# HIGHER ALGEBRAIC K-THEORY FOR TWISTED LAURENT SERIES RINGS OVER ORDERS AND SEMISIMPLE ALGEBRAS

#### ADEREMI KUKU

ABSTRACT. Let R be the ring of integers in a number field F,  $\Lambda$  any R-order in a semisimple F-algebra  $\Sigma$ ,  $\alpha$  an R-automorphism of  $\Lambda$ . Denote the extension of  $\alpha$  to  $\Sigma$  also by  $\alpha$ . Let  $\Lambda_{\alpha}[T]$  (resp.  $\Sigma_{\alpha}$  be the  $\alpha$ -twisted Laurent series ring over  $\Lambda$  (resp.  $\Sigma$ ). In this paper we prove that

- (i) There exist isomorphisms  $\mathbb{Q} \otimes K_n(\Lambda_\alpha[T]) \simeq \mathbb{Q} \otimes G_n(\Lambda_\alpha[T]) \simeq \mathbb{Q} \otimes K_n(\Sigma_\alpha[T])$  for all  $n \ge 1$ .
- (ii)  $G_n^{\text{pr}}(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T], \hat{Z}_l) \simeq G_n(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T], \hat{Z}_l)$  is an *l*-complete profinite Abelian group for all  $n \ge 2$ .
- (iii) div  $G_n^{\text{pr}}(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T], \hat{Z}_l) = 0$  for all  $n \ge 2$ .
- (iv)  $G_n(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T]) \longrightarrow G_n^{\text{pr}}(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T], \hat{Z}_l)$  is injective with uniquely *l*-divisible cokernel (for all  $n \geq 2$ ).
- (v)  $K_{-1}(\Lambda)$ ,  $K_{-1}(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T])$  are finitelz generated Abelian groups.

#### Contents

Introduction	1
Acknowledgements	3
1. Higher K-theory of $\Lambda_{\alpha}[T]$ , $\Sigma_{\alpha}[T]$ ( $\Lambda$ arbitrary orders)	3
1.1. $K_n(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T]), G_n(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T]), K_n(\Sigma_{\alpha}[T])$	3
1.2. Finiteness of $G_{2(m+1)}(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T])$ .	7
2. Mod- $l^s$ and profinite higher K-theory of $\Lambda_{\alpha}(T)$	8
2.1. Mod- $l^s$ theory	8
2.2. Profinite higher K-theory	9
2.3. Some computations	9
3. $K_{-1}(\Lambda), K_{-1}(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T]), \Lambda$ arbitrary orders	11
3.1. Finite generation of $K_{-1}(\Lambda)$ , $K_{-1}(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T])$ .	11
References	14

#### INTRODUCTION

Let R be the ring of integers in a number field F. The initial motivation for this work was a desire to obtain results on higher K-theory of the groupring RV of virtually infinite cyclic group of the form  $V = G \rtimes_{\alpha} T$ , where G is a finite group,  $\alpha$  an automorphism of G and the action of the infinite cyclic group  $T = \langle t \rangle$  on G is given by  $\alpha(g) = tgt^{-1}$  for all  $g \in G$ .

Note that undestading K-theory of RV is fundamental to Farrel-Jones conjecture which asserts that K-theory an arbitrary discrete group H should have as "building blocks" the K-theory of virtually cyclic subgroups of H(see [8]). A group V is virtually cyclic if it is either finite or virtually infinite cyclic (i.e., contains a finite index subgroup that is infinite cyclic). For results on higher K-theory of grouprings of finite groups see [15, chapter 7] and associated references. There are two types of virtually infinite cyclic groups — one type of the form  $V = G \rtimes_{\alpha} T$  as described above and the other of the form  $V = G_0 *_H G_1$ , where the groups  $G_0, G_1, H$  are finite and  $[G_0: H] = [G_1: H] = 2$ . For some results on higher K-theory of both types of groups see [15, 7.5] or [16]. In this paper, we obtain results on higher K-theory of twisted Laurent series ring that translate into results on grouprings  $RV, V = G \rtimes_{\alpha} T$ , as we now explain.

If  $\alpha$  is an automorphism of a finite group G, we also denote by  $\alpha$  the automorphism induced on RG by  $\alpha$  and observe that for  $V = G_{\alpha} \rtimes T$ ,  $RV = (RG)_{\alpha}[T] = (RG)_{\alpha}[t, t^{-1}]$  is the  $\alpha$ -twisted Laurent series ring over the groupring RG. Now, RG is an R-order in the semi-simple F-algebra FG and so, we endeavour in this paper to obtain general results on higher K-theory of  $\Lambda_{\alpha}(T)$  where  $\Lambda$  is an arbitrary R-order in a semi-simple Falgebra  $\Sigma$  so that results on  $(RG)_{\alpha}[T]$  become examples and applications of our results.

Note also that an *R*-automorphism of  $\Lambda$  extends to an *F*-automorphism of  $\Sigma$  which we also denote by  $\alpha$ . We also study higher *K*-theory of  $\Sigma_{\alpha}[T]$ and prove in 1.1.2(b) that there exist isomorphisms

$$\mathbb{Q} \otimes K_n(\Lambda_\alpha[T]) \simeq \mathbb{Q} \otimes G_n(\Lambda_\alpha[T]) \simeq \mathbb{Q} \otimes K_n(\Sigma_\alpha[T])$$

for all  $n \geq 2$ . Hence  $\mathbb{Q} \otimes K_n(RV) \simeq \mathbb{Q} \otimes G_n(RV) \simeq Q \otimes K_n(FV)$  for all  $n \geq 2$ . Since we have shown in 1.1.2(a) that  $G_n(\Lambda_\alpha[T])$  is finitely generated Abelian group for all  $n \geq 1$ , it follows that  $K_n(\Lambda_\alpha[T]), K_n(\Sigma_\alpha[T])$  and hence  $K_n(RV), K_n(FV)$  have finite torsion-free ranks for all  $n \geq 2$ .

We next investigate under what conditions  $G_n(\Lambda_\alpha[T])$  could actually be a finite group and show in 1.2.1 that when F is a totally real number field with ring of integers R and  $\Lambda$  any R-order in a semi-simple F-algebra, then  $G_{2(m+1)}(\Lambda_\alpha[T])$  is finite for all odd  $m \geq 1$ . Hence  $G_{2(m+1)}(RV)$  is finite.

In section 2, we study profinite higher K-theory of  $\Lambda_{\alpha}[T]$  and prove that  $G_n^{\mathrm{pr}}(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T], \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) = G_n(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T], \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$  are *l*-complete profinite Abelian groups; div  $G_n^{\mathrm{pr}}(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T], \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) = 0$ ; and that the map  $G_n(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T]) \longrightarrow G_n^{\mathrm{pr}}(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T], \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$  is injective with uniquely *l*-divisible cokernel. Corresponding results follow when we replace  $\Lambda_{\alpha}[T]$  by RV.

In a final section, we prove that if F is an algebraic number field with ring of integers R and  $\Lambda$  any R-order in a semi-simple F-algebra  $\Sigma$ , then  $K_{-1}(\Lambda)$ and  $K_{-1}(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T])$  are finitely generated Abelian groups;  $NK_{-1}(\Lambda, \alpha) = 0$  and  $K_{-1}(\Lambda[t]) \simeq K_{-1}(\Lambda)$ . That  $K_{-1}(\Lambda)$  and  $K_{-1}(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T])$  are finitely generated for arbitrary R-orders  $\Lambda$  generalize respectively similar results by D. Carter for  $K_{-1}(RG)$  (G a finite group, see [4]) and by Farrell/Jones for  $K_{-1}(\mathbb{Z}V)$  (see [9]).

Notes on notation. If  $\alpha$  is an automorphism of a ring A, we shall write  $A_{\alpha}[T] = A_{\alpha}[t, t^{-1}]$  for the  $\alpha$ -twisted Laurent series ring over A. Note that additively  $A_{\alpha}[T] = A_{\alpha}[t, t^{-1}]$  with multiplication given by  $(at^{i}) \cdot (bt^{j}) = a\alpha^{-1}(b)t^{i+j}$  for  $a, b \in A$ .  $A_{\alpha}[t]$  (resp.  $A_{\alpha}[t^{-1}]$ ) is the subring of  $A_{\alpha}[T]$  generated by A and t (resp. A and  $t^{-1}$ ). Call  $A_{\alpha}[t]$  the  $\alpha$ -twisted polynomial ring over A. We also have inclusion maps  $i : A \to A_{\alpha}[T], i^{+} : A \to A_{\alpha}[t]$  and  $i^{-} : A \to A_{\alpha}[t^{-1}]$ .

The augmentation map  $\varepsilon : A_{\alpha}[t] \to A$  induces a group homomorphism  $\varepsilon_* : K_n(A_{\alpha}[t]) \to K_n(A)$  and we put  $NK_n(A, \alpha) := \ker \varepsilon_*$ . Since  $\varepsilon$  is split by  $i^+$ , we have  $K_n(A_{\alpha}[t]) \simeq K_n(A) \oplus NK_n(A, \alpha)$ .

If B is an additive Abelian group and m is a positive integer, we shall write B/m for B/mB and B[m] for the set of elements x of B such that mx = 0. We write div B for the subgroup of divisible elements fo B. If l is a rational prime, we write  $B_l$  for the *l*-primary subgroup of B. Note that  $B_l = \bigcup B[l^s] = \lim B[l^s].$ 

Acknowledgements. Part of the work reported in this article was done while I was visiting University of Bielefeld, Germany and IHES, Paris. It was concluded and written up while I was visiting Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik, Bonn, Germany. I like to thank the three institutions for hospitality and financial support.

1. HIGHER K-THEORY OF  $\Lambda_{\alpha}[T]$ ,  $\Sigma_{\alpha}[T]$  ( $\Lambda$  ARBITRARY ORDERS)

1.1.  $K_n(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T]), G_n(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T]), K_n(\Sigma_{\alpha}[T]).$ 

1.1.1. Let R be the ring of integers in a number field F,  $\Lambda$  any R-orders in a semi-simple F-algebra  $\Sigma$ ,  $\alpha$  an R-automorphism of  $\Lambda$ . Then  $\alpha$  can be extended to an F-automorphism of  $\Sigma$  (since  $\Sigma = \Lambda \otimes_R F$ ). The aim of this section is to prove the following theorem.

1.1.2. **Theorem.** Let F be an algebraic number field with ring of integers R,  $\Lambda$  any R-order in a semi-aimple F-algebra  $\Sigma$ ,  $\alpha$  an R-automorphism of  $\Lambda$ . Denote the extension of  $\alpha$  to  $\Sigma$  also by  $\alpha$ . Let  $\Lambda_{\alpha}[T]$  (resp.  $\Sigma_{\alpha}[T]$ ) be the  $\alpha$ -twisted Laurent series ring over  $\Lambda$  (resp.  $\Sigma$ ). Then we have

- (a)  $G_n(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T])$  is a finitely generated Abelian group for all  $n \geq 1$ .
- (b) There exist isomorphisms:

$$\mathbb{Q} \otimes K_n(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T]) \simeq \mathbb{Q} \otimes G_n(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T]) \simeq \mathbb{Q} \otimes K_n(\Sigma_{\alpha}[T])$$
  
for  $n \ge 2$ .

Before proving 1.1.2 we state the following consequence of the result.

1.1.3. Corollary. Let  $V = G \rtimes_{\alpha} T$  be the virtually infinite cyclic subgroup where G is a finite group,  $\alpha \in \operatorname{Aut}(G)$  and the action of T on G is given by  $\alpha(g) = tgt^{-1}$ . for all  $g \in G$ . Then,

- (a)  $G_n(RV)$  is a finitely generated Abelian group for al  $n \geq 1$ .
- (b)  $\mathbb{Q} \otimes K_n(RV) \simeq \mathbb{Q} \otimes G_n(RV) \simeq \mathbb{Q} \otimes K_n(FV)$  for all  $n \ge 2$ .

The proof of 1.1.2(b) will proceed in several steps (see theorems 1.1.5, 1.1.6, 1.1.7 below). However, we first recall the following result (1.1.4).

1.1.4. **Theorem** ([15, theorem 7.3.2] or [16]). Let R be the ring of integers in a number field F,  $\Lambda$  any R-order in a semi-simple F-algebra  $\Sigma$ . If  $\alpha : \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda$  is an R-automorphism, then there exists an R-order  $\Gamma \subset \Sigma$ , such that

- (1)  $\Lambda \subset \Gamma$ ,
- (2)  $\Gamma$  is  $\alpha$ -invariant.
- (3)  $\Gamma$  is (right) regular ring. In fact  $\Gamma$  is (right) hereditary.

1.1.5. **Theorem.** Let R be the ring of integers in a number field F,  $\Lambda$  any R-order in a semi-simple F-algebra,  $\alpha : \Lambda \to \Lambda$  and R-automorphism of  $\Lambda$ ,  $\Gamma$  an  $\alpha$ -invariant order containing  $\Lambda$  as in 1.1.4,  $\Lambda_{\alpha}[T]$  (resp.  $\Gamma_{\alpha}[T]$ ) the  $\alpha$ -twisted Laurent series ring over  $\Lambda$  (resp.  $\Gamma$ ).  $\varphi : \Lambda_{\alpha}[T] \to \Gamma_{\alpha}[T]$  the map induced by the inclusion  $\Lambda \to \Gamma$ . Then the induced homomorphisms  $\varphi_n : K_n(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T]) \to K_n(\Gamma_{\alpha}[T])$  has torsion kernel and cokernel. Hence for all  $n \geq 2$  we have  $\mathbb{Q} \otimes K_n(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T]) \simeq \mathbb{Q} \otimes K_n(\Gamma_{\alpha}[T])$ .

*Proof.* There exists a positive integer s such that  $s\Gamma \subset \Lambda$  (see [19] or [15]). Put  $q = s\Gamma$ . Then q is an ideal of  $\Gamma$  and  $\Lambda$ . Put  $B = \Lambda/\underline{q}$ ,  $B' = \Gamma/\underline{q}$ . Then we have cartesian squares

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \Lambda & \longrightarrow & \Gamma \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B & \longrightarrow & B' \end{array} \tag{I}$$

and

So, by [5] and [19], we have a long exact sequence

$$\cdots \longrightarrow K_{n+1}(B'_{\alpha}[T])(\frac{1}{s}) \longrightarrow K_n(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T])(\frac{1}{s}) \longrightarrow K_n(\Gamma_{\alpha}[T])(\frac{1}{s}) \oplus K_n(B_{\alpha}[T])(\frac{1}{s}) \longrightarrow K_n(B'_{\alpha}[T])(\frac{1}{s}) \longrightarrow \cdots$$
(III)

Now,  $\Gamma$ , B, B' are quasi-regular rings, so are  $\Gamma_{\alpha}[T]$ ,  $B_{\alpha}[T]$  and  $B'_{\alpha}[T]$  (see [9]). If we write A for  $B_{\alpha}[T]$  or  $B'_{\alpha}[T]$ , JA for the Jacobson's radical of A, then by [19]  $K_n(A, JA)$  is s-torsion since s annihilates A and so from the relative sequence

$$\cdots \longrightarrow K_n(A, JA) \longrightarrow K_n(A) \longrightarrow K_n(A/J) \longrightarrow \cdots$$

we have  $K_n(A)(\frac{1}{s}) \simeq K_n(A/JA)(\frac{1}{s})$ . We now claim that  $K_n(A)(\frac{1}{s}) \simeq K_n(A/JA)(\frac{1}{s})$  is torsion.

4

Proof of claim. Note that  $A/JA \simeq (A'/JA')_{\alpha}[T]$  is a regular ring (see [9]) where A'/JA' is a finite semi-simple ring which is a finite direct product of matrix algebras over finite fields. Hence  $K_n((A'/JA')_{\alpha}[T])$  is a finite direct sum of K-groups of the form  $K_n((F_i)_{\alpha}[T])$  where  $F_i$  is a finite field. Also,  $(F_i)_{\alpha}[T]$  is a regular ring and so  $K_n((F_i)_{\alpha}[T]) \simeq G_n((F_i)_{\alpha}[T])$ .

Now, for each  $F_i$ , we have by [15, theorem 7.5.3(iii)] or [16], that there exists a long exact sequence

$$\cdots \longrightarrow G_n(F_i) \longrightarrow G_n(F_i) \longrightarrow G_n((F_i)_{\alpha}[T]) \longrightarrow$$
$$G_{n-1}(F_i) \longrightarrow G_{n-1}(F_i) \longrightarrow \cdots \quad (\mathrm{IV})$$

where each  $G_n(F_i) \simeq K_n(F_i)$  is a finite Abelian group for  $n \ge 2$  — by [15, theorem 7.1.12] or by Quillen's result. So, from (IV) above,  $G_n((F_i)_{\alpha}[T])$  is finite for all  $n \ge 2$ , i.e.  $K_n((F_i)_{\alpha}[T]) \simeq G_n((F_i)_{\alpha}[T])$  is a finite Abelian group. Hence  $(K_n(A'/JA')_{\alpha}[T])$ , as a finite direct sum of Abelian groups of the form  $K_n(F_i)_{\alpha}[T]$  is a finite group. Hence  $K_n((A'/JA')_{\alpha}[T])(\frac{1}{s})$  is torsion. So, for  $A = B_{\alpha}(T)$  or  $B'_{\alpha}[T]$ ,  $K_n(A)(\frac{1}{s}) \simeq K_n((A/JA)(\frac{1}{s}))$  is torsion and  $\mathbb{Q} \otimes K_n(A)(\frac{1}{s}) = 0$ .

So, by tensoring the Mayer-Vietoris exact sequence (III) with  $\mathbb Q$  we get an isomorphism

$$\mathbb{Q} \otimes K_n(\Lambda_\alpha[T]) \simeq \mathbb{Q} \otimes K_n(\Gamma_\alpha[T])$$

for all  $n \geq 2$ .

1.1.6. **Theorem.** Let  $R, F, \Lambda, \alpha; \Gamma, \Lambda_{\alpha}[T], \Gamma_{\alpha}[T]$  be as in 1.1.5. Let  $\varphi_n : G_n(\Gamma_{\alpha}[T]) \longrightarrow G_n(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T])$  be the homomorphism induced by the exact functor  $\mathcal{M}(\Gamma_{\alpha}[T]) \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T])$  given by 'restriction of scalars'. Then for all  $n \geq 2$ ,  $\varphi_n$  has fnite kernel and torsion cokernel and hence induces an isomorphism

$$\mathbb{Q} \otimes G_n(\Gamma_\alpha[T]) \simeq \mathbb{Q} \otimes G_n(\Lambda_\alpha[T])$$

Proof. First note that the exact functor  $\mathcal{M}(\Gamma) \to \mathcal{M}(\Lambda)$  given by 'restriction of scalars' yields group homomorphisms  $\delta_n : G_n(\Gamma) \to G_n(\Lambda)$ . Now, by replacing the maximal order  $\Gamma$  in the proof of [15, theorem 7.2.3, p. 146] or [16] with the  $\alpha$ -invariant order  $\Gamma$  containing  $\Lambda$ , as in 1.1.4, we have that for all  $n \geq 1$ ,  $\delta_n : G_n(\Gamma) \to G_n(\Lambda)$  has finite kernel and cokernel. The proof in [15, theorem 7.2.3] works for this  $\Gamma$  also. Now from [15, theorem 7.5.3(b)] or [16], we have the following horizontal exact sequence and hence a commutative diagram

 $\mathbf{5}$ 

By taking kernels and cokernels of vertical arrows in (V), we have a top (resp. bottom) horizontal exact sequence consisting of kernels (resp. cokernels) of the vertical maps. Since we saw above that  $\delta_n$  has finite kernels and cokernels, we then have that  $\phi_n : G_n(\Gamma_\alpha[T]) \to G_n(\Lambda_\alpha[T])$  has finite kernel and cokernel for each  $n \geq 2$ . Hence  $\mathbb{Q} \otimes G_n(\Gamma_\alpha[T]) \simeq \mathbb{Q} \otimes G_n(\Lambda_\alpha[T])$ . But  $\Gamma_\alpha[T]$  is regular. Hence

$$\mathbb{Q} \otimes K_n(\Gamma_\alpha[T]) \simeq \mathbb{Q} \otimes G_n(\Lambda_\alpha[T]).$$

1.1.7. **Theorem.** Let  $R, F, \Sigma, \Lambda, \alpha, T$  be as in theorem 1.1.2. Then for all  $n \geq 2$ , the map  $\theta_n : G_n(\Lambda_\alpha[T]) \longrightarrow G_n(\Sigma_\alpha[T]) \simeq K_n(\Sigma_\alpha[T])$  indiced by the canonical map  $\Lambda_\alpha[T] \longrightarrow \Sigma_\alpha[T]$  has finite kernel and torsion cokernel. Hence

$$\mathbb{Q} \otimes G_n(\Lambda_\alpha[T]) \simeq \mathbb{Q} \otimes G_n(\Sigma_\alpha[T]) \simeq \mathbb{Q} \otimes K_n(\Sigma_\alpha[T])$$

*Proof.* Note that the canonical (inclusion) map  $\Lambda \xrightarrow{\rho} \Sigma$  induces a group homomorphism  $\rho_n : G_n(\Lambda) \to G_n(\Sigma) \simeq K_n(\Sigma)$  (note that  $G_n(\Sigma) \simeq K_n(\Sigma)$  since  $\Sigma$  is regular).

Now, by [15, theorem 7.5.3(b)] or [16], we have the following horizontal exact sequences and hence a commutative diagram

Now, from the commutative diagram

we have

$$0 \longrightarrow \ker \delta_n \longrightarrow \ker \beta_n \longrightarrow \ker \rho_n \longrightarrow \operatorname{coker} \delta_n \longrightarrow \operatorname{coker} \beta_n \longrightarrow \operatorname{coker} \rho_n \longrightarrow 0$$

Now, by the proof of 1.1.6, ker  $\delta_n$  and coker  $\delta_n$  are finite. Also by [15, theorem 7.2.2] or [12], ker  $\beta_n$  is finite and coker  $\beta_n$  is torsion for all  $n \geq 2$ . Hence from fiagram (VII) above, ker  $\rho_n$  is finite and coker  $\rho_n$  is torsion for all  $n \geq 2$ . It then follows from the diagram (VI) above that ker  $\theta_n$  is finite and coker  $\theta_n$  is torsion.

*Proof of 1.1.2.* (a) From [15, theorem 7.5.3(b)] or [16], we have an exact sequence

$$G_n(\Lambda) \xrightarrow{1-\alpha_*} G_n(\Lambda) \longrightarrow G_n(\Lambda_\alpha[T]) \longrightarrow G_(\Lambda) \xrightarrow{1-\alpha_*} G_n(\Lambda)$$

Also by [15, theorem 7.1.13] or [10]  $G_n(\Lambda)$  is a finitely generated Abelian group for all  $n \geq 1$ . Hence  $G_n(\Lambda_\alpha[T])$  is finitely generated for all  $n \geq 2$ . (b) That  $\mathbb{Q} \otimes K_n(\Lambda_\alpha[T]) \simeq \mathbb{Q} \otimes G_n(\Lambda_\alpha[T])$  follow from theorem 1.1.4 i.e.  $\mathbb{Q} \otimes K_n(\Lambda_\alpha[T]) \simeq \mathbb{Q} \otimes K_n(\Gamma_\alpha[T])$  and 1.1.5 i.e.  $\mathbb{Q} \otimes G_n(\Lambda_\alpha[T]) \simeq \mathbb{Q} \otimes K_n(\Sigma_\alpha[T])$ .

1.1.8. Remarks. Since by 1.1.2(a),  $G_n(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T])$  is finitely generated Abelian group for all  $n \geq 2$ , it follows that  $K_n(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T])$  and  $K_n(\Sigma_{\alpha}[T])$  have finite torsion free rank just like  $G_n(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T])$ .

Hence if  $V = G \rtimes_{\alpha} T$  is a vistually infinite cyclic group, then  $K_n(RV)$ ,  $K_n(FV)$  have finite torsion-free rank for  $n \geq 2$ .

1.2. Finiteness of  $G_{2(m+1)}(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T])$ . In this subsection, we investigate under what circumstances  $G_n(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T])$  could actually be a finite group. We prove below (see theorem 1.2.1) that if F is a totally real field, then the group  $G_{2(m+1)}(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T])$  is finite for all odd positive integers m. We state this formally:

1.2.1. **Theorem.** Let R be the ring of integers in a totally real number field F,  $\Lambda$  an R-order in a semi-simple F-algebra,  $\alpha : \Lambda \to \Lambda$  and Rautomorsphim. Then for all odd positive integers m,  $G_{2(m+1)}(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T])$  is a finite group. Hence in the notation of 1.1.2,  $G_{2(m+1)}(RV)$  is finite.

The proof of 1.2.1 will make use of the following:

1.2.2. **Theorem.** Let F be a number field with ring of integers R,  $\Lambda$  and R-order in a semi-simple F-algebra  $\Sigma$ . Then (a) For all  $n \geq 1$ ,  $G_{2n}(\Lambda)$  is a finite group. (b) If F is totally real, then  $G_{2m+1}(\Lambda)$  is also finite for all odd  $m \geq 1$ .

*Proof.* Part (a) is proved in [15] and [14]. See [15, theorem 7.2.7].

If F is a totally real number field with ring of integers  $O_F$ , a similar proof works. We only have to show that  $K_{2m+1}(\Gamma)$  is finite if  $\Gamma$  is a maximal order in a central division algebra D over a totally real number field F with ring of integer  $O_F$ . Let the dimension of D over F be  $s^2$ . We know from [15, theorem 7.1.11] or [11] that  $K_{2m+1}(\Gamma)$  is finitely generated. We only need to show that  $K_{2m+1}(\Gamma)$  is torsion. Let  $\operatorname{tr} : K_{2m+1}(\Gamma) \to K_{2m+1}(O_F)$  be the transfer map and  $i : K_{2m+1}(O_F) \to K_{2m+1}(\Gamma)$  the map induced by the inclusion map  $O_F \to \Gamma$ . Let  $x \in K_{2m+1}(\Gamma)$ . Then  $i \circ \operatorname{tr}(x) = x^{s^2}$ . But  $K_{2m+1}(\Gamma)$  is finite since it is also finitely generated.  $\Box$ 

*Proof of 1.2.1.* Assume that m is an odd positive integer. The we have an exact sequence

$$\cdots \longrightarrow G_{2m+2}(\Lambda) \xrightarrow{1-\alpha_n} G_{2m+2}(\Lambda) \xrightarrow{\beta} G_{2m+2}(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T]) \xrightarrow{\gamma} G_{2m+1}(\Lambda) \longrightarrow \cdots$$

where  $G_{2m+2}(\Lambda)$  is finite by 1.2.2(a) and  $G_{2m+1}(\Lambda)$  is finite by 1.2.2(b). So  $G_{2m+2}(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T])/\operatorname{Im}\beta \simeq \operatorname{Im}\gamma$ .

But Im  $\beta$  is finite and Im  $\gamma$  is also finite as a subgroup of the finite group  $G_{2m+1}(\Lambda)$ . Note that Im  $\beta$  is finite as a homomorphic image of the finite group  $G_{2m+2}(\Lambda)$ . Hence  $G_{2m+2}(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T])$  is finite for all odd positive integers m.

### 2. Mod- $l^s$ and profinite higher K-theory of $\Lambda_{\alpha}(T)$

### 2.1. Mod- $l^s$ theory.

2.1.1. Let C be an exact category, l a rational prime, s a positive integer,  $M_{l^s}^{n+1}$  the (n + 1)-dimensional mod- $l^s$ -space, i.e. the space obtained from  $S^n$  by attaching and (n + 1)-cell via a map of degree  $l^s$  (see [3], [17], [15]).

If X is an *H*-space, let  $[M_{l^s}^{n+1}, X]$  be the set of homotopy classes of maps from  $M_{l^s}^{n+1}$  to X.We shall write  $\pi_{n+1}(X, \mathbb{Z}/l^s)$  for  $[M_{l^s}^{n+1}, X]$ . If  $\mathcal{C}$  is an exact category and we put  $X = BQ\mathcal{C}$ , we write  $K_n(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{Z}/l^s)$  for  $\pi_{n+1}(BQ\mathcal{C})$ , we write  $K_n(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{Z}/l^s)$  for  $\pi_{n+1}(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{Z}/l^s)$  and  $K_0\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{Z}/l^s$  for  $K_0(\mathcal{C}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/l^s$ . We shall refer to  $K_n(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{Z}/l^s)$  as mod- $l^s$  K-theory of  $\mathcal{C}$ .

2.1.2. From [15, 8.1.2] or [13], we have an exact sequence

$$K_n(\mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{l^s} K_n(\mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{\rho} K_n(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{Z}/l^s) \xrightarrow{\beta} K_{n-1}(\mathcal{C}) \longrightarrow K_{n-1}(\mathcal{C})$$

and hence a short exact sequence for all  $n \geq 2$ 

$$0 \longrightarrow K_n(\mathcal{C})/l^s \longrightarrow K_n(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{Z}/l^s) \longrightarrow K_n(\mathcal{C})[l^s] \longrightarrow 0$$

where  $K_n(\mathcal{C})[l^s] = \{x \in K_n(\mathcal{C}) \mid l^s x = 0\}.$ 

## 2.1.3. Examples.

- (i) Let A be a ring with identity and  $\mathcal{P}(A)$  the category of finitely generated projective A-modules. We write  $K_n(A, \mathbb{Z}/l^s)$  for  $K_n(\mathcal{P}(A), \mathbb{Z}/l^s)$ . We are interested in  $A = \Lambda_{\alpha}(T)$ . Note that  $K_n(A, \mathbb{Z}/l^s)$  is also  $\pi_n(BGL(A)^+, \mathbb{Z}/l^s)$ .
- (ii) Let A be a Noetherian ring and  $\mathcal{M}(A)$  the category of finitely generated A-modules. We write  $G_n(A, \mathbb{Z}/l^s)$  for  $K_n(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{Z}/l^s)$ .
- (iii) Let Y be a scheme,  $C = \mathcal{P}(Y)$  the category of locally free sheaves of  $O_X$ -modules of finite rank. We write  $K_n(X, \mathbb{Z}/l^s)$  for  $K_n(\mathcal{P}(Y), \mathbb{Z}/l^s)$  and observe that for Y = Spec(A), A a commutative ring, we recover  $K_n(A, \mathbb{Z}/l^s)$  as in (i).
- (iv) Let Y be a Noetherian scheme and  $\mathcal{M}(Y)$  the category of coherent sheaves of  $O_Y$ -modules. We write  $G_n(Y, \mathbb{Z}/l^s)$  for  $K_n(\mathcal{M}(Y'), \mathbb{Z}/l^s)$ and when Y = Spec(A), where A is commutative, then we recover  $G_n(A, \mathbb{Z}/l^s)$  as in (ii) above.
- (v) It follows from 2.1.2 that we have exact sequences
- $0 \longrightarrow K_n(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T])/l^s \longrightarrow K_n(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T], \mathbb{Z}/l^s) \longrightarrow K_n(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T])[l^s] \longrightarrow 0$ and

$$0 \longrightarrow G_n(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T])/l^s \longrightarrow G_n(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T], \mathbb{Z}/l^s) \longrightarrow G_n(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T])[l^s] \longrightarrow 0$$

### 2.2. Profinite higher *K*-theory.

2.2.1. Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be an exact category, l a rational prime, s a positive integer  $M_{l^{\infty}}^{n+1} = \varprojlim M_{l^s}^{n+1}$ . We define the profinite K-theory of  $\mathcal{C}$  by  $K_n^{\mathrm{pr}}(\mathcal{C}, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) := [M_{l^{\infty}}^{n+1}, BQ\mathcal{C}]$ . We write  $K_n(\mathcal{C}, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$  for  $\varprojlim_{n} K_n(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{Z}/l^s)$ .

For more details on these constructions and their properties, see [15, chapter 8] or [13].

### 2.2.2. Examples.

- (i) For  $C = \mathcal{P}(A)$  as in 2.1.3(i), we shall write  $K_n^{\text{pr}}(A, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$  for  $K_n^{\text{pr}}(\mathcal{P}(A), \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$ and  $K_n(A, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$  for  $K_n(\mathcal{P}(A), \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$ .
- (ii) For  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{M}(A)$  as in 2.1.3(ii), we shall write  $G_n^{\text{pr}}(A, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$  for  $K_n^{\text{pr}}(\mathcal{M}(A), \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$ and  $G_n(A, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$  for  $K_n(\mathcal{M}(A), \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$ .
- (iii) For  $C = \mathcal{P}(Y)$  as in 2.1.3(iii) we shall write  $K_n^{\text{pr}}(Y, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$  for  $K_n^{\text{pr}}(\mathcal{P}(Y), \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$ and  $K_n(Y, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$  for  $K_n(\mathcal{P}(Y), \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$ .
- (iv) For  $C = \mathcal{M}(Y)$  as in 2.1.3(iv), we shall write  $G_n^{\mathrm{pr}}(Y, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$  for  $K_n^{\mathrm{pr}}(Y, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$ and  $G_n(Y, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) = K_n(\mathcal{M}(Y), \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$ .

2.2.3. *Remarks.* From the results earlier obtained by this author for general exact categories, (see [15, chapter 8] or [13]) we can already deduce the following for  $\mathcal{P}(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T])$  and  $\mathcal{M}(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T])$ .

(i) From [15, lemma 8.2.1], we have the following exact sequences for  $n \ge 1$ .

$$(a) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \varprojlim_{s} {}^{1}K_{n+1}(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T], \mathbb{Z}/l^{s}) \longrightarrow K_{n}^{\mathrm{pr}}(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T], \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_{l}) \longrightarrow K_{n}(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T], \hat{\mathbb{Z}}/l) \longrightarrow 0$$

$$(b) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \varprojlim_{s} {}^{1}G_{n+1}(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T], \mathbb{Z}/l^{s}) \longrightarrow G_{n}^{\mathrm{pr}}(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T], \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_{l}) \longrightarrow G_{n}(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T], \hat{\mathbb{Z}}/l) \longrightarrow 0.$$

(ii) From [15, theorem 8.2.2] we have for all  $n \ge 2$ ,

(a)  $\lim_{s} K_n^{\mathrm{pr}}(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T], \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)[l^s] = 0; \quad \lim_{s} K_{n+1}(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T], \mathbb{Z}/l^s) = \operatorname{div} K_n^{\mathrm{pr}}(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T], \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l);$ 

(b) 
$$\varprojlim_{s} G_{n}^{\mathrm{pr}}(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T], \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_{l})[l^{s}] = 0; \quad \varprojlim_{s} {}^{1}G_{n+1}(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T], \mathbb{Z}/l^{s}) = \operatorname{div} G_{n}^{\mathrm{pr}}(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T], \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_{l}).$$

(iii) Form [15, lemma 8.2.2] or [13], we have

(a) 
$$\lim_{s \to \infty} K_n^{\rm pr}(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T], \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)/l^s \simeq K_n(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T], \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l);$$
  
(b) 
$$\lim_{s \to \infty} G_n^{\rm pr}(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T], \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)/l^s \simeq G_n(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T], \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l).$$

### 2.3. Some computations.

2.3.1. The aim of this subsection is to prove theorem 3.3.2 below. Before stating the result, we first explain the construction of map  $\varphi$  in 2.3.2(c) below.

Note that for any exact category  $\mathcal{C}$ , the natural map  $M_{l^{\infty}}^{n+1} \to S^{n+1}$  induces a map

$$[S^{n+1}, BQ\mathcal{C}] \xrightarrow{\varphi} [M_{l^{\infty}}^{n+1}, BQ\mathcal{C}], \quad \text{i.e.},$$
$$K_n(\mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{\varphi} K_n^{\text{pr}}(\mathcal{C}, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l).$$

So when  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{M}(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T])$  we have a map

 $\varphi: G_n(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T]) \longrightarrow G_n^{\mathrm{pr}}(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T], \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l).$ 

2.3.2. **Theorem.** Let R be the ring of integers in anumber field F,  $\Lambda$  any R-order in a semi-simple F-algebra  $\Sigma$ ,  $\alpha : \Lambda \to \Lambda$  an R-automorphism of  $\Lambda$ ,  $\Lambda_{\alpha}[T]$  the  $\alpha$ -twisted Laurent series ring over  $\Lambda$ . Then, for all  $n \geq 2$ :

- (a) div  $G_n^{\text{pr}}(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T], \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) = 0.$
- (b)  $G_n^{\mathrm{pr}}(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T], \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) \simeq G_n(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T], \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$  is an *l*-complete profinite Abelian group.
- (c) The map  $G_n(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T]) \longrightarrow G_n^{\mathrm{pr}}(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T], \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$  is injective with uniquely *l*-divisible cokernel.

*Proof.* (a) From 2.2.3(ii)(b), we have

$$\lim_{s \to s} G_{n+1}(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T], \mathbb{Z}/l^s) = \operatorname{div} G_n^{\operatorname{pr}}(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T], \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l),$$
(I)

for all  $n \geq 2$ . Now, by theorem 1.1.1(a)  $G_n(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T])$  is finitely generated for all  $n \geq 1$ . Hence  $G_n(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T], \mathbb{Z}/l^s)$  is finite for all  $n \geq 1$ . In particular,  $G_{n+1}(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T], \mathbb{Z}/l^s)$  is finite for all  $n \geq 2$  and so  $\lim_{k \to \infty} G_{n+1}(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T], \mathbb{Z}/l^s) = 0$ for all  $n \geq 2$ . Hence from (I), div  $G_n^{\text{pr}}(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T], \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) = 0$  for all  $n \geq 2$ .

(b) We saw in (a) above that  $G_n(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T], \mathbb{Z}/l^s)$  is a finite group for all  $n \geq 1$ . Hence in the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \varprojlim_{s} {}^{1}G_{n+1}(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T], \mathbb{Z}/l^{s}) \longrightarrow G_{n}^{\mathrm{pr}}(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T], \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_{l}) \longrightarrow G_{n}(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T], \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_{l}) \longrightarrow 0$$

we have  $\varprojlim^1 G_{n+1}(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T], \mathbb{Z}/l^s) = 0$ . Hence,

$$G_n^{\rm pr}(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T],\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) \simeq G_n(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T],\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l). \tag{II}$$

Now, by 2.2.3(ii)(b),

$$G_n^{\mathrm{pr}}(\Lambda_\alpha[T], \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)/l^s \simeq G_n(\Lambda_\alpha[T], \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l).$$
 (III)

So, from (II) and (III)  $G_n^{\text{pr}}(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T], \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)/l^s \simeq G_n^{\text{pr}}(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T], \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$  i.e.  $G_n^{\text{pr}}(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T], \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) \simeq G_n(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T], \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$  is *l*-complete. It is profinite since  $G_n(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T], \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) = \varprojlim G_n(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T], \mathbb{Z}/l^s)$  where each  $G_n(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T], \mathbb{Z}/l^s)$  is a finite group.

(c) Since for all  $n \ge 1$ ,  $G_n(\Lambda_\alpha[T])$  is a finitely generated Abelian group (see 1.1.1(a)), it follows that  $G_n(\lambda_\alpha[T])_l$  is a finite group for each n. Hence  $G_n(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T])_l$  has no non-trivial divisible subgroups. Hence by [15, corollary 8.2.1] or [13], kernel and cokernel of  $\varphi$  are uniquely *l*-divisible. But  $G_n(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T])$  is finitely generated and so, ker  $\phi = \operatorname{div} \ker \phi = 0$ , as subgroups of  $G_n(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T])$ .

### 3. $K_{-1}(\Lambda), K_{-1}(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T]), \Lambda$ ARBITRARY ORDERS

3.1. Finite generation of  $K_{-1}(\Lambda)$ ,  $K_{-1}(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T])$ . Let R be the ring of integers in a number field F,  $\Lambda$  any R-order in a semi-simple F-algebra  $\Sigma$ ,  $\alpha$  :  $\Lambda \to \Lambda$  and R-automorphism of  $\Lambda$ ,  $\Lambda_{\alpha}[T]$ , the  $\alpha$ -twisted Laurent polynomial ring over  $\Lambda$ . We prove in this section that  $K_{-1}(\Lambda)$  and  $K_{-1}(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T])$  are finitely generated Abelian groups for arbitrary R-orders  $\Lambda$  in semi-simple F-algebras. Note that the proof in [9] by Farrel/Jones is for  $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}G$ , G a finite group. Also D. Carter shows in [4] that  $K_{-1}(RG)$  is finitely generated and here we show that this result also holds more generally for arbitrary orders.

Finally we prove also that  $NK_{-1}(\Lambda, \alpha) = 0$  and so,  $K_{-1}(\Lambda_{\alpha}[t]) \simeq K_{-1}(\Lambda)$ .

3.1.1. **Theorem.** Let F be an algebraic number field with ring of integers R,  $\Lambda$  any R-order in a semi-simple F-algebra  $\Sigma$ ,  $\alpha : \Lambda \to \Lambda$  an Rautomorphism of  $\Lambda$ ,  $\Lambda_{\alpha}[T]$  the  $\alpha$ -twisted Laurent series ring over  $\Lambda$ . Then

- (a)  $K_{-1}(\Lambda)$  is a finitely generated Abelian group.
- (b)  $K_{-1}(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T])$  is a finitely generated Abelian group.
- (c)  $K_{-1}(\Lambda) \simeq K_{-1}(\Lambda_{\alpha}[t]).$

*Proof.* (a) Let  $\Gamma$  be a maximal *R*-order containing  $\lambda$ . Then, there exists a positive integer *s* such that  $s\Gamma \subset \Lambda$ . Then  $\underline{q} = s\Gamma$  is an ideal of  $\Lambda$  and  $\Gamma$ . Put  $B = \Lambda/q$ ,  $B' = \Gamma/q$ . Then we have a cartesian square



and hence a Mayer-Vietoris sequence

$$\cdots \longrightarrow K_1(B') \longrightarrow K_0(\Lambda) \longrightarrow K_0(\Gamma) \oplus K_0(B) \longrightarrow K_0(B') \longrightarrow K_{-1}(\Lambda) \longrightarrow K_{-1}(\Gamma) \oplus K_{-1}(B) \longrightarrow \cdots$$
(I)

Now by [1, prop. 10.1, p. 685],  $K_{-i}(A) = 0$  for  $i \ge 1$  and any quasi-regular ring A. Note that B, B' are finite rings and hence quasi-regular. Also  $\Gamma$ is quasi-regular. Hence for A = B, B' or  $\Gamma$ ,  $K_{-i}(A) = 0$  for  $i \ge 1$ . So the sequence (I) becomes

$$\cdots \longrightarrow K_0(\Lambda) \longrightarrow K_0(\Gamma) \oplus K_0(B) \longrightarrow K_0(B') \longrightarrow K_{-1}(\Lambda) \longrightarrow 0.$$
 (II)

To show that  $K_{-1}(\Lambda)$  is finitely generated it suffices from (II) to show that  $K_0(B')$  is finitely generated. Now B' is a finite Artinian ring and so, by [1, p. 465],  $K_0(B') \simeq K_0(B'/JB')$  where JB' = radical of B'. But B'/JB' is a finite semi-simple ring and so,  $K_0(B') \simeq K_0(B'/JB')$  is a finite direct sum of  $K_0$  of (finite) fields each of which is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Hence  $K_0(B')$  is a (free) Abelian group of finite rank and hence is finitely generated. Hence  $K_{-1}(\Lambda)$  is finitely generated.

(b) Let  $\Gamma$  be an  $\alpha$ -invariant order containing  $\Lambda$  as in 1.1.3. Let s be a positive integer such that  $s\Gamma \subset \Lambda$  and put  $\underline{q} = s\Gamma$ ,  $B = \Lambda/\underline{q}$ ,  $B' = \Gamma/\underline{q}$ . Then we have cartesian squares

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \Lambda & \longrightarrow & \Gamma \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B & \longrightarrow & B' \end{array} \tag{III}$$

and

and hence a Mayer-Vietoris sequence

$$\cdots \longrightarrow K_0(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T]) \longrightarrow K_0(\Gamma_{\alpha}[T]) \oplus K_0(B_{\alpha}[T]) \longrightarrow K_0(B'_{\alpha}[T]) \longrightarrow K_{-1}(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T]) \longrightarrow 0.$$
 (V)

where  $\Gamma_{\alpha}[T]$ ,  $B_{\alpha}[T]$  and  $B'_{\alpha}[T]$  are quasi-regular (see [9]). If  $A = \Gamma_{\alpha}[T]$ ,  $B_{\alpha}[T]$  or  $B'_{\alpha}[T]$  and  $T^n$  is the free Abelian group of rank *n* Then by [1, prop. 10.1],  $K_{-i}(A) = 0$  for  $i \ge 1$ .

Also, by Serre's theorem  $K_0(A) \to K_0(A[T^n])$  is an epimorphism (see [7]). Since  $K_{-n}(A)$  is a direct summand of the cokernel of  $K_0(A) \to K_0(A[T^n])$ we have  $K_{-n}(A) = 0$  for  $n \ge 1$ . So from the exact sequence (I), we have  $K_{-n}(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T]) = 0$  for  $n \ge 2$  and  $K_0(B'_{\alpha}[T]) \longrightarrow K_{-1}(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T])$  is an epimorphism.

By mapping the Mayer-Vietoris sequence associated with cartesian square (I) to the Mayer-Vietoris sequence associated with square (II), we have a commutative square

To prove that  $K_{-1}(\Lambda) \longrightarrow K_{-1}(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T])$  is an epimorphism, it suffices to prove that  $K_0(B') \longrightarrow K_0(B'_{\alpha}[T])$  is an epimorphism in the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{cccc} K_0(B') & \longrightarrow & K_0(B'_{\alpha}[T]) \\ & & & \downarrow \\ & & & \downarrow \\ K_0(B'/JB') & \longrightarrow & K_0((B'/JB')_{\alpha}[T]) \end{array}$$

where the vertical maps are isomorphisms. Also by [7, theorem 27], the map  $K_0(B'/JB') \longrightarrow K_0((B'/JB')_{\alpha}[T])$  is an epimorphism. Hence  $K_0(B') \longrightarrow K_0(B'_{\alpha}[T])$  is an epimorphism. So  $K_{-1}(\Lambda) \longrightarrow K_{-1}(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T])$  is an epimorphism. Since by (a),  $K_{-1}(\Lambda)$  is finitely generated, then  $K_{-1}(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T])$ is also finitely generated.

(c) By definition,  $K_{-1}(\Lambda_{\alpha}[t]) \simeq K_{-1}(\Lambda) \oplus NK_{-1}(\Lambda, \alpha)$ . So it suffices to show that  $NK_{-1}(\Lambda, \alpha) = 0$ .

Let  $\Lambda, \Gamma, B = \Lambda/\underline{q}, B' = \Gamma/\underline{q}$  be as in the proof of (a) (b). Then we have two cartesian squares

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \Lambda & \longrightarrow & \Gamma \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B & \longrightarrow & B' \end{array} \tag{VII}$$

and

where  $\Gamma_{\alpha}[t]$ ,  $B_{\alpha}[t]$  and  $B'_{\alpha}[t]$  are quasi-regular as well as  $\Gamma, B, B'$ . Hence we have Mayer-Vietoris sequences

$$\cdots \longrightarrow K_0(\Lambda_{\alpha}[t]) \longrightarrow K_0(\Gamma_{\alpha}[t]) \oplus K_0(B_{\alpha}[t]) \longrightarrow K_0(B'_{\alpha}[t]) \longrightarrow K_{-1}(\Lambda_{\alpha}[t]) \longrightarrow \dots$$
(IX)

and

$$\cdots \longrightarrow K_0(\Lambda) \longrightarrow K_0(\Gamma) \longrightarrow K_0(B) \longrightarrow K_0(B') \longrightarrow K_{-1}(\Lambda) \longrightarrow \cdots$$
 (X)

where for  $A = \Gamma$ , B, B',  $\Gamma_{\alpha}[t]$ ,  $B_{\alpha}[t]$ ,  $B'_{\alpha}[t]$ ,  $K_{-i}(A) = 0$  for  $i \ge 1$  (see [1, prop. 10.1]). By mapping (IX) to (X) and taking kernels, we have that

$$NK_{-1}(\Lambda, \alpha) = \operatorname{coker}(NK_0(\Gamma, \alpha) \oplus NK_0(B, \alpha) \longrightarrow NK_0(B', \alpha)).$$

So it suffices to show that  $NK_0(B', \alpha) = 0$ . Since B',  $B'_{\alpha}[t]$  are quasiregular, the result follows from [6, lemma 2.4]. So  $NK_{-1}(\Lambda, \alpha) = 0$  and hence  $K_{-1}(\Lambda[t]) \simeq K_{-1}(\Lambda)$ .

3.1.2. Corollary. let R be the ring of integers in a number field F,  $V = G \rtimes_{\alpha} T$  a virtually infinite cyclic group where G is a finite group and the action of the infinite cyclic group T on G is given by  $\alpha(g) = tgt^{-1}$  for all  $g \in G$ . Then  $K_{-1}(RV)$  is a finitely generated Abelian group.

3.1.3. Corollary. Let  $\alpha$  be an automorphism of a finite group G, R the ring of integers in a number field F. Denote the induced automorphism on RG also by  $\alpha$ . Then  $K_{-1}(RG) \simeq K_{-1}((RG)_{\alpha}[t])$  is a finitely generated Abelian group.

#### References

- [1] H. Bass. Algebraic K-theory. W.A. Benjamin (1968).
- [2] A. Borel. Stable real cohomology of arithmetic groups. Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. (4) 7 (1984), 235–272.
- [3] W. Browder. Algebraic K-theory with coefficients Z/p. Lecture Notes in Math. 657. Springer, 40–84.
- [4] D.W. Carter. Localization in lower algebraic K-theory. Comm. in Algebra (7)8 (1980), 603–622.
- [5] R. Charrey. A note on excision in K-theory. Lecture Notes in Math. 1046. Springer (1984), 47–48.
- [6] F. Conolly and S. Prassidis. On the exponents of NK groups of virtually infinite cyclic groups. Car.Math. Bull. 45 No.2 (2002), 180–195.
- [7] F. T. Farrell and W.C. Hsiang. A formula for  $K_1(R_{\alpha}[T])$ . Applications of Categorical Algebra. Proc. Symp. Pure Math. 17. Amer. Math. Soc. (1970), 192–218.
- [8] F. T. Farrell and L.E. Jones. Isomorphisms conjectures in algebraic K-theory. J. Amer. Math. Soc. 6 (1993), 249–297.
- [9] F. T. Farrell and L.E. Jones. The lowwer algebra K-theory of virtually infinite cyclic groups. K-Theory 9 (1995), 13–30.
- [10] A. O. Kuku. K-theory of grouprings of finite groups over maximal orders in division algebras. J. Algebra (1) 91 (1985), 18–31.
- [11] A. O. Kuku.  $K_n$ ,  $SK_n$  of integral group rings and orders. Contemporary Math. AMS **55** (1986), 333–338.
- [12] A. O. kuku. Ranks of  $K_n$  and  $G_n$  of orders and grouprings of finite groups over integers in number fields. J. Pure Appl. Alg. **138** (1999), 39–44.
- [13] A. O. Kuku. Profinite and continuous higher K-theory of exact categories, orders and group rings. K-theory 22 (2001), 367–392.
- [14] A. O. Kuku. Finiteness of higher K-groups of orders and group rings. K-Theory 36 (2005), 51–58.
- [15] A. O. Kuku. *Representation theory and higher algebraic K-theory*. Chapman and Hall (2007).
- [16] A. O. Kuku and G. Tang. Higher K-theory of grouprings of virtually infinite cyclic groups. Math. Ann. 323 (2003), 711-725.
- [17] J. Neisendorfer. Primary homotopy theory. Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 232 (1980).
- [18] I. Reiner. Maximal orders. Academic Press. London (1975).
- [19] C. Weibel. Mayer-Vietoris sequence and mod-p-K-Theory. Lecture Notes in Math. 966 Springer (1982), 390–407.

MAX-PLANCK-INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK, BONN, GERMANY *E-mail address*: kuku@mpim-bonn.mpg.de