


Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik Bonn

On the dimension growth of groups

by

Alexander Dranishnikov
Mark Sapir



On the dimension growth of groups

Alexander Dranishnikov
Mark Sapir

Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik
Vivatsgasse 7
53111 Bonn
Germany

Department of Mathematics
University of Florida
358 Little Hall
Gainesville, FL 32611-8105
USA

Department of Mathematics
Vanderbilt University
Nashville, TN 37240
USA

ON THE DIMENSION GROWTH OF GROUPS

ALEXANDER DRANISHNIKOV¹ AND MARK SAPIR²

ABSTRACT. We prove that the Thompson group F has exponential dimension growth. We also prove that every solvable finitely generated subgroup of F has polynomial dimension growth while some elementary amenable subgroups of F and some solvable groups of class 3 have dimension growth at least $\exp(\sqrt{n})$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Gromov introduced the notion of asymptotic dimension [Gr1] to study finitely generated groups. It turns out that groups with finite asymptotic dimension satisfy many famous conjectures like the Novikov Higher Signature Conjecture [Yu1],[Ba],[CG],[Dr1],[DFW],[BaRo]. Many of the popular types of groups have finite asymptotic dimension like hyperbolic groups [Gr1], virtually polycyclic groups (hence nilpotent groups), and solvable groups with finite rational Hirsch length [BD],[DS], Coxeter groups [DJ], arithmetic subgroups of algebraic groups over \mathbb{Q} [Ji], any finitely generated linear group over a field of positive characteristic [GTY], relatively hyperbolic groups [Os] with parabolic subgroup of finite asymptotic dimension, mapping class groups [BBBF], group acting “nicely” on finite dimensional CAT(0) cubical complexes [W], etc. Examples of asymptotically infinite dimensional groups include Thompson group F , Grigorchuk’s group, Gromov’s group containing an expander. The infinite dimensionality of F easily follows from the fact that for every n , F contains \mathbb{Z}^n as a subgroup. Grigorchuk group does not contain \mathbb{Z} since it is a torsion group. It is infinite dimensional because for every n it coarsely contains \mathbb{R}_+^n [Sm]. This argument does not apply to Gromov’s groups containing expanders, since they can have finite cohomological dimension [Gr2]; the infinite

Date: August 23, 2010.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary ; Secondary ,

Key words and phrases. Dimension growth, asymptotic dimension, Thompson group, Ehrhart polynomial.

¹Supported by NSF, grant DMS-0904278, ²Supported by NSF, grant DMS-0700811. Both authors are thankful to the Max-Planck Institute fur Mathematik in Bonn for the hospitality.

dimensionality of them follows from the fact that these groups do not coarsely embed into Hilbert spaces while all groups of finite asymptotic dimension embed [HR].

The dimension theoretic approach still could be useful in the case of asymptotically infinite dimensional groups. Thus, in [Dr2] the notion of asymptotic dimension growth was introduced. It was shown there that the groups with polynomial asymptotic dimension growth have property A. In particular, the Novikov conjecture holds true for them. Examples of infinite dimensional groups with polynomial asymptotic dimension growth were constructed in [Dr2].

Property A was introduced by Guoliang Yu [Yu2] and it is a deep generalization of amenability. Thus, any question about amenability of a given group has a relative: Does the group satisfy property A? In particular, if one tries to show that Thompson group F is amenable, first question to answer would be if F has property A. Property A implies a coarse embedability of a group into the Hilbert space. In fact, for finitely presented groups, it is still an open question whether it is equivalent to coarse embedability into a Hilbert space. In view of D. Farley's result [Fa], the R. Thompson group F is coarsely embeddable into the Hilbert space. The compression number of such embeddings was computed in [AGS], and unfortunately the answer lies exactly on the border ($=1/2$) where it does not allow to derive property A [GK]. Note that low compression number of a group does not imply high dimension growth. For example, groups constructed in [ADS] have finite asymptotic dimension and compression number 0.

Thus the question about dimension growth of the R. Thompson group is very relevant to the famous amenability problem of F .

1.1. Definition. Let λ be a positive number, X be a metric space. We say $\lambda\text{-dim}X \leq n$ if there is a uniformly bounded cover \mathcal{U} of X which can be decomposed $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}^0 \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{U}^n$ into $n + 1$ λ -disjoint families.

Thus, $\mathcal{U}^i = \{U_\alpha^i\}_{\alpha \in A}$ and $\text{dist}(U_\alpha^i, U_\beta^i) \geq \lambda$ for $\alpha \neq \beta$, and $\text{diam}(U_\alpha^i) \leq C$ for some constant C and all $\alpha \in A$ and all i .

Often we will refer to the above decomposed cover as to a $(n + 1)$ -colored cover with colors $0, 1, \dots, n$. So we assume that all sets of \mathcal{U}^i are painted by the same color i .

Two functions $f, g : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ have the same *growth* if there are positive constants a, t_0 such that $f(at) \geq g(t)$ and $g(at) \geq f(t)$ for $t > t_0$. Clearly, this is an equivalence relation on the set of all monotone functions. The equivalence class of a function f is called the *growth* of that function.

1.2. Definition. The growth of the function $d_X(\lambda) = \lambda\text{-dim}X$ is called *the dimension growth* of X .

Note that the definition of dimension function in [Dr2] is similar but different: the *asymptotic dimension growth* $ad_X(\lambda)$ from [Dr2] is minimal dimension of the nerve of a uniformly bounded cover of X with the Lebesgue number $\geq \lambda$. By taking a $\lambda/2$ -enlargement of a colored cover with λ -disjoint colors one can construct a cover of the same multiplicity with the Lebesgue number $\geq \lambda/2$. This yields the inequality $ad_X(\lambda/2) \leq d_X(\lambda)$. Therefore, the growth of ad_X does not exceed the growth of d_X . In particular, a polynomial growth of d_X implies the polynomial growth of ad_X and in view of [Dr2] the property A. We did not investigate here when the opposite inequality is true. Certainly it is true when ad_X is a constant. In that case both functions give alternative definitions of the asymptotic dimension. Thus, the functions d_X and ad_X generalize two definitions of asymptotic dimension to metric spaces with infinite asymptotic dimension.

Both the dimension growth and asymptotic dimension growth (d_X and ad_X) are quasi-isometry invariants and therefore they are invariants of finitely generated groups.

In this paper we answer the question about the dimension growth d_F of the R.Thompson group F . The dimension growth of F turns out to be exponential, the worst theoretically possible for a finitely generated group. We were not able to find any amenable subgroup of F with exponential dimension growth, although F contains pretty large (elementary) amenable groups. Moreover, our methods do not give any example of a finitely generated amenable group with exponential dimension growth. The largest dimension growth of an amenable group we are able to prove is $e^{\sqrt{\lambda}}$. Thus there is a possibility that the dimension growth separates F from the class of amenable groups (see Question 5.6 below). We realize that this possibility is very remote because so many previous attempts to prove non-amenability of F failed, but still it is a possibility. The difference between F and amenable groups that we employ is the following: F contains copies of \mathbb{Z}^k that are (λ, c_k) -quasi-isometrically embedded (for a fixed λ , and every $k \geq 1$), while we could not find amenable groups with this property.

1.3. Remark. Note that in [GTY], another generalization of the finite asymptotic dimension property was introduced, the so called *finite decomposition complexity*. It turned out that many groups have finite decomposition complexity and these groups satisfy strong rigidity properties including the stable Borel conjecture. It would be interesting to

“cross-bread” the finite decomposition complexity with, say, polynomial dimension growth.

2. PRELIMINARIES

We recall that the *chromatic number* of a graph is the minimal number of colors (if exists) such that the vertices of the graph can be colored in a way that adjacent vertices have different colors.

2.1. Proposition. *Let K be a possibly infinite graph of valency $\leq c$. Then its chromatic number $\leq c + 1$.*

Proof. Take a maximal $c + 1$ -colorable complete subgraph K' of K . Any vertex v of K that is not in K' has at most c colored neighbors and hence it can be colored and added to K' . That would contradict with the maximality of K' . \square

A version of the next proposition for the function ad is proved in [Dr2].

2.2. Proposition. *The dimension growth of a finitely generated group G does not exceed its volume growth.*

Proof. Let f be the volume growth function. We consider a graph with vertices elements of G where every two vertices at distance $< \lambda$ are joined by an edge (this is of course the 1-skeleton of the Rips complex of G). Then the valency of this graph is $< f(\lambda)$. By Proposition 2.1 the graph has chromatic number $\leq f(\lambda)$. Thus, a coloring of the graph in $f(\lambda)$ colors defines a coloring of the cover of G by 0-balls with λ -disjoint colors. \square

2.3. Corollary. *The dimension growth of any finitely generated group is at most exponential.*

We call a map between metric spaces $f : X \rightarrow Y$ *uniformly cobounded* if for every r there is an upper bound on $\text{diam} f^{-1}(B_r(y))$ uniform on y . We note that a group embedding of a finitely generated group into a finitely generated group is uniformly cobounded.

2.4. Proposition. *Let $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$ be a c -Lipschitz uniformly cobounded map between metric spaces. Then $\lambda\text{-dim}Y \geq \frac{\lambda}{c}\text{-dim}X$ for all λ*

Proof. Let $\lambda\text{-dim}Y = n$ and let $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}^0 \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{U}^n$ be a uniformly bounded cover of Y by λ -disjoint families \mathcal{U}^i . Then $f^{-1}(\mathcal{U}) = f^{-1}(\mathcal{U}^0) \cup \dots \cup f^{-1}(\mathcal{U}^n)$, $f^{-1}(\mathcal{U}^i) = \{f^{-1}(U) \mid U \in \mathcal{U}^i\}$, is a uniformly bounded cover of X . Since f is c -Lipschitz, each family $f^{-1}(\mathcal{U}^i)$ is λ/c -disjoint. Thus, $\frac{\lambda}{c}\text{-dim}X \leq n$. \square

For a metric d on a discrete space X and $r > 0$ we denote by $d + r$ a new metric \bar{d} defined as $\bar{d}(x, y) = d(x, y) + r$ provided $x \neq y$. We call it *the metric d shifted by a constant r* .

The following is obvious.

2.5. Proposition. *For r such that $0 < r < \lambda$,*

$$\lambda\text{-dim}(X, d + r) = (\lambda - r)\text{-dim}(X, d).$$

2.6. Proposition. *Let $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$ be a (c, r) -quasi-isometric imbedding: $d_Y(\phi(x), \phi(x')) \leq cd_X(x, x') + r$ for all $x, x' \in X$. Then*

$$\lambda\text{-dim}Y \geq \frac{\lambda-r}{c}\text{-dim}X.$$

Proof. Note that $\phi : (X, d_X + \frac{r}{c}) \rightarrow (Y, d_Y)$ is a uniformly cobounded $\frac{c}{r}$ -Lipschitz map. Then we apply Proposition 2.4 and Proposition 2.5 to obtain the required inequality

$$\lambda\text{-dim}Y \geq \frac{\lambda}{c}\text{-dim}(X, d_X + \frac{r}{c}) \geq \frac{\lambda-r}{c}\text{-dim}X.$$

□

3. DIMENSION GROWTH OF DIRECT SUMS OF \mathbb{Z}

3.1. Example. Using the checker coloring one gets

$$2\text{-dim}(\mathbb{Z}^n, \ell_1) = 1.$$

Less obvious is the following

3.2. Exercise.

$$3\text{-dim}(\mathbb{Z}^3, \ell_1) = 3.$$

3.3. Proposition. \mathbb{R}^n does not admit a uniformly bounded open cover of multiplicity $\leq n$.

Proof. Such a cover would define a uniformly cobounded map $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow N$ onto an at most $(n - 1)$ -dimensional polyhedron (the nerve of the cover). For every vertex $v \in N$ we fix a point $g(v) \in f^{-1}(v)$ and extend it linearly to a map $g : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$. Clearly, $g \circ f$ is on a finite distance to $id_{\mathbb{R}^n}$ and hence properly homotopic. Therefore

$$(g \circ f)^* = id : H_c^n(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow H_c^n(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

on the n -cohomology with compact supports. Since $(g \circ f)^* = f^* \circ g^*$ and f^* is zero homomorphism by dimensional reason, we have a contradiction. □

Two points $x, y \in X$ in a metric space X are called *r-connected* if there is a chain x_0, \dots, x_n of points in X such that $x = x_0, y = x_n$, and $d(x_i, x_{i+1}) \leq r$. Note that the *r-connectivity* is an equivalence relation. Thus, every metric space X can be decomposed into equivalence classes called *r-components* of X . A metric space X is called *connected on scale r* if there is only one *r-component*. Otherwise, X is called *r-disconnected*. Note that a path connected metric space is connected on all scales. Despite the obvious conflict with notations in algebraic topology, we will call spaces connected on the scale r , *r-connected*.

We use the notation $\text{Vert}(I^n)$ for the set of vertices of the n th cube I^n . We always take ℓ_1 metric on I^n .

3.4. Definition.

$$c_\lambda(n) = \max\{m \mid \forall f : \text{Vert}(I^n) \rightarrow \{1, \dots, m\} \\ \exists i : f^{-1}(i) \text{ is } (\lambda - 1)\text{-connected}\}.$$

3.5. Proposition.

$$c_n(n) = 2^{n-1} - 1$$

Proof. First we note that any pair of points in $\text{Vert}(I^n)$ on the distance $> n - 1$ is the endpoint set of a long diagonal. Therefore, every $(n - 1)$ -disconnected subset of $\text{Vert}(I^n)$ contains a exactly the endpoints of a long diagonal. Since there 2^{n-1} long diagonals in I^n , $2^{n-1} - 1$ colors is not enough. Clearly, 2^{n-1} colors is enough to have the set of vertices of each color $(n - 1)$ -disconnected. \square

3.6. Proposition.

$$c_\lambda(n + 1) \geq c_\lambda(n).$$

3.7. Lemma.

$\lambda\text{-dim}(\mathbb{Z}^n, \ell_1) = n$ for any $n < 2^{\lambda-1}$.

Proof. Assume that $\lambda\text{-dim}\mathbb{Z}^n < n$. Then there is a uniformly bounded λ -disjoint coloring $f : \mathbb{Z}^n \rightarrow \{1, \dots, n\}$. The colors define families of λ -disjoint clusters of given color: $\mathcal{U}^1, \dots, \mathcal{U}^n, \mathcal{U}^i = \{U_\alpha^i\}$, and $f^{-1}(i) = \cup_\alpha U_\alpha^i$. We regard \mathbb{Z}^n as the 0-skeleton of the standard cube lattice in \mathbb{R}^n . For every unit cube $C = I^k$ with vertices in \mathbb{Z}^n and every vertex $v \in I^n$ we define an open neighborhood $W(v, C)$ of v in C such that

1. $W(v, C) \cap F = W(v, F)$ for every face $F \subset C$;
2. If $v, u \in C$ have the same color and are in different $(\lambda - 1)$ -components in \mathbb{Z}^n , then $W(u, C) \cap W(v, C) = \emptyset$;
3. $\cup_{v \in C} W(v, C) = C$.

Then for every i and α we define

$$\tilde{U}_\alpha^i = \bigcup_{v \in U_\alpha^i, C} W(v, C).$$

Property 2 implies that the family $\{\tilde{U}_\alpha^i\}_\alpha$ is disjoint for each i . Property 3 implies that $\{\tilde{U}_\alpha^i\}_{i,\alpha}$ is a cover of \mathbb{R}^n . It is uniformly bounded, since it is an n -enlargement of a uniformly bounded family $\{U_\alpha^i\}_{i,\alpha}$. Clearly, the multiplicity of this cover is at most n . This would give a contradiction with Proposition 3.3.

We construct $W(v, C)$ by induction on $\dim C$. If $\dim C = 0$, then we set $W(v, C) = v$. Assume that the sets $W(v, C)$ are constructed for k -dimensional cubes and let $\dim C = k + 1$. Let v be a vertex in C and b be its barycenter. Denote by

$$A_v = \text{Cone}(b, \cup_{v \in F \subset C} W(v, F))$$

the cone with the vertex b and the base the union of $W(v, F)$ over all proper faces of C that contain v . We define $W(v, C) = A_v \setminus \{b\}$ if the set of vertices of C with the same color as v is $(\lambda - 1)$ -disconnected in \mathbb{Z}^n . Define $W(v, C) = A_v \cup B_\epsilon(b)$ otherwise. Here $B_\epsilon(b)$ is an open ϵ -ball centered at b and ϵ is small. Then 1 and 2 are satisfied by definition. To prove 3 it suffices to show that there is color i such that the vertices of C colored by i are $(\lambda - 1)$ -connected. Clearly this is the case when $k + 1 \leq \lambda - 1$. If $k + 1 = \lambda$, then by Proposition 3.5 and the hypothesis, $c_\lambda(\lambda) = 2^{\lambda-1} - 1 \geq n$. Therefore, there is such color. For $k + 1 > \lambda$ such color exists in view of Proposition 3.6. \square

3.8. Theorem. *The dimension growth of infinite sum of \mathbb{Z} with the ℓ_1 -metric is at least exponential:*

$$\lambda\text{-dim}(\bigoplus_{\infty} \mathbb{Z}) \geq 2^\lambda/4.$$

Proof. For $n = 2^{\lambda-2} < 2^{\lambda-1}$ we obtain

$$\lambda\text{-dim}(\bigoplus_{\infty} \mathbb{Z}) \geq \lambda\text{-dim}(\bigoplus_n \mathbb{Z}) \geq 2^\lambda/4.$$

\square

3.9. Remark. We do not have any estimate from above of the dimension growth of the infinite sum of \mathbb{Z} . Moreover, we do not know if it is finite for $\lambda \geq 3$.

We use notation for the wreath product $A \wr B$ which is for us the semidirect product

$$\bigoplus_{b \in B} A \rtimes B.$$

We want to warn the reader that sometimes in the literature the notation $B \wr A$ is used for the same group.

If S is a generating set for A and T is a generating set for B , then $S \subset A_e \subset \bigoplus_{b \in B} A$ together with $T \subset B$ generate $A \wr B$. Note that the summand A_b indexed by $b \in B$ in $\bigoplus_{b \in B} A \subset A \wr B$ has a form bAb^{-1} and therefore the metric on d (with respect to these generators) is the metric d_S on A shifted by $2\|b\|$.

The following lemma is obvious.

3.10. Lemma. *The identity map*

$$id : \bigoplus_{i \in J} (\mathbb{Z}, |\cdot|) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i \in J} (\mathbb{Z}, |\cdot| + r)$$

is $(r+1)$ -Lipschitz for ℓ_1 -metrics on the direct sums for any index set J .

3.11. Theorem. *Let G be a group of exponential growth. Then the group $\mathbb{Z} \wr G$ has the dimension growth $\geq e^{\sqrt{\lambda}}$.*

Proof. It suffices to show that the subgroup $\bigoplus_G \mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{Z} \wr G$ with the induced metric has growth $\geq e^{\sqrt{\lambda}}$. By the above remark this subgroup as a metric space is the sum $\bigoplus_G (\mathbb{Z}, |\cdot| + 2\|g\|)$ with ℓ_1 -metric of copies of \mathbb{Z} indexed by $g \in G$ with the standard metric $|\cdot|$ shifted by $2\|g\|$. In view of Proposition 2.4, Lemma 3.10 and Lemma 3.7 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda\text{-dim}(\bigoplus_{g \in G} (\mathbb{Z}, |\cdot| + 2\|g\|)) &\geq \lambda\text{-dim}(\bigoplus_{g \in B_r} (\mathbb{Z}, |\cdot| + 2\|g\|)) \geq \\ &\lambda\text{-dim}(\bigoplus_{g \in B_r} (\mathbb{Z}, |\cdot| + 2r)) \geq \frac{\lambda}{2r+1}\text{-dim}(\bigoplus_{g \in B_r} \mathbb{Z}) \geq |B_r| \end{aligned}$$

whenever $|B_r| < 2^{\frac{\lambda}{2r+1}-1}$ where B_r is an r -ball in G and $|B_r|$ denotes the cardinality of the ball. There are $\alpha > 0$ and $\beta > 0$ such that $2^{\alpha r} \leq |B_r| \leq 2^{\beta r}$. Then for r with $\beta r < \frac{\lambda}{2r+1} - 1$ the inequality hold. Therefore, it holds for $r = a\sqrt{\lambda}$ for $a = \sqrt{3}$. Thus, $\lambda\text{-dim}(\mathbb{Z} \wr G) \geq 2^{\sqrt{3\lambda}}$. \square

In case of infinite sum of \mathbb{Z} with shifted metrics we have the following estimate from above on the dimension growth.

3.12. Proposition.

$$\lambda\text{-dim} \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{N}} (\mathbb{Z}, |\cdot| + k) \leq e^{a\lambda}$$

for some $a > 0$

Proof. This sum is quasi-isometrically imbedded into a finitely generated group $\mathbb{Z} \wr \mathbb{Z}$. Then Proposition 2.2 implies the estimate. \square

We note that this estimate is not optimal. The sharper above estimate will be obtained in Corollary 6.8.


4. LOW BOUND FOR DIMENSION GROWTH OF THOMPSON GROUP

In this section, it will be convenient to view the R. Thompson group as a diagram group over the semigroup presentation $\langle x \mid x^2 = x \rangle$.

Let us recall the definition of a *diagram group* (see [GS1, GS3] for more formal definitions). A (semigroup) *diagram* is a planar directed labeled graph tessellated into cells, defined up to an isotopy of the plane. Each diagram Δ has the top path $\mathbf{top}(\Delta)$, the bottom path $\mathbf{bot}(\Delta)$, the initial and terminal vertices $\iota(\Delta)$ and $\tau(\Delta)$. These are common vertices of $\mathbf{top}(\Delta)$ and $\mathbf{bot}(\Delta)$. The whole diagram is situated between the top and the bottom paths, and every edge of Δ belongs to a (directed) path in Δ between $\iota(\Delta)$ and $\tau(\Delta)$. More formally, let X be an alphabet. For every $x \in X$ we define the *trivial diagram* $\varepsilon(x)$ which is just an edge labeled by x . The top and bottom paths of $\varepsilon(x)$ are equal to $\varepsilon(x)$, $\iota(\varepsilon(x))$ and $\tau(\varepsilon(x))$ are the initial and terminal vertices of the edge. If u and v are words in X , a *cell* $(u \rightarrow v)$ is a planar graph consisting of two directed labeled paths, the top path labeled by u and the bottom path labeled by v , connecting the same points $\iota(u \rightarrow v)$ and $\tau(u \rightarrow v)$. There are three operations that can be applied to diagrams in order to obtain new diagrams.

1. **Addition.** Given two diagrams Δ_1 and Δ_2 , one can identify $\tau(\Delta_1)$ with $\iota(\Delta_2)$. The resulting planar graph is again a diagram denoted by $\Delta_1 + \Delta_2$, whose top (bottom) path is the concatenation of the top (bottom) paths of Δ_1 and Δ_2 . If $u = x_1x_2 \dots x_n$ is a word in X , then we denote $\varepsilon(x_1) + \varepsilon(x_2) + \dots + \varepsilon(x_n)$ (i.e. a simple path labeled by u) by $\varepsilon(u)$ and call this diagram also *trivial*.

2. **Multiplication.** If the label of the bottom path of Δ_2 coincides with the label of the top path of Δ_1 , then we can *multiply* Δ_1 and Δ_2 , identifying $\mathbf{bot}(\Delta_1)$ with $\mathbf{top}(\Delta_2)$. The new diagram is denoted by $\Delta_1 \circ \Delta_2$. The vertices $\iota(\Delta_1 \circ \Delta_2)$ and $\tau(\Delta_1 \circ \Delta_2)$ coincide with the corresponding vertices of Δ_1, Δ_2 , $\mathbf{top}(\Delta_1 \circ \Delta_2) = \mathbf{top}(\Delta_1)$, $\mathbf{bot}(\Delta_1 \circ \Delta_2) = \mathbf{bot}(\Delta_2)$.



3. Inversion. Given a diagram Δ , we can flip it about a horizontal line obtaining a new diagram Δ^{-1} whose top (bottom) path coincides with the bottom (top) path of Δ .

4.1. Definition. A diagram over a collection of cells P is any planar graph obtained from the trivial diagrams and cells of P by the operations of addition, multiplication and inversion. If the top path of a diagram Δ is labeled by a word u and the bottom path is labeled by a word v , then we call Δ a (u, v) -diagram over P .


Two cells in a diagram form a *dipole* if the bottom part of the first cell coincides with the top part of the second cell, and the cells are inverses of each other. In this case, we can obtain a new diagram removing the two cells and replacing them by the top path of the first cell. This operation is called *elimination of dipoles*. The new diagram is called *equivalent* to the initial one. A diagram is called *reduced* if it does not contain dipoles. It is proved in [GS1, Theorem 3.17] that every diagram is equivalent to a unique reduced diagram.

If the top and the bottom paths of a diagram are labeled by the same word u , we call it a *spherical* (u, u) -diagram. Now let $P = \{c_1, c_2, \dots\}$ be a collection of cells. The diagram group $\mathcal{D}(P, u)$ corresponding to the collection of cells P and a word u consists of all reduced spherical (u, u) -diagrams obtained from the cells of P and trivial diagrams by using the three operations mentioned above. The product $\Delta_1 \Delta_2$ of two diagrams Δ_1 and Δ_2 is the reduced diagram obtained by removing all dipoles from $\Delta_1 \circ \Delta_2$. The fact that $\mathcal{D}(P, u)$ is a group is proved in [GS1].

4.2. Example. If X consists of one letter x and P consists of one cell $x \rightarrow x^2$, then the group $\mathcal{D}(P, x)$ is the R. Thompson group F [GS1].

Here are the diagrams representing the two standard generators x_0, x_1 of the R. Thompson group F . All edges are labeled by x and

oriented from left to right, so we omit the labels and orientation of edges.



It is easy to represent, say, x_0 as a product of sums of cells and trivial diagrams:

$$x_0 = (x \rightarrow x^2) \circ (\varepsilon(x) + (x \rightarrow x^2)) \circ ((x \rightarrow x^2)^{-1} + \varepsilon(x)) \circ ((x \rightarrow x^2)^{-1}).$$

There is a natural *diagram metric* on every diagram group $\mathcal{D}(P, u)$: $\text{dist}(\Delta, \Delta')$ is the number of cells in the diagram $\Delta^{-1}\Delta'$.

4.3. Lemma. [Bu, AGS] *For the R. Thompson group F , the diagram metric is $(6, 2)$ -quasi-isometric to the word metric corresponding to the standard generating set $\{x_0, x_1\}$.*

4.4. Proposition. *There are constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$ such that for every n there is a group imbedding of $\xi_n : \mathbb{Z}^{2^n} \rightarrow F$ into the Thompson group F such that ξ_n is a (C_1, C_2n) -quasi-isometric embedding:*

$$d_F(\xi_n(x), \xi_n(x')) \leq C_1 \|x - x'\|_1 + C_2 n$$

where $\|\cdot\|_1$ is the standard l_1 -metric on \mathbb{Z}^{2^n} .

Proof. We are going to use the following construction from [AGS]. For any $n \geq 0$, let us define 2^n elements of F that commute pairwise. All these elements will be reduced (x, x) -diagrams over $\mathcal{P} = \langle x \mid x^2 = x \rangle$. For $n = 0$, let Δ be the diagram that corresponds to the generator x_0 (see above). It has 4 cells.

Suppose that $n \geq 1$ and we have already constructed diagrams Δ_i ($1 \leq i \leq 2^{n-1}$) that commute pairwise. For every i we consider two (x^2, x^2) -diagrams: $\varepsilon(x) + \Delta_i$ and $\Delta_i + \varepsilon(x)$. We get 2^n spherical diagrams with base x^2 that obviously commute pairwise. It remains to conjugate them to obtain 2^n spherical diagrams with base x having the same property. Namely, we take $\pi \circ (\varepsilon(x) + \Delta_i) \circ \pi^{-1}$ and $\pi \circ (\Delta_i + \varepsilon(x)) \circ \pi^{-1}$.

Let us denote the elements of F obtained in this way by g_i ($1 \leq i \leq 2^n$). It is easily proved, say, by induction on n , that there exists a (x^{2^n}, x) -diagram u_n with n cells and (x^{2^n}, x^{2^n}) -diagrams $v_{n,i} = \varepsilon(x^i) + \Delta + \varepsilon(x^{2^n-i-1})$, $i = 0, \dots, 2^n - 1$, such that each g_i is equal to $u_n^{-1}v_{n,i}u_n$. Hence each g_i has $2n + 4$ cells and its word length in F is bounded between n/C and Cn where C is a constant. Hence the subgroup A_n generated by g_1, \dots, g_{2^n} is isomorphic to Z^{2^n} .

Now if we consider the diagram $g_1^{k_1} \dots g_{2^n}^{k_{2^n}}$ for any integers k_1, \dots, k_{2^n} , the number of cells in that diagram is between $4(|k_1| + \dots |k_{2^n}|)$ and $2n+4(|k_1| + \dots |k_{2^n}|)$. Now it follows from Lemma 4.3 that the restriction of the word metric of F on the subgroup A_n is between $\frac{1}{C_1}|\cdot| - C_2n$ and $C_1|\cdot| + C_2n$ where $|\cdot|$ is the standard l_1 -metric on Z^{2^n} , C_1, C_2 are constants > 1 . \square

4.5. Remark. Note that the constants C_1 and C_2 in Proposition 4.4 do not exceed 25 and do not depend on n .

4.6. Theorem. *The asymptotic dimension growth of the Thompson group F is exponential.*

Proof. Let $A_n = \xi_n(\oplus^{2^n} \mathbb{Z})$. In view of Proposition 4.4, Proposition 2.4, Proposition 2.6, and Lemma 3.7 we obtain

$$\lambda\text{-dim}(F) \geq \lambda\text{-dim}(A_n) \geq \left(\frac{\lambda - C_2n}{C_1}\right)\text{-dim} \bigoplus_{i=1}^{2^n} \mathbb{Z} = 2^n$$

provided $2^n < 2^{(\lambda - C_2n)/C_1 - 1}$ or equally, $n < \frac{\lambda - C_1}{C_2 + C_1}$. Thus,

$$\lambda\text{-dim}(F) \geq \frac{1}{2} 2^{\frac{1}{C_1 + C_2} \lambda}$$

for all λ . \square

5. THE DIMENSION GROWTH OF AN ELEMENTARY AMENABLE SUBGROUP OF THE R. THOMPSON GROUP F

It is known [Ch] that the R. Thompson group F is not elementary amenable, i.e. it cannot be constructed from finite and Abelian groups using extensions, passing to subgroups, increasing unions and homomorphisms. Nevertheless it contains large elementary amenable subgroups: solvable of any degree [GS2] and non-solvable [Br]. In Section 6, we shall show that every solvable subgroup of F has polynomial dimension growth. Here we prove that the elementary amenable subgroup of F constructed in [Br] has dimension growth $\geq e^{\sqrt{\lambda}}$.

We define B_k to be the k th iterated wreath product of \mathbb{Z} . Formally, $B_0 = \mathbb{Z} = \langle b_0 \rangle$ and if $B_k = \langle b_0, \dots, b_k \rangle$ is already constructed, then

$$B_{k+1} = B_k \wr \mathbb{Z} = \left(\bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} B_k \right) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$$

where the ‘‘top’’ \mathbb{Z} is generated by b_{k+1} . We will use the first.

By induction we define a canonical subgroup $D_k \cong \oplus \mathbb{Z} \subset B_k$: $D_0 = B_0 = \mathbb{Z}$ and $D_{k+1} = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} D_k$. Next, we define by induction a proper metric d_{k+1} on D_{k+1} as ℓ_1 -metric on the direct sum:

$$D_{k+1} = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} (D_k, d_k + 2|i|).$$

Thus,

$$D_k = \bigoplus_{\bar{i} \in \mathbb{Z}^k} (\mathbb{Z}, |\cdot| + 2|i_1| + \dots + 2|i_k|)$$

is the direct sum of infinitely many \mathbb{Z} with ℓ_1 -metric where each summand has the standard metric modified by a constant. Here we use the notation $\bar{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_k)$. Clearly, the embedding $D_k \rightarrow D_{k+1}$ is isometric. Let $D = \lim_{\rightarrow} D_k$ be the group direct limit with the corresponding metric $d = \cup d_k$. Let $\bar{B} = \lim_{\rightarrow} B_k$. Let B be the HNN extension of \bar{B} with a free letter b that conjugates b_i with b_{i+1} , $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Clearly, B is generated by b_0 and b .

Let $P \subset \mathbb{R}^k$ be a polytope with integral vertices. The Ehrhart polynomial $L(P, t)$ of P is defined as

$$L(P, t) = |tP \cap \mathbb{Z}^k|$$

where tP is dilation of P and $|\cdot|$ denotes the cardinality. It is known that $L(P, t)$ is a polynomial of degree k with positive coefficients [BeRo].

The *regular cross-polytope* in \mathbb{R}^k is the polytope spanned by the vertices $\{\pm e_i \mid i = 1, \dots, k\}$ where $\{e_i\}$ is the orthonormal basis. The Ehrhart polynomial for the regular cross-polytope $P_k \subset \mathbb{R}^k$ is known [BeRo]:

$$L(P_k, t) = \sum_{i=0}^k \frac{2^i x(x-1) \dots (x-i+1)}{i!}.$$

This formula implies the following

5.1. Proposition. *For the regular cross-polytope in P_k ,*

$$L(P_k, k) = 3^k.$$

5.2. Lemma. *For each k ,*

$$\lambda\text{-dim}(D_k, d_k) \geq \min\left\{2^{\frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{4}}, L(P_k, \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{2}}\right\};$$

and

$$\lambda\text{-dim}D \geq 2^{\frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{4}}.$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda\text{-dim}D_k &= \lambda\text{-dim} \bigoplus_{\bar{i} \in \mathbb{Z}^k} (\mathbb{Z}, |\cdot| + 2|i_1| + \cdots + 2|i_k|) \geq \\ &\lambda\text{-dim} \left(\bigoplus_{\|\bar{i}\|_1 \leq \sqrt{\lambda}-1/2} (\mathbb{Z}, |\cdot| + 2\|\bar{i}\|_1) \right) \geq \lambda\text{-dim} \bigoplus_{\|\bar{i}\|_1 \leq \sqrt{\lambda}-1/2} (\mathbb{Z}, |\cdot| + 2\sqrt{\lambda}-1) \\ &\geq \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{2}\text{-dim} \bigoplus_{\|\bar{i}\|_1 \leq \sqrt{\lambda}-1/2} \mathbb{Z} \geq \min\{2^{\frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{2}-1}-1, |\{\bar{i} \in \mathbb{Z}^k \mid \|\bar{i}\|_1 \leq \sqrt{\lambda}-1/2\}|\} \\ &\geq \min\{2^{\frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{4}}, L(P_k, \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{2}})\}. \end{aligned}$$

Here the first inequality is due to the transition to a subgroup, the second is in view of a 1-Lipschitz map (the identity map)

$$id : \bigoplus_{\|\bar{i}\|_1 \leq \sqrt{\lambda}-1/2} (\mathbb{Z}, |\cdot| + 2\sqrt{\lambda}-1) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\|\bar{i}\|_1 \leq \sqrt{\lambda}-1/2} (\mathbb{Z}, |\cdot| + 2\|\bar{i}\|_1)$$

and Proposition 2.4, the third equality is due to a $2\sqrt{\lambda}$ -Lipschitz map

$$id : \bigoplus (\mathbb{Z}, |\cdot|) \rightarrow \bigoplus (\mathbb{Z}, |\cdot| + 2\sqrt{\lambda}-1)$$

and Proposition 2.4, the fourth inequality is by Lemma 3.7, the fifth is obvious. Thus, the first part is proven.

To derive the second part we take $k = \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{2}}$ in the above inequalities and apply Proposition 5.1. We obtain

$$\lambda\text{-dim}D \geq \lambda\text{-dim}D_k \geq \min\{2^{\frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{4}}, 3\sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{2}}\} = 2^{\frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{4}}.$$

□

5.3. Corollary. *The dimension growth of (B, d) is at least $e^{\sqrt{\lambda}}$.*

5.4. Proposition. *(M. Brin, [Br]) There is an embedding $\phi: B \rightarrow F$ such that for every n the inequality*

$$d_F(\phi(x), \phi(y)) \leq d_n(x, y) + 2n$$

holds for all $x, y \in D_n$.

Proof. We view F as the group of piecewise linear increasing homeomorphisms of $[0, 1]$ with dyadic break points and slopes powers of 2. A support of a map from F is the subset of $[0, 1]$ where F is not the identity. Let f be a function from F with support $(1/2, 1)$ that takes $(1/2, 7/8)$ to $(1/2, 5/8)$. and Let g be any function from F with support $(1/4, 7/8)$ that takes $(1/2, 5/8)$ to $(5/8, 6/8)$. Then the map $b_0 \mapsto f, b \mapsto g$ induces an isomorphism from B to the subgroup $\langle g, f \rangle$ from F [Br]. \square

Since the imbedding of B into F is not Lipschitz, the dimension growth of B in F could be higher. We show that it is the same.

5.5. Theorem. *The dimension growth of the group B taken with the subgroup metric in the Thompson group F is at least $e^{\sqrt{\lambda}}$.*

Proof. By Proposition 5.4 and Lemma 5.2,

$$d_B(\lambda) \geq (\lambda - 2n)\text{-dim}D_n \geq \min\{2^{\frac{\sqrt{\lambda-2n}}{4}}, L(P_n, \sqrt{\frac{\lambda-2n}{2}})\}$$

for all n . Take $\lambda = 2n^2 + 2n$. Then $n = \sqrt{\frac{\lambda-2n}{2}}$ and by Proposition 5.1

$$d_B(\lambda) \geq \min\{2^{\frac{n}{2\sqrt{2}}}, 3^n\} \geq 2^{\frac{n}{2\sqrt{2}}} \geq Ce^{C\sqrt{\lambda}}$$

for some constant C . \square

5.6. Question. Is the dimension growth of every amenable finitely presented group sub-exponential?

A positive answer to this question would imply, in view of Theorem 4.6, that F is not amenable.

6. ESTIMATES FROM ABOVE

We recall that a family \mathcal{U} of sets of X is m -colored if $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}^1 \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{U}^m$ and each \mathcal{U}^i is a collection of disjoint sets. Each of the families \mathcal{U}^i is called a color (i -color). A colored family \mathcal{U} is called a k -cover if every k colors in it form a cover of X . Also, we recall that in a metric space X we call an i -color λ -disjoint if $\text{dist}(U, U') \geq \lambda$ for all different sets $U, U' \in \mathcal{U}^i$.

6.1. Definition. We say that a metric space X satisfies the *Kolmogorov-Ostrand condition* (KO-condition) for a function $n(\lambda)$ if for every λ and $m \geq n(\lambda)$ there is a uniformly bounded m -colored $n(\lambda)$ -cover with λ -disjoint colors.

The origin of this condition can be traced to the work of Kolmogorov and Ostrand on Hilbert's 13-th problem [Ost].

Clearly, if there is an m' -colored such cover, then there is an m -colored such a cover with $m < m'$ and $m \geq n(\lambda)$.

6.2. Example. \mathbb{R} satisfies KO-condition for $n(\lambda) = 2$.

Proof. We define

$$\mathcal{U}^0 = \{(2m\lambda i, 2m\lambda(i+1) - \lambda) \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{U}^i = \mathcal{U}^0 + 2\lambda i = \{U + 2\lambda i \mid U \in \mathcal{U}^0\}$$

for $i = 1, \dots, m-1$. clearly for any $i \neq j$, $\mathcal{U}^i \cup \mathcal{U}^j$ is a cover. \square

6.3. Exercise. Every metric space X with finite asymptotic dimension satisfies the KO-condition with $n(\lambda) = asdim X + 1$. Any metric space X satisfying the KO-condition with $n(\lambda)$ satisfies $\lambda\text{-dim} X \leq n(\lambda) - 1$ for every λ .

The following statement is obvious.

6.4. Proposition. *Suppose that a discrete metric space (X, ρ) satisfies the KO-condition with $n_X(\lambda)$. Then for all $r > 0$ the "shifted" space $(X, \rho + r)$ -satisfies the KO-condition with $n(\lambda) = n_X(\lambda - r)$.*

6.5. Proposition. *Suppose that metric spaces X and Y satisfy the KO-condition with $n_X(\lambda)$ and $n_Y(\lambda)$ respectively. Then $X \times Y$ supplied with ℓ_1 -metric satisfied the KO-condition with $n(\lambda) = n_X(\lambda) + n_Y(\lambda) - 1$.*

Proof. Fix λ and m . We take m -colored $n_X(\lambda)$ -cover \mathcal{U} of X and m -colored $n_Y(\lambda)$ -cover \mathcal{V} of Y and form m families

$$\mathcal{W}^i = \mathcal{U}^i \times \mathcal{V}^i = \{U \times V \mid U \in \mathcal{U}^i, V \in \mathcal{V}^i, i = 1, \dots, m\}.$$

Clearly, every color \mathcal{W}^i is λ -disjoint. Let us show that it is an n -cover of $X \times Y$ with $n = n(\lambda)$. Let $\mathcal{W}^{i_1}, \dots, \mathcal{W}^{i_n}$ be a collection of n -families. It suffices to show that it covers $X \times Y$. Let $(x, y) \in X \times Y$. Since \mathcal{U} is an n_X -cover, so is $\mathcal{U}^{i_1}, \dots, \mathcal{U}^{i_n}$. Therefore, there are at least $n - n_X(\lambda) + 1 = n_Y(\lambda)$ elements from $\mathcal{U}^{i_1}, \dots, \mathcal{U}^{i_n}$ that cover x . Otherwise, if x is covered only by $\leq n - n_X(\lambda)$ elements, the $n_X(\lambda)$ elements would not cover x and hence would not form a cover of X . Denote them by $\mathcal{U}^{j_1}, \dots, \mathcal{U}^{j_s}$, $s = n_Y(\lambda)$, $j_k \in \{i_1, \dots, i_n\}$. Note that $\mathcal{V}^{j_1}, \dots, \mathcal{V}^{j_s}$ is a cover of Y . Thus, y is covered by a family \mathcal{V}^{j_k} for some $k \leq s$. Then (x, y) is covered by the family \mathcal{W}^{j_k} . \square

6.6. Proposition. *Let A and B be groups such that $A \rtimes B$ is finitely generated. Suppose that A and B taken with the restricted metric satisfy the KO-condition with n_A and n_B . Then the semi-direct product $A \rtimes B$ satisfies the KO-condition with $n(\lambda) = n_A(\lambda) + n_B(\lambda) - 1$.*

Proof. The proof is the same as in Proposition 6.5 with the use of the product structure on $A \rtimes B$ with fiber-wise isometric projection $A \rtimes B \rightarrow A$ and the direct projection $A \rtimes B \rightarrow B$. \square

6.7. Proposition. *Suppose that B satisfies the KO-condition with a monotone function $n_B(\lambda)$. Then $B \wr \mathbb{Z}$ satisfies the KO-condition with $n(\lambda) = \int_0^{\lambda+2} n_B(t)dt + 1$.*

Proof. We show that the group

$$\bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} (B, \rho + 2|i|)$$

satisfies the KO-condition with $n(\lambda) = \int_0^{\lambda+2} n_B(t)dt$ and apply Proposition 6.6. For every λ our metric space is the product with the ℓ_1 -metric of the partial direct sum and a λ -discrete space Z :

$$\bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} (B, \rho + 2|i|) = \left(\bigoplus_{|i| \leq \lambda/2} (B, \rho + 2|i|) \right) \times Z.$$

Thus, it suffices to show, by Exercise 6.3, that the space

$$\bigoplus_{|i| \leq \lambda/2} (B, \rho + 2|i|)$$

satisfies the KO-condition with $n(\lambda)$. By Proposition 6.5 and Proposition 6.4, $\bigoplus_{|i| \leq \lambda/2} (B, \rho + 2|i|)$ satisfies the KO-condition with

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{|i| \leq \lambda/2} n_B(\lambda - 2|i|) &= 2 \sum_{0 \leq i \leq \lambda/2} n_B(\lambda - 2i) = \\ &= 2(n_B(1) + n_B(3) + n_B(5) + n_B(7) \cdots + n_B(\lambda)) \leq \int_0^{\lambda+2} n_B(t)d(t). \end{aligned}$$

\square

6.8. Corollary. *The dimension growth of B_k is at most λ^k .*

Proof. Induction on k . \square

Note that it was proven before (Proposition 5.2) that the dimension growth of B_k is at least $\lambda^{k/2}$.

6.9. Question. What is the actual dimension growth of B_k ? In particular, what is the exact dimension growth of $\mathbb{Z} \wr \mathbb{Z}$?

Let $PL_0(I)$ be the group of orientation-preserving piecewise-linear homeomorphisms of the unit interval with finitely many breaks in slope under the operation of composition. Obviously, $F < PL_0(I)$.

6.10. Theorem. *The dimension growth of every solvable finitely generated subgroup of $PL_0(I)$ is polynomial.*

Proof. Consider the class \mathcal{R} of subgroups of $PL_0(I)$ constructed in Bleak [Bl]. This is the smallest class of groups containing \mathbb{Z} , and closed under taking direct products $A \times B$ if $A, B \in \mathcal{R}$, and taking wreath products $A \wr \mathbb{Z}$ if $A \in \mathcal{R}$. By [Bl], every finitely generated solvable group in $PL_0(I)$ is a subgroup of a finitely generated group of \mathcal{R} . By Propositions 6.5, 6.7 every finitely generated group of \mathcal{R} has polynomial dimension growth. \square

7. TWO QUESTIONS ABOUT THE DIMENSION GROWTH OF EXPANDERS

7.1. Question. What is the dimension growth of an expander? Does it depend on the choice of the expander?

Here an expander is an infinite connected graph obtained by attaching X_n to \mathbb{R}_+ at $n \in \mathbb{N}$ for all n where X_n is a sequence of (finite) expanding graphs. Since an expander is not coarsely embeddable in a Hilbert space, the dimension growth of any expander is greater than any polynomial.

We suspect that the dimension growth of at least some expanders are exponential. The following question may clarify the situation.

7.2. Question. Is it true that a metric space (a finitely generated group) with subexponential dimension growth coarsely embeds in a uniformly convex Banach space?

Note that there are expanders which do not embed coarsely into any uniformly convex Banach spaces [La] (compression numbers and compression functions of coarse embeddings of groups into such Banach spaces have been considered in [ADS]).

REFERENCES

- [AGS] G. Arzhantseva, V. Guba, M. Sapir, Metrics on diagram groups and uniform embeddings in a Hilbert space, *Comment. Math. Helv.* 81 (2006), no. 4, 911–929.
- [ADS] G. Arzhantseva, C. Druţu, M. Sapir, *Compression functions of uniform embeddings of groups into Hilbert and Banach spaces.* *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 633 (2009), 213–235.

- [Ba] A. Bartels, *Squeezing and higher algebraic K-theory*, K-theory vol 28 (2003), 19-37.
- [BaRo] A. Bartels, D. Rosenthal, *On the K -theory of groups with finite asymptotic dimension*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 612 (2007) 35-57.
- [BeRo] Beck, Matthias; Robins, Sinai, *Computing the Continuous Discretely, Integer-point enumeration in polyhedra*, Undergraduate Texts in Mathematics, New York: Springer-Verlag, 2007.
- [BD] G. C. Bell and A. N. Dranishnikov, *A Hurewicz-type theorem for asymptotic dimension and applications to geometric group theory*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **358** (2006), no. 11, 4749–4764
- [BBBF] M. Bestvina, K. Bromberg, K. Fujiwara *The asymptotic dimension of mapping class groups is finite*, arXiv:1006.1939 (2010).
- [Bl] Collin Bleak, *An algebraic classification of some solvable groups of homeomorphisms*. J. Algebra 319 (2008), no. 4, 1368–1397.
- [Br] Matthew Brin, *Elementary amenable subgroups of R. Thompson’s group F*. Internat. J. Algebra Comput. 15 (2005), no. 4, 619–642.
- [Bu] J. Burillo, *Quasi-isometrically embedded subgroups of Thompson’s group F*, J. Algebra **212** (1999), no. 1, 65–78.
- [CG] G. Carlsson and B. Goldfarb *The integral K-theoretic Novikov conjecture for groups with finite asymptotic dimension* Invent. Math. (2004) vol 157 No 2, 405–418.
- [Ch] Ching Chou, *Elementary amenable groups*. Illinois J. Math. 24 (1980), no. 3, 396–407.
- [Dr1] A. Dranishnikov, *On hypersphericity of manifolds with finite asymptotic dimension*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **355** (2003), no. 1, 155–167.
- [Dr2] ———, *Groups with a polynomial dimension growth*, Geom. Dedicata **119** (2006), 1–15.
- [DJ] A. Dranishnikov, T. Januszkiewicz, *Every Coxeter group acts amenably on a compact space* Topology Proc. **24** (1999), 135-141
- [DS] A. Dranishnikov and J. Smith, *Asymptotic dimension of discrete groups*, Fund. Math. **189** (2006), no. 1, 27–34.
- [DFW] A. Dranishnikov, S. Ferry, and S. Weinberger, *An Etale approach to the Novikov conjecture*, Pure Appl. Math. 61 (2008), no. 2, 139-155.
- [Fa] Farley, Daniel S. *Proper isometric actions of Thompson’s groups on Hilbert space*. Int. Math. Res. Not. 2003, no. 45, 2409–2414.
- [Gr1] M. Gromov, *Asymptotic invariants of infinite groups*, Cambridge University Press, Geometric Group Theory, vol 2 (1993).
- [Gr2] ———, *Random walk in random groups*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **13** (2003), no. 1, 73–146.
- [GS1] Victor Guba and Mark Sapir, *Diagram groups*. Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 130 (1997), no. 620, viii+117 pp.
- [GS2] ———, *On subgroups of the R. Thompson group F and other diagram groups*. (Russian) Mat. Sb. 190 (1999), no. 8, 3–60; translation in Sb. Math. 190 (1999), no. 7-8, 1077–1130
- [GS3] ———, *Diagram groups and directed 2-complexes: homotopy and homology*. J. Pure Appl. Algebra 205 (2006), no. 1, 1–47.
- [GK] Erik Guentner, Jerome Kaminker, *Exactness and uniform embeddability of discrete groups*. J. London Math. Soc. (2) 70 (2004), no. 3, 703–718.

- [GTU] Erik Guentner, Romain Tessera, Guoliang Yu, A notion of geometric complexity and its application to topological rigidity, preprint, arXiv:1008.0884, 2010.
- [HR] N. Higson and J. Roe, *Amenable group actions and the Novikov conjecture*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **519** (2000), 143–153.
- [Ji] Lizhen Ji, *Asymptotic dimension and the integral K -theoretic Novikov conjecture for arithmetic groups*, J. Differential Geom. **68** (2004), no. 3, 535–544.
- [K] A. N. Kolmogorov, Representation of functions of many variables, Dokl. Akad. Nauk 114 (1957), 953-956; English transl., Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. (2) 17 (1961), 369-373.
- [La] Vincent Lafforgue, Un renforcement de la propriété (T), Duke Math. J. 143 (2008), no. 3, 559–602.
- [Os] D. Osin, *Asymptotic dimension of relatively hyperbolic groups*, Int. Math. Res. Not. (2005), no. 35, 2143–2161.
- [Ost] Ph. Ostrand, Dimension of metric spaces and Hilberts problem 13, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 71 1965, 619-622.
- [Sm] J. Smith, *The asymptotic dimension of the first Grigorchuk group is infinity*. Rev. Mat. Complut. 20 (2007), no. 1, 119–121.
- [W] N. Wright, *Finite asymptotic dimension for $CAT(0)$ cube complexes*, Preprint arXiv:1004.4172 (2010).
- [Yu1] Guoliang Yu, *The Novikov conjecture for groups with finite asymptotic dimension*, Ann. of Math. (2) **147** (1998), no. 2, 325–355.
- [Yu2] ———, *The coarse Baum-Connes conjecture for spaces which admit a uniform embedding into a Hilbert space*, Inventiones Mathematicae **138** (2000), 201–240.

ALEXANDER N. DRANISHNIKOV, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF FLORIDA, 358 LITTLE HALL, GAINESVILLE, FL 32611-8105, U.S.A.

E-mail address: dranish@math.ufl.edu

MARK SAPIR, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, VANDERBILT UNIVERSITY, NASHVILLE, TN 37240, U.S.A.

E-mail address: m.sapir@vanderbilt.edu