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#### Abstract

The aim of this paper is to describe the topology of the leaves of a homogeneous foliation on $\mathbf{C}^{2}$ with isolated singularity and reduced tangent cone. This description leads to a complete topological classification of translation surfaces associated to a polygonal billiard.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\bar{P} \subset \mathbf{R}^{2}$ be a polygon and $Q(\bar{P})$ the set of its vertices. Through this article we consider polygons without vertices $P:=\bar{P} \backslash Q(\bar{P})$. Let $S(P)$ denote the translation real surface arising by unfolding billiard trajectories inside $P$. In general, there exist a "non dicritical" holomorphic homogeneous foliation $\mathcal{F}=\mathcal{F}(P)$ on $\mathbf{C}^{2}$ whose generic leaves are isomorphic, as translation surfaces, to the surface $S(P)$ [7]. Before precising what genericity and generality mean, (see $\S 2$ and $\S 4$ respectively) we recall what is known about the topology of the orientable surface $S(P)$ :

Lemma 1. [4] Let the angles of $P$ be $\pi m_{i} / n_{i}, i=1, \ldots, k$, where $m_{i}$ and $n_{i}$ are coprime, and $N$ be the last common multiple of $n_{i}$ 's. Then

$$
\text { genus } S(P)=1+\frac{N}{2}\left(k-2-\Sigma \frac{1}{n_{i}}\right)
$$

In this article we give complete topological description of the orientable surfaces $S(P)$ when the angles of $P$ are not necessarily rational. We achieve this by prooving the following
Theorem 1. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be an homogeneous holomorphic foliation on $\mathbf{C}^{2}$ with isolated singularity and reduced tangent cone. Then, every non singular leaf which is not a separatrix is homeomorphic to either:
(1) $\mathbf{C} \backslash \mathbf{Z}[i]$, endowed with the standart topology.
(2) $S \backslash E$, where $S$ is a compact RIEMANN surface and $E \subset S$ is a finite set of points.
(3) $M \backslash E^{\prime}$, where $M$ is the LOCH NESS monster, i.e. the plane to which we stick a countable set of handles, and $E^{\prime} \subseteq M$ is a countable and discrete set of points.
(4) $M$, the LOCH NESS monster.

The foregoing theorem completes a result presented in [7]. From the same reference, we deduce that any translation surface $S(P)$ is homeomorphic to either (2), (3) or (4). It is relevant to remark that, up to punctures, all the topological surfaces in our list are contained in the milestone

Theorem 2. [3] Let $\mathcal{G}$ be an orientable dimension 2 lamination on an arbitrary compact space. Then, if $\mathcal{G}$ has no compact leaf, a non countable set of its leaves are diffeomorphic to either:
(1) The plane $\mathbf{R}^{2}$,
(2) The LOch NeSs monster,
(3) The cylinder $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{S}^{1}$,
(4) JACOB'S STAIR, i.e. the cylinder to which we stick a countable set of handles in both directions,
(5) CANTOR'S TREE, i.e. the sphere $\mathrm{S}^{2}$ to which we remove a CANTOR set,
(6) CANTOR'S FLORID TREE, i.e., a CANTOR'S TREE to which we stick a countable number of handles in all directions.

## 2. GENERALItIES

In this section we precise our language and notation. A holomorphic foliation on $\mathbf{C}^{2}$ is said to be homogeneous if it is invariant under the natural action of the homothetic transformation group $\left\{T_{k}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right):=k\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \quad \mid k \in \mathbf{C}^{*}\right\}$. In this article we consider homogeneous holomorphic foliations on $\mathbf{C}^{2}$ with isolated singularity and having $\nu+1, \nu \geq 2$, complex lines through the singularity as leaves. We suppose that this set of invariant lines, usually called the tangent cone of the foliation, is given by the zeros of the irreducible polynomial $P_{\nu+1}=\prod_{j=1}^{\nu+1}\left(z_{2}-a_{j} z_{1}\right), a_{j} \in \mathbf{C}, a_{i} \neq a_{j}, \forall i, j$, and set $a=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{\nu+1}\right)$. From [2], this foliation is given by the holomorphic 1-form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\omega_{a, \lambda}}{P_{\nu+1}}=\sum_{j=1}^{\nu+1} \lambda_{j} \frac{d\left(z_{2}-a_{j} z_{1}\right)}{z_{2}-a_{j} z_{1}}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{\nu+1}\right)$ is a point of the affine hyperplane $\left\{\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{\nu+1}\right) \in \mathbf{C}^{n} \mid \sum_{j=1}^{\nu+1} \lambda_{j}=K, \lambda_{j} \neq 0 \forall j\right\}$ and $K \in \mathbf{Z} \backslash 0$ is an arbitrary normalization constant whose choice does not affect
the foliation defined by (1). We denote this foliation $\mathcal{F}_{a, \lambda}$. We remark that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{a, \lambda}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=\prod_{j=1}^{\nu+1}\left(z_{2}-a_{j} z_{1}\right)^{\lambda_{j}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a first integral for $\mathcal{F}_{a, \lambda}$. This expression is usually multivaluated. Let $\sim_{\lambda}$ be the equivalence relation induced by the $\mathbf{Z}^{3}$ action on $\mathbf{C}^{*}$ defined by $\left(\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}\right), z\right) \longrightarrow e^{2 \pi i \Sigma_{j} n_{j} \lambda_{j}} z$. The connected components of the fibers $F_{a, \lambda}: \mathbf{C}^{2} \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}^{*} / \sim_{\lambda}$ are the leaves of $\mathcal{F}_{a, \lambda}$ in the complement of the tangent cone. Abusing notation we will write $F_{a, \lambda}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=k, k \in \mathbf{C} / \sim_{\lambda}$, for such a fiber. A straightfoward computation shows that if $k, k^{\prime} \in \mathbf{C}^{*}$, the homothecy $T_{k / k^{\prime}}$ defines a diffeomorphism between $F_{a, \lambda}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=k$ and $F_{a, \lambda}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=k^{\prime}$. In particular, each leaf in $\mathcal{F}_{a, \lambda}$ is invariant by any homothecy $T_{e^{2 \pi i} \sum_{j} n_{j} \lambda_{j}}$, $n_{j} \in \mathbf{Z}, \forall j$.

We will call generic leaf any leave in the complement of the tangent cone of $\mathcal{F}_{a, \lambda}$. We denote it by $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{F}_{a, \lambda}$. The foliation $\mathcal{F}_{a, \lambda}$ presents, up to diffeomorphism, three kinds of leaves: a (singular) point, C* (separatrices) and a generic leaf $\mathcal{L}$.

## 3. Proof, theorem 1

The topological type of the generic leaf of $\mathcal{F}_{a, \lambda}$ depends only in the parameters $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{\nu+1}$. Indeed, let's consider $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}_{a, \lambda}}$, the extension of the foliation $\mathcal{F}_{a, \lambda}$ to HIRZEBRUCH'S first surface. This complex ruled surface, which we denote $\widetilde{\mathbf{C P}(2)}$, is obtained by blowing up the origin of the affine chart $\mathbf{C}=\left\{\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)\right\}$ of $\mathbf{C P}(2)$. A leaf in $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}_{a, \lambda}}$ is called generic if it comes from a generic leaf in $\mathcal{F}_{a, \lambda}$. Let
 divisor. For each $j=1, \ldots, \nu+1$, we denote as well $a_{j}$ the intersection of the complex line $z_{2}=a_{j} z_{1}$ with the exceptional divisor. Let $B_{a}:=\mathbf{P}^{1}(\mathbf{C}) \backslash\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{\nu+1}\right\}$. We remark that $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}_{a, \lambda}}$ is transversal to the fibration defined by $\pi$ on $B_{a}$. Furthermore, for every generic leaf $\mathcal{L} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{F}_{a, \lambda}}$, the restriction $\pi_{\mid}: \mathcal{L} \longrightarrow B_{a}$ is a covering. The monodromy of this covering is given by the image in $\operatorname{Diff}(\mathbf{C}, 0)$ of the holonomy representation of $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}_{a, \lambda}}$ with respect to the fibration defined by $\pi$ on $B_{a}$. This holonomy representation can be explicitly calculated: let $\left\{\gamma_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{\nu+1}$ be generators for $\pi_{1}\left(B_{a}, *\right)$, having index $\delta_{i}^{j}$ with respect to
each $a_{j}, j=1, \ldots, \nu+1$, and such that $\gamma_{i} \cap \gamma_{j}=*$ whenever $i \neq j$. The holonomy representation morphism hol : $\pi_{1}\left(B_{a}, *\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Diff}(\mathbf{C}, 0)$ of $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}_{a, \lambda}}$ is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{hol}\left(\gamma_{j}\right)=z \rightsquigarrow e^{2 \pi i \lambda_{j} / K} z . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is clear from (2). In particular, the monodromy action of the covering $\pi_{\mid}$is abelian.
Definition 1. We will say that the set $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{\nu+1}\right)$ is:

- Not rational, when $\lambda_{j} \in \mathbf{C}^{*} \backslash \mathbf{Q}$ for all $j=1, \ldots, \nu+1$.
- Semi-rational, when there exists a pair $i \neq j$ such that $\lambda_{i} \in \mathbf{C}^{*} \backslash \mathbf{Q}$ and $\lambda_{j} \in \mathbf{Q}$.
- Rational, when $\lambda_{j} \in \mathbf{Q}$ for all $j=1, \ldots, \nu+1$.

Definition 2. [6] A real surface $S$ is of infinite genus if there is no bounded subset $S^{\prime}$ such that $S \backslash S^{\prime}$ is of genus zero.

Following [Ibid], the ideal boundary of a real surface $S$ (not necessarily compact) is a nested triple of topological spaces $B^{\prime \prime}(S) \subset B^{\prime}(S) \subset$ $B(S)$. This triple codes the topological type of the surface, that is:
Theorem 3 (Kerékjártó). [Ibid] Let $S$ and $\widehat{S}$ be two separable real surfaces of the same genus and orientability class. Then $S$ and $\widehat{S}$ are homeomorphic if and only if their ideal boundaries (considered as triples of spaces) are topologically equivalent.

The topological spaces $B^{\prime}(S)$ and $B^{\prime \prime}(S)$ are the not planar and not orientable parts of the whole ideal boundary $B(S)$. In the context of this article, $B^{\prime \prime}(\mathcal{L})=\emptyset$, for the generic leaf of the foliation $\mathcal{F}_{a, \lambda}$ is oriented by its natural complex structure. Up to homeomorphism, the LOCH NESS monster is the only infinite genus real surface whose ideal boundary is just a point.
Lemma 2. If $\lambda$ is not rational, then the generic leaf $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{F}_{a, \lambda}$ is homeomorphic to a LOCH NESS monster.
Proof. First we claim that, for every compact $K \subset \mathcal{L}$ there exists a compact subset $K \subset K^{\prime} \subset \mathcal{L}$ such that $\mathcal{L} \backslash K^{\prime}$ is connected by arcs. From the definition of boundary component, this implies that the ideal boundary of $\mathcal{L}$ is just a point. Indeed, let $t_{0} \in \mathbf{P}^{1}(\mathbf{C}) \backslash$ $\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{\nu+1}, *\right\}$. Let $C_{1}, \ldots, C_{\nu+1}$ be line segments joining $a_{j}$ to $t_{0}$ for every $j=1, \ldots, \nu+1$ and such that $C_{i} \cap C_{j}=t_{0}$, whenever $i \neq j$. Without loss of generality, we suppose as well that the cardinality of $C_{i} \cap \gamma_{j}$ is given by KRONECKER's delta $\delta_{i j}$. The open set

$$
\begin{equation*}
U:=\mathbf{P}^{1}(\mathbf{C}) \backslash \cup_{j=1}^{\nu+1} C_{j} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

is simply connected. Let $\mathbf{P}^{1}(\mathbf{C}) \simeq \mathbf{S}^{2}$ be the standard identification of the complex proyective line with RIEMANN's sphere and $\mathbf{S}^{2} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{R}^{3}$ a fixed smooth embeding. Then, the restriction of the standard metric to the image of this embeding induces a complete metric on the exceptional divisor that we denote $\delta$. For every positive real number $\tau<\inf f_{i \neq j, i, j=1, \ldots \nu+1} \delta\left(a_{i}, a_{j}\right)$, the complement in $U$ of the set $\cup_{j=1}^{\nu+1}\{t \in$ $\left.\mathbf{P}^{1}(\mathbf{C}) \mid \delta\left(t, a_{j}\right)<\tau\right\}$ is closed and simply connected. We denote this set by $U_{\tau}$.

For every point $\xi$ in a fiber $\pi_{\mid}^{-1}(t), t \neq a_{1}, \ldots, a_{\nu+1}, t_{0}$, let $U_{\tau, \xi}$ be the lifting of $U_{\tau}$ to the leaf $\mathcal{L}$ satisfying $U_{\tau, \xi} \cap \xi=\xi$. We define $K_{\tau, \xi}$ to be the closure of $U_{\tau, \xi}$ in $\mathcal{L}$. This set is compact in $\mathcal{L}$.

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau(K):=\frac{1}{2} i n f_{j=1, \ldots, \nu+1} \delta\left(\pi(K), a_{j}\right) . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $K$ is compact, there exists a finite set of points $P=\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{s}\right\} \subset$ $B_{a}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
K \subset K^{\prime}:=\cup_{\xi \in K \cap \pi_{\|}^{-1}(P)} K_{\tau(K), \xi} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The set $K \cap \pi_{\mid}^{-1}(P)$ is finite and therefore $K^{\prime}$ is compact. We claim that, for every positive real number $\tau<\inf f_{i \neq j, i, j=1, \ldots, \nu+1} \delta\left(a_{i}, a_{j}\right) \quad$ and finite subset $Z \subset \pi_{\mid}^{-1}(t), t \neq a_{1}, \ldots, a_{\nu+1}, t_{0}$, the set $\mathcal{L} \backslash \cup_{\xi \in Z} K_{\tau, \xi}$ is arcwise connected. This implies that $\mathcal{L} \backslash K^{\prime}$ is arcwise connected as well.

Indeed, for every point $\eta$ in a fiber $\pi_{\mid}^{-1}(t), t \neq a_{1}, \ldots, a_{\nu+1}, t_{0}$, let $U_{\eta}$ be the lifting of $U$ (4) satisfying $U_{\eta} \cap \eta=\eta$. We denote $\overline{U_{\eta}}$ the closure in $\mathcal{L}$ of $U_{\eta}$. Clearly, $\mathcal{L}=\cup_{\eta \in \pi_{ノ}^{-1}(t)} \overline{U_{\eta}}$. Consider two disctint points $q \in \overline{U_{\eta}}$ and $q^{\prime} \in \overline{U_{\eta^{\prime}}}$. If $\eta=\eta^{\prime}$ and $\cup_{\xi \in Z} K_{\tau, \xi} \cap \bar{U}_{\eta}$ has empty interior, then the points $q$ and $q^{\prime}$ can be joined by an arc in $\mathcal{L}$. When $\eta \neq \eta^{\prime}$, the point $q$ can be joined by an arc to a point $\tilde{q}$ such that $\pi(\tilde{q}) \cap \pi\left(K_{\tau, \xi}\right)=\emptyset$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $\pi(\tilde{q})$ is contained in a small neighborhood in exceptional divisor of a point $a_{i}$, for some $i=1, \ldots, \nu+1$. Let be $\gamma(\tilde{q})$ be a simple loop passing through $\pi(\tilde{q})$ and contained in this small neighborhood. There exist a lift of $\gamma(\tilde{q})$ to the generic leaf $\mathcal{L}$ joining $\tilde{q}$ to a point $\hat{q} \in \overline{U_{\eta^{\prime \prime}}}$ such that $\cup_{\xi \in Z} K_{\tau, \xi} \cap \overline{U_{\eta^{\prime \prime}}}$ has empty interior. This is true since $\lambda_{i} \in \mathbf{C}^{*} \backslash \mathbf{Q}$, for all $i$, and therefore the cyclic subgroup $\left\{\operatorname{hol}\left(\gamma_{i}\right)^{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbf{Z}}$ of $\operatorname{Diff}(\mathbf{C}, 0)$ is torsion-free. Proceeding analogously, the point $q^{\prime}$ can be joined by an arc to a point $\hat{q^{\prime}} \in \overline{U_{\eta^{\prime \prime}}}$. This leads to the previous case in which $\eta=\eta^{\prime}$. We conclude that a path between the points $q \neq q^{\prime}$ always exist.

Lemma 3. If there exist a pair $i \neq j, 1 \leq i, j \leq \nu+1$, such that $\gamma_{i}, \gamma_{j}$ and $\gamma_{i} \gamma_{j}$ are not contained in hol $^{-1}\left(I d_{\mathbf{C}}\right)(3)$, then $\mathcal{L}$ has genus different from zero.

Proof, lemma 3. Let $m \in \pi_{1}\left(B_{a}, *\right)$ and $\widetilde{m}$ be a lifting of this loop to the generic leaf $\mathcal{L}$ via de projection $\pi_{\mid}^{-1}$. We denote by $U_{m} \subset \mathcal{L}$ the analytic continuation of this lifting to the simply connected domain $U$ (4). Let $\left[\gamma_{i}, \gamma_{j}\right]:=\gamma_{i} \gamma_{j} \gamma_{i}^{-1} \gamma_{j}^{-1}$ and consider the following cases:
(1) $\gamma_{i} \gamma_{j}^{-1} \in \operatorname{hol}^{-1}\left(I d_{\mathbf{C}}\right)$. Then $U_{\left[\gamma_{i}, \gamma_{j}\right]}$ is homeomorphic to a torus minus a disc.
(2) $\gamma_{i} \gamma_{j}^{-1} \notin h o l^{-1}\left(I d_{\mathbf{C}}\right)$. Then $U_{\left[\gamma_{i}, \gamma_{j}\right]}$ is homeomorphic to the annular domain $\left\{z \in \mathbf{C}|1<|z|<2\}\right.$. The domain $U_{\left[\gamma_{i}^{-1}, \gamma_{j}\right]\left[\gamma_{i}, \gamma_{j}\right]}$ is homeomorphic to torus minus a disc, for the conditions $\gamma_{i}^{2} \in h o l^{-1}\left(I d_{\mathbf{C}}\right)$ and $\gamma_{j}^{2} \in h o l^{-1}\left(I d_{\mathbf{C}}\right)$ are mutually exclusive.
Such a pair of generators $\gamma_{i}, \gamma_{j}$ always exists whenever $\lambda_{j} \in \mathbf{C}^{*} \backslash \mathbf{Q}$, for all $j$. Suppose the opposite, that is, for every $i \neq j, 1 \leq i, j \leq \nu+1$, we have $\gamma_{i} \gamma_{j} \in h o l^{-1}\left(I d_{\mathbf{C}}\right)$. Then, for every such a pair, $\lambda_{i}+\lambda_{j}=q_{i j} \in$ Z. Since $\sum_{j=1}^{\nu+1} \lambda_{j} \in \mathbf{Z}$, such integral relations imply that $\lambda_{j_{0}} \in \mathbf{Z}$, for some $1 \leq j_{0} \leq \nu+1$, which is a contradiction.

Whenever a pair $\left\{\lambda_{i}, \lambda_{j}\right\}$ satisfying the hypothesis of lemma 3 coexists with $\lambda_{k} \in \mathbf{C}^{*} \backslash \mathbf{Q}, k$ not necessarily different form $i$ or $j$, the action of the free-torsion group $\left\{\operatorname{hol}\left(\gamma_{k}\right)^{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbf{Z}}$ on the fibers of the covering $\pi_{\mid}: \mathcal{L} \longrightarrow B_{a}$ generates a countable family of handles. In particular, every generic leaf $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{F}_{a, \lambda}$, for which $\lambda$ is not rational, has infinite genus. This concludes the proof of lemma 2.

Semi-rational case, the set $E^{\prime}$. Without loss of generality we assume that $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{s}, \lambda_{s+1}, \ldots, \lambda_{\nu+1}\right)$, where $\lambda_{j} \in \mathbf{Q}$ for $1 \leq j \leq s$ and $\lambda_{j} \in \mathbf{C}^{*} \backslash \mathbf{Q}$ for $s+1 \leq j$. In the chart $z_{2}=t z_{1}$ of $\widetilde{\mathbf{C P}(2)}$, the first integral (2) has the form $F_{a, \lambda}\left(z_{1}, t\right):=z_{1}^{K} \prod_{j=1}^{\nu+1}\left(t-a_{j}\right)^{\lambda_{j}}$. Let $i \leq s$ and $\lambda_{i}=\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}} \in \mathbf{Q}$. Then, any generic leaf $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{F}_{a, \lambda}$, is given by a connected component of

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{1}^{K}\left(t-a_{i}\right)^{p_{i} / q_{i}} \prod_{j \neq i}\left(t-a_{j}\right)^{\lambda_{j}}=z_{0} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $z_{0} \in \mathbf{C}^{*}$ is constant. For $t \sim a_{i}, \prod_{j \neq i}\left(t-a_{j}\right)^{\lambda_{j}}$ is a unity. Then, up to a coordinate change, the generic leaf $\mathcal{L}$ defined by (7) is given, in a neighborhood $t \sim a_{i}$, by a countable set of algebraic branches of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{1}^{K}\left(t-a_{i}\right)^{p_{i} / q_{i}}=\mathrm{constant} . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

For every such a branch, we compactify the abstract surface $\mathcal{L}$ by adding the points corresponding to the limit $t \rightarrow a_{i}$ in (8). We denote $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$ topological surface obtained from this local compactification process when the index $i$ varies in $\{1, \ldots, s\}$. We define $E^{\prime}:=\overline{\mathcal{L}} \backslash \mathcal{L}$.

For example, if $\lambda$ is rational, then $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$ is a compact orientable surface whose genus can be calculated from (3) using the RIEMANNHURWITZ formula. For instance, if $\lambda \in\left\{\left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}\right),\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}\right),\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{6}\right)\right\}$, then $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$ is homeomorphic to the standard torus $\mathbf{S}^{1} \times \mathbf{S}^{1}$.

Lemma 4. If $\lambda$ is semi-rational, then the ideal boundary of $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$ is just a point.
Proof. As before, we claim that every compact subset $K \subset \overline{\mathcal{L}}$ can be covered by a compact subset $K^{\prime} \subset \overline{\mathcal{L}}$ such that $\overline{\mathcal{L}} \backslash K^{\prime}$ is connected by arcs. In deed, for positive real number $\tau<\operatorname{in} f_{i \neq j, i, j=s+1, \ldots \nu+1} \delta\left(a_{i}, a_{j}\right)$, we define $V_{\tau}$ to be the complement in $U$ of the set $\cup_{j=s+1}^{\nu+1}\left\{t \in \mathbf{P}^{1}(\mathbf{C}) \mid\right.$ $\left.\delta\left(t, a_{j}\right)<\tau\right\}$. As in the proof of lemma 2, we define $V_{\tau, \xi}$ to be the lifting of $V_{\tau}$ to a point $\xi$ in a generic fiber of $\pi_{\mid}$.

We consider $\tau(K)$ as in (5), but taking the infimum over the set $j=s+1, \ldots, \nu+1$. To define $K^{\prime}$ it is sufficient to consider a the closure in $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$ of a set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cup_{\xi \in Z} V_{\tau, \xi} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Z \subset \pi_{\mid}^{-1}(t), t \neq a_{1}, \ldots, a_{\nu+1}, t_{0}$, is a "sufficiently large" finite subset. The rest of the proof is analog to the proof of lemma 2. Indeed, considering different cases, any two points $q \neq q^{\prime}$ in $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$ can be joined by an arc, for the action of any free-torsion group $\left\{\operatorname{hol}\left(\gamma_{j}\right)^{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbf{Z}}, j=s+1, \ldots, \nu+1$, on the covering $\pi_{\mid}: \mathcal{L} \longrightarrow B_{a}$ permits to scape to any of compact subsets $K^{\prime}$ in $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$ previously constructed.

Corollary 1. Let $\lambda$ be a semi-rational. Then, the ideal boundary of the generic leaf $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{F}_{a, \lambda}$ is homeomorphic to $0 \cup\{1 / n\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \subset \mathbf{C}$ endowed with the subspace topology.

If two coordinates $\lambda_{i}, \lambda_{j}$ of a semi-rational point $\lambda$ meet the hypothesis of lemma 3, then the ideal boundary of the generic leaf of $\mathcal{F}_{a, \lambda}$ presents a distinguished point $B^{\prime}(\mathcal{L})$, corresponding to 0 in terms of the preceding corollary, representing the not planar end of $\mathcal{L}$. In such a case, $\mathcal{L}$ is homeomorphic to the LOCH NESS monster punctured in a countable set of points. In a semi-rational point $\lambda$, such two coordinates always exist in the complement of the set:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{j} \in \mathbf{Z}, \forall j \leq s \quad \text { and } \quad \lambda_{j}+\lambda_{k} \in K \mathbf{Z}, \forall s+1 \leq j, k \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 5. If a semirational point $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{s}, \lambda_{s+1}, \ldots, \lambda_{\nu+1}\right)$ satisfies (10), then the generic leaf of $\mathcal{F}_{a, \lambda}$ is homeomorphic to a LOCH NESS monster puntured in a countable set of points.
Proof. First we prove the lemma in the illustrative case $\nu=2$. We assume that $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right)=(0,1, \infty)$. Let $W:=\mathbf{P}^{1}(\mathbf{C}) \backslash([\infty, 0] \cup[1, \infty])$. From condition $\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}=K$, we deduce that it is sufficient to consider the action of the holonomy generators $z \rightsquigarrow e^{2 \pi i \lambda_{j} / K} z, j=2,3$ to reconstruc the generic leaf $\mathcal{L}$ from $W$. We identify $W$ with figure 1


Figure 1.
Then, the generic leaf $\mathcal{L}$ is homeomorphic to


Figure 2.
which clearly shows that $\mathcal{L}$ is homeomorphic to $\mathbf{C} \backslash \mathbf{Z}[i]$. When $\nu$ takes values greater than 2 but $\nu-s$ remains equal to 2 , a new discrete set of punctures appears in the leaf $\mathcal{L}$, for the image on every generator $\gamma_{j}, j \leq s$, in $\operatorname{Dif} f(\mathbf{C}, 0)$ is trivial.

We remark that, if $\nu-s>1$, there is no semirational point $\lambda$ satisfying (10). Indeed, in such a case set $\lambda_{j}=p_{j}-\lambda_{s+1}, p_{j} \in \mathbf{Z}$, for all $s+1<j$. Then $\sum_{j=1}^{\nu+1}=K$ becomes

$$
(1-(\nu-s)) \lambda_{s+1}=K^{\prime}
$$

for a certain $K^{\prime} \in \mathbf{Z}$. This is a contradiction, for $\lambda$ is semirational.

## 4. Applications to polygonal billiards

Let $\lambda_{1} \pi, \ldots, \lambda_{\nu+1} \pi$ be the angles of the non degenerated $\nu+1$-side polygon $P, \nu \geq 2$. That is, $0<\lambda_{j}<2$, for all $j=1, \ldots, \nu+1$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{\nu+1} \lambda_{j}=\nu-1$. Let $\hat{n}:=\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{\nu+1}\right) \in \mathbf{Z}^{\nu+1}$ and $\psi(\hat{n}):=$ $\sum_{j=1}^{\nu+1} n_{j} \lambda_{j}$. We define $G:=\psi^{-1}(\mathbf{Z})$ and $\widetilde{\psi}(g):=e^{\frac{2 \pi i}{\nu-1} \phi(g)}$, for every
$g \in G$. Then $\widetilde{G}:=\widetilde{\psi}(G)$ is a subgroup of $G_{\nu-1}:=\left\{z \in \mathbf{C} \mid z^{\nu-1}=1\right\}$. Polygons for which $\widetilde{G}=\{1\}$ are called reasonable. For example, all triangles are reasonable. Rectangles are not reasonable. This nomenclature comes from the same reference as the following

Theorem 4. [7] Let $P$ be a reasonable polygon. Then, there exist $a \in$ $\mathbf{C}^{\nu+1}$ such that the translation surface $S(P)$ and the generic leaf of $\mathcal{F}_{a, \lambda}$ are isomorphic as translation surfaces.

The determination of the parameter $a \in \mathbf{C}^{\nu+1}$ is a SCHWARZ-CHRISTOFFEL parameter problem [3]. Above, the translation surface structure of a leaf $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{F}_{a, \lambda}$ is defined by the restriction of a holomorphic vector field in $\mathbf{C}^{2}$ generating $\operatorname{Ker}\left(\omega_{a, \lambda}(p)\right)$, for every $p \in \mathbf{C}^{2}$. The action:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{C}^{2} \times \widetilde{G} \ni\left(\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right), \tilde{g}\right) \rightsquigarrow \tilde{g}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

has no fixed points except for the origin. It leaves invariant each connected component in the "fibers" of the first integral $F_{a, \lambda}$. We denote $\mathbf{C}_{\lambda}^{2}$ the quotient of $\mathbf{C}^{2} \backslash\left\{z_{1}=z_{2}=0\right\}$ by the preceding action. Let $\pi_{\lambda}: \mathbf{C}^{2} \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}_{\lambda}^{2}$ be the natural projection. Then, $\left\{\pi_{\lambda}(\mathcal{L}) \mid \mathcal{L} \in\right.$ $\left.\mathcal{F}_{a, \lambda}\right\}$ is a non singular foliation on $\mathbf{C}_{\lambda}^{2}$. We denote it $\widehat{\mathcal{F}_{a, \lambda}}$. The image by $\pi_{\lambda}$ of a generic leaf in $\mathcal{F}_{a, \lambda}$ is called generic as well.
Theorem 5. [7] Let $P$ be a not reasonable. Then, there exist $a \in \mathbf{C}^{\nu+1}$ such that the translation surface $S(P)$ and the generic leaf of the foliation $\widehat{\mathcal{F}_{a, \lambda}}$ are isomorphic as translation surfaces.

Here the translation surface structure any generic leaf in $\widehat{\mathcal{F}_{a, \lambda}}$ is inherited from any generic leaf in $\mathcal{F}_{a, \lambda}$ contained in its preimage via the projection $\pi_{\lambda}$. By definition, if $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{F}_{a, \lambda}$ is generic, then $\pi_{\lambda \mid \mathcal{L}}$ is a finite covering. The monodromy group of this covering is isomorphic to $\widetilde{G}$.

Corollary 2. Let $P$ be a non degenerated polygon of angles $\lambda_{1} \pi, \ldots \lambda_{\nu+1} \pi$ without vertices.
(1) If $\lambda$ is algebraic, then $S(P)$ is homeomorphic to a compact RIEMANN surface punctured in a finite set of points.
(2) If $\lambda$ is semi-rational, then $S(P)$ is homeomorphic to a LOCH NESS monster punctured in a countable set of points.
(3) If $\lambda$ is not rational, then $S(P)$ is homeomorphic to a LOCH NESS monster.

Certanly, since we are dealing with non-degenerated polygons, there is no $\lambda$ in this case satisfying the conditions (10). For (2)-(3)
above, it is sufficient to remark that, if $M$ is a LOCH NESS monster and $M \longrightarrow N$ is a covering map whose fibers are finite, then $N$ is also a LOCH NESS monster.
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