emptyset$.

To recover the correction factor $E(r)$ from (1.4) for non-square r , we need to compute the entanglement correction factor $1 + \prod_p E_p$ established in Theorem 3.3. As $S_p = A_p \setminus \ker \varphi_p$ is the set-theoretic difference of a group and a subgroup, the average value

$$E_p = \frac{1}{\nu(S_p)} \left[\int_{A_p} \chi_p d\nu_p - \int_{\ker \varphi_p} \chi_p d\nu_p \right]$$

of χ_p on S_p can only have three possible values, depending on the nature of χ_p . If χ_p is trivial, we obviously have $E_p = 1$. If χ_p is non-trivial on $\ker \varphi_p$, and therefore on S_p , we get $E_p = 0$ as both integrals, being integrals of a non-trivial character over a group, vanish. The interesting case is where χ_p is trivial on $\ker \varphi_p$ but not on A_p , and E_p assumes the value

$$(4.1) \quad E_p = \frac{-\nu_p(\ker \varphi_p)}{\nu_p(S_p)} = \frac{-[F_p : \mathbf{Q}]^{-1}}{1 - [F_p : \mathbf{Q}]^{-1}} = \frac{-1}{[F_p : \mathbf{Q}] - 1}.$$

As we have $[F_p : \mathbf{Q}] = p - 1$ if r is a p -th power in \mathbf{Q}^* , and $[F_p : \mathbf{Q}] = p^2 - p$ otherwise, these E_p are exactly the factors that occur in the correction factor $E(r)$ in (1.4). Putting things together, we obtain the density correction for Artin's primitive root conjecture, as follows.

4.2. Theorem. *Let $r \neq -1$ be a non-square rational number, $G \subset A$ as in Theorem 2.7, and $S = S(r) \subset A$ the Artin set defined above. Then S has measure $A(r)$ given by (1.2), and we have*

$$\delta(S) = \frac{\nu(G \cap S)}{\nu(G)} = E(r) \cdot A(r)$$

for an entanglement correction factor $E(r)$ that has the value 1 if $D = \text{disc}(\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{r}))$ is even, and the value

$$E(r) = 1 - \prod_{p|D} \frac{-1}{[F_p : \mathbf{Q}] - 1}$$

from (1.4) if D is odd.

Proof. We apply Theorem 3.3, with $\chi = \psi_K \cdot \chi_K$ the character from Theorem 2.7. Here we have $K = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{r_0})$, with $r = \pm r_0^e$ defined as in (2.1). As we know already that S has measure $A(r)$, we only have to compute the factors E_p occurring in the correction factor $E(r) = 1 + \prod_p E_p$. In our case, E_p is the average value of the character χ_p from (3.5) on the set $S_p = A_p \setminus \ker \varphi_p$ from (3.2).

Suppose first that we are *not* in the twisted case where $-r$ is a square. Then we can take $r = r_0^e$ with e odd, and the fields $F_2 = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{r})$ and $K = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{r_0})$ coincide. The character $\chi = \prod_p \chi_p$ has non-trivial p -components only at $p = 2$ and at the odd primes p dividing $D = \text{disc}(K)$. At odd primes $p|D$, the Legendre symbol χ_p is trivial on $\ker \varphi_p$ but not on A_p , so E_p is given by (4.1). At $p = 2$, the non-trivial character $\chi_2 = \psi_K \cdot \chi_{K,2}$ on A_2 equals $\chi_{K,2}$ on $\ker \varphi_2 = \ker \psi_K$. If D is odd, it is trivial on $\ker \varphi_2$ and we find $E_2 = -1$ from (4.1), yielding $E(r)$ as stated. If D is even, $\chi_{K,2}$ and therefore χ_2 are non-trivial on $\ker \varphi_2$, since φ_2 is non-cyclotomic; we find $E_2 = 0$ and $E(r) = 1$.

In the twisted case $D = -4$ the field $F_2 = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{r}) = \mathbf{Q}(i)$ is different from $K = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{r_0})$, and the character $\varphi_2 = \chi_{-4}$ is cyclotomic but $\chi_2 = \psi_K \cdot \chi_{K,2}$ is not. This implies that χ_2 is non-trivial on $\ker \varphi_2$, so we have $E_2 = 0$ and $E(r) = 1$. \square

The preceding proof is remarkably simple in comparison with the original derivation of (1.4) from (1.3) in [2]. The next two sections show that this character sum analysis generalizes well to more complicated settings.

5. PRIMES IN ARITHMETIC PROGRESSIONS WITH PRESCRIBED PRIMITIVE ROOT

For a non-square rational number r as in Theorem 4.2, which is (under GRH) a primitive root modulo the primes q in a set of positive density, we now ask what this density becomes if we restrict to primes q that lie in a prescribed arithmetic progression. Thus, on input of r , a positive integer f and an integer a coprime to f , we want to prove the analogue of Theorem 4.2 for the set $S = S(r, a \bmod f) \subset A$ corresponding to collection of primes

$$(5.1) \quad \{q \text{ prime} : q \equiv a \bmod f \text{ and } r \text{ is a primitive root modulo } q\}.$$

The additional congruence condition $q \equiv a \bmod f$ is a condition on the Frobenius of q in the cyclotomic field $\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_f) \subset F_\infty = \mathbf{Q}(R_\infty)$. In order to formulate it ‘primewise’ at primes dividing f , we use the natural maps

$$\rho_p : A_p = \text{Aut}_{R_{p^\infty} \cap \mathbf{Q}^*}(R_{p^\infty}) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mu_{p^\infty}) = \mathbf{Z}_p^* \rightarrow (\mathbf{Z}_p/f\mathbf{Z}_p)^*$$

and take the congruence condition into account by replacing the primitive root set $A_p \setminus \ker \varphi_p$ at p from (3.2) by its intersection

$$(5.2) \quad S_p = (A_p \setminus \ker \varphi_p) \cap \rho_p^{-1}(a \bmod f\mathbf{Z}_p)$$

with the congruence set $\rho_p^{-1}(\bar{a}) = \rho_p^{-1}(a \bmod f\mathbf{Z}_p)$. In other words, we map A_p to a finite group by the homomorphism

$$(5.3) \quad \varphi_p \times \rho_p : A_p \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{R_p \cap \mathbf{Q}^*}(R_p) \times (\mathbf{Z}_p/f\mathbf{Z}_p)^*,$$

and let S_p be the inverse image

$$S_p = (\varphi_p \times \rho_p)^{-1} [(\text{Aut}_{R_p \cap \mathbf{Q}^*}(R_p) \setminus \{1\}) \times \{a \bmod f\mathbf{Z}_p\}].$$

Note that we have $S_p = A_p \setminus \ker \varphi_p$ at primes $p \nmid f$. With S_p defined as in (5.2), a prime $q > f$ that is coprime to the numerator and denominator of r and for which $\text{Frob}_q \in \text{Gal}(\mathbf{Q}(R_{p^\infty})/\mathbf{Q}) \subset A_p$ lies in S_p for all primes $p < q$ will have r as a primitive root *and* lie in the residue class $a \bmod f$.

For the rest of this section, we suppose that we are given coprime integers $a, f \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 1}$ and a *non-square* rational number r , and that S_p is as defined in (5.2). In this way, $S = \prod_p S_p \subset A$ corresponds to the set of primes q in (5.1).

5.4. Lemma. *Let S be as defined above, and put*

$$A(r, a \bmod f) = \frac{1}{\phi(f)} \prod_{p \mid \gcd(a-1, f)} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \cdot \prod_{p \nmid f} \left(1 - \frac{1}{[F_p : \mathbf{Q}]}\right),$$

with $F_p = \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_p, \sqrt[p]{r})$ as in (1.1), and ϕ the Euler ϕ -function.

1. *Suppose $-r$ is not a square. Then S is non-empty if and only if r is not a p -th power for any prime p dividing $\gcd(a-1, f)$. In the non-empty case, its measure equals $\nu(S) = A(r, a \bmod f)$.*
2. *Suppose $-r$ is a square. Then S is non-empty if and only if the two conditions*
 - (i) *r is not a p -th power for any prime p dividing $\gcd(a-1, f)$;*
 - (ii) *$a \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ in case 4 divides f ;**are satisfied. In the non-empty case, its measure equals $\nu(S) = 2A(r, a \bmod f)$ if 4 divides f , and $\nu(S) = A(r, a \bmod f)$ otherwise.*

Proof. We have $\nu(S) = \prod_p \nu_p(S_p)$, and at primes $p \nmid f$ the set S_p has positive measure $\nu_p(S_p) = 1 - [F_p : \mathbf{Q}]^{-1}$.

Suppose p is an odd prime dividing f . Then the map $\varphi_p \times \rho_p$ in (5.3) is not surjective, as it maps A_p onto the fibred product of $\text{Aut}_{R_p \cap \mathbf{Q}^*}(R_p)$ and $(\mathbf{Z}_p/f\mathbf{Z}_p)^*$ over their common quotient $\text{Aut}(\mu_p) = (\mathbf{Z}_p/p\mathbf{Z}_p)^*$. For the measure of S_p , we have to distinguish two cases.

For $a \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, the subset $\rho_p^{-1}(\bar{a})$ of A_p is disjoint from $\ker \varphi_p$, so the congruence condition at p implies the primitive root condition at p , and $S_p = \rho_p^{-1}(\bar{a})$ has measure

$$(5.5) \quad \nu_p(S_p) = \nu_p(\rho_p^{-1}(\bar{a})) = (\#(\mathbf{Z}_p/f\mathbf{Z}_p)^*)^{-1} = \phi(f_p)^{-1}.$$

Here $f_p = p^{\text{ord}_p(f)}$ denotes the p -part of f .

For $a \equiv 1 \pmod p$, all elements in $\rho_p^{-1}(\bar{a})$ are the identity on μ_p , and we have two subcases. If $r \in \mathbf{Q}^*$ is a p -th power, then $\rho_p^{-1}(\bar{a})$ is contained in $\ker \varphi_p$ as we have $\text{Aut}_{R_p \cap \mathbf{Q}^*}(R_p) = \text{Aut}(\mu_p)$. In this case, S_p and therefore S are empty. In the other case, in which r is not a p -th power in \mathbf{Q}^* , the natural map $\text{Aut}_{R_p \cap \mathbf{Q}^*}(R_p) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mu_p)$ is p to 1, and we find

$$(5.6) \quad \nu_p(S_p) = \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \nu_p(\rho_p^{-1}(\bar{a})) = \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \cdot \phi(f_p)^{-1}.$$

For $p = 2$ dividing f , the map $\varphi_2 \times \rho_2$ is surjective in the case where $-r$ is not a square. This is because $\text{Aut}(\mu_p) = (\mathbf{Z}_p/p\mathbf{Z}_p)^*$ is trivial for $p = 2$, and the action of elements of A_2 on $\sqrt{r} \in R_2$ is in this case independent of their action on roots of unity of 2-power order. As a is now odd, we have $2 \mid \gcd(a-1, f)$, and $\nu_2(S_2)$ is given by (5.6) for $p = 2$ as r is not a square. This yields the non-twisted case 5.4.1, with $\nu(S) = \prod_p \nu_p(S_p)$ equal to $A(r, a \pmod f)$.

In the twisted case 5.4.2 where $-r$ is a square, the action of $\alpha \in A_2$ on $\sqrt{r} \in i \cdot \mathbf{Q}^*$ and on $\zeta_4 = i$ is ‘the same’, in the sense that we have $\alpha(\sqrt{r})/\sqrt{r} = \alpha(\zeta_4)/\zeta_4$. As all $\alpha \in S_2 \subset A_2 \setminus \ker \varphi_2$ satisfy $\alpha(\sqrt{r})/\sqrt{r} = -1 = \alpha(\zeta_4)/\zeta_4$, we find that, apart from the necessary condition in (i) for S to be non-empty, there is the second condition (ii) in case 4 divides f . In the case where we have $4 \mid f$ and $a \equiv 3 \pmod 4$, the congruence condition at 2 implies the primitive root condition at 2, and $\nu_2(S_2)$ is given by (5.5) instead of (5.6) for $p = 2$. Only in this special case, we obtain $\nu(S) = 2A(r, a \pmod f)$ instead of the ‘ordinary’ value $\nu(S) = A(r, a \pmod f)$. \square

With the computation of the ‘naive’ density $\nu(S)$ taken care of by Lemma 5.4, we can apply Theorem 3.3 to find the actual density $\delta(S) = \nu(G \cap S)/\nu(G)$ for the Galois group $G \subset A$ from Theorem 2.7. The resulting computation is of striking simplicity when compared to its original derivation by the second author [7, 8] from a formula analogous to (1.3). Under GRH, the fraction $\delta(S)$ obtained equals the density, inside the set of all primes, of the set of primes $q \equiv a \pmod f$ for which r is a primitive root.

5.7. Theorem. *Let $a, f \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 1}$ be coprime integers, $r \neq -1$ a non-square rational number that is not a p -th power for any prime $p \mid \gcd(a-1, f)$. Define the subset $S = \prod_p S_p \subset A$ associated to the set of primes in the residue class $a \pmod f$ for which r is a primitive root as in (5.2). Then we have*

$$\delta(S) = \frac{\nu(G \cap S)}{\nu(G)} = E \cdot A(r, a \pmod f)$$

for the Galois group $G \subset A$ from (1.5), with $A(r, a \pmod f)$ the Artin constant from Lemma 5.4, and the correction factor E equal to

$$E = 1 + E_2 \cdot \prod_{p \mid \gcd(D, f) \text{ odd}} \left(\frac{a}{p}\right) \cdot \prod_{p \mid D, p \nmid 2f} \frac{-1}{[F_p : \mathbf{Q}] - 1}.$$

Here D denotes the discriminant of $F_2 = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{r})$, and E_2 is given by

$$E_2 = \begin{cases} -\chi_{F_2, 2}(a) & \text{if } \text{ord}_2(D) \leq \text{ord}_2(f); \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Suppose first that we are *not* in the twisted case $D = -4$. Take $r = r_0^e$ with e odd, so the fields $K = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{r_0})$ from Theorem 2.7 and $F_2 = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{r})$ coincide. By the assumption on r ,

the naive density $\nu(S)/\nu(A)$ equals the constant $A(r, a \bmod f)$ from Lemma 5.4, and we can apply Theorem 3.3 for our set S and the characters χ_p from (3.5) to obtain the correction factor $E = 1 + \prod_p E_p$. This amounts to a local computation of E_p at each of the critical primes $p|2D$.

At primes $p \nmid 2f$ dividing D , the factors $E_p = -1/([F_p : \mathbf{Q}] - 1)$ coming from the Legendre symbol χ_p at p are the same as for Artin's conjecture in Theorem 4.2.

For the odd primes $p|\gcd(D, f)$, the Legendre symbol χ_p has constant value $\chi_p(a) = \left(\frac{a}{p}\right)$ on the congruence set $\rho_p^{-1}(\bar{a})$, and therefore on S_p . This yields $E_p = \left(\frac{a}{p}\right)$.

Finally, for $p = 2$, the character $\chi_2 = \psi_K \cdot \chi_{K,2} = \varphi_2 \cdot \chi_{F_2,2}$ equals $-\chi_{F_2,2}$ on $S_2 \subset A_2 \setminus \ker \varphi_2$. In the case $\text{ord}_2(D) \leq \text{ord}_2(f)$ it has constant value $-\chi_{F_2,2}(a)$ on $S_2 \subset \rho_2^{-1}(\bar{a})$, and we obtain $E_2 = -\chi_{F_2,2}(a)$. In the case $\text{ord}_2(D) > \text{ord}_2(f)$ the character $\chi_{F_2,2}$ is non-trivial on the subgroup $\ker \varphi_2 \cap \ker \rho_2 \subset A_2$. As S_2 is a finite union of cosets of this subgroup, we have $\int_{S_2} (-\chi_{F_2,2}) d\nu_2 = 0$, and $E_2 = 0$. This finishes the proof in the non-twisted case.

In the twisted case $D = -4$, the field $K = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{r_0})$ from Theorem 2.7 is different from $F_2 = \mathbf{Q}(i)$, and the correction factor in our theorem simply reads $E = 1 + E_2$.

If f is not divisible by 4, then ρ_2 is the trivial map, and we have $S_2 = A_2 \setminus \ker \varphi_2$ and $E_2 = 0$ as in Theorem 4.2. In this case we find $\delta(S) = A(r, a \bmod f)$.

If 4 divides f , we have $1 + E_2 = 1 - \chi_{F_2,2}(a) = 1 - \chi_{-4}(a)$. For $a \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ this factor vanishes, and we find $\delta(S) = 0$, in accordance with the fact that S is empty by 5.4.2. For $a \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, there is no entanglement correction as $\chi_2 = \psi_K \cdot \chi_{K,2}$ is non-trivial on the subgroup $\ker \varphi_2 \cap \ker \rho_2 \subset A_2$. We therefore have $\delta(S) = \nu(S) = 2A(r, a \bmod f)$ by 5.4.2, and the factor 2 is exactly what $E = 1 + E_2 = 2$ gives us. Note however that in this case, E is a correction for obtaining the right value of $\nu(S)$, not an entanglement correction factor. \square

As the Artin constant $A(r, a \bmod f)$ is non-zero, vanishing of the density $\delta(S)$ in Theorem 5.7 occurs if and only if the correction factor E vanishes, and $G \cap S$ is empty. It is easy to see when this happens.

5.8. Theorem. *The correction factor E in Theorem 5.7 vanishes if and only if we are in one of the two following cases:*

- (a) *the discriminant of $F_2 = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{r})$ divides f , and we have $\chi_{F_2}(a) = 1$;*
- (b) *r is a cube in \mathbf{Q}^* , the discriminant of $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{r})$ divides $3f$ but not f , and for $L = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-3r})$ we have $\chi_L(a) = -1$.*

Proof. The factor E in Theorem 5.7 does not vanish if there is a prime $p > 3$ that divides the discriminant D of $F_2 = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{r})$ but not f , since then we have $[F_p : \mathbf{Q}] - 1 \geq p - 2 > 1$. This leaves us with two cases in which it can vanish.

The first case arises when all odd primes in D divide f , and we have an equality $E = 1 + E_2 \prod_{p|D \text{ odd}} \left(\frac{a}{p}\right) = 0$. In this case E_2 equals $-\chi_{F_2,2}(a)$, so actually D divides f , and we have $E = 1 - \chi_{F_2}(a) = 0$. This is case (a), in which all primes congruent to $a \bmod f$ are split in $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{r})$, making r a quadratic residue modulo all but finitely many of these primes.

The second case arises if all odd primes in D divide f except the prime $p = 3$, which divides D but not f , and we have

$$E = 1 + E_2 \cdot \prod_{p|D/3 \text{ odd}} \left(\frac{a}{p}\right) \cdot \frac{-1}{[F_3 : \mathbf{Q}] - 1} = 0.$$

In this situation E_2 equals $-\chi_{F_2,2}(a)$, so D divides $3f$ but not f , and $[F_3 : \mathbf{Q}]$ equals 2, showing that r is a cube. The resulting equality is $E = 1 + \chi_L(a) = 0$, so we are in case (b). To understand

this case, we note that a cube can only be a primitive root modulo a prime $q \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$, and no prime q can be inert in all three quadratic subfields of $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{r}, \sqrt{-3r})$. \square

The vanishing result 5.8 already occurs in [4, Theorem 8.3], where it is said to follow from a ‘straightforward analysis’ in terms of Galois groups that is not further specified.

6. NEAR-PRIMITIVE ROOTS

In addition to $r \in \mathbf{Q}^* \setminus \{\pm 1\}$, we now let $t = \prod_p t_p \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 1}$ be a positive integer, with $t_p = p^{\text{ord}_p(t)}$ the p -component of t . We are interested in the density of the set of primes q for which r is a ‘near-primitive root’ in the sense that $r \pmod{q}$ generates a subgroup of \mathbf{F}_q^* of exact index t . For odd primes q coprime to numerator and denominator of r , the condition amounts to requiring that q split completely in the splitting field $F_t = \mathbf{Q}(R_t)$ of $X^t - r$, but not in any of the fields F_{pt} with p prime. Note that such primes q will be necessarily be congruent to 1 mod t .

In order to define the subset $S = \prod_p S_p \subset A$ for near-primitive roots of index t , we use the surjective restriction maps

$$(3.1) \quad \varphi_P : A_p \longrightarrow A(P) = \text{Aut}_{R_P \cap \mathbf{Q}^*}(R_P)$$

for p -powers P as defined in Section 3, and put

$$(6.1) \quad S_p = \ker \varphi_{t_p} \setminus \ker \varphi_{pt_p}.$$

Note that (6.1) reduces to (3.2) for $p \nmid t$, when we have $t_p = 1$. Just as in Section 4, S_p is the set-theoretic difference of a group and a subgroup.

6.2. Lemma. *Let P be a prime power, and write $r = \pm r_0^e$ as in (2.1). Then $A(P)$ has order $\phi(P) \cdot P/(P, e)$, unless we are in the twisted case $r = -r_0^e$ with $P > 1$ a 2-power dividing e , when the order is $2 \cdot \phi(P)$.*

Proof. We can describe the finite quotient $A(P)$ of A_p just as we described its infinite counterpart $A = \text{Aut}_{R_\infty \cap \mathbf{Q}^*}(R_\infty)$ in Section 2. Let $r^{1/P} \in \overline{\mathbf{Q}}$ be any root of the polynomial $X^P - r$. Then $R_P = \langle r^{1/P} \rangle \times \mu_P$ is the product of an infinite cyclic group and the finite group μ_P of P -th roots of unity, and its quotient

$$C_P = \frac{R_P}{\mu_P \cdot (R_P \cap \mathbf{Q}^*)}$$

is a finite cyclic group of order dividing P , generated by $r^{1/P} \pmod{\mu_P \cdot (R_P \cap \mathbf{Q}^*)}$. If P is not a 2-power, or we are not in the twisted case in which $-r$ is a square, then r is a (P, e) -th power in \mathbf{Q}^* , and C_P is of order $P/(P, e)$. If however $-r = r_0^e$ is a rational square and $P > 1$ a 2-power, $r^{1/P}$ is equal to a primitive $2P$ -th root of unity times $r_0^{e/P}$, and C_P has order $P/(P, e)$ only when P does not divide e . If it does divide e , the order is 2 and not $P/(P, e) = 1$.

Just as for A and A_p , we have an exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(C_P, \mu_P) \rightarrow A(P) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mu_P) \rightarrow 1$$

showing that $A(P)$ has order $\phi(P) \cdot \#C_P$. The result follows. \square

Using Lemma 6.2, it is straightforward to find the measure of S_p in (6.1), and the naive density for near-primitive roots.

6.3. Lemma. Write $r = \pm r_0^e$ as in (2.1). Then the measure of the set $S = \prod_p S_p$ defined by (6.1) is equal to

$$A(r, t) = \alpha_2 \cdot \frac{(t, e)}{t^2} \cdot \prod_{\substack{p|t \\ \text{ord}_p(e) \leq \text{ord}_p(t)}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right) \cdot \prod_{p|t} \left(1 - \frac{1}{[F_p : \mathbf{Q}]}\right),$$

where α_2 is defined by

$$\alpha_2 = \begin{cases} 1/2 & \text{if } -r \text{ is a square and } 0 < \text{ord}_2(t) \leq \text{ord}_2(e) - 1; \\ 1/3 & \text{if } -r \text{ is a square and } 0 < \text{ord}_2(t) = \text{ord}_2(e); \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. For primes p that do not divide t , we have the familiar Artin factors $\nu_p(S_p)$. For primes p dividing t , the factors when we are not in the twisted case with $p = 2$ become

$$\nu_p(S_p) = \frac{(t_p, e)}{\phi(t_p) \cdot t_p} - \frac{(pt_p, e)}{\phi(pt_p) \cdot pt_p} = \frac{(t_p, e)}{t_p^2} \cdot \begin{cases} (1 + \frac{1}{p}) & \text{if } \text{ord}_p(e) \leq \text{ord}_p(t); \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If 2 divides t and we are in the twisted case where $-r$ is a square, we need to correct the value for $\nu_2(S_2)$ given by the formula above in view of Lemma 6.2. A short computation shows that this leads to an extra factor 1/2 if $2t_2$ divides e , and to a factor 1/3 if t_2 but not $2t_2$ divides e . This is the factor α_2 . Taking the product of $\nu_p(S_p)$ over all p , we obtain the Artin constant $A(r, t)$. \square

6.4. Theorem. For $r \in \mathbf{Q}^*$ and $t = \prod_p t_p \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 1}$, define $S = \prod_p S_p \subset A$ associated to the set of primes for which r is a near-primitive root of index t as in (6.1). Then we have

$$\delta(S) = \frac{\nu(G \cap S)}{\nu(G)} = E \cdot A(r, t)$$

for the Galois group $G \subset A$ from (1.5), with $A(r, t)$ as in Lemma 6.3 and E equal to

$$E = 1 + E_2 \cdot \prod_{p| \text{disc}(K), p \nmid 2t} \frac{-1}{[F_p : \mathbf{Q}] - 1}.$$

Here we take $K = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{r_0})$ with $r = \pm r_0^e$ as in (2.1), and choose $r = r_0^e$ if e is odd. In terms of $e_2 = 2^{\text{ord}_2(e)}$ and $d_2 = 2^{\text{ord}_2(\text{disc}(K))}$, we have a quantity

$$s_2 = \begin{cases} \text{lcm}(2e_2, d_2) & \text{if } r = r_0^e; \\ 4 & \text{if } -r \text{ is a square and } (e_2, d_2) = (2, 8); \\ 4e_2 & \text{if } -r \text{ is a square and } (e_2, d_2) \neq (2, 8) \end{cases}$$

that determines the value E_2 by

$$E_2 = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } s_2 | t_2; \\ 0 & \text{if } s_2 \nmid 2t_2; \\ -1 & \text{if } s_2 = 2t_2 = 2; \\ -1 & \text{if } s_2 = 2t_2 = 4, -r \text{ is a square and } (e_2, d_2) = (2, 8); \\ -1/3 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. We already computed $\nu(S) = A(r, t)$ in Lemma 6.3, so by Theorem 3.3 we only need to check that $E = 1 + \prod_{p|2d} E_p$ has the indicated form, with $d = \text{disc}(K)$. Note that, even though the field $K = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{r_0})$ is only defined up to twisting (as in 2.10.1) by the cyclotomic character χ_{-4} , divisibility of d by odd primes p or by 8 are well-defined notions. Also, if e is odd, the equality $r = r_0^e$ does uniquely determine r_0 and K .

At primes $p|d$ that do not divide $2t$, the factors $E_p = -1/([F_p : \mathbf{Q}] - 1)$ are the same as in 4.1. At odd primes $p|\text{disc}(K)$ that do divide t , the Legendre symbol χ_p equals 1 on $\ker \varphi_{t_p}$, and therefore on S_p . This yields $E_p = 1$ for these p , and we obtain the desired expression

$$E = 1 + E_2 \cdot \prod_{p|\text{disc}(K), p \nmid 2t} \frac{-1}{[F_p : \mathbf{Q}] - 1},$$

with E_2 the average value of the character $\chi_2 = \psi_K \cdot \chi_{K,2} : A_2 \rightarrow \mu_2$ on the ‘difference of subgroups’ $S_2 = \ker \varphi_{t_2} \setminus \ker \varphi_{2t_2} \subset A_2$. In order to explicitly find E_2 , we first compute the smallest 2-power s_2 for which χ_2 is trivial on $\ker \varphi_{s_2}$.

By the definitions (2.6) and (3.1) of ψ_K and φ_{2^k} , their kernels are the subgroups of A_2 that pointwise stabilize $\langle r_0^{1/2} \rangle$ and R_{2^k} , respectively. It follows that ψ_K is trivial on $\ker \varphi_{2^k}$ if and only if the group $R_{2^k} \subset \overline{\mathbf{Q}}^*$ of 2^k -th roots of $\langle r \rangle$ contains an *odd* power of $r_0^{1/2}$. If we are in the untwisted case $r = r_0^e$, we have

$$(6.5) \quad R_{2^k} = \langle r_0^{e/2^k} \rangle \times \mu_{2^k}$$

and the smallest 2-power for which this happens is $2^k = 2e_2$. In the twisted case in which $-r$ is a square, we have

$$(6.6) \quad R_{2^k} = \langle \zeta_{2^{k+1}} r_0^{e/2^k} \rangle \times \mu_{2^k}$$

for a primitive 2^{k+1} -th root of unity $\zeta_{2^{k+1}}$, and this smallest 2-power is $2^k = 4e_2$.

For a cyclotomic character of 2-power conductor on A_2 such as $\chi_{K,2}$, it is clear that it is trivial on $\ker \varphi_{2^k}$ if and only if its conductor divides 2^k .

For $\alpha \in A_2$, the values $\psi_K(\alpha)$ and $\chi_{K,2}(\alpha)$ depend on the action of α on $r_0^{1/2}$ and on the d_2 -th roots of unity. Thus, ψ_K and $\chi_{K,2}$ respectively factor via the ‘Tate-module’ \mathbf{Z}_2 and the cyclotomic component \mathbf{Z}_2^* of $A_2 \cong \mathbf{Z}_2 \times \mathbf{Z}_2^*$ (cf. (2.5)). If we are in the untwisted case (6.5), then $\chi_2 = \psi_K \cdot \chi_{K,2}$ is trivial on the pointwise stabilizer $\ker \varphi_{2^k}$ of R_{2^k} if and only if each of ψ_K and $\chi_{K,2}$ is, and we find $s_2 = \text{lcm}(2e_2, d_2)$.

Now suppose we are in the twisted case (6.6). Then ψ_K is trivial on $\ker \varphi_{4e_2}$, and so is $\chi_{K,2}$ as d_2 , a divisor of 8, necessarily divides $4e_2$. The ‘non-cyclotomic’ character ψ_K is non-trivial on $\ker \varphi_{2e_2}$, but as every $\sigma \in \ker \varphi_{2e_2}$ fixes $\zeta_{4e_2} r_0^{1/2}$, it can be described ‘in cyclotomic terms’ on $\ker \varphi_{2e_2}$ by the identity $\psi_K(\sigma) = \zeta_{4e_2}^{\sigma-1}$. Thus, in the case $e_2 = 2$ and $d_2 = 8$, the quadratic characters ψ_K and $\chi_{K,2}$ are non-trivial but *identical* on $\ker \varphi_{2e_2} = \ker \varphi_4$, so their product χ_2 is trivial on it. Apart from this rather special twisted case in which we have $s_2 = 2e_2 = 4$, the character χ_2 is trivial on $\ker \varphi_{2^k}$ if and only if ψ_K is, i.e., if and only if $s_2 = 4e_2$ divides 2^k .

Having computed s_2 , we can easily find E_2 . If s_2 divides t_2 , then χ_2 is trivial on $\ker \varphi_{t_2}$, hence on S_2 , and we find $E_2 = 1$. If s_2 does not divide $2t_2$, then χ_2 is non-trivial on both $\ker \varphi_{2t_2}$ and $\ker \varphi_{t_2}$, and we find $E_2 = 0$. In the remaining case $s_2 = 2t_2$ we find, just as for 4.1,

$$E_2 = \frac{-\nu_2(\ker \varphi_{2t_2})}{\nu_2(\ker \varphi_{t_2}) - \nu_2(\ker \varphi_{2t_2})} = \frac{-1}{[A(t_2) : A(2t_2)] - 1}.$$

In the untwisted case $s_2 = 2t_2 = 2$, where both e and d are odd, the index $[A_2 : A(2)]$ equals 2, and we find $E_2 = -1$. (This is actually a case that already occurred in the proof of 4.2.) We also find $E_2 = -1$ if we have $s_2 = 2t_2$ in the special twisted case above, for $s_2 = 2t_2 = 2e_2 = 4$ and $d_2 = 8$; indeed, we then have $[A(2) : A(4)] = 2$ from the order formulas $\#A(2) = 2$ and $\#A(4) = 4$ provided by Lemma 6.2. In the other cases with $s_2 = 2t_2 \geq 4$ we have $e_2|t_2$, and in the twisted cases with $s_2 = 4e_2$ even $2e_2|t_2$. The order formulas from Lemma 6.2 then yield $\#A(2t_2) = 4 \cdot \#A(t_2)$ and $[A(t_2) : A(2t_2)] = 4$, hence $E_2 = -1/3$. \square

If we compare Theorem 6.4 to the result for near-primitive root densities in [16], we see that, despite the careful administration we needed for the twisted case, both the derivation and the resulting expression for the density given here are considerably simpler. In fact, it takes some work to see that the formulas in [16], which express the density as a sum of up to 4 different inclusion-exclusion-sums, can be reduced to our single formula. Whereas it is extremely cumbersome to derive the vanishing criteria from the formulas in [16], it is straightforward to obtain them from Theorem 6.4. In the criteria below, which occur without proof as [4, (8.9)–(8.13)], we write $d(x)$ for $x \in \mathbf{Z}$ to denote the discriminant of the number field $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{x})$. In particular, $d(x)$ equals 1 if x is a square.

6.7. Theorem. *Let $r = \pm r_1^e$ and $t \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 1}$ be as in Theorem 6.4. Then the near-primitive root density $E \cdot A(r, t)$ in 6.4 vanishes if and only if we are in one of the following five cases:*

- (a) t is odd, and $d(r)|t$;
- (b) $t \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, and $r = -u^2$ with $d(2u)|2t$;
- (c) r is a cube, $3 \nmid t$, $-r$ is not a square, $d(-3r_0)|t$, and $\text{ord}_2(t) > \text{ord}_2(e)$;
- (d) r is a cube, $3 \nmid t$, $-r$ is a square, $d(-3r_0)|t$ and $\text{ord}_2(t) > \text{ord}_2(e) + 1$;
- (e) r is a cube, $3 \nmid t$, $-r = u^2$, $8|d(-3u)|2t$.

Proof. The naive density $A(r, t)$ from Lemma 6.3 vanishes if and only if t is odd and r is a square. This is case (a) with $d(r) = 1$.

As any local factor $E_p = -1/([F_p : \mathbf{Q}] - 1)$ satisfies $|E_p| \leq 1/(p-2) < 1$ for $p \geq 5$, we see that $E = 1 + \prod_p E_p$ can only vanish if we have $E_p = 1$ for all primes $p \geq 5$, i.e., if all primes $p \geq 5$ dividing d also divide t . Assume that this is the case. Then E vanishes if and only if we either have $E_2 = -1 = -E_3$ or $E_2 = 1 = -E_3$.

Suppose first that we have $E = 0$ with $E_2 = -1 = -E_3$. For $s_2 = 2$ this means that t and e and $d = d(r_0) = d(r)$ are odd, and that d divides t . This is case (a) with $d(r) \neq 1$. For $s_2 = 4$ we have $t_2 = 2$ and $r = -u^2$, with $u = r_0^{e/2}$ a non-square rational number for which $d(u) = d(r_0) = d$ satisfies $8|d|4t$. As $8|d(u)$ can be written as $\text{ord}_2(d(2u)) \leq 2 = \text{ord}_2(2t)$, we are in case (b).

Suppose next that we have $E = 0$ with $E_2 = 1 = -E_3$. The condition $E_3 = -1$ means that r is a cube, and that 3 divides d but not t . To have $E_2 = 1$ as well, t_2 needs to be divisible by s_2 , and this leads to three cases reflecting the three cases in the definition of s_2 . In the non-twisted case, t_2 has to be divisible by $2e_2$ and d_2 , leading to $\text{ord}_2(t) > \text{ord}_2(e)$ and $d(-3r_0) = -d(r_0)/3|t$. This is case (c). The twisted case with $s_2 = 4e_2$ is case (d), with $\text{ord}_2(t) > \text{ord}_2(e) + 1$ reflecting the condition $s_2 = 4e_2|t_2$. Finally, we have the twisted case with $s_2 = 4$. Here $-r = u^2$ is a square and $e_2 = 2$, so we have $d = d(u)$ and $d(-3u) = -d(u)/3$. The conditions $4|t$ and $d_2 = 8$ may now be combined with the conditions at the odd primes to yield $8|d(-u/3)|2t$, and we are in case (e).

The reader may check that E indeed vanishes in each of the cases (a)–(e), or refer to remark 6.8.2 below instead. \square

6.8. Remarks. 1. One may restrict case (e) to values $t \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$, as $t \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$ in case (e) is already covered by case (d). In doing so, the five cases become mutually exclusive.

2. The computation of the vanishing criteria in Theorem 6.7 is so automatic that one barely realizes *why* these are vanishing criteria. In case (a) the number r is a square modulo almost all primes $q \equiv 1 \pmod{t}$, so it cannot generate a subgroup of odd index t modulo such q for $q > 2$. In case (b), if $r = -u^2$ generates a subgroup of even index modulo q , then $\left(\frac{r}{q}\right) = \left(\frac{-1}{q}\right) = 1$ implies that we have $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, and $r = (iu)^2 \pmod{q}$ for a primitive 4-th root of unity i modulo q . For $q \equiv 1 \pmod{t}$ we easily see that q splits in $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{u})$ if and only if we have $q \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$, so iu is a square modulo q and r generates a subgroup modulo q of index divisible by 4.

In the cases (c)–(e), the divisibility of the index of r modulo q by t implies that -3 is a square modulo q , so we have $q \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, and the cube r generates a subgroup of index divisible by 3.

7. FURTHER DIRECTIONS

The examples in the two preceding sections show that the character sum approach to the computation of various primitive root densities gives rise to formulas with a simple basic structure. Unsurprisingly, more case distinctions become necessary as the complexity of the input data grows. In more complicated settings, where a single closed formula running over a page of case distinctions may not be the most desirable result, the method can also be seen as an *algorithm* to find the density in each specific case.

Near-primitive roots for primes in arithmetic progressions. As a rather straightforward generalization, one may combine Sections 5 and 6 into a single density computation for the set of primes $q \equiv a \pmod{f}$ for which a given rational number $r = \pm r_0^e$ generates a subgroup of exact index t in \mathbf{F}_q^* . As such primes q are necessarily congruent to $1 \pmod{t}$, it is natural to assume $t|f$ and $a \equiv 1 \pmod{t}$. For primes $p|f$, the original Artin sets 3.2 are then replaced in the spirit of 5.1 and 6.1 by

$$S_p = (\ker \varphi_{t_p} \setminus \ker \varphi_{pt_p}) \cap \rho_p^{-1}(a \pmod{f\mathbf{Z}_p}).$$

One can now compute the values $\nu_p(S_p)$ and their somewhat complicated product $A(r, t, a \pmod{f})$ over all p as before. Application of Theorem 3.3 yields the fraction $\delta(S) = \nu(G \cap S)/\nu(G)$ as a product of $A(r, t, a \pmod{f})$ and a correction factor $E = 1 + \prod_p E_p$, where the value of E_2 requires a large number of case distinctions. We leave the details to the reader fond of general closed formulas, and note that when viewed as an *algorithm*, the method easily yields $\delta(S)$ for any set of (factored) input values t, f, r from \mathbf{Z} and \mathbf{Q}^* .

Higher rank Artin densities. There are generalizations of Theorem 3.3 to variants of Artin's conjecture over \mathbf{Q} for which not the theorem itself, but the general strategy of the proof applies. One might for instance want to compute, upon input of $a, b \in \mathbf{Q}^*$, the density of primes q for which \mathbf{F}_q^* is generated by a and b , or for which b is in the subgroup of \mathbf{F}_q^* generated by a . We assume here that we are in the true 2-variable case where a and b are multiplicatively independent, i.e., when they generate a subgroup of rank 2 in $\mathbf{Q}^*/\{\pm 1\}$.

In this case, we are led to study the Galois group G of the extension $\mathbf{Q} \subset \mathbf{Q}(R_\infty)$ obtained by adjoining to \mathbf{Q} all radicals of a and all radicals of b . Analogous to (1.5), one then has an injective Galois representation $G \rightarrow A = \text{Aut}_{R_\infty \cap \mathbf{Q}^*}(R_\infty)$. The group A is an extension of

$\text{Aut}(\mu_\infty) = \widehat{\mathbf{Z}}^*$ by a free $\widehat{\mathbf{Z}}$ -module of rank 2 that naturally decomposes as a product $A = \prod_p A_p$ of automorphism groups of p -power radicals. The direct analogue of Theorem 2.7 is that $G \subset A$ is a subgroup of index 4 that arises as the intersection of the kernels of *two* quadratic characters $\kappa = \psi_K \cdot \chi_K$ and $\tilde{\kappa} = \psi_{\tilde{K}} \cdot \chi_{\tilde{K}}$ on A related to distinct quadratic entanglement fields K and \tilde{K} .

For subsets $S = \prod_p S_p \subset \prod_p A_p = A$, the analogue of Theorem 3.3 is that the quotient $\nu(G \cap S)/\nu(G)$ differs from $\nu(S)/\nu(A)$ by an entanglement correction factor of the form

$$1 + \prod_p E_{\kappa,p} + \prod_p E_{\tilde{\kappa},p} + \prod_p E_{\kappa\tilde{\kappa},p},$$

with $E_{\alpha,p}$ denoting, for a character $\alpha = \prod_p \alpha_p$ on $A = \prod_p A_p$, the average value of α_p on S_p . It reflects the fact that in this case, $\frac{1}{4}(1 + \kappa + \tilde{\kappa} + \kappa\tilde{\kappa})$ is the characteristic function of G in A . This leads to much easier proofs of results such as [9, Theorem 3].

Continuing in the direction of arbitrary rank subgroups, nothing prevents us from considering properties of subgroups of \mathbf{F}_q^* that are generated by n elements $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in \mathbf{Q}^*$ for any $n \in \mathbf{Z}_{>0}$. One may for instance look at those q for which *all* a_i are primitive roots modulo q , or those q for which the subgroup $\Gamma = \langle a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle \subset \mathbf{Q}^*$ maps surjectively to \mathbf{F}_q^* . Our methods do generalize to this situation, and lead to (short) proofs and generalizations of theorems obtained previously by Matthews [6] and Cangelmi and Pappalardi [1]. We refer to [10] for further details.

Maximal radical extension. The ultimate structural result on the Galois group G over \mathbf{Q} of the field obtained by adjoining to \mathbf{Q} the group $R = \sqrt[\infty]{\mathbf{Q}^*}$ of all radicals of *all* rational numbers is that G is the subgroup of $A = \text{Aut}_{R \cap \mathbf{Q}^*}(R)$ that is ‘cut out’ by an explicit family of quadratic characters. It consists, for each prime p , of a character as in Theorem 2.7 that expresses the fact that \sqrt{p} equals a ‘Gauss sum’, a sum of roots of unity, and that elements of G should preserve this *additive* relation. It implies that over \mathbf{Q} , the groups of radical Galois extensions $\mathbf{Q} \subset \mathbf{Q}(W)$ for subgroups $W \subset R$ can be described as subgroups of the automorphism group $\text{Aut}_{\mathbf{Q}^* \cap W}(W)$ that arise as the intersections of kernels of certain quadratic characters.

A beautiful generalization of this result to arbitrary fields K of characteristic zero was announced in the 2006 lecture notes [5, Section 13] of the first author. It describes the Galois group over K of the maximal radical extension $K(\sqrt[\infty]{K})$ of K explicitly as a subgroup G of $A = \text{Aut}_{K^* \cap \sqrt[\infty]{K}}(\sqrt[\infty]{K})$. In all cases, G is normal in A , and A/G is a profinite *abelian* group. It opens up the possibility of generalizing all results that have been proved or mentioned over \mathbf{Q} in this paper to similar results over arbitrary number fields. Such extensions, and also generalizations that replace the multiplicative group by one-dimensional tori, are the subject of ongoing work of De Smit and Palenstijn [14].

REFERENCES

1. L. Cangelmi, F. Pappalardi, *On the r -rank Artin conjecture, II*, J. Number Theory **75** (1999), 120–132.
2. C. Hooley, *On Artin’s conjecture for primitive roots*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **225** (1967), 209–220.
3. A. Javanpeykar, *Radical Galois groups and cohomology*, www.math.leidenuniv.nl/en/theses (master thesis, Universiteit Leiden), 2013.
4. H. W. Lenstra, Jr, *On Artin’s conjecture and Euclid’s algorithm in global fields*, Invent. Math. **42** (1977), 201–224.
5. H. W. Lenstra, Jr, *Entangled radicals*, www.math.leidenuniv.nl/~hw1/papers/rad.pdf (AMS Colloquium Lectures, San Antonio), 2006.
6. K. R. Matthews, *A generalisation of Artin’s conjecture for primitive roots*, Acta Arith. **29** (1976), 113–146.

7. P. Moree, *On primes in arithmetic progression having a prescribed primitive root*, J. Number Theory **78** (1999), 85–98..
8. P. Moree, *On primes in arithmetic progression having a prescribed primitive root II*, Funct. Approx. Comment. Math. **39** (2008), 133–144.
9. P. Moree, P. Stevenhagen, *A two-variable Artin conjecture*, J. Number Theory **85** (2000), 291–304.
10. P. Moree, P. Stevenhagen, *Computing higher rank primitive root densities*, arXiv:1203.4313 (2012).
11. L. Ribes, P. Zalesskii, *Profinite groups*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik, vol. 40, Springer, 2000.
12. A. Schinzel, *Abelian binomials, power residues and exponential congruences*, Acta Arith. **32** (1977), 245–274.
13. A. Schinzel, *Selecta*, vol. II, European Mathematical Society, 2007, pp. 901–902.
14. W. J. Palenstijn, *thesis*, Universiteit Leiden (in preparation).
15. P. Stevenhagen, *The correction factor in Artin's primitive root conjecture*, J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux **15** (2003), no. 1, 383–391.
16. S. S. Wagstaff, Jr., *Pseudoprimes and a generalization of Artin's conjecture*, Acta Arith. **41** (1982), 141–150.

MATHEMATISCH INSTITUUT, UNIVERSITEIT LEIDEN, POSTBUS 9512, 2300 RA LEIDEN, THE NETHERLANDS
E-mail address: hwl, psh@math.leidenuniv.nl

MAX-PLANCK-INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK, VIVATSGASSE 7, 53111 BONN, GERMANY
E-mail address: moree@mpim-bonn.mpg.de