

# Koecher-Maass series for positive definite Fourier coefficients of real analytic Siegel-Eisenstein series of degree two

Yoshinori Mizuno

## Abstract

We give a meromorphic continuation and a functional equation for the Koecher-Maass series for positive definite Fourier coefficients of real analytic Siegel-Eisenstein series of degree two.

## 1 Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to give a meromorphic continuation and a functional equation of the Koecher-Maass series for positive definite Fourier coefficients of real analytic Siegel-Eisenstein series of degree two. It is well known that Koecher-Maass series associated with any holomorphic Siegel modular form has a meromorphic continuation and a functional equation [14], [12]. As for non holomorphic Siegel modular forms, the Koecher-Maass series associated with real analytic Siegel-Eisenstein series of degree  $n \geq 3$  for any signature was introduced by Arakawa [1]. Their meromorphic continuation and a vector type functional equation were shown. See also [7] in which explicit forms of the Koecher-Maass series were given and Arakawa's functional equation was simplified employing results in [16]. The case degree is two has a special difficulty to study associated Koecher-Maass series. In this paper we will consider easier half. In particular a meromorphic continuation and a functional equation for the Koecher-Maass series for positive definite Fourier coefficients are given.

Let  $k$  be an even integer and  $\sigma$  a complex number such that  $2\Re\sigma + k > 3$ . A real analytic Siegel-Eisenstein series of degree two and weight  $k$  is defined by

$$E_{2,k}(Z, \sigma) = \sum_{\{C,D\}} \det(CZ + D)^{-k} |\det(CZ + D)|^{-2\sigma}, \quad Z \in H_2,$$

where the sum is taken over all pairs  $\{C, D\}$  which occur as the second matrix row of representatives of  $\Gamma_\infty^{(2)} \backslash Sp_2(\mathbf{Z})$  with the standard notations and  $H_2 = \{Z = {}^t Z \in M_2(\mathbf{C}); \Im Z > O\}$  is the Siegel upper half-space of degree 2. It has a Fourier expansion

$$E_{2,k}(Z, \sigma) = \sum_T C(T, \sigma, Y) e(\text{tr}(TX)), \quad Z = X + iY,$$

where the summation extends over all half-integral symmetric matrices of size two and  $e(x) = e^{2\pi i x}$  as usual. By [19], [20], [14], [13], if  $\det T \neq 0$  then the Fourier coefficients can be written as a product of the Siegel series  $b(T, k + 2\sigma)$  and a certain function  $\xi(Y, T, \sigma + k, \sigma)$  (essentially the confluent hypergeometric function of degree two):

$$C(T, \sigma, Y) = b(T, k + 2\sigma) \xi(Y, T, \sigma + k, \sigma),$$

$$b(T, \sigma) = \sum_{R \in S_2(\mathbf{Q})/S_2(\mathbf{Z})} \nu(R)^{-\sigma} e(\text{tr}(TR)),$$

$$\xi(Y, T, \alpha, \beta) = \int_{S_2(\mathbf{R})} e(-\text{tr}(TX)) \det(X + iY)^{-\alpha} \det(X - iY)^{-\beta} dX,$$

where  $S_2(\mathbf{K})$  is the set of all symmetric matrices of size two whose components are in  $\mathbf{K}$  and  $\nu(R) = |\det C|$  when we write  $R = C^{-1}D$ ,  $\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in Sp_2(\mathbf{Z})$ .

Let  $a_{2,k}(T, \sigma)$  be an arithmetic part of  $C(T, \sigma, Y)$  defined by

$$a_{2,k}(T, \sigma) = \gamma_2(k + 2\sigma) |\det 2T|^{k+2\sigma-3/2} 2^2 b(T, k + 2\sigma),$$

where  $\gamma_2(\sigma) = e^{\pi i \sigma} \pi^{2\sigma-1/2} \Gamma(\sigma)^{-1} \Gamma(\sigma - 1/2)^{-1}$ . Note that  $b(T, k + 2\sigma)$  has a meromorphic continuation to all  $\sigma$ . Then following Ibukiyama and Katsurada [7], the Koecher-Maass series for positive definite Fourier coefficients is defined by

$$L_{2,k}^{(2)}(s, \sigma) = \sum_{T \in L_2^+/SL_2(\mathbf{Z})} \frac{a_{2,k}(T, \sigma)}{\epsilon(T) (\det T)^s},$$

where  $L_2^+$  is the set of all half-integral positive definite symmetric matrices of size two, the summation extends over all  $T \in L_2^+$  modulo the usual action  $T \rightarrow T[U] = {}^tUTU$  of the group  $SL_2(\mathbf{Z})$  and  $\epsilon(T) = \#\{U \in SL_2(\mathbf{Z}); T[U] = T\}$  is the order of the unit group of  $T$ . Put

$$L_{2,k}^*(s, \sigma) = (2\pi)^{-2s} \Gamma(s) \Gamma(s - 2\sigma - k + 3/2) L_{2,k}^{(2)}(s, \sigma).$$

The main result is the following.

**Theorem 1.** *Suppose that  $\sigma \notin 1/4 + \mathbf{Z}/2$ . Then the Koecher-Maass series  $L_{2,k}^*(s, \sigma)$  can be meromorphically continued to the whole  $s$ -plane. It satisfies a functional equation*

$$\begin{aligned} & L_{2,k}^*(k + 2\sigma - s, \sigma) = L_{2,k}^*(s, \sigma) \\ & + 2\pi^{-k-2\sigma+1/2} \frac{\gamma_2(k + 2\sigma) \zeta(k + 2\sigma - 1)}{\zeta(k + 2\sigma) \zeta(2k + 4\sigma - 2)} \\ & \times \frac{\sin \pi \sigma \sin \pi(s - \sigma)}{\cos \pi s \sin \pi(s - 2\sigma)} \frac{\Gamma(s) \Gamma(s - 2\sigma - k + 3/2)}{\Gamma(s - 1/2) \Gamma(s - 2\sigma - k + 1)} \zeta^*(2s - 1) \zeta^*(2s - 4\sigma - 2k + 2), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\zeta^*(s) = \pi^{-s/2} \Gamma(s/2) \zeta(s)$  is the completed Riemann zeta function.

As a special case we have analytic properties of a Dirichlet series defined by

$$\xi(s) = \pi^{-2s} \Gamma(s) \Gamma(s - 1/2) \zeta(2s - 1) \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \frac{H(d)^2}{d^s},$$

where  $H(d)$  is the weighted class number given by

$$H(d) = \sum_{T \in L_2^+/SL_2(\mathbf{Z}), \det 2T=d} \epsilon(T)^{-1}.$$

Then as a corollary to Theorem 1 we have the following result. In fact  $\xi(s)$  is the Koecher-Maass series for the non-holomorphic Siegel-Eisenstein series of degree two and weight two ( $k = 2, \sigma = 0$ ). It should be compared with [21, p.42, Theorem 2 (i)], [23, p.229, Theorem 3], [17], [10].

**Corollary 1.** *The Dirichlet series  $\xi(s)$  can be meromorphically continued to the whole  $s$ -plane. It satisfies a functional equation*

$$\xi(2-s) = \xi(s) + 2^{-3}\pi^{-3/2} \frac{\Gamma(s)}{\cos \pi s \Gamma(s-1)} \zeta^*(2s-1) \zeta^*(2s-2).$$

We want to define a Koecher-Maass series not only for positive definite Fourier coefficients, but also for indefinite Fourier coefficients. We can expect to replace  $\epsilon(T)^{-1}$  by a certain volume  $\mu(T)$  introduced by Siegel. See [21], [7], [8, p.1100] for its definition. However it is known that if  $-\det T$  is a square of a rational number then  $\mu(T)$  is not finite. The same difficulty comes up when we treat the prehomogeneous zeta function associated with the space of two by two symmetric matrices. This case was solved by Shintani [21]. There are different approaches due to Sato [17] and Ibukiyama and Saito [10]. Ibukiyama and Saito [10] proved all of the Shintani's results by using certain real analytic Eisenstein series of half-integral weight. See also [23]. Our treatment of the Koecher-Maass series is a "convolution version" of their method. In the future we will use results in this paper to get a reasonable definition of Koecher-Maass series for the indefinite case by following the approach developed in [9], [10].

## 2 Koecher-Maass series and certain convolution product

In this section an explicit formula for the Koecher-Maass series will be given as a convolution product. Then we summarize analytic properties of this convolution product. Throughout this paper, the branch of  $z^\alpha$  is taken so that  $-\pi < \arg z \leq \pi$ .

**Proposition 1.** *One has*

$$\begin{aligned} L_{2,k}^{(2)}(s, \sigma) &= 2^{2s+2} \gamma_2(k+2\sigma) \\ &\times \frac{\zeta(2s-k-2\sigma+1)}{\zeta(k+2\sigma)\zeta(2k+4\sigma-2)} \sum_{d>0} H(d) L_{-d}(k+2\sigma-1) d^{k+2\sigma-3/2-s}. \end{aligned}$$

Here

$$L_D(s) = \begin{cases} \zeta(2s-1), & D=0 \\ L(s, \chi_K) \sum_{a|f} \mu(a) \chi_K(a) a^{-s} \sigma_{1-2s}(f/a), & D \neq 0, D \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{4} \\ 0, & D \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{4}, \end{cases}$$

where the natural number  $f$  is defined by  $D = d_K f^2$  with the discriminant  $d_K$  of  $K = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{D})$ ,  $\chi_K$  is the Kronecker symbol,  $\mu$  is the Möbius function and  $\sigma_s(n) = \sum_{d|n} d^s$ .

**Proof.** For non-degenerate  $T$ , the explicit formula due to Kaufhold [13] implies

$$b(T, \sigma) = \frac{1}{\zeta(\sigma)\zeta(2\sigma-2)} \sum_{d|e(T)} d^{2-\sigma} L_{-\frac{\det 2T}{d^2}}(\sigma-1),$$

where  $e(T) = (n, r, m)$  is the greatest common divisor of  $n, r, m$  for  $T = \begin{pmatrix} n & r/2 \\ r/2 & m \end{pmatrix}$ . Hence by Böcherer [2, p.20, Satz 3 (d)], we get

$$\sum_{T \in L_2^+ / SL_2(\mathbf{Z})} \frac{b(T, \sigma)}{\epsilon(T) \det T^s} = 2^{2s} \frac{\zeta(2s + \sigma - 2)}{\zeta(\sigma) \zeta(2\sigma - 2)} \sum_{d > 0} H(d) L_{-d}(\sigma - 1) d^{-s}$$

and thus complete the proof.

For a complex number  $\sigma$ , odd  $k$  and an integer  $d$ , put

$$c(d, \sigma, k) = 2^{k+3/2-2\sigma} e^{(-1)^{(k+1)/2} \frac{\pi i}{4}} \frac{L_{(-1)^{(k+1)/2} d}(\sigma - \frac{k+1}{2})}{\zeta(2\sigma - k - 1)}.$$

This is an arithmetic part of the  $d$ -th Fourier coefficient of a real analytic Eisenstein series of half-integral weight  $-k/2$  with a parameter  $\sigma$  on  $\Gamma_0(4)$  called Cohen's Eisenstein series ([10], [16]). We fix even  $k$  and a complex number  $\sigma$ . Then for complex numbers  $\eta$  and  $s$  such that  $\Re s$  sufficiently large, a kind of convolution product  $R_\infty(s, \eta)$  is defined by

$$R_\infty(s, \eta) = \sum_{d \neq 0} c(d, \eta, -3) \overline{c(d, 2\sigma + 2, -2k + 5)} I_d(s, \eta, 2\sigma + 2, -3, -2k + 5),$$

where  $I_d(s, \sigma_1, \sigma_2, k_1, k_2)$  is the Mellin transform of a product of two Whittaker functions  $W_{\alpha, \beta}(y)$ ,

$$W_{\alpha, \beta}(y) = y^\alpha e^{-y/2} \omega(y; 1/2 + \alpha + \beta, 1/2 - \alpha + \beta),$$

$$\omega(y; \alpha, \beta) = y^\beta \Gamma(\beta)^{-1} \int_0^\infty (1+u)^{\alpha-1} u^{\beta-1} e^{-yu} du.$$

As for detail expositions on these special functions, see [18] and [15, section 7.2]. By definition  $I_d$  has the form

$$\begin{aligned} I_d(s, \sigma_1, \sigma_2, k_1, k_2) &= |d|^{\frac{\sigma_1 + \overline{\sigma_2}}{2} - \frac{k_1 + k_2}{2} - 1 - s} e^{\left(\frac{k_1 - k_2}{8}\right) (2\pi)^{\sigma_1 + \overline{\sigma_2} - \frac{k_1 + k_2}{2}} (4\pi)^{-\frac{\sigma_1 + \overline{\sigma_2}}{2} - s + 1}} \\ &\times \int_0^\infty y^{\frac{k_1 + k_2}{4} - 2 + s} W_{\frac{-s \operatorname{sgn}(d) k_1}{4}, \frac{\sigma_1}{2} - \frac{k_1}{4} - \frac{1}{2}}(y) W_{\frac{-s \operatorname{sgn}(d) k_2}{4}, \frac{\overline{\sigma_2}}{2} - \frac{k_2}{4} - \frac{1}{2}}(y) dy \\ &\times \begin{cases} \Gamma\left(\frac{\sigma_1 - k_1}{2}\right)^{-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{\overline{\sigma_2} - k_2}{2}\right)^{-1}, & \text{for } d > 0 \\ \Gamma\left(\frac{\sigma_1}{2}\right)^{-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{\overline{\sigma_2}}{2}\right)^{-1}, & \text{for } d < 0. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

By [16, Theorem 2], the function  $\Omega_{k, \sigma}(s, \eta)$  defined by

$$\Omega_{k, \sigma}(s, \eta) = 2^{2s} \pi^{-s} \Gamma(s - 3/2) \zeta(2s - k + 1) R_\infty(s, \eta)$$

can be meromorphically continued to the whole  $s$ -plane and satisfies a functional equation

$$\Omega_{k, \sigma}(s, \eta) = \Omega_{k, \sigma}(k - s, \eta).$$

For any sign  $\epsilon = \pm$ , let  $R_\infty^\epsilon(s, \eta)$  be the subseries of  $R_\infty(s, \eta)$  indexed by positive or negative integers  $d$ :

$$R_\infty^\epsilon(s, \eta) = \sum_{\epsilon d > 0} c(d, \eta, -3) \overline{c(d, 2\sigma + 2, -2k + 5)} I_d(s, \eta, 2\sigma + 2, -3, -2k + 5), \quad (1)$$

$$\Omega_{k, \sigma}^\epsilon(s, \eta) = 2^{2s} \pi^{-s} \Gamma(s - 3/2) \zeta(2s - k + 1) R_\infty^\epsilon(s, \eta). \quad (2)$$

Since it is easy to see that  $\Omega_{k,\sigma}(s, \eta)$  is holomorphic at  $\eta = 0$ , if we put

$$\Omega_{k,\sigma}(s) = \Omega_{k,\sigma}(s, 0), \quad \Omega_{k,\sigma}^\varepsilon(s) = \Omega_{k,\sigma}^\varepsilon(s, 0), \quad (3)$$

then the functional equation of  $\Omega_{k,\sigma}(s, \eta)$  implies

$$\Omega_{k,\sigma}(s) = \Omega_{k,\sigma}(k - s). \quad (4)$$

Let us relate  $\Omega_{k,\sigma}^+(s)$  with the Koecher-Maass series  $L_{2,k}^{(2)}(s, \sigma)$ . For  $d > 0$ , the class number formula  $L_{-d}(1) = \frac{2\pi}{d^{1/2}}H(d)$  implies

$$c(d, 0, -3)\overline{c(d, 2\sigma + 2, -2k + 5)} = \frac{2^{-2k-4\bar{\sigma}+2\pi}}{\zeta(2)\zeta(4\bar{\sigma} + 2k - 2)}H(d)L_{-d}(2\bar{\sigma} + k - 1)d^{-1/2}.$$

On the other hand, the formula [6, p.816, 7.621.11] combined with  $W_{\mu+1/2,\mu}(y) = e^{-y/2}y^{\mu+1/2}$  (the formula in [5, p.432] line 3 from the bottom) yields

$$I_d(s, 0, 2\sigma + 2, -3, -2k + 5) = d^{\bar{\sigma}+k-1-s} \frac{e(k/4)(2\pi)^{2\bar{\sigma}+k+1}\Gamma(s + \bar{\sigma})\Gamma(s - \bar{\sigma} - k + \frac{3}{2})}{(4\pi)^{\bar{\sigma}+s}\Gamma(\frac{3}{2})\Gamma(\bar{\sigma} + k - \frac{3}{2})\Gamma(s - k + \frac{5}{2})}.$$

These two equations combined with (1), (2) and Proposition 1 tell us that

$$\overline{\Omega_{k,\sigma}^+(s - \bar{\sigma})} = \frac{e(-k/4)2^{-k-4\sigma+1}\pi^{3\sigma+k+2}\zeta(2\sigma + k)}{\Gamma(\frac{3}{2})\Gamma(k + \sigma - 3/2)\zeta(2)\gamma_2(k + 2\sigma)} \frac{\Gamma(s - \sigma - 3/2)}{\Gamma(s - k - \sigma + 5/2)} L_{2,k}^*(s, \sigma). \quad (5)$$

### 3 A meromorphic continuation of $\Omega_{k,\sigma}^-(s)$

In order to obtain a meromorphic continuation and a functional equation of  $\Omega_{k,\sigma}^+(s)$ , we first study the function  $\Omega_{k,\sigma}^-(s)$ . Because of zero of  $\Gamma(\eta/2)^{-1}$  and the holomorphy of  $L(\eta + 1, \chi_K)$  for  $d_K \neq 1$ , one has

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{k,\sigma}^-(s) &= 2^{2s}\pi^{-s}\Gamma(s - 3/2)\zeta(2s - k + 1) \\ &\times \lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0} \sum_{f \geq 1} c(-f^2, \eta, -3)\overline{c(-f^2, 2\sigma + 2, -2k + 5)} I_{-f^2}(s, \eta, 2\sigma + 2, -3, -2k + 5), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_{-f^2}(s, \eta, 2\sigma + 2, -3, -2k + 5) &= f^{\eta+2\bar{\sigma}+2k-2-2s} \frac{e(k/4)(2\pi)^{\eta+2\bar{\sigma}+k+1}}{\Gamma(\eta/2)\Gamma(\bar{\sigma} + 1)(4\pi)^{\eta/2+\bar{\sigma}+s}} \\ &\times \int_0^\infty y^{(s-\frac{k+1}{2})-1} W_{-\frac{3}{4}, \frac{\eta}{2}+\frac{1}{4}}(y) W_{-\frac{k}{2}+\frac{5}{4}, \bar{\sigma}+\frac{k}{2}-\frac{3}{4}}(y) dy. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

**Lemma 1.** *One has*

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0} \sum_{f \geq 1} \Gamma(\eta/2)^{-1} c(-f^2, \eta, -3)\overline{c(-f^2, 2\sigma + 2, -2k + 5)} f^{\eta+2\bar{\sigma}+2k-2-2s} \\ &= \frac{e(1/4)\zeta(2\bar{\sigma} + k - 1)}{2P(0, -3)P(2\bar{\sigma} + 2, -2k + 5)} \frac{\zeta(2s - 2\bar{\sigma} - 2k + 2)\zeta(2s + 2\bar{\sigma} - 1)}{\zeta(2s - k + 1)}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $P(\sigma, k) = e^{\frac{\pi i}{4}} 2^{-k+2\sigma-3/2}\zeta(2\sigma - k - 1)$  for  $k \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ .

**Proof.** A simple calculation implies

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{f \geq 1} \left( \sum_{d|f} \mu(d) d^{-\alpha} \sigma_{1-2\alpha}(f/d) \right) \left( \sum_{d|f} \mu(d) d^{-\beta} \sigma_{1-2\beta}(f/d) \right) f^\gamma \\
&= \frac{\zeta(-\gamma) \zeta(2\beta - \gamma - 1) \zeta(2\alpha - \gamma - 1) \zeta(2\alpha + 2\beta - \gamma - 2)}{\zeta(\alpha + \beta - \gamma - 1)} \\
&\quad \times \prod_{\text{prime } p} \{(1 + p^{1-\alpha-\beta+\gamma})(1 + p^{-\alpha-\beta+\gamma}) - (p^{\gamma-\alpha} + p^{\gamma-\beta})(1 + p^{1-\alpha-\beta})\}.
\end{aligned}$$

This yields Lemma 1.

To study the gamma like factor of above series, we define three functions

$$K(s) = \int_0^\infty y^{(s-\frac{k+1}{2})-1} W_{-\frac{3}{4}, \frac{1}{4}}(y) W_{-\frac{k}{2} + \frac{5}{4}, \bar{\sigma} + \frac{k}{2} - \frac{3}{4}}(y) dy, \quad (7)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
K_1(s) &= \frac{\Gamma(s + \bar{\sigma}) \Gamma(s + \bar{\sigma} - \frac{1}{2}) \Gamma(-2\bar{\sigma} - k + \frac{3}{2})}{\Gamma(-\bar{\sigma}) \Gamma(s + \bar{\sigma} + 1)} \\
&\quad \times {}_3F_2 \left[ \begin{matrix} s + \bar{\sigma}, & s + \bar{\sigma} - \frac{1}{2}, & k + \bar{\sigma} - \frac{3}{2} \\ 2\bar{\sigma} + k - \frac{1}{2}, & s + \bar{\sigma} + 1 \end{matrix} \right], \quad (8)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
K_2(s) &= \frac{\Gamma(s - \bar{\sigma} - k + \frac{3}{2}) \Gamma(s - \bar{\sigma} - k + 1) \Gamma(2\bar{\sigma} + k - \frac{3}{2})}{\Gamma(k + \bar{\sigma} - \frac{3}{2}) \Gamma(s - \bar{\sigma} - k + \frac{5}{2})} \\
&\quad \times {}_3F_2 \left[ \begin{matrix} s - \bar{\sigma} - k + \frac{3}{2}, & s - \bar{\sigma} - k + 1, & -\bar{\sigma} \\ -2\bar{\sigma} - k + \frac{5}{2}, & s - \bar{\sigma} - k + \frac{5}{2} \end{matrix} \right]. \quad (9)
\end{aligned}$$

Here  ${}_3F_2$  is generalized hypergeometric series at unit argument given by

$${}_3F_2 \left[ \begin{matrix} a, & b, & c \\ e, & f \end{matrix} \right] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n (b)_n (c)_n}{(e)_n (f)_n n!}, \quad (x)_n = \Gamma(x+n)/\Gamma(x), \quad (x)_0 = 1,$$

where  $e, f \notin \{0, -1, -2, \dots\}$  and  $\Re(e + f - a - b - c) > 0$ .

The integral defining  $K(s)$  converges for  $\Re s > \Re \sigma + k - 1$  if  $\Re \sigma + \frac{k}{2} \geq \frac{3}{4}$  and for  $\Re s > \frac{1}{2} - \Re \sigma$  if  $\Re \sigma + \frac{k}{2} < \frac{3}{4}$ . The series defining  $K_j(s)$  converges for  $\Re s < \frac{5}{2}$ . The following lemma gives meromorphic continuations of these functions to the whole  $s$ -plane.

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $k$  be an even integer and  $\sigma \notin 1/4 + \mathbf{Z}/2$  a complex number. Suppose  $k < 5$ . Then  $K_j(s)$  can be meromorphically continued to all  $s$ . Suppose  $k < 5$ ,  $\Re \sigma + k < \frac{7}{2}$  if  $\Re \sigma + \frac{k}{2} \geq \frac{3}{4}$  and suppose  $k < 5$ ,  $-2 < \Re \sigma$  if  $\Re \sigma + \frac{k}{2} < \frac{3}{4}$ . Then  $K(s)$  can be meromorphically continued to the whole  $s$ -plane by the relation*

$$K(s) = K_1(s) + K_2(s). \quad (10)$$

**Proof.** If we put

$$A(s) = {}_3F_2 \left[ \begin{matrix} s + \bar{\sigma}, & s + \bar{\sigma} - \frac{1}{2}, & k + \bar{\sigma} - \frac{3}{2} \\ 2\bar{\sigma} + k - \frac{1}{2}, & s + \bar{\sigma} + 1 \end{matrix} \right], \quad (11)$$

$$B(s) = {}_3F_2 \left[ \begin{matrix} s - \bar{\sigma} - k + \frac{3}{2}, & s - \bar{\sigma} - k + 1, & -\bar{\sigma} \\ -2\bar{\sigma} - k + \frac{5}{2}, & s - \bar{\sigma} - k + \frac{5}{2} \end{matrix} \right], \quad (12)$$

then

$$K_1(s) = \frac{\Gamma(s + \bar{\sigma})\Gamma(s + \bar{\sigma} - \frac{1}{2})\Gamma(-2\bar{\sigma} - k + \frac{3}{2})}{\Gamma(-\bar{\sigma})\Gamma(s + \bar{\sigma} + 1)} A(s), \quad (13)$$

$$K_2(s) = \frac{\Gamma(s - \bar{\sigma} - k + \frac{3}{2})\Gamma(s - \bar{\sigma} - k + 1)\Gamma(2\bar{\sigma} + k - \frac{3}{2})}{\Gamma(k + \bar{\sigma} - \frac{3}{2})\Gamma(s - \bar{\sigma} - k + \frac{5}{2})} B(s). \quad (14)$$

It follows from the formula [22, (4.3.1.3)] (see also [11, p.312, (3.4.2)]) that

$$A(s) = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{5}{2} - s)\Gamma(s + \bar{\sigma} + 1)}{\Gamma(s - k + \frac{5}{2})\Gamma(k - s + \bar{\sigma} + 1)} A(k - s),$$

$$B(s) = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{5}{2} - s)\Gamma(s - k - \bar{\sigma} + \frac{5}{2})}{\Gamma(s - k + \frac{5}{2})\Gamma(\frac{5}{2} - s - \bar{\sigma})} B(k - s).$$

These equations hold for  $k - \frac{5}{2} < \Re s < \frac{5}{2}$  and give a meromorphic continuation of  $A(s)$  and  $B(s)$  to the whole  $s$ -plane. Hence  $K_1(s)$  and  $K_2(s)$  can be meromorphically continued to the whole  $s$ -plane if we define their values on the domain  $\Re s > k - \frac{5}{2}$  by

$$K_1(s) = \frac{\Gamma(-2\bar{\sigma} - k + \frac{3}{2})}{\Gamma(-\bar{\sigma})} \frac{\Gamma(s + \bar{\sigma})\Gamma(s + \bar{\sigma} - \frac{1}{2})\Gamma(\frac{5}{2} - s)}{\Gamma(s - k + \frac{5}{2})\Gamma(k - s + \bar{\sigma} + 1)} A(k - s), \quad (15)$$

$$K_2(s) = \frac{\Gamma(2\bar{\sigma} + k - \frac{3}{2})}{\Gamma(k + \bar{\sigma} - \frac{3}{2})} \frac{\Gamma(s - k - \bar{\sigma} + \frac{3}{2})\Gamma(\frac{5}{2} - s)\Gamma(s - k - \bar{\sigma} + 1)}{\Gamma(s - k + \frac{5}{2})\Gamma(\frac{5}{2} - s - \bar{\sigma})} B(k - s). \quad (16)$$

The equation (10) follows from the formula [6, p.814, 7.611.7] (or [5, p.410, (42)]) for  $\Re\sigma + k - 1 < \Re s < \frac{5}{2}$  if  $\Re\sigma + \frac{k}{2} \geq \frac{3}{4}$  and for  $\frac{1}{2} - \Re\sigma < \Re s < \frac{5}{2}$  if  $\Re\sigma + \frac{k}{2} < \frac{3}{4}$ . By the meromorphic continuation of  $K_1(s)$  and  $K_2(s)$  by means of (8), (15), (9), (16), we get that of  $K(s)$  by the relation (10). (Note that there is a misprint in the formula [6, p.814, 7.611.7]. In the first  ${}_3F_2$ , the third parameter  $\frac{1}{2} - \lambda - \nu$  should be  $\frac{1}{2} - \lambda + \nu$ .)

It follows from (6), (7) and Lemma 2 that

$$\Omega_{k,\sigma}^-(s) = \frac{\pi^{-k+1/2}C(\sigma, k)\Gamma(s - \frac{3}{2})K(s)}{\Gamma(s + \bar{\sigma} - \frac{1}{2})\Gamma(s - \bar{\sigma} - k + 1)} \zeta^*(2s + 2\bar{\sigma} - 1)\zeta^*(2s - 2\bar{\sigma} - 2k + 2), \quad (17)$$

where

$$C(\sigma, k) = \frac{e((k+1)/4)(2\pi)^{2\bar{\sigma}+k+1}\zeta(2\bar{\sigma} + k - 1)}{2\Gamma(\bar{\sigma} + 1)(4\pi)^{\bar{\sigma}}P(0, -3)P(2\bar{\sigma} + 2, -2k + 5)}.$$

This equation combined with the meromorphic continuations of  $\zeta^*(s)$  and  $K(s)$  obtained in Lemma 2 implies a meromorphic continuation of  $\Omega_{k,\sigma}^-(s)$  to the whole  $s$ -plane under the assumptions in Lemma 2.

## 4 Functional equation of $\Omega_{k,\sigma}^+(s)$ : proof of Theorem 1

Theorem 1 follows from the next proposition.

**Proposition 2.** *Let  $k < 5$  be an even integer and  $\sigma \notin 1/4 + \mathbf{Z}/2$  a complex number. Suppose  $\Re\sigma + k < \frac{7}{2}$  if  $\Re\sigma + \frac{k}{2} \geq \frac{3}{4}$  and suppose  $-2 < \Re\sigma$  if  $\Re\sigma + \frac{k}{2} < \frac{3}{4}$ . Then  $\Omega_{k,\sigma}^+(s)$  defined by (2) can be meromorphically continued to the whole  $s$ -plane. It satisfies a functional equation*

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{k,\sigma}^+(k-s) &= \Omega_{k,\sigma}^+(s) \\ + \frac{e(k/4)2^{-k+2-4\bar{\sigma}}\pi^{\bar{\sigma}+5/2}\zeta(2\bar{\sigma}+k-1)}{\Gamma(\frac{3}{2})\Gamma(k+\bar{\sigma}-3/2)\zeta(2)\zeta(4\bar{\sigma}+2k-2)} &\zeta^*(2s+2\bar{\sigma}-1)\zeta^*(2s-2\bar{\sigma}-2k+2) \\ \times \frac{\sin\pi\bar{\sigma}\sin\pi s}{\cos\pi(s+\bar{\sigma})\sin\pi(s-\bar{\sigma})} &\frac{\Gamma(s-\frac{3}{2})\Gamma(s+\bar{\sigma})\Gamma(s-\bar{\sigma}-k+\frac{3}{2})}{\Gamma(s-k+\frac{5}{2})\Gamma(s-\bar{\sigma}-k+1)\Gamma(s+\bar{\sigma}-\frac{1}{2})}. \end{aligned}$$

**Proof.** A meromorphic continuation of  $\Omega_{k,\sigma}^+(s) = \Omega_{k,\sigma}(s) - \Omega_{k,\sigma}^-(s)$  to the whole  $s$ -plane follows from section 2 and 3. By the functional equation (4), we have

$$\Omega_{k,\sigma}^+(s) + \Omega_{k,\sigma}^-(s) = \Omega_{k,\sigma}^+(k-s) + \Omega_{k,\sigma}^-(k-s). \quad (18)$$

We want to simplify  $\Omega_{k,\sigma}^-(s) - \Omega_{k,\sigma}^-(k-s)$ . Applying the functional equation of  $\zeta^*(s)$  to (17) implies

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{k,\sigma}^-(s) - \Omega_{k,\sigma}^-(k-s) &= \pi^{-k+1/2}C(\sigma, k)\zeta^*(2s+2\bar{\sigma}-1)\zeta^*(2s-2\bar{\sigma}-2k+2) \\ \times \left\{ \frac{\Gamma(s-\frac{3}{2})K(s)}{\Gamma(s+\bar{\sigma}-\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(s-\bar{\sigma}-k+1)} - \frac{\Gamma(k-s-\frac{3}{2})K(k-s)}{\Gamma(k-s+\bar{\sigma}-\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(1-s-\bar{\sigma})} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Suppose  $\Re s < \frac{5}{2}$ . By (15) and (16), we get

$$\begin{aligned} K_1(k-s) &= \frac{\Gamma(-2\bar{\sigma}-k+\frac{3}{2})}{\Gamma(-\bar{\sigma})} \frac{\Gamma(k-s+\bar{\sigma})\Gamma(k-s+\bar{\sigma}-\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(s-k+\frac{5}{2})}{\Gamma(-s+\frac{5}{2})\Gamma(s+\bar{\sigma}+1)} A(s), \\ K_2(k-s) &= \frac{\Gamma(2\bar{\sigma}+k-\frac{3}{2})}{\Gamma(k+\bar{\sigma}-\frac{3}{2})} \frac{\Gamma(-s-\bar{\sigma}+\frac{3}{2})\Gamma(-k+s+\frac{5}{2})\Gamma(-s-\bar{\sigma}+1)}{\Gamma(-s+\frac{5}{2})\Gamma(s-\bar{\sigma}-k+\frac{5}{2})} B(s). \end{aligned}$$

These equations combined with (10) imply that (19) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{k,\sigma}^-(s) - \Omega_{k,\sigma}^-(k-s) &= \pi^{-k+1/2}C(\sigma, k)\zeta^*(2s+2\bar{\sigma}-1)\zeta^*(2s-2\bar{\sigma}-2k+2) \\ \times \left\{ \frac{\Gamma(-2\bar{\sigma}-k+\frac{3}{2})}{\Gamma(-\bar{\sigma})} \left( \frac{\Gamma(s-\frac{3}{2})\Gamma(s+\bar{\sigma})\Gamma(s+\bar{\sigma}-\frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(s+\bar{\sigma}-\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(s-\bar{\sigma}-k+1)\Gamma(s+\bar{\sigma}+1)} \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - \frac{\Gamma(k-s-\frac{3}{2})\Gamma(k-s+\bar{\sigma})\Gamma(s-k+\frac{5}{2})}{\Gamma(1-s-\bar{\sigma})\Gamma(-s+\frac{5}{2})\Gamma(s+\bar{\sigma}+1)} \right) A(s) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\Gamma(2\bar{\sigma}+k-\frac{3}{2})}{\Gamma(k+\bar{\sigma}-\frac{3}{2})} \left( \frac{\Gamma(s-\frac{3}{2})\Gamma(s-\bar{\sigma}-k+\frac{3}{2})\Gamma(s-\bar{\sigma}-k+1)}{\Gamma(s+\bar{\sigma}-\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(s-\bar{\sigma}-k+1)\Gamma(s-\bar{\sigma}-k+\frac{5}{2})} \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - \frac{\Gamma(k-s-\frac{3}{2})\Gamma(-s-\bar{\sigma}+\frac{3}{2})\Gamma(s-k+\frac{5}{2})}{\Gamma(k-s+\bar{\sigma}-\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(-s+\frac{5}{2})\Gamma(s-\bar{\sigma}-k+\frac{5}{2})} \right) B(s) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$



It follows from the formula [3, p.15, (2)] (or [22, p.115, (4.3.4)]) that

$$\begin{aligned}
A(s) &= \frac{\Gamma(\frac{3}{2} - s - \bar{\sigma})\Gamma(2\bar{\sigma} + k - \frac{1}{2})\Gamma(s + \bar{\sigma} + 1)\Gamma(k - s - \frac{3}{2})}{\Gamma(\bar{\sigma} + k - \frac{1}{2} - s)\Gamma(\frac{3}{2})\Gamma(k + \bar{\sigma} - \frac{3}{2})} \\
&+ \frac{\Gamma(\frac{3}{2} - s - \bar{\sigma})\Gamma(2\bar{\sigma} + k - \frac{1}{2})\Gamma(s + \bar{\sigma} + 1)\Gamma(s - k + \frac{3}{2})}{\Gamma(\bar{\sigma} + 1)\Gamma(s - k + \frac{5}{2})\Gamma(k - s)\Gamma(s + \bar{\sigma})} \\
&\quad \times {}_3F_2 \left[ \begin{matrix} k + \bar{\sigma} - \frac{3}{2}, & -\bar{\sigma}, & k - s - \frac{3}{2} \\ k - s - \frac{1}{2}, & k - s \end{matrix} \right], \\
B(s) &= \frac{\Gamma(-s + \bar{\sigma} + k)\Gamma(-2\bar{\sigma} - k + \frac{5}{2})\Gamma(s - \bar{\sigma} - k + \frac{5}{2})\Gamma(-s + k - \frac{3}{2})}{\Gamma(-s - \bar{\sigma} + 1)\Gamma(\frac{3}{2})\Gamma(-\bar{\sigma})} \\
&+ \frac{\Gamma(-s + \bar{\sigma} + k)\Gamma(-2\bar{\sigma} - k + \frac{5}{2})\Gamma(s - \bar{\sigma} - k + \frac{5}{2})\Gamma(s - k + \frac{3}{2})}{\Gamma(-\bar{\sigma} - k + \frac{5}{2})\Gamma(s - k + \frac{5}{2})\Gamma(k - s)\Gamma(s - \bar{\sigma} - k + \frac{3}{2})} \\
&\quad \times {}_3F_2 \left[ \begin{matrix} -\bar{\sigma}, & \bar{\sigma} + k - \frac{3}{2}, & k - s - \frac{3}{2} \\ k - s - \frac{1}{2}, & k - s \end{matrix} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting these equations into (20), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega_{k,\sigma}^-(s) - \Omega_{k,\sigma}^-(k - s) &= \zeta^*(2s + 2\bar{\sigma} - 1)\zeta^*(2s - 2\bar{\sigma} - 2k + 2) \\
&\times \pi^{-k+1/2} C(\sigma, k) \left\{ X(s) + Y(s) {}_3F_2 \left[ \begin{matrix} k + \bar{\sigma} - \frac{3}{2}, & -\bar{\sigma}, & k - s - \frac{3}{2} \\ k - s - \frac{1}{2}, & k - s \end{matrix} \right] \right\}, \quad (21)
\end{aligned}$$

where  $X(s)$  and  $Y(s)$  are defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
Y(s) &= \frac{\Gamma(-2\bar{\sigma} - k + \frac{3}{2})\Gamma(2\bar{\sigma} + k - \frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(-\bar{\sigma})\Gamma(\bar{\sigma} + 1)} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{3}{2} - s - \bar{\sigma})\Gamma(s - k + \frac{3}{2})}{\Gamma(k - s)} \\
&\quad \times \left( \frac{\Gamma(s - \frac{3}{2})}{\Gamma(s - k + \frac{5}{2})\Gamma(s - \bar{\sigma} - k + 1)} - \frac{\Gamma(k - s - \frac{3}{2})\Gamma(k - s + \bar{\sigma})}{\Gamma(s + \bar{\sigma})\Gamma(1 - s - \bar{\sigma})\Gamma(-s + \frac{5}{2})} \right) \\
&+ \frac{\Gamma(2\bar{\sigma} + k - \frac{3}{2})\Gamma(-2\bar{\sigma} - k + \frac{5}{2})}{\Gamma(k + \bar{\sigma} - \frac{3}{2})\Gamma(-\bar{\sigma} - k + \frac{5}{2})} \frac{\Gamma(-s + k + \bar{\sigma})\Gamma(s - k + \frac{3}{2})}{\Gamma(k - s)} \\
&\quad \times \left( \frac{\Gamma(s - \frac{3}{2})}{\Gamma(s - k + \frac{5}{2})\Gamma(s + \bar{\sigma} - \frac{1}{2})} - \frac{\Gamma(k - s - \frac{3}{2})\Gamma(-s - \bar{\sigma} + \frac{3}{2})}{\Gamma(s - \bar{\sigma} - k + \frac{3}{2})\Gamma(k - s + \bar{\sigma} - \frac{1}{2})\Gamma(-s + \frac{5}{2})} \right), \\
X(s) &= \frac{\Gamma(-2\bar{\sigma} - k + \frac{3}{2})\Gamma(2\bar{\sigma} + k - \frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(-\bar{\sigma})\Gamma(\frac{3}{2})\Gamma(k + \bar{\sigma} - \frac{3}{2})} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{3}{2} - s - \bar{\sigma})\Gamma(k - s - \frac{3}{2})}{\Gamma(\bar{\sigma} + k - s - \frac{1}{2})} \\
&\quad \times \left( \frac{\Gamma(s - \frac{3}{2})\Gamma(s + \bar{\sigma})}{\Gamma(s - \bar{\sigma} - k + 1)} - \frac{\Gamma(k - s - \frac{3}{2})\Gamma(k - s + \bar{\sigma})\Gamma(-k + s + \frac{5}{2})}{\Gamma(1 - s - \bar{\sigma})\Gamma(-s + \frac{5}{2})} \right) \\
&+ \frac{\Gamma(2\bar{\sigma} + k - \frac{3}{2})\Gamma(-2\bar{\sigma} - k + \frac{5}{2})}{\Gamma(-\bar{\sigma})\Gamma(\frac{3}{2})\Gamma(k + \bar{\sigma} - \frac{3}{2})} \frac{\Gamma(-s + k + \bar{\sigma})\Gamma(-s + k - \frac{3}{2})}{\Gamma(-\bar{\sigma} - s + 1)} \\
&\quad \times \left( \frac{\Gamma(s - \frac{3}{2})\Gamma(s - \bar{\sigma} - k + \frac{3}{2})}{\Gamma(s + \bar{\sigma} - \frac{1}{2})} - \frac{\Gamma(k - s - \frac{3}{2})\Gamma(-s - \bar{\sigma} + \frac{3}{2})\Gamma(-k + s + \frac{5}{2})}{\Gamma(k - s + \bar{\sigma} - \frac{1}{2})\Gamma(-s + \frac{5}{2})} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

The reflection formula of the gamma function implies

$$Y(s) = \frac{-\pi^2}{\cos 2\pi\bar{\sigma}} \frac{\Gamma(s-k+\frac{3}{2})\{\cos \pi(s+\bar{\sigma}) \cos \pi s \sin \pi(s-\bar{\sigma})\}^{-1}}{\Gamma(k-s)\Gamma(s-k+\frac{5}{2})\Gamma(-s+\frac{5}{2})\Gamma(s-\bar{\sigma}-k+1)\Gamma(s+\bar{\sigma}-\frac{1}{2})}$$

$$\times (\cos \pi\bar{\sigma} \cos \pi(s+\bar{\sigma}) + \sin \pi\bar{\sigma} \sin \pi(s+\bar{\sigma}) - \cos \pi\bar{\sigma} \cos \pi(s-\bar{\sigma}) + \sin \pi\bar{\sigma} \sin \pi(s-\bar{\sigma}))$$

and the additive formulas of trigonometric functions imply  $Y(s) = 0$ . By a similar way we have

$$X(s) = \frac{-\pi^2}{\cos 2\pi\bar{\sigma}\Gamma(-\bar{\sigma})\Gamma(\frac{3}{2})\Gamma(k+\bar{\sigma}-\frac{3}{2})}$$

$$\times \frac{\Gamma(s-\frac{3}{2})\Gamma(s+\bar{\sigma})\Gamma(s-\bar{\sigma}-k+\frac{3}{2})}{\Gamma(s-k+\frac{5}{2})\Gamma(s-\bar{\sigma}-k+1)\Gamma(s+\bar{\sigma}-\frac{1}{2})} \frac{\cos \pi(s-\bar{\sigma}) \sin \pi(s+\bar{\sigma})}{\cos \pi s}$$

$$\times \left( \frac{1}{\sin \pi(s+\bar{\sigma}) \cos \pi(s+\bar{\sigma})} + \frac{1}{\sin \pi(s-\bar{\sigma}) \cos \pi(s-\bar{\sigma})} \right).$$

A simplification combined with (18) and (21) implies Proposition 2.

Let us prove Theorem 1. For an even integer  $k$  and a complex number  $\sigma$ , we take a natural number  $l$  so that the assumptions in Proposition 2 are satisfied for  $k' = k - 4l$  and  $\sigma' = \sigma + 2l$ . Then Proposition 2 combined with (5) implies Theorem 1 for  $k', \sigma'$ . Using  $k' + 2\sigma' = k + 2\sigma$ , we complete the proof of Theorem 1.

## References

- [1] T. Arakawa, Dirichlet series related to the Eisenstein series on the Siegel upper half-plane. *Comment. Math. Univ. St. Paul.* **27** (1978/79), no. 1, 29–42.
- [2] S. Böcherer, Bemerkungen über die Dirichletreihen von Koecher und Maass, *Math. Gottingensis des Schrift. des SFB. Geometry and Analysis Heft* **68** (1986).
- [3] W. N. Bailey, Generalized hypergeometric series. *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics and Mathematical Physics*, No. 32 Stechert-Hafner, Inc., New York 1964 v+108 pp
- [4] A. Erdelyi, W. Magnus, F. Oberhettinger, F. G. Tricomi, Tables of integral transforms. Vol. I. Based, in part, on notes left by Harry Bateman. McGraw-Hill Book Company, Inc., New York-Toronto-London, 1954. xx+391 pp.
- [5] A. Erdelyi, W. Magnus, F. Oberhettinger, F. G. Tricomi, Tables of integral transforms. Vol. II. Based, in part, on notes left by Harry Bateman. McGraw-Hill Book Company, Inc., New York-Toronto-London, 1954. xvi+451 pp.
- [6] I. S. Gradshteyn, I. M. Ryzhik, Table of integrals, series, and products. Translated from the fourth Russian edition. Sixth edition. Translation edited and with a preface by Alan Jeffrey. Academic Press, Inc., Boston, MA, 1994. xlviii+1204 pp.
- [7] T. Ibukiyama, H. Katsurada, Koecher-Maass series for real analytic Siegel Eisenstein series, “Automorphic Forms and Zeta Functions, Proceedings of the conference in memory of Tsuneo Arakawa”, World Scientific 2006, pp. 170–197.

- [8] T. Ibukiyama, H. Saito, On zeta functions associated to symmetric matrices. I. An explicit form of zeta functions. *Amer. J. Math.* **117** (1995), no. 5, 1097–1155.
- [9] T. Ibukiyama, On Shintani’s zeta functions of ternary zero forms (third approach). *Research on prehomogeneous vector spaces (Japanese) (Kyoto, 1996)*. *Surikaiseikikenkyusho Kokyuroku No. 999* (1997), 10–20.
- [10] T. Ibukiyama, H. Saito, On zeta functions associated to symmetric matrices (II), MPI preprint 97-37.
- [11] T. Ikeda, On the gamma factor of the triple  $L$ -function. I. *Duke Math. J.* **97** (1999), no. 2, 301–318.
- [12] H. Klingen, *Introductory lectures on Siegel modular forms*. *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*, 20. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990. x+162 pp.
- [13] G. Kaufhold, Dirichletsche Reihe mit Funktionalgleichung in der Theorie der Modulfunktion 2. Grades. *Math. Ann.* **137** 1959 454–476.
- [14] H. Maass, Siegel’s modular forms and Dirichlet series. Dedicated to the last great representative of a passing epoch. Carl Ludwig Siegel on the occasion of his seventy-fifth birthday. *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, **216**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York. v+328 pp. (1971)
- [15] T. Miyake, *Modular forms*. Translated from the Japanese by Yoshitaka Maeda. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989. x+335 pp.
- [16] Y. Mizuno, The Rankin-Selberg convolution for real analytic Cohen’s Eisenstein series of half-integral weight, submitted.
- [17] F. Sato, On zeta functions of ternary zero forms. *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math.* **28** (1981), no. 3, 585–604.
- [18] G. Shimura, On the holomorphy of certain Dirichlet series. *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)* **31** (1975), no. 1, 79–98.
- [19] G. Shimura, Confluent hypergeometric functions on tube domains. *Math. Ann.* **260** (1982), no. 3, 269–302.
- [20] G. Shimura, On Eisenstein series. *Duke Math. J.* **50** (1983), no. 2, 417–476.
- [21] T. Shintani, On zeta-functions associated with the vector space of quadratic forms. *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. I A Math.* **22** (1975), 25–65.
- [22] L. J. Slater, *Generalized hypergeometric functions*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1966 xiii+273 pp.
- [23] J. Sturm, Special values of zeta functions, and Eisenstein series of half integral weight. *Amer. J. Math.* **102** (1980), no. 2, 219–240.

Yoshinori Mizuno  
 Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik,  
 Vivatsgasse 7, D-53111 Bonn, Germany  
 e-mail: mizuno@mpim-bonn.mpg.de