

**p - ADIC NEVANLINNA-CARTAN
THEOREM**

**HA HUY KHOAI
MAI VAN TU**

Max-Planck-Institut
für Mathematik
Gottfried-Claren-Str. 26

D-53225 Bonn

Germany

p -ADIC NEVANLINNA-CARTAN THEOREM

HA HUY KHOAI AND MAI VAN TU

§1. INTRODUCTION

In recent years the Nevanlinna-Cartan theory of value distribution of holomorphic curves ([C]) is arousing increasing interest. By influence of Vojta's works establishing the deep relation between Nevanlinna theory and Diophantine approximation many results on finiteness of rational points on projective varieties are obtained (see [N1]-[N3], [R], [RW]). S Lang conjectured that a projective variety over a number field has only finitely many integral points if and only if the corresponding complex variety is hyperbolic ([L1], [L2], [L4], [V]). It is well-known that the Nevanlinna-Cartan theory is an effective tool in establishing the hyperbolicity of a complex variety. Very recently, by using the Nevanlinna-Cartan theory, K. Masuda and J. Noguchi ([MN]) proved the existence of smooth hyperbolic hypersurfaces of every large degree of the complex projective space $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$. Moreover, they give a partial answer to the Kobayashi conjecture which states that a generic hypersurface of large degree of the complex space $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ is hyperbolic. J. Noguchi ([N4]) proved the Nevanlinna -Cartan theorem over function fields and, as a consequence, derived a version of "abc conjecture" in several variables over function

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 32P05, 32A22, 11S80.

fields. For more details on the subject we refer the reader to [N1]-[N4], [K1], [K2], [Z], [ZL].

The purpose of this note is to establish a p -adic version of the Nevanlinna-Cartan theorem. By using this p -adic Nevanlinna-Cartan theorem, as in the complex case, we can prove the existence of p -adic hyperbolic hypersurfaces. Notice that, the "error term" in p -adic Nevanlinna-Cartan theorem is more precise than the complex one, and then we obtain the hyperbolic hypersurfaces of smaller degree.

It is necessary to say a few words on " p -adic hyperbolicity". In the complex case, by Brody's theorem ([B], [L3]) for a compact manifold X the Kobayashi hyperbolicity is equivalent to the property that X does not contain any non-constant holomorphic curve. Because of the discontinuity of the p -adic plane it is difficult to construct an analogue of the Kobayashi semi-distance. Some people proposed different versions of non-archimedean Kobayashi distance (see [Ch], [N3]). So far as we know, however, there is no suitable analogue of the Kobayashi semi-distance. In this note, by " p -adic hyperbolicity" we mean " p -adic Brody hyperbolicity".

Acknowledgement. The first named author would like to thank the Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik Bonn for financial support and hospitality. The authors are grateful to Professors Kazuo Masuda and Junjiro Noguchi for sending them the preprints [MN] and [N4].

§2. HEIGHT OF p -ADIC HOLOMORPHIC FUNCTIONS

We recall some facts on heights of p -adic holomorphic functions for later use in this note. More details can be found in ([H1]-[H3]).

Let p be a prime number, Q_p the field of p -adic numbers, and C_p the p -adic completion of the algebraic closure of Q_p . The absolute value in Q_p is normalized so that $|p| = p^{-1}$. We further use the notion $v(z)$ for the additive valuation on C_p which extends ord_p .

Let $f(z)$ be a p -adic holomorphic function on C_p represented by a convergent series

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n.$$

Since we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{v(a_n) + nv(z)\} = \infty$$

for every $z \in C_p$, it follows that for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$ there exists an n for which $v(a_n) + nt$ is minimal.

Definition 2.1. The *height* of $f(z)$ is defined by

$$h(f, t) = \min_{0 \leq n < \infty} \{v(a_n) + nt\}.$$

Now let us give a geometric interpretation of height. For each n we draw the graph Γ_n which depicts $v(a_n z^n)$ as a function of $v(z)$. This graph is a straight line with slope n . Then $h(f, t)$ is the boundary of the intersection of all of the half-planes lying under the lines Γ_n . Then in any finite segment $[r, s], 0 < r, s < +\infty$, there are only finitely many Γ_n which appear in $h(f, t)$. Thus, $h(f, t)$ is a polygonal line. The point t at which $h(f, t)$ has vertices are called *the critical points* of $f(z)$. A finite segment $[r, s]$ contains only a finitely many critical points. It is clear that if t is a critical point, then $v(a_n) + nt$ attains its minimum at least at two values of n .

If $v(z) = t$ is not a critical point, then $f(z) \neq 0$ and $|f(z)| = p^{-h(f, t)}$. The function $f(z)$ has zeros when $v(z) = t_i$, where $t_0 > t_1 > \dots$ is the sequence of

critical points; and the number of zeros (counting multiplicity) for which $v(z) = t_i$ is equal to the difference $n_{i+1} - n_i$ between the slope of $h(f, t)$ at $t_i - 0$ and its slope at $t_i + 0$. It is easy to see that n_i and n_{i+1} , respectively, are the smallest and the largest values of n at which $v(n) + nt$ attains minimum.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $f(z)$ be a non-constant holomorphic function on \mathbb{C}_p . Then we have*

$$h(f', t) - h(f, t) \geq -t + O(1),$$

where $O(1)$ is bounded when $t \rightarrow -\infty$

Lemma 2.3. *A function $f(z)$ is a polynomial if and only if $h(f, t) = O(t)$ when $t \rightarrow -\infty$*

The proof of Lemmas 2.2, 2.3 follows immediately from Definition 2.1, and the geometric interpretation of height.

§3. p -ADIC NEVANLINNA-CARTAN THEOREM

Let f be a p -adic holomorphic curve in the projective space $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C}_p)$, i.e., a holomorphic map from \mathbb{C}_p to $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C}_p)$. We identify f with its representation by a collection of holomorphic functions on \mathbb{C}_p :

$$f = (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{n+1}),$$

where the functions f_i have no common zeros. The curve f is said to be *non-degenerate* if the image of f is not contained in any linear subspace of $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C}_p)$ of dimension less than n .

Definition 3.1. The *height* of the holomorphic curve f is defined by:

$$h(f, t) = \min_{1 \leq i \leq n+1} h(f_i, t).$$

We also use the notation

$$h^+(f, t) = -h(f, t).$$

Definition 3.2. *Counting function.* For every holomorphic function g on \mathbb{C}_p , the following function is called *the counting function* of g

$$N(g, t) = \sum_{a_i} \{v(a_i) - t\},$$

where the sum is taken on all of zeros a_i of $g(z)$ (counting multiplicity) with $v(a_i) \geq t$.

Notice that, for every t , the sum in Definition 3.2 is a finite sum.

Now we define the *truncated counting function* which is due to Cartan.

Definition 3.3. For every positive entire number k denote by $N_k(g, t)$ the sum in Definition 3.2, where every zero a_i is counted with multiplicity if its multiplicity less than k , and k times otherwise. We call $N_k(g, t)$ *the k -truncated counting function* of g .

We have the following obvious lemma.

Lemma 3.4. *For every $k \geq 1$*

$$N_1(g, t) \leq N_k(g, t) \leq kN_1(g, t),$$

$$N_k(g, t) \leq N(g, t).$$

Now let H_1, H_2, \dots, H_q are q hyperplanes in $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C}_p)$ in *general position*. This means that these hyperplanes are linearly independent if $q \leq n$, and any $n + 1$ of these hyperplanes are linearly independent if $q \geq n + 1$.

Suppose that $F_i = 0$ are the equations defining the hyperplanes H_i . Then we set:

$$h(f \circ H_i, t) = h(F_i \circ f, t),$$

$$N_k(f \circ H_i, t) = N_k(F_i \circ f, t).$$

The following theorem is a p -adic analogue of the Nevanlinna-Cartan theorem ([C]).

Theorem 3.5. *Let H_1, H_2, \dots, H_q be q hyperplanes in general position, and let f be a non-degenerate holomorphic curve in $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C}_p)$. Then we have*

$$(q - n - 1)h^+(f, t) \leq \sum_{j=1}^q N_n(f \circ H_j, t) + \frac{n(n+1)}{2}t + O(1),$$

where $O(1)$ is bounded when $t \rightarrow -\infty$

The ideas of the proof of Theorem 3.5 are similar to ones in the complex case ([C]), where instead of the Nevanlinna-Cartan characteristic function we use the height function. However, there are some facts valid only in the p -adic case (for example, Lemma 3.8). So, it is necessary to give here a detail proof.

We first prove the following

Lemma 3.6. *Let $G_i(z) = F_i \circ f(z)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, q$. Then for every $z \in \mathbb{C}_p$ there are at most n functions G_i such that $G_i(z) = 0$*

Proof. Assume that there are $z \in \mathbb{C}_p$ and $n+1$ functions G_{α_i} , $i = 1, 2, \dots, n+1$ such that $G_{\alpha_i}(z) = 0$. Then from the system of equations

$$G_{\alpha_i}(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} a_j^{\alpha_i} f_j(z), \quad i = 1, \dots, n+1$$

and the hypothesis of general position it follows that $f_j(z) = 0$ for every $j = 1, \dots, n+1$. This is a contradiction, since the functions f_j have no common zeros.

Now let $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_{q-n-1}$ be $(q - n - 1)$ distinct numbers from the numbers $\{1, 2, \dots, q\}$. We set

$$G = (\dots, G_{\beta_1} \dots G_{\beta_{q-n-1}}, \dots),$$

where $(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{q-n-1})$ is taken by all possible choices. Then G define a holomorphic curve of $\mathbb{P}^k(\mathbb{C}_p)$, $k = C_q^{q-n-1}$, since by Lemma 3.6 the functions $G_{\beta_1} \dots G_{\beta_{q-n-1}}$ have no common zeros.

Lemma 3.7. *We have*

$$h(G, t) \leq (q - n - 1)h(f, t) + O(1),$$

where $O(1)$ does not depend on t

Proof. By definition we have

$$h(G, t) = \min_{(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{q-n-1})} h(G_{\beta_1} \dots G_{\beta_{q-n-1}}, t) = \min_{(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{q-n-1})} \sum_{j=1}^{q-n-1} h(G_{\beta_j}, t).$$

Now let for a fixed t the following inequality holds

$$h(G_{\beta_1}, t) \leq h(G_{\beta_2}, t) \leq \dots \leq h(G_{\beta_q}, t).$$

We then obtain

$$h(G, t) = h(G_{\beta_1}, t) + h(G_{\beta_2}, t) + \dots + h(G_{\beta_{q-n-1}}, t).$$

On the other hand, since the hypothesis of general position, we can represent f_i by a linear combination of $G_{\beta_{q-n}}, \dots, G_{\beta_q}$:

$$f_i = \sum_{j=0}^n a_{ij} G_{\beta_{q-j}}.$$

From this it follows that

$$h(f_i, t) \geq \min_{0 \leq j \leq n} h(G_{\beta_{q-j}}, t) + O(1)$$

Then we have

$$h(f_i, t) \geq h(G_{\beta_j}, t) + O(1), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, q - n - 1.$$

Thus,

$$h(f, t) = \min h(f_i, t) \geq h(G_{\beta_j}, t) + O(1), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, q - n - 1.$$

The Lemma then is proved by sumarizing $(q - n - 1)$ inequalities.

By using Lemma 3.7, to prove Theorem 3.5 it remains to estimate $h(G, t)$.

Now for $(n + 1)$ functions $\Phi_1, \Phi_2, \dots, \Phi_{n+1}$ we denote by $\|\Phi_1, \Phi_2, \dots, \Phi_{n+1}\|$ their Wronskian.

Let $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{n+1})$ be distinct numbers from $\{1, 2, \dots, q\}$ and $(\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_{q-n-1})$ be the rest ones. As it is mentioned above, the functions $\{f_i\}$ can be represented as linear combinations of $G_{\alpha_1}, \dots, G_{\alpha_{n+1}}$. Then we have

$$\|G_{\alpha_1} \dots G_{\alpha_{n+1}}\| = \frac{1}{c(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n+1})} \|f_1 \dots f_{n+1}\|,$$

where $c(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n+1})$ are constants depending only on $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n+1})$. For simplicity we denote

$$A(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n+1}) = \frac{\|G_{\alpha_1} \dots G_{\alpha_{n+1}}\|}{G_{\alpha_1} \dots G_{\alpha_{n+1}}} = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ \frac{G'_{\alpha_1}}{G_{\alpha_1}} & \frac{G'_{\alpha_2}}{G_{\alpha_2}} & \dots & \frac{G'_{\alpha_{n+1}}}{G_{\alpha_{n+1}}} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \frac{G_{\alpha_1}^{(n)}}{G_{\alpha_1}} & \frac{G_{\alpha_2}^{(n)}}{G_{\alpha_2}} & \dots & \frac{G_{\alpha_{n+1}}^{(n)}}{G_{\alpha_{n+1}}} \end{vmatrix}$$

From this it implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{G_{\beta_1} \dots G_{\beta_{q-n-1}}}{c(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n+1})A(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n+1})} &= \frac{G_{\alpha_1} \dots G_{\alpha_{n+1}} G_{\beta_1} \dots G_{\beta_{q-n-1}}}{c(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n+1})A(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n+1})} \\ &= \frac{G_1 \dots G_q}{\|f_1 \dots f_{n+1}\|} \end{aligned}$$

This means that we can denote by $R(z)$ the following function

$$(1) \quad R(z) = \frac{G_{\beta_1} \dots G_{\beta_{q-n-1}}}{c(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n+1})A(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n+1})},$$

which does not depend on choices of $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n+1}), (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{q-n-1})$. We then obtain

$$G_{\beta_1} \dots G_{\beta_{q-n-1}} = c(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n+1})R(z)A(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n+1}).$$

Thus, to estimate the height of $G(z)$, it suffices to estimate the heights of $R(z)$ and $A(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n+1})$.

We first consider the function A . We have:

$$h(A, t) = \min_{(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n+1})} h\left(\frac{G_{\alpha_1}^{(k_1)}}{G_{\alpha_1}} \dots \frac{G_{\alpha_{n+1}}^{(k_{n+1})}}{G_{\alpha_{n+1}}}, t\right)$$

where the minimum is taken by all permutations (k_1, \dots, k_{n+1}) of numbers $\{0, 1, \dots, n\}$. On the other hand, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} h\left(\frac{G_{\alpha_i}^{(k_i)}}{G_{\alpha_i}}, t\right) &= h\left(\frac{G_{\alpha_i}^{(k_i)}}{G_{\alpha_i}^{(k_i-1)}} \cdot \frac{G_{\alpha_i}^{(k_i-1)}}{G_{\alpha_i}^{(k_i-2)}} \dots \frac{G_{\alpha_i}^{(k_i)}}{G_{\alpha_i}}, t\right) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{k_i-1} h\left(\frac{G_{\alpha_i}^{(k_i)}}{G_{\alpha_i}}, t\right). \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 2.2 it implies that

$$h\left(\frac{G_{\alpha_i}^{(k_i)}}{G_{\alpha_i}}, t\right) \geq -k_i t + O(1).$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} h(A, t) &= \min_{(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n+1})} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} h\left(\frac{G_{\alpha_i}^{(k_i)}}{G_{\alpha_i}}, t\right) \right\} \geq \\ &= \min_{(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n+1})} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} (-k_i t) + O(1) = -\frac{n(n+1)}{2} t + O(1). \end{aligned}$$

Now we consider the $h(R, t)$. We first prove the following

Lemma 3.8. *For every holomorphic function $\phi(z)$ on \mathbb{C}_p we have*

$$-h(\phi, t) = N(\phi, t) + O(1),$$

where $O(1)$ depends on ϕ , but not on t

Proof. To prove Lemma 3.8 we use the geometric interpretation of heights. Notice that for every t , there are only finitely many critical points of the function $\phi(z)$ with $v(z) \geq t$. Let $t_0 > t_1 > \cdots > t_m \geq t$ be all these critical points.

By definition of critical points, the height $h(\phi, s)$ is a linear function of s in every segment $[t_{i+1}, t_i]$. Denote by n_i^+ and n_i^- the slopes of $h(\phi, t)$ at $t_i + 0$ and $t_i - 0$, respectively. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} h(f, t_0) - h(f, t) &= n_o^-(t_0 - t_1) + n_1^-(t_1 - t_2) + \cdots + n_m^-(t_m - t) \\ &= n_o^- t_0 + (n_1^- - n_o^-)t_1 + \cdots + (n_m^- - n_{m-1}^-)t_m + n_m^- t \\ &= n_o^-(t_0 - t_1) + (n_1^- - n_o^-)(t_1 - t) + \cdots + (n_m^- - n_{m-1}^-)(t_m - t) \end{aligned}$$

We can see that $\phi(z) \neq 0$ when $v(z) > t_0$, and $\phi(z)$ has n_o^+ zeros (counted with multiplicity) with $v(z) \geq t_0$, $(n_i^- - n_{i-1}^-)$ zeros with $v(z) = t_i$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$. Then the sum in the right hand side is exactly $N(\phi, t)$. Lemma 3.8 is proved.

Now we can return to the proof of Theorem 3.5. By Lemma 3.8 we have

$$h(R, t) = -N(R, t) + O(1).$$

For every z the function $R(z)$ can be represented in the form (1) where $G_{\beta_i}(z) \neq 0$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, q - n - 1$ (by Lemma 3.6). Thus, the zeros of R are just only the poles of $A(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n+1})$, i.e., the poles of $\frac{G_{\alpha_i}^{(k_i)}}{G_{\alpha_i}}$. From the definitions of the truncated counting function and the function A it follows that

$$N(R, t) \leq \sum_{i=1}^q N_n(G_i, t) = \sum_{i=1}^q N_n(f \circ F_i, t).$$

Finally, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
h(G, t) &= \min_{(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{q-n-1})} \{h(G_{\beta_1} \dots G_{\beta_{q-n-1}}, t)\} \\
&= h(R, t) + \min_{(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n+1})} \{h(c(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n+1})A(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n+1}), t)\} \geq \\
&\geq -N(R, t) - \frac{n(n+1)}{2}t + O(1).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$(q - n - 1)h(f, t) \geq -N(R, t) - \frac{n(n+1)}{2}t + O(1).$$

This means that

$$\begin{aligned}
(q - n - 1)h^+(f, t) &\leq N(R, t) + \frac{n(n+1)}{2}t + O(1) \\
&\leq \sum_{i=1}^q N_n(f \circ F_i, t) + \frac{n(n+1)}{2}t + O(1).
\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.5 is proved.

Remark 3.9. The "error term" $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}t$ in Theorem 3.5 is more precise than one in the complex case, since in the p -adic case, the "theorem on logarithmic derivative" (Lemma 2.3) is very simple.

Now we apply Theorem 3.5 for giving a "defect relation".

We need some notation. Let H be a hyperplane of $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C}_p)$ such that the image of f is not contained in H . We say that f *ramifies at least d ($d > 0$) over H* if for all $z \in f^{-1}H$ the degree of the pull-back divisor f^*H , $\deg_z f^*H \geq d$. This means that if H is defined by the equation $F = 0$, then every zero of the function $F \circ f$ has multiplicity at least d . In the case $f^{-1}H = \emptyset$ we set $d = \infty$.

Theorem 3.10. (*Defect relation*). Assume f is linearly non-degenerate and ramifies at least d_j over H_j , $j = 1, 2, \dots, q$. Then

$$\sum_{j=1}^q \left(1 - \frac{n}{d_j}\right) \leq n + 1.$$

Moreover, if f is a rational curve of degree e (all $f_i(z)$, $i = 1, \dots, n + 1$ are polynomials of degree e_j and $\min e_j = e$), then

$$\sum_{j=1}^q \left(1 - \frac{n}{d_j}\right) \leq n + 1 - \frac{n(n+1)}{2e}.$$

Proof. Notice that from the geometric interpretation of height it follows that if $h^+(f, t)$ is bounded when $t \rightarrow -\infty$, then f is a constant map. Thus, by the hypothesis of non-degeneracy, $h^+(f, t)$ is unbounded when $t \rightarrow -\infty$. From Theorem 3.5 we obtain:

$$(2) \quad \sum_{i=1}^q \left\{1 - \frac{N_n(f \circ F_i, t)}{h^+(f, t)}\right\} \leq (n+1) + \frac{n(n+1)}{2} \cdot \frac{t}{h^+(f, t)} + \frac{O(1)}{h^+(f, t)}.$$

On the other hand, from Lemma 3.4 we have

$$(3) \quad 1 - \frac{N_n(f \circ F_i, t)}{h^+(f, t)} = 1 - \frac{N_n(f \circ F_i, t)}{N(f \circ F_i, t)} \cdot \frac{N(f \circ F_i, t)}{h^+(f, t)} \geq \\ \geq 1 - \frac{nN_1(f \circ F_i, t)}{N(f \circ F_i, t)} + \frac{O(1)}{h^+(f, t)}.$$

By the hypothesis, $N(f \circ F_i, t) \geq d_i N_1(f \circ F_i, t)$. Theorem 3.10 is proved by using (2), (3), and the remark that if f is a rational curve of degree e , then $h^+(f, t) = -e \cdot t + O(1)$ when $t \rightarrow -\infty$.

§4. p -ADIC HYPERBOLIC HYPERSURFACES

In this section by using Theorem 3.5 we give a p -adic version of Borel's Lemma, and use it to construct some examples of p -adic hyperbolic hypersurfaces.

We follow the ideas of Masuda and Noguchi [MN], where instead of the Nevanlinna-Cartan theorem we use Theorem 3.5.

Let (z_1, \dots, z_{n+1}) be a homogeneous coordinate system of $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C}_p)$. Let $M_j = z_1^{\alpha_{j,1}} \dots z_{n+1}^{\alpha_{j,n+1}}$ $1 \leq j \leq s$ be monomials of degree l with non-negative integral exponents $\alpha_{j\nu} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let X be a hypersurface of degree dl of $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C}_p)$ defined by

$$(4) \quad X : c_1 M_1^d + \dots + c_s M_s^d = 0,$$

where $c_j \in \mathbb{C}_p^*$ are non-zero constants.

Theorem 4.1. (*p -adic Borel's Lemma*). *Let $f = (f_1, \dots, f_{n+1}) : \mathbb{C}_p \rightarrow X$ be a non-constant holomorphic curve such that any $f_j \not\equiv 0$. Assume that*

$$l \geq s(s-2).$$

Then there is a decomposition of indices, $\{1, 2, \dots, s\} = \cup I_\nu$, such that:

- i) every I_ν contains at least 2 indices.*
- ii) the ratio of $M_j^d \circ f(z)$ and $M_k^d \circ f(z)$ is constant for $j, k \in I_\nu$.*
- iii) $\sum_{j \in I_\nu} c_j M_j^d \circ f(z) \equiv 0$ for all ν*

Proof. We use the induction on the number s of the monomials. The case of $s = 2$ is trivial. Assume that the statement for the number less or equal to $s - 1$ holds.

We first claim that $M_j^d \circ f$, $1 \leq j \leq s-1$, are linearly dependent over \mathbb{C}_p . Assume that $M_j^d \circ f$, $1 \leq j \leq s-1$ are linearly independent. We define a holomorphic curve g in $\mathbb{P}^{s-2}(\mathbb{C}_p)$ by

$$g : z \in \mathbb{C}_p \mapsto (M_1^d \circ f(z), \dots, M_{s-1}^d \circ f(z)) \in \mathbb{P}^{s-2}(\mathbb{C}_p).$$

Take the following hyperplanes in general position

$$H_1 = \{z = 0\}, \dots, H_{s-1} = \{z_{s-1} = 0\},$$

$$H_s = \{c_1 z_1 + \dots + c_{s-1} z_{s-1} = 0\}.$$

Then by Theorem 3.5 we have

$$(s - (s-2) - 1)h^+(g, t) \leq \sum_{j=1}^s N_{s-2}(g \circ H_j, t) + \frac{n(n+1)}{2}t + O(1).$$

On the other hand, we have

$$h^+(g, t) = d \max_{1 \leq j \leq s-1} h^+(M_j \circ f, t),$$

$$N_{s-2}(g \circ H_j, t) \leq (s-2)N_1(M_j^d \circ f, t) = (s-2)N_1(M_j \circ f, t)$$

Hence, by Lemma (3.8) we obtain

$$N_{s-2}(g \circ H_j, t) \leq (s-2)h^+(M_j \circ f, t) + O(1).$$

Thus we have

$$(5) \quad N_{s-2}(g \circ H_j, t) \leq (s-2) \max_{1 \leq k \leq s-1} h^+(M_k \circ f, t) + O(1), \quad j = 0, \dots, s-1.$$

From definitions of g , H_j and the equation defining X we can see that the inequality (5) holds also for $j = s$. Thus we have

$$d \max_{1 \leq j \leq s-1} h^+(M_j \circ f, t) \leq s(s-2) \max_{1 \leq j \leq s-1} h^+(M_j \circ f, t) + \frac{n(n+1)}{2}t + O(1).$$

By the hypothesis of $d \geq s(s-2)$ we have a contradiction as $t \rightarrow -\infty$.

Thus there is a non-trivial linear relation

$$(6) \quad c'_1 M_1^d \circ f + \cdots + c'_{s-1} M_{s-1}^d \circ f = 0, \quad c'_j \in \mathbb{C}_p.$$

Ignoring the terms with $c'_j = 0$ in (6), we apply the induction hypothesis to (6).

Here it is clear that the assumption which the induction hypothesis requires is fulfilled. Thus one reduces the number s in (4) to $s-1$ or less. Applying the induction hypothesis to the reduced equation again, we obtain our assertion.

As in the complex case, from Borel's Lemma (Theorem 4.1) we can derive many results on p -adic hyperbolicity. Let us mention here some of them.

We recall that *the Fermat variety* X in $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C}_p)$ of degree d is defined by the equation:

$$z_1^d + \cdots + z_{n+1}^d = 0.$$

The following theorem is a p -adic version of Green's theorem ([G], [L3]).

Theorem 4.2. *Let $f = (f_1, \dots, f_{n+1}) : \mathbb{C}_p \rightarrow X$ be a holomorphic curve such that any $f_j \not\equiv 0$. Define an equivalence $i \approx f$ if f_i/f_j is constant. If $d \geq n^2 - 1$ then for each equivalence class I we have*

$$\sum_{i \in I} f_i^d = 0.$$

Theorem 4.2 is a corollary of Theorem 4.1 with $s = n+1$. Notice that, in the complex case, the hypothesis is $d \geq n^2$.

When $n = 2$ we obtain the following corollary of Theorem 4.2.

Corollary 4.3. *Let f, g, h , be p -adic holomorphic functions on \mathbb{C}_p , and let for some $d \geq 3$ we have*

$$f^d + g^d = h^d.$$

Then the functions f, g, h are different each from other only by a multiplicative constant.

A similar statement for polynomials is a corollary of Mason's theorem ([M], [L4]).

To give the examples of p -adic hyperbolic hypersurfaces let us make the following remark. R. Brody and M. Green ([BG]) first constructed a smooth hyperbolic hypersurface of $\mathbb{P}^3(\mathbb{C})$ of even degree ≥ 50 . K. Masuda and J. Noguchi proved the existence of hyperbolic hypersurfaces of large degree of $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ for any n ([MN]). Both in [BG] and [NM] the main tool are Borel's Lemma and purely linear algebraic arguments. These algebraic arguments can apply without changes to the p -adic case. Then by using Theorems 3.5, 4.1 and Masuda-Noguchi's algebraic Lemmas, as well as the computation of Noguchi and Masuda-Noguchi, we can give the following examples of p -adic hyperbolic hypersurfaces. Notice that, the difference of the p -adic and complex cases is in degree of hypersurfaces (it follows from the difference of the hypothesis on the degree d in Theorem 4.1 and in Borel's Lemmas in complex case).

In $\mathbb{P}^3(\mathbb{C}_p)$, we have p -adic hyperbolic hypersurfaces X given by the following equations:

Example 1 (Brody-Green).

$$z_1^d + \cdots + z_4^d + (z_1 z_2)^{d/2} + (z_1 z_3)^{d/2} = 0, \quad d \text{ even}, d \geq 48.$$

Example 2 (J. Noguchi).

$$z_1^{3d} + \cdots + z_4^{3d} + t(z_1 z_2 z_3)^d = 0, \quad d \geq 7, (\deg X = 3d \geq 21), t \in \mathbb{C}_p^*.$$

Example 3 (J. Noguchi).

$$z_1^{4d} + \cdots + z_4^{4d} + t(z_1 z_2 z_3 z_4)^d = 0, \quad d \geq 6 (\deg X = 4d \geq 24), t \in \mathbb{C}_p^*$$

Example 4 (K. Masuda and J. Noguchi).

$$z_1^{4d} + \cdots + z_4^{4d} + t(z_1^2 z_2 z_3)^d = 0, \quad d \geq 6, \quad t \in \mathbb{C}_p^*.$$

K. Masuda and J. Noguchi give several examples also for $n = 4, 5$. We can copy their examples to the p -adic case (with suitable change of the degree), say, for $n = 5$, we have the following p -adic hypersurface:

Example 4 (K. Masuda and J. Noguchi).

$$\begin{aligned} z_1^d + \cdots + z_6^d + t_1(z_1 z_2^4)^{d/5} + t_2(z_2 z_3^4)^{d/5} + t_3(z_3 z_4^4)^{d/5} \\ + t_4(z_4 z_5^4)^{d/5} + t_5(z_5 z_1^4)^{d/5} \\ + t_6(z_1^2 z_3^3)^{d/5} + t_7(z_2^2 z_4^3)^{d/5} + t_8(z_3^2 z_5^3)^{d/5} \\ + t_9(z_4^2 z_1^3)^{d/5} + t_{10}(z_5^2 z_2^3)^{d/5} = 0, \\ t_j \in \mathbb{C}_p^*, \quad d = 5e \geq 1120. \end{aligned}$$

REFERENCES

- [B] R. Brody. Compact manifolds and hyperbolicity. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **235** (1978), 213-219.
- [BG] R. Brody and M. Green. A family of smooth hyperbolic hypersurfaces in \mathbb{P}_3 . *Duke Math. J.* **44** (1977), 873-874.
- [C] H. Cartan. Sur les zéros des combinaisons linéaires de p fonctions holomorphes données. *Mathematika* **7** (1933), 5-31.
- [Ch] W.A. Cherry. Hyperbolic p -Adic Analytic Spaces. Ph. D. Thesis, Yale University, 1993.
- [G] M. Green. Some Picard theorems for holomorphic maps to algebraic varieties. *Amer. J. Math.* **97** (1975), 43-75.
- [H1] Ha Huy Khoai. On p -adic meromorphic functions. *Duke Math. J.*, Vol 50, 1983, 695-711.
- [H2] Ha Huy Khoai. La hauteur des fonctions holomorphes p -adiques de plusieurs variables. *C. R. A. Sc. Paris*, 312, 1991, 751-754.
- [H3] Ha Huy Khoai. Height of p -adic holomorphic functions and applications Inter. Symp. Holomorphic mappings, Diophantine Geometry and Related topics, RIMS Lect. Notes Ser. **819**, Kyoto 1993, 96-105.
- [K1] S. Kobayashi. *Hyperbolic Manifolds and Holomorphic mappings*. Marcel Dekker: New York, 1970.
- [K2] S. Kobayashi. Intrinsic distances, measures, and geometric function theory. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **82** (1976), 357-461.
- [L1] S. Lang. Higher dimensional Diophantine problems. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **80**, 1974, 779-787.

- [L2] S. Lang. Hyperbolic and Diophantine analysis. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 14, 1986, 159-205.
- [L3] S. Lang. Introduction to Complex Hyperbolic Spaces. Springer-Verlag: New York-Berlin-Heidelberg, 1987.
- [L4] S. Lang. Number Theory III (Encyclopaedia Math. Sci. 60. Springer-Verlag, 1991.
- [M] R.C. Mason. Diophantine Equations over Function Fields. London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes 96, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1984.
- [MN] K. Masuda and J. Noguchi. A Construction of Hyperbolic Hypersurface of $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$. Preprint, 1994.
- [N1] J. Noguchi. Hyperbolic manifolds and Diophantine Geometry. Sugaku Expositions, Vol 4, 63-81. Amer. math. Soc., Providence, Rhode Island 1991.
- [N2] J. Noguchi. Meromorphic mappings into compact hyperbolic complex spaces and geometric Diophantine problems. International J. of Math, vol 3, n.2, 1992, 277-289.
- [N3] J. Noguchi. Some problems in value distribution and hyperbolic manifolds. Inter. Symp. Holomorphic mappings, Diophantine Geometry and Related topics, RIMS Lect. Notes Ser. 819, Kyoto 1993, 66-79.
- [N4] J. Noguchi. Nevanlinna-Cartan Theory over Function Fields and a Diophantine Equation. Preprint, 1994.
- [R] M. Ru. Integral points and the hyperbolicity of the complement of hypersurfaces. J. reine angew. Math, 442, 1993, 163-176.
- [RW] M. Ru and P-M. Wong. Integral points of $\mathbb{P}^n - \{(2n + 1) \text{ hyperplans in general position.}\}$. Invent. Math. 106, 1991, 195-216.

[V] P. Vojta. Diophantine Approximation and Value Distribution Theory. Lecture Notes in Math **1239**. Springer-Verlag: Berlin-Heidelberg-New York-London, 1987.

[W] P.M. Wong. Recent results in hyperbolic geometry and Diophantine geometry. Inter. Symp. Holomorphic mappings, Diophantine Geometry and Related topics, RIMS Lect. Notes Ser. **819**, Kyoto 1993, 120-135.

[Z] M.G. Zaidenberg. Hyperbolicity in projective spaces. Inter. Symp. Holomorphic mappings, Diophantine Geometry and Related topics, RIMS Lect. Notes Ser. **819**, Kyoto 1993, 136-156

[ZL] M.G. Zaidenberg and V. Ya. Lin. Finiteness theorems for holomorphic maps. Several Complex Variables III, Encyclopaedia Math. Sci. **9**, 113-172, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1989.

Address:

Ha Huy Khoai
Institute of Mathematics,
P.O. Box 631 Bo Ho, 10000 Hanoi, Vietnam
E-mail: hkhkhai@thevinh.ac.vn
and
Max-Planck-Institute für Mathematik,
Gottfried-Claren-Str. 26,
53225 Bonn, Germany
E-mail: khoai@mpim-bonn.mpg.de

Mai Van Tu
Vinh Pedagogical Institute,
Vinh, Nghe An, Vietnam