A C^*-ANALOGUE OF KAZHDAN'S PROPERTY (T)

A. A. PAVLOV AND E. V. TROITSKY

Abstract. This paper deals with a "naive" way of generalization of the Kazhdan's property (T) to C^*-algebras. This approach differs from the approach of Connes and Jones, which has already demonstrated its utility. Nevertheless it turned out that our approach is applicable to the following rather subtle question in the theory of C^*-Hilbert modules.

We prove that a separable unital C^*-algebra A has property MI (*module-infinite*, i. e. any C^*-Hilbert module over A is self-dual if and only if it is finitely generated projective) if and only if it has not property ($\mathrm{T_1P}$) (*property* (T) *at one point*, i. e. there exists in $\widehat{A}$ a finite dimensional isolated point).

The commutative case was studied in a previous paper.

1. Introduction

There are at least two basic approaches to the definition of Kazhdan's property (T) [14] for topological groups (see [6, 2, 16]). The first one uses the notion of ε-invariant vectors, and property (T) is formulated in terms of existence of a so-called Kazhdan pair for a topological group. More precisely, let G be a topological group, $Q \subset G$ be a compact set, and $\varepsilon > 0$. Then the group G has T-property if any unitary representation (π, H) of G which has a (Q, ε)-invariant vector also has a non-zero invariant vector (in this case the pair (Q, ε) is called a Kazhdan pair). The second approach is a reformulation of property (T) in terms of Fell's topology. From this point of view a topological group G has (T)-property if its identity representation 1_G is isolated in $\mathcal{R} \cup 1_G$, for every set $\mathcal{R}$ of equivalence classes of unitary representations of G without non-zero invariant vectors.

An analogue of property (T) for W^*-algebras was introduced by Connes and Jones in [3, 4]. The key notion of this definition was the notion of a correspondence which plays a role of a representation of a group. Namely, let A and B be von Neumann algebras. A correspondence from A to B is a Hilbert space H which is a left A-module and a right B-module with commuting normal actions. It is possible to introduce some topology on the set of all correspondences from A to B which is similar to Fell's topology. Let Id_A be the identity correspondence constructed in [12]. Then A has (T)-property if there is a neighborhood U of Id_A such that any correspondence in U contains Id_A as a direct summand. This definition may be reformulated in terms of central and almost central vectors. In [4] was proved that a countable discrete group G with factorial von Neumann algebra $L(G)$ has Kazhdan's property if and only if $L(G)$ has property (T). This is a very natural approach and a number of developments and applications was obtained (in particular, was constructed an example of a homomorphism θ of a discrete group Q with

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46L.

Key words and phrases. Kazhdan's property (T), dual object, Hilbert C*-modules.

Partially supported by the RFBR (grant 05-01-00982) and the Grant "Universities of Russia".

property (T) into $\mathrm{Out}(\lambda(F_\infty)'')$ with trivial obstruction $\mathrm{Ob}\,\theta \in H^3(Q,\mathbb{T})$ but which cannot be lifted to a homomorphism from Q to $\mathrm{Aut}(\lambda(F_\infty)'')$ [4]).

For some C^*-algebras an analogue of property (T) was defined in [1] by an adaptation of Connes' definition. On the whole the approach of B. Bekka is close to the first approach for topological groups. Namely, let A be either a unital C^*-algebra admitting a tracial state or a finite von Neumann algebra. Then A has Property (T) if there exist a finite subset F of A and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that the following property holds: if a Hilbert A-bimodule H contains a unit (F,ε)-central vector, then H has a non-zero central vector. In [1] it is proved that if G is a countable discrete group and A a C^*-algebra being a quotient of $C^*_{max}(G)$ such that $C^*_r(G)$ is a quotient of A, then G has property (T) if and only if A has Property (T) if and only if $L(G)$ has property (T).

In the present paper we discuss the following "naive" generalization of property (T) to the context of C^*-algebras.

Definition 1. A unital C^*-algebra A has property ($\mathrm{T_1P}$) (*property* (T) (at least) *at one point* of its spectrum) if there exists an isolated point with respect to the Fell's topology in unitary dual $\widehat{A}$ such that the corresponding representation is finite dimensional.

Remark 2. As it is known (see e.g. [6, Prop. 14], [2, Theorem 1.2.6]), for locally compact groups the trivial representation is isolated if and only if all finite dimensional representations are isolated, if and only if any finite dimensional representation is isolated. In particular, for $A = C^*(G)$ our definition is exactly the Kazhdan's one, if G is a locally compact group.

We prove in the present paper that property ($\mathrm{T_1P}$) is responsible for one very fine property of C^*-Hilbert modules over the algebra under consideration.

Definition 3 ([23])**.** A unital C^*-algebra A is called MI (*module-infinite*) if each countably generated Hilbert A-module is projective finitely generated if and only if it is self-dual. Let us remark that a projective finitely generated module over a unital algebra is always self-dual.

Main Theorem. *Suppose, A is a separable unital C^*-algebra. Then A is* MI *if and only if $\widehat{A}$ has no isolated point being a finite-dimensional representation, i. e. A is not* ($\mathrm{T_1P}$).

For commutative algebras this was proved in [23]. The research was motivated by the study of conditional expectations of finite index related to group actions [11, 23, 22] and [18, Sect. 4.5].

Remark 4. The property of existence of isolated points can be formulated for $\widehat{A}$ and for $\mathrm{Prim}(A)$. Fortunately, for finite-dimensional representations it does not depend on the space. Indeed, a difference could arise in the case if an isolated point under consideration has several pre-images. But finite-dimensional irreducible representations are equivalent if and only if they have the same kernel (see e.g. Example 5.6.2 and Theorem 5.6.3 in [20]). This will allow us to choice an appropriate setting to simplify argument.

Acknowledgment. The present research is a part of joint research programm of the second author in Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik (MPI) in Bonn. He would like to thank the MPI for its kind support and hospitality while this work has been completed.

2. Preliminaries and reminding

The necessary information about Hilbert C*-modules can be found in [15] and [18, 17]. Let us remind only some facts and notations for convenience of the reader.

The standard Hilbert module over a C^*-algebra A is denoted by $l_2(A)$ or H_A.

Proposition 5 ([18], Proposition 2.5.5). *Consider the set of all sequences $f = (f_i), f_i \in A, i \in \mathbb{N}$, such that the partial sums of the series $\sum f_i^* f_i$ are uniformly bounded. If A is a unital C^*-algebra, then this set coincides with H'_A, the action of f on H_A is defined by the formula*

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_i^* x_i,$$

where $x = (x_i) \in H_A$, and the norm of the functional f is satisfies

$$\|f\|^2 = \sup_N \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_i^* f_i \right\|.$$

Proposition 6 ([18], Theorem 5.1.6). *Let A be a C^*-algebra. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

(i) *the Hilbert C^*-module H_A is self-dual;*
(ii) *the C^*-algebra A is finite-dimensional.*

Let us remind also some notions and notations about spectrum of a C^*-algebra A (for more information see [8, 21]). Let $\widehat{A}$ be the space of all equivalence classes of irreducible representations of A and $\mathrm{Prim}(A)$ be the space of primitive ideals of A. For $a \in A$ and $I \in \mathrm{Prim}(A)$ we will denote by $\|a + I\|$ the norm of element $a + I$ in the factor algebra A/I.

Theorem 7 (Dauns-Hofmann, [5, 9]). *Let A be a C^*-algebra, let x be an element of A, and let f be a bounded continuous scalar-valued function on $\mathrm{Prim}(A)$, the space of primitive ideals of A endowed with the hull-kernel topology. Then there exists a unique element fx of A such that*

$$(\widehat{fx})(I) = f(I)\widehat{x}(I), \qquad I \in \mathrm{Prim}(A),$$

where $\widehat{x}(I) = x + I \in A/I$.

A point $S \in \mathrm{Prim}(A)$ is called a *separated point* if for any $I \in \mathrm{Prim}(A)$ that is not an accumulation point of S there are disjoint neighborhoods of S and I.

Theorem 8 ([7]). *Suppose A is a C^*-algebra Then*

(i) a point $I \in \mathrm{Prim}(A)$ is separated if and only if for any $x \in A$ the function $I \mapsto \|x+I\|$ is continuous at I;
(ii) if A is separable, then the set of separated points of $\mathrm{Prim}(A)$ is G_δ and it is dense in $\mathrm{Prim}(A)$.

3. Some properties of $\mathrm{Prim}(A)$.

Suppose, A is a unital C^*-algebra.

Lemma 9. *Let U be a neighborhood of a point $\rho \in \widehat{A}$. Then there exists a positive element $a \in A$ of norm 1 such that*
(i) $\|\rho(a)\| = 1$,
(ii) $\pi(a) = 0$ *for all* $\pi \in \widehat{A} \setminus U$.
The same is true in $\mathrm{Prim}(A)$.

Proof. By [8, Lemma 3.3.3] there exists a positive element $x \in A$ such that the set $Z = \{\pi \in \widehat{A} : \|\pi(x)\| > 1\}$ contains ρ and belongs to U. Let $\|\rho(x)\| = t$, $1 < t \leq \|x\|$, and let $t_1 \in (1, t)$. Let f be a continuous function on $[0, \|x\|]$, equal to 0 on $[0, t_1]$, equal to 1 on $[t, \|x\|]$ and linear on $[t_1, t]$, and let $a = f(x)$. Then $a \geq 0$, $\|a\| = 1$. Besides,

$$\|\rho(a)\| = \|\rho(f(x))\| = \|f(\rho(x))\| = 1$$

and

$$\|\pi(a)\| = \|\pi(f(x))\| = \|f(\pi(x))\| = 0$$

for all $\pi \in \widehat{A} \setminus U$, because $\|\pi(x)\| \leq 1 < t_1$. □

Lemma 10. *Let $\{S_i\}$ be a sequence of different separated points from* $\mathrm{Prim}(A)$*. Then there exist a subsequence $\{S_{i(j)}\}$ of $\{S_i\}$ and neighborhoods V_j of $S_{i(j)}$, which do not contain the remaining points of the subsequence.*

Proof. Suppose, $\{S_i\}$ has no accumulation points among its members. Then each of them has a neighborhood with no other points of the sequence.

Now, suppose that S_k is an accumulation point. Without loss of generality (we can pass to a subsequence) we assume that S_k is a limit point. Let V_1' and V_1 be disjoint neighborhoods of S_k and $S_1 =: S_{i(1)}$ (since $\mathrm{Prim}(A)$ is a T_0 space, separated points there form a Hausdorff space, in particular, any finite number of them has pairwise disjoint neighborhoods). Then there exist $S_{i(2)} \in V_1'$, $S_{i(2)} \neq S_k$, and their disjoint neighborhoods $W_2' \ni S_k$ and $W_2 \ni S_{i(2)}$. Take $V_2' := W_2' \cap V_1'$ and $V_2 := W_2 \cap V_1'$. And so on. □

4. The property DINC

Definition 11. A unital C*-algebra is said to be DINC (*divisible infinite for the noncommutative case*) if for any sequence $u_i \in A$ of elements of norm $1 \geq \|u_i\| \geq C > 0$ there exist a subsequence $i(k)$, elements $b_k \in A$ of norm 1 such that
(i) the partial sums of the series $\sum_k b_k^* b_k$ are uniformly bounded, and
(ii) for each k

$$\|u_{i(k)} b_k\| \geq C/2. \tag{1}$$

The following result is a generalization of [23, Theorem 32].

Theorem 12. *If a unital C^*-algebra A is* DINC*, then it is* MI.

Proof. We have to prove that if a countable generated Hilbert A-module $\mathcal{M}$ is not finitely generated projective, then it is not self-dual. By the Kasparov stabilization theorem [13] (see also [15, 18]) one has $\mathcal{M} \oplus l_2(A) \cong l_2(A)$. Denote by $p_{\mathcal{M}} : l_2(A) \to \mathcal{M} \subset l_2(A)$

the corresponding orthoprojection. Let $p_j : l_2(A) \to E_j \cong A^j$ be the orthoprojection on the first j standard summands of $l_2(A)$ and q_j the orthoprojection on the j-th standard summand in such a way that $p_j = q_1 + \cdots + q_j$. Two possibilities can arise: 1) $\|(1-p_j)p_{\mathcal{M}}\| \to 0$ as $j \to \infty$, and 2) the opposite case.

1) Let us show that in this case $\mathcal{M}$ is finitely generated projective, i.e. this case is impossible under our assumptions. One can argue as in [19]: for a sufficiently large j the operator

$$J(x) = \begin{cases} p_j(x) & \text{if } x \in \mathcal{M}, \\ x & \text{if } x \in \mathcal{M}^\perp \cong l_2(A) \end{cases}$$

is close to identity, hence an isomorphism. It maps $\mathcal{M}$ isomorphically onto a direct summand of E_j.

2) In this case consider the matrix of the orthogonal projection $p_{\mathcal{M}}$. This is an adjointable (in fact self-adjoint) operator $p_{\mathcal{M}} : l_2(A) \to l_2(A)$. Hence $\|p_j p_{\mathcal{M}}(1-p_k)\| \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$ for fixed j. Indeed, it is sufficient to verify that $\|q_m p_{\mathcal{M}}(1-p_k)\| \to 0$ for each $m = 1, \dots, j$, i.e.

$$\sup_{\|x\|=1} |\langle e_m, p_{\mathcal{M}}(1-p_k)x\rangle| = \sup_{\|x\|=1} |\langle (1-p_k)p_{\mathcal{M}}e_m, x\rangle| = \|(1-p_k)p_{\mathcal{M}}e_m\| \to 0.$$

The last is evident because $p_{\mathcal{M}}e_m$ is a fixed element of $l_2(A)$. So, we have in this case the following relations:

$$\|(1-p_j)p_{\mathcal{M}}\| \not\to 0 \quad (j\to\infty), \qquad \|p_j p_{\mathcal{M}}(1-p_k)\| \to 0 \quad (k \to \infty,\ j \text{ is fixed}).$$

Using these two observations one can choose decompositions $l_2(A) = M_1 \oplus M_2 \oplus \dots$ of the domain and $l_2(A) = N_1 \oplus N_2 \oplus \dots$ of the range of $p_{\mathcal{M}}$ in such a way that

- M_i and N_i are free modules generated by several consequent vectors
$$e_{\mu(1,i)}, \dots, e_{\mu(m_i,i)} \qquad \text{and} \qquad e_{\nu(1,i)}, \dots, e_{\nu(n_i,i)}$$
of the standard base;
- there exist elements $v_i \in M_i$, $\|v_i\| \le 1$, such that the projection of $p_{\mathcal{M}}(v_i)$ onto N_i is not small, more precisely
$$\|(p_{\nu(n_i,i)} - p_{\nu(1,i)-1})p_{\mathcal{M}}(v_i)\| \ge C$$
for some fixed $C > 0$ for any i;
- for these elements the projection of $p_{\mathcal{M}}(v_i)$ onto $N_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus N_{i-1} \oplus N_{i+1} \oplus \dots$ is small, more precisely
$$\|(1 - p_{\nu(n_i,i)} + p_{\nu(1,i)-1})p_{\mathcal{M}}(v_i)\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^i}$$
for any beforehand fixed sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$ and any i.

We find these elements by induction over i. By the supposition there exists a number $K > 0$ such that for any j

$$\|(1-p_j)p_{\mathcal{M}}w_j\| \ge K \tag{2}$$

for some w_j of norm 1. Evidently, $K \le 1$. Let $\varepsilon = K/4$. Let x be any vector of norm 1 from $\mathcal{M}$. Then up to $\varepsilon/4$ the vector x is in $M_1 = N_1 = E_s$ for some $s = \mu(m_1,1) = \nu(n_1,1) = m_1 = n_1$ and the conditions hold with v_1 being the projection of x onto M_1 and $C = 1-\varepsilon \ge K - \varepsilon$. Now choose a number $t > \mu(m_1,1)$ such that $\|p_s p_{\mathcal{M}}(1-p_t)\| < \varepsilon/16$

and after that a number $d > \nu(n_1, 1)$ such that $\|(1 - p_d)p_{\mathcal{M}}p_t\| < \varepsilon/16$. Choose w_d as in (2) and a number $r > t$ such that $\|(1 - p_r)(1 - p_t)w_d\| < \varepsilon/16$. Then

$$\|(1 - p_d)p_{\mathcal{M}}p_r(1 - p_t)w_d\| \geq \|(1 - p_d)p_{\mathcal{M}}(1 - p_t)w_d\| - \frac{\varepsilon}{16}$$
$$\geq \|(1 - p_d)p_{\mathcal{M}}w_d\| - \frac{\varepsilon}{8} \geq K - \frac{\varepsilon}{8}.$$

Now choose a number $l > d$ such that

$$\|p_l(1 - p_d)p_{\mathcal{M}}p_r(1 - p_t)w_d\| \geq K - \frac{\varepsilon}{4}$$

and

$$\|(1 - p_l)p_{\mathcal{M}}p_r(1 - p_t)w_d\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{8}. \tag{3}$$

Let $\mu(m_2, 2) := r$, $\nu(n_2, 2) := l$, $v_2 := p_r(1 - p_t)w_d$. Then $\|v_2\| \leq 1$ and $v_2 \in M_2$, because $t > \mu(m_1, 1)$. Since $l > d > \nu(n_1, 1)$, one has

$$\|(p_{\nu(n_2,2)} - p_{\nu(n_1,1)})p_{\mathcal{M}}(v_2)\| \geq \|(p_l - p_d)p_r(1 - p_t)w_d\|$$
$$= \|p_l(1 - p_d)p_r(1 - p_t)w_d\| \geq K - \frac{\varepsilon}{4}.$$

Since $\|p_s p_{\mathcal{M}}(1 - p_t)\| < \varepsilon/16$, one has

$$\varepsilon/16 > \|p_{\nu(n_1,1)}p_{\mathcal{M}}(1 - p_t)p_r w_d\| = \|p_{\nu(n_1,1)}p_{\mathcal{M}}p_r(1 - p_t)w_d\| = \|p_{\nu(n_1,1)}p_{\mathcal{M}}v_2\|.$$

Together with (3) this gives the last necessary property. Proceeding in such a way we obtain the desired decompositions and elements with

$$C = K - \varepsilon \geq \frac{3}{4} \cdot K.$$

Denote $u_i := p_{\mathcal{M}}(v_i)$. Let z_i be the orthoprojection of u_i onto N_i. Then $C \leq \|u_i\| \leq 1$, $\|z_i - u_i\| < \varepsilon/2^i$, $\|z_i\| \leq (1 + \varepsilon/2^i)$.

According to Definition 11 let us choose elements b_k for $\langle z_k, z_k\rangle$ (for the sake of notational brevity we assume that we do not need to pass to a subsequence the second time). Then the formula

$$\beta(x) = \sum_k b_k^* \langle z_k, x\rangle \tag{4}$$

defines an A-functional on $l_2(A)$. By Proposition 5 to verify this, it is sufficient to prove that partial sums of the series

$$\sum_i \beta(e_i)\beta(e_i)^*$$

are uniformly bounded. If $e_i \in N_m$, then

$$\beta(e_i) = \sum_{k \neq m} b_k^* \langle z_k, e_i\rangle + b_m^* \langle z_m, e_i\rangle = b_m^* \langle z_m, e_i\rangle.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_i \beta(e_i)\beta(e_i)^* &= \sum_m \sum_{e_i\in N_m} \left(b_m^*\langle z_m, e_i\rangle\right)\left(b_m^*\langle z_m, e_i\rangle\right)^* \\ &= \sum_m b_m^* \left(\sum_{e_i\in N_m} \langle z_m, e_i\rangle\langle z_m, e_i\rangle^*\right) b_m \\ &= \sum_m b_m^*\langle z_m, z_m\rangle b_m \le (1+\varepsilon)^2 \sum_m b_m^* b_m.\end{aligned}$$

Let us show that there is no adjointable functional α on $l_2(A)$ such that $\alpha|_{\mathcal{M}} = \beta|_{\mathcal{M}}$, and hence, $\beta|_{\mathcal{M}}$ is a non-adjointable functional on $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{M}$ is not a self-dual module. Indeed, suppose, there exists an element $a = (a_1, a_2, \dots) \in \mathcal{M} \subset l_2(A)$ such that $\alpha(x) := \sum_i a_i x^i = \beta(x)$ for any $x \in \mathcal{M}$. Then

$$\alpha(z_j) \to 0, \quad [\alpha(u_j) - \alpha(z_j)] \to 0, \quad \alpha(u_j) = \beta(u_j),$$
$$[\beta(u_j) - \beta(z_j)] \to 0, \quad \beta(z_j) = b_j^*\langle z_j, z_j\rangle \not\to 0.$$

We obtain a contradiction. □

5. A description of spectra of MI-algebras

The following theorem is proved in [10] in much more generality. We present here a more elementary argument to make the present text more self-contained.

Theorem 13. *Let a C^*-algebra A be an irreducible subalgebra of $B(H)$. Then the following properties are equivalent.*

- *H is infinite-dimensional;*
- *there exists a sequence $\alpha_i \in A$ such that*
  (1) $\|\alpha_i\| = 1$;
  (2) $0 \le \alpha_i \le 1$;
  (3) $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \le 1$ for any n.

Proof. If H is finite-dimensional, evidently a sequence with the mentioned properties does not exist.

Now let H be infinite-dimensional. If there exists an element $\alpha \ge 0$ of A with infinite spectrum, then we can construct the desired α_i with the help of functional calculus on the spectrum of α, i.e. to find them inside the commutative C^*-algebra generated by α.

So, suppose, that any positive element of A has a finite spectrum. In this situation any projection $p \in A$ can be decomposed into a sum of 2 non-trivial projections $p = p_0 + p_1$ supposing that the image of p has dimension at least 2. Indeed, let h_0 and h_1 be two unit vectors from the image of p, which are orthogonal to each other. By Kadison transitivity theorem there exists a self-adjoint $\alpha \in A$ such that $\alpha(h_0) = 0$ and $\alpha(h_1) = h_1$. Then $p\alpha^*\alpha p = p\alpha^2 p$ has 0 and 1 as eigenvalues with eigenvectors in $\operatorname{Im} p$. Hence, its spectral decomposition over $\operatorname{Im} p$ is non-trivial, while the projections are in A since the spectrum is finite.

Now we can repeat this taking of decomposition $p = p_0 + p_1$ infinitely many times starting from some spectral projection and obtain a sequence of mutually orthogonal projections, which can be taken as α_i. □

We need the following lemma for the proof of the next theorem.

Lemma 14. *Suppose, $\widehat{A}$ has an isolated point being a finite-dimensional representation. Then A is not* MI.

Proof. Let $M \in \mathrm{Prim}(A)$ be the kernel of this isolated representation ρ. Then M is a maximal ideal. Let

$$\chi(I) = \begin{cases} 1, & I = M, \\ 0, & I \neq M \end{cases}$$

be the characteristic function of $\{M\}$. The set $\{M\}$ is open and closed, therefore the function χ is continuous. Let us consider the finite-dimensional matrix C^*-algebra $M_n = \rho(A) \cong A/M$. By the Dauns-Hofmann theorem (Theorem 7) for any $a \in A$ there exists a unique element $(\chi a) \in A$ such that $\chi \widehat{a} = \widehat{\chi a}$. Here

$$\widehat{a}(I) = a + I, \quad I \in \mathrm{Prim}(A).$$

Suppose,

$$A_M = \{a \in A : \widehat{a}(I) = 0 \quad \text{for all} \quad I \neq M\}$$

and α is the composition

$$A \longrightarrow A/M \xrightarrow{\cong} M_n.$$

Then by the Dauns-Hofmann theorem the restriction of α to A_M is an isomorphism. Also, A_M is a direct summand in A, because the map $a \mapsto \chi a$ is a projection. Thus A is not MI (see Proposition 6). □

Proof of the Main Theorem. In one direction this is just Lemma 14.

Now, suppose, $\widehat{A}$ has no isolated point being a finite-dimensional representation. We will show that A is MI by demonstrating that A is DINC. In accordance with Remark 4 we will work with $\mathrm{Prim}(A)$.

We take any sequence $u_i \in A$ of norm $1 \geq \|u_i\| \geq C$. The functions $f_i(I) = \|u_i + I\|$ are lower semi-continuous on $\mathrm{Prim}(A)$, therefore the sets $G_i = f_i^{-1}(2C/3, \infty)$ are open. Let us choose separated points S_i from G_i, so $1 \geq \|u_i + S_i\| \geq 2C/3$. Passing to a subsequence if necessary, we can assume that either (**I**) $S_i = S$ for all i or (**II**) all S_i's are different.

In the case (**I**) we can suppose that S is an isolated point being a kernel of infinite-dimensional representation $\rho : A \to B(H)$. Indeed, in the opposite case obviously there exists a sequence T_i of distinct separated points such that $T_i \to S$. Passing from S to T_i, we obtain the case **(II)**.

So, let us study the case (**I**) with this supposition on S to be isolated. The set $\{S\}$ is open, therefore by Lemma 9 there exists a positive element $a \in A$ of norm 1 such that $\|a + S\| = 1$ and $\|a + I\| = 0$ for all primitive ideals $I \neq S$. By Theorem 13 there exists a sequence $a_i \in A$ such that

(1) $\|a_i + S\| = 1$;
(2) $0 \leq a_i + S \leq 1 + S$;
(3) $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i + S \leq 1 + S$ for any n.

Consider an irreducible representation ρ of A on H with kernel S. Now we choose $x_i, y \in H$ of norm 1 such that

$$\|\rho(a_i)x_i\| > \|\rho(a_i)\| - \varepsilon = 1 - \varepsilon, \qquad \|\rho(a)y\| > \|\rho(a)\| - \varepsilon > 1 - \varepsilon,$$

where $(1-\varepsilon)^2 > 1/\sqrt{2}$. By the Kadison transitivity theorem there exist unitary operators $\rho(c_i) \in \rho(A)$, $\|c_i\| = 1$ (cf. [8, Theorem 2.8.3.]), such that

$$y = \rho(c_i)\left(\frac{\rho(a_i)x_i}{\|\rho(a_i)x_i\|}\right).$$

Hence,

$$\|a(c_ia_i) + S\| \geq \|\rho(ac_ia_i)x_i\| = \|\rho(a)y\|\|\rho(a_i)x_i\| > (\|\rho(a_i)\| - \varepsilon)(\|\rho(a)\| - \varepsilon) > 1/\sqrt{2}.$$

Put $v_i = ac_ia_i$ and $b_i = v_i^*v_i$. We claim that

(1) $\|b_i + S\| > 1/2$;
(2) $0 \leq b_i + S \leq 1 + S$;
(3) $\sum_{i=1}^n b_i + S \leq 1 + S$ for any n;
(4) $\|b_i + I\| = 0$ for all primitive ideals $I \neq S$.

The third property follows from the estimation

$$\sum_{i=1}^n b_i + S = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^*c_i^*a^*ac_ia_i + S \leq \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^*a_i + S \leq 1 + S.$$

The others properties above are clear. From $\|b_i\| = \sup\{\|b_i + I\| : I \in \mathrm{Prim}(A)\}$ it follows that $\|b_i\| = \|b_i + S\| > 1/2$ for all i and $\|\sum_{i=1}^n b_i\| \leq 1$ for all n. Therefore

$$u_ib_i + I = \begin{cases} u_ib_i + S, & I = S, \\ 0, & I \neq S, \end{cases}$$

and $\|u_ib_i\| = \|u_ib_i + S\|$. Now we choose $\xi_i, \eta_i \in H$ of norm 1 such that

$$\|\rho(b_i)\xi_i\| > \|\rho(b_i)\| - \varepsilon > 1/2 - \varepsilon, \qquad \|\rho(u_i)\eta_i\| > \|\rho(u_i)\| - \varepsilon \geq C/2 - \varepsilon,$$

where $(1/2 - \varepsilon)(C/2 - \varepsilon) > C/4$. By the Kadison transitivity theorem there exist unitary operators $\rho(c_i) \in \rho(A)$, $\|c_i\| = 1$, such that

$$\eta_i = \rho(c_i)\left(\frac{\rho(b_i)\xi_i}{\|\rho(b_i)\xi_i\|}\right).$$

Hence,

$$\|u_i(c_ib_i)\| \geq \|\rho(u_ic_ib_i)\xi_i\| = \|\rho(u_i)\eta_i\|\|\rho(b_i)\xi_i\| > (\|\rho(b_i)\| - \varepsilon)(\|\rho(u_i)\| - \varepsilon) > C/4.$$

Thus c_ib_i can serve as a sequence for u_i denoted by b_i in Definition 11. Therefore A is DINC and by Theorem 12 it is MI.

Lemma 10 there are neighborhoods V_i of S_i such that $S_j \notin V_i$ if $j \neq i$ (for the sake of notational brevity we do not pass to a subsequence). Now by Lemma 9 we can find a sequence y_n of positive elements of A such that

$$\|y_n\| = 1, \qquad \|y_n + S_n\| = 1, \quad \|y_n + S_m\| = 0 \qquad \text{for all} \qquad m \neq n. \tag{5}$$

Now we will pass from the sequence y_n to another sequence z_n with the following properties:

(a): the partial sums of $\sum_k z_k^*z_k$ are uniformly bounded by 1,
(b): $\|z_i + S_j\| = 0$ for all $j \neq i$,
(c): $\|z_i + S_i\| = 1$ for any i.

For this purpose let us define $z_1 := y_1$ and by induction

$$z_{i+1} := y_{i+1} \left(1 - \sum_{k=1}^{i} z_k^* z_k \right)^{1/2}. \tag{6}$$

From point (a) for z_i it follows that z_{i+1} is well-defined. The point (b) is clear. The point (a) follows from the estimation:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{i+1} z_k^* z_k = \sum_{k=1}^{i} z_k^* z_k + \left(1 - \sum_{k=1}^{i} z_k^* z_k \right)^{1/2} (y_{i+1})^2 \left(1 - \sum_{k=1}^{i} z_k^* z_k \right)^{1/2} \leq 1.$$

Besides, by (b)

$$\left(1 - \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} z_k^* z_k \right)^{1/2} + S_i = 1.$$

Hence,

$$\|z_i + S_i\| = \|y_i + S_i\| = 1$$

and (c) holds as well.

Now let $S_i = \ker(\rho_i)$ and H_i be the space of the irreducible representation ρ_i. We can choose $\xi_i, \eta_i \in H_i$ of norm 1 such that into H_i:

$$\|\rho_i(z_i)\xi_i\| > \|\rho_i(z_i)\| - \varepsilon = 1 - \varepsilon, \qquad \|\rho_i(u_i)\eta_i\| > \|\rho_i(u_i)\| - \varepsilon \geq 2C/3 - \varepsilon,$$

where $(1-\varepsilon)(2C/3 - \varepsilon) \geq C/2$. By the Kadison transitivity theorem there exist unitary operators $\rho_i(c_i) \in \rho_i(A)$, $\|c_i\| = 1$, such that

$$\eta_i = \rho_i(c_i) \left(\frac{\rho_i(z_i)\xi_i}{\|\rho_i(z_i)\xi_i\|} \right).$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_i(c_i z_i)\| \geq \|\rho_i(u_i(c_i z_i))\| \geq \|\rho_i(u_i c_i z_i)\xi_i\| \\ = \|\rho_i(u_i)\eta_i\| \|\rho_i(z_i)\xi_i\| > (1-\varepsilon)(\|\rho_i(u_i)\| - \varepsilon) \geq C/2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $b_i := c_i z_i$ is a sequence for u_i as in Definition 11. Therefore A is DINC and by Theorem 12 it is MI. □

Let us consider two examples.

Example 15. Let $K(H)$ be the C^*-algebra of compact operators in an infinite-dimensional separable Hilbert space H. For $x, y, z \in H$ suppose $\theta_{x,y}(z) = x\langle y, z\rangle$, so $\theta_{x,y} \in K(H)$. Let $A = \widetilde{K(H)}$ be the algebra $K(H)$ with an adjoint unit. Then $\mathrm{Prim}(A) = \{0, K(H)\}$ and all open sets in $\mathrm{Prim}(A)$ are $\emptyset, \{0\}, \{0, K(H)\}$. Thus $\{0\}$ is an isolated point corresponding to the identity (infinite-dimensional irreducible) representation in H. Suppose $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is an orthonormal basis for H and p_i are projections from H onto $\mathrm{span}\{e_i\}$. Then

(1) $\|p_i\| = 1$;
(2) $0 \leq p_i \leq 1$;
(3) $\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i \leq 1$ for any n;
(4) $\|p_i + K(H)\| = 0$ for any i.

Now we take any sequence $u_i \in A$ of norm $1 \geq \|u_i\| \geq C$ and choose $x_i \in H$ of norm 1 such that

$$\|u_i x_i\| > \|u_i\| - \varepsilon \geq C - \varepsilon > C/2.$$

Put $c_i = \theta_{x_i, e_i}$. Then $c_i(e_i) = x_i$ and

$$\|u_i(c_i p_i)\| \geq \|u_i c_i p_i e_i\| = \|u_i x_i\| > C/2.$$

Thus $c_i p_i$ can serve as a sequence for u_i denoted by b_i in Definition 11. Therefore A is DINC and by Theorem 12 it is MI.

Example 16. Let $\mathbb{A}$ be the Toeplitz algebra and H^2 be the Hardy space. The identity representation of $\mathbb{A}$ in H^2 is irreducible (cf. [20, Theorem 3.5.5]). We claim that any non zero ideal $I \subset \mathbb{A}$ contains $K(H^2)$. Indeed, let $u \in I$, $u \neq 0$. Then there exists $x \in H^2$ of norm 1 such that $u(x) \neq 0$. For any $y \in H^2$ put

$$p = \theta_{y,y}, \qquad v = \frac{\theta_{y,\,u(x)}}{\|u(x)\|^2}.$$

Then $vu(x) = y$ and $p = vu\theta_{x,x}u^*v^* \in I$. Therefore $K(H^2) \subset I$. Thus the zero ideal is an unique isolated point in $\mathrm{Prim}(\mathbb{A})$. Similar to the previous example one can demonstrate that the Toeplitz algebra $\mathbb{A}$ is DINC and by Theorem 12 it is MI.

References

[1] M. Bachir Bekka, *A property (T) for C^*-algebras*, arXiv:math.OA/0505189, 2005.

[2] M. Bachir Bekka, Pierre de la Harpe, and Alain Valette, *Kazhdan's property (T)*, Preprint.

[3] A. Connes, *A factor of type* II_1 *with countable fundamental group*, J. Operator Theory **4** (1980), no. 1, 151–153. MR **MR587372 (81j:**46099)

[4] A. Connes and V. Jones, *Property T for von Neumann algebras*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **17** (1985), no. 1, 57–62. MR **MR766450 (86a:**46083)

[5] J. Dauns and K. H. Hofmann, *Representations of rings by continuous sections*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 83, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1968.

[6] Pierre de la Harpe and Alain Valette, *La propriété* (T) *de Kazhdan pour les groupes localement compacts (avec un appendice de Marc Burger)*, Astérisque (1989), no. 175, 158. MR **MR1023471 (90m:**22001)

[7] J. Dixmier, *Points séparés dans le spectre d'une C^*-algèbre*, Acta Sc. Math. **22** (1961), 115–128.

[8] ———, *C*-algebras*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1982.

[9] George A. Elliott and Dorte Olesen, *A simple proof of the Dauns-Hofmann theorem*, Math. Scand. **34** (1974), 231–234. MR MR0355617 (50 #8091)

[10] M. Frank, *Self-duality and C^*-reflexivity of Hilbert C^*-modules*, Zeitschr. Anal. Anwendungen **9** (1990), 165–176.

[11] M. Frank, V. M. Manuilov, and E. V. Troitsky, *On conditional expectations arising from group actions*, Zeitschr. Anal. Anwendungen **16** (1997), 831–850.

[12] Uffe Haagerup, *The standard form of von Neumann algebras*, Math. Scand. **37** (1975), no. 2, 271–283. MR MR0407615 (53 #11387)

[13] G. G. Kasparov, *Hilbert C*-modules: theorems of Stinespring and Voiculescu*, J. Operator Theory **4** (1980), 133–150.

[14] D. A. Každan, *On the connection of the dual space of a group with the structure of its closed subgroups*, Funkcional. Anal. i Priložen. **1** (1967), 71–74. MR MR0209390 (35 #288)

[15] E. C. Lance, *Hilbert C*-modules - a toolkit for operator algebraists*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 210, Cambridge University Press, England, 1995.

[16] Alexander Lubotzky and Andrzej Żuk, *On property (τ) – preliminary version*, Preprint, 2003, http://www.ma.huji.ac.il/ãlexlub/.

[17] V. M. Manuilov and E. V. Troitsky, *Hilbert C*- and W*-modules and their morphisms*, J. Math. Sci. (New York) **98** (2000), no. 2, 137–201.
[18] ______, *Hilbert C^*-modules*, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, vol. 226, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2005, Translated from the 2001 Russian original by the authors. MR MR2125398
[19] A. S. Mishchenko and A. T. Fomenko, *The index of elliptic operators over C*-algebras*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Mat. **43** (1979), 831–859, English translation, Math. USSR-Izv. **15**, 87-112, 1980.
[20] G. J. Murphy, *C^*-algebras and operator theory*, Academic Press, San Diego, 1990.
[21] Gert K. Pedersen, *C^*-algebras and their automorphism groups*, London Mathematical Society Monographs, vol. 14, Academic Press Inc. [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich Publishers], London, 1979.
[22] V. V. Seregin, *Reflexivity of C^*-Hilbert modules obtained by the actions of a group*, Vestnik Moskov. Univ. Ser. I Mat. Mekh. (2003), no. 1, 40–45, 72, In Russian, English translation: Moscow Univ. Math. Bull. 58 (2003), no. 1, 44–48. MR **MR2006719 (2004h:**46070)
[23] E. V. Troitsky, *Discrete groups actions and corresponding modules*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **131** (2003), no. 11, 3411–3422.

Department of Geography, Moscow State University, 119 992 Moscow, Russia
E-mail address: axpavlov@mail.ru

Dept. of Mech. and Math., Moscow State University, 119 992 Moscow, Russia
E-mail address: troitsky@mech.math.msu.su
URL: http://mech.math.msu.su/~troitsky