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# On the structure of Selmer groups 

by

## V.A. Kolyvagin

The paper contains some applications of explicit cohomology classes (which the author has constructed earlier using Heegner points) to the theory of Selmer groups of a modular elliptic curve. Moreover some generalizations of Selmer groups are considered.

The case when the Heegner point over the imaginary-quadratic field has infinite order was studied in the work [1]. In fact, the theory of [1] is valid under a more general assumption which is, hypothetically, always true and discussed below.

For the convenience of the reader, we recall in part 1 the definitions of the Selmer groups and of our explicit cohomology classes, and formulate some of our results. The second part is essentially based on the work [1] and requires some familiarity with it. The second part contains proofs of results for $\ell \in B(E)$ (see below for notations), formulations of corresponding results for $\ell \notin \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{E})$, and some global consequences of these results.

## 1. Selmer groups and explicit cohomology classes.

Let $E$ be an elliptic curve over the field of rational numbers $\mathbb{Q}$. For an arbitrary abelian group $A$ and a natural number $M$ we let $A_{M}$ denote the maximal M-torsion subgroup of $A$. We use the abbreviation $A / M=A / M A$. Let $\quad E_{M}=E(\mathbb{Q})_{M}$. If $R$ is some extension of $Q$, then the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{M}} \rightarrow \mathrm{E}(\mathrm{R}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{E}(\mathrm{R}) \longrightarrow 0$ induces
the exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \mathrm{E}(\mathrm{R}) / \mathrm{M} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\mathrm{R}, \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{M}}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}(\mathrm{R}, \mathrm{E})_{M} \rightarrow 0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $L / R$ is a Galois extension, then $G(L / R)$ denotes its Galois group, $H^{1}(R, A):=$ $H^{1}(G(\bar{R} / R), A)$ for a $G(R / R)$-module $A, H^{1}(R, E):=H^{1}(R, E(\bar{R}))$.

Now let $R$ be a finite extension of $Q$. For a place $v$ of $R$, we let $R(v)$ denote the corresponding completion of $R$, for $x \in H^{1}\left(R, E_{M}\right), x(v)$ denotes its natural image in $H^{1}\left(R(v), E_{M}\right)$. The Selmer group $S\left(R, E_{M}\right) \subset H^{1}\left(R, E_{M}\right)$, by definition, consists of all elements $x$ such that for all places $v$ of $R, x(v) \in E(R(v)) / M$. We recall that the Shafarevich-Tate group $\amalg(R, E)$ is $\operatorname{ker}\left(H^{1}(R, E) \longrightarrow \prod_{\nu} H^{1}(R(\nu), E)\right)$, so (1) induces the exact sequence:

$$
0 \rightarrow E(R) / M \rightarrow S\left(R, E_{M}\right) \rightarrow \Perp(R, E)_{M} \rightarrow 0
$$

By the weak Mordell-Weil theorem, the Selmer group $S\left(K, E_{M}\right)$ is finite, by the Mordell-Weil theorem, $E(R) \simeq F \times \mathbb{Z}^{\text {rank } E(R)}$, where $F \simeq E(R)_{\text {tor }}$ is finite, $0 \leq \operatorname{rank} \mathrm{E}(\mathrm{R}) \in \mathbb{I}$.

It is conjectured that $\amalg(\mathrm{R}, \mathrm{E})$ is finite. Only recently Rubin and the author proved this conjecture in some cases. I shall give some examples below.

We suppose further that E is modular. Let N be the conductor of E , $\gamma: \mathrm{X}_{0}(\mathrm{~N}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{E}$ be a modular parametrization. Here $\mathrm{X}_{0}(\mathrm{~N})$ is the modular curve over Q which parametrizes isomorphism classes of isogenies of elliptic curves with cyclic kernel of order N . We note that, according to the Taniyama-Shimura-Weil conjecture, every elliptic curve over $Q$ is modular.

We now define explicit cohomology classes, we start from the definition of Heegner points. Let $K=\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{D})$ be a field of discriminant $D$ such that $0>D \equiv \square(\bmod 4 N)$, $D \neq-3,-4$. We fix an ideal $i_{1}$ of the ring of integers $O_{1}$ of $K$ such that $O_{1} / i_{1} \simeq \mathbb{Z} / N \mathbb{I}$ (such an ideal exists because of the conditions on $D$ ). If $\lambda \in \mathbb{A}$, let $K_{\lambda}$ be the ring class field of $K$ of conductor $\lambda$. It is a finite abelian extension of $K$. In particular, $K_{1}$ is the maximal abelian unramified extension of K . If $(\lambda, N)=1$, we let $\mathrm{O}_{\lambda}=\mathbb{I I}+\lambda \mathrm{O}_{1}$, $i_{\lambda}=i_{1} \cap O_{\lambda}, z_{\lambda}$ will be the point of $X_{0}(N)$ rational over $K_{\lambda}$ corresponding to the class of the isogeny $\mathbb{C} / \mathrm{O}_{\lambda} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} / \mathrm{i}_{\lambda}^{-1}$ (here $\mathrm{i}_{\lambda}^{-1}$ ว $\mathrm{O}_{\lambda}$ is the inverse of $\mathrm{i}_{\lambda}$ in the group of proper $O_{\lambda}$-ideals). We set $y_{\lambda}=\gamma\left(z_{\lambda}\right) \in E\left(K_{\lambda}\right), P_{1} \in E(K)$ is the norm of $y_{1}$ from $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ to K . The points $\mathrm{y}_{\lambda}, \mathrm{P}_{1}$ are called Heegner points.

Let $O$ be $\operatorname{End}(E), Q=0 \otimes Q$. Let $\ell$ be a rational prime, $T=\lim _{\ell^{n}}$ be the Tate-module and $\hat{O}=O \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}$. We let $B(E)$ denote the set of odd rational primes which do not divide the discriminant of 0 and for which the natural representation $\rho: G(\bar{Q} / Q) \longrightarrow$ Aut ${ }_{\hat{O}} \mathrm{~T}$ is surjective. It is known (from the theory of complex multiplication and Serre's theory, resp.) that almost all (all but a finite number of) primes belong to $B(E)$. For example, if $O=\mathbb{I}$ and $N$ is squarefree, then $\ell \geq 11$ belongs to $B(E)$ according to a theorem of Mazur.

In my paper "Euler systems" I proved that rank $E(K)=1$ and $山(\mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{E})$ is finite when $P_{1}$ has infinite order. Then, in the paper "On the structure of Shafarevich-Tate groups" I determined the structure of $\prod_{\ell^{\infty}}$ for $\ell \in B(E)$, under the same condition. Moreover, our explicit cohomology classes give information on the structure of $S\left(\mathrm{~K}_{\ell} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}}\right.$ ) under some more general condition (which, hypothetically, always holds). It will be discussed later, now we continue with the definition of the cohomology classes.

We fix a prime $\ell \in B(E)$. Further in the paper we use the notation $p$ or $p_{k}$, where $k \in \mathbb{N}$, only for rational primes which do not divide $N$, remain prime in $K$ and satisfy $n(p):=\operatorname{ord}_{\ell}\left(p+1, a_{p}\right)>1$, where $a_{p}=p+1-[\tilde{E}(\mathbb{Z} / p)], \tilde{E}$ is the reduction of $E$
modulo $p$. For natural $r$ we let $\Lambda^{\mathrm{r}}=\left\{\mathrm{p}_{1} \ldots \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{r}}\right\}$ denote the set of all products of r distinct such primes. The set $\Lambda^{0}$, by definition, consists only of $p_{0}:=1$. We let $\Lambda=\underset{\mathrm{r} \geq 0}{\mathrm{U}} \Lambda^{\mathrm{r}}$. If $\mathrm{r}>0, \lambda \in \Lambda^{\mathrm{r}}$, we let $\mathrm{n}(\lambda)=\underset{\mathrm{p} \mid \lambda}{\min } \mathrm{n}(\mathrm{p}), \mathrm{n}\left(\mathrm{p}_{0}\right):=\infty$.

The set $T$ of explicit cohomology classes consists of $\tau_{\lambda, \mathrm{n}} \in \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{M}}\right)$, where $\lambda$ runs through $\Lambda, 1 \leq n \leq n(\lambda), M=\ell^{n}$. To define these note that the condition $\ell \in B(E)$ implies the triviality of $E\left(K_{\lambda_{p}}\right)^{\infty}$. So, by a spectral sequence, the restriction homomorphism res : $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{M}}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\mathrm{~K}_{\lambda}, \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{M}}\right)^{\mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{K}_{\lambda} / \mathrm{K}\right)}$ is an isomorphism and $\tau_{\lambda, \mathrm{n}}$ is uniquely defined by the value $\operatorname{res}\left(\tau_{\lambda, \mathrm{n}}\right)$ which we will now exhibit.

We need more notations. We use standard facts on ring class fields. If $1<\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$, then the natural homomorphism $G\left(K_{\lambda} / K_{1}\right) \rightarrow \prod_{p \mid \lambda} G\left(K_{p} / K_{1}\right)$ is an isomorphism and we also have $G\left(K_{\lambda} / K_{\lambda / p}\right) \rightarrow G\left(K_{p} / K_{1}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{I} /(p+1)$.

For each $p$, fix a generator $t_{p} \in G\left(K_{p} / K_{1}\right)$ and let $t_{p}$ also denote the corresponding generator of $G\left(K_{\lambda} / K_{\lambda / p}\right)$. Let $I_{p}=-\sum_{j=1}^{p} j t{ }_{p}^{j}, I_{\lambda}=\prod_{p \mid \lambda} I_{p} \in \mathbb{Z}\left[G\left(K_{\lambda} / K_{1}\right)\right]$. Let $\mathbb{K}$ be the composite of $K_{\lambda^{\prime}}$ when $\lambda^{\prime}$ runs through the set $\Lambda$. We let $J_{\lambda}=\Sigma \overline{\mathrm{g}}$, where $g$ runs through a fixed set of representatives of $G(\mathbb{K} / K)$ modulo $G\left(\mathbb{K} / K_{1}\right), \overline{\mathbf{g}}$ is the restriction of $g$ to $K_{\lambda}$, so $\{\bar{g}\}$ is a set of representatives of $G\left(K_{\lambda} / K\right)$ modulo $\mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{K}_{\lambda} / \mathrm{K}_{1}\right)$. Let $\mathrm{P}_{\lambda}=\mathrm{J}_{\lambda} \mathrm{I}_{\lambda} \mathrm{y}_{\lambda} \in \mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{K}_{\lambda}\right)$. Then

$$
\operatorname{res}\left(\tau_{\lambda, \mathrm{n}}\right)=\mathrm{P}_{\lambda}\left(\bmod \operatorname{ME}\left(\mathrm{K}_{\lambda}\right)\right)
$$

Now we formulate some of our results on the invariants of $\mathrm{S}\left(\mathrm{K}, \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{M}}\right)$, see theorems 2, 3 of the second part for more general statements.

There is a bijective correspondence between the set of isomorphism classes of finite abelian $\ell$-groups and the set of sequences of nonnegative integers $\left\{n_{i}\right\}$ such that $i \geq 1$,
$n_{i} \geq n_{i+1}, \quad n_{i}=0$ for all sufficiently large $i$. Concretely, $\quad\left\{n_{i}\right\} \longmapsto$ class of $\sum_{i} \pi / \ell^{n_{i}}$. For a group $A$ we let $\operatorname{Inv}(A)$ denote the sequence of invariants of class $A$, we call it the sequence of invariants of $A$.

Let $L(E, s)$ be the canonical L-function of $E$ over $Q, \quad g=\operatorname{ord}_{s=1} L(E, s)$, $\epsilon=(-1)^{g-1}$.

If $G$ is a group of order 2 with generator $\sigma$ and $A$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}[G]$-module, then for $\nu \in\{0,1\}$ we let $A^{\nu}$ denote the submodule $\left(1-(-1)^{\nu} \epsilon \sigma\right) A$. Then $A$ is the direct sum of $\mathrm{A}^{0}$ and $\mathrm{A}^{1}$ and $\sigma$ acts on $\mathrm{A}^{\nu}$ via multiplication by $(-1)^{\nu-1} \epsilon$.

Let $S_{M}=S\left(K, E_{M}\right), G=G(K / \mathbb{Q})$. We are interested in the sequence $\operatorname{Inv}\left(S_{M}^{\nu}\right)$. For the formulation of the results we need some more notations.

Let $\mathrm{m}^{\prime}(\lambda)$ be the maximal positive integers such that $\mathrm{P}_{\lambda} \in \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{m}^{\prime}(\lambda)} \mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{K}_{\lambda}\right)$. We let $m(\lambda)=m^{\prime}(\lambda)$ if $m^{\prime}(\lambda)<n(\lambda), m(\lambda)=\infty$ otherwise. Let $m_{r}=\min m(\lambda)$ when $\lambda$ runs through $\Lambda^{r}$. In particular, $\ell^{m_{0}}$ is the maximal power of $\ell$ which divides $P_{1}$, so $\mathrm{m}_{0}<\infty \Leftrightarrow \mathrm{P}_{1}$ has infinite order. Let $\mathrm{m}=\underset{\mathrm{r} \geq 0}{\min } \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{r}}$.

The condition $m<\infty$ is equivalent to the condition $T \neq\{0\}$. It is the generalization of the condition that $P_{1}$ has infinite order.

Conjecture A. $\mathrm{T} \neq\{0\}$

Assume for the following that conjecture $A$ is true for $K$. Let $f$ be the minimal $r$ such that $m_{r}<\infty$. In particular, $f=0 \Leftrightarrow P_{1}$ has infinite order.

We let $(r)=1$ if $r$ is odd, $(r)=0$ if $r$ is even. We have

Theorem B. The inequality $m_{r} \geq m_{r+1}$ holds for $r \geq 0$. Let $n>m_{f}, c=f+\nu$, where $\nu \in\{0,1\}$ as usual. Then

$$
\operatorname{Inv}\left(S_{M}^{(c)}\right)=
$$

$\underbrace{\cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots}_{\text {c values }} m_{c}^{-m_{c+1}}, m_{c}-m_{c+1}, \ldots, m_{c+2 k}-m_{c+2 k+1}, m_{c+2 k}-m_{c+2 k+1}, \ldots$
where $k=0,1, \ldots$ Moreover, $\underbrace{\ldots \ldots \ldots .}_{\text {c values }}=n, \ldots, n$ if $\nu=1$.
For further results on the ordinary Selmer groups see the section 2 after the proof of theorem 3.

## 2. An application of the theory [1].

We use the notations and definitions from [1] with those already defined here.

First we note that all wordings and proofs in the basic text of [1] (§ 1-4) remain valid in the following situation provided one changes notations as is to be explained. We can use instead of the condition $m(1)<\infty$ (or, equivalently, that the Heegner point $P_{1}$ has infinite order) the weaker condition that there exists $\lambda_{0} \in \Lambda^{\mathbf{u}}$, where $u \geq 0$, such that $2 \mathrm{~m}\left(\lambda_{0}\right)<\mathrm{n}\left(\lambda_{0}\right)$. Then we let $\mathrm{p}_{0}$ be some such $\lambda_{0}$, to be fixed throughout, and redefine $\Lambda^{\mathrm{r}}$ to be set of products of the form $\mathrm{p}_{0} \mathrm{p}_{1} \ldots \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{r}}$ with distinct primes $\mathrm{p}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{r}}$ that do not divide $\mathrm{p}_{0}$. We let $\mathrm{A}^{\nu}$ denote $\left(1-(-1)^{\nu+\mathrm{u}} \epsilon \sigma\right) \mathrm{A}$, where $\nu=0$ or 1 , as usual. Then consider $X=S_{p_{0}, p_{0}, n\left(p_{0}\right)-m\left(p_{0}\right)} /\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\ell} \tau_{p_{0}, n\left(p_{0}\right)}\right)$ (see §2 of [1] for the definition of $S_{\lambda, \delta, n}$ ). In the case $p_{0}=1, S_{1,1, \infty}=\underset{\longrightarrow}{\lim } S_{1,1, n}$ and $S_{1,1, n}=$
$S_{1, n}=S_{M}$ is the ordinary Selmer group of $E$ over $K$ of level $M=\ell^{n}$.
The notations $n, n^{\prime}, n^{\prime \prime}$ are used only for natural numbers $\leq n\left(p_{0}\right)$. Of course, the definitions in [1] must now be adapted to these new notations; for example, $m_{r}=m_{r}\left(p_{0}\right)$. Instead of the group $S_{1, n}$ the group $S_{p_{0}, p_{0}, n}$ must be used.

In the sequence (24) the group $(\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{K}) / \mathrm{M})^{\boldsymbol{\nu}}$ must be replaced by the group I/ $/ \mathrm{M}^{\prime}{ }^{\boldsymbol{r}} \mathrm{p}_{0}, \mathrm{n}^{\prime}$, where $\mathrm{n}^{\prime}=\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{m}_{0}$. To use (38) with the isomorphism $\beta_{3}^{\nu}$ it is necessary to require that $3 \mathrm{~m}\left(\mathrm{p}_{0}\right)<\mathrm{n}\left(\mathrm{p}_{0}\right)$. When $\mathrm{p}_{0}=1$ we return to the original setup.

Now generalize this further: We fix $p_{0}$ for which we require only that the sequence $\left\{m_{r}\right\}$ becomes eventually finite, $m_{r}<\infty$ for some $r \geq 0$. Or, equivalently, we require that $\left\{\tau_{\lambda, \mathrm{n}}\right\} \neq\{0\}$ ( $\lambda$ runs through the set $\Lambda$ ). Then we let $f$ denote the minimal r such that $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{r}}<\infty$ and if $\mathrm{p}_{0}>1$ we require moreover that $\theta \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{f}}<\mathrm{m}\left(\mathrm{p}_{0}\right)$, where $\theta=2$ or 3 (as may be needed).

If $A$ is a finite $\mathbb{I}_{\ell}$-module, then, for $j \geq 1,\left\{\operatorname{inv}_{j}(A)\right\}$ denotes the sequence of invariants of $A$ (see section 1 above). Finally (i) denotes the representative of $i(\bmod 2)$ in the set $\{0,1\}$.

The following is a generalization of theorem 1:

Theorem 2. Let $r>f, n>m_{f}, n^{\prime}=n+m_{f}$. Then the set $n_{n}$, is nonempty. Moreover, for all $\omega \in \Omega_{n^{\prime}}^{r-1}$, there exists $p_{r}$ such that the sequence $\left(\omega, p_{r}\right) \in \Omega_{n^{\prime}}^{r}$. Let $\omega \in \Omega_{n^{\prime}}^{r}$. Then, for $1 \leq \mathrm{j} \leq \mathrm{r}, \# \varphi_{\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{j}}, \mathrm{n}}\left(\tau_{\omega(\mathrm{j}-1), \mathrm{n}}\right)=\# \tau_{\omega(\mathrm{j}-1), \mathrm{n}}$ and if $\nu \in\{0,1\}$ is such that $\mathrm{r}>\mathrm{f}+\nu$, then, for $1+\nu+\mathrm{f} \leq \mathrm{j} \leq \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{c}=\mathrm{f}+\nu$, we have
$\# \varphi_{\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{j}}, \mathrm{n}}^{(\mathrm{c})}\left(\bmod \Phi(\mathrm{c}),{ }_{\omega}(\mathrm{j}-1), \mathrm{n}\right)=\mathrm{m}_{(\mathrm{j},(\mathrm{c}))-1^{-m^{2}}}^{(\mathrm{j}(\mathrm{c}))}=\operatorname{inv}_{\mathrm{j}}\left(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{p}_{0}, \mathrm{p}_{0}, \mathrm{n}}^{(\mathrm{c})}\right)$.

The proof duplicates the proof of theorem 1 of [1] (the case $f=0$ ) if we note that $\forall \mathrm{k} \geq \mathrm{f} \exists \lambda \in \Lambda^{\mathrm{k}}$ such that $\mathrm{m}(\lambda)=\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{k}}$ and $\# \mathrm{~T}_{\lambda, \mathrm{n}}^{\nu}=\operatorname{inv}_{\mathrm{k}+1}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{p}_{0}, \mathrm{p}_{0}, \mathrm{n}}^{\nu}\right)$ for $\nu=0$ and $\nu=1$. This is a consequence of the analog of [1] proposition 8 (proved analogously) where condition 3) is replaced by the condition $\# \varphi_{\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{n}^{\prime}}^{\alpha}\left(\bmod \Phi_{\delta, \mathrm{n}^{\prime}}^{\alpha}\right)=\# \mathrm{~T}_{\delta, \mathrm{n}}^{\alpha}$.

## Furthermore we get

Theorem 3. $\exists \mathrm{p}_{0} \mathrm{p}_{1} \ldots \mathrm{p}_{2 \mathrm{f}+1} \in \Lambda_{\mathrm{n}^{\prime}}^{2 \mathrm{f}+1}$ such that for $1 \leq \mathrm{i} \leq \mathrm{f}+1 \operatorname{ord}_{\ell} \psi_{\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{f}+1}, \mathrm{n}^{\prime}}\left(\eta_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{f}}$,
 morphic to the group $\sum_{i=1} \mathbb{Z} / \mathrm{M}$. In particular, for $1 \leq j \leq f+1$ we have that $\operatorname{inv}_{j}\left(S_{p_{0}, p_{0}, n}^{(f+1)}\right)=n$.

Proof. Let $\eta_{1}^{\prime}=\mathrm{p}_{0} \mathrm{p}_{1}^{\prime} \ldots \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{f}}^{\prime} \in \Lambda_{\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{f}}+1}^{\mathrm{f}}$ is such that $\mathrm{m}\left(\eta_{1}^{\prime}\right)=\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{f}}$. By means of [1], proposition 8 we can, by induction, replace $p_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, p_{f}^{\prime}$ by $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{f}$ such that $\eta_{1}=p_{0} \ldots p_{f} \in \Lambda_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{f}^{\prime}$ and $m\left(\eta_{1}\right)=m_{f}$ (this step is trivial when $f=0$ ). Then we again use [1], proposition 8 (which is true for $\mathbf{r}=\mathbf{k}$ as well, see the proof) and by induction find a suitable $\eta_{i}$. Because of the proposition 1 and (for $\mathrm{f}>0$ ) the condition $\tau_{\lambda, \mathrm{n}^{\prime}}=0 \quad \forall \lambda \in \Lambda_{\mathrm{n}^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{f}}-1$ it then follows that $\eta_{\mathrm{i}} \in \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{p}_{0}, \mathrm{p}_{0}, \mathrm{n}}^{(\mathrm{f}+1)}$ (we recall that complex conjugation acts on $\tau_{\lambda, \mathrm{n}^{\prime}}$ as multi-
 $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{ij}}=0$ for $\mathrm{j}<\mathrm{i}$ because (see § 1) $\phi_{\mathrm{p}}\left(\tau_{\lambda, \mathrm{n}^{\prime}}\right)=0$ when $\mathrm{p} \mid \lambda$. We have $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{ij}} \in \ell^{\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{f}}(\mathbb{I} / \mathrm{M})^{*} \text {. If } \sum \alpha_{\mathrm{i}} \eta_{\mathrm{i}}=0 \text {, then by applying to this identity the characters } \varphi_{\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{f}+\mathrm{j}}}}$ for $\mathrm{j}=1, \ldots, \mathrm{f}+1$ we obtain that $\alpha_{\mathrm{i}} \equiv 0(\bmod \mathrm{M})$.

Hence theorems 2 and 3 fully determine the sequence of invariants for $S_{p_{0}, p_{0}, n}^{(f+1)}$.

Further we suppose that $\mathrm{p}_{0}=1$ and $\left\{\tau_{\lambda, \mathrm{n}}\right\} \neq\{0\}$. The group $\mathrm{S}^{\boldsymbol{\nu}}=\underset{\longrightarrow}{\lim } \mathrm{S}_{\ell^{\mathrm{n}}}^{\nu}$ is isomorphic to a direct sum of $\left(Q_{\ell} / \Pi_{\ell}\right)^{\boldsymbol{\nu}}$ and a finite group $\mathscr{S}^{\boldsymbol{\nu}}$. The group $\mathrm{S}_{\ell^{\nu}}^{\boldsymbol{n}}$ coincides with the maximal $\ell^{\mathrm{n}}$-torsion subgroup of $\mathrm{S}^{\nu}$ and with the Selmer group of level $\ell^{\mathrm{n}}$ for $\mathrm{E}^{\boldsymbol{\nu}}$ over $\mathbf{Q}$. Here $\mathrm{E}^{\boldsymbol{\nu}}$ is E if $(-1)^{\boldsymbol{\nu}+1} \epsilon=1$, and $\mathrm{E}^{\boldsymbol{\nu}}$ is the form of E over K otherwise. Apriori, rank $\mathrm{E}^{\nu}(\mathbb{Q}) \leq \mathrm{r}^{\boldsymbol{\nu}}$, and equality is equivalent to the statement that $山\left(\mathbf{Q}, \mathrm{E}^{\boldsymbol{\nu}}\right)_{\ell^{\infty}}$ is a finite group, which will then be isomorphic to $\mathscr{S}^{\boldsymbol{\nu}}$. We have

Theorem 4. $\quad \mathrm{r}^{(\mathrm{f}+1)}=\mathrm{f}+1, \quad \mathrm{r}^{(\mathrm{f})} \leq \mathrm{f} \quad$ and $\quad \mathrm{f}-\mathrm{r}^{(\mathrm{f})}$ is even. For $\mathrm{j} \geq 1+\nu+\mathrm{f}$


Proof. Because of theorems 2, 3 it is enough to explain why $f-r^{(f)}$ is even. From theorem 2 we have that the (parity of nonzero invariants of $\mathscr{S}^{(f)}$ with index $\geq f+1-r^{(f)}$ ) is even, but the common parity of nonzero invariants of $\mathscr{S}^{(f)}$ is even because of the existence of a non-degenerate alternating Cassels form on $\mathscr{S}^{(\mathrm{f})}$. Hence $\mathrm{f}-\mathrm{r}{ }^{(\mathrm{f})}$ is even.

Let $g^{\nu}=\operatorname{ord}_{s=1} L\left(E^{\nu}, s\right)$. We recall that according to the conjecture of Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer, $g^{\nu}=\operatorname{rank} E^{\nu}(Q)$. Since $(-1)^{g^{\nu}}=-\epsilon$ or $\epsilon$ according as $\mathrm{E}^{\nu}=\mathrm{E}$ or $E^{\nu}=$ form of $E$ over $K$, we have from theorem 4:

Theorem 5. r ${ }^{\nu}-\mathrm{g}^{\nu}$ is even for $\nu=0$ and $\nu=1$.

If $f$ and $m$ are known, then we have an algorithm (see the beginning of the paper, and $\S 4$ of [1]) for computing some $n^{\prime}$ and $q=p_{f+1 \cdots} p_{2 f+1} \in \Lambda_{n}^{f+1}$ such that $\mathrm{n}^{\prime}>3 \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{q}), \quad \min _{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{r}}(\mathrm{q})=\mathrm{m}$, with a parametrization of $\quad y=\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{n}}^{(\mathrm{f}+1)}$, where $\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{n}^{\prime}-\mathrm{m}(\mathrm{q})$, by finite linear combinations of elements of $\left\{\tau_{\lambda, \mathrm{n}^{\prime}}\right\}$. Moreover such a procedure can be combined with the selection of $p_{0} \cdots p_{f}\left(p_{0}=1\right)$ such that $\mathrm{p}_{0} \cdots \mathrm{p}_{2 \mathrm{f}+1} \in \Lambda_{\mathrm{n}}^{2 \mathrm{f}+1}$ and $\operatorname{ord}_{\ell} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{ii}}=\operatorname{ord}_{\ell}\left(\mathrm{m}\left(\eta_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\right)=\mathrm{n}^{\prime}-\mathrm{n}$ for $1 \leq \mathrm{i} \leq \mathrm{f}+1$. Then (see the proof of theorem 3) the group $\mathscr{E} \subset \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{M}}^{(\mathrm{f}+1)}$ generated by $\eta_{\mathrm{i}}$ is isomorphic to the group $\mathrm{f}+1$ $\mathrm{f}+1$
$\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1} \pi / \mathrm{M}$ and its pairing with $\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1} \pi / \mathrm{M} \varphi_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}+\mathrm{f}}, \mathrm{n}}^{(\mathrm{f}+1)}$ is non-degenerate. Hence $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{M}}^{(\mathrm{f}+1)}$ is the direct sum of $\mathscr{E}$ and $\mathscr{W}=\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{M}}^{(\mathrm{f}+1)} \cap \mathscr{y} \simeq \mathscr{S}^{(\mathrm{f}+1)}$. The parametrization for $\mathscr{y}$ induces a parametrization for $\mathscr{W}$ and, as a consequence, we obtain its complete structure. In particular, we have an algorithm for computing the sequence of invariants for $\mathscr{L}^{(\mathrm{f}+1)}$.

By using proposition 9 of [1] (with the condition $n>m_{0}$ replaced by $n>m_{r-1}$ ) we have that for $p_{1} \ldots p_{j} \in \Lambda_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{j}}$ with $\mathrm{m}\left(\mathrm{p}_{1} \ldots \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)=\mathrm{m}<\mathrm{n}$, the characters $\varphi_{\mathrm{p}_{1}, \mathrm{n}}^{(\mathrm{j})}, \ldots, \varphi_{\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{j}}}, \mathrm{n}$ generate $\operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{M}}^{(\mathrm{j})}, \mathbb{I} / \mathrm{M}\right)$. So we can apply this to the effective solution of the problem when a principal homogeneous space over $E$ has a rational point, in the same vein as at the end of [1] for the case $f=0$.

We recall that we considered $\ell \in B(E)$ (see section 1 for the definition of $B(E)$ ). For $\ell \notin \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{E})$ the theory in [1] and above holds with modifications in the manner of [2]. Let $\ell$ now be an arbitrary rational prime. In particular, $\tau_{\lambda, \mathrm{n}} \in \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{M}}\right)$ is defined for all $\lambda \in \Lambda_{n+k_{0}}{ }^{1)}$,
${ }^{1)}$ In [3] $\tau_{\lambda, \mathrm{n}}$ is defined for all $\lambda \in \Lambda_{\mathrm{n}}$ as in the case $\ell \in \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{E})$.
where $\ell^{k_{0} / 2} E(K){ }_{\ell}{ }^{\Phi}=0, \quad K$ the composite of $K_{\lambda}$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda \quad\left(k_{0}=0\right.$ for
$\ell \in B(E))$.
We let $U_{M} C E(K) / M, H, S C H$ denote respectively the groups $E(K)_{\text {tor }} / M$, $\lim _{\rightarrow} H^{1}\left(K, E_{M}\right), \lim _{\mathcal{F}} S\left(K, E_{M}\right)$. We have the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow U_{M} \rightarrow H^{1}\left(K, E_{M}\right) \rightarrow$ $H_{M} \rightarrow E(K)_{M} \rightarrow 0$ and we identify the group $H^{1}\left(K, E_{M}\right) / U_{M}$ with its image in $H_{M}$. We recall that, for $\ell \in B(E), E(K)_{\ell^{\infty}}=0$ and we identified $H^{1}\left(K, E_{M}\right), S\left(K, E_{M}\right)$ with $H_{M}, S_{M}$ respectively. We let $\tau_{\lambda, \mathrm{n}}^{\prime}$ be the image of $\tau_{\lambda, \mathrm{n}}$ in $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{M}}$, and for $\mathrm{n} \geq 1, \mathrm{k} \geq \mathrm{k}_{0}, \mathrm{r} \geq 0, \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{r}}$ is the subgroup of $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{M}}$ generated by $\tau_{\lambda, \mathrm{n}}^{\prime}$ when $\lambda$ runs through $\Lambda_{n, k}^{r}$. We say that $\left\{\tau_{\lambda, n}\right\}$ is a strong nonzero system if $\exists r \geq 0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall k \geq k_{0} \exists n \mid V_{n, k}^{r} \neq 0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

There exists $k(r) \geq k_{0}$ such that the condition (2) is equivalent to the condition that $\exists \mathrm{n} \mid \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{k}(\mathrm{r})}^{\mathrm{r}} \neq 0$. We know that, for $\ell \in \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{E}), \mathrm{k}(\mathrm{r})=0$ satisfies this property. We now formulate

Conjecture 1. For all $\ell,\left\{\tau_{\lambda, \mathrm{n}}\right\}$ is a strong nonzero system.

For $\ell \in B(E)$, this is equivalent to the statement that $\left\{\tau_{\lambda, n}\right\} \neq 0$.

Conjecture 2. $m \neq 0$ for only a finite set of primes in $B(E)$.

Apparently, theorem 4 is closely connected with the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture (see [1] for the case $f=0$ ). For example, it would be natural to find that $f+1$ is equal to the order of zero at $s=1$ of an $\ell$-adic $L$-function for $E^{(f+1)}$ (when such a function exists), and (more difficult ?) to find that $f+1=g^{(f+1)}$.

If $A$ is a $\mathbb{Z}[1, \sigma]$-module and $\nu \in\{0,1\}$, then $A^{\nu}:=\{b \in A \mid \sigma b=$ $\left.(-1)^{\nu+1} \epsilon b\right\}$.

Let $\quad S D=\ell^{n} S$, so $\quad S D^{\nu} \simeq\left(Q_{\ell} / \mathbb{I}_{\ell}\right)^{\boldsymbol{r}}$. Let $\ell \in B(E)$. Because of the relation $\ell^{\mathrm{k}} \tau_{\lambda, \mathrm{n}+\mathrm{k}}^{\prime}=\tau_{\lambda, \mathrm{n}}^{\prime} \quad$ (which is true for an arbitrary $\ell$ ) and the relation
 that $\forall k \geq m_{f} \quad V_{n, k}^{f}=\ell^{m_{f}}{ }_{S D}{ }^{(f+1)}$. For arbitrary $\ell \quad \exists \mathbf{k}_{1}, \mathbf{k}_{2}$ such that for $k \geq k_{1}$ $\ell^{k_{2}}{S D_{M}^{(f+1)}}_{\left(f V_{n, k}^{f}\right.}^{f} C S_{M}^{(f+1)}$.

Interpolating the situation of the case $\mathrm{f}=0$ we formulate

Conjecture $_{e}$ 3. There exist $\nu \in\{0,1\}$ and a subgroup VC(E(K)/E(K) $\left.{ }_{\text {tor }}\right)^{\nu}$ such that $1 \leq \operatorname{rank} \mathrm{V} \equiv \nu(\bmod 2) \quad$ and for all sufficiently large $\mathrm{k} \quad$ and all n , one has $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{a}}=\mathrm{V}\left(\bmod \mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{K}) / \mathrm{E}(\mathrm{K})_{\text {tor }}\right)\right.$, where $\mathrm{a}=\operatorname{rank} \mathrm{V}-1$.

Conjecture 3 , by definition, is the union $\forall \ell$ of conjectures ${ }_{\ell} 3$ with a universal $V$ (independent of $\ell$ ). We note that such $V$ is uniquely determined (by the usual description of a lattice over $I I$ by its completions) if it exists.

It is clear that $2 \mathrm{VCE} \mathrm{E}^{\nu}(\mathrm{Q}) / \mathrm{E}^{\nu}(\mathrm{Q})_{\text {tor }}$.

For the following implications we use the arguments above with the theorems $2-5$ (with a natural modification for $\ell \notin B(E)$ ).

First, conjecture ${ }_{\ell} 3$ implies that $\left\{\tau_{\lambda, \mathrm{n}}\right\}$ is a strong nonzero system with $\mathrm{f}=\mathrm{a}$ (for the last statement we use the propositions $1,2,5$ of $[1]), \quad \operatorname{rank} E^{\nu}(Q)=r a n k V$,

$\ell^{\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{f}}}\left(\mathrm{E}^{\nu}(\mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\ell}\right),\left[山\left(\mathbb{Q}, \mathrm{E}^{\nu}\right)_{\ell^{\Phi}}\right] \mid \ell^{2 \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{f}}}, \ell^{\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{f}}} \amalg\left(\mathbb{Q}, \mathrm{E}^{\nu}\right)_{\ell^{\infty}}=0, \operatorname{rank} \mathrm{E}^{\nu}(\mathbb{Q}) \equiv \mathrm{g}^{\nu} \equiv$ $\nu(\bmod 2), \mathrm{r}^{1-\nu} \equiv \mathrm{g}^{1-\nu} \equiv 1-\nu(\bmod 2)$.

Conjecture $_{\ell} 3$ is equivalent to the statement：$\left\{\tau_{\lambda, \mathrm{n}}\right\}$ is a strong nonzero system


We note that $\exists \mathbf{k}_{3}$ ，which is zero for $\ell \in B(E)$ ，such that if the condition from conjecture $\ell^{3}$ holds with some $k^{\prime} \geq k_{3}$ then it holds for all $k \geq k^{\prime}$ ．

From conjecture 3 we have，with the union of consequences from conjectures ${ }_{\ell} 3$ ，that conjecture 2 holds and $山\left(Q, \mathrm{E}^{\nu}\right)$ is finite．Conjecture 3 is equivalent to the statement： conjectures 1,2 hold， $\mathrm{f}+1$ is independent of $\ell, \amalg\left(\mathbf{Q}, \mathrm{E}^{(\mathrm{f}+1)}\right)$ is finite；for only a finite
 conjectures 1,2 hold and $\amalg(\mathrm{K}, \mathrm{E})$ is finite．

Of course，for the case that the Heegner point $P_{1}$ has infinite order（ $f=0$ ）con－ jecture 3 holds with $\nu=1, V=\pi \mathrm{P}_{1}\left(\bmod \mathrm{E}(\mathrm{K})_{\text {tor }}\right)$ ．

Recall that $\mathrm{g}=\operatorname{ord}_{\mathrm{s}=1} \mathrm{~L}(\mathrm{E}, \mathrm{s})$ ．It is known that there exists an imaginary quadratic field $K$ such that $g^{0}+g^{1}-g=0$ or 1 according as $g$ is even or odd．For $g \leq 1$ it is known that $\operatorname{rank} E(\mathbb{Q})=g$ and $山(\mathbb{Q}, E)$ is finite．Let $g>1$ and for $K$ as above $\mathrm{g}=\mathrm{g}^{\nu^{\prime}}$ ．Then ord $\mathrm{on}_{1} \mathrm{~L}(\mathrm{E}, \mathrm{K}, \mathrm{s})=\mathrm{g}^{\nu^{\prime}}+\mathrm{g}^{1-\nu^{\prime}}>1$ ，so $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ has finite order by the for－ mula of Gross and Zagier．Suppose that for $K$ conjecture ${ }_{\ell} 3$ holds for some $\ell$ ．Then $\nu=\nu^{\prime}$ because otherwise $\mathrm{g}^{1-\nu^{\prime}}=\mathrm{f}+1>1$ but $\mathrm{g}^{1-\nu^{\prime}} \leq 1$ ．So we have for $\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{E}^{\nu}$ all consequences of the conjecture $\ell^{3}$（see above），in particular，that $\operatorname{rank} E(\mathbb{Q})=\operatorname{rank} V$ and $\amalg(Q, E)_{\ell^{\infty}}$ is finite．If conjecture 3 holds for $K$ ，we also have that $\amalg(Q, E)$ is finite and $\operatorname{rank} \mathrm{E}(\boldsymbol{Q}) \equiv \mathrm{g}(\bmod 2)$. Of course， $\operatorname{rank} \mathrm{E}(\boldsymbol{Q})=\mathrm{g}$ if the equality $g=\operatorname{rank} \mathrm{V}$ holds．
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