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#### Abstract

In this paper, we apply some simple ideas from formal languages and compositions (ordered partitions) of an integer to enumerate and count the number of Rota-Baxter words in a finite number of generators and a finite number of unary operators. Rota-Baxter words are simply words formed by concatenating generators and images of words under the operators. A Rota-Baxter word may also be interpreted as a terse representation of a forest of rooted and labeled trees. They are so named because under certain conditions, sets of Rota-Baxter words appear as canonical bases of free Rota-Baxter algebras which, besides applications in combinatorics, are hotly studied recently in relation to number theory, renormalization in quantum field theory, and operads. We enumerate these sets in general where the number of consecutive applications of the operators and the number of consecutive appearances of generators may either be unlimited or bounded by given constants which we call exponents. The method we use first settles the case when there is only one generator and one operator, and both exponents of the operator and of the generator are 1 (the so called idempotent case). Some of the integer sequences related to these sets of Rota-Baxter words and certain subsets are known and connected to other combinatorial sequences, such as the Catalan numbers, and others are new. The recurrences satisfied by the generating series of these sequences prompt us to discover an efficient algorithm to enumerate the canonical basis of certain free Rota-Baxter algebras. The enumeration for more general sets of Rota-Baxter words are based on the idempotent case by using summation techniques related to compositions of integers.
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## 1 Introduction

This paper studies enumeration and algorithms related to generation of sets of Rota-Baxter words which occur naturally as canonical bases of certain RotaBaxter algebras.

In the 1950 's, Spitzer proved a fundamental identity on fluctuation theory in probability by analytic methods. The field of Rota-Baxter algebra was started after G. Baxter (1960) showed that Spitzer's identity follows more generally by a purely algebraic argument for any linear operator $P$ on an algebra satisfying the simple identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(x) P(y)=P(x P(y)+P(x) y+\lambda x y) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all elements $x, y$ of the algebra, where $\lambda$ is a constant. ${ }^{1}$ Rota studied this operator through his many articles and communications (see Rota (1995), for example). As a recognition to the great contribution of Rota and Baxter, such a linear operator $P$ is called a Rota-Baxter operator and an algebra with the operator is called a Rota-Baxter algebra. In spite of diverse applications of Rota-Baxter algebras in mathematics and physics, the study of Rota-Baxter algebra itself has been highly combinatorial. Rota (1969) and Rota and Smith (1972)), for instance, related Rota-Baxter operator to other combinatorial identities, such as the Waring formula and Bohnenblust-Spitzer identity. Explicit constructions of free commutative Rota-Baxter algebras have played an important role in further studies from Cartier (1972) and Rota (1969) in the 1970's, to Guo and Keigher (2000a; 2000b) in the 1990's. Because of the combinatorial nature of the constructions, the related enumeration problems are interesting to study. For example, Guo (2005) showed that free commutative Rota-Baxter algebras on the empty set are related to Stirling numbers of the first and second kind, and these results in general provide generating series for other number sequences.

The unexpected application of non-commutative Rota-Baxter algebras in the work of Connes and Kreimer (2000; 2001) and Ebrahimi-Fard, Guo and Kreimer (2004; 2005) on renormalization of quantum field theory moves the constructions of the corresponding free objects to the forefront. Such constructions were obtained recently by Ebrahimi-Fard and Guo; Ebrahimi-Fard and Guo

1 We will take $\lambda=-1$ in this paper. Some authors use $-\theta$ in place of $\lambda$.
(2004; 2005), providing a fuller understanding of the connection first made by Aguiar (see Aguiar (2000) and Ebrahimi-Fard (2002)) between Rota-Baxter algebras and dendriform algebras of Loday and Ronco (2001), and in particular their Hopf algebra of planar trees. Free nonunitary Rota-Baxter algebras are convenient in the study of the adjoint functor from dendriform algebras to Rota-Baxter algebras.

We consider enumeration and algorithmic generation of sets of strings called Rota-Baxter words (RBWs) which represent expressions with generators and unary operators. Under suitable conditions, these sets form the canonical bases for (non-unitary) free Rota-Baxter algebras with finitely many operators and finitely many generators. These constructions of free Rota-Baxter algebras are only recently explored in special cases in Ebrahimi-Fard and Guo (2004; 2005) and the sets we enumerate include their canonical bases but also sets that may be useful for more general yet-to-be-explored constructions. For this purpose, we apply concepts and methods from formal languages,
grammars, compositions of integers, and generating functions. While most of the sequences and double sequences are found to be closely related to the Catalan numbers, we found sequences that are not covered in the Sloane data base. The enumeration study leads us to an algorithm that generates canonical bases for certain free Rota-Baxter algebras. These algorithmic explorations of enumeration methods (either sequential or randomized) are the first steps that must precede development of important software tools in symbolic computation packages that allow further investigations of algebraic properties of related algebras based on Rota-Baxter words. In addition to Rota-Baxter word sets in several free operators and free generators, we consider sets of RBWs where the number of consecutive applications of operators and the number of consecutive generators are bounded. These RBWs contain interesting combinatorial structures related to planar rooted trees which form Rota-Baxter algebras as developed in Ebrahimi-Fard and Guo (2005). Some of these properties in certain cases are studied by Aguiar and Moreira (2005).

The rest of the paper is organized into sections dealing with three levels of generalities with each level built upon the previous one. Section 2 briefly reviews concepts on a free Rota-Baxter algebra and its canonical basis consisting of Rota-Baxter words. Section 3 deals with the case of one idempotent operator and one idempotent generator (that is, exponent 1 case). In Section 4,
we generalize this to arbitrary exponents for one operator and one generator. In Section 5, we further generalize this to arbitrary number of operators and generators, with arbitrary uniform exponents relations. We end with a brief remark on future research.

## 2 Background and notations

Let $\mathbf{k}$ be a commutative unitary ring and let $A$ be a non-unitary $\mathbf{k}$-algebra. A non-unitary free Rota-Baxter algebra over $A$ is a Rota-Baxter algebra $\left(F(A), P_{A}\right)$ together with a k-algebra homomorphism $j_{A}: A \rightarrow F(A)$ with the property that, for any Rota-Baxter algebra $(R, P)$ together with a k-algebra homomorphism $f: A \rightarrow R$, there is a unique homomorphism $\bar{f}: F(A) \rightarrow R$ of Rota-Baxter algebras such that $f=\bar{f} \circ j_{A}$.

Ebrahimi-Fard and Guo (2004; 2005) explicitly constructed a free Rota-Baxter algebra over $A$, denoted by $\Psi^{\mathrm{NC,0}}(A)$, in the case a $\mathbf{k}$-basis $X$ of the $\mathbf{k}$-algebra $A$ exists and is given. Since the enumeration of a k-basis of $\Psi^{\mathrm{N} C, 0}(A)$ is the main subject of study of this paper, we briefly recall its construction. In what follows, the product of $x_{1}, x_{2} \in X$ in the algebra $A$ is denoted by $x_{1} x_{2}$ or by $x_{1} \cdot x_{2}$ if clarity is needed, and the repeated $n$-fold product of $x \in X$ in the algebra $A$ is denoted as usual by $x^{n}$.

Let $\lfloor$ and $\rfloor$ be symbols, called brackets, and let $X^{\prime}=X \cup\{\lfloor\rfloor$,$\} . Let S\left(X^{\prime}\right)$ be the free (non-commutative) semigroup generated by $X^{\prime}$. We can view an element $u \in S\left(X^{\prime}\right)$ as a string made up of symbols from $X^{\prime}$. The product of two elements $u, v \in S\left(X^{\prime}\right)$ is, by an abuse of notation, also denoted by the concatenation $u v$ whenever there is no confusion, and by explicitly using the concatenation operator $\sqcup$ as in $u \sqcup v$ otherwise. It should be emphasized that the operator $\sqcup$ is not a symbol in $X^{\prime}$ and is used solely for the purpose to resolve ambiguity in cases when $u=x_{1} \in X$ and $v=x_{2} \in X$. We will adopt the convention that the notation $u v=x_{1} x_{2}$ always means the product $x_{1} \cdot x_{2}$ in the algebra $A$ (and it may happen that $X$ is closed under algebra multiplication so that $\left.x_{1} x_{2} \in X\right)$, and the concatenation of $x_{1}$ with $x_{2}$ as elements of $S\left(X^{\prime}\right)$ will always be denoted by $x_{1} \sqcup x_{2}$. As we shall see, concatenation of two (or more) elements of $X$ are explicitly excluded in the RBW sets, in particular, in any canonical basis of $\Psi^{\mathrm{NC}, 0}(A)$, and so such usage is very limited.

Definition 2.1 A Rota-Baxter word (RBW) $w$ is an element of $S\left(X^{\prime}\right)$ that satisfies the following conditions.
(1) The number of $\lfloor$ in $w$ equals the number of $\rfloor$ in $w$;
(2) Counting from the left to the right, the cumulative number of $\lfloor$ at each location is always greater or equal to that of $\rfloor$;
(3) There is no occurrence of $x_{1} \sqcup x_{2}$ in $w$, for any $x_{1}, x_{2} \in X$;
(4) There is no occurrence of $\rfloor\lfloor$ or $\rfloor$ in $w$;

Interpreting Definition 2.1, a Rota-Baxter word $w$ can be represented uniquely by a finite string composed of one or more elements of $X$, separated (if more than one $x$ ) by a left bracket $\lfloor$ or by a right bracket $\rfloor$, where the set of brackets formed balanced pairs, but neither the string $\rfloor\lfloor$ nor the string $\rfloor$ appears as a substring. For example, when $X=\{x\}$, the word $w=\lfloor\lfloor x\rfloor x\lfloor x\rfloor\rfloor x\lfloor x\rfloor$ is an RBW, but $\left.\lfloor x \sqcup x\rfloor,\left\lfloor x^{2}\right\rfloor,\lfloor x\rfloor\lfloor x\rfloor, x\right\rfloor x\lfloor x$, and $\left.\lfloor x\rfloor\rfloor x\right\rfloor$ are not. The number of balanced pairs of brackets in an RBW is called its degree. The degree of $w$ in the above example is 4 .

The set of Rota-Baxter words is denoted by $\mathfrak{M}^{0}(X)$. In this paper, we extend ${ }^{2}$ the set of RBWs to include the empty word, which we denote by $\emptyset$. The extended set of RBWs is denoted by $\mathfrak{M}^{1}(X)$.

Example 2.2 Let $\mathbf{k}$ be a field. Let $A=\mathbf{k}[x]$ be the polynomial ring in one indeterminate $x$ over $\mathbf{k}$. Then $X=\left\{x^{n} \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is a k-basis. In this case, if $a+b=n$, then $\left\lfloor x^{n}\right\rfloor=\left\lfloor x^{a} x^{b}\right\rfloor$ is an RBW, but $\left\lfloor x^{a} \sqcup x^{b}\right\rfloor$ is not.

Example 2.3 Let $B=\mathbf{k}[x] / \mathfrak{a}$ be the quotient ring of $A$ of Example 2.2 by the ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ generated by $x^{2}-x$. Then writing $\overline{1}=1+\mathfrak{a}$ and $\bar{x}=x+\mathfrak{a}$, the set $X=\{\overline{1}, \bar{x}\}$ is a k-basis of $B$. Here $\lfloor\overline{1}\rfloor,\lfloor\overline{1} \cdot \bar{x}\rfloor$, and $\overline{1}\lfloor\bar{x}\rfloor$ are RBWs but $\lfloor\overline{1} \sqcup \bar{x}\rfloor$ is not.

Let $\amalg^{N C, 0}(A)$ be the free $\mathbf{k}$-module with basis $\mathfrak{M}^{0}(X)$. It is shown in Ebrahimi-

2 As in Ebrahimi-Fard and Guo (2004; 2005), Ø is not part of the k-basis for $\amalg^{N C, 0}(A)$. Our main reason for adding $\emptyset$ is that the sequences thus obtained are more consistent with the known sequences in the Sloane database. More naturally, the set $S\left(X^{\prime}\right) \cup\{\emptyset\}$ is the free monoid generated by $X^{\prime}$ with $\emptyset$ as the identity for the concatenation product.

Fard and Guo (2004; 2005) that the following properties

$$
\begin{align*}
x \diamond x^{\prime} & =x \cdot x^{\prime} \\
x \diamond\lfloor w\rfloor & =x\lfloor w\rfloor  \tag{2}\\
\lfloor w\rfloor \diamond x & =\lfloor w\rfloor x \\
\lfloor w\rfloor \diamond\left\lfloor w^{\prime}\right\rfloor & =\left\lfloor\lfloor w\rfloor \diamond w^{\prime}\right\rfloor+\left\lfloor w \diamond\left\lfloor w^{\prime}\right\rfloor\right\rfloor+\lambda\left\lfloor w \diamond w^{\prime}\right\rfloor
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x, x^{\prime} \in X$ and all $w, w^{\prime} \in \mathfrak{M}^{0}(X)$ uniquely define an associative bilinear product $\diamond$ on $\amalg^{\mathrm{NC} C, 0}(A)$. This product, together with the linear operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{A}: \amalg^{\mathrm{N} C, 0}(A) \rightarrow \amalg^{\mathrm{N} C, 0}(A), P_{A}(w)=\lfloor w\rfloor, \forall w \in \mathfrak{M}^{0}(X), \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the natural embedding

$$
j_{A}: A \rightarrow Ш^{\mathrm{N} C, 0}(A), j_{A}(x)=x, \forall x \in X
$$

makes $\amalg^{\mathrm{N} C, 0}(A)$ the free (non-unitary) Rota-Baxter algebra over $A$. We will not need to know the explicit construction of this associative bilinear product in $\amalg^{N C, 0}(A)$ for the rest of the paper. However, we note that as an element of the algebra $\amalg^{\mathrm{N} C, 0}(A)$, the string $\lfloor w\rfloor$ may be interpreted as the image of the operator $P_{A}$ on $w$ for any $w \in \mathfrak{M}^{0}(X)$ and that for any such $w$ writable as the concatenation $u v$ for $u, v \in \mathfrak{M}^{0}(X)$, the concatenation can be viewed as $u \diamond v$ (the first three cases of Eq. (2)) and this justifies the abuse of notation and convention in using concatenation for both the algebra multipication in $A$ and the semigroup product in $S\left(X^{\prime}\right)$.

Example 2.4 Let $\mathbf{k}$ be a field. Let $A=\mathbf{k}\left\langle x_{1}, \ldots, x_{q}\right\rangle$ be the polynomial ring in $q$ non-commutating indeterminates $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{q}$ over $\mathbf{k}$. Then the set $X$ of (non-commutative) monomials is a $\mathbf{k}$-basis of $A$. If $q \geqslant 2$, then $\left\lfloor x_{2}^{3} x_{1}^{4} x_{2}^{2}\right\rfloor=$ $\left\lfloor x_{2}^{3} \cdot x_{1}^{4} \cdot x_{2}^{2}\right\rfloor$ is an RBW, but $\left\lfloor x_{2}^{3} \sqcup x_{1}^{4} \sqcup x_{2}^{2}\right\rfloor$ is not. Moreover, in $Ш^{\mathrm{N} C, 0}(A)$, we have $1 \diamond x_{i}=x_{i}=x_{i} \diamond 1$ and $1 \diamond\lfloor w\rfloor=1\lfloor w\rfloor$ for any $w \in S\left(X^{\prime}\right)$.

Definition 2.5 The free (non-unitary) Rota-Baxter algebra $\Psi^{N C, 0}(A)$ of Example 2.4 will be denoted by $\amalg^{N C, 0}(q)$ and referred to as the free (nonunitary) Rota-Baxter algebra on $q$ generators $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{q}$. The corresponding k-basis $\mathfrak{M}^{0}(A)$ consisting of RBWs built from $X$ will be denoted by $\mathfrak{M}^{0}(q)$. Any non-commutative monomial $x \in X$ will be called an $x$-run. For any RBW $w \in \mathfrak{M}^{0}(q)$, the arity of $w$ is the number of $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{q}$ appearing in $w$, counted
with multiplicities. For example, the arity of an $x$-run is the total degree of the monomial it represents and the RBW $w=x_{1} x_{2}^{2}\left\lfloor x_{2}^{3} x_{1}^{4} x_{2}^{2}\right\rfloor$ has two $x$-runs and arity 12 .

Example 2.6 Let $A=\mathbf{k}\left\langle x_{1}, \ldots, x_{q}\right\rangle$ be as in Example 2.4, let $\vec{v}=\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{q}\right)$ be a vector of $q$ positive integers, and $\mathfrak{a}$ be the bilateral ideal of $A$ generated by the polynomials $x_{i}^{v_{i}+1}-x_{i}, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant q$. Let $B$ be the quotient $\mathbf{k}$-algebra $A / \mathfrak{a}$. Writing $\overline{1}=1+\mathfrak{a}$ and $\bar{x}_{i}=x_{i}+\mathfrak{a}$, let $X$ be the set consisting of all non-commutative finite power products

$$
x=\bar{x}_{j_{1}}^{e_{j_{1}}} \cdots \bar{x}_{j_{\ell-1}}^{e_{j_{\ell-1}}} \bar{x}_{j_{\ell}}^{e_{j_{\ell}}} \bar{x}_{j_{\ell+1}}^{e_{j_{\ell+1}}} \cdots \bar{x}_{j_{r}}^{e_{j_{r}}}
$$

in $\bar{x}_{i}(1 \leqslant i \leqslant q)$, where the indices satisfy $j_{\ell-1} \neq j_{\ell}$ and $j_{\ell} \neq j_{\ell+1}$ for all $\ell$ $(2 \leqslant \ell \leqslant r-1)$, and the exponents satisfy $1 \leqslant e_{j_{\ell}} \leqslant v_{j_{\ell}}$ for $\ell(1 \leqslant \ell \leqslant r)$. Then $\bar{X}$ is a k-basis of $B$ and $Ш^{\mathrm{NC}, 0}(B)$ is a free Rota-Baxter algebra on $B$.

Definition 2.7 We denote the free (non-unitary) Rota-Baxter algebra $\Psi^{\mathrm{N} C, 0}(B)$ of Example 2.6 by $Ш^{\mathrm{N} C, 0}(q, \vec{v})$ and refer to it as the free (non-unitary) RotaBaxter algebra on $q$ generators $\bar{x}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{x}_{q}$ with exponent vector $\vec{v}$. The corresponding $\mathbf{k}$-basis $\mathfrak{M}^{0}(B)$ consisting of RBWs built from $X$ will be denoted by $\mathfrak{M}^{0}(q, \vec{v})$. Any non-commutative monomial $x \in X$ will be called an $x$-run. For any RBW $w \in \mathfrak{M}^{0}(q, \vec{v})$, the arity of $w$ is the number of $\bar{x}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{x}_{q}$ appearing in a canonical representation of $w$ as an element of $B$, counted with multiplicities. For example, the arity of an $x$-run is the total degree of the monomial it represents and the RBW $w=\bar{x}_{1} \bar{x}_{2}^{2}\left\lfloor\bar{x}_{2}^{3} \bar{x}_{1}^{4} \bar{x}_{2}^{2}\right\rfloor$ has two $x$-runs and arity 12 , provided $v_{1} \geqslant 4$ and $v_{2} \geqslant 3$.

In this paper, we will enumerate RBWs in $\mathfrak{M}^{0}(q, \vec{v})$ (actually $\mathfrak{M}^{1}(q, \vec{v})$, after adjoining the empty RBW $\emptyset$ ) with a given degree and arity, by giving algorithms to generate them and generating functions that count them. We begin with $q=1$ and $v_{1}=1$ in Section 3 under some extra hypothesis by restricting to a subset of RBWs, but generalize the results to arbitrary $q$ and RBWs involving multiple unary operators. For some of these generalizations, we note that the corresponding free Rota-Baxter algebras have not been constructed and the enumeration of the sets of RBWs is included for possible future applications.

## 3 One idempotent operator and one idempotent generator case

In this section, we restrict ourselves to Example 2.6 when $q=1$ and $\vec{v}=(1)$, that is, $\bar{x}$ is idempotent, and we further assume that the Rota-Baxter operator $P$ is also idempotent (that is, $P(P(w))=P(w)$ for all $w$ ). These restrictions allow us to first focus on the word structures of free Rota-Baxter algebra constructions before considering other factors involved in more general RotaBaxter words. Interestingly, in most applications of Rota-Baxter algebra in quantum field theory, the operators are idempotent.

We contribute three results for the enumeration of a canonical basis of the free Rota-Baxter algebra in this special case. After reviewing some preliminary material and setting up notations, we consider generating functions based on the degree of the Rota-Baxter words in Section 3.1. In Section 3.2, we refine the study to consider generating functions based on the degree and arity. In Sections 3.3, we give an algorithm to generate this canonical basis with given degree and arity.

For simplicity, we will drop the bar notation above the generator $x$. Under our current hypothesis that both the single generator $x$ and the operator $P$ are idempotent, let $R=R_{1,1}$ be the subset of $\mathfrak{M}^{1}(X)$ consisting of $\emptyset$ and Rota-Baxter words $w$ composed of $x$ 's and pairs of balanced brackets such that no two $x$ 's are adjacent, and no two pairs of brackets can be immediately adjacent or nested. In other words, the strings $\rfloor\lfloor,\lfloor \rfloor$, and strings of the form $\lfloor\lfloor *\rfloor\rfloor$ where the brackets are balanced pairs and where $*$ may be any RBW, do not appear as substrings of $w$. For example, the RBW $\lfloor\lfloor x\rfloor\rfloor x$ is not element of $R$. For rest of this section, all RBWs are assumed to be in $R$.

### 3.1 Generating functions of one variable

Let $R(n)$ be the subset of $R$ of degree $n$ (with our convention, $R(0)=\{\emptyset, x\}$ ). Let $B(n)$ be the subset of $R(n)$ consisting of RBWs that begin with a left bracket and end with a right bracket. Words in $B(n)$ are said to be bracketed (the empty RBW $\emptyset$ is considered bracketed by convention). By pre- or postconcatenating a bracketed RBW $w$ with $x$, we get three new RBWs: $x w$, $w x$, and $x w x$, which are called respectively the left, right, and bilateral associate
of $w$ ．Any RBW is either bracketed or an associate of a bracketed RBW．Thus the set $A(n)$ of all associates form the complement of $B(n)$ in $R(n)$ and it is the disjoint union of these cosets：

$$
A(n)=x B(n) \cup B(n) x \cup x B(n) x
$$

The set of bracketed RBWs is further divided into two disjoint subsets．The first subset $I(n)$ consists of all indecomposable bracketed RBWs，whose beginning left bracket and ending right bracket are paired．The second subset $D(n)$ consists of all decomposable bracketed RBWs whose beginning left bracket and ending right bracket are not paired．

The following table lists these various types of RBWs in lower degrees．

| $\operatorname{deg}$ | $I(n)$ | $D(n)$ | $A(n)$ | $B(n)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | $\emptyset$ |  | x | $\emptyset$ |
| 1 | $\lfloor x\rfloor$ |  | $x\lfloor x\rfloor,\lfloor x\rfloor x, x\lfloor x\rfloor x$ | $\lfloor x\rfloor$ |
| 2 | $\lfloor x\lfloor x\rfloor\rfloor,\lfloor\lfloor x\rfloor x\rfloor,\lfloor x\lfloor x\rfloor x\rfloor$ | $\lfloor x\rfloor x\lfloor x\rfloor$ | 12 associates | $I(2) \cup D(2)$ |

In terms of formal languages，we start with an alphabet $\Sigma$ of terminals consisting of a special symbol $\emptyset$ and the three symbols $\lfloor, x$ ，and $\rfloor$ ，a set of non－ terminals consisting of 〈bracketed〉，〈indecomposable〉，〈decomposable〉， $\langle$ associate $\rangle$ and the sentence symbol $\langle\mathrm{RBW}\rangle$ ．Let the production rules be：

```
        \langleRBW\rangle:=\emptyset| \langlebracketed\rangle | \langleassociate\rangle
        \langleassociate\rangle:= x | x\langlebracketed\rangle | \langlebracketed\rangle }x|x\langle\mathrm{ bracketed> (5)
        \langlebracketed\rangle:=\langleindecomposable\rangle| \langledecomposable\rangle
\langleindecomposable\rangle:=\\langledecomposable\rangle\rfloor| \lfloor\langleassociate\rangle\rfloor
    \langledecomposable\rangle:=\langlebracketed\rangle}x\langle\mathrm{ bracketed}

By（5）and（7），it is clear that the sentences in this language will be RBWs and vice versa．The production rules thus define a grammar whose language will be \(\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} R(n)\) ．

For \(n \geqslant 0\) ，let \(r_{n}\)（resp．\(a_{n}\) ，resp．\(b_{n}\) ，resp．\(i_{n}\) ，resp．\(d_{n}\) ）be the number of all （resp．associate，resp．bracketed，resp．indecomposable，resp．decomposable） RBWs with \(n\) pairs of（balanced）brackets．The first few values of \(r_{n}\) for \(n=\)
\[
2,4,16,80,448,2688, \ldots
\]
which suggests that it is the sequence A025225 from Sloane et al. (2005) whose \(n\)-th term is given by \(2^{n+1} C_{n}\). Here \(C_{n}=\frac{1}{n+1}\binom{2 n}{n}\) is the \(n\)-th Catalan number. By (4) and (5), we clearly have \(r_{n}=4 b_{n}\) for \(n>0\), and hence it suffices to prove that \(b_{n}=2^{n-1} C_{n}\). It is known that the sequence A003645 whose \(n\)-th term is \(2^{n-1} C_{n}\) has a generating series given by
\[
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{n-1} C_{n} z^{n}=\frac{1-4 z-\sqrt{1-8 z}}{8 z} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
\]

We will prove that \(b_{n}\) indeed has this generating series and hence:

Theorem 3.1 The number of Rota-Baxter words of degree \(n\) in the canonical basis of the free Rota-Baxter algebra with a single idempotent generator and idempotent operator is given by
\[
r_{n}=2^{n+1} C_{n}, \quad n=1,2, \ldots
\]
where \(C_{n}=\frac{1}{n+1}\binom{2 n}{n}\) is the \(n\)-th Catalan number. In fact, the generating function of \(r_{n}\) is
\[
\mathbf{R}(z)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r_{n} z^{n}=\frac{1-4 z-\sqrt{1-8 z}}{2 z}
\]

Proof: Consider the generating series
\[
\mathbf{B}(z)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n} z^{n}, \quad \mathbf{I}(z)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} i_{n} z^{n}, \quad \mathbf{D}(z)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_{n} z^{n} .
\]

By the remark before the theorem, we only need to prove
\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{I}(z) & =\frac{1-2 z-\sqrt{1-8 z}}{2(z+1)} \\
\mathbf{B}(z) & =\frac{1-4 z-\sqrt{1-8 z}}{8 z}  \tag{10}\\
\mathbf{D}(z) & =\frac{1-7 z+4 z^{2}+(3 z-1) \sqrt{1-8 z}}{8 z(z+1)}
\end{align*}
\]
with initial conditions \(b_{1}=i_{1}=1\) and \(d_{1}=0\).
By production rule (6), for all \(n>0\), we have \(b_{n}=i_{n}+d_{n}\). By production rule (7), an RBW \(w\) is in \(I(n)\) if and only if \(w=\left\lfloor w^{\prime}\right\rfloor\) for some \(w^{\prime} \in A(n)\) or
\(w^{\prime} \in D(n)\). Thus \(i_{n}=3 b_{n-1}+d_{n-1}\) and it follows that \(i_{n}=4 b_{n-1}-i_{n-1}\) or
\[
i_{n}+i_{n-1}=4 b_{n-1} .
\]

Multiply both sides by \(z^{n}\) and sum over \(n \geqslant 2\). The left hand side gives
\[
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} i_{n} z^{n}+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} i_{n-1} z^{n}=\mathbf{I}(z)-z+z \mathbf{I}(z)
\]

For the right hand side, note that by production rules (7) and (6), every bracketed, decomposable RBW is formed from bracketed, indecomposable RBWs with \(x\) inserted between them. This decomposition is clearly unique and the degree of the decomposable RBW is the sum of the component indecomposable ones. Hence we have
\[
\begin{equation*}
d_{n}=\sum_{\substack{\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{p} ; n\right), p>}} i_{n_{1}} \cdots i_{n_{p}} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
\]
where the sum is over all compositions \(n_{1}+\cdots+n_{p}=n\) of \(n\) into \(p\) positive integers, for all lengths \(p>1\). Therefore,
\[
\begin{equation*}
b_{n}=i_{n}+d_{n}=\sum_{\substack{\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{p} ; n\right), p \geqslant 1}} i_{n_{1}} \cdots i_{n_{p}} . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
\]

From this, we obtain
\[
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{B}(z)= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n} z^{n} \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{p} ; n\right), p \geqslant 1} i_{n_{1}} \cdots i_{n_{p}}\right) z^{n} \\
&= \sum_{p=1}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} i_{m} z^{m}\right)^{p} \\
& \mathbf{B}(z)=\frac{\mathbf{I}(z)}{1-\mathbf{I}(z)} . \tag{13}
\end{align*}
\]
and since
\[
4 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_{n-1} z^{n}=4 z \mathbf{B}(z)
\]
we have
\[
\mathbf{I}(z)-z+z \mathbf{I}(z)=\frac{4 z \mathbf{I}(z)}{1-\mathbf{I}(z)}
\]

Solving for \(\mathbf{I}(z)\) with the initial condition \(i_{1}=1\), we have
\[
\mathbf{I}(z)=\frac{1-2 z+\sqrt{1-8 z}}{2(z+1)}
\]

This proves the first equation of Eq. (10) and by Eq. (13),
\[
\mathbf{B}(z)=\frac{1-2 z+\sqrt{1-8 z}}{1+4 z+\sqrt{1-8 z}}=\frac{1-4 z-\sqrt{1-8 z}}{8 z}
\]

Finally, \(\mathbf{D}(z)=\mathbf{B}(z)-\mathbf{I}(z)\) gives the last equation.

Theorem 3.1 shows that the number \(i_{n}\) of bracketed indecomposable RBWs of degree \(n\) is the \(n\)-th term of the sequence A062992:
\[
1,3,13,67,381,2307, \ldots
\]
and the number \(d_{n}\) of bracketed decomposable RBWs of degree \(n\) is a new sequence, which starts with
\[
0,1,7,45,291,1917,12867, \ldots
\]

\subsection*{3.2 Generating functions of two variables}

In our computational experiment, we observed that the set \(B(n)\), when stratified by the number of \(x\) 's appearing in an RBW, possesses certain very nice properties that may give better combinatorial understanding of how the canonical basis is constructed recursively (see the algorithm in the next subsection). To describe the stratification, for any RBW \(w\), recall (Definition 2.7) that \(w\) has arity \(m\) if the number of \(x\) 's appearing in the string representation of \(w\) is exactly \(m\). For any \(m \geqslant 0\), let \(R(n, m)\) be the subset of \(R\) of degree \(n\) and arity \(m\), and define similarly the notation \(A(n, m), B(n, m), I(n, m)\), and \(D(n, m)\). These are all finite sets. Let their sizes be respectively denoted by \(r_{n, m}, a_{n, m}\), \(b_{n, m}, i_{n, m}\), and \(d_{n, m}\). For initial values, we have
\[
\begin{array}{ll}
r_{0,0}=1 ; & a_{0,0}=b_{0,0}=i_{0,0}=d_{0,0}=0 ; \\
r_{0,1}=a_{0,1}=1 ; & b_{0,1}=i_{0,1}=d_{0,1}=0 ; \\
r_{1,1}=b_{1,1}=i_{1,1}=1 ; & a_{1,1}=d_{1,1}=0 ; \\
r_{1,2}=a_{1,2}=2 ; & b_{1,2}=i_{1,2}=d_{1,2}=0 ; \\
r_{1,3}=a_{1,3}=1 ; & b_{1,3}=i_{1,3}=d_{1,3}=0 ;
\end{array}
\]
\[
\begin{array}{ll}
r_{0, m}=a_{0, m}=b_{0, m}=i_{0, m}=d_{0, m}=0 & \text { for } m \geqslant 2 ; \\
r_{1, m}=a_{1, m}=b_{1, m}=i_{1, m}=d_{1, m}=0 & \text { for } m \geqslant 4 ; \\
r_{n, 0}=a_{n, 0}=b_{n, 0}=i_{n, 0}=d_{n, 0}=0 & \text { for } n \geqslant 1 ; \\
r_{n, 1}=a_{n, 1}=b_{n, 1}=i_{n, 1}=d_{n, 1}=0 & \text { for } n \geqslant 2 .
\end{array}
\]

From the production rules (4)-(8), we see that for \(n \geqslant 1, m \geqslant 2\) :
\[
\begin{gather*}
r_{n, m}=b_{n, m}+a_{n, m}  \tag{14}\\
a_{n, m}=2 b_{n, m-1}+b_{n, m-2}  \tag{15}\\
b_{n, m}=i_{n, m}+d_{n, m}  \tag{16}\\
i_{n, m}=d_{n-1, m}+a_{n-1, m} \tag{17}
\end{gather*}
\]

Now for \(n \geqslant 2, m \geqslant 2\) and any \(w \in D(n, m)\), we can write \(w\) uniquely as \(w_{n_{1}} x w_{n_{2}} \cdots x w_{n_{p}}\) where \(w_{n_{j}} \in I\left(n_{j}\right)\) and \(n_{1}+\cdots+n_{p}\) is a composition of \(n\) using \(p\) positive integers. Let \(m_{j}\) be the arity of \(w_{n_{j}}\). Then clearly, \(m_{1}+\cdots+m_{p}=\) \(m-p+1\) and so we may refine Eq. (11) to:
\[
\begin{equation*}
d_{n, m}=\sum_{p=2}^{\min (n, m)} \sum_{\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{p} ; m-p+1\right)} \sum_{\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{p} ; n\right)}\left(i_{n_{1}, m_{1}}\right) \cdots\left(i_{n_{p}, m_{p}}\right) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
\]

Therefore,
\(b_{n, m}=i_{n, m}+d_{n, m}=\sum_{p=1}^{\min (n, m)} \sum_{\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{p} ; m-p+1\right)} \sum_{\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{p} ; n\right)}\left(i_{n_{1}, m_{1}}\right) \cdots\left(i_{n_{p}, m_{p}}\right)\)

Now from Eq. (15)-(17), we have
\[
\begin{aligned}
i_{n, m} & =d_{n-1, m}+a_{n-1, m} \\
& =b_{n-1, m}-i_{n-1, m}+2 b_{n-1, m-1}+b_{n-1, m-2}
\end{aligned}
\]
and so
\[
\begin{equation*}
i_{n, m}+i_{n-1, m}=b_{n-1, m}+2 b_{n-1, m-1}+b_{n-1, m-2} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
\]

Define the bivariate generating series
\[
\mathbf{B}(z, t)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} b_{n, m} z^{n} t^{m}
\]
and similarly define \(\mathbf{I}(z, t), \mathbf{D}(z, t)\). Note that for these series, it makes no difference whether the double summation starts from 1 or from 0 because of
their initial conditions. Multiply both sides of Eq. (20) by \(z^{n} t^{m}\) and summing up for \(n \geqslant 2, m \geqslant 2\), the left hand side gives:
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} i_{n, m} z^{n} t^{m}+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} i_{n-1, m} z^{n} t^{m} \\
= & \mathbf{I}(z, t)-z t+z \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(-i_{n, 1} z^{n} t+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} i_{n, m} z^{n} t^{m}\right) \\
= & \mathbf{I}(z, t)-z t-z^{2} t+z \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} i_{n, m} z^{n} t^{m} \\
= & \mathbf{I}(z, t)-z t-z^{2} t+z \mathbf{I}(z, t) .
\end{aligned}
\]

Now, we sum the right hand side one term at a time.
\[
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} b_{n-1, m} z^{n} t^{m} & =z \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} b_{n, m} z^{n} t^{m} \\
& =z \mathbf{B}(z, t)-z^{2} t \\
2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} b_{n-1, m-1} z^{n} t^{m} & =2 z t \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} b_{n, m} z^{n} t^{m} \\
& =2 z t \mathbf{B}(z, t) \\
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} b_{n-1, m-2} z^{n} t^{m} & =z t^{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} b_{n, m} z^{n} t^{m} \\
& =z t^{2}\left(\mathbf{B}(z, t)+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n, 0} z^{n}\right) \\
& =z t^{2} \mathbf{B}(z, t)
\end{aligned}
\]

Hence the right hand side sums to \(z(1+t)^{2} \mathbf{B}(z, t)-z^{2} t\), giving the identity
\[
\begin{equation*}
(1+z) \mathbf{I}(z, t)-z t=z(1+t)^{2} \mathbf{B}(z, t) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
\]

Using Eq. (19), we have
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} b_{n, m} z^{n} t^{m} \\
= & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{p=1}^{\min (n, m)} \sum_{\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{p} ; m-p+1\right)} \sum_{\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{p} ; n\right)}\left(i_{n_{1}, m_{1}}\right) \cdots\left(i_{n_{p}, m_{p}}\right) z^{n} t^{m} \\
= & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{p=1}^{\min (n, m)} \sum_{\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{p} ; m-p+1\right)}\left(\sum_{\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{p} ; n\right)}\left(i_{n_{1}, m_{1}} z^{n_{1}} t^{m_{1}}\right) \cdots\left(i_{n_{p}, m_{p}} z^{n_{p}} t^{m_{p}}\right) t^{p-1}\right. \\
= & \sum_{p=1}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} i_{m, h} z^{m} t^{h}\right)^{p} t^{p-1}
\end{aligned}
\]
and hence
\[
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{B}(z, t)=\frac{\mathbf{I}(z, t)}{1-t \mathbf{I}(z, t)} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
\]

Thus we obtained the identity defining \(\mathbf{I}(z, t)\) as
\[
\begin{equation*}
(1+z) \mathbf{I}(z, t)-z t=z(1+t)^{2} \frac{\mathbf{I}(z, t)}{1-t \mathbf{I}(z, t)} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
\]

Solving this quadratic equation in \(\mathbf{I}(z, t)\) and using the initial conditions, we found
\[
\begin{gather*}
\mathbf{I}(z, t)=\frac{1-2 t z-\sqrt{1-4 z t-4 z t^{2}}}{2 t(z+1)}  \tag{24}\\
\mathbf{B}(z, t)=\frac{1-2 z t-2 z t^{2}-\sqrt{1-4 z t-4 z t^{2}}}{2 t(1+t)^{2} z}  \tag{25}\\
\mathbf{D}(z, t)=\frac{2 z^{2} t^{3}+2 z^{2} t^{2}-3 z t^{2}-4 z t+1+\left(z t^{2}+2 z t-1\right) \sqrt{1-4 z t-4 z t^{2}}}{2 t(1+t)^{2} z(1+z)} \tag{26}
\end{gather*}
\]

We can also obtain the bivariate generating series for \(a_{n, m}\) and \(r_{n, m}\) (these are
defined to start from \(n=0\) and \(m=0)\) as follows:
\[
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{A}(z, t) & =\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(a_{n, 0} z^{n}+a_{n, 1} z^{n} t+\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} a_{n, m} z^{n} t^{m}\right) \\
& =t+\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} a_{0, m} t^{m}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} a_{n, m} z^{n} t^{m}\right) \\
& =t+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=2}^{\infty}\left(2 b_{n, m-1}+b_{n, m-2}\right) z^{n} t^{m} \\
& =t+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(t \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} 2 b_{n, m} z^{n} t^{m}\right)+t^{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} b_{n, m} z^{n} t^{m} \\
& =t+2 t \mathbf{B}(z, t)+t^{2} \mathbf{B}(z, t)
\end{aligned}
\]

The bivariate generating function \(\mathbf{A}(z, t)\) is given by
\[
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{A}(z, t)=\frac{2+t-2 z t-2 z t^{2}-(2+t) \sqrt{1-4 x t-4 x t^{2}}}{2(1+t)^{2} z} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
\]

Interesting sequences and counting information can be derived from these functions. For example, the power series expansion for \(\mathbf{A}(z, t)\) in low degrees in \(z\), with coefficient in \(t\) accurate up to \(\mathcal{O}\left(t^{16}\right)\), is
\[
t+\left(2 t^{2}+t^{3}\right) z+\left(4 t^{3}+6 t^{4}+2 t^{5}\right) z^{2}+\left(10 t^{4}+25 t^{5}+20 t^{6}+5 t^{7}\right) z^{3}+\cdots
\]

From this, we can read off that there are 60 associate RBWs in \(R\) with three pairs of brackets, and 10 of these have arity 4,25 have arity 5,20 have arity 6 , and 5 have arity 7 . By expanding the series using \(t\) as the main variable, with coefficient in \(z\) accurate up to \(\mathcal{O}\left(z^{10}\right)\) we have
\[
t+2 z t+\left(z+4 z^{2}\right) t^{3}+\left(6 z^{2}+10 z^{3}\right) t^{4}+\left(2 z^{2}+25 z^{3}+28 z^{4}\right) t^{5}+\cdots
\]

From this we see that there are 55 associate RBWs in \(R\) with arity 5 , and 2 of these have degree 2,25 have degree 3 and 28 have degree 4 . By specializing \(z=1\), we obtain a sequence for the number of RBWs in \(R\) with arity \(m\), \((m=0,1,2, \ldots)\)
\[
0,1,2,5,16,55,202,773,3052, \ldots
\]

This sequence is new and not in the Sloane data base.
Finally, we have

Theorem 3.2 The generating series for \(r_{n, m}\) is
\[
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{R}(z, t)=\frac{1-\sqrt{1-4 z t-4 z t^{2}}}{2 t z} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
\]

Proof: We have
\[
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{R}(z, t) & =\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} r_{n, m} z^{n} t^{m} \\
& =1+t+\sum_{n=1} \sum_{m=1} b_{n, m} z^{n} t^{m}+\sum_{n=1} \sum_{m=1} a_{n, m} z^{n} t^{m} \\
& =1+\mathbf{B}(z, t)+\mathbf{A}(z, t) \\
& =\frac{1-\sqrt{1-4 z t-4 z t^{2}}}{2 t z}
\end{aligned}
\]

Once again we have proved Theorem 3.1 (by putting \(t=1\) and comparing with the generating function for the sequence A025225). By specializing \(z=1\), we obtain the sequence A025227 and thus give that sequence a new combinatorial interpretation.

However, this result is more refined than Theorem 3.1. Indeed, we note that Eq. (28) is related to the well-known generating series
\[
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{C}(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_{n} z^{n}=\frac{1-\sqrt{1-4 z}}{2 z} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
\]
for the Catalan sequence \(C_{n}\). We have clearly:
\[
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{R}(z, t) & =\frac{1-\sqrt{1-4 z t(1+t)}}{2 t z}=(1+t) \mathbf{C}(z t(1+t)) \\
& =\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_{n} z^{n} t^{n}(1+t)^{n+1} \\
& =\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{n+1}\binom{n+1}{j} C_{n} z^{n} t^{n+j}\right) \\
& =\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{m=n}^{2 n+1}\binom{n+1}{m-n} C_{n} z^{n} t^{m}\right)
\end{aligned}
\]
and hence
\[
r_{n, m}=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\binom{n+1}{m-n} C_{n} & \text { if } n \leqslant m \leqslant 2 n+1, n \geqslant 0  \tag{30}\\
0 & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
\]

This result not only provides the proof anew that \(R(n)\) has \(2^{n+1} C_{n}\) RBWs, but also that these are distributed by their arities from \(n\) to \(2 n+1\) according to the binomial theorem.

In a similar fashion, using Eq. (25) and a modified version of Eq. (29):
\[
\overline{\mathbf{C}}(z)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_{n} z^{n}=\frac{1-2 z-\sqrt{1-4 z}}{2 z}
\]
the doubly-indexed sequence for the number of bracketed RBWs with degree \(n\) and arity \(m\) has the same property, that is,
\[
b_{n, m}=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\binom{n-1}{m-n} C_{n} & \text { if } n \leqslant m \leqslant 2 n-1, n \geqslant 1  \tag{31}\\
0 & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
\]

This latter distribution, like the one for \(R(n, m)\), was first observed by experimental computations, but the proof is not obvious because among the \(C_{n}\) ways to set up the structure of \(n\) pairs of balanced brackets, the number of ways to insert \(m x\) 's to form bracketed RBWs (or RBWs in the case of \(R(n, m)\) ) depends on the individual bracket structure (and sometimes, this number can be zero). An example that illustrates this observation is the set \(B(3,4)\) which has the following 10 elements. The \(C_{3}=5\) possible bracket structures (which correspond to the 5 possible rooted trees with 4 vertices) are shown on the left.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline structure & count & bracketed RBWs \\
\hline \hline\(\lfloor\lfloor\rfloor\rfloor\rfloor\) & 4 & \(\lfloor x\lfloor x\lfloor x\rfloor x\rfloor\rfloor,\lfloor\lfloor x\lfloor x\rfloor x\rfloor x\rfloor,\lfloor x\lfloor x\lfloor x\rfloor\rfloor x\rfloor,\lfloor x\lfloor\lfloor x\rfloor x\rfloor x\rfloor\) \\
\hline\(\lfloor\rfloor\rfloor\rfloor\) & 2 & \(\lfloor x\lfloor x\rfloor x\lfloor x\rfloor\rfloor,\lfloor\lfloor x\rfloor x\lfloor x\rfloor x\rfloor\) \\
\hline\(\lfloor\rfloor\rfloor\rfloor\) & 2 & \(\lfloor x\lfloor x\rfloor\rfloor\lfloor x\rfloor,\lfloor\lfloor x\rfloor x\rfloor x\lfloor x\rfloor\) \\
\hline\(\rfloor\lfloor\rfloor\rfloor\) & 2 & \(\lfloor x\rfloor x\lfloor x\lfloor x\rfloor\rfloor,\lfloor x\rfloor x\lfloor\lfloor x\rfloor x\rfloor\) \\
\hline\(\rfloor\rfloor\rfloor\) & 0 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\subsection*{3.3 Algorithm for generating \(R\)}

It is also interesting to note that the two-variable generating functions studied above allow us to obtain a new and more effective way to generate, say \(B(n, m)\) recursively, from constructions using bracketed RBWs alone.

From Eq. (21) and Eq. (22), we obtain the identity satisfied by \(\mathbf{B}(z, t)\) :
\[
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{B}(z, t)-z t=z t(1+t)^{2} \mathbf{B}(z, t)^{2}+2 z t(1+t) \mathbf{B}(z, t) \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
\]

Substituting the definition of \(\mathbf{B}(z, t)\) into the above yields:
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} b_{n, m} z^{n} t^{m}-z t \\
= & z t(1+t)^{2}\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} b_{n, m} z^{n} t^{m}\right)^{2}+2 z t(1+t)\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} b_{n, m} z^{n} t^{m}\right)
\end{aligned}
\]
from which we obtain, for \((n, m) \neq(1,1)\),
\[
\begin{align*}
b_{n, m}= & 2 b_{n-1, m-1}+2 b_{n-1, m-2}+\sum_{\substack{\left(n_{1}, n_{2} ; n-1\right) \\
\left(m_{1}, m_{2} ; m-1\right)}} b_{n_{1}, m_{1}} b_{n_{2}, m_{2}}  \tag{33}\\
& +2 \sum_{\substack{\left(n_{1}, n_{2} ; n-1\right) \\
\left(m_{1}, m_{2} ; m-2\right)}} b_{n_{1}, m_{1}} b_{n_{2}, m_{2}}+\sum_{\substack{\left(n_{1}, n_{2} ; n-1\right) \\
\left(m_{1}, m_{2} ; m-3\right)}} b_{n_{1}, m_{1}} b_{n_{2}, m_{2}}
\end{align*}
\]
or, in explicit summation form:
\[
\begin{align*}
b_{n, m}= & 2 b_{n-1, m-1}+2 b_{n-1, m-2}+\sum_{m=1}^{n-2} \sum_{h=1}^{m-2} b_{m, h} b_{n-1-m, m-1-h}  \tag{34}\\
& +2 \sum_{m=1}^{n-2} \sum_{h=1}^{m-3} b_{m, h} b_{n-1-m, m-2-h}+\sum_{m=1}^{n-2} \sum_{h=1}^{m-4} b_{m, h} b_{n-1-m, m-3-h}
\end{align*}
\]

This recursion suggests that we can generate \(B(n, m)\) (and hence also \(R(n, m)\) ) efficiently and irredundantly from sets \(B(m, h)\) with \(m<n, h<m\) in some manner. This is indeed the case.

Theorem 3.3 Given positive integers \(n\) and \(m\), the algorithm below returns the sets \(B(n, m)\) (resp. \(I(n, m)\), resp. \(D(n, m)\) ) of bracketed (resp. indecomposable, resp. decomposable) RBWs of degree \(n\) and arity \(m\). For \(n \geqslant 4, B(n, m)\) is expressed as the disjoint union of sets constructed from \(B(m, h)\) with \(m<n\) and \(h<m\) according to Eq. (34).

\section*{Algorithm for bracketed RBWs of degree \(n\) and arity \(m\)}

Input: Positive integers \(n, m\)

\section*{Output:}
(a) the set \(B(n, m)\) of bracketed RBWs of degree \(n\) and arity \(m\),
(b) the set \(I(n, m)\) of indecomposable RBWs of degree \(n\) and arity \(m\),
(c) the set \(D(n, m)\) of decomposable RBWs of degree \(n\) and arity \(m\)

Step 0 . If \(\operatorname{not}(n \leqslant m \leqslant 2 n-1)\), then return three empty sets.
Generate all bracketed (resp. indecomposable, resp. decomposable)
RBWs with degree \(m \leqslant 3\) and arity \(h\) between \(m\) and \(2 m-1\).
If \(n \leqslant 3\) then return \(B(n, m), I(n, m), D(n, m)\).
Step 1. For each RBW \(w \in B(n-1, m-1)\), form two RBWs \(f_{1,1}(w)=\lfloor x w\rfloor\) and \(f_{1,2}(w)=\lfloor w x\rfloor\).
Step 2. For each RBW \(u \in B(n-1, m-2)\), form the RBWs \(f_{2}(u)=\lfloor x u x\rfloor\)
Step 3. For each \(m=1 \ldots(n-2)\), each \(h=1 \ldots m-2\),
and each pair of RBWs \((v, y) \in I(m, h) \times B(n-1-m, m-1-h)\),
form the RBW \(f_{3}(v, y)=\lfloor v x y\rfloor\)
Step 4. For each \(m=1 \ldots(n-2)\), each \(h=1 \ldots m-2\), and each pair of RBWs \((v, y) \in D(m, h) \times B(n-1-m, m-1-h)\) form the RBW \(f_{4}(v, y)=\lfloor v\rfloor x y\)
Step 5. For each RBW \(u \in B(n-1, m-2)\), form the RBWs \(f_{5}(u)=\lfloor x\rfloor x u\).

Step 6. For each \(m=1 \ldots(n-2)\), each \(h=1 \ldots m-3\), and each pair of RBWs \((v, y) \in B(m, h) \times B(n-1-m, m-2-h)\), form the two RBWs \(f_{6,1}(v, y)=\lfloor x v\rfloor x y\) and \(f_{6,2}(v, y)=\lfloor v x\rfloor x y\).
Step 7. For each \(m=1 \ldots(n-2)\), each \(h=1 \ldots m-4\), and each pair of RBWs \((v, y) \in B(m, h) \times B(n-1-m, m-3-h)\), form the \(\operatorname{RBW} f_{7}(v, y)=\lfloor x v x\rfloor x y\).
Step 8. Return the union of all the RBWs formed in Steps 1-3 as \(I(n, m)\), the union of all the RBWs formed in Steps \(4-7\) as \(D(n, m)\), and the union of \(I(n, m)\) and \(D(n, m)\) as \(B(n, m)\).

Proof: By Eq. (32), we know that \(B(n, m), I(n, m)\), and \(D(n, m)\) are empty if \(m<n\) or \(m>2 n-1\). The cases when \(n \leqslant 3\) is taken care of in Step 0 . So suppose \(n \geqslant 4\) and \(n \leqslant m \leqslant 2 n-1\). We first note the disjoint union \(B(n, m)=I(n, m) \cup D(n, m)\) from Eq. (6).
Any word \(z\) in \(I(n, m)\) is of the form \(\left\lfloor z^{\prime}\right\rfloor\) where \(z^{\prime} \in R(n-1, m)\) by Eq. (7). Then one and exactly one of the following statements on \(z^{\prime}\) is true.

Case-1. Either \(z^{\prime}\) starts with \(x\) but does not end with \(x\), implying \(z=\lfloor x w\rfloor\) with \(w \in B(n-1, m-1)\); or \(z^{\prime}\) does not start with \(x\) but ends with \(x\), implying \(z=\lfloor w x\rfloor\) with \(w \in B(n-1, m-1)\);

Case-2. \(z^{\prime}\) starts with \(x\) and ends with \(x\), implying \(z=\lfloor x u x\rfloor\) with \(u \in B(n-1, m-2) ;\)

Case-3. \(z^{\prime}\) neither starts nor ends with \(x\). Then \(z^{\prime}\) must be decomposable and so \(z^{\prime} \in D(n-1, m)\). Let \(v\) be the leftmost indecomposable subword of \(z^{\prime}\) (see Eq. (8)) and let \(m\) be the degree of \(v\) and \(h\) be the arity of \(v\). Then \(1 \leqslant m \leqslant n-2,1 \leqslant h \leqslant m-2\), and \(v \in I(m, h)\). Moreover, we can write \(z^{\prime}\) uniquely as \(v x y\) and \(z=\lfloor v x y\rfloor\) where \(y \in B(n-1-m, m-1-h)\).

This proves that \(I(n, m)\) is a subset of the set of RBWs generated by Steps \(1-3\). Conversely, any RBW \(z\) generated by Steps \(1-3\) clearly belongs to \(I(n, m)\) by definition. Thus \(I(n, m)\) is precisely the set of RBWs generated by Steps \(1-3\), and moreover, the sets generated in each of these steps are disjoint. This shows that
\[
\begin{equation*}
i_{n, m}=2 b_{n-1, m-1}+b_{n-1, m-2}+\sum_{m=1}^{n-2} \sum_{h=1}^{m-2} i_{m, h} b_{n-1-m, m-1-h} . \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
\]

Now consider \(z \in D(n, m)\). As in Case-3 above, \(z\) is of the form \(\left\lfloor z^{\prime}\right\rfloor x y\) for a unique RBW \(z^{\prime}\left(\left\lfloor z^{\prime}\right\rfloor\right.\) being the leftmost indecomposable subword of \(\left.z\right)\) and a unique bracketed RBW \(y\). Let \(m\) be the degree of \(z^{\prime}\). Then \(1 \leqslant m \leqslant n-2\), and one and exactly one of the following statements on \(z^{\prime}\) is true.

Case-4. \(z^{\prime}\) neither starts nor ends with an \(x\), implying that \(z^{\prime}\) is decomposable. Denoting \(z^{\prime}\) by \(v\), and letting \(h\) be the arity of \(v\), we have \(z=\lfloor v\rfloor x y\) with
\[
(v, y) \in D(m, h) \times B(n-1-m, m-1-h)
\]
and \(1 \leqslant h \leqslant m-2\).
Case-5. \(z^{\prime}\) is \(x\), implying \(z=\lfloor x\rfloor x y\) and \(y \in B(n-1, m-2)\).
Case-6. Either \(z^{\prime}\) starts with \(x\) but does not end
with \(x\), implying \(z=\lfloor x v\rfloor x y\) for some \((v, y) \in B(m, h) \times\) \(B(n-1-m, m-2-h)\) where \(1 \leqslant h \leqslant m-3\); or \(z^{\prime}\) does not start with \(x\) but ends with \(x\), implying \(z=\) \(\lfloor v x\rfloor x y\) with \((v, y) \in B(m, h) \times B(n-1-m, m-2-h)\) where \(1 \leqslant h \leqslant m-3\);

Case-7. \(z^{\prime}\) starts with \(x\) and ends with \(x\) (but is not \(x\) ), implying \(z=\lfloor x v x\rfloor x y\) with \((v, y) \in B(m, h) \times B(n-1-m, m-3-h)\) where \(1 \leqslant h \leqslant m-4\);

Note that each of Cases 4-7 correspond respectively to Steps 4-7 of the algorithm and generates disjoint subset of \(D(n, m)\). This shows that \(D(n, m)\) is precisely the set of RBWs generated by these steps and hence
\[
\begin{align*}
d_{n, m}= & \sum_{m=1}^{n-2} \sum_{h=1}^{m-2} d_{m, h} b_{n-1-m, m-1-h}+b_{n-1, m-2}  \tag{36}\\
& +2 \sum_{m=1}^{n-2} \sum_{h=1}^{m-3} b_{m, h} b_{n-1-m, m-2-h}+\sum_{m=1}^{n-2} \sum_{h=1}^{m-4} b_{m, h} b_{n-1-m, m-3-h}
\end{align*}
\]

Combining equations (35) and (36) finishes the proof (and provides a second, constructive, proof of Eq. (34)).

\section*{4 One generator and one operator: arbitrary exponent case}

We now consider the more general cases. In this section, we generalize previous results to the cases of one generator \(x\) and one operator \(P=\lfloor \rfloor\) without requiring these to be idempotent. Referring to Example 2.6, we have again \(q=1\), but now \(v=v_{1}\) is arbitrary (including \(v_{1}=\infty\) ). Moreover, we also restrict the set of Rota-Baxter words \(\mathfrak{M}^{1}(1,(v))\) to those where the number of consecutive applications of the operator \(P\) is bounded by a given \(u\) (which may also be \(\infty\), in which case there will be no restriction at all).

\subsection*{4.1 Notations}

For this section, we now introduce new terminology and notations. For any RBW \(w\), and operator \(P=\lfloor \rfloor\) occurring in \(w\), a \(P\)-run is any occurrence in \(w\) of consecutive compositions of \(\rfloor\) of maximal length (that is, of immediately nested \(\rfloor\), where the length is the number of consecutive applications of \(P\) ). Recall from Definition 2.5 for any generator \(x\), an \(x\)-run is any occurrence in \(w\) of consecutive (algebraic) products of \(x\) of maximal length. We denote a \(P\)-run by \(P^{(\mu)}\) or \(\left\rfloor^{(\mu)}\right.\) if \(\mu\) is its run length, and an \(x\)-run by \(x^{\nu}\) if \(\nu\) is its run length, When \(\mu\) or \(\nu\) is 1 , we shall often omit the superscript. Let \(u, v\) be either positive integers or \(\infty\) and let \(R_{u, v}\) be the subset of RBWs (including \(\emptyset\) ) where the length of \(P\)-runs is \(\leqslant u\) and the length of \(x\)-runs is \(\leqslant v\). These subsets are potential canonical bases of Rota-Baxter algebras on one generator. We have seen in Section 2 that \(R_{\infty, v}\) is the canonical basis of the free Rota-Baxter algebra \(\amalg^{\mathrm{N} C, 0}(1,(v))\) (Example 2.6). Also \(R_{1,1}\) is the canonoical basis of the free Rota-Baxter algebra with one idempotent generator and one idempotent operator considered in Section 3 (see also Aguiar and Moreira (2005)).

For convenience, we say the operator \(P=\lfloor \rfloor\) has exponent \(u\) and the generator \(x\) has exponent \(v\) if we are enumerating the set \(R_{u, v}\). This would be the case for Rota-Baxter algebras where the generator \(x\) satisfies \(x^{v+1}=x\) and the operator \(P\) satisfies \(P^{(u+1)}(w)=P(w)\) for any \(w\). In this section, our enumeration on RBWs is valid for any unary operator \(P\). It is not clear under what conditions a Rota-Baxter operator \(P\) would have exponent \(u\) for \(u \geqslant 2\).

For \(n \geqslant 1\), let \(R_{u, v}(n)\) be the subset of \(R_{u, v}\) consisting of all RBWs of degree
\(n\), and for \(m \geqslant 1\), let \(R_{u, v}(n, m)\) be the subset of \(R_{u, v}\) consisting of RBWs with degree \(n\) and arity \(m\). Moreover, for \(1 \leqslant k \leqslant n\), we let \(R_{u, v}(n, m ; k)\) be the subset of \(R_{u, v}(n, m)\) consisting of RBWs where the \(n\) pairs of balanced brackets are distributed into exactly \(k P\)-runs, and for \(1 \leqslant \ell \leqslant m\), we let \(R_{u, v}(n, m ; k, \ell)\) be the subset of \(R_{u, v}(n, m)\) consisting of RBWs where the \(n\) pairs of balanced brackets are distributed into exactly \(k P\)-runs, and the \(m x\) 's are distributed into exactly \(\ell x\)-runs. Except for \(R_{u, v}(n)\), these subsets are all finite sets, even when \(u, v\) are infinite, and we shall denote their corresponding cardinalities by replacing \(R\) by the lower case \(r\). Thus, for example, \(r_{u, v}(n, m ; k, \ell)\) is the cardinality for \(R_{u, v}(n, m ; k, \ell)\) and the count \(r_{n, m}\) of Section 3 is now denoted by \(r_{1,1}(n, m)\). This convention will be used for all other (finite) sets of RBWs we may introduce later.

We also define the following generating series:
\[
\begin{gather*}
\mathbf{R}_{u, v}(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r_{u, v}(n) z^{n}  \tag{37}\\
\mathbf{R}_{u, v}(z, t)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} r_{u, v}(n, m) z^{n} t^{m}  \tag{38}\\
\mathbf{R}_{u, v}(z, t ; \zeta)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} r_{u, v}(n, m ; k) z^{n} t^{m} \zeta^{k}  \tag{39}\\
\mathbf{R}_{u, v}(z, t ; \zeta, \theta)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} r_{u, v}(n, m ; k, \ell) z^{n} t^{m} \zeta^{k} \theta^{\ell} \tag{40}
\end{gather*}
\]

As an example for the above terms and notations, the RBW
\[
w=x^{2}\left\lfloor x\left\lfloor x^{3}\right\rfloor^{(2)} x^{2}\right\rfloor=x x\lfloor x\lfloor\lfloor x x\rfloor\rfloor x x\rfloor
\]
is an element in \(R_{u, v}(3,8 ; 2,4)\) for any \(u \geqslant 2, v \geqslant 3\) since the 3 pairs of balanced brackets occur in \(2 P\)-runs of run-lengths 1 and 2 , and the \(8 x\) 's occur in 4 \(x\)-runs of run-lengths \(2,1,3,2\).

We divide our study into three parts. In Section 4.2, we recall some results on compositions. In Section 4.3, we develop enumeration formulae relating the general cases to the idempotent case. In the remaining Section 4.4, we
compute the generating functions, and sketch an algorithm for generating the sets \(R_{u, v}(n, m)\).

\subsection*{4.2 Compositions of an Integer}

We recall (see, for example, MacMahon (1984)) a well-known result on compositions (also called ordered partitions) of a positive integer \(m\). Let \(G(m, \ell, v)\) be the set of compositions of the integer \(m\) into \(\ell\) positive integer parts, with each part at most \(v\) and let \(g(m, \ell, v)\) be the size of this set. When \(v\) is finite, it is easy to see from the multinomial expansion of
\[
\left(t+t^{2}+\cdots+t^{v}\right)^{\ell}
\]
by collecting the coefficients of \(t^{m}\) that
\[
g(m, \ell, v)=\sum_{\substack{\ell_{1}+\cdots+\ell_{v}=\ell \\ \ell_{1}+2 \ell_{2}+\cdots+v \ell_{v}=m}}\binom{\ell}{\ell_{1}, \cdots, \ell_{v}}
\]
where \(\ell_{j} \geqslant 0\) is the number of times \(t^{j}\) is chosen among the \(\ell\) factors of the product. It also follows that
\[
\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} g(m, \ell, v) t^{m}=\left(t+t^{2}+\cdots+t^{v}\right)^{\ell}
\]

Thus, for given \(\ell\) and \(v\), we find that the left-hand-side is the generating function \(\mathbf{G}_{\ell, v}(t)\) for \(g\) with respect to \(m\), namely:
\[
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{G}_{\ell, v}(t):=t^{\ell}\left(\frac{1-t^{v}}{1-t}\right)^{\ell} \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
\]

In a similar but simpler way, by expanding \(\left(t+t^{2}+\cdots\right)^{\ell}\) when \(v=\infty\), we see that
\[
\begin{equation*}
g(m, \ell, \infty)=\binom{m-1}{\ell-1} \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
\]
which is the number of compositions of \(m\) into \(\ell\) parts, with no restrictions on the size of each part. We have an associated generating function
\[
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{G}_{\ell, \infty}(t):=\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} g(m, \ell, \infty) t^{m}=\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\binom{m-1}{\ell-1} t^{m}=\left(\frac{t}{1-t}\right)^{\ell} . \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
\]

At the other extreme case, when \(v=1\), then \(g(m, \ell, 1)=\delta_{m, \ell}\) (Kronecker's \(\delta\) ) with \(\mathbf{G}_{\ell, 1}(t)=t^{\ell}\).

Regarding the power series ring \(\mathbb{Z}[[t]]\) over the ring \(\mathbb{Z}\) of integers as the completion of the polynomial ring \(\mathbb{Z}[t]\) in the usual sense, we have
\[
\mathbf{G}_{\ell, \infty}(t)=\lim _{v \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{G}_{\ell, v}(t)
\]

This implies that
\[
g(m, \ell, \infty)=\lim _{v \rightarrow \infty} g(m, \ell, v)
\]

In fact, \(g(m, \ell, \infty)=g(m, \ell, v)\) for all \(v \geqslant m\).
Lemma 4.1 For any power series \(\mathbf{F}(t)=\sum_{\ell \geqslant 1} a_{\ell} t^{\ell}\) and \(1 \leqslant v \leqslant \infty\), we have
\[
\mathbf{F} \circ \mathbf{G}_{1, v}(t)=\sum_{m \geqslant 1}\left(\sum_{\ell=1}^{m} g(m, \ell, v) a_{\ell}\right) t^{m}
\]

Proof: We have
\[
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{F} \circ \mathbf{G}_{1, v}(t) & =\sum_{\ell \geqslant 1} a_{\ell}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{v} t^{j}\right)^{\ell} \\
& =\sum_{\ell \geqslant 1} a_{\ell} \mathbf{G}_{\ell, v}(t) \\
& =\sum_{\ell \geqslant 1} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_{\ell} g(m, \ell, v) t^{m} \\
& =\sum_{m \geqslant 1}\left(\sum_{\ell=1}^{m} g(m, \ell, v) a_{\ell}\right) t^{m}
\end{aligned}
\]
since \(g(m, \ell, v)=0\) if \(\ell>m\).

\subsection*{4.3 Enumeration}

Recall that in the case when the Rota-Baxter operator \(\rfloor\) and the generator \(x\) are both idempotent (that is, each of exponent 1), we had studied in Section 3 the set \(R_{1,1}(n)=R(n)\), which is the set of all RBWs of degree \(n\) and the set \(R_{1,1}(n, m)=R(n, m)\), which is the set of all RBWs of degree \(n\) and arity \(m\). It was shown in Eq. (28) that the doubly indexed sequence \(r_{n, m}=r_{1,1}(n, m)\) has the generating function:
\[
\mathbf{R}_{1,1}(z, t):=\mathbf{R}(z, t)=\sum_{n, m \geqslant 0} r_{1,1}(n, m) z^{n} t^{m}=\frac{1-\sqrt{1-4 z t-4 z t^{2}}}{2 t z}
\]

We have the disjoint union:
\[
\begin{equation*}
R_{u, v}(n, m)=\coprod_{k=1}^{n} \coprod_{\ell=1}^{m} R_{u, v}(n, m ; k, \ell) . \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
\]

We note that in order for \(R_{1,1}(n, m ; k, \ell)\) to be non-empty, we must have \(n=k\) and \(m=\ell\), in which case, we have \(R_{1,1}(n, m ; k, \ell)=R_{1,1}(k, \ell)\). For any \(w \in R_{1,1}(k, \ell), w\) has exactly \(k\) pairs of balanced brackets, no two of which are immediately nested, and \(w\) has exactly \(\ell x\) 's no two of which are next to each other.

We define below what might be called a "collapsing map":
\[
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{u, v, n, m}: R_{u, v}(n, m ; k, \ell) \longrightarrow R_{1,1}(k, \ell) . \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
\]

Given \(w \in R_{u, v}(n, m ; k, \ell)\), we define \(\Psi_{u, v, n, m}(w)\) to be the RBW \(w_{1} \in R_{1,1}(k, \ell)\) obtained by replacing each of the \(k P\)-runs that appears in \(w\) by a single \(P\) and each of the \(x\)-runs appearing in \(w\) by a single \(x\). This map is clearly surjective for each pair \((k, \ell)\). We shall refer to \(w_{1}\) as the collapse of \(w\). The map \(\Psi_{u, v, n, m}\) technically depends on \(k, \ell\), but for simplicity, we will omit that in the notation. Moreover by Eq. (44), we may by abuse of language, extend all these maps uniquely to a single one:
\[
\Psi_{u, v, n, m}: R_{u, v}(n, m) \longrightarrow \coprod_{k=1}^{n} \coprod_{\ell=1}^{m} R_{1,1}(k, \ell)
\]

For each RBW \(w \in R_{u, v}(n, m ; k, \ell), w\) has exactly \(k P\)-runs and exactly \(\ell x\) runs. For \(1 \leqslant i \leqslant k\), let \(n_{i}\) be the run-length of the \(i\)-th \(P\)-run of \(w^{\prime}\) and for \(1 \leqslant j \leqslant \ell\), let \(m_{j}\) be the run-length of the \(j\)-th \(x\)-run of \(w^{\prime}\). We have \(1 \leqslant n_{i} \leqslant u\) (since \(P\) has exponent \(u\) ) and \(1 \leqslant m_{j} \leqslant v\) (since \(x\) has exponent \(v\) ). Then to each \(w\) there corresponds a triplet \(\left(w_{1}, \vec{n}, \vec{m}\right)\) where \(w_{1}=\Psi_{u, v, n, m}(w) \in\) \(R_{1,1}(k, \ell)\), a composition \(\vec{n}=\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k}\right)\) of \(n\) into \(k\) parts with each part \(n_{i}\) no bigger than \(u\), and a composition \(\vec{m}=\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{\ell}\right)\) of \(m\) into \(\ell\) parts with no part \(m_{j}\) bigger than \(v\). Conversely, every such triplet determines a unique \(w \in R_{u, v}(n, m ; k, \ell)\) such that \(\Psi_{u, v, n, m}(w)=w_{1}\). Using the sets \(G(m, \ell, v)\) for compositions defined in Section 4.2, we have a bijection:
\[
\begin{equation*}
R_{u, v}(n, m ; k, \ell) \longleftrightarrow R_{1,1}(k, \ell) \times G(n, k, u) \times G(m, \ell, v) \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
\]

Theorem 4.2 With the notations established in Sections 4.1, 4.2 and above, the numbers \(r_{u, v}(n, m)\) of RBWs of degree \(n\) and arity \(m\) in the set \(R_{u, v}\) of RBWs with one generator \(x\) of exponent \(v\) and one operator \(P\) of exponent \(u\) are given by:
(1) For \(1 \leqslant u \leqslant \infty, r_{u, 1}(n, m)=\sum_{k=1}^{n} g(n, k, u) r_{1,1}(k, m)\);
(2) For \(1 \leqslant v \leqslant \infty, r_{1, v}(n, m)=\sum_{\ell=1}^{m} g(m, \ell, v) r_{1,1}(n, \ell)\);
(3) For \(1 \leqslant u, v \leqslant \infty, r_{u, v}(n, m)\) is given by any of the three expressions:
(a) \(\sum_{\ell=1}^{m} g(m, \ell, v) r_{u, 1}(n, \ell)\),
(b) \(\sum_{k=1}^{n} g(n, k, u) r_{1, v}(k, m)\),
(c) \(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{\ell=1}^{m} g(n, k, u) g(m, \ell, v) r_{1,1}(k, \ell)\).

Proof: The proofs are all similar. For example, 3(c) follows from the bijection in Eq. (46) and the disjoint union in Eq. (44).

Corollary 4.3 Suppose one or both of \(u\) and \(v\) are \(\infty\). Then we have
\[
\begin{aligned}
& r_{\infty, 1}(n, m)=\sum_{k=1}^{n}\binom{n-1}{k-1}\binom{k+1}{m-k} C_{k}, \\
& r_{1, \infty}(n, m)=\sum_{\ell=1}^{m}\binom{m-1}{\ell-1}\binom{\ell+1}{n-\ell} C_{k} \\
& r_{\infty, \infty}(n, m)=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{\ell=1}^{m}\binom{n-1}{k-1}\binom{m-1}{\ell-1}\binom{k+1}{\ell-k} C_{k} .
\end{aligned}
\]

\subsection*{4.4 Generating Functions and Enumeration Algorithm}

We now find the generating functions \(\mathbf{R}_{u, v}(z, t)\) of the number sequences \(r_{u, v}(n, m)\) for \(1 \leqslant u, v \leqslant \infty\) (see Eqs. (38)-(40) for definitions and notations). Recall from Eqs. (28)-(29), we have
\[
\mathbf{R}_{1,1}(z, t)=\mathbf{R}(z, t)=\frac{1-\sqrt{1-4 z t(1+t)}}{2 z t}=(1+t) \mathbf{C}(z t(1+t))
\]
where
\[
\mathbf{C}(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_{n} z^{n}=\frac{1-\sqrt{1-4 z}}{2 z}
\]
is the generating function of the Catalan numbers.
Theorem 4.4 Let \(1 \leqslant u, v \leqslant \infty\). The generating function \(\mathbf{R}_{u, v}(z, t)\) for the number \(r_{u, v}(n, m)\) of RBWs in a Rota-Baxter algebra with one operator \(P\) with exponent \(u\) and one generator \(x\) with exponent \(v\) is given by:
(1) \(\mathbf{R}_{u, 1}(z, t)=\mathbf{R}_{1,1}\left(\mathbf{G}_{1, u}(z), t\right)\).
(2) \(\mathbf{R}_{1, v}(z, t)=\mathbf{R}_{1,1}\left(z, \mathbf{G}_{1, v}(t)\right)\).
(3) \(\mathbf{R}_{u, v}(z, t)=\mathbf{R}_{1,1}\left(\mathbf{G}_{1, u}(z), \mathbf{G}_{1, v}(t)\right)\).
where \(\mathbf{G}_{1, u}\) and \(\mathbf{G}_{1, v}\) are given by Eq. (41) (for finite \(u, v\) ) and by Eq. (43) (for infinite \(u, v\) ).

Proof: The proofs follow from Theorem 4.2 and Lemma 4.1. We just prove case (2):
\[
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{R}_{1, v}(z, t) & =\sum_{n, m \geqslant 1} r_{1, v}(n, m) z^{n} t^{m} \\
& =\sum_{n, m \geqslant 1} \sum_{\ell=1}^{m} g(m, \ell, v) r_{1,1}(n, \ell) z^{n} t^{m} \\
& =\sum_{m \geqslant 1}\left(\sum_{\ell=1}^{m} g(m, \ell, v)\left(\sum_{n \geqslant 1} r_{1,1}(n, \ell) z^{n}\right)\right) t^{m} \\
& =\sum_{\ell \geqslant 1}\left(\sum_{n \geqslant 1} r_{1,1}(n, \ell) z^{n}\right)\left(\mathbf{G}_{1, v}(t)\right)^{\ell} \\
& =\mathbf{R}_{1,1}\left(z, \mathbf{G}_{1, v}(t)\right) .
\end{aligned}
\]

Corollary 4.5 Suppose one or both of \(u, v\) are \(\infty\), then the generating function for \(r_{u, v}(n, m)\) is given by
\[
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{R}_{\infty, 1}(z, t) & =\mathbf{R}_{1,1}\left(\frac{z}{1-z}, t\right) \\
\mathbf{R}_{1, \infty}(z, t) & =\mathbf{R}_{1,1}\left(z, \frac{t}{1-t}\right) \\
\mathbf{R}_{\infty, \infty}(z, t) & =\mathbf{R}_{1,1}\left(\frac{z}{1-z}, \frac{t}{1-t}\right)
\end{aligned}
\]

We end this section with a brief description for an algorithm to enumerate the sets \(R_{u, v}(n, m)\). The details will be left out since by means of the disjoint union in Eq. (44) and the bijection in Eq. (46), this is fairly straight forward. We already have an algorithm (see Theorem 3.3) for the enumeration of \(R_{1,1}(k, \ell)\) for any positive \(k, \ell\). We need an algorithm to generate all the compositions of \(m\) in \(G(m, \ell, v)\), which would of course generate \(G(n, k, u)\), too. Now the set of compositions \(\vec{m}=\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{\ell}\right)\) of \(m\) into exactly \(\ell\) parts without restrictions on the parts can be enumerated by readily available, efficient, and well-known algorithms (see COMP_NEXT of SUBSET library in Nijenhuis and Wilf (1978) for example). Those compositions whose parts violate the restrictions \(m_{j} \leqslant v\) can be easily discarded by modifying the code.

\section*{5 The general case: multiple generators and operators}

In this section, we consider sets of RBWs with \(p\) unary operators \(P_{1}, \ldots, P_{p}\) and \(q\) generators \(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{q}\). Since our purpose here is enumeration, we will for now consider formal bracketed words with brackets \(\left\lfloor_{i}\right\rfloor_{i}\) corresponding to \(P_{i}\) \((1 \leqslant i \leqslant p)\) and ignore any other properties of the operators.

While it is possible to give a definition generalizing that of Definition 2.1, it is more straight-forward to generalize the grammar of Section 3. Since we do not need such details, we leave the specification of the grammar to the reader.

We adopt the convention that a vector quantity using the same symbol as the corresponding scalar quantity will have components with the same symbol but subscripted. For example, \(\vec{P}=\left(P_{1}, \ldots, P_{p}\right)\) and \(\vec{x}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{q}\right)\). The \(\vec{P}-\) exponent vector \(\vec{u}=\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{p}\right)\) will mean that the operator \(P_{i}\) has exponent \(u_{i}, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant p\), and this means that we only consider RBWs in which the number of consecutive applications of the operator \(P_{i}\) is bounded by \(u_{i}\) for each \(i\). We define \(P_{i}\)-runs (resp. \(x_{j}\)-runs) similarly to \(P\)-runs (resp. \(x\)-runs), treating each \(P_{i}\) (resp. \(x_{j}\) ) as single operator (resp. generator). We shall call a run of \(P\) 's (with whatever subscript) a \(\vec{P}\)-run, and similarly define an \(\vec{x}\)-run. Sets of RBWs are defined also with the parameters vectorized. For a numerical vector such as \(\vec{n}\), we let \(|\vec{n}|\) denote its norm, which is the sum of its components. Thus, without further explanation, \(|\vec{m}|\) will be the total \(\vec{x}\)-arity of a RBW \(w\) whose
\(\vec{x}\)-arity vector is \(\vec{m}\). As an example, when \(p=q=2\), the RBW
\[
\begin{align*}
w & =x_{1}^{3} x_{2}^{4} P_{1} P_{2}^{(3)}\left(x_{1} x_{2} P_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)\right)  \tag{47}\\
& =x_{1} x_{1} x_{1} x_{2} x_{2} x_{2} x_{2}\left\lfloor_{1}\left\lfloor_{2}\left\lfloor_{2}\left\lfloor_{2} x_{1} x_{2}\left\lfloor_{1} x_{1}\right\rfloor_{1}\right\rfloor_{2}\right\rfloor_{2}\right\rfloor_{2}\right\rfloor_{1}
\end{align*}
\]
has three \(x_{1}\)-runs of lengths 3,1 , and 1 ; two \(x_{2}\)-runs of lengths 4 and 1 ; three \(\vec{x}\)-runs of lengths 7,2 , and 1 ; two \(P_{1}\)-runs of lengths 1 and 1 ; one \(P_{2}\)-run of length 3 , and two \(\vec{P}\)-runs of lengths 4 and 1 . The \(\vec{P}\)-degree vector of \(w\) is (2,3), its \(\vec{P}\)-degree is 5 , its \(\vec{x}\)-degree vector is \((5,5)\), and its \(\vec{x}\)-arity is 10 .

For any given positive integers \(p, q\), and corresponding \(\vec{P}\)-exponent vector \(\vec{u}\), \(\vec{x}\)-exponent vector \(\vec{v}\), let \(R_{\vec{u}, \vec{v}}\) denote the set of RBWs with \(p\) operators and \(q\) generators with corresponding exponents vectors \(\vec{u}\) and \(\vec{v}\) respectively. The values \(p, q\) are implicitly given by the size of the vectors \(\vec{u}\) and \(\vec{v}\) respectively. In particular \(R_{\infty, \infty}\) is the set of all RBWs in the setting with one generator (of exponent \(\infty\) ) and one operator (also of exponent \(\infty\) ). Thus \(R_{\infty, \infty}\) is the canonical basis for \(\Psi^{\mathrm{N} C, 0}(\mathbf{k}[x])\) (see Example 2.2). Without further explanations, the notations established in Section 4.1 will be generalized to their vectorized versions in the obvious way. For example, \(R_{\vec{u}, \vec{v}}(n, m ; k, \ell)\) will denote the set of all RBWs of \(R_{\vec{u}, \vec{v}}\) with \(\vec{P}\)-degree \(n\) distributed into exactly \(k \vec{P}\)-runs, and \(\vec{x}\)-arity \(m\) distributed into exactly \(\ell \vec{x}\)-runs. Furthermore, the cardinality of this set is denoted by \(r_{\vec{u}, \vec{v}}(n, m ; k, \ell)\) and analogous to Eq. (44), we have the disjoint union:
\[
\begin{equation*}
R_{\vec{u}, \vec{v}}(n, m)=\coprod_{k=1}^{n} \coprod_{\ell=1}^{m} R_{\vec{u}, \vec{v}}(n, m ; k, \ell) . \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
\]

\subsection*{5.1 The forgetful maps and coloring}

We define another family of maps to relate the general case to the single operator and single generator case we have solved. Basically, the forgetful maps removes all the subscripts on the operators and generators. By composing the forgetful maps with the collapsing maps, we further relate the general case with the case when the single operator and single generator have exponent 1. These maps capture the structure of runs of RBWs in the general case. We now set up the notations to make these precise.

We define the "forgetful" maps:
\[
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{\vec{u}, \vec{v}, n, m}: R_{\vec{u}, \vec{v}}(n, m ; k, \ell) \longrightarrow R_{\infty, \infty}(n, m ; k, \ell) \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
\]
by defining \(\Phi_{\vec{u}, \vec{v}, n, m}(w)\), for each \(w \in R_{\vec{u}, \vec{v}}(n, m ; k, \ell)\), to be the RBW \(w_{\infty}\) in \(R_{\infty, \infty}\) when every \(P_{i}=\left\lfloor_{i}\right\rfloor_{i}, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant p\) that appears in \(w\) is replaced by \(P=\lfloor \rfloor\) and every \(x_{j}, 1 \leqslant j \leqslant q\) that appears in \(w\) is replaced by \(x\). For example, for the word \(w\) in Eq. (47), we have
\[
\Phi_{\vec{u}, \vec{v}, n, m}(w)=x^{7} P^{(4)}\left(x^{2} P(x)\right)=x^{7}\left\lfloor x^{2}\lfloor x\rfloor\right\rfloor^{(4)} .
\]

The map \(\Phi_{\vec{u}, \vec{v}, n, m}\) is clearly surjective and depends on \(p, q, \vec{u}, \vec{v}, n, m, k, \ell\), but for notational brevity, we will not explicitly mention \(p, q, k\), and \(\ell\). Again, by abuse of language and the disjoint unions in Eq. (48) and Eq. (44), we may extend \(\Phi_{\vec{u}, \vec{v}, n, m}\) uniquely to a surjection:
\[
\Phi_{\vec{u}, \vec{v}, n, m}: R_{\vec{u}, \vec{v}}(n, m) \longrightarrow R_{\infty, \infty}(n, m)
\]

It is convenient to refer to the RBW \(w_{\infty}=\Phi_{\vec{u}, \vec{v}, n, m}(w)\) as the monochrome image of \(w\) and say \(w\) is obtained from \(w_{\infty}\) by coloring. A special case of particular importance is the following.

The set of RBWs \(w \in R_{\vec{u}, \vec{v}}(n, m)\) whose monochrome image is the RBW \(w_{\infty}=\) \(x^{b} \in R_{\infty, \infty}(n, m)\) for some fixed positive integer \(b \leqslant m\) is in bijection with the set \(C(b, q, \vec{v})\) of colorings of \(b\) identical objects in a row using up to \(q\) colors, say colors \(1,2, \ldots, q\), repetitions allowed, so that for \(1 \leqslant j \leqslant q\), any run of color \(j\) has length no longer than \(v_{j}\). Explicitly, we define
\[
\sigma=\sigma_{b, q, \vec{v}}:\left(\Phi_{\vec{u}, \vec{v}, n, m}\right)^{-1}\left(x^{b}\right) \longrightarrow C(b, q, \vec{v})
\]
as follows. For each \(w \in\left(\Phi_{\vec{u}, \vec{v}, n, m}\right)^{-1}\left(x^{b}\right)\) we write \(w\) in the form \(x_{j_{1}}^{b_{1}} \cdots x_{j_{\beta}}^{b_{\beta}}\) for some positive integer \(\beta\), with \(1 \leqslant \beta \leqslant b\), and where \(1 \leqslant j_{d} \leqslant q\) and \(1 \leqslant b_{d} \leqslant v_{j_{d}}\) for \(1 \leqslant d \leqslant \beta\), and for each \(d<\beta, j_{d} \neq j_{d+1}\); furthermore, \(b_{1}+\cdots+b_{\beta}=b\) represents a composition of \(b\) into \(\beta\) parts where the \(d\)-th part is no larger than \(v_{j_{d}}\). Then we can define a coloring \(\sigma(w)\) of the \(b\) objects using color \(j_{1}\) for the first \(b_{1}\) objects, then color \(j_{2}\) for the next \(b_{2}\) objects, etc. Clearly, \(\sigma(w) \in C(b, q, \vec{v})\) and \(\sigma\) is a bijection. The number of such colorings is denoted by \(c(b, q, \vec{v})\).

Similarly, we have a bijection for operators:
\[
\pi=\pi_{a, p, \vec{u}}:\left(\Phi_{\vec{u}, \vec{v}, n, m}\right)^{-1}\left(P^{a}\right) \longrightarrow C(a, p, \vec{u}) .
\]

More generally, these bijections can be applied to each \(x\)-run of generators as well as to each \(P\)-run of operators that appears in a RBW \(w_{\infty} \in R_{\infty, \infty}(n, m ; k, \ell)\). Let \(\left(w_{1}, \vec{n}, \vec{m}\right)\) be the triplet corresponding to \(w_{\infty}\) according to the bijection from Eq. (46) so that \(w_{\infty}\) collapses to \(w_{1}\) (that is, \(\left.\Psi_{\infty, \infty, n, m}\left(w_{\infty}\right)=w_{1}\right)\). We can clearly define a bijection
\[
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{w_{\infty}}:\left(\Phi_{\vec{u}, \vec{v}, n, m}\right)^{-1}\left(w_{\infty}\right) \longleftrightarrow\left(\prod_{i=1}^{k} C\left(n_{i}, p, \vec{u}\right)\right) \times\left(\prod_{j=1}^{\ell} C\left(m_{j}, q, \vec{v}\right)\right) \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
\]
since for every \(w \in\left(\Phi_{\vec{u}, \vec{v}, n, m}\right)^{-1}\left(w_{\infty}\right)\), \(w\) has exactly \(k \vec{P}\)-runs of lengths \(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k}\), providing the colorings via the maps \(\pi_{n_{i}, p, \vec{u}}\) for the corresponding \(P\)-runs in the monochromatic image \(w_{\infty}\) and similarly \(w\) has exactly \(\ell\) \(\vec{x}\)-runs of lengths \(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{\ell}\).

By Eq. (46), as \(w_{\infty}\) runs through the set \(R_{\infty, \infty}(n, m ; k, \ell)\), its triplet \(\left(w_{1}, \vec{n}, \vec{m}\right)\) runs through \(R_{1,1}(k, \ell) \times G(n, k, \infty) \times G(m, \ell, \infty)\). Thus we have a bijection:
\[
\begin{align*}
& \rho:\left(\Phi_{\vec{u}, \vec{v}, n, m}\right)^{-1}\left(R_{\infty, \infty}(n, m ; k, \ell)\right) \longleftrightarrow \\
& R_{1,1}(k, \ell) \times \coprod_{\vec{n} \in G(n, k, \infty)}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{k} C\left(n_{i}, p, \vec{u}\right)\right) \times \coprod_{\vec{m} \in G(m, \ell, \infty)}\left(\prod_{j=1}^{\ell} C\left(m_{j}, q, \vec{v}\right)\right) \tag{51}
\end{align*}
\]

We gather below two results on coloring.
Lemma 5.1 Let \(\vec{v}=(\nu, \ldots, \nu)\) be a vector with \(q\) identical coordinates where \(\nu\) is either an integer \(\geqslant 1\) or \(\infty\). Then
\[
c(b, q, \vec{v})= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } q=1  \tag{52}\\ \sum_{\beta=1}^{b} g(b, \beta, \nu) q(q-1)^{\beta-1} & \text { if } q \geqslant 2\end{cases}
\]

If \(\nu\) is infinite, then this simplifies to:
\[
\begin{equation*}
c(b, q, \vec{\infty})=q^{b} \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
\]

Proof: The case when \(q=1\) is obvious. Suppose \(q \geqslant 2\) and \(\nu\) is finite (resp. infinite). The number \(\beta\) of same color runs in a coloring of \(b\) objects can be at most \(b\). For each composition \(b_{1}+\cdot+b_{\beta}=b\) in \(G(b, \beta, \nu)\), the run-lengths \(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{\beta}\), which are uniformly bounded by \(\nu\) (resp. unbounded), are fixed (therefore, the locations of the \(\beta\) runs are also fixed). The uniform bound (resp. unboundedness) allows any run be assigned any color, except that adjacent runs must have different colors. Thus there are \(q(q-1)^{\beta-1}\) ways to choose the colors. Any two such colorings with distinct parameters are distinct. This proves the first statement.

Now suppose \(\nu\) is infinite. Then we can color any of the \(b\) objects with any of the \(q\) colors and there are \(q^{b}\) ways. Alternatively, the second statement also follows from the first using Eq. (42) and the Binomial Theorem.

Corollary 5.2 Let \(\vec{v}=(\nu, \ldots, \nu)\) be the same as in Lemma 5.1. Then the generating series for \(c(b, q, \vec{v})\) for fixed \(q\) and \(\vec{v}\) is given by
\[
\mathbf{C}_{q, \vec{v}}(t)= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{1-t} & \text { if } q=1 \\ \frac{q \mathbf{G}_{1, \nu}(t)}{1-(q-1) \mathbf{G}_{1, \nu}(t)} & \text { if } q \geqslant 2\end{cases}
\]

If \(\nu=\infty\), then this simplifies to
\[
\mathbf{C}_{q, \vec{\infty}}(t)=\frac{q t}{1-q t} .
\]

Proof: The case \(q=1\) is clear. Suppose \(q \geqslant 2\). Then the generating function is
\[
\mathbf{C}_{q, \vec{v}}(t)=\sum_{b=1}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{\beta=1}^{b} g(b, \beta, \nu) q(q-1)^{\beta-1}\right) t^{b}
\]
which, by Lemma 4.1 applied to
\[
\mathbf{F}(t)=\sum_{\beta=1}^{\infty} q(q-1)^{\beta-1} t^{\beta}=\frac{q t}{1-(q-1) t}
\]
is \(\mathbf{F}\left(\mathbf{G}_{1, \nu}(t)\right)\), as required. The \(\nu=\infty\) case is trivial from Eq. (53) and Eq. (43).

We say the operators (resp. generators) have uniform exponents \(\mu\) (resp. \(\nu\) ) if all the coordinates of the \(\vec{P}\)-exponent (resp. \(\vec{x}\)-exponent) vector \(u\) (resp. \(v\) ) are equal to \(\mu\) (resp. \(\nu\) ), in which case we write \(\vec{u}=\vec{\mu}\) (resp. \(\vec{v}=\vec{\nu}\) ). In the next two subsections, we consider the infinite exponent case, followed by the finite uniform exponent case.

\subsection*{5.2 Uniform exponents: Infinite cases}

Theorem 5.3 Let \(R_{\vec{u}, \vec{v}}\) be the set of Rota-Baxter words with \(p\) operators \(\vec{P}\) and \(q\) generators \(\vec{x}\), with corresponding uniform exponent vectors \(\vec{u}\) and \(\vec{v}\) respectively. Then for any positive integers \(n, m\), we have
(1) \(r_{\overrightarrow{1}, \vec{\infty}}(n, m)=q^{m} r_{1, \infty}(n, m)\),
(2) \(r_{\vec{\infty}, \overrightarrow{1}}(n, m)=p^{n} r_{\infty, 1}(n, m)\),
(3) \(r_{\vec{\infty}, \vec{\infty}}(n, m)=p^{n} q^{m} r_{\infty, \infty}(n, m)\),
where \(r_{1, \infty}(n, m), r_{\infty, 1}(n, m)\), and \(r_{\infty, \infty}(n, m)\) are given by Corollary 4.3.

Proof: We will prove only (3). Let \(w_{\infty} \in R_{\infty, \infty}(n, m ; k, \ell)\). Each element \(w \in R_{\vec{\infty}, \vec{\infty}}(n, m ; k, \ell)\) whose monochromatic image is \(w_{\infty}\) is obtained from \(w_{\infty}\) by coloring the \(P\)-runs and \(x\)-runs in \(w_{\infty}\). Since the number of mappings from \(Z_{n}\) to \(Z_{p}\) is \(p^{n}\) and the number of mappings from \(Z_{m}\) to \(Z_{q}\) is \(q^{m}\), the result follows. Alternatively, this theorem also follows by summing over all \(k\) and \(\ell\) the cardinalities from Eq. (51) and using Corollary 4.3.

Corollary 5.4 The generating functions for the double sequences \(r_{\overrightarrow{1}, \vec{\infty}}(n, m)\), \(r_{\vec{\infty}, \vec{i}}(n, m)\), and \(r_{\vec{\infty}, \vec{\infty}}(n, m)\) are, respectively,
(1) \(\mathbf{R}_{\overrightarrow{1}, \vec{\infty}}(z, t)=\mathbf{R}_{1,1}\left(z, \frac{q t}{1-q t}\right)\),
(2) \(\mathbf{R}_{\vec{\infty}, \overrightarrow{1}}(z, t)=\mathbf{R}_{1,1}\left(\frac{p z}{1-p z}, t\right)\), and
(3) \(\mathbf{R}_{\vec{\infty}, \vec{\infty}}(z, t)=\mathbf{R}_{1,1}\left(\frac{p z}{1-p z}, \frac{q t}{1-q t}\right)\).

Proof: By the above theorem and Corollary 4.5, for case (3), we have
\[
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{R}_{\vec{\infty}, \vec{\infty}}(z, t) & =\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} p^{n} q^{m} r_{\infty, \infty}(n, m) z^{n} t^{m} \\
& =\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} r_{\infty, \infty}(n, m)(p z)^{n}(q t)^{m} \\
& =\mathbf{R}_{1,1}\left(\frac{p z}{1-p z}, \frac{q t}{1-q t}\right) .
\end{aligned}
\]

\subsection*{5.3 Uniform exponents: general case}

Theorem 5.5 Let \(R_{\vec{u}, \vec{v}}\) be the set of Rota-Baxter words with \(p\) operators \(\vec{P}\) having uniform exponent \(\mu\), and \(q\) generators \(\vec{x}\) having uniform exponent \(\nu\), where \(\mu\) (resp. \(\nu\) ) may be finite or infinite. Then the generating function for \(r_{\vec{u}, \vec{v}}(n, m)\) is
\[
\mathbf{R}_{\vec{u}, \vec{v}}(z, t)=\mathbf{R}_{1,1}\left(\frac{p \mathbf{G}_{1, \mu}(z)}{1-(p-1) \mathbf{G}_{1, \mu}(z)}, \frac{q \mathbf{G}_{1, \nu}(t)}{1-(q-1) \mathbf{G}_{1, \nu}(t)}\right) .
\]

Proof: The special cases when either \(p=1\), or \(q=1\), or both \(p=q=1\) specialize to corresponding cases of Theorem 4.4. Thus we shall assume \(p \geqslant 2\) and \(q \geqslant 2\). Some special cases when \(\mu\) and/or \(\nu\) are infinite are included in Corollaries 4.5 and 5.4.

Consider the forgetful map \(\Phi_{\vec{u}, \vec{v}, n, m}\) of Eq. (49), which is surjective:
\[
\Phi_{\vec{u}, \vec{v}, n, m}: R_{\vec{u}, \vec{v}}(n, m ; k, \ell) \longrightarrow R_{\infty, \infty}(n, m ; k, \ell)
\]

We have obviously \(R_{\vec{u}, \vec{v}}(n, m ; k, \ell)=\left(\Phi_{\vec{u}, \vec{v}, n, m}\right)^{-1}\left(R_{\infty, \infty}(n, m ; k, \ell)\right)\), and using the bijection from Eq. (51), we have
\[
\begin{aligned}
& r_{\vec{u}, \vec{v}}(n, m ; k, \ell) \\
= & r_{1,1}(k, \ell)\left(\sum_{\vec{n} \in G(n, k, \infty)} \prod_{i=1}^{k} c\left(n_{i}, p, \vec{u}\right)\right)\left(\sum_{\vec{m} \in G(m, \ell, \infty)} \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} c\left(m_{j}, q, \vec{v}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
\]

Recalling Eq. (44), we now calculate the generating function.
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{R}_{\vec{u}, \vec{v}}(z, t)=\sum_{m \geqslant 1} \sum_{n \geqslant 1} r_{\vec{u}, \vec{v}}(n, m) z^{n} t^{m} \\
= & \sum_{m \geqslant 1} \sum_{n \geqslant 1} \sum_{k \geqslant 1} \sum_{\ell \geqslant 1} r_{1,1}(k, \ell)\left(\sum_{\vec{n} \in G(n, k, \infty)} \prod_{i=1}^{k} c\left(n_{i}, p, \vec{u}\right) z^{n}\right)\left(\sum_{\vec{m} \in G(m, \ell, \infty)} \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} c\left(m_{j}, q, \vec{v}\right) t^{m}\right) \\
= & \sum_{k \geqslant 1} \sum_{\ell \geqslant 1} r_{1,1}(k, \ell)\left(\sum_{n \geqslant 1} \sum_{\vec{n} \in G(n, k, \infty)} \prod_{i=1}^{k} c\left(n_{i}, p, \vec{u}\right) z^{n}\right)\left(\sum_{m \geqslant 1} \sum_{\vec{m} \in G(m, \ell, \infty)} \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} c\left(m_{j}, q, \vec{v}\right) t^{m}\right)
\end{aligned}
\]

Using Lemma 5.1 and Corollary 5.2, working on the last parenthesized expression, we have
\[
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{m \geqslant 1}\left[\sum_{\vec{m} \in G(m, \ell, \infty)} \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} c\left(m_{j}, q, \vec{v}\right)\right] t^{m} & =\sum_{m \geqslant 1}\left[\sum_{\vec{m} \in G(m, \ell, \infty)} \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} c\left(m_{j}, q, \vec{v}\right) t^{m_{j}}\right] \\
& =\left(\sum_{b \geqslant 1} c(b, q, \vec{v}) t^{b}\right)^{\ell} \\
& =\left(\mathbf{C}_{q, \vec{v}}(t)\right)^{\ell} \\
& =\left(\frac{q \mathbf{G}_{1, \nu}(t)}{1-(q-1) \mathbf{G}_{1, \nu}(t)}\right)^{\ell}
\end{aligned}
\]

The result follows from the definition of \(\mathbf{R}_{1,1}(z, t)\).

\section*{6 Conclusion and outlook}

We have obtained generating functions and algorithms related to enumeration of certain sets of Rota-Baxter words in various generalities. It is interesting to see the close relation with Catalan numbers, further revealing the combinatorial nature of Rota-Baxter algebras in cases where the sets form canonical bases.

The cases we have considered allow multiple operators and multiple generators with uniform exponents. The case where the operators and generators are allowed to have variable exponents seems approachable and is currently under investigation, together with finer combinatorial properties of the cases treated
here. We expect these results to be useful in the construction of more general Rota-Baxter algebras.
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