# Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik Bonn

Exactness of the reduction on étale modules

by

Gergely Zábrádi



Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik Preprint Series 2010 (53)

# Exactness of the reduction on étale modules

Gergely Zábrádi

Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik Vivatsgasse 7 53111 Bonn Germany Eötvös Loránd University Mathematical Institute Department of Algebra and Number Theory Pázmány Péter sétány 1/C 1117 Budapest Hungary

MPIM 2010-53

# Exactness of the reduction on étale modules

# Gergely Zábrádi

30th June 2010

### Abstract

We prove the exactness of the reduction map from étale  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -modules over completed localized group rings of compact open subgroups of unipotent *p*-adic algebraic groups to usual étale  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -modules over Fontaine's ring. This reduction map is a component of a functor from smooth *p*-power torsion representations of *p*-adic reductive groups (or more generally of Borel subgroups of these) to  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -modules. Therefore this gives evidence for this functor—which is intended as some kind of *p*-adic Langlands correspondence for reductive groups—to be exact. We also show that the corresponding higher Tor-functors vanish. Moreover, we give the example of the Steinberg representation as an illustration and show that it is acyclic for this functor to  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -modules whenever our reductive group is  $\operatorname{GL}_{d+1}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  for some  $d \geq 1$ .

# 1 Introduction

### 1.1 Colmez' work

In recent years it has become increasingly clear that some kind of *p*-adic version of the local Langlands conjectures should exist. However, a precise formulation is still missing. It is all the more remarkable that Colmez has recently managed to establish such a correspondence between 2-dimensional *p*-adic Galois representations of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  and continuous irreducible unitary *p*-adic representations of  $\operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ . In fact, Colmez [3, 4] constructed a functor from smooth torsion *P*-representations to étale  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -modules where *P* is the standard parabolic subgroup of  $\operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ . Whenever we are given a unitary  $\operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -representation *V*, we may find a  $\operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -invariant lattice *L* inside it. Hence we can take the restriction to *P* of the reduction  $L/p^m L \mod p^m$  for some positive integer *m* and pass to  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -modules using Colmez' functor. The  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -module corresponding to the initial representation of  $\operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  will be the projective limit of these  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -modules when *m* tends to infinity. The miracle is that whenever we started with an irreducible supercuspidal  $\operatorname{GL}_2$ -representation in characteristic *p* the resulting  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -module will be 2-dimensional and hence correspond to a 2-dimensional modulo *p* Galois representation of the field  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . The image of 1-dimensional and pricipal series representations is, however, 0 and 1 dimensional, respectively (see Thm. 10.7 in [13]).

## **1.2** The Schneider-Vigneras functors

Even more recently, Schneider and Vigneras [10] managed to generalize Colmez' functor to general p-adic reductive groups. Their context is the following. Let G be the group of

 $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -points of a  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -split connected reductive group over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  whose centre is also assumed to be connected for technical simplicity. To review their construction we fix a Borel subgroup P = TN with split torus T and unipotent radical N. We also fix an appropriate compact open subgroup  $N_0$  which gives rise to the 'dominant' submonoid  $T_+ := \{t \in T \mid tN_0t^{-1} \subseteq N_0\}$ in T. On the one side we consider the abelian category  $\mathcal{M}_{o-tor}(P)$  of all smooth o-torsion representations of the group P where o is the ring of integers in a fixed finite extension  $K/\mathbb{Q}_p$ . On the other side a monoid ring  $\Lambda(P_+)$  is introduced for the monoid  $P_+ := N_0 T_+$  and we denote the category of all (left unital)  $\Lambda(P_+)$ -modules by  $\mathcal{M}(\Lambda(P_+))$ ). Such a module M is called étale if every  $t \in T_+$  acts, informally speaking, with slope zero on M. The universal  $\delta$ -functor  $V \mapsto D^i(V)$  for  $i \geq 0$  from  $\mathcal{M}_{o-tor}(P)$  to the category  $\mathcal{M}_{et}(\Lambda(P_+))$  of étale  $\Lambda(P_+)$ modules is constructed the following way.  $D^i$  are the derived functors of a contravariant functor  $D: \mathcal{M}_{o-tor}(P) \to \mathcal{M}_{et}(\Lambda(P_+))$  which is not exact in the middle, but takes surjective, resp. injective maps to injective, reps. surjective maps. (Hence  $D \neq D^0$  in general.) The modules  $D^{i}(V)$  are not expected to have good properties in general. This is why it is natural to pass to some topological localization  $\Lambda_{\ell}(P_{\star})$  of the group ring  $\Lambda(P_{\star})$  of a submonoid  $P_{\star}$  of  $P_{+}$ generated by  $P_0$ ,  $\varphi$ , and  $\Gamma$ . The corresponding abelian category  $\mathcal{M}_{et}(\Lambda_{\ell}(P_{\star}))$  of étale  $\Lambda_{\ell}(P_{\star})$ modules is a generalization of Fontaine's  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -modules. Indeed, whenever  $G = \operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ (in this case we denote by  $S_{\star}$  the standard monoid inside  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  and note that  $N_0 \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$ ) then the objects that are finitely generated over the smaller localized ring  $\Lambda_{\ell}(N_0) \cong \Lambda_F(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ are exactly Fontaine's  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -modules. This construction leads to the universal  $\delta$ -functor  $D^i_{\ell}(V)$ . The fundamental open question in [10] is for which class of P-representations V are the modules  $D^i_{\ell}(V)$  finitely generated over  $\Lambda_{\ell}(N_0)$ . Moreover, with the help of a Whittaker type functional  $\ell$  one may pass to the category  $\mathcal{M}_{et}(\Lambda_F(S_\star))$  for the standard monoid  $S_\star$ in  $\operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ . This way one obtains a  $\delta$ -functor  $D^i_{\Lambda_F(S_\star)}$  from  $\mathcal{M}_{o-tor}(P)$  to the category of not necessarily finitely generated  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -modules à la Fontaine. For the group  $G = \operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ Colmez' original functor coincides with  $D^0_{\Lambda_F(S_\star)}$  and the higher  $D^i_{\Lambda_F(S_\star)}$  vanish.

## 1.3 Outline of the paper

The aim of this short note is to investigate the exactness properties of the functors constructed by Schneider and Vigneras [10]. Whenever  $G \neq \operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  then the reduction map

$$\ell \colon \Lambda_{\ell}(N_0) \twoheadrightarrow \Lambda_F(\mathbb{Z}_p)$$

has a nontrivial kernel and hence is not flat. However, the extra étale  $\varphi$ -structure allows us to show that the reduction functor from étale  $\varphi$ -modules over  $\Lambda_{\ell}(N_0)$  to étale  $\varphi$ -modules over  $\Lambda_F(\mathbb{Z}_p)$  induced by  $\ell$  is still exact if we restrict ourselves to *pseudocompact*  $\Lambda_{\ell}(N_0)$ -modules which includes those finitely generated. The proof relies on the non-existence of nonzero maps from pure  $\varphi$ -modules of slope 0 to pure  $\varphi$ -modules of positive slope over Fontaine's ring. In section 3.4 we use this to show that in fact the higher Tor-functors  $\operatorname{Tor}_{\Lambda_{\ell}(N_0)}^i(\Lambda_F(\mathbb{Z}_p), M)$ vanish for  $i \geq 1$  whenever M is a pseudocompact étale  $\varphi$ -module over  $\Lambda_{\ell}(N_0)$ .

In section 4 we investigate the example of the Steinberg representation  $V_{St}$ . We show that in this case we have  $D^0(V_{St}) = D(V_{St})$  and, in particular  $D^0(V_{St})$  is finitely generated over  $\Lambda_{\ell}(N_0)$ . Moreover, we prove that all the higher  $D^i(V_{St})$  vanish for  $i \ge 1$ . This is the first known example of a smooth o-torsion P-representation with finitely generated  $D^0_{\ell}$  and with known  $D^i_{\ell}$  for all  $i \ge 0$ . Hence  $V_{St}$  is acyclic for the functor  $D_{\ell}$  and also for the functor  $D_{\Lambda_F(S_*)}$  by the first part of the paper. It also follows that the functor in the other direction from  $\mathcal{M}_{et}(\Lambda(P_+))$ to  $\mathcal{M}_{o-tor}(P)$  sends  $D^0(V_{St})$  back to  $V_{St}$ . We expect that the method of computing  $D^i(V_{St})$ for  $i \geq 0$  generalizes to a wider class of smooth *o*-torsion *P*-representations. For technical reasons we restrict ourselves in this section to the general linear group  $\operatorname{GL}_{d+1}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  with  $d \geq 1$ . The case  $\operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  is also formally included—however, the functor *D* is known [3, 4] to be exact in this case.

# 2 Preliminaries and notations

### 2.1 Basic notations

We are going to use the notations of [10], but for the convenience of the reader we recall them here, as well. Let G be the group of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -rational points of a  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -split connected reductive group over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . Assume further that the centre of this reductive group is also connected. We fix a Borel subgroup P = TN in G with maximal split torus T and unipotent radical N. Let  $\Phi^+$  denote, as usual, the set of roots of T positive with respect to P and let  $\Delta \subseteq \Phi^+$  be the subset of simple roots. For any  $\alpha \in \Phi^+$  we have the root subgroup  $N_\alpha \subseteq N$ . We recall that  $N = \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} N_\alpha$  for any total ordering of  $\Phi^+$ . Let  $T_0 \subseteq T$  be the maximal compact subgroup. We fix a compact open subgroup  $N_0 \subseteq N$  which is totally decomposed, i.e.  $N_0 = \prod_\alpha N_0 \cap N_\alpha$ for any total ordering of  $\Phi^+$ . Then  $P_0 := T_0 N_0$  is a group. We introduce the submonoid  $T_+ \subseteq T$  of all  $t \in T$  such that  $tN_0t^{-1} \subseteq N_0$ , or equivalently, such that  $\alpha(t)$  is integral for any  $\alpha \in \Delta$ . Then  $P_+ := N_0T_+ = P_0T_+P_0$  is obviously a submonoid of P.

We also fix a finite extension  $K/\mathbb{Q}_p$  with ring of integers o, prime element  $\pi$ , and residue class field k. For any profinite group H let  $\Lambda(H) := o[[H]]$ , resp.  $\Omega(H) := k[[H]] = \Lambda(H)/\pi\Lambda(H)$  be the Iwasawa algebra of H with coefficients in o, resp. k.

### **2.2** The functors D and $D^i$

By a representation we will always mean a linear action of the group (or monoid) in question in a torsion o-module V. It is called smooth if the stabilizer of each element in V is open in the group. We put  $V^* := \text{Hom}_o(V, K/o)$  the Pontryagin dual of V which is a compact linear-topological o-module. Following [10] we define

$$D(V) := \varinjlim_M M^*$$

where M runs through all the generating  $P_+$ -subrepresentations of V. Whenever V is compactly induced it is equipped with an action of the ring  $\Lambda(P_+)$  which is by definition the image of the natural map

$$\Lambda(P_0) \otimes_{o[P_0]} o[P_+] \to \varprojlim_Q o[Q \setminus P_+]$$

where Q runs through all open normal subgroups  $Q \subseteq P_0$  which satisfy  $bQb^{-1} \subseteq Q$  for any  $b \in P_+$  (cf. Proposition 3.4 in [10]). The  $\Lambda(P_+)$ -modules  $D^i(V)$  for a general smooth P-representation V and  $i \geq 0$  are obtained as the cohomology groups  $D^i(V) := h^i(D(\mathcal{I}_{\bullet}(V)))$  for some resolution

$$\mathcal{I}_{\bullet}(V): \cdots \to \operatorname{ind}_{P_0}^P(V_n) \to \cdots \to \operatorname{ind}_{P_0}^P(V_0) \to V \to 0$$

of V by compactly induced representations. This is independent of the choice of the resolution by Corollary 4.4 in [10]. Since D is not exact in the middle, we do not have  $D(V) = D^0(V)$ in general.

#### The ring $\Lambda_{\ell}(N_0)$ 2.3

As in [10] we fix once and for all isomorphisms of algebraic groups

$$\iota_{\alpha} \colon N_{\alpha} \stackrel{\cong}{\to} \mathbb{Q}_p$$

for  $\alpha \in \Delta$ , such that

$$\iota_{\alpha}(tnt^{-1}) = \alpha(t)\iota_{\alpha}(n)$$

for any  $n \in N_{\alpha}$  and  $t \in T$ . Since  $\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta} N_{\alpha}$  is naturally a quotient of N/[N, N] we now introduce the group homomorphism

$$\ell := \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} \iota_{\alpha} \colon N \to \mathbb{Q}_p$$

Moreover, for the sake of convenience we normalize the  $\iota_{\alpha}$  such that

$$\iota_{\alpha}(N_0 \cap N_{\alpha}) = \mathbb{Z}_p$$

for any  $\alpha$  in  $\Delta$ . In particular, we then have  $\ell(N_0) = \mathbb{Z}_p$ . We put  $N_1 := \operatorname{Ker}(\ell_{|N_0})$ . The group homomorphism  $\ell$  also induces a map

$$\Lambda(N_0) \twoheadrightarrow \Lambda(\mathbb{Z}_p)$$

which we still denote by  $\ell$ . By [2] the multiplicatively closed subset  $S := \Lambda(N_0) \setminus (\pi, \operatorname{Ker}(\ell))$ is a left and right Ore set in  $\Lambda(N_0)$  and we may define the localization  $\Lambda(N_0)_S$  of  $\Lambda(N_0)$ at S. We define the ring  $\Lambda_{\ell}(N_0) := \Lambda_{N_1}(N_0)$  as the completion of  $\Lambda(N_0)_S$  with respect to the ideal  $(\pi, \operatorname{Ker}(\ell))\Lambda(N_0)_S$ . This is a strict-local ring with maximal ideal  $(\pi, \operatorname{Ker}(\ell))\Lambda_\ell(N_0)$ . Moreover, it is pseudocompact (c.f. Thm 4.7 in [9]).

#### Generalized $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -modules 2.4

Now since we assume that the centre of G is connected, the quotient  $X^*(T)/\bigoplus_{\alpha\in\Delta}\mathbb{Z}\alpha$  is free. Hence we find a cocharacter  $\xi$  in  $X_*(T)$  such that  $\alpha \circ \xi = \mathrm{id}_{G_m}$  for any  $\alpha$  in  $\Delta$ . It is injective and uniquely determined up to a central cocharacter. We fix once and for all such a  $\xi$ . It satisfies

$$\xi(\mathbb{Z}_p \setminus \{0\}) \subseteq T_+$$

and

$$\ell(\xi(a)n\xi(a^{-1})) = a\ell(n)$$

for any a in  $\mathbb{Q}_p^{\times}$  and n in N. Put  $\Gamma := \xi(1 + p^{\epsilon(p)}\mathbb{Z}_p)$  and  $\varphi := \xi(p)$ . The group  $\Gamma$  and the semigroup generated by  $\varphi$  naturally act on the ring  $\Lambda_{\ell}(N_0)$ . Hence we may define  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -modules (resp.  $\varphi$ -modules) over  $\Lambda_{\ell}(N_0)$  as  $\Lambda_{\ell}(N_0)$ -modules together with a commuting and compatible action of  $\varphi$  and  $\Gamma$  (resp. just a compatible action of  $\varphi$ ). The notion of  $(\Lambda_{\ell}(N_0), \Gamma, \varphi)$ -module refers to  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -modules that are *finitely generated* over  $\Lambda_{\ell}(N_0)$ . We call a  $\varphi$ -module M étale if the map

$$\Lambda_{\ell}(N_0) \otimes_{\varphi} M \to M \nu \otimes m \mapsto \nu \varphi_M(m)$$

is bijective.

The map  $\ell$  induces a  $\varphi$ - and  $\Gamma$ -equivariant ring homomorphism

$$\Lambda_{\ell}(N_0) \twoheadrightarrow \Lambda_F(\mathbb{Z}_p)$$

onto Fontaine's ring  $\Lambda_F(\mathbb{Z}_p)$  which is the *p*-adic completion of the Laurent series ring  $o[[T]][T^{-1}]$ . Hence it gives rise to a functor from (étale)  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -modules over  $\Lambda_\ell(N_0)$  to not necessarily finitely generated (étale)  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -modules over  $\Lambda_F(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ . We may restrict this functor to pseudocompact (or less generally to finitely generated) étale modules. The main result of this short note is that this restriction is exact.

# 3 Exactness of reduction on pseudocompact modules

### **3.1** A *p*-valuation on $N_1$

We fix a simple root  $\alpha_0$  in  $\Delta$ . Since  $N_0$  is totally decomposed we can fix topological generators  $n_{\alpha}$  of  $N_0 \cap N_{\alpha}$  for any  $\alpha$  in  $\Delta$  such that  $\ell(n_{\alpha}) = 1$ . Further, we fix topological generators  $n_{\beta}$  of  $N_0 \cap N_{\beta}$  for each  $\beta \in \Phi^+ \setminus \Delta$ . Hence the set

$$A := \{n_{\alpha_0}\} \cup \{n_{\alpha_0}^{-1} n_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \Delta \setminus \{\alpha_0\}} \cup \{n_{\beta}\}_{\beta \in \Phi^+ \setminus \Delta}$$

is a minimal set of topological generators of the group  $N_0$ . Moreover,  $A \setminus \{n_{\alpha_0}\}$  is a minimal set of generators of the group  $\operatorname{Ker}(\ell) \cap N_0$ . Further, we put

$$b_{\alpha} := \begin{cases} n_{\alpha} - 1 & \text{if } \alpha \in (\Phi^+ \setminus \Delta) \cup \{\alpha_0\} \\ n_{\alpha_0}^{-1} n_{\alpha} - 1 & \text{if } \alpha \in \Delta \setminus \{\alpha_0\}. \end{cases}$$

Now we define a *p*-valuation  $\omega$  on  $N_1$  as follows. Any  $\beta$  in  $\Phi^+$  can be written as a positive integer combination  $\beta = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} m_{\alpha\beta} \alpha$  of simple roots  $\alpha$ . We denote by  $m_{\beta} := \sum_{\alpha} m_{\alpha\beta}$  the degree of  $\beta \circ \xi$  which is a positive integer and is equal to 1 if and only if  $\beta$  lies in  $\Delta$ . Further, we fix a total ordering < of  $\Phi^+$  such that the minimal element of  $\Phi^+$  is  $\alpha_0$  and whenever  $m_{\alpha} < m_{\beta}$  for roots  $\alpha, \beta$  in  $\Phi^+$  then also  $\alpha < \beta$ . As  $N_0$  is totally decomposed we may write any element g in  $N_1$  as a product

$$g = \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta \setminus \{\alpha_0\}} (n_{\alpha_0}^{-1} n_\alpha)^{g_\alpha} \prod_{\beta \in \Phi^+ \setminus \Delta} n_\beta^{g_\beta}$$

where  $g_{\alpha}$  and  $g_{\beta}$  are in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  and the product is taken in the ordering  $< \text{ of } \Phi^+$  defined above. We put

$$\omega(g) := \min_{\beta \in \Phi^+ \setminus \{\alpha_0\}} m_\beta(v_p(g_\beta) + 1)$$

for any  $1 \neq g$  in  $N_1$ . Here  $v_p$  denotes the additive *p*-adic valuation on  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ .

**Lemma 1.** The function  $\omega$  is a p-valuation on  $N_1 \setminus \{1\}$ . In other words, we have

- (i)  $\omega(gh^{-1}) \ge \min(\omega(g), \omega(h)).$
- $(ii) \ \omega(g^{-1}h^{-1}gh) \ge \omega(g) + \omega(h).$
- (*iii*)  $\omega(g^p) = \omega(g) + 1$ .

*Proof.* For the proof of (i) we are going to use triple induction. At first by induction on the number of non-zero coordinates among  $(h_{\beta})_{\beta \in \Phi \setminus \{\alpha_0\}}$  we are reduced to the case when h is of the form  $(n_{\alpha_0}^{-1}n_{\alpha})^{h_{\alpha}}$  or  $n_{\beta}^{h_{\beta}}$ . For simplifying notation we put

$$n'_{\alpha} := \begin{cases} n_{\alpha_0}^{-1} n_{\alpha} & \text{if } \alpha \in \Delta \setminus \{\alpha_0\} \\ n_{\alpha} & \text{if } \alpha \in \Phi^+ \setminus \Delta. \end{cases}$$

So we have  $h = n_{\alpha(h)}^{h_{\alpha(h)}}$  for some  $\alpha(h)$  in  $\Phi^+ \setminus \Delta$ . Now we use (descending) induction on  $m_{\alpha(h)}$ and suppose that the statement (i) is true for any  $\alpha(h)$  with  $m_{\alpha(h)} > m_0$  and we are given an h with  $m_{\alpha(h)} = m_0$ . For this we remark that once  $N_0$  is fixed the set  $\{m_\beta\}_{\beta\in\Phi^+}$  is finite. Note that for any  $\beta$  in  $\Phi^+ \setminus \{\alpha_0\}$  the commutator  $[n'_{\beta}{}^{g_{\beta}}, n'_{\alpha(h)}{}^{-h_{\alpha(h)}}]$  is a product of elements  $\prod_{\alpha} n'_{\alpha}{}^{i_{\alpha}}$  with  $m_{\alpha} > m_{\alpha(h)}$  by the commutator formula in Proposition 8.2.3 in [11]. Hence by further induction on the number of non-zero coordinates of g for a fixed  $m_0$  we are finally reduced to the case when  $g = n'_{\alpha(g)}{}^{g_{\alpha(g)}}$  (and  $h = n'_{\alpha(h)}{}^{h_{\alpha(h)}}$ ). The statement follows applying the commutator formula in Proposition 8.2.3 in [11] once again.

Since we know (i) it suffices to check (ii) in the case  $g = n'_{\alpha(g)}{}^{g_{\alpha(g)}}$  and  $h = n'_{\alpha(h)}{}^{h_{\alpha(h)}}$ . For these this is another application of the commutator formula cited above.

The assertion (iii) is clear from the definition using (i) and (ii).

**Remark.** The *p*-valuation  $\omega$  extends to  $N_0$  by putting  $\omega(n_{\alpha_0}) := m_{\alpha_0} = 1$ .

### **3.2** The ideals $J_n$

In view of Lemma 1 we define for each positive integer n the normal subgroup  $N_{1,n}$  in  $N_1$ as the set of elements g in  $N_1$  with  $\omega(g) \ge n$  together with 1. In particular,  $N_{1,1} = N_1$ . We define  $J_n(\Lambda(N_1))$  to be the kernel of the natural surjection  $\Lambda(N_1) \twoheadrightarrow \Lambda(N_1/N_{1,n})$ . Moreover, we denote by  $J_n$  the ideal generated by  $J_n(\Lambda(N_1))$  in  $\Lambda_\ell(N_0)$ . We further have the following

**Lemma 2.**  $N_{1,n}$  is a normal subgroup in  $P_0$  for any  $n \ge 1$ . In particular,  $J_n$  is the kernel of the natural surjection from  $\Lambda_{\ell}(N_0) = \Lambda_{N_1}(N_0)$  onto  $\Lambda_{N_1/N_{1,n}}(N_0/N_{1,n})$ . Further, we have  $\varphi N_{1,n}\varphi^{-1} \subseteq N_{1,n+1}$ . Therefore there is an induced  $\varphi$ -action on each  $\Lambda_{\ell}(N_0)/J_n$  such that the module  $J_n/J_{n+1}$  is killed by  $\varphi$  for any  $n \ge 1$ .

*Proof.* The proof of the fact that  $N_{1,n}$  is normalized by  $n_{\alpha_0}$  is similar to the proof of Lemma 1. If t is in  $T_0$  then we have  $tn_{\alpha}t^{-1} = n_{\alpha}^{t_{\alpha}}$  with  $t_{\alpha}$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_p^{\times}$ . Hence the first part of the statement. For the second part we note that  $\varphi n_{\alpha}\varphi^{-1} = n_{\alpha}^{p^{m_{\alpha}}}$ .

Note that the Jacobson radical  $Jac(\Lambda_{\ell}(N_0))$  is equal to the ideal  $(\pi, J_1)$  by definition of  $J_1$ . Moreover, for any element g in  $N_{1,n\max_{\beta\in\Phi^+}m_\beta}$  is a product of  $p^n$ th powers of elements in  $N_1$ , hence  $J_{n\max_{\beta\in\Phi^+}m_\beta} \subseteq Jac(\Lambda_{\ell}(N_0))^n$ . In particular,  $\bigcap_n J_n = 0$ .

Recall that  $\Lambda_{\ell}(N_0)$  is a pseudocompact ring (c.f. [9] Thm. 4.7).

**Lemma 3.** If M is any pseudocompact module over  $\Lambda_{\ell}(N_0)$  then  $J_nM$  and  $M/J_nM$  are also pseudocompact in the subspace, resp. quotient topologies.

Proof. It suffices to show that  $J_n M$  is closed in M. By Lemma 1.6 in [1] and by the fact that the pseudocompact modules form an abelian category ([6] IV.3. Thm. 3) we are reduced to the case when  $M = \prod_{i \in I} \Lambda_{\ell}(N_0)$  with the product topology. However, in this case we have  $J_n \prod_{i \in I} \Lambda_{\ell}(N_0) = \prod_{i \in I} J_n$  as  $J_n$  is finitely generated ( $\Lambda_{\ell}(N_0)$  is noetherian), and this is closed in the product topology as  $J_n$  is closed in  $\Lambda_{\ell}(N_0)$  using once again that it is finitely generated and hence pseudocompact in the subspace topology of  $\Lambda_{\ell}(N_0)$ .

**Lemma 4.** If M is any pseudocompact module over the ring  $\Lambda_{\ell}(N_0)$  then the natural map induces an isomorphism

$$M \cong \varprojlim_n M/J_n M.$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 3 the submodules  $J_n M$  are closed, and since  $J_{n \max_{\beta \in \Phi^+} m_\beta} \subseteq Jac(\Lambda_\ell(N_0))^n$  we have  $\bigcap_n J_n M = 0$ . The statement follows from IV.3. Proposition 10 in [6].

### 3.3 Main result

**Proposition 5.** Let M and N be pseudocompact étale  $\varphi$ -modules over  $\Lambda_{\ell}(N_0)$ . Then injective continuous maps (in the pseudocompact topology)  $M \hookrightarrow N$  reduce to injective maps  $M/J_1M \hookrightarrow N/J_1N$  between the  $\varphi$ -modules over  $\Lambda_F(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $K_n$  be the kernel of the induced map from  $M/J_nM$  to  $N/J_nN$ . We assume indirectly that  $K_1 \neq 0$ . We show that the natural map from  $K_n$  to  $K_1$  is surjective for any n. For this we are going to use the following commutative diagram with some  $X_n$  and  $Y_n$ .

We remark immediately that by Lemma 3 all the modules in the diagram (1) are pseudocompact modules over  $\Lambda_{\ell}(N_0)$ , and all the maps are continuous in the pseudocompact topologies. Indeed, the pseudocompact modules form an abelian category ([6] IV.3. Thm. 3). By the snake lemma we obtain the exact sequence

$$0 \to X_n \to K_n \to K_1 \stackrel{\delta_n}{\to} Y_n.$$

We claim that there does not exist any nonzero map from  $K_1$  to  $Y_n$ . This would show that  $K_n$  surjects onto  $K_1$  for any n. As  $\varphi$  is flat over  $\Lambda_F(S_\star)$ , étale modules form an abelian category over  $\Lambda_F(S_\star)$ . In particular,  $K_1$  is étale as it is the kernel of a homomorphism between the étale modules  $M/J_1M$  and  $N/J_1N$ . Therefore if there is a surjective  $\varphi$ -equivariant  $\Lambda_F(S_\star)$ -homomorphism from  $K_1$  to some module A then we also have that  $\varphi(A)$  generates A as a  $\Lambda_F(S_\star)$ -module. On the other hand,  $J_1N/J_nN$  admits the filtration  $Fil^k(J_1N/J_nN) := J_kN/J_nN$  for  $1 \leq k \leq n$ . This induces a filtration  $Fil^k(Y_n)$  on  $Y_n$  via the above surjection in (1). Let us assume now that  $\delta_n$  is nonzero. Then there is an integer k < n such that  $\delta_n(K_1) \subseteq Fil^k(Y_n)$  but  $\delta_n(K_1) \not\subseteq Fil^{k+1}(Y_n)$ . Hence we get a nonzero map from  $K_1$  to  $Fil^k(Y_n)/Fil^{k+1}(Y_n)$  which we denote by  $\delta'_n$ . However, we claim that  $\varphi$  acts as zero on the latter which will contradict to the fact that  $\varphi(\delta'_n(K_1))$  generates  $\delta'_n(K_1)$ . Indeed, we have a surjective composite map

$$(J_k/J_{k+1}) \otimes_{\Lambda_\ell(N_0)} N \twoheadrightarrow J_k N/J_{k+1} N \twoheadrightarrow Fil^k(Y_n)/Fil^{k+1}(Y_n),$$

hence  $\varphi(Fil^k(Y_n)/Fil^{k+1}(Y_n)) = 0$  as we have  $\varphi(J_k) \subseteq J_{k+1}$  by Lemma 2.

Now we have a map from the projective system  $(K_n)_n$  to the projective system  $(K_1)_n$  which is surjective on each layer, hence its projective limit is also surjective by the exactness of  $\lim_{n \to \infty}$ on pseudocompact modules ([6] IV.3. Thm. 3). The statement follows from the completeness of M (Lemma 4).

Whenever M and N are finitely generated over  $\Lambda_{\ell}(N_0)$  then they admit a unique pseudocompact topology (since  $\Lambda_{\ell}(N_0)$  is pseudocompact and noetherian [9] Thm. 4.7 and Lemma 4.2(ii)) and any homomorphism between them is continuous. So we obtain the main result of this paper as corollary of Proposition 5.

**Proposition 6.** The functor from the category of étale  $(\Lambda_{\ell}(N_0), \Gamma, \varphi)$ -modules to the category of étale  $(\Lambda_F(S_0), \Gamma, \varphi)$ -modules induced by the natural surjection

$$\ell \colon \Lambda_{\ell}(N_0) \twoheadrightarrow \Lambda_F(\mathbb{Z}_p)$$

is exact.

# **3.4 Vanishing of higher Tor-functors**

Let M be a pseudocompact étale  $\varphi$ -module over  $\Lambda_{\ell}(N_0)$ . Then  $M/(\pi, J_1)M$  is also a pseudocompact étale  $\varphi$ -module over the field  $\Lambda_{\ell}(N_0)/(\pi, J_1) \cong k((t))$ . Hence there is an index set I such that we have an isomorphism of pseudocompact modules

$$M/(\pi, J_1)M \cong \prod_{i \in I} \Lambda_\ell(N_0)/(\pi, J_1)$$

by Lefschetz's Structure Theorem for linearly compact vector spaces ([8], p. 83 Thm. (32.1), see also [5]). Moreover, we have  $(\pi, J_1) = Jac(\Lambda_{\ell}(N_0))$ , therefore we obtain a minimal projective cover of M

$$f: \prod_{i \in I} \Lambda_{\ell}(N_0) \twoheadrightarrow M$$

which is an isomorphism modulo  $(\pi, J_1)$ .

In this section we need to assume that  $\varphi$  acts continuously on the pseudocompact module M. Note that this is automatic if M is finitely generated over  $\Lambda_{\ell}(N_0)$ .

**Lemma 7.** Let  $F = \prod_{i \in I} \Lambda_{\ell}(N_0)$  be a  $\varphi$ -module over  $\Lambda_{\ell}(N_0)$ . Then F is étale if and only if so is  $F/Jac(\Lambda_{\ell}(N_0))F$  over k((t)).

*Proof.* If F is étale then by definition so is  $F/Jac(\Lambda_{\ell}(N_0))F$ . Now assume that  $F/Jac(\Lambda_{\ell}(N_0))F$  is étale. In other words the map

$$1 \otimes \varphi \colon \Lambda_{\ell}(N_0) \otimes_{\varphi, \Lambda_{\ell}(N_0)} F \to F \tag{2}$$

is isomorphism modulo  $Jac(\Lambda_{\ell}(N_0))$ . Therefore (2) is for instance surjective as its cokernel is pseudocompact and killed by  $Jac(\Lambda_{\ell}(N_0))$ . On the other hand, since F is topologically free, we have a continuous section of the map (2). Since (2) is an isomorphism modulo  $Jac(\Lambda_{\ell}(N_0))$ , so is this section. However, by the same argument as above this section also has to be surjective and therefore is an inverse to the map (2).

**Proposition 8.** Let M be an étale pseudocompact  $\varphi$ -module over  $\Lambda_{\ell}(N_0)$  with continuous  $\varphi$ -action. Then the action of  $\varphi$  on M can be lifted to  $F := \prod_{i \in I} \Lambda_{\ell}(N_0)$  via the surjection f in (3.4). Any such lift makes F an étale  $\varphi$ -module.

*Proof.* Let us define another continuous  $\Lambda_{\ell}(N_0)$ -homomorphism

$$g \colon \prod_{i \in I} \Lambda_{\ell}(N_0) \to M$$
$$e_i \mapsto \varphi(f(e_i)).$$

We need to check that  $\lim_{i \in I} \varphi(f(e_i)) = 0$  in the pseudocompact topology of M so that g really defines a continuous homomorphism. This is, however, clear by the continuity of  $\varphi$  and f. By the projectivity of F (Lemma 1.6 in [1]) we obtain a lift  $\varphi_{\text{lin}}$ 

$$F \xrightarrow{\varphi_{\lim}} f \xrightarrow{f} f$$

$$F \xrightarrow{g} M$$

which we define as the linearization of  $\varphi$  on F. Hence we define

$$\varphi(e_i) := \varphi_{\rm lin}(e_i)$$

and extend it  $\sigma_{\varphi}$ -linearly and continuously to the whole F. By construction this is a lift of  $\varphi_{|M}$ . The étaleness follows from Lemma 7 noting that by construction of (3.4) we have  $F/Jac(\Lambda_{\ell}(N_0))F = M/Jac(\Lambda_{\ell}(N_0))M$  and the latter is étale as so is M.

**Corollary 9.** For any pseudocompact étale  $\varphi$ -module M over  $\Lambda_{\ell}(N_0)$  with continuous  $\varphi$  and any  $i \geq 1$  we have

$$\operatorname{Tor}^{i}_{\Lambda_{\ell}(N_{0})}(\Lambda_{\ell}(N_{0})/J_{1},M)=0.$$

Proof. By Proposition 8 there is a projective resolution  $(F_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$  of M in the category of pseudocompact  $\Lambda_{\ell}(N_0)$ -modules, such that the  $F_i$  are étale  $\varphi$ -modules and the resolution is  $\varphi$ -equivariant. By Proposition 5, the functor  $\Lambda_{\ell}(N_0)/J_1 \otimes_{\Lambda_{\ell}(N_0)} \cdot$  is exact on this resolution. The result follows noting that the modules  $\prod_{i\in I} \Lambda_{\ell}(N_0)$  are flat over the noetherian ring  $\Lambda_{\ell}(N_0)$  as in this case an arbitrary direct product of flat modules is flat again.

**Corollary 10.** Let M be a pseudocompact étale module over  $\Lambda_{\ell}(N_0)$  with continuous  $\varphi$  such that  $\pi M = 0$ . Then there exists an index set I such that  $M \cong \prod_{i \in I} \Lambda_{\ell}(N_0)/\pi$ . In particular, M is a projective object in the category of pseudocompact modules over  $\Lambda_{\ell}(N_0)/\pi$ .

*Proof.* By Proposition 8 we obtain a minimal projective cover F of M with F admitting an étale lift of the  $\varphi$ -action on M. Since  $\pi M = 0$  this factors through  $F/\pi F$  which is also étale in the induced  $\varphi$ -action. Now we denote by K the kernel of the map from  $F/\pi F$  onto M. Then K is also étale as these form an abelian category. Hence by Proposition 5 we obtain an exact sequence

$$0 \to K/J_1K \to F/(\pi, J_1)F \to M/J_1M \to 0.$$

However, the map  $F/(\pi, J_1)F \to M/J_1M$  is an isomorphism by the construction of F (3.4) showing that  $K/J_1K = 0$  whence K = 0 as K is pseudocompact.

# 4 An example

In this section we are going to investigate the so called Steinberg representation. For the sake of simplicity (of the Bruhat-Tits building) we let G be  $\operatorname{GL}_{d+1}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  in this section for some  $d \geq 1$  and P be its standard Borel subgroup of lower triangular matrices. Recall that the group P = NT acts on N by  $(nt)(n') = ntn't^{-1}$ . This induces an action of P on the vector space  $V_{St} := C_c^{\infty}(N)$  of k-valued locally constant functions with compact support on N. It is straightforward to see (cf. Example on p. 8 in [10] and [12] Lemme 4) that the subspace  $M := C^{\infty}(N_0)$  of locally constant functions on  $N_0$  is generating and  $P_+$ -invariant. Moreover, it is shown in [10] Lemma 2.6 that we have  $D(V_{St}) = \Lambda(N_0)/\pi\Lambda(N_0)$ . We have the following refinement of this.

**Proposition 11.** Let  $V_{St}$  be the smooth modulo p Steinberg representation of the group P. Then we have  $D^0(V_{St}) = D(V_{St}) = \Lambda(N_0)/\pi\Lambda(N_0)$ , and  $D^i(V_{St}) = 0$  for any  $i \ge 1$ .

For the proof of Proposition 11 we are going to construct an explicit resolution

$$\mathcal{I}_{\bullet}: 0 \to \operatorname{ind}_{P_0Z}^P(V_d) \to \cdots \to \operatorname{ind}_{P_0Z}^P(V_1) \to \operatorname{ind}_{P_0Z}^P(V_0) \to V_{St} \to 0$$

of  $V_{St}$  using the Bruhat-Tits building of G. Here Z denotes the centre of G that will act trivially on each  $V_i$   $(0 \le i \le d)$ . Since  $Z \cong \mathbb{Q}_p^{\times}$ , Lemma 11.8 in [10] generalizes to this case with the same proof, so we have  $D^0(\operatorname{ind}_{P_0Z}^P(V_i)) = D(\operatorname{ind}_{P_0Z}^P(V_i))$  and  $D^i(\operatorname{ind}_{P_0Z}^P(V_i)) = 0$  for all  $0 \le i \le d$ . In particular, we may compute  $D^i(V_{St}) = h^i(D(\mathcal{I}_{\bullet}))$ .

Recall that the Bruhat-Tits building  $\mathcal{BT}$  of G is the simplicial complex whose vertices are the similarity classes [L] of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -lattices in the vector space  $\mathbb{Q}_p^{d+1}$  and whose q-simplices are given by families  $\{[L_0], \ldots, [L_q]\}$  of similarity classes such that

$$pL_0 \subsetneq L_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq L_q \subsetneq L_0.$$

Let  $\mathcal{BT}_q$  denote the set of all q-simplices of  $\mathcal{BT}$ . We also fix an orientation of  $\mathcal{BT}$  with the corresponding incidence numbers  $[\eta : \eta']$ . We choose a basis  $e_0, \ldots, e_d$  of  $\mathbb{Q}_p^{d+1}$  in which P is the Borel subgroup of lower triangular matrices and denote the origin of  $\mathcal{BT}$  by  $x_0 := [\sum_{i=0}^d \mathbb{Z}_p e_i]$ . Further, for all  $1 \leq i \leq d$  let  $\varphi_i$  be the dominant diagonal matrix diag $(1, \ldots, 1, p, \ldots, p)$  with i entries equal to 1 and d + 1 - i entries equal to p and put  $x_i := \varphi_i x_0$ . Then  $T_+/T_0 Z$  is clearly generated by the elements  $\{\varphi_i T_0 Z\}_{i=1}^d$  as a monoid. Moreover, for each subset  $J = \{j_1 < \cdots < j_q\} \subseteq \{1, \ldots, d\}$  we define the (oriented) q-simplex

$$\eta_J := \{x_0, x_{j_1}, \dots, x_{j_q}\}$$

Now we define the coefficient system

$$V_{nt\eta_J} := C_c^{\infty} \left( nt \left( \bigcap_{j \in J} \varphi_j N_0 \varphi_j^{-1} \right) t^{-1} \right)$$

for any n in N, t in T, and  $J \subseteq \{1, \ldots, d\}$ ; and  $V_x := 0$  if  $\eta \neq b\eta_J$  for any b in P and  $J \subseteq \{1, \ldots, d\}$ . The restriction maps are the natural inclusion maps. Indeed, for any two simplices  $\eta_1 \subseteq \eta_2$  such that  $V_{\eta_2} \neq 0$  we have a b = nt in P such that  $\eta_i = b\eta_{J_i}$  for  $J_1 \subseteq J_2 \subseteq \{1, \ldots, d\}$  and i = 1, 2 therefore  $V_{\eta_2} = ntV_{\eta_{J_2}}$  is naturally contained in  $V_{\eta_1} = ntV_{\eta_{J_1}}$  by extending the functions f in  $V_{nt\eta_J}$  to the whole N by putting  $f_{|N\setminus nt}(\bigcap_{j\in J}\varphi_j N_0\varphi_j^{-1})t^{-1} = 0$ . Later on we will often view elements of  $V_{nt\eta_J}$  as functions on N with support in  $\operatorname{supp}(V_{nt\eta_J}) = nt\left(\bigcap_{j\in J}\varphi_j N_0\varphi_j^{-1}\right)t^{-1}$ .

Note that  $V_{\eta}$  is either zero or equal to  $\bigcap_{x \in \eta \cap \mathcal{BT}^0} V_x$ . It might, however, happen that this intersection is nonzero but  $V_{\eta} = 0$  as  $\eta$  is not in the *P*-orbit of  $\eta_J$  for any  $J \subseteq \{1, \ldots, d\}$ . We also see immediately that *P* acts naturally on the coefficient system  $(V_{\eta})$  and this action is compatible with the boundary maps. Moreover, we claim

Lemma 12. We have

$$\bigoplus_{\eta \in \mathcal{BT}_q} V_\eta \cong \operatorname{ind}_{P_0 Z}^P(V_q) \tag{3}$$

with

$$V_q := \sum_{\substack{b_0 \in P_0, |J| = q \\ J \subseteq \{1, \dots, d\}}} V_{b_0 \eta_J} = \bigoplus_{\substack{|J| = q, J \subseteq \{1, \dots, d\} \\ n_0 \in N_0 / \bigcap_{j \in J} \varphi_j N_0 \varphi_j^{-1}}} V_{n_0 \eta_J}$$

*Proof.* By construction  $V_q$  is a  $P_0$ -subrepresentation of  $\bigoplus_{\eta \in \mathcal{BT}_q} V_\eta$  so we clearly have a P-equivariant map from the right hand side of (3) to the left hand side. Since  $V_q$  contains  $V_{\eta_J}$  for any q-element subset J of  $\{1, \ldots, d\}$  this map is surjective.

For the injectivity let b be in P with  $b\eta_{J_1} = \eta_{J_2}$  for two (not necessarily distinct) subsets  $J_1$  and  $J_2$  of  $\{1, \ldots, d\}$ . Assume that b does not lie in  $P_0Z$ . Then we have  $bx_0 = \varphi_i x_0$  and  $b\varphi_j x_0 = x_0$  for some  $1 \leq i, j \leq d$ . Hence  $b = \varphi_i b_0$  for some  $b_0$  in  $\operatorname{Stab}_P(x_0) = P_0Z$  with  $\varphi_i b_0 \varphi_j$  lying also in  $P_0Z$ . This is a contradiction as  $\varphi_i b_0 \varphi_i^{-1}$  is in  $P_0Z$ , but  $\varphi_i \varphi_j$  is not. It follows that  $\eta_{J_1}$  and  $\eta_{J_2}$  are in different P-orbits of  $\mathcal{BT}$  if  $J_1 \neq J_2$  (since  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} L_{\varphi_i x_0}/pL_0 = p^i$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq d$ ) and  $\operatorname{Stab}_P(\eta_J) \subseteq P_0Z$ . The statement follows.

**Lemma 13.** The coefficient system  $(V_{\eta})_{\eta}$  defines an acyclic resolution of the representation  $V_{St}$ , ie.  $H_0((V_{\eta})_{\eta}) = V_{St}$  and  $H_i((V_{\eta})_{\eta}) = 0$  for all  $i \ge 1$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 12 we note immediately that the natural map

$$\bigoplus_{\eta \in \mathcal{BT}_0} V_\eta \cong \operatorname{ind}_{P_0Z}^P(V_0) = \operatorname{ind}_{P_0Z}^P(M) \to V_{St}$$
(4)

is surjective since M generates  $V_{St}$ . On the other hand, if an element f in  $\operatorname{ind}_{P_0Z}^P(M)$  lies in the kernel of the above map (4) then for some t in  $T_+$  the support of tf lies in  $P_+$ . Hence for proving that f lies in the image of  $\bigoplus_{\eta \in \mathcal{BT}_1} V_\eta$  we may assume that f has support in  $P_+$ . However, we claim that for any b in  $P_+$  and any element v in  $V_{bx_0}$  there is an element  $v_0$  in  $V_{x_0}$  such that  $v - v_0$  lies in the image of  $\bigoplus_{\eta \in \mathcal{BT}_1} V_\eta$ . Indeed, if  $b = n_0 t$  for some  $n_0$  in  $N_0$  and t in  $T_+$  (since  $P_+ = N_0 T_+$ ) then v has support in  $n_0 t N_0 t^{-1} \subseteq n_0 t \varphi_j^{-1} N_0 \varphi_j t^{-1}$  for any j with  $t \varphi_j^{-1} \in T_+$ . Hence v lies in  $V_{\{n_0 t \varphi^{-1} x_0, n_0 t x_0\}}$  and the claim follows by induction on  $K = \sum_{i=1}^d k_i$ with  $tT_0 Z = \prod_{i=1}^d \varphi_i^{k_i} T_0 Z$ . This shows that  $H_0((V_\eta)_\eta) = V_{St}$ .

For the acyclicity of the resolution  $(V_{\eta})_{\eta}$  we are going to use Grosse-Klönne's local criterion [7]. To recall his result we need to introduce some terminology. Let  $\hat{\eta}$  be a pointed (q-1)simplex with underlying (q-1)-simplex  $\eta$ . Let  $N_{\hat{\eta}}$  be the set of vertices z of  $\mathcal{BT}$  such that  $(\hat{\eta}, z)$ is a pointed q-simplex. Each element z in  $N_{\hat{\eta}}$  corresponds to a lattice  $L_z$  with  $L_{q-1} \subsetneq L_z \subsetneq L_0$ where  $(L_0, \ldots, L_{q-1})$  represents  $\eta$ . We call a subset  $M_0$  of  $N_{\hat{\eta}}$  stable with respect to  $\hat{\eta}$  if for any two z, z' in  $M_0$  the lattice  $L_z \cap L_{z'}$  represents an element in  $M_0$ , as well. (By Lemma 2.2 in [7] this is equivalent to the original definition of stability in the case of the Bruhat-Tits building.) By Theorem 1.7 in [7] we need to verify that for any  $1 \leq q \leq d$ , any pointed (q-1)-simplex  $\hat{\eta}$ , and any subset  $M_0$  of  $N_{\hat{\eta}}$  that is stable with respect to  $\hat{\eta}$  the sequence

$$\bigoplus_{\substack{z, z' \in M_0 \\ \{z, z'\} \in \mathcal{BT}_1}} V_{\{z, z'\} \cup \eta} \to \bigoplus_{z \in M_0} V_{\{z\} \cup \eta} \to V_\eta$$
(5)

is exact. Since our coefficient system is *P*-equivariant, we may assume without loss of generality that  $\eta = \eta_J$  for some subset  $J \subseteq \{1, \ldots, d\}$  with |J| = q - 1. Let  $M_0 \subseteq N_{\hat{\eta}_J}$  be stable with respect to  $\hat{\eta}_J$  (here  $\hat{\eta}_J$  corresponds to any fixed vertex of  $\eta_J$ ). Since the stabilizer of  $\eta = \eta_J$  is contained in  $P_0Z$ , for any simplex  $\nu \supset \eta$  we have  $\nu = n_\nu \eta_{J'}$  for some  $J' \supset J$  and  $n_\nu$  in  $N_0$  stabilizing  $\eta$ . In particular,  $\operatorname{supp}(V_\nu) = n_\nu \left(\bigcap_{j \in J'} \varphi_j N_0 \varphi_j^{-1}\right)$ . Hence for any  $n_0$  in  $N_0$  the coset  $n_0 \bigcap_{j=1}^d \varphi_j N_0 \varphi_j^{-1}$  is either contained in  $\operatorname{supp}(V_\nu)$  or disjoint from  $\operatorname{supp}(V_\nu)$ . This means that we have

$$V_{\nu} = C_{c}^{\infty} \left( n_{\nu} \bigcap_{j \in J'} \varphi_{j} N_{0} \varphi_{j}^{-1} \right) = \bigoplus_{n_{0} \in n_{\nu} \bigcap_{i \in J'} \varphi_{i} N_{0} \varphi_{i}^{-1} / \bigcap_{j=1}^{d} \varphi_{j} N_{0} \varphi_{j}^{-1}} C_{c}^{\infty} \left( n_{0} \bigcap_{j=1}^{d} \varphi_{j} N_{0} \varphi_{j}^{-1} \right)$$

and it suffices to check the exactness of the restriction of (5) to each coset  $n_0 \bigcap_{j=1}^d \varphi_j N_0 \varphi_j^{-1}$ . For any fixed  $n_0$  we multiply the restriction of (5) to the coset  $n_0 \bigcap_{j=1}^d \varphi_j N_0 \varphi_j^{-1}$  by  $n_0^{-1}$  and obtain the sequence

$$\bigoplus_{\substack{z \neq z' \in n_0^{-1} M_0 \cap \{x_0, \dots, x_d\}}} C_c^{\infty}(\bigcap_{j=1}^d \varphi_j N_0 \varphi_j^{-1}) \to \bigoplus_{\substack{z \in n_0^{-1} M_0 \cap \{x_0, \dots, x_d\}}} C_c^{\infty}(\bigcap_{j=1}^d \varphi_j N_0 \varphi_j^{-1}) \to C_c^{\infty}(\bigcap_{j=1}^d \varphi_j N_0 \varphi_j^{-1})$$

$$(6)$$

since the condition on  $n_0$  lying in  $n_{\nu} \bigcap_{i \in J'} \varphi_i N_0 \varphi_i^{-1} / \bigcap_{j=1}^d \varphi_j N_0 \varphi_j^{-1}$  is equivalent to that  $n_0^{\neg 1} \nu$  is a subsimplex of  $\{x_0, \ldots, x_d\}$ . However, (6) is clearly exact and the lemma follows.

Proof of Proposition 11. At first we note that Lemma 11.8 in [10] generalizes to our case with the same proof, i.e.  $D(\operatorname{ind}_{P_0Z}^P(V)) = D^0(\operatorname{ind}_{P_0Z}^P(V))$  and  $D^i(\operatorname{ind}_{P_0Z}^P(V)) = 0$  for  $i \ge 1$  for any smooth *P*-representation *V* with central character since  $Z \cong \mathbb{Q}_p^{\times}$  here, as well. So by Lemmas 12 and 13 (and noting that *Z* acts trivially on each  $V_q$ ) we may compute

$$D^{i}(V_{St}) = h^{i}(D(\bigoplus_{\eta \in \mathcal{BT}_{\bullet}} V_{\eta})).$$

By Lemma 2.5 in [10] it suffices to show that for any  $0 \le q \le d-1$  and any generating  $P_+$ subrepresentation  $M_{q+1}$  of  $\operatorname{ind}_{P_0Z}^P(V_{q+1})$  there exists a generating  $P_+$ -subrepresentation  $M_q$  of  $\operatorname{ind}_{P_0Z}^P(V_q)$  such that  $M_q \cap \partial_{q+1}(\operatorname{ind}_{P_0Z}^P(V_{q+1})) \subseteq \partial_{q+1}(M_{q+1})$ . By (the analogue of) Lemma 3.2 in [10] (see the proof of Lemma 11.8 in [10]) we may assume that  $M_{q+1}$  is of the form  $M_{q+1} = M_{q+1,\sigma}$  for some order reversing map  $\sigma$  from  $T_+/T_0Z$  to  $\operatorname{Sub}(V_{q+1})$  satisfying

$$\bigcup_{t \in T_+/T_0Z} \sigma(t) = V_{q+1}$$

Here  $\operatorname{Sub}(V_{q+1})$  denotes the partially ordered set of  $P_0$ -subrepresentations of  $V_{q+1}$  and

$$M_{q+1,\sigma} = \bigoplus_{t \in T_+/T_0Z} \operatorname{ind}_{P_0Z}^{N_0tP_0Z} \sigma(t)$$

where  $\operatorname{ind}_{P_0Z}^X(V)$  denotes the set of functions with support in X from P to V as a subset of  $\operatorname{ind}_{P_0Z}^P(V)$  for any  $P_0Z$ -representation V and  $P_0Z$ -invariant subset X of P.

Moreover, since we have for any  $n_0$  in  $N_0$ 

$$V_{n_0\eta_J} = C_c^{\infty} \left( n_0 \bigcap_{j \in J} \varphi_j N_0 \varphi_j^{-1} \right) = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} C_c^{\infty} \left( n_0 \bigcap_{j \in J} \varphi_j N_0 \varphi_j^{-1} / \bigcap_{j'=1}^d \varphi_{j'} N_0^{p^n} \varphi_{j'}^{-1} \right)$$

with finite sets

$$C_c^{\infty}\left(n_0 \bigcap_{j \in J} \varphi_j N_0 \varphi_j^{-1} / \bigcap_{j'=1}^d \varphi_{j'} N_0^{p^n} \varphi_{j'}^{-1}\right)$$

we may further assume (making  $M_{q+1}$  possibly even smaller) that  $\sigma$  is induced by an unbounded order reversing map  $\sigma_0: T_+/T_0Z \to \mathbb{N} \cup \{-1\}$  with

$$\sigma(t) = \sum_{\substack{n_0 \in N_0, |J| = q+1 \\ J \subseteq \{1, \dots, d\}}} V_{n_0 \eta_J}(\sigma_0(t))$$

where

$$V_{n_0\eta_J}(\sigma_0(t)) := C_c^{\infty} \left( n_0 \bigcap_{j \in J} \varphi_j N_0 \varphi_j^{-1} / \bigcap_{j'=1}^d \varphi_{j'} N_0^{p^{\sigma_0(t)}} \varphi_{j'}^{-1} \right)$$
(7)

for  $\sigma_0(t) \ge 0$  and  $V_{n_0\eta_J}(-1) := 0$ . Now we put

$$M_q := M_{q,\sigma_0} := \bigoplus_{t \in T_+/T_0Z} \operatorname{ind}_{P_0Z}^{N_0 t P_0Z} \sum_{\substack{n_0 \in N_0, \ |J| = q \\ J \subseteq \{1, \dots, d\}}} V_{n_0\eta_J}(\sigma_0(t))$$

with  $V_{n_0\eta_J}(\sigma_0(t))$  defined as in (7). We claim that

$$M_q \cap \partial_{q+1}(\operatorname{ind}_{P_0Z}^P(V_{q+1})) = \partial_{q+1}(M_{q+1}).$$
(8)

We now distinguish two cases whether q = 0 or bigger. In the case q > 0 the proof of (8) is completely analogous to that of Lemma 13. We see by construction that  $\partial_{q+1}(M_{q+1}) \subseteq M_q$ . Hence we have the following coefficient system on  $\mathcal{BT}$  concentrated in degrees q + 1, q, and q-1. In degrees q+1 and q we put  $M_{q+1}$  and  $M_q$ , respectively as subspaces of  $\bigoplus_{\eta \in \mathcal{BT}_{q+1}} V_{\eta} =$  $\operatorname{ind}_{P_0Z}^P(V_{q+1})$  and  $\bigoplus_{\eta \in \mathcal{BT}_q} V_{\eta} = \operatorname{ind}_{P_0Z}^P(V_q)$ , respectively. Indeed, we have by construction

$$M_{q+1} = \bigoplus_{\eta \in \mathcal{BT}_{q+1}} M_{q+1} \cap V_{\eta};$$
$$M_q = \bigoplus_{\eta \in \mathcal{BT}_q} M_q \cap V_{\eta}.$$

In degree q-1 we put the whole  $\operatorname{ind}_{P_0Z}^P(V_{q-1})$ . We use Grosse-Klönne's criterion in order to show that the sequence

$$M_{q+1} \to M_q \to \operatorname{ind}_{P_0Z}^P(V_{q-1})$$

is exact which implies (8) as the kernel of the map from  $M_q$  to  $\operatorname{ind}_{P_0Z}^P(V_{q-1})$  is exactly the left hand side of (8) by Lemma 13. The proof proceeds the same way as in Lemma 13, but here all the functions are constant modulo the subgroup  $\bigcap_{j=1}^d \varphi_j N_0^{p^{\sigma_0(t)}} \varphi_j^{-1}$  where t only depends on  $\eta$  (except for the case  $\sigma_0(t) = -1$  whence all the functions are zero and the exactness is trivial). The sequence (6) remains exact if we replace  $C_c^{\infty}(\bigcap_{j=1}^d \varphi_j N_0 \varphi_j^{-1})$  by

$$C_c^{\infty}\left(\bigcap_{j=1}^d \varphi_j N_0 \varphi_j^{-1} / \bigcap_{j=1}^d \varphi_j N_0^{p^{\sigma_0(t)}} \varphi_j^{-1}\right)$$

hence the statement.

For q = 0 we have to be a bit more careful, since the inductional argument in the proof of Lemma 13 does not work here as it is not true that any v in  $M_0 \cap V_{n_0tx_0}$  is equivalent to some  $v_0$ in  $M_0 \cap V_{x_0}$  modulo  $\partial_1(M_1)$ . (Note that  $M_0 \cap V_{x_0} = V_{x_0}(\sigma_0(1))$  but  $M_0 \cap V_{n_0tx_0} = V_{n_0tx_0}(\sigma_0(t))$ and  $\sigma_0(t)$  could be much bigger than  $\sigma_0(1)$ .) However, we claim that for any  $v_t$  in  $M_0 \cap V_{n_0tx_0}$ with  $n_0$  in  $N_0$  and any  $t' \leq t$  in  $T_+$  there exists an element  $v_{t'}$  in

$$M_0 \cap \left( \bigoplus_{n_1 \in N_0/t' N_0 t'^{-1}} V_{n_1 t' x_0} \right)$$

such that  $v_t - v_{t'}$  lies in  $\partial_1(M_1)$ . The statement is derived from this the following way. Any element m in  $M_0$  is supported on finitely many vertices  $\{b_i t_i x_0\}_{i=1}^l$  of  $\mathcal{BT}$  with  $t_i$  in  $T_+$  and  $b_i$  in  $N_0$ . Moreover, there is a common t' in  $T_+$  with  $t' \leq t_i$  for any  $1 \leq i \leq l$ . Now if m lies in  $M_0 \cap \partial_1(\operatorname{ind}_{P_0Z}^P(V_1))$  then by our claim there exists an m' in

$$M_0 \cap \left( \bigoplus_{n_1 \in N_0/t' N_0 t'^{-1}} V_{n_1 t' x_0} \right) \tag{9}$$

such that m - m' lies in  $\partial_1(M_1)$ . However, the map from (9) to  $V_{St}$  is injective since the supports of functions in  $V_{n_1t'x_0}$  and in  $V_{n'_1t'x_0}$  are disjoint for  $n_1n'_1^{-1}$  not in  $t'N_0t'^{-1}$ . It follows that m' = 0 hence m is in  $\partial_1(M_1)$ .

For the proof of the claim let  $v_t$  be in  $M_0 \cap V_{n_0tx_0}$  for some  $n_0$  in  $N_0$  and t in  $T_+$ . Then by definition of  $V_{n_0tx_0}$  the function  $v_t$  is supported on

$$n_0 t N_0 t^{-1} = \bigcup_{n_1 \in t N_0 t^{-1}/t' N_0 t'^{-1}} n_0 n_1 t' N_0 t'^{-1}$$
(10)

since  $t' \leq t$  implies  $t'N_0t'^{-1} \subseteq tN_0t^{-1}$ . Moreover,  $v_t$  is constant on the cosets of

$$t\left(\bigcap_{j=1}^{d}\varphi_j N_0^{p^{\sigma_0(t)}}\varphi_j^{-1}\right)t^{-1}$$

by the definition of  $M_0$ . We may assume by induction that  $t' = t\varphi_i$  for some  $1 \leq i \leq d$ . Hence for any  $n_1$  in  $tN_0t^{-1}/t\varphi_iN_0\varphi_i^{-1}t^{-1}$  the pair  $\{x_0, t^{-1}n_1t\varphi_ix_0\}$  represents an edge of  $\mathcal{BT}$ . Therefore we have

$$M_1 \cap V_{\{n_0 t x_0, n_0 n_1 t \varphi_i x_0\}} = C_c^{\infty}(n_0 n_1(t \varphi_i N_0 \varphi_i^{-1} t^{-1} / t \bigcap_{j=1}^d \varphi_j N_0^{p^{\sigma_0(t)}} \varphi_j^{-1} t^{-1}))$$
(11)

and the map

$$\pi_{n_0tx_0} \circ \partial_1 \colon M_1 \cap \left( \bigoplus_{n_1 \in tN_0t^{-1}/t'N_0t'^{-1}} V_{\{n_0tx_0, n_0n_1t\varphi_ix_0\}} \right) \to M_0 \cap V_{n_0tx_0}$$

is surjective comparing (10) and (11). (Here  $\pi_{n_0tx_0}$  denotes the projection of  $M_0$  onto  $M_0 \cap V_{n_0tx_0}$ .) The claim follows noting that

$$\partial_1(M_1 \cap V_{\{n_0 t x_0, n_0 n_1 t \varphi_i x_0\}}) \subseteq M_0 \cap (V_{n_0 t x_0} \oplus V_{n_0 n_1 t \varphi_i x_0}).$$

The following is an immediate corollary of Remark 6.4 in [10] using Proposition 11.

**Corollary 14.** The natural transformation  $a_V$  defined in section 6 of [10] gives an isomorphism

$$a_{V_{St}} \colon V_{St}^* \to \psi^{-\infty}(D^0(V_{St})).$$

**Remark.** Proposition 11 (and also Lemmas 12 and 13) remain true in the following more general setting with basically the same proof. Let G still be  $\operatorname{GL}_{d+1}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  and V be a smooth otorsion P-representation with a unique minimal generating  $P_+$ -subrepresentation M. Assume further that  $nM \cap M = 0$  for any n in  $N \setminus N_0$ . Then we have  $D^0(V) = D(V)$  and  $D^i(V) = 0$ for all  $i \geq 1$ .

# Acknowledgement

I gratefully acknowledge the financial support and the hospitality of the Max Planck Institute for Mathematics, Bonn. I would like to thank Peter Schneider for his constant interest in my work and for his valueable comments and suggestions. I would also like to thank Marie-France Vigneras for reading the manuscript and for her comments.

# References

- A. Brumer, Pseudocompact Algebras, Profinite Groups and Class Formations, J. Algebra 4 (1966), 442–470.
- [2] J. Coates, T. Fukaya, K. Kato, R. Sujatha and O. Venjakob, The GL<sub>2</sub> main conjecture for elliptic curves without complex multiplication, *Publ. Math.* IHES **101** (2005), 163–208.
- [3] P. Colmez, Représentations de  $\operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  et  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -modules, Astérisque **330** (2010), 281– 509.
- [4] P. Colmez,  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -modules et représentations du mirabolique de  $GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ , Astérisque **330** (2010), 61–153.
- [5] J. Dieudonné, Linearly compact spaces and double vector spaces over sfields, Amer. J. Math. 73 (1951), 13–19.
- [6] P. Gabriel, Des Catégories Abéliennes, Bull. Soc. Math. France 90 (1962), 323–448.
- [7] E. Grosse-Klönne, Acyclic coefficient systems on buildings, Compositio Math. 141 (2005), 769–786.
- [8] S. Lefschetz, Algebraic Topology, Am. Math. Soc. Coll. Publications XXVII., New York, (1942).
- [9] P. Schneider, O. Venjakob, Localisations and completions of skew power series rings, American J. Math. 132 (2010), 1–36.
- [10] P. Schneider, M.-F. Vigneras, A functor from smooth *o*-torsion representations to  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -modules, preprint (2008), available online http://www.math.uni-muenster.de/u/pschnei/publ/pre/functor.pdf
- [11] T. A. Springer, Linear Algebraic Groups, in *Progress in Mathematics* 9, Birkhäuser, (1998).
- [12] M.-F. Vigneras, Série principale modulo p de groupes réductifs p-adiques, in *GAFA* 17 (2008).
- [13] M.-F. Vigneras, Introduction to the Langlands modulo p correspondence for  $GL(2, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ , lectures held at Princeton, 2010, http://www.math.jussieu.fr/~vigneras/princeton2010.pdf

Gergely Zábrádi Eötvös Loránd University, Mathematical Institute Department of Algebra and Number Theory Budapest Pázmány Péter sétány 1/C H-1117 Hungary zger@cs.elte.hu